

Remark on a paper by J.W.P. Hirschfeld

Citation for published version (APA):

Cuppen, J. J. M. (1976). Remark on a paper by J.W.P. Hirschfeld. (Eindhoven University of Technology : Dept of Mathematics : memorandum; Vol. 7608). Technische Hogeschool Èindhoven.

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/1976

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

 The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

TECHNISCHE HOGESCHOOL EINDHOVEN

S

Onderafdeling der Wiskunde

Memorandum 1976-08

mei 1976

Remark on a paper by J.W.P. Hirschfeld

by

J.J.M. Cuppen

Technische Hogeschool Onderafdeling der Wiskunde PO Box 513, Eindhoven Nederland

~

1. Introduction

In the paper: Ovals in Desarguesian Planes of Even Order [1] Hirschfeld shows (theorem 4, corollary 1) that there exist no more projectively distinct ovals with representation D(k) than D(2), D(4) and D(6). Below we shall show that the ovals, thus represented, are indeed mutually projectively distinct.

2. Preliminary considerations

In this paper we shall restrict ourselves to ovals 0 in PG(2,32) which have a representation of the form D(k) (for definitions and notation see [1], p. 79).

Representations D(k) of an oval 0 in PG(2,32) depend completely on the frame used to define a coordinate system in PG(2,32).^{*} We shall only use frames (P,Q,R,S) with P = (0,0,1), Q = (0,1,0), R = (1,0,0), S = (1,1,1) where P, Q, R and S all are points on the oval 0 under consideration.

Let θ be an oval with representation D(k) for a suitable frame (P,Q,R,S). Let a $\in \gamma_0$ ($\gamma = GF(32)$). Since

$$\{(1,t,t^{k}) \mid t \in \gamma\} = \{(1,a^{-1}t,(a^{-1}t)^{k} \mid t \in \gamma\} = \{(1,a^{-1}t,a^{-k}t^{-k} \mid t \in \gamma\}.$$

D(k) is also the representation of 0 for any frame (P,Q,R,S') with S' \neq {P,Q,R}, S' on 0 (for the frame (P,Q,R,S): S' = (1,a,a^k)). (1)

If θ has a representation as a translation oval and this representation is D(k) then by definition ([1], p. 79) we have for a ϵ γ :

$$\{(1,t,t^{k}) \mid t \in \gamma\} = \{1,(t+a),(t+a)^{k} \mid t \in \gamma\} = \{(1,t+a,t^{k}+a^{k}) \mid t \in \gamma\}$$

so if D(k) is the representation of 0 for some frame (P,Q,R,S) it is also the representation of 0 for any frame (P,Q,R',S) with R' $\in 0 \setminus \{P,Q,S\}$ and with (1) for any frame (P,Q,R',S') with $\{R',S'\} \subset 0 \setminus \{P,Q\}$ (R' = (1,a,a^k)). (2)

If 0 has representation D(2) for some frame (P,Q,R,S) then 0 has also representation D(2) for the frame (R,Q,P,S) since

$$\{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{t},\mathbf{t}^2) \mid \mathbf{t} \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0\} = \{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{t}^{-1},\mathbf{t}^{-2}) \mid \mathbf{t} \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0\} = \{(\mathbf{t}^2,\mathbf{t},\mathbf{1}) \mid \mathbf{t} \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0\}$$

and the transformation $(x_0, x_1, x_2) \neq (x_2, x_1, x_0)$ belongs to the transition

*) field automorphisms φ of γ have the form φ : $t \to t^{j}$, $1 \le j \le 30$, and $D(k) = \{(1,t,t^{k}) \mid t \in \gamma\} \cup \{(010),(001)\} = \{(1,t^{j},(t^{j})^{k}) \mid t \in \gamma\} \cup \{(010),(001)\} = \{(1,t^{j},(t^{k})^{j}) \mid t \in \gamma\} \cup \{(010),(001)\}.$

- 1 -

from frame (P,Q,R,S) to frame (R,Q,P,S). From (1) and (2) and the fact that $(x + y)^2 = x^2 + y^2$ for all x and y in γ we can conclude that 0 has representation D(2) for any frame (P',Q,R',S') on 0. (3)

A transformation will always work on coordinates, not on the points themselves.

3. <u>Representations equivalent to D(2)</u>

Let 0 be an oval with representation D(k) for the frame (P_k, Q_k, R_k, S_k) on 0 and representation D(2) for the frame (P_2, Q_2, R_2, S_2) on 0. We shall show that exactly one of the following three cases holds (4)

- i) k = 2, $Q_k = Q_2$ and D(k) is the representation of θ for any frame $(P_k^i, Q_k, R_k^i, S_k^i)$ on θ ,
- ii) k = 16, $R_k = Q_2$ and D(k) is the representation of θ for any frame $(P_k^{\dagger}, Q_k^{\dagger}, R_k, S_k^{\dagger})$ on θ ,
- iii) k = 30, $P_k = Q_2$ and D(k) is the representation of θ for any frame $(P_k, Q_k^{\dagger}, R_k^{\dagger}, S_k^{\dagger})$ on θ .

Now assume $Q_k \neq Q_2$. Let $Q_k^{\dagger} \in O \setminus \{P_k, R_k, Q_2\}$. We can choose R and S on 0 and, if necessary, change S_k (with (1)) in such a way that $\{P_k, R_k, S_k\} = \{Q_2, R, S\}$. Now of course $\{Q_k, Q_k^{\dagger}, Q_2\} \cap \{R, S\} = \emptyset$. We have: For the frames (Q_k, Q_2, R, S) and (P_k, Q_k, R_k, S_k) the oval 0 has representations D(2) and D(k), respectively.

The transformation T which satisfies for the frame (Q_k, Q_2, R, S) $TP_k = (001), TQ_k = (010), TR_k = (100), TS_k = (111)$ has also TD(2) = D(k)since 0 has representation D(k) for the frame (P_k, Q_k, R_k, S_k) . For the frame (Q_k', Q_2, R, S) the oval 0 has representation D(2) (by (3)) and P_k, R_k and S_k have the same coordinates as for the frame (Q_k, Q_2, R, S) . So, for $(Q_k', Q_2, R, S), T(P_k, Q_k', R_k, S_k) = ((001), (010), (100), (111))$. For this frame 0 has representation TD(2) = D(k). So we can conclude:

If 0 has representation D(k) for some frame (P_k, Q_k, R_k, S_k) and $Q_k \neq Q_2$ then 0 has this representation for any frame (P_k, Q_k', R_k, S_k) on 0 with $Q_k' \neq Q_2$.

The same argument for the cases $P_k \neq Q_2$ and $R_k \neq Q_2$ leads to a similar conclusion for P_k and R_k .

- 2 -

Now consider the following cases:

i) $P_k \neq Q_2$ and $R_k \neq Q_2$. Now we are free to choose $P'_k = R_k$ and $R'_k = P_k$ and know that 0 has representation D(k) for the frame (P'_k, Q_k, R'_k, S_k) . This yields:

$$\forall_{t \in Y_0} \exists_{s \in Y_0} [(1, t, t^k) = (s^k, s, i)], so \forall_{s \in Y_0} [(s^{1-k})^k = s^{-k}],$$

so $k(1-k) \equiv -k \pmod{31}$, so k = 0 or k = 2. Since D(0) represents no oval we find k = 2.

ii)
$$P_k \neq Q_2$$
 and $Q_k \neq Q_2$. We choose $P'_k = Q_k$ and $Q'_k = P_k$ and get:

$$\forall_{t\in\gamma} \exists_{s\in\gamma} [(1,t,t^k) = (1,s^k,s)] \text{ so } \forall_{s\in\gamma} [s^{k^2} = s]$$

 $so k^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{31}$ so k = 1 or k = 30.

Since D(1) represents no oval we find k = 30 (with [1], theorem 1, p. 81). But this result excludes that of i) so we may conclude: $R_k = Q_2$ and in case i): $Q_k = Q_2$.

Now the only other possibility is:

iii) $P_k = Q_2$. We choose $Q'_k = R_k$ on $R'_k = Q_k$ we find:

$$\forall_{t \in Y_0} \exists_{s \in Y_0} [(1, t, t^k) = (s, 1, s^k)] \text{ so } \forall_{s \in Y_0} [s^{-k} = s^{k-1}]$$

so $-k \equiv k-1 \pmod{31}$ so $k \equiv 16$.

To prove (4) we still have to show that D(16) and D(30) are indeed representations of ∂ . This follows in exactly the same manner:

 $\{(1,t,t^2) | t \in \gamma\} = \{(1,t^{16},t) | t \in \gamma\}$

so if D(2) is the representation of O for (P,Q,R,S) then D(16) is the representation for (Q,P,R,S) and:

$$\{(1,t,t^{2}) | t \in Y_{0}\} = \{(t^{-1},1,t) | t \in Y_{0}\} = \{(t^{30},1,t) | t \in Y_{0}\}$$

so if D(2) is the representation of ϑ for the frame (P,Q,R,S) then D(30) is the representation of ϑ for the frame (R,P,Q,S). q.e.d.

With (4) we have directly: The only representations D(k) equivalent with D(2) are: D(2), D(16) and D(30).

4. The relation between the representations D(4) and D(6)

In this section we shall show that the assumption $D(4) \sim D(6)$ leads to a contradiction.

Assume that there exists an oval 0 with representations D(4) and D(6) for the frames (P_4, Q_4, R_4, S_4) and (P_6, Q_6, R_6, S_6) respectively. We distinguish two cases:

i) $\{P_4, Q_4\} \cap \{P_6, Q_6, R_6\} \neq \emptyset$. With (1) and (4) we can choose R_{4} , S_{4} and S_{6} such that $\{P_{4}, Q_{4}, R_{4}, S_{4}\}$ = = { P_6, Q_6, R_6, S_6 }. Let T be the transformation with respect to (P_4, Q_4, R_4, S_4) with $TP_6 = P_4$, $TQ_6 = Q_4$, $TR_6 = R_4$, $TS_6 = S_4$ and TD(4) = D(6). Now $R_4 \neq S_6$ or $S_4 \neq S_6$, say $\mathbf{R}_{4} \neq \mathbf{S}_{6}$. Then $P_6 = R_4$ or $Q_6 = R_4$ or $R_6 = R_4$, say $P_6 = P_4$. Let $P'_6 \in O \setminus \{P_6, Q_6, R_6, S_6\}$. Now (P_4, Q_4, P'_6, S_4) is a frame for which 0 has representation D(4). Also for this frame $T(P_6^{\dagger}, Q_6, R_6, S_6) = (001), (101), (100), (111))$ and 0 has representation TD(4) = D(6) for this frame. This implies: If $P_6 = R_4$ then for any frame $(P_6^{\dagger}, Q_6^{}, R_6^{}, S_6^{})$ 0 has representation D(6). By applying the same argument we get: If P_6 (Q₆ or R₆) $\in \{R_4, S_4\}$ then 0 has representation D(6) for any frame (P_6', Q_6, R_6, S_6) on $O((P_6, Q_6', R_6, S_6)$ or (P_6, Q_6, R_6', S_6) resp.). The conclusion is that in the frame that yields representation D(6) for 0 we can choose besides S_6 (with (1)) at least one other point arbitrarily.

il) If this point is R_6 then we can transform via $(P_6, Q_6, R_6, S_6) + (P_6, Q_6, S_6, R_6)$ and we get:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \exists & [(1,t,t^{6}) = (1,1+s,1+s^{6})], \text{ so } \forall & [(1+s)^{6} = 1+s^{6}], \\ t \in Y_{01} & s \in Y_{01} \end{array}$$

so $\forall s \in Y_{01}$ [s² + s⁴ = 0] and this is not true. i2) If this point is P₆, we transform (P₆,Q₆,R₆,S₆) + (S₆,Q₆,R₆,P₆) and find: $\forall t \in Y_1 = s \in Y_1$ [(1,t,t⁶) = (1+s⁶,s+s⁶,s⁶)] so

$$\forall_{s \in \gamma_1} [(\frac{s+s^6}{1+s^6})^6 = \frac{s^6}{1+s^6}], so \forall_{s \in \gamma} [(1+s^5)^6 = (1+s^6)^5]$$

but since $(1+s^5)^6 + (1+s^6)^5$ is a polynomial of degree less than 31 and $(1+s^5)^6 + (1+s^6)^5 = s^6 + s^{10} + s^{20} + s^{24} \neq 0$ this cannot be true.

