

Note on a paper by M. Laczkovich on functions with measurable differences (Erdős' conjecture)

Citation for published version (APA):

Janssen, A. J. E. M. (1978). *Note on a paper by M. Laczkovich on functions with measurable differences (Erdős' conjecture)*. (Eindhoven University of Technology : Dept of Mathematics : memorandum; Vol. 7814). Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1978

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

696810

EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Mathematics

Memorandum 1978-14

Issued November 1978

Note on a paper by M.Laczkovich on functions with
measurable differences (Erdős'conjecture)

by

A.J.E.M.Janssen

Eindhoven University of Technology
Department of Mathematics
P.O.Box 513, Eindhoven
The Netherlands

Abstract.

This note is meant to simplify certain parts of M.Laczkovich's proof of Erdős's conjecture about functions with measurable differences. The (pseudo)norm occurring in Laczkovich's proof is replaced by a norm (with essentially the same properties as Laczkovich's norm) that admits easy manipulation. The other parts of Laczkovich's proof of Erdős's conjecture need hardly any alteration when Laczkovich's norm is replaced by the one introduced in this note. It is further shown that the crucial property of Laczkovich's norm (as given in [L], Theorem 2) can be derived from the corresponding property of our norm.

1. Introduction.

M.Laczkovich recently proved the following theorem ([L], Theorem 3). If f is a real-valued function defined on \mathbb{R} for which $f(x+h)-f(x)$ is measurable as a function of x for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$, then f can be written as $f=g+H+S$, where g is measurable over \mathbb{R} , H is additive and, for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$, $S(x+h)=S(x)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This theorem was conjectured in 1951 by P.Erdős in connection with work of N.G. de Bruijn concerning difference properties of certain classes of real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R} (cf. [B1] and [B2]). The proof of this theorem as presented by Laczkovich in his paper is pretty complicated, and the purpose of this note is to simplify some of the arguments used.

Laczkovich introduces for his proof a (pseudo)norm in the space S of all real-valued measurable functions defined on \mathbb{R} and periodic with period 1. The (pseudo)norm is defined by

$$\| f \| := \inf\{a + \lambda(\{x \in [0, 1] \mid |f(x)| \geq a\}) \mid a > 0\}$$

for $f \in S$ (cf. [L], section 2). Here λ denotes ordinary Lebesgue measure. A number of properties of $\| \cdot \|$ are listed in [L](section 2, (3)-(9)), and a kind of "spread" is introduced by putting

$$s(f) := \inf\{\|f - c \cdot e\| \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

for $f \in S$ (cf. [L], section 2, Lemma 1). Here e is the constant function with $e(x)=1$ for all x .

Denote for $f \in S$, $h \in \mathbb{R}$ by $T_h f$ the element of S given by $(T_h f)(x) = f(x+h)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$). The following fact (cf. [L], Theorem 2) turns out to be crucial in the proof of [L], Theorem 3. If $(f_n)_n$ is a sequence in S , then $s(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) if and only if $\|T_h f_n - f_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$. The proof given in [L] of this property is completely elementary but complicated, and we believe that this is caused by the fact that Laczkovich'norm is somewhat uneasy to handle.

2. A suitable norm for the space S .

We introduce a norm for the space S (with essentially the same properties as Laczkovich'one) that admits easy manipulation.

Definition 1. For $f \in S, g \in S$ we put

$$d(f,g) := \int_0^1 \frac{|f(x)-g(x)|}{1+|f(x)-g(x)|} dx, \quad \|f\|_d := d(f,0).$$

It is easy to prove that d is a (semi)metric in S (cf. [H], Ch.VIII, section 42, exercise (4)).

We list some further properties of d and $\|\cdot\|_d$ in the following lemma (cf.[L], section 2, (3)-(9)).

Lemma 1. Let $f \in S, g \in S$. Then we have

- (i) $0 \leq d(f,g) < 1$,
- (ii) $d(f,g)=0$ if and only if $f=g$ (a.e.),
- (iii) $\|f+g\|_d \leq \|f\|_d + \|g\|_d$,
- (iv) $\|T_h f\|_d = \|f\|_d$ ($h \in \mathbb{R}$),
- (v) $\lambda(\{x \in [0,1] \mid |f(x)| \geq a\}) \leq \|f\| \frac{1+a}{a}$ ($a > 0$),
- (vi) if $(f_n)_n$ is a sequence in S , then $\|f_n\|_d \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) if and only if $f_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) in measure,
- (vii) $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|T_h f - f\|_d = 0$.

Proof. The proofs of the properties (i) and (ii) are trivial, and as to property (iii) we note that

$$\frac{a}{1+a} + \frac{b}{1+b} \geq \frac{a+b}{1+a+b} \quad (a \geq 0, b \geq 0).$$

Property (iv) follows from periodicity of f .

Property (v) is proved as follows. Let $a > 0$, and let $E := \{x \in [0,1] \mid |f(x)| \geq a\}$. Then we have

$$\|f\|_d \geq \int_E \frac{|f(x)|}{1+|f(x)|} dx \geq \int_E \frac{a}{1+a} dx = \frac{a}{1+a} \lambda(E).$$

Hence $\lambda(E) \leq \frac{1+a}{a} \|f\|_d$.

To prove property (vi), let $(f_n)_n$ be a sequence in S . If $\|f_n\|_d \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), then $\lambda(\{x \in [0,1] \mid |f_n(x)| \geq a\}) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for every $a > 0$ by property (v), whence $f_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) in measure. If $f_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) in measure and $a > 0$, then

$$\|f_n\|_d \leq \frac{a}{1+a} + \lambda(\{x \in [0,1] \mid |f_n(x)| \geq a\}) < a$$

if n is sufficiently large. Hence $\|f_n\|_d \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Finally property (vii). Let $(t_n)_n$ be a sequence of step functions in S with $t_n \rightarrow f$ (a.e.). Then $\|f - t_n\|_d \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) by property (vi), and it follows from property (iii) and (iv) that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_h f - f\|_d &\leq \|T_h f - T_h t_n\|_d + \|T_h t_n - t_n\|_d + \|t_n - f\|_d = \\ &= 2\|t_n - f\|_d + \|T_h t_n - t_n\|_d. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $\varepsilon > 0$ is given, take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f - t_n\|_d < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Since $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|T_h t_n - t_n\|_d = 0$ we find $\|T_h f - f\|_d < \varepsilon$ if h is sufficiently small. \square

The above lemma shows that our norm $\|\cdot\|_d$ has essentially the same properties as the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of Laczkovich (we do not have property (7) of [L], but in the proof of Theorem 3 of [L] property (v) of the above lemma is equally useful).

3. Main property of $\|\cdot\|_d$.

We derive now the main property of $\|\cdot\|_d$ (i.e. [L], Theorem 2 with $\|\cdot\|_d$ instead of $\|\cdot\|$). We first introduce a notion of spread for elements of S (cf. [L], section 2).

Definition 2. Let $f \in S$. We define (recall that $e(x)=1$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$))

$$s_d(f) := \inf\{\|f-c \cdot e\|_d \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $f \in S$. Then

- (i) there exists a $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f-c_0 \cdot e\|_d = s_d(f)$,
- (ii) $s_d(T_x f) = s_d(f)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (iii) $s_d(f) \leq \int_0^1 \|T_h f - f\|_d dh$,
- (iv) