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#### Abstract

Calixarene 0118 is a potent anti-angiogenic agent that effectively inhibited tumor growth in preclinical studies, and is currently being evaluated in a phase I clinical trial. We have designed two close mimetics of calixarene 0118 containing a terminal alkynyl-functional group, and developed an optimized semiautomated procedure for radiolabeling with $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoroethylazide using click chemistry. Following semi-preparative HPLC purification and formulation, the lower-rim modified analog $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$ and the equatorially labeled $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$ were obtained in $>97 \%$ radiochemical purity and overall decay-corrected isolated radiochemical yields of $18.7 \pm 2.7 \%(n=4)$ and $10.2 \pm 5.0 \%(n=4)$, respectively, in a total synthesis time of about 2 h . Preliminary in vivo studies in nude mice bearing human tumor xenografts revealed highest accumulation of both tracers in the liver, followed by spleen, kidney, lung and bone, with no substantial uptake in the tumor. Still, these first-in-class radiotracers are a valuable tool for pharmacokinetic profiling and improvement of calixarene-based anti-angiogenic therapeutics in the future, as similar radiolabeling strategies may be applied to other compounds in the calixarene series. The cold reference compounds of the radiotracers were characterized in terms of cytotoxicity and antiproliferative effects on HUVEC cells and on MA148 human ovarian carcinoma cells, along with the respective precursors, a small series of 0118 analogs modified with short-chain linear alkyl substituents, and a PEG $_{3}$-spaced calixarene dimer. While all of the new analogs proved at least equipotent to parent 0118, some of them inhibited HUVEC and MA148 cell growth almost 4- and 10-fold more effectively, rendering these analogs promising candidates for further evaluation in anti-angiogenic cancer therapy.


© 2014 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

[^0]
## 1. Introduction

In the past decades, drug-based cancer therapy has evolved from general cytotoxic chemotherapy to increasingly complex personalized treatment regimens using drugs matching the specific targets of a particular cancer. Beside new generations of antiproliferative cytotoxic drugs and anti-hormone therapies, an increasing number of anti-angiogenic agents - small molecules, peptides and therapeutic antibodies - have been developed and
many of those have progressed to the clinic [1]. Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels from pre-existing vasculature, is a crucial prerequisite for primary tumor growth above a size of about $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, and has also been found essential for development of metastases [2-4].

In the early years, most anti-angiogenic agents discovered were endogenous proteins that inhibit EC growth, e.g. platelet factor-4 (PF4), plasmin fragment angiostatin, collagen XVIII fragment endostatin, and bactericidal-permeability-increasing protein (BPI). Containing numerous hydrophobic and cationic residues, and a common antiparallel $\beta$-sheet motif, these proteins are characterized by a high level of compositional and structural similarity [5]. Based on these findings, a new generation of synthetic $\beta$-sheet forming peptide 33-mers have been designed, one of which, named anginex, showed particular potent anti-angiogenic activity in vitro and in vivo [6]. Anginex was found to inhibit tumor growth and reduce microvessel density both in murine melanoma and breast sarcoma models, and in athymic mice bearing different types of human tumor xenografts, among others LS174T colon adenocarcinoma, and MA148 and SKOV-3 ovarian carcinoma [7-10]. Extensive structure-activity studies established five hydrophobic residues in $\beta$-strands 1 and 2 on the same face of the amphipathic anti-parallel $\beta$-sheet structure of anginex as being essential for anti-proliferative activity, and formed the foundation for the design of a series of partial peptide mimetics containing a $\beta$-sheetinducing dibenzofuran (DBF) turn, some of which more effectively inhibited tumor growth in mice than anginex [11].

Although anginex and its partial peptide mimetic 6DBF7 have shown promising anti-tumor effects in vivo, non-peptidic compounds are generally known to be superior drugs, mainly because they potentially allow oral administration, typically lack an immune response, and can be optimized in terms of chemical and metabolic stability, resulting in a better pharmacokinetic profile. With the overall backbone dimensions of key residues in the twostranded beta-sheet of anginex roughly matching those of the calix [4]arene scaffold, calix[4]arene derivatives containing basic and hydrophobic substituents on the upper and lower rim, respectively, were envisioned as potential non-peptidic analogs of anginex.

Indeed, from a library of 23 substituted calix[4]arene analogs, two candidates, termed compound 0118 and 1097, proved to be potent inhibitors of angiogenesis in vitro, and were found to reduce tumor growth and microvessel density in B16 murine melanoma and MA148 xenografts in athymic mice [12]. While since its discovery, calixarene 0118 (Fig. 1) was known to display multimodal activities similar to anginex, such as inhibition of endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and induction of apoptosis [12], it was only recently that both calixarene 0118 [13] and anginex [14] were discovered to also target the same receptor, galectin- 1 (gal-1). Interestingly, SARdata from anginex and HSQC mapping studies with calixarene 0118 indicate that both ligands interact with gal-1 via their hydrophobic surfaces [11,13]. This is very different from the majority of galectin1 antagonists presently available, which are $\beta$-galactoside analogs and glycomimetics targeting the canonical $\beta$-galactoside carbohydrate binding site [15-17]. In fact, calixarene 0118 was established to act as a noncompetitive, allosteric inhibitor of gal-1, with its binding site being located at the back face of gal- 1 opposite to the $\beta$ galactoside binding site [13]. In the meantime, after successful completion of extensive preclinical studies [12,18], calixarene 0118 (also known as PTX008, OTX008) is currently being evaluated by OncoEthix in a phase I clinical trial in patients with malignant advanced solid tumors (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01724320) [17], and ongoing early discovery research has led to the identification of an even more potent analog, PTX013 [19].

Despite the promising in vitro and in vivo data collected for lead compound 0118 and others in the series, radiolabeled analogs have not been reported so far, although they may prove highly valuable, both as a research tool and companion diagnostic to study target engagement in vivo, and for pharmacokinetic profiling of this class of compounds. Designing radiolabeled 0118 analogs without introduction of major structural changes is an intrinsically difficult task, particularly in view of the stringent structural constraints established in previous SAR-studies [12,13] indicating that only minor modifications are tolerated at the hydrophobic upper rim of the calixarene, and the symmetric nature of the lower rim substituents, which is introduced already at a very early stage in the previously reported chemical syntheses of these compounds. We
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$\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$-Calixarene-2, $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$
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Fig. 1. Chemical structure of compound 0118 , novel 0118 analogs $\mathbf{1 1 a}-\mathbf{d}$, and radiotracers $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$.
have addressed these challenges by devising two alternative click chemistry based approaches building on the design of nonsymmetrical 0118 analogs containing a terminal alkynyl functional group, either as an equatorial substituent at a methylene bridge position or as a replacement of one of the four lower rim substituents. Copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) employing the well-established $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoroethylazide synthon then gave access to the corresponding radiolabeled triazole analogs, which structurally closely resemble the parent calixarene 0118 (Fig. 1).

Here, we report on the radiosynthesis of F - 18 labeled calixarene 0118 analogs, including extensive optimization of CuAAC reaction conditions for this type of compounds, and the chemical synthesis of the precursors and reference compounds. In addition, we employed our alkynyl-precursors to prepare a 0118 dimer interconnected by a $\mathrm{PEG}_{3}$-chain, and created a series of 0118 derivatives monosubstituted at an equatorial methylene bridge position with alkyl substituents of different chain length (Fig. 1). All compounds were characterized in terms of anti-proliferative activity in vitro, and the study was complemented by a preliminary in vivo investigation of both radiotracers in tumor-bearing mice.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

### 2.1.1. Synthesis of compound 0118 analogs with modified lower-rim substituents

Compared to compound 0118, synthesis of unsymmetrically substituted analogs is far more challenging, and a number of alternative routes towards click precursor $\mathbf{4}$ have been explored. The first pathway towards key intermediate $\mathbf{3}$ involves direct condensation of tetrahydroxycalix[4]arene $\mathbf{1}$ with ethyl bromoacetate to yield tri-functionalized compound 2a (Scheme 1, Route A). Although selective trialkylation of tetrahydroxycalix[4] arenes had been reported in the presence $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and BaO [20], attempts using similar conditions to convert 1 with ethyl bromoacetate into $\mathbf{2 a}$ resulted in incomplete conversion and concomitant hydrolysis of the ester groups, which has also been observed by Shimizu et al. [21]. To avoid ester hydrolysis, the
condensation was repeated with BaO only without the addition of $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which gave $\mathbf{2 a}$, but only in poor yield (12\%) due to complications in the extraction process possibly arising from complex-formation with BaO . When $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ was used as the base, no ester cleavage was observed but the conversion of the reaction was incomplete and mainly bis-alkylated material was isolated. To check the principal feasibility of the first approach, intermediate $2 \mathbf{2 a}$ was reacted with 4-bromobut-1-yne in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and NaI in acetonitrile under reflux. Disappointingly, however, the reaction did not proceed and only starting material was recovered.

Since preparation of the alkyne-functionalized key intermediate 3 via the originally devised route was not successful due to insufficient reactivity of 4-bromobut-1-yne in the final alkylation step, it was decided to switch to an alternative approach based on selective monoalkylation with 4-bromobut-1-yne in the first step, and subsequent alkylation of the remaining hydroxyl-groups with ethyl bromoacetate (Scheme 1, Route B). Employing published procedures for monoalkylation of tetrahydroxycalix[4]arene $\mathbf{1}$ with benzyl bromide [22] and various alkyl halides [23], reaction with 4-bromobut-1-yne proved to be very slow. To increase the yield and prevent formation of bis-alkylated material, 4-iodobut-1-yne was used instead of 4-bromobut-1-yne, and a number of different bases $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{KOtBu}, \mathrm{NaOMe}\right)$ and solvents (acetonitrile, DMF) were tested. Finally, an optimized procedure was developed comprising addition of multiple portions of NaOMe , which led to a conversion of $49 \%$ and allowed isolation of a 3:1 mixture of target compound $\mathbf{2 b}$ (26\% isolated yield) and starting material 1. Since removal of the remaining starting material proved difficult, this mixture was directly used in the next step without further purification. Treatment with excess ethyl bromoacetate in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ gave compound $\mathbf{3}$ in $67 \%$ yield after repeated purification by silica column chromatography, although the material still contained impurities. The partly purified material was then reacted with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ dimethylethylenediamine similar to published methods [24], and the crude material purified by preparative HPLC to obtain target compound 4 in a purity of $>99 \%$. Copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) of crude 4 with freshly prepared 2fluoroethylazide 5 [25] followed by preparative HPLC yielded reference compound 6 in a purity of $>99 \%$.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of click precursor 4 and reference compound 6.


Scheme 2. Synthetic strategy towards equatorially substituted calixarene 0118 analogs.
2.1.2. Synthesis of equatorially substituted compound 0118 analogs

While focus of earlier work in calixarene chemistry was primarily on modification of lower and upper rim positions, fewer reports address the preparation of calixarenes functionalized at the methylene bridge, and synthesis of these compounds was traditionally achieved by fragment condensation instead of introduction of substituents on the fully formed calixarene. The discovery of a new method for monosubstitution of calix[4]arenes at the methylene bridge position via lithiation followed by reaction with electrophiles [26] has made these class of compounds more readily accessible, and triggered us to evaluate its applicability for the preparation of a series of equatorially monosubstituted compound 0118 analogs. Starting directly from tetramethoxycalix[4]arene 7 instead of its upper-rim $p$-tert-butyl analog employed in the original procedure, the desired series of 2 -alkyl substituted tetramethoxycalix[4]arenes 8a-d were obtained in good yields from the corresponding alkyl iodides and propargyl bromide, respectively (Scheme 2). Interestingly, a lower excess of $n$-BuLi ( 1.15 equiv) as employed in a more recent report [27] resulted in significantly lower yields for the present series of compounds, and efficient reaction apparently did only occur when using a large excess of $n$ BuLi (4.5 equiv.) and alkyl halide ( 9 equiv.) as described in the original work [26]. Removal of the methoxy-protecting groups with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ yielded 2-alkyl-25,26,27,28-tetrahydroxycalix[4]arenes $\mathbf{9 a} \mathbf{- d}$, which were reacted with excess ethyl bromoacetate in the presence of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to the corresponding tetraacetates 10a-d. While in previously reported procedures [22,28,29], $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was used as the base, we found that $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ favors formation of the desired cone conformer in higher yields. Final isolated yields, however, were on average only about $30 \%$ due to substantial losses during purification by silica column chromatography, which was required to obtain the
compounds in high purity. Reaction with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethylenediamine [24] afforded the corresponding compound 0118 analogs 11a-d in $80-99 \%$ yield and $>90 \%$ purity. In summary, starting from tetrahydroxycalix[4]arene 1, the 5-step synthetic pathway provided the equatorially monoalkylated 0118 analogs 11a-d in overall yields ranging from $11 \%$ to $24 \%$. To ensure high purity ( $>99 \%$ ) of the test compounds for the biological assays, part of the material was further purified by preparative HPLC.

### 2.1.3. Synthesis of a compound 0118 analog with a single equatorial

 triazole moiety and a triazole-PEG-tethered 0118 dimerCopper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition of propargylmodified 0118 derivative 11d with 2-fluoroethylazide 5 cleanly provided the corresponding triazole analog 13 in $72 \%$ yield, and an analogous procedure using di-azide 12 ( 0.5 equiv with respect to alkyne 11d) furnished the PEG $_{3}$-tethered 0118 dimer 14, which was obtained in $47 \%$ yield after direct purification of the reaction mixture by preparative HPLC (Scheme 3). This result is in agreement with an earlier report employing Sharpless' conditions [30] with a lower-rim monopropargyl calix[4]arene [31], while difficulties were encountered when using these conditions for lowerrim tetrapropargyl calix[4]arenes [29].

### 2.2. Cytotoxicity studies (cell viability studies)

Functional efficacy of the new analogs in terms of cytotoxicity and inhibition of cell proliferation was assessed by employing a colorimetric cell viability assay (for details, see Section 4.2). Doseresponse curves obtained after incubation of human MA148 ovarian carcinoma cells and HUVEC with increasing concentrations of the analogs are expressed as the percentage of cell survival


Scheme 3. CuAAC-reaction for preparation of reference compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ and calixarene 0118 dimer $\mathbf{1 4}$.


Fig. 2. Effect of new compound 0118 analogs on cell viability of MA148 human ovarian carcinoma cells (A, B) and HUVEC (C, D) as assessed by a colorimetric assay (see Section 4.2 in the experimental section). Data are reported as mean $\pm$ SEM relative to untreated control (100\%).

Table 1
IC $_{50}$ values ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of new 0118 analogs on cell viability.

|  | 0118 | $\mathbf{1 1 a}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 c}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 d}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HUVEC | 30 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 15 | 8 | 30 |
| MA148 | 2 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 0.5 |

relative to control with vehicle only (Fig. 2). The inhibitory potency of the analogs may be compared based on their respective $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values extrapolated from these curves, which are summarized in Table 1. Results indicate that while all of the new 0118 calixarene analogs are at least equipotent to parent 0118 , some of them do inhibit HUVEC and MA148 cell survival almost 4- and 10-fold more potently. All of the equatorially mono-alkylated calixarenes decrease cell viability more effectively than does the parent compound, with potency in the HUVEC-series increasing with increasing alkyl chain length from the methyl-substituted derivative 11a to the pentyl-substituted derivative 11c. Calixarenes 6 and $\mathbf{1 3}$, the cold analogs of radiotracers $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$, were found to share a similar inhibition pattern, i.e., $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$-values for HUVEC cell
growth were comparable to parent compound 0118, while both analogs showed improved potency towards MA148 cell growth. Furthermore, for both cell lines, the PEG3-linked calixarene dimer 14 only results in slightly more than twice the inhibitory effect of the monomeric 0118.

### 2.3. Radiochemistry

Radiolabeled compound 0118 derivatives [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ (Fig. 1) were prepared in a two-step reaction sequence (Scheme 4) involving radiosynthesis of the intermediate $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] f l \mathrm{llo}-$ roethylazide [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{5}$ followed by CuAAC click coupling to the corresponding alkyne-modified calix[4]arenes 4 (Scheme 1) and 11d (Schemes 2 and 3 ), respectively. The labeling agent $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] f l u o-$ roethylazide was prepared by nucleophilic fluorination of 2 -azidoethyl-4-toluenesulfonate (15) [32] in an automated system using a slightly modified procedure based on previously published methods [25,33]. After purification by co-distillation with acetonitrile at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a flow of argon into a receiver vial pre-cooled to $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] f l u o r o e t h y l a z i d e ~\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 5$ was obtained in $53 \pm 5 \%$


Scheme 4. Radiosynthesis of F-18 labeled calixarenes [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$, and chemical structure of ligands employed in the CuAAC-reaction.
( $n=10$ ) decay-corrected isolated radiochemical yield starting from $\left[{ }^{18}\right.$ F]fluoride in a total synthesis time of 60 min .

Conditions for the click reaction with propargyl-calix[4]arene 11d were optimized in terms of reaction time, temperature, amount of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} / \mathrm{Na}$-ascorbate, and addition of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ stabilizing ligands BPDS, TBTA, and Monophos (Table 2). Initial test reactions based on the procedure of Kobus et al. [33] using 0.5 equiv. of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ and 5 equiv. of Na -ascorbate with respect to alkyne 11d showed maximum conversions after 15 min of less than $10 \%$ at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and about $40 \%$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2, entries 1 and 9 ). Intrigued by the dramatic rate accelerations reported for CuAACreactions in the presence of BPDS [34-36], TBTA [34,36], and

Table 2
Optimization of CuAAC conditions - effect of temperature, ligands, catalyst load, and reaction time. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Entry | $T$$\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} /$ $\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{asc}$. (equiv.) | Ligand (equiv.) | Buffer <br> (pH) | Analytical yield (\%) at: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 15 min | 30 min |
| 1 | 23 | 0.5/5 | - | Phosphate (6.0) | 8.3 | 16.1 |
| 2 | 23 | 0.5/5 | BPDS (0.55) | Phosphate (6.0) | 7.7 | 10.7 |
| 3 | 23 | 0.5/5 | MonoPhos (0.55) | Phosphate (6.0) | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 4 | 23 | 0.5/5 | TBTA (0.55) | Phosphate (6.0) | 19.4 | $35.2 \pm 2.4^{\text {b }}$ |
| 5 | 23 | 0.5/5 | BPDS (0.55) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tris/ } \mathrm{HCl} \\ & (8.5) \end{aligned}$ | $26.4 \pm 3.2^{\text {b }}$ | $43.0 \pm 0.3^{\text {b }}$ |
| 6 | 23 | 3/30 | - | Phosphate (6.0) | 38.4 | 67.5 |
| $7{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 23 | 3/30 | - | Phosphate (6.0) | $1.1 \pm 0.4^{\text {b }}$ | $1.8 \pm 0.2^{\text {b }}$ |
| 8 | 23 | 3/30 | BPDS (3.30) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tris/ } / \mathrm{HCl} \\ & (8.5) \end{aligned}$ | 98.7 | 98.5 |
| 9 | 80 | 0.5/5 | - | Phosphate (6.0) | $42.2 \pm 2.8^{\text {c }}$ | $52.1 \pm 8.5^{\text {c }}$ |
| 10 | 80 | 0.5/5 | BPDS (0.55) | Phosphate (6.0) | $63.7 \pm 1.3^{\text {d }}$ | $71.8 \pm 7.6^{\text {d }}$ |
| 11 | 80 | 0.5/5 | MonoPhos (0.55) | Phosphate (6.0) | $45.2 \pm 9.0^{\text {d }}$ | $73.2 \pm 10.3^{\text {d }}$ |
| 12 | 80 | 0.5/5 | TBTA (0.55) | Phosphate (6.0) | 65.4 | 78.9 |
| 13 | 80 | 0.5/5 | BPDS (0.55) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tris/HCl } \\ & (8.5) \end{aligned}$ | $9.6 \pm 1.5^{\text {b }}$ | $12.2 \pm 2.0^{\text {b }}$ |
| 14 | 80 | 3/30 | - | Phosphate (6.0) | $98.9 \pm 0.2^{\text {b }}$ | - |
| $15^{\text {g }}$ | 80 | 3/30 | - | Phosphate (6.0) | $88.1 \pm 10.2^{\text {c }}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{1 6}^{\text {g.h }}$ | 80 | 3/30 | - | Phosphate (6.0) | $87.5 \pm 11.6^{\text {e }}$ | - |

[^1]MonoPhos [37], we set out to investigate the effect of these ligands (Scheme 4) on the click reaction of [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{5}$ with propargyl-calix[4] arene 11d. Using conditions identical to the initial test reactions without ligand (Table 2, entries 1 and 9 ), at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, only addition of TBTA led to a noteworthy increase in reaction rate (Table 2, entry 4 versus entry 1 ), while at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ both TBTA and BPDS resulted in similar improvement of analytical yield from about $40 \%$ without ligand to $>60 \%$ with addition of ligand after 15 min (Table 2, entries 12 and 10 versus entry 9 ). Still, for ${ }^{18}$ F-radiolabeling using click chemistry, preferably $>90 \%$ analytical yields should be obtained within reaction times up to 15 min . As an alternative option, we therefore investigated whether higher rate accelerations could be obtained by increasing the copper concentration. Indeed, using a 3fold molar excess of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ with respect to alkyne precursor 11d, almost 5 times higher analytical yields were obtained at room temperature (Table 2, entry 6 versus entry 1 ), and essentially quantitative product formation was observed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, both with alkyne precursor 11d (Table 2, entry 14 and 15) and with alkyne precursor 4 (Table 2, entry 16).

Interestingly, when using an aqueous solution of alkyne precursor 11d instead of the DMSO stock solution, the room temperature reaction apparently did not proceed at all (Table 2, entry 7). Although water is generally known to accelerate the CuAAC reaction [ $30,37,38$ ], in this particular case, DMSO apparently plays an important role as a co-solvent. While large rate accelerations in the presence of BPDS have also been reported at pH 5 [35], in a systematic study comparing performance of various CuAAC-ligands at different pH -values, Lewis et al. [36] have observed fastest rates with BPDS at pH 8.5 . Intrigued by their findings, we investigated whether quantitative yields could be obtained for our system using BPDS in the same buffer ( $\mathrm{Tris} / \mathrm{HCl}$ ) at pH 8.5 . Albeit at room temperature, yields for the reaction with 0.5 equiv. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ triplicated when employing Tris/HCl-buffer pH 8.5 instead of phosphate buffer pH 6.0 (Table 2 , entry 5 versus entry 2 ), just the opposite trend was observed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, where yields dropped down to about $10 \%$, far below the $64 \%$ yield obtained with phosphate buffer (Table 2, entry 13 versus entry 10 ), presumably due to competing side reactions with Tris base at the higher temperature. Although quantitative yields were obtained with the Tris-based buffer system at ambient temperature when increasing the $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} /$ alkyne and BPDS/alkyne molar ratio to 3 and 3.3 , respectively (Table 2, entry 8), analytical HPLC-data of the crude reaction mixtures showed a cleaner reaction profile of the ligand-free reaction at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2, entry 14) compared to the ligand supported reaction at room temperature. We therefore decided to employ the ligand-free reaction for routine production of the tracers for animal studies on our automated system.

In the semi-automated procedure, $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoroethylazide $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 5$ was directly distilled into the pre-cooled receiver vial already containing the DMSO-solution of alkyne precursors 11d and 4, respectively. As soon as distillation was complete, the receiver vial was quickly warmed to room temperature, and the freshly made aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} / \mathrm{Na}$-ascorbate solution was manually added immediately after its preparation. Following reaction for 15 min at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while stirring with a magnetic stirring bar, the mixture was diluted with water acidified with $0.1 \%$ TFA, and injected into the semi-preparative HPLC system. In a number of experiments, radiochemical conversion was determined by analytical HPLC of the diluted crude reaction mixture. Different from the quantitative conversions observed in the test reactions (Table 2, entry 14), yields were sometimes lower and more variable (Table 2 , entry 15 ), which is possibly related to differences in the acetonitrile concentration of the click reaction mixture arising from subtle inter-experimental variations in the distillation step, confirming earlier reports on the negative effect of
higher acetonitrile concentrations on radiochemical conversions in the CuAAC reaction [35]. Finally, the product fraction from the semi-preparative HPLC-run was collected, trapped on a C8SepPak, and formulated in ethanol to obtain radiotracers [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$ in overall decay-corrected isolated radiochemical yields of $18.7 \pm 2.7 \%(n=4)$ and $10.2 \pm 5.0 \%(n=4)$, respectively. Radiochemical purity of both tracers was always $>97 \%$ and specific activity generally $>5 \mathrm{GBq} / \mu \mathrm{mol}$. Typically, $400-700 \mathrm{MBq}$ of formulated radiotracers were obtained from 6 to 8 GBq of [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathrm{F}^{-}$ eluted from the QMA-cartridge in a total synthesis time of less than 2 h .

### 2.4. Distribution coefficient and radiotracer stability

Log $D$-values in 1-octanol/PBS pH 7.4 as determined by the shake flask method were +0.8 and -0.1 for the lower-rim and upper-rim $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoroethyltriazole-substituted compound 0118 analogs $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$, respectively. Evidently, at pH 7.4 , the presence of an additional lower-rim substituent containing a protonatable trialkylamino-group results in an approximate 10 -fold reduced lipophilicity of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$ compared to $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$.

Both radiotracers proved highly stable in PBS pH 7.4 and mouse serum at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After incubation of either $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$ or $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$ for 3 h , more than $98 \%$ of intact tracer was found both in PBS and mouse serum. Tracer binding to serum proteins after acetonitrile precipitation was negligible, as over $94 \%$ of total radioactivity was recovered in the supernatant of the mouse serum samples after centrifugation.

### 2.5. Biodistribution and dynamic PET studies

For an initial assessment of tumor targeting potential and their pharmacokinetic profile, preliminary in vivo studies with radiolabeled 0118 analogs $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$ were conducted in mice bearing galectin-1 expressing MDA-MB-231-LITG tumors. Biodistribution results obtained 3 h after intravenous injection of [ ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$ ] $\mathbf{6}$ ( $0.2-0.3 \mathrm{MBq}$, corresponding to about $60 \mathrm{ng}(63 \mathrm{pmol})$ of $\mathbf{6}$ ) and $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13(0.3-0.4 \mathrm{MBq}$, corresponding to about $100 \mathrm{ng}(94 \mathrm{pmol})$ of 13) indicate highest uptake of both tracers in the liver, followed by spleen, kidneys, lung, and bone, with only low retention in other organs, including the tumor (Fig. 3A). Evidently, the hepatobiliary route plays an important role for the clearance of both tracers, with the higher accumulation of [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ in the intestine suggesting faster hepatobiliary excretion of this radiotracer compared to [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ (Fig. 3B and Supplementary Table 2). Conversely, as a result of the slower excretion of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ from the liver and the faster clearance from blood (after 3 h , blood levels of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ were $0.76 \pm 0.09 \% \mathrm{ID} / \mathrm{g}$ versus $0.05 \pm 0.01 \% \mathrm{ID} / \mathrm{g}$ for $\left.\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}\right)$, radioactivity levels in the liver are almost threefold higher for $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ compared to [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ (Fig. 3A). Further differences between the tracers include the almost twofold higher uptake of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ in the lung, while $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ leads to about twofold higher bone uptake, suggesting faster radiodefluorination of the tracer in vivo (Fig. 3A). Blood clearance of $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}(\sim 3.5 \mathrm{MBq}$, ca. $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathbf{6})$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}(\sim 8.5 \mathrm{MBq}$, ca. $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of 13) followed bi-phasic exponential decay kinetics, with short initial half-lives $\left(t_{1 / 2, \alpha}\right)$ of 1.2 min and 1.6 min , and a subsequent slower elimination phase with $t_{1 / 2, \beta}$ of 74 min and 12 min , respectively (Fig. 3 C and Supplementary Table 3). For both tracers, the major part was cleared from the blood by distribution to tissues and extravascular spaces in the $\alpha$-phase ( $63 \%$ for $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ versus $79 \%$ for $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ ). The slower blood clearance of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$ in the elimination phase is paralleled by the higher lipophilicity of this tracer, presumably giving rise to increased plasma protein binding [39]. Alternatively, the slower clearance may partly be due to enterohepatic recycling, i.e., intestinal reabsorption of the tracer after biliary excretion [40], a
mechanism supported by the predominant and fast hepatobiliary clearance of [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, biodistribution data (3 h post injection) revealed that the higher dose of cold compound administered for the blood kinetics study ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ versus $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), resulted in a $25 \%$ reduced liver uptake of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$, while at the same time kidney uptake was doubled (Supplementary Table 1).

To assess whether higher tumor uptake and tumor-to-organ ratios could be obtained at earlier time points, we conducted dynamic PET-CT studies. PET-images were reconstructed starting from 5 min post tracer injection in timeframes of $5 \times 1 \mathrm{~min}, 4 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}$, $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$, and $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~min}$. Following co-registration of PET- and CT-scans and identification of VOIs in tumor and muscle based on manual segmentation of the CT-images, tracer levels in both tissues were quantified for the different timeframes. Calculated tumor-tomuscle ratios based on these values were below 1 for $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ and between 1.5 and 2 in case of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ for all timeframes investigated. Notably, the more rapid hepatobiliary clearance of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$ compared to $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ noted in the biodistribution studies was confirmed in the dynamic PET-scans, which showed immediate uptake in the liver, followed by redistribution to the gallbladder and substantial accumulation of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$ in the intestines already after 30 min (Fig. 4A). In contrast, intestinal uptake of the more hydrophilic [ ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$ ] 13 was slower, while at the same time excretion via the bladder was more pronounced (Fig. 4B).

## 3. Conclusion

We have designed two close mimetics of galectin- 1 targeting antitumor agent calixarene 0118 amenable to radiolabeling with 2$\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] f l u o r o e t h y l a z i d e ~ v i a ~ s t r a i g h t f o r w a r d ~ c l i c k ~ c h e m i s t r y . ~ F o l l o w i n g ~$ optimization of reaction conditions and translation to a semiautomated procedure, the lower-rim radiolabeled $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$ and the equatorially modified $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$ were obtained in $18.7 \pm 2.7 \%(n=4)$ and $10.2 \pm 5.0 \%(n=4)$ decay-corrected isolated radiochemical yield and $>97 \%$ radiochemical purity after semi-preparative HPLC purification and formulation. In vitro stability studies in mouse serum indicated only negligible binding to serum proteins and excellent stability. Preliminary in vivo studies in mice bearing galectin-1 expressing MDA-tumor xenografts showed highest uptake of both tracers in the liver, followed by spleen, kidneys, lung and bone, with only low retention in other organs, including the tumor. Nevertheless, these new radiotracers may become a valuable tool for pharmacokinetic profiling and further development of this class of calixarene-based therapeutics in the future.

Building on the synthetic methodology developed for preparation of the cold reference compounds of the radiotracers $(\mathbf{6}, 13)$ and their precursors ( $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1 1 d}$ ), we also created a small series of 0118 analogs (11a-c) containing a single alkyl substituent at an equatorial position on one of the methylene groups, and a $\mathrm{PEG}_{3}$-spaced calixarene dimer (14). Characterization of these eight 0118 derivatives in terms of cytotoxicity and anti-proliferative effects on HUVEC cells and MA148 human ovarian carcinoma cells indicated that all analogs were at least equipotent to lead compound 0118 , while some of them were almost 4 - to 10 -times more potent inhibitors of HUVEC- and MA148 cell growth, respectively. As such, the new analogs may hold potential as a new generation antiangiogenic agents in cancer therapy with improved potency.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. Chemistry

### 4.1.1. General methods and materials

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources (Sigma-Aldrich, Acros, Biosolve, Merck, and Cambridge


Fig. 3. Ex vivo biodistribution and blood clearance: Uptake of radiotracers $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ ( $0.2-0.3 \mathrm{MBq}, \mathrm{ca} .60 \mathrm{ng}$ of $\mathbf{6}$ ) and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}(0.3-0.4 \mathrm{MBq}$, ca. 100 ng of $\mathbf{1 3})$ in selected organs and tissues of mice bearing MDA-MB-231-LITG tumor xenografts 3 h after intravenous injection, expressed as percentage of injected dose per gram of tissue (\% ID/g) (A), and percentage of injected dose per organ (\%ID/organ) (B); stomach, small and large intestines were measured including content. Blood clearance of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ $(\sim 3.5 \mathrm{MBq}$, ca. $1 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13(\sim 8.5 \mathrm{MBq}$, ca. $1 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ fitted to a two-phase exponential decay function (C). Data are mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}\left(n=3 ;{ }^{*} P<0.05 ;{ }^{* *} P<0.005 ;{ }^{* * *} P<0.0005\right)$.

Isotope Laboratories for deuterated solvents) and were used without further purification unless stated otherwise. 25,26,27,28-Tetrahydroxy-calix[4]arene $\mathbf{1}$ was from Carbosynth Limited (UK), 1,11-diazido-3,6,9-trioxaundecane 12 from Toronto Research


Fig. 4. Dynamic PET-scans: Whole-body PET/CT-images of mice bearing MDA-MB-231-LITG tumor xenografts in the left hind leg. Mice were injected with $12-13 \mathrm{MBq}$ of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}(\mathrm{A})$ or $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ (B), and list-mode PET data was reconstructed for the indicated timeframes. PET-data in the images are maximum intensity projections.

Chemicals Inc. (Canada), and 2-fluoroethyl 4methylbenzenesulfonate (precursor of 5) was from Molekula (UK). Unless stated otherwise, all reactions were performed in dried glassware under nitrogen atmosphere. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX300, a Bruker Avance600, a Varian VNMRS spectrometer, or a Varian MP300 spectrometer. Chemical shift values are reported in $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ relative to tetramethylsilane as the internal standard (TMS: $\delta=0 \mathrm{ppm}$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and $\delta=0 \mathrm{ppm}$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ), or referenced to the residual solvent peaks $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 7.26\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and $\delta 77.0$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR multiplicities are abbreviated as follows: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, $\mathrm{dt}=$ doublet of triplets, $\mathrm{bs}=$ broad singlet. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR multiplicities ( $\mathrm{q}=$ quaternary, $\mathrm{t}=$ tertiary, $\mathrm{s}=$ secondary, and $\mathrm{p}=$ primary) were distinguished using a DEPT pulse sequence or an attached proton test (APT). Preparative column chromatography was performed on a Combiflash Companion apparatus (Teledyne Isco) employing pre-packed silica cartridges from Grace (USA). High-resolution ESI mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on an Agilent ESI-TOF mass spectrometer.

Preparative HPLC was performed on two different systems. System A: Agilent 1200 apparatus, equipped with a C18 Zorbax column ( $21.2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) applying a linear gradient of acetonitrile (B) in water (A), both containing $0.1 \%$ TFA. Gradient details: $30 \%$ B ( $0 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{~min}$ ), $30 \%$ B $\rightarrow 50 \%$ B ( $5 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 12 \mathrm{~min}$ ), $50 \%$ B ( $12 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 15 \mathrm{~min}$ ), $50 \%$ B $\rightarrow 95 \%$ B ( $15 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 16 \mathrm{~min}$ ), $95 \%$ B $(16 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 18 \mathrm{~min}), 95 \% \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow 30 \% \mathrm{~B}(18 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 19 \mathrm{~min}), 30 \%$ B
$(19 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 22 \mathrm{~min})$. Flow: $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Injection volume: 0.5 mL . UV detection: $215 \mathrm{~nm}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}$. System B: Instrument: Agilent 1100 series, equipped with a Gemini NX $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ 100A Axia column ( $30 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) employing a linear gradient of acetonitrile (B) in aqueous 20 mM ammoniumbicarbonate (A). Gradient details: 50\%B ( $0 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~min}$ ), $50 \%$ B $\rightarrow 95 \%$ B ( $3 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 9 \mathrm{~min}$ ), $95 \%$ B ( $9 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Flow: $40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Injection volume: $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. UV detection: $215 \mathrm{~nm}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}$.

Analytical HPLC-MS was carried out on System C: Agilent 1100 series with UV detector and HP 1100 mass TOF detector, equipped with a Kinetex C18 ( $50 \times 2.10 \mathrm{~mm} ; 2.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) column, variable wavelength UV-detector, and API ES TOF positive and negative mass detection. Column temperature: $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Flow: $0.60 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Injection volume: $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Mobile phase: 9.65 g ammonium acetate, $2250 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{O}, 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ methanol, 100 mL acetonitrile (eluent A); 9.65 g ammonium acetate, $250 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1350 \mathrm{~mL}$ methanol, 900 mL acetonitrile (eluent B).

### 4.1.2. 25,26,27-Trihydroxy-28-(3'-butynyloxy)calix[4]arene (2b)

To $25,26,27,28$-tetrahydroxycalix[4]-arene $\mathbf{1}(4 \mathrm{~g}, 9.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 220 mL ) was added freshly prepared NaOMe ( 600 mg , 11.12 mmol ). The mixture was refluxed for 30 min , cooled and 4 -iodobut-1-yne ( $3.29 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 40 mL ) was added (4-iodobut-1-yne was freshly prepared from NaI ( 7.2 g , 48 mmol ) and 4-bromobut-1-yne ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at reflux for 1 h$)$. The mixture was refluxed overnight and the conversion was checked by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (24\%). Additional NaOMe ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 7.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was refluxed over the weekend (conversion $32 \%$ ). Additional NaOMe ( 400 mg , 7.41 mmol ) was added and the mixture was stirred at reflux for another 48 h . NMR analysis showed a conversion of $39 \%$. Then additional freshly prepared $\mathrm{NaOMe}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 7.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was refluxed for another 48 h . Conversion (49\%) and also $5 \%$ bis-alkylated material was formed. Then the mixture was worked up by evaporation of the solvent. Dichloromethane $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue and the mixture was washed with water ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After evaporation of the organic solvents an off-white solid was isolated. The title compound was isolated as a mixture with unreacted 25,26,27,28-tetrahydroxycalix[4]arene. The material was stirred in ethyl acetate ( 15 mL ) and the solid was filtered. The mother liquor was evaporated and 1.5 g of crude product was isolated. According to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR this sample contains approx. $75 \%$ of the title compound (corresponding to 1.16 g , $2.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \%$ yield of $\mathbf{2 b}$ ) and $25 \%$ of starting material. The solid was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}$, $4 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.06\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{4} J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.23(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 151.32$ (q), 151.05 (q), 149.45 (q), 134.34 (q), 129.69 (q), 129.23 (q), 129.11 (q), 129.02 (q), 128.66 (q), 128.59 (t), 128.49 ( t$), 126.58$ (q), 122.20 ( t$) 121.15(\mathrm{t}), 80.42$ ( q$)$, 74.60 (t), 71.28 ( s , 32.15 ( s ), 31.66 ( s$), 20.35$ ( s$).$
4.1.3. 25,26,27-Tri[(ethoxycarbonyl)methoxy]-28-(3'-butynyloxy) calix[4]arene (3)

To a solution of $\mathbf{2 b}$ ( 1.5 g with purity $75 \%$, corresponding to 2.43 mmol of $\mathbf{2 b}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 20 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(964 \mathrm{mg}$, 6.98 mmol ). The mixture was stirred for 30 min and then an excess of ethyl bromoacetate ( $2.63 \mathrm{~g}, 15.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was heated to $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h . After cooling, the acetonitrile was evaporated. The residue was taken up in dichloromethane $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After separation the organic layer was evaporated. The impure compound was purified by column chromatography (silicagel, dichloromethane). Several impure fractions were isolated. The first
combined fractions ( 900 mg ) contained product and by-products. The second batch of combined fractions was enriched in product $(1 \mathrm{~g})$ and the third batch $(500 \mathrm{mg})$ was a combination of fractions which contained mainly tetraethyl ester. The first batch was purified by column chromatography again on silicagel with dichloromethane to remove by-products and then ethyl acetate:heptanes $=1: 2$ to elute the product. Approximately 450 mg of a mixture was isolated which was enriched in product. This material was combined with the 1 g batch. A total of 1.45 g of material was purified again by silica column chromatography using a mixture of ethyl acetate:heptanes $=6: 1$ followed by ethyl acetate:heptanes $=4: 1$ to obtain the title compound ( 1.2 g , $1.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ ). Unfortunately, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis still indicated presence of some impurities. A 300 mg batch was used in the next step without further purification. The remainder ( 900 mg ) was again purified by repeated silica column chromatography, first using dichloromethane followed by ethyl acetate:heptanes $=1: 4$, then toluene:ethyl acetate $=95: 5$ to obtain the pure title compound ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and a slightly less pure fraction ( 260 mg , 0.35 mmol ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.79-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.57-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.80-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $4.28\left(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.24\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.10(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.92$ $\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.97\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.27-1.36$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.41$ (q), 170.11 (q), 156.59 (q), 156.34 (q), 155.53 (q), 135.62 (q), 135.10 (q), 134.61 (q), 134.25 (q), $128.93(\mathrm{t}), 128.77(\mathrm{t}), 128.68(\mathrm{t}), 128.57(\mathrm{t}), 123.07(\mathrm{t}), 122.93(\mathrm{t})$, 82.19 (q), 72.74 (s), 71.79 (s), 71.70 (s), 69.36 ( t$), 60.96$ (s), 60.79 (s), 31.48 (s), 31.29 (s), 20.03 (s), 14.50 (p), 14.44 (p). Analytical HPLC-MS (system C), gradient: $20 \%$ B $\rightarrow 95 \%$ B ( $0 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 1.5 \mathrm{~min}$ ), $95 \%$ B ( $1.5 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 4.0 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Retention time: 3.65 min . Purity $>99.99 \%$ (UV, 215, 254 nm ). MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ : 734.31; MS (API-ES TOF Pos): $m / z 752\left[M+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}, 757[M+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

### 4.1.4. 25,26,27-Tris-N-(N,N-dimethyl-2-aminoethyl)

 carbamoylmethoxy-28-(3'-butynyloxy)calix[4]arene (4)To powdered $\mathbf{3}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added under nitrogen $N, N$-dimethylethylenediamine ( 5 mL ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and was then stirred for 48 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The excess of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethylenediamine was removed by evaporation under reduced pressure. A sample of 220 mg was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (unstabilized, concentration of crude $70 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and purified by preparative HPLC on system B (see general methods). Fractions containing the product ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.4 \mathrm{~min}$; broad peak) were pooled and evaporated at the rotary evaporator to remove the acetonitrile. The water was removed by freeze-drying to obtain the pure target compound as an off-white foam ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 128 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, c.y. $38 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.74-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.60-6.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 4.57\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.56-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.56-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.75\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.53(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.45\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.09$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.58$ (q), 169.46 (q), 156.11 (q), 155.60 (q), 155.47 (q), 135.21 (q), 134.92 (q), 133.94 (q), $133.80(\mathrm{q}), 129.18(\mathrm{t}), 129.00(\mathrm{t}), 128.85(\mathrm{t}), 128.71(\mathrm{t}), 123.29(\mathrm{t})$, 123.22 (t), 81.81 (q), 74.31 ( s$), 74.15$ ( s$), 72.61$ ( s$), 70.47$ ( t$), 58.31$ ( s ), 58.18 (s), 57.98 (s), 45.51 (p), 45.44 (p), 45.29 (p), 37.26 (s), 37.17 (s), 35.65 (s), 31.32 (s), 31.07 (s), 19.94 (s). Analytical HPLC-MS (system C), gradient: $20 \%$ B $\rightarrow 90 \%$ B ( $0 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 1.0 \mathrm{~min}$ ), $90 \%$ B $\rightarrow 100 \%$ B $(1.0 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 3.5 \mathrm{~min}), 100 \%$ B ( $3.5 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 4.0 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Retention time: 2.03 min . Purity $99.64 \%$ (UV, 218 nm ). MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : 860.48; MS (API-ES TOF Pos): $m / z 431[M+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 861$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 883[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; MS (API-ES TOF Neg): m/z $859[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 919$ $\left[M-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right]^{-}$.
4.1.5. 25,26,27-Tris- $N$-(N,N-dimethyl-2-aminoethyl) carbamoylmethoxy-28-\{2'-[1-(2-fluorethyl)-1H-[1,2,3]triazo-4-yl] ethyloxy]calix[4]arene (6)

To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \times 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(21.3 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{L}-$ ascorbic acid ( $30.3 \mathrm{mg}, 172 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in water ( 1 mL ) under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere was added a solution of crude $4(50 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ dimethylformamide ( 0.7 mL ). After addition of 2-fluoroethylazide 5 ( $5.09 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ in 1 mL of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide; solution freshly prepared following published procedures [25]), the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, and then evaporated to dryness. The solid residue was treated with dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) and water ( 5 mL ). A few drops of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M})$ were added. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was again extracted with dichloromethane ( 5 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with water ( 5 mL ) and evaporated in vacuo. The crude material ( 40 mg ) was taken up in tetrahydrofuran (unstabilized, $60 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, injection volume $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and purified by preparative HPLC on system B (see general methods). Fractions containing the product ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.8 \mathrm{~min}$; broad peak) were pooled and evaporated at the rotary evaporator to remove the acetonitrile. The water was removed by freeze-drying. The target compound was isolated as a white solid 15 mg ( $15.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, c.y. 27.7\%). A larger batch ( 50 mg ) has been synthesized in the same way. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.96$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-6.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $4.79\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HF}}=46.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.74-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.26-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.23\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.51-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.55$ (q), 169.16 (q), 156.33 (q), 145.02 (q), 134.52 (q), 134.27 (q), 134.17 (q), 134.08 (q), 129.30 ( t$), 128.79$ ( t$) 128.76$ ( t$), 128.49$ ( t$), 123.23(\mathrm{t}), 123.03(\mathrm{t})$, $122.92(\mathrm{t}), 122.76(\mathrm{t}), 122.74(\mathrm{t}), 81.54\left(\mathrm{~s}\right.$, doublet, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=171 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 74.49 (s), 73.87 (s), 73.77 (s), 58.27 (s), 58.11 ( s$), 50.50$ (s, doublet, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=20 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 45.41(\mathrm{p}), 37.14(\mathrm{~s}), 31.33(\mathrm{~s}), 30.98(\mathrm{~s}), 26.76(\mathrm{~s}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-150.75$ to $-151.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F})$. Analytical HPLC-MS (system C), gradient: $20 \%$ B $\rightarrow 95 \%$ B ( $0 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 1.5 \mathrm{~min}$ ), $95 \%$ B ( $1.5 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 4.0 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Retention time: 2.41 min . Purity $99.82 \%$ (UV, 224 nm ). MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{FN}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{7}: 949.52$; MS (API-ES TOF Pos): $m / z 475[M+2 H]^{2+}, 950[M+H]^{+}$.

### 4.1.6. 25,26,27,28-Tetramethoxycalix[4]arene (7)

Compound 7 was prepared as described [41], with minor modifications. Briefly, 25,26,27,28-Tetrahydroxycalix[4]-arene 1 ( $7.5 \mathrm{~g}, 17.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in a $10: 1$ mixture of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran: $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide ( 165 mL ). $\mathrm{NaH}(12 \mathrm{~g}$ of a $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, 300 mmol ) was triturated with $n$ pentane to remove the mineral oil. After drying under a gentle stream of nitrogen, the NaH was added to the precursor solution in tetrahydrofuran/ $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide, followed by MeI ( 33 mL , 531 mmol ). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h , treated with methanol ( 10 mL ) to decompose the excess of NaH , and evaporated in vacuo. The solid was partitioned between water ( 300 mL ) and dichloromethane ( 300 mL ). The layers were separated and the water layer was again extracted with dichloromethane ( 300 mL ). The combined organic layers were back-extracted with water ( 150 mL ), dried on $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. Traces of MeI and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide were efficiently removed by coevaporation with dichloromethane. Yield: $8.3 \mathrm{~g}(17.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%)$ of a white to slightly yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ sat. with NaI) $\delta 7.34\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.92\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.60\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ sat. with NaI) $\delta 153.80(\mathrm{q}), 136.33(\mathrm{q}) 130.26(\mathrm{t})$, 126.77(t), 65.58(p), 29.75(s). HRMS (ESI, m/z): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left([M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 481.2379, Found: 481.2382.
4.1.7. General procedure for preparation of $25,26,27,28$ -tetramethoxy-2-alkylcalix[4]arenes (8a-d)

25,26,27,28-Tetramethoxycalix[4]arene 7 ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 100 mL ). The clear yellow solution was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $n$-BuLi ( 11.7 mL of $1.6 \mathrm{M} n$-BuLi in hexanes, 18.7 mmol ) was added dropwise in the course of 30 min . The resulting blood-red solution was allowed to stir for 45 min . Alkyl iodide ( 37.4 mmol ) was added, and the solution, which has turned orange-brown, was allowed to stir for 1 h while warming up to room temperature. After quenching with sat. aq. $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and evaporation of most of the THF, water ( 80 mL ) was added, and the product was extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 80 mL ), dried on $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered over a short column of silica to remove highly polar impurities (baseline spot on TLC), followed by passing an additional 80 mL of dichloromethane, and evaporated in vacuo.
4.1.7.1. 25,26,27,28-Tetramethoxy-2-methylcalix[4]arene (8a). Alkylation with methyl iodide ( 2.4 mL ) yielded the title compound as an off-white solid ( $1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 3.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ sat. with NaI) $\delta 7.41$ (dd, ${ }^{3} J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.34 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.31\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.96(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.92\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.88\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.28$ (d, $\left.{ }^{2} J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.27\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}$, 6 H ), 3.60 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.71 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). HRMS (ESI, $m /$ z): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left([M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 495.2530, Found: 495.2553.
4.1.7.2. 25,26,27,28-Tetramethoxy-2-propylcalix[4]arene (8b). Alkylation with 1-iodopropane ( 3.7 mL ) yielded the title compound as pale yellow solid ( $1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 3.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ sat. with NaI$) \delta 7.40-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.69$ $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.27\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.60\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.59\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.20-2.02(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 1.44-1.24 (m, 2H), 0.99 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ sat. with NaI ) $\delta 153.89$ (q), 153.79 (q), 140.00 (q), 136.41 (q), 136.37 (q), 136.16 (q), 130.29 (t), $129.89(t), 126.99(t), 126.79(t)$, 65.68 (p), 65.56 (p), 36.88 (s), 36.04 (t), 29.92 (s), 29.77 (s), 22.60 ( ), 14.60 (p). HRMS (ESI, $m / z$ ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 523.2843, Found: 523.2818.
4.1.7.3. 25,26,27,28-Tetramethoxy-2-pentylcalix[4]arene (8c). Alkylation with 1-iodopentane ( 4.9 mL ) yielded the title compound as a white solid ( $1.91 \mathrm{~g}, 3.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ sat. with NaI) $\delta 7.39-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.27\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.60\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.59\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.20-2.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2, \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ sat. with NaI ) $\delta 153.87$ (q), 153.79 (q), 140.00 (q), 136.40 (q), 136.35 (q), 136.15 (q), 130.28 (t), 129.89 ( t$), 126.97$ ( t$), 126.78$ ( t$)$, 65.66 (p), 65.55 (p), 36.20 (t), 34.51 ( s$), 32.46$ (s), 29.91 ( s$), 29.75$ ( s$)$, 29.08 (s), 22.97 (s), 14.26 (p). HRMS (ESI, m/z): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 551.3156$, Found: 551.3176.
4.1.7.4. 25,26,27,28-Tetramethoxy-2-(prop-2'-yn-1'-yl)calix[4]arene (8d). Preparation of $\mathbf{8 d}$ was performed using a slightly modified procedure. Briefly, the lithiated intermediate obtained by dropwise addition of $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(7.8 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1.6 M n -BuLi in hexanes, 12.5 mmol ) to an ice-cooled solution of tetramethoxycalix[4]arene 7 (1 g, 2.08 mmol ) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ( 30 mL ) was added (almost) at once to a pre-cooled solution (ice bath) of propargyl bromide ( 2.78 mL of an 80 wt . \% solution in toluene, 25.0 mmol ) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ( 15 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h in an ice-bath, allowed to warm to room temperature (ca. 20 min ), and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$
$(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and worked up as described in the general procedure. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product ( 950 mg ) indicated a conversion of ca. $80 \%$ (corresponding to $771 \mathrm{mg}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ yield of pure $\mathbf{8 d}$ ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silicagel employing a gradient of $0-10 \%$ of ethyl acetate in heptanes. Fractions containing the product were pooled, evaporated in vacuo, and co-evaporated with dichloromethane to remove traces of heptanes. Yield: $402 \mathrm{mg}(0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \%)$ of a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ sat. with NaI) $\delta 7.43$ (dd, ${ }^{3} J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.{ }^{4} J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.35\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.97\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.92$ $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.95\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.28\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $4.27\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.60\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.15\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{4} J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.33\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ sat. with NaI) $\delta 153.86$ (q), 153.63 (q), 138.38 (q), 136.36 (q), 136.34 (q), 136.30 (q), $130.44(\mathrm{t}), 130.33(\mathrm{t}), 130.29(\mathrm{t}), 127.06(\mathrm{t}), 126.90(\mathrm{t})$, 126.83 (t), 83.98 (q), 71.42 (t), $65.91(\mathrm{p}), 65.54(\mathrm{p}), 35.88(\mathrm{t}), 29.90$ (s), 29.73 (s), 23.90 (s). HRMS (ESI, $m / z$ ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ $\left([M+H]^{+}\right): 519.2535$, Found: 519.2561.
4.1.8. General procedure for preparation of $25,26,27,28$ -tetrahydroxy-2-alkylcalix[4]arenes (9a-d)

25,26,27,28-Tetramethoxy-2-alkylcalix[4]arene $\quad \mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{d}$ ( $0.7-2.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 50 mL for $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{c}, 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ for $\mathbf{8 d}$ ) and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 1.0 M solution of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in dichloromethane ( $4.8-18.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.5$ equiv.) was added dropwise via a syringe, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then allowed to warm to room temperature. After 30 min at room temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with water ( 50 mL ). After drying on $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the extracts were passed over a thin column of silica, followed by rinsing with dichloromethane ( 200 mL ), and evaporated in vacuo. For 9d, a slightly different workup was employed (see below).
4.1.8.1. 25,26,27,28-Tetrahydroxy-2-methylcalix[4]arene (9a). Demethylation of $\mathbf{8 a}(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in dichloromethane ( $15.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) yielded the title compound as a white foam ( $0.776 \mathrm{~g}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.77\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, 6.72 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.74\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.26\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.53\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.71$ ( d , ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). HRMS (ESI, $m / z$ ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ $\left([M+H]^{+}\right): 439.1904$, Found: 439.1895.
4.1.8.2. 25,26,27,28-Tetrahydroxy-2-propylcalix[4]arene (9b). Demethylation of $\mathbf{8 b}(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ with 1.0 M BBr 3 in dichloromethane ( $18.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) yielded the title compound as a white foam ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 2.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=10.12(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.26\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.25\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.53\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.22-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95$ $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ). HRMS (ESI, $m / z$ ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ $\left([M+H]^{+}\right): 467.2217$, Found: 467.2214.
4.1.8.3. 25,26,27,28-Tetrahydroxy-2-pentylcalix[4]arene (9c). Demethylation of $8 \mathbf{c}\left(720 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ) with $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in dichloromethane ( $8.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) yielded the title compound as a white foam ( $594 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=10.11(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.26\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.25\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.53\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.22-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$,
$0.92-0.83$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). HRMS (ESI, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ $\left([M+H]^{+}\right): 495.2530$, Found: 495.2508.
4.1.8.4. 25,26,27,28-Tetrahydroxy-2-(prop-2'-yn-1'-yl)calix[4]arene (9d). Demethylation of $8 \mathbf{d}$ ( $379 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) with 1.0 M BBr 3 in the same solvent $(4.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.75 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by a slightly modified workup (partitioning between 120 mL of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and 120 mL of dichloromethane, washing of the organic layer with 120 mL of water, drying over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporation in vacuo) yielded the crude title compound in quantitative yield. After purification by silica column chromatography employing a gradient of $5-30 \%$ of ethyl acetate in heptanes, the pure target compound was obtained as a white solid ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.10(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.78\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, 6.73 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.85\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.26\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.07\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }_{J}^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.90(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 149.98$ (q), 148.96 (q), 130.29 (q), 129.17 (t), 129.09 (t), 129.03 (t), 128.44 (q), 128.33 (q), 128.28 (q), 124.54 ( t$), 122.61$ ( t$), 122.41$ ( t$), 82.45$ (q), 69.56 ( t$), 35.47$ ( t ), 31.94 ( s ), 31.84 ( s ), 22.32 ( s ). HRMS (ESI, $m / z$ ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 463.1909, Found: 463.1932.

### 4.1.9. General procedure for preparation of 25,26,27,28-tetra [(ethoxycarbonyl)methoxy]-2-alkylcalix[4]arenes (10a-d)

25,26,27,28-Tetrahydroxy-2-alk(yn)ylcalix[4]arene 9a-d ( $0.49-1.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry acetonitrile ( 15 mL ) followed by addition of finely powdered anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $4.9-16.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, ca. 10 equiv.). The resulting suspension was stirred for 1 h at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ethyl bromoacetate ( $4.9-16.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10 equiv.) was added, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 20 h . The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue partitioned between dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) and water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The water layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried on $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to yield the crude product, which was purified by silica column chromatography employing a gradient of $15-30 \%$ of ethyl acetate in heptane to obtain the pure target compound. For 10d, a slightly different workup was employed (see below).
4.1.9.1. 25,26,27,28-Tetra[(ethoxycarbonyl)methoxy]-2-methylcalix [4]arene (10a). Precursor and reagents: 9a ( $367 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $887 \mathrm{mg}, 8.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); ethyl bromoacetate ( 0.93 mL , 8.4 mmol ). Crude yield: yellow oil ( $536 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ ). Yield after silica column chromatography: white solid ( 155 mg , $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 24 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.68-6.57$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.25\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.91\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.76-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.22\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.21(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.23\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $1.30\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.29\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.19$ (q), 170.07 (q), 155.86 (q), 155.53 (q), 139.57 (q), $134.85(\mathrm{q}), 134.56(\mathrm{q}), 134.23(\mathrm{q}), 128.49(\mathrm{t}), 128.43(\mathrm{t}), 125.03(\mathrm{t})$, 122.95 (t), 122.82 ( t$), 71.52$ ( s$), 71.31$ ( s$), 60.54$ ( s$), 60.48(\mathrm{~s}), 31.51$ (s), 31.44 (s), 30.97 (t), 20.45 (p), 14.21 (p). HRMS (ESI, m/z): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left([M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 783.3375, Found: 783.3378.
4.1.9.2. 25,26,27,28-Tetra[(ethoxycarbonyl)methoxy]-2-propylcalix [4]arene (10b). Precursor and reagents: $9 \mathbf{b}$ ( $228 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $518 \mathrm{mg}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); ethyl bromoacetate ( 0.54 mL , 4.9 mmol ). Crude yield: yellow oil ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ ). Yield after silica column chromatography: white solid $(122 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.67-6.55$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.02\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.89\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.85-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.23\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.20(\mathrm{q}$,
$\left.{ }^{3} J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.24\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, 1.88 (q, ${ }^{3} J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.48 (sext, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.30 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 0.98\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.24$ (q), 169.97 (q), 155.93 (q), 155.73 (q), 138.22 (q), 134.75 (q), 134.61 ( $q$ ), 134.50 (q), 128.48 ( $t$ ), 128.43 ( $t$ ), 128.31 ( t), 125.29 ( t$), 122.94$ ( t$), 122.77$ ( t$), 71.50$ ( s$), 71.15$ ( s$), 60.49$ (s), 60.44 (s), 36.63 ( s ), 36.39 ( t ), 31.69 ( s , , 31.39 ( s , 21.47 ( s ), 14.48 (p), 14.24 (p), 14.19 (p). HRMS (ESI, $m / z$ ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ $\left([M+H]^{+}\right): 811.3688$, Found: 811.3675.
4.1.9.3. 25,26,27,28-Tetra[(ethoxycarbonyl)methoxy]-2-pentylcalix[4] arene (10c). Precursor and reagents: 9c ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); ethyl bromoacetate ( 1.12 mL , 10.1 mmol ). Crude yield: yellow oil ( $472 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ ). Yield after silica column chromatography: white solid ( 138 mg , $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.70-6.55(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, $4.99\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.90\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.85-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.23\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.19(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.24\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $1.88\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.51-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ $\operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.27$ (q), 169.98 (q), 155.96 (q), 155.73 (q), $138.20(\mathrm{q}), 134.72(\mathrm{q}), 134.63(\mathrm{q}), 134.54(\mathrm{q}), 128.56(\mathrm{t}), 128.48(\mathrm{t})$, $128.43(\mathrm{t}), 128.29(\mathrm{t}), 125.29(\mathrm{t}), 122.95(\mathrm{t}), 122.77(\mathrm{t}), 71.52(\mathrm{~s}), 71.14$ (s), 60.50 (s), 60.45 (s), 36.66 (t), 34.33 (s), 32.29 ( s , , 31.69 ( s$), 31.39$ (s), 28.04 (s), 22.63 (s), 14.24 (p), 14.20 (p), 14.10 (p). HRMS (ESI, m/ $z$ ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Na}^{+}\left([M+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$: 861.3820, Found: 861.3856.
4.1.9.4. 25,26,27,28-Tetra[(ethoxycarbonyl)methoxy]-2-(prop-2'-yn-$1^{\prime}$-yl)calix[4]arene (10d). Precursor, solvents and reagents: 9d ( 660 mg , ca. 1.4 mmol of crude $9 \mathbf{9 d}$ obtained after deprotection of pure 8d); anhydrous acetonitrile ( 25 mL ); $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.45 g , $13.7 \mathrm{mmol})$; ethyl bromoacetate ( $1.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A slightly different workup was employed: after cooling down to room temperature, salts were removed by filtration, the filtrate concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was taken up in dichloromethane $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Layers were separated, and the water layer was washed with dichloromethane $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by silica column chromatography using a gradient of $30-60 \%$ of ethyl acetate in heptanes to obtain the pure target compound as a pale yellow glassy solid ( $401 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, $36 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.70-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.95-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 4.25\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.20(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.25\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.23\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $2.82\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.93\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{4} J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.30(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.29\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 170.20$ (q), 170.05 (q), 155.93 (q), 155.79 (q), 136.66 (q), 134.65 (q), $134.64(\mathrm{q}), 134.59(\mathrm{q}), 128.98(\mathrm{t}), 128.55(\mathrm{t}), 128.41(\mathrm{t}), 124.88(\mathrm{t})$, 122.98 (t), 122.84 ( t$), 83.60$ (q), 71.73 ( s$), 71.26$ ( s$), 69.72$ ( t$), 60.56$ (s), 60.50 (s), 36.04 (t), 31.64 (s), 31.38 (s), 23.67 ( s$), 14.24$ (p), 14.19 (p). HRMS (ESI, m/z): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left([M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 807.3381, Found: 807.3388.
4.1.10. General procedure for preparation of 25,26,27,28-tetrakis-N( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl-2-aminoethyl)carbamoylmethoxy-2-alkylcalix[4] arenes (11a-d)

A solution of 25,26,27,28-tetra[(ethoxycarbonyl)methoxy]-2alk(yn)ylcalix[4]arene 10a-d ( $0.22-0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N$-dimethylethylenediamine ( 10 mL ) was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The excess of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethylenediamine was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting crystals were triturated twice with diethyl ether (first 10 mL , then 5 mL ) and dried in vacuo. The crude product was then dissolved in $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{HCl}$, and purified by
preparative HPLC on system A (see general methods). Fractions containing the product were pooled, evaporated at the rotary evaporator to remove the acetonitrile, and lyophilized to yield the TFA-salt of the pure product. To obtain the free tetra-amine, the salt was taken up in saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried on $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo.
4.1.10.1. 25,26,27,28-Tetrakis-N-(N,N-dimethyl-2-aminoethyl)carba-moylmethoxy-2-methylcalix[4]arene (11a). Precursor: 10a (176 mg, $224 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). Crude yield: off-white solid ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 179 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 80 \%$ ). Preparative HPLC on system A (see general methods): $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (product) $=8.3-10.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (broad peak). Yield after preparative HPLC (TFA-salt): white solid ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 34 \%$ ). Yield after extraction (free tetra-amine): white solid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 25 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75$ (bs, 2H), 7.61 (bs, 2H), 6.70-6.50 (m, $12 \mathrm{H}), 4.99\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.63\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.53-4.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.27\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.50-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{bs}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=169.55$ (q), 169.53 (q), 155.75 (q), 155.66 (q), 139.48 (q), 134.43 (q), 134.15 (q), 133.83 (q), $128.88(\mathrm{t}), 128.82(\mathrm{t}), 128.76(\mathrm{t}), 125.37(\mathrm{t}), 123.29(\mathrm{t}), 123.22(\mathrm{t})$, 74.36 (s), 74.09 ( s$), 58.15$ ( s$), 58.13$ ( s ), 45.36 (p), 45.34 (p), 37.14 ( s$)$, $37.09(\mathrm{~s}), 31.45(\mathrm{t}), 31.21(\mathrm{~s}), 31.09(\mathrm{~s}), 20.31(\mathrm{p})$. HRMS (ESI, m/z): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left([M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 951.5702, Found: 951.5727.
4.1.10.2. 25,26,27,28-Tetrakis-N-(N,N-dimethyl-2-aminoethyl)carba-moylmethoxy-2-propylcalix[4]arene (11b). Precursor: 10b ( 486 mg , $599 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). Crude yield: off-white solid ( $584 \mathrm{mg}, 596 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 99 \%$ ). Preparative HPLC on system A: $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (product) $=10.8-12.3 \mathrm{~min}$. Yield after preparative HPLC (TFA-salt): white solid ( $343 \mathrm{mg}, 239 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $40 \%$ ). Yield after extraction (free tetra-amine): white solid ( 158 mg , $161 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 27 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.77$ (bs, 2H), 7.64 (bs, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-6.50(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.88\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.57-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.50-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ (s, 12H), $2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46$ (sext, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $0.97\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=169.55(\mathrm{q})$, 169.52 (q), 155.95 (q), 155.62 (q), 138.27 (q), 134.51 (q), 133.95 (q), 133.80 (q), 128.89 (t), 128.79 ( t$), 128.68$ (t), 125.74 ( t$), 123.19$ ( t$)$, 74.22 ( s ), 74.01 ( s$), 58.13$ ( s , , 45.33 (p), 37.12 ( s$), 37.06$ ( s$), 36.90(\mathrm{t})$, 36.45 (s), 31.33 (s), 31.15 (s), 21.60 (s), 14.46 (p). HRMS (ESI, m/z): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad\left([M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): ~ 979.6015$, Found: 979.6026.
4.1.10.3. 25,26,27,28-Tetrakis-N-(N,N-dimethyl-2-aminoethyl)carba-moylmethoxy-2-pentylcalix[4]arene (11c). Precursor: 10c ( 268 mg , $319 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. Crude yield: off-white solid ( $313 \mathrm{mg}, 311 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 97 \%$ ). Preparative HPLC on system A: $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ product $)=12.8-14.0$ min. Yield after preparative HPLC (TFA-salt): white solid ( $177 \mathrm{mg}, 121 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $38 \%$ ). Yield after extraction (free tetra-amine): white solid ( 110 mg , $109 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 34 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.77$ (bs, 2H), 7.63 (bs, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.48(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.87\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.56-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.52-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.22$ (s, 12H), $2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.36-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.88\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 169.55$ (q), 169.50 (q), 155.97 (q), 155.61 (q), 138.30 (q), 134.51 (q), 133.94 (q), 133.80 (q), 128.88 ( t$), 128.79$ ( t$), 128.68$ ( t$), 125.72$ ( t$)$, 123.21 ( t$), 123.18$ ( t$), 74.24$ ( s$), 74.00$ ( s$), 58.14$ ( s$), 45.33$ (p), 45.31 (p), 37.24 (t), 37.14 (s), 37.05 (s), 34.26 (s), 32.30 ( s$), 31.34$ ( s$), 31.14$ (s), 28.35 (s), 22.73 (s), 14.15 (p). HRMS (ESI, $m / z$ ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left([M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 1007.6328$, Found: 1007.6350.
4.1.10.4. 25,26,27,28-Tetrakis- $N$-( $N, N$-dimethyl-2-aminoethyl) carbamoylmethoxy-2-(prop-2'-yn-1'-yl)calix[4]arene (11d). Precursor: 10d ( $351 \mathrm{mg}, 435 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). Instead of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h , the precursor was treated with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethylenediamine ( 7.5 mL ) at room temperature for 5 days. Crude yield: off-white solid ( $369 \mathrm{mg}, 378 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 87 \%$ ). According to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, purity of this material was $>90 \%$. Part of the material (ca. 200 mg ) was purified by preparative HPLC on system A: $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ product $)=8.0-10.5 \mathrm{~min}$. Yield after preparative HPLC (TFA-salt): pale yellow solid ( 170 mg , $119 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 58 \%$ ). Yield after extraction (free tetra-amine): white solid ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 34 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.86$ (bs, 2 H ), 7.64 (bs, 2H), $6.70-6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.29\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.75$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.52\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.36\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.31\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.22(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.73\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.55-2.46$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.96\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.60(\mathrm{q}), 169.53$ (q), 156.07 (q), 155.75 (q), 136.84 (q), 134.54 (q), 133.85 (q), 133.81 (q), 129.35 ( t$), 128.89(\mathrm{t})$, 128.84 (t), 125.51 ( t$), 123.27$ ( t$), 123.23$ (t), 83.19 (q), 74.37 ( s$), 74.13$ ( s ), 70.05 ( t$), 58.12$ ( s$), 58.08$ ( s$), 45.31$ (p), 37.09 ( s$), 37.06$ ( s$), 36.45$ ( t , , 31.41 ( s ), 31.03 ( s ), 23.56 ( s ). HRMS (ESI, $m / z$ ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left([M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 975.5708, Found: 975.5723.
4.1.11. 25,26,27,28-Tetrakis-N-(N,N-dimethyl-2-aminoethyl) carbamoylmethoxy-2-\{[1-(2-fluoroethyl)-1H-[1,2,3]triazo-4-yl] methyl\}calix[4]arene (13)

To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \times 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(67 \mathrm{mg}, 268 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{L}-$ ascorbic acid ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 522 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in water ( 3 mL ) under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere was added a solution of crude 11 d ( $169 \mathrm{mg}, 173 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 2.5 mL ) and 2-fluoroethylazide 5 ( $212 \mu \mathrm{~mol} ; 3.6 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 0.0588 M solution in $N, N$-dimethylformamide freshly prepared following published procedures [25]). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, and then evaporated to dryness. The residue was treated with dichloromethane ( 15 mL ) and aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted again with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to yield the target compound ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 125 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 72 \%$ ). The crude material was taken up in a mixture of acetonitrile and water (ca. 2.5 mL ) and purified by preparative HPLC on system A. Fractions containing the product (broad peak; $t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.0-9.5 \mathrm{~min}$ ) were pooled, partly evaporated at the rotary evaporator to remove the acetonitrile, and lyophilized to obtain the TFA-salt of $\mathbf{1 3}$ as a white solid ( 116 mg , $76 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 44 \%$ ). The solid was taken up in dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) and thoroughly extracted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was again extracted with dichloromethane ( 30 mL ). The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated to yield target compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ as the free tetra-amine. Yield: $48 \mathrm{mg}(45 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $26 \%$ ) of a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.88(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ (bs, 2H), $7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.52(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.51\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $4.77\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HF}}=46.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.64-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$, $4.24-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.32\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.26$ (d, $\left.{ }^{2} J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.23\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.53-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, 2.23 (s, 24H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.60$ (q), 169.56 (q), 155.95 (q), 155.74 (q), 146.81 (q), 137.16 (q), 134.45 (q), 133.90 (q), $129.03(\mathrm{t}), 128.96(\mathrm{t}), 128.76(\mathrm{t}), 125.89(\mathrm{t}), 123.27(\mathrm{t}), 123.21(\mathrm{t})$, $81.55\left(\mathrm{~s}\right.$, doublet, $\left.{ }^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{JFF}}=171 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 74.13(\mathrm{~s}), 73.95(\mathrm{~s}), 58.16(\mathrm{~s}), 58.00$ (s), 50.43 ( s , doublet, ${ }^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{JFF}}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 45.34 (p), 45.23 (p), 37.05 (s), 36.97 (s), 36.33 ( t ), 31.31 ( s ), 31.09 ( s ), 30.18 ( s ). HRMS (ESI, m/z): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{FN}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left([M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 1064.6097, Found: 1064.6121.
4.1.12. 1,1'-(((Oxybis(methylene))bis(oxy))bis(ethane-2,1-diyl)) bis(4-(25,26,27,28-(tetra-N-(N,N-dimethyl-2-aminoethyl) carbamoylmethoxy)calix[4]arene-2-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole) (14)

Crude 11d ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 102 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 2.4 mL ) by slightly warming with a heat gun. Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and continued stirring were added solutions of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \times 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 152 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ in 1 mL of water) and ( L )-ascorbic acid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 303 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ in 1 mL of water), followed by a freshly prepared solution of 1,11-diazido-3,6,9-trioxaundecane 12 ( $12.4 \mathrm{mg}, 50.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ in 1 mL of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide), and the reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. For isolation of the product, aliquots of the reaction mixture were $1: 1$ diluted with 2 M aq. HCl , and purified by preparative HPLC on system A. Fractions containing the product (peak; $t_{\mathrm{R}}=16.0-17.5 \mathrm{~min}$ ) were pooled, evaporated at the rotary evaporator to remove the acetonitrile, and lyophilized to yield the TFA-salt of the pure product as a white solid ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 47 \%$ ). The solid was taken up in dichloromethane ( 20 mL ), and thoroughly extracted with 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was again extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to yield target compound 14 as the free tetra-amine. Yield: $44 \mathrm{mg}(20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 39 \%)$ of a white fluffy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.89$ (bs, 4H), 7.85 (bs, 4H), 7.55 (s, 2H), 6.65-6.51 (m, 24H), $5.42\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.55-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H})$, $4.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.52-3.36(\mathrm{~m}$, 24 H ), $3.29\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.23\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.22$ (d, $\left.{ }^{2} J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.47-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.57$ (q), 169.42 (q), 155.83 (q), 155.63 (q), 145.95 (q), 137.04 (q), 134.44 (q), 134.08 (q), 133.91 (q), 128.94 ( t$), 128.85(\mathrm{t}), 128.71(\mathrm{t}), 125.76$ ( t$), 123.20(\mathrm{t}), 123.12(\mathrm{t}), 74.00(\mathrm{~s})$, 70.42 (s), 70.33 (s), 69.43 (s), 58.15 (s), 58.00 (s), 50.08 ( s$), 45.36$ (p), 45.33 (p), 37.09 ( s , 37.06 ( s$), 36.26$ (t), 31.17 ( s$), 31.04$ ( s$), 30.02$ ( s ). HRMS (ESI, $m / z$ ): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{118} \mathrm{H}_{164} \mathrm{~N}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{2}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right)$ : 1097.6344, Found: 1097.6384.

