

GAMA

Citation for published version (APA):

Gijsbers, P., & Vanschoren, J. (2019). GAMA: genetic automated machine learning assistant. Journal of Open Source Software, 4(33), Article 1132. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01132

DOI: 10.21105/joss.01132

Document status and date:

Published: 30/01/2019

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.



GAMA: Genetic Automated Machine learning Assistant

Pieter Gijsbers¹ and Joaquin Vanschoren¹

1 Eindhoven University of Technology

DOI: 10.21105/joss.01132

Software

- Review d'
- Repository C
- Archive 🗠

Submitted: 19 November 2018 Published: 30 January 2019

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY).

Summary

Successful machine learning applications hinge on a plethora of design decisions, which require extensive experience and relentless empirical evaluation. To train a successful model, one has to decide which algorithms to use, how to preprocess the data, and how to tune any hyperparameters that influence the final model. Automating this process of algorithm selection and hyperparameter optimization in the context of machine learning is often called AutoML (Automated Machine Learning).

The usefulness of AutoML is twofold. It makes creating good machine learning models more accessible to non-experts, as AutoML systems often support simple to use interfaces. For experts, it takes away a time consuming process of model selection and hyperparameter tuning. They can instead focus on related tasks such as interpreting the model or curating the data.

GAMA is an AutoML package for end-users and AutoML researchers. It uses genetic programming to efficiently generate optimized machine learning pipelines given specific input data and resource constraints. A machine learning pipeline contains data preprocessing as well as a machine learning algorithm, with fine-tuned hyperparameter settings. By default, GAMA uses scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011) implementations for preprocessing (e.g. Normalizer, PCA, ICA) and learners (e.g. Gaussian Naive Bayes, Support Vector Machine, Random Forest).

GAMA can also combine multiple tuned machine learning pipelines together into an ensemble, which on average should help model performance. At the moment, GAMA is restricted to classification and regression problems on tabular data.

In addition to its general use AutoML functionality, GAMA aims to serve AutoML researchers as well. During the optimization process, GAMA keeps an extensive log of progress made. Using this log, insight can be obtained on the behaviour of the population of pipelines. It can answer questions such as which mutation operator is most effective, how fitness changes over time, and how much time each algorithm takes. For example, Figure 1 shows fitness over time (in blue) and pipeline length over time (in red), and is (simplified) output that can be generated from the analysis log.

Related Work

There are already many AutoML systems, both open-source and closed-source. Amongst these are auto-sklearn (Feurer et al., 2015), TPOT (Olson et al., 2016), ML-Plan (Mohr, Wever, & Hüllermeier, 2018) and Auto-WEKA (Thornton, Hutter, Hoos, & Leyton-Brown, 2013). They differ in optimization strategy, programming language, target audience or underlying machine learning package.






Figure 1: A simplified visualization of the optimization process based on log data. The blue line indicates the moving average of performance over time (left y-axis, higher is better). The red line represents the moving average of number of steps in the pipeline over time (right y axis, lower is better).

Most closely related to GAMA is TPOT (Olson et al., 2016). TPOT is also a Python package performing AutoML based on genetic programming using scikit-learn pipelines. The major difference between the two is the evolutionary algorithm used. TPOT uses a synchronous evolutionary algorithm, whereas GAMA uses an asynchronous algorithm. Other differences include having a CLI (TPOT), exporting independent Python code (TPOT), direct ARFF support (GAMA) and visualization of the optimization process (GAMA). Further comparison is contained in GAMA's documentation.

Acknowledgements

This software was developed with support from the Data Driven Discovery of Models (D3M) program run by DARPA and the Air Force Research Laboratory.

References

Feurer, M., Klein, A., Eggensperger, K., Springenberg, J., Blum, M., & Hutter, F. (2015).
Efficient and robust automated machine learning. In C. Cortes, N. D. Lawrence, D. D. Lee,
M. Sugiyama, & R. Garnett (Eds.), Advances in neural information processing systems 28
(pp. 2962–2970). Curran Associates, Inc. Retrieved from http://papers.nips.cc/paper/ 5872-efficient-and-robust-automated-machine-learning.pdf

Mohr, F., Wever, M., & Hüllermeier, E. (2018). ML-plan: Automated machine learning via hierarchical planning. *Machine Learning*, 107(8-10), 1495–1515. doi:10.1007/s10994-018-5735-z

Olson, R. S., Urbanowicz, R. J., Andrews, P. C., Lavender, N. A., Kidd, L. C., & Moore, J. H. (2016). Applications of evolutionary computation: 19th european conference, evoapplications 2016, porto, portugal, march 30 – april 1, 2016, proceedings, part i. In G. Squillero & P. Burelli (Eds.), (pp. 123–137). Springer International Publishing. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-31204-0_9

Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., Blondel, M., et al. (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12, 2825–2830.

Thornton, C., Hutter, F., Hoos, H. H., & Leyton-Brown, K. (2013). Auto-WEKA: Combined selection and hyperparameter optimization of classification algorithms. In *Proc. of kdd-2013* (pp. 847–855).