i3) If this point is Q_6 then we transform via $(P_6, Q_6, R_6, S_6) + (P_6, S_6, R_6, Q_6)$ and find:

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall_{t\in\gamma_{1}} \exists_{s\in\gamma_{1}} \left[(1,t,t^{6}) = (1+s,s,s+s^{6}) \right], \ \text{so} \ \forall_{s\in\gamma_{1}} \left[(\frac{s}{1+s})^{6} = \frac{s+s^{6}}{1+s} \right], \\ \text{so} \ \forall_{s\in\gamma_{1}} \left[s^{5} = (1+s^{5})(1+s)^{5} = 1+s+s^{4}+s^{6}+s^{9}+s^{10} \right], \ \text{so} \\ \forall_{s\in\gamma_{1}} \left[1+s+s^{4}+s^{5}+s^{6}+s^{9}+s^{10}=0 \right] \ \text{and also this cannot be true on the} \\ \text{same grounds.} \end{array} \right] \\ \text{So we find: the assumption} \left\{ P_{4}, Q_{4} \right\} \cap \left\{ P_{6}, Q_{6}, R_{6} \right\} \neq \emptyset \ \text{leads to a contradiction.} \\ \text{ii)} \ \left\{ P_{4}, Q_{4} \right\} \cap \left\{ P_{6}, Q_{6}, R_{6} \right\} = \emptyset. \end{array} \\ \text{We choose S}_{6} = P_{4}, \ S_{4} = P_{6}, \ R_{4} = R_{6} \ \text{and have, for the frame} \left(P_{4}, Q_{4}, R_{4}, S_{4} \right): \\ Q_{6} = (1, a, a^{4}) \ \text{for some } a \in \gamma_{01}. \ \text{The transformation T:} \\ (x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}) \neq ((a+a^{2}+a^{3})x_{0}+(1+a+a^{2}+a^{3})x_{1}+x_{2}, x_{1}+x_{2}, x_{1}a^{3}+x_{2}) \\ \text{transforms } P_{6} \ \text{in } (0, 0, 1), \ R_{6} \ \text{in } (1, 0, 0), \ Q_{6} \ \text{in } (0, 1, 0) \ \text{and } S_{6} \ \text{in } (1, 1, 1). \\ \text{So it must transform D(4) in D(6). But then we must have TQ_{4} \in D(6), \ \text{so:} \\ TQ_{4} = (1+a+a^{2}+a^{3}, 1, a^{3}) = (1, s, s^{6}), \ \text{for some } a \in \gamma, \ \text{so} \\ (\frac{1}{1+a+a^{2}+a^{3}})^{6} = \frac{a^{3}}{1+a+a^{2}+a^{3}}, \ \text{so} \ (\frac{1+a}{1+a})^{6} = \frac{a^{3}(1+a)}{1+a^{4}} \\ \text{so} \ (1+a^{4})^{5}a^{3} = (1+a)^{5}, \ \text{so} \ (1+a^{4})^{4}a^{3} = 1+a, \ \text{so} \ (1+a^{32})a^{6} = (1+a)^{2}, \ \text{so} \end{array} \right$$

 $a^6 = 1 + a$. But $a^6 + a + 1$ is an irreducible polynomial over GF(2) so $a \in \gamma \cap GF(2^6) = GF(2)$ and this leads to the desired contradiction since $a^6 + a = 0$ for $a \in GF(2)$.

We have now that $D(4) \sim D(6)$ leads to a contradiction and conclude, with [2], theorem 12, corollary 1, p. 790 that there are exactly three projectively distinct D(k) over GF(32) i.e. D(2), D(4) and D(6).

References

s

- [1] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, Ovals in Desarguesian Planes of Even Order. Ann. di. Mat. 102 (1975), pp. 79-89.
- [2] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, Rational Curves on quadrics over finite fields of characteristic two. Rend. Mat. e Appl. (6) 3 (1971), pp. 772-795.

- 5 -