### 4.2. Cell viability assay

MA148 cells, a human epithelial ovarian carcinoma cell line, were seeded in non-coated 96 -well plates (Corning; Lowell, MA), whereas human umbilical vein derived EC (HUVEC) were seeded in a 96 -well culture plate coated with $0.2 \%$ gelatin for 2 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Sigma-Aldrich; St. Louis, MO). Both cell types were seeded at a concentration of 3000 cells per well and allowed to adhere for at least 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / 95 \%$ air before treatments were initiated. The cells were then exposed to complete medium, with or without various concentrations of 0118 for 72 h or as indicated otherwise. Cell counting kit (CCK-8; Dojindo; Gaithersburg, MD) was used to assess cell viability rates relative to untreated cells, as described earlier [42]. All measurements were done in triplicate, and the experiments were done at least three times. The IC50 was determined as half the maximal inhibitory drug concentration for each cell line. HUVEC and MA148 cells were kindly provided by Prof. Dr. Ramakrishnan (University of Minnesota) [43] and propagated as described earlier [44].

### 4.3. Radiochemistry

### 4.3.1. General materials and methods

Radiosynthesis was performed on a custom-designed 'ModularLab' system. The individual modules, which make up this 'ModularLab' system are commercially available from Eckert \& Ziegler Eurotope GmbH (Berlin, Germany). The core of the system is made up of two Peltier reactor modules (PRM), which allow temperature control from $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Both are equipped with
temperature and radioactivity sensors, magnetic stirrers, reactor cameras and pneumatic reactor lifts. The reactions were performed in 3 mL borosilicate glass V-vials from Alltech (Grace Discovery Sciences, Deerfield, IL) equipped with reactor heads from Eckert \& Ziegler and EPDM flat seals. Connections for liquid transfer were from PTFE and FEP tubing. Solvents were evaporated employing a constant stream of argon regulated by a flow controller module. Radioactive exhaust vapors were condensed in a vacuum trap cooled with liquid nitrogen, and subsequently passed through an activated carbon filter. Liquid transfers were performed using either vacuum or a positive pressure of argon ( 1.5 bar ), or both. The valve modules used for assembling the system were $3 / 2$-way and 2 / 2-way solenoid valve modules (SMC-valves type LVM), a single stopcock module, and stopcock manifold modules for gas transfer and the final radiopharmaceutical formulation step. Semipreparative HPLC purification was performed on a SymmetryPrep C18 column ( $100 \AA, 7 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 7.8 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) integrated into an HPLC module equipped with an electrically driven 6-port-multi-channel valve, an Omron E3X-DA-S fluid sensor, a preparative sample loop, a radioactivity detector in series with a WellChrom fixed wavelength detector K-200 ( $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$; Knauer GmbH, Berlin, Germany), and two WellChrom HPLC pumps K120 (Knauer GmbH). The complete system was placed in a hot-cell, whereas the PLC (programmable logic controller), the cooling unit, the cold trap and the shielded vacuum pump were located in the service corridor behind the hot-cell. All processes were remotely controlled on a PC employing the dedicated Modular-Lab software interface from Eckert \& Ziegler, which allows straightforward set-up of the interactive process panel, flexible programming, and provides GMP-compliant batch records including temperature, activity, and UV traces.

Analytical HPLC-analysis for monitoring reaction progress and composition of crude products and for quality control of the final tracer product was carried out on an Agilent 1100 Series system with a binary pump and a variable wavelength UV-detector (preset to 271 nm , which is $\lambda_{\max }$ of $\mathbf{6}$ ) in series with a Gabi-Star radioactivity detector (Raytest GmbH, Straubenhardt, Germany). The samples ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were injected onto a Symmetry C18 column ( $100 \AA$ A , $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 3.9 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$, Waters Corporation, Milford, USA), which was eluted at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ with a linear gradient of acetonitrile (B) in water (A), both containing $0.1 \%$ TFA. Three different gradients were used for analysis of the intermediate $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoroethylazide $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 5$ (Gradient I: 3 min at $50 \%$ B followed by a linear gradient to $75 \%$ B in 7 min , a linear gradient to $95 \%$ B in 1 min , and subsequent isocratic elution for 2 min ) and for analysis of click reaction mixtures and formulated radiotracers $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ (Gradient II: 3 min at $30 \% \mathrm{~B}$, followed by a linear gradient to $40 \%$ B in 5 min , isocratic elution for 2 min , a linear gradient to $95 \%$ B in 1 min , then isocratic elution at $95 \%$ B, return to $30 \% \mathrm{~B}$, and equilibrating for at least 5 min before injection of the next sample) and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ (Gradient III: 3 min at $27 \% \mathrm{~B}$, followed by a linear gradient to $29.5 \%$ B in 10 min , isocratic elution for 2 min , a linear gradient to $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ in 1 min , then isocratic elution at $95 \%$ B, return to $27 \%$ B, and equilibrating for at least 5 min before injection of the next sample), respectively. Retention times ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ ) for the starting materials, intermediates, reference compounds and radiotracers (the latter based on the radio-trace) were as follows: 2-azidoethyl-4-methylbenzenesulfonate $\mathbf{1 5}$ (Gradient I: 5.5 min , Gradient II: 12.4 min ); [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 5$ (Gradient I: 2.9 min ; Gradient II: 4.6 min ; Gradient III: 5.2 min ); click precursor 4 (Gradient II: 7.6 min ); reference compound 6 (Gradient II: 6.9 min ), radiotracer [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$ (Gradient II: 7.3 min ); click precursor 11d (Gradient III: 11.3 min ); reference compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ (Gradient III: 8.7 min ), radiotracer [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ (Gradient III: 9.1 min ). UV and radioactivity detectors were arranged in series, leading to a delay of about 0.4 min in the radio-trace.

Radioactivity of the $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$-charged QMA-cartridges, $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$-intermediates, and the final product was measured in a calibrated digital ionization chamber (model VIK-202, Veenstra Instruments, Joure, The Netherlands). Water was purified and de-ionized ( $18 \mathrm{M} \Omega \mathrm{cm}$ ) by means of a Milli-Q water filtration system (Millipore). The Sep-Pak ${ }^{\circledR}$ Plus Light $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ cartridges for solid phase extraction were purchased from Waters (USA). The syringe filters (GD/X syringe filter, PTFE, $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with borosilicate prefilter) for filtration of the crude click reaction mixture were from Whatman (UK). Anhydrous acetonitrile and Kryptofix 222 were from Merck, tris[(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl]amine (TBTA) and (R)-(-)-(3,5-dioxa-4-phosphacyclohepta[2,1-a:3,4-a']dinaphthalen-4yl)dimethylamine (MonoPhos) from Sigma-Aldrich, and 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline disulfonic acid (BPDS) from Pfaltz \& Bauer, Inc. (USA). Purity of all ligands was $>96 \%$ (HPLC). All other reagents, catalysts, and solvents were obtained from Acros (Belgium) or Sigma-Aldrich and were used without further purification.

### 4.3.2. Radiosynthesis of $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] f l u o r o e t h y l a z i d e ~\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 5$

$\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathrm{F}^{-}$was purchased from BV Cyclotron VU (Amsterdam, The Netherlands). It was produced by the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})^{18} \mathrm{~F}$ nuclear reaction in an IBA 18/9 cyclotron and subsequently trapped on a QMAcartridge (Waters Sep-Pak ${ }^{\circledR}$ Plus Light QMA; carbonate form) for shipment. After arrival at our facilities (about 1-2 half-lives after EOB), $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathrm{F}^{-}$was eluted from the anion exchange column into a 3 mL V-vial using 1 mL of acetonitrile/water ( $9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), which contained Kryptofix $222(13 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. The solution was dried under an argon flow ( $\sim 70 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) and reduced pressure at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , and $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 min ( 4 min lift-position 'up' and 2 min lift-position 'down'). To remove residual water, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 0.9 mL ) was added, and the solution was dried again at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min and $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min ( 2 min lift-position 'up' and 1 min lift-position 'down'). This co-evaporation cycle was repeated once.

After cooling to $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of 2-azidoethyl-4methylbenzenesulfonate $\mathbf{1 5}$ ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; prepared according to published procedures $[32,33]$ with minor modifications) in anhydrous acetonitrile ( 0.7 mL ) was added to the $\mathrm{K}\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{K} 222$ residue, allowed to react for 15 min at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the intermediate $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoroethylazide [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{5}$ was co-distilled at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a constant stream of argon ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) into a 3 mL septum-capped V-vial, which was pre-cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 min , the integrated radioactivity detectors in the reactors indicated that distillation was complete, and the radioactivity collected in the receiver vial was measured in a dose calibrator. Decay-corrected isolated radiochemical yield of 2-[ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoroethylazide was $53 \pm 5 \%(n=10)$. HPLC-analysis of the distillate indicated absence of precursor 15 in the UV-trace and a radiochemical purity of $>99 \%$. The volume of the $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoroethylazide distillate in acetonitrile in these experiments was about $300-400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$.

### 4.3.3. Optimization of CuAAC conditions for reaction of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{5}$ with precursor 11d

Stock solutions of precursor $\mathbf{1 1 d}(1.46 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were prepared in: A) $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO (Table 2, entries 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13, and 14); B) $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO (Table 2, entries 3, 4, 11, and 12); C) $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sodium phosphate buffer pH 6.0 and $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of water (Table 2, entry 7).

Ligand stock solutions: TBTA and MonoPhos were dissolved in DMSO at a concentration of 16.5 mM (Table 2, entries 3, 4, 11 and 12), and BPDS was dissolved in water at the same concentration (Table 2, entry 2, 5, 10, and 13), or at 99.0 mM (Table 2, entry 8 ).

Catalyst stock solutions: Solutions of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \times 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and sodium L-ascorbate were freshly prepared before each experiment.
$\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \times 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was dissolved in water at the following concentrations: A) 10 mM (Table 2, entries $1,3,4,9,11,12$ ); B) 30 mM (Table 2, entries 2, 5, 10, 13); C) 60 mM (Table 2, entries 6, 7, 14); D) 180 mM (Table 2, entry 8). Sodium l-ascorbate stock solutions were as follows: A) 150 mM in 0.5 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 6.0 (Table 2, entries $1,2,3,4,9,10,11,12$ ); B) 150 mM in 0.5 M Tris/HCl-buffer pH 8.5 (Table 2, entries 5 and 13); C) 900 mM in 0.5 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 6.0 (Table 2, entries 6 and 14); C) 900 mM in 0.5 M Tris/HCl-buffer pH 8.5 (Table 2, entry 8); D) 900 mM in water (Table 2, entry 7).

Experimental procedure: When applicable, ligand stock solutions ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were first added to the solution of precursor 11 d in a 3 mL V-vial containing a magnetic stirring bar. Immediately before addition to the precursor solution, the catalyst mixture was prepared as follows: Aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$-solutions, $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (Table 2, entries $1,3,4,6,7,9,11,12$, and 14 ; concentration depending on experiment, see above) or $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (Table 2, entries $2,5,8,10,13$; concentration depending on experiment, see above) were mixed with aqueous Na -ascorbate solutions ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, concentration and buffer depending on experiment, see above), and then half of the total volume was added to the corresponding precursor solution. A solution of $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoroethylazide in acetonitrile ( $150 \quad \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $50-150 \mathrm{MBq}$ ) was added, and the solution was thoroughly mixed by pipetting up and down. The reaction vial was then sealed with a septum screw cap, and the mixture was incubated at the indicated temperature ( $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), while stirring with a magnetic stirring bar. After 15 min and 30 min , samples were retrieved using a syringe, and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Immediately before HPLC analysis, samples were thawed by diluting to an appropriate activity concentration with water containing $0.1 \%$ TFA, and directly injected. Analytical yield (conversion) was calculated based on the peak areas of unreacted $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoroethylazide and click product $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$ in the radio-trace.
4.3.4. Radiosynthesis, purification, and formulation of $\left[{ }^{18} F\right] \mathbf{6}$ and $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$

The intermediate 2-[ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ fluoroethylazide $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{5}$ - prepared as described in Section 4.3.2 - was co-distilled at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a constant stream of argon ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) into a 3 mL septum-capped V-vial pre-cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which contained a solution of precursor 11 d ( $2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) or $\mathbf{4}(2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMSO $(500 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. In the meantime, stock solutions of $60 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \times 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in water and 900 mM sodium l-ascorbate in 0.5 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 6.0 were prepared. When co-distillation of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 5$ was complete (ca. 10 min ), the collector vial was quickly warmed up to room temperature, and $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the aqueous catalyst mixture (prepared immediately before addition to the reaction vessel by mixing $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \times 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-stock solution with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the Na ascorbate stock solution) was manually added via a syringe, which corresponds to 3 equiv. of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and 30 equiv. of ascorbate ( $90 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in the final reaction mixture. Following reaction at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , the reaction mixture was diluted with water acidified with $0.1 \%$ TFA ( 1.5 mL ) and loaded onto the C 18 semi-preparative HPLC-column pre-conditioned with the initial eluent mixture (for $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6: 30 \%$ acetonitrile in water - both eluents containing $0.1 \%$ TFA; for $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13: 27 \%$ acetonitrile in water - both eluents containing $0.1 \%$ TFA) via a preparative sample loop. The flow was increased stepwise from $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ to $7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ within 1 min , and elution with the initial eluent mixture was continued for 3.5 min , followed by a linear gradient (for [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6$ : $30 \%-40 \%$ in 5 min followed by isocratic elution for 5 min ; for $\left[{ }^{[8} \mathrm{F}\right] \mathbf{1 3 :} 27 \%-29.5 \%$ in 10 min ). Retention time for $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$ on these systems was about 9 min . The product fraction (total volume ca. $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was diverted into a septum-capped bottle containing water ( 35 mL ). The purified radiotracers were trapped on a $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ Sep-Pak ${ }^{\circledR}$ cartridge, rinsed with
water ( 9 mL ), eluted with ethanol ( 1 mL ) into a $3 \mathrm{~mL} V$-vial, evaporated to dryness at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a stream of argon, and redissolved in DMSO to the desired target concentration for subsequent in vitro and in vivo studies.

### 4.4. In vitro characterization of radiotracers

### 4.4.1. Distribution coefficient $\log D_{7.4}$ in 1-octanol/PBS pH 7.4

$\log D_{7.4}$ values were measured using the shake flask method. To 1-octanol ( 0.5 mL ) and PBS pH $7.4(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, which had been presaturated with each other, was added $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the final purified radiotracer stock solution in DMSO (ca. 1 MBq , radiochemical purity as determined by analytical HPLC was $>98 \%$ ), and the solvents were thoroughly mixed in an eppendorf mixer for 30 min (in addition, the tubes were manually mixed in $5-10$ min intervals using a vortex). Following phase separation by centrifugation in an eppendorf centrifuge at $16,100 \mathrm{rcf}$ for 5 min , aliquots of both phases ( $3 \times 25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ for each phase) were counted in a $\gamma$-counter (Wizard 1480; PerkinElmer). Log $D$ was calculated as log (cpm in octanol/ cpm in PBS).

### 4.4.2. Radiotracer stability in PBS pH 7.4 and in mouse serum

The radiotracers were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under gentle agitation ( 350 rpm ) in either PBS pH 7.4 or mouse serum (Balb/C mouse serum, purchased from Innovative Research, and filtered through a $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PTFE syringe filter before use) at activity concentrations of $10-15 \mathrm{MBq} / \mathrm{mL}$, and $25-50 \mathrm{MBq} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. Maximum DMSO concentration in the incubation mixtures (due to addition of the tracer stock solution in DMSO) was below $2 \%$. For the PBSincubation, aliquots were removed after $0,1,2$, and 3 h , and directly analyzed by radio-HPLC. For the serum samples, aliquots ( $150-250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were retrieved (same time points), thoroughly mixed with an equal volume of ice-cold acetonitrile ( $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and proteins separated by centrifugation in an Eppendorf centrifuge (16,100 rcf, 5 min ). An aliquot of the supernatant was removed for radio-HPLC, and another aliquot ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) for measuring of radioactivity in the supernatant. To quantify probe binding to serum proteins, the remaining supernatant was discarded, and radioactivity of the pellet and supernatant-aliquot determined in the gamma counter. Importantly, before injection into the HPLC, supernatants were first 10 -fold diluted with eluent A ( $0.1 \%$ TFA in water). To eliminate any effects due to sample processing, stability values (\% intact radiotracer) were reported relative to the control sample $(t=0)$, for which the amount of intact probe was set to $100 \%$.

### 4.5. Animal studies

Mice were housed and handled according to institutional guidelines complying with European legislation and the Dutch national law "Wet op de Dierproeven" (Staatsblad 1985, 336). Approval of the local IACUC was obtained prior to the commencement of the studies. Female nude BALB/c mice (19-24 g body weight; Charles River Laboratories, USA) were inoculated subcutaneously with $3 \times 10^{6}$ MDA-MB-231-LITG cells in $100 \mu$ L of sterile phosphate-buffered saline and were used when the tumors had reached a size of $70-350 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ (typically after 17-23 days); tumor weights as determined after dissection were $0.141 \pm 0.077 \mathrm{~g}$ ( $n=12$ ). Animals were kept in a temperature-controlled environment with a $12-\mathrm{h} \mathrm{light} / 12-\mathrm{h}$ dark cycle. They received a standard diet (Sniff R/M-H, Sniff Spezialdiäten GmbH, Soest, The Netherlands) and acidified water ad libitum.

Four groups of mice ( $n=3-4$ ) were intravenously injected with radiotracers $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{6}$ ( $0.2-0.3 \mathrm{MBq}$, ca. 60 ng of $\mathbf{6}$ for the low-dose biodistribution; $\sim 3.5 \mathrm{MBq}$, ca. $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathbf{6}$ for the blood kinetics) and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13$ ( $0.3-0.4 \mathrm{MBq}$, ca. 100 ng of 13 for the low-dose
biodistribution; $\sim 8.5 \mathrm{MBq}$, ca. $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathbf{1 3}$ for the blood kinetics), administered as solutions in 10 mM sodium phosphate-buffered $0.9 \%$ saline (pH 5.5) containing $10 \%$ vol. DMSO. To assess blood clearance, blood samples ( $20-30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were drawn from the vena saphena at $2-5-10-30-60-120 \mathrm{~min}$ post radiotracer injection. After 3 h , mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, blood was obtained by cardiac puncture, and selected tissues and organs were harvested, blotted dry and weighed. Phosphate-buffered saline $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added to the samples, and sample radioactivity was measured in a $\gamma$-counter (Wizard 1480 from PerkinElmer; energy window $400-600 \mathrm{keV}$ ) along with standards to determine the percentage of injected dose per gram of tissue (\%ID/g) and the percentage of injected dose per organ (\%ID/organ). For blood, muscle, and bone, the uptake of tracer as \%ID/organ was calculated from the \%ID/g values assuming that these organs constitute 6,41 , and $11 \%$ of the body weight. The \%ID/organ values for stomach, small and large intestines relate to organ including content. Data analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism version 6.04. All data are presented as mean $\%$ ID/g or \%ID/organ $\pm$ one standard deviation (SD). $P$-values were calculated using a 2 -tailed unpaired Student's $t$ test. Differences were considered statistically significant when the $P$-value was less than 0.05 . For calculation of blood half-lives, blood clearance data was fitted using two-phase exponential decay functions ( $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 6: R^{2}=0.987$; $\left.\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 13: R^{2}=0.998\right)$.

For PET-CT imaging, mice were anesthetized using medical air containing $2-3 \%$ isoflurane ( $0.4 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ ), and anesthesia was maintained throughout the whole experimental procedure with medical air containing $1-2 \%$ isoflurane ( $0.4 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Body temperature was stabilized by heating the animal bed via a continuous flow of warm air. After sedation, the tail vein was cannulated and radiotracers [ ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$ ] $\mathbf{6}\left(12 \mathrm{MBq}, 4.8 \mathrm{nmol}\right.$ ) and [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{1 3}$ ( $13 \mathrm{MBq}, 3.7 \mathrm{nmol}$ ) were administered as solutions in 10 mM sodium phosphate-buffered $0.9 \%$ saline ( pH 5.5 ) containing $10 \%$ vol. DMSO. Immediately after injection of the radiotracers, a 2 h whole body PET list-mode scan was acquired on a MOSAIC Animal PET scanner (Philips Medical Systems, Cleveland, OH ) with an axial resolution of 2.2 mm , and a transmission scan using a Cs-137 external source was performed for attenuation correction. After the PET-scan, a whole-body CT scan with a resolution of 0.1 mm was acquired on a NanoSPECT-CT scanner (Bioscan Inc., Washington, DC). The animal positioning was kept constant during both scans. To enhance soft tissue contrast, the acquisition parameters were set to 45 kV peak tube voltage, 80 mm field of view, and 360 projections at 2000 ms per projection. After the scan, blood was retrieved by cardiac puncture, and animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and dissected. Selected tissues were counted in the $\gamma$-counter as described above. \%ID/g and \% ID/organ values were similar to the biodistribution results obtained for the two groups of mice employed for the blood clearance study (results not shown here). PET images for the timeframes of interest were reconstructed with a 3DRAMLA algorithm employing attenuation correction, and CT images with an Exact Cone Beam Filtered Back Projection (Logan filter) to a resolution of $0.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Co-registration of PET and CT images was performed with a Philips IMALYTICS Research Workstation (Version 3.0, Philips Research, Aachen, Germany) based on anatomical landmarks and a rigid registration algorithm. Volumes of interest (VOI) for analysis of tracer levels in muscle and tumor were defined by manual segmentation of the reconstructed CT images.
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[^0]:    Abbreviations: n-BuLi, n-butyl lithium; DMF, N,N-dimethylformamide; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; HSQC, heteronuclear single quantum coherence; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; \%ID/g, percentage of injected dose per gram of tissue; KOtBu, potassium tert-butoxide; NaOMe, sodium methoxide; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; PEG, polyethylene glycol; PET-CT, positron emission tomography - computed tomography; SAR, structure-activity relationship; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; VOI, volume of interest.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Unless noted otherwise, all reactions were carried out by adding $2-\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] f l \mathrm{l}$ oroethylazide [ $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 5$ in acetonitrile ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) to a solution of 2-propargyl-calix[4]arene $\mathbf{1 1 d}(1.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and the indicated ligand in either DMSO or DMSO- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, followed by addition of an aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} / \mathrm{Na}$-ascorbate solution, which was prepared immediately before use by pre-mixing the appropriate stock solutions of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and Na -ascorbate in either 0.5 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 6.0 or $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tris/HCl}$ buffer pH 8.5 . Total volume of DMSO in the reaction mixtures was $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total volume of water $125 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, and buffer concentration in the final mixture was ca. 47 mM . Reaction mixtures were stirred with a magnetic stirring bar.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} n=2$.
    c $n=4$.
    ${ }^{\text {d }} n=3$.
    e $n=5$.
    ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Reaction mixture contained water ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) instead of DMSO ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ).
    ${ }^{g}$ Results obtained using the semi-automated radiosynthesis procedure for routine production of the tracers ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ of $\mathbf{4}$ or $\mathbf{1 1 d}$ in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO, $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of buffered aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} / \mathrm{Na}$-ascorbate solution, $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{5}$ in acetonitrile, ca. $300-400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, directly distilled onto the precursor solution in DMSO).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Reaction with 28-(3'-butynyloxy)calix[4]arene 4 instead of 11d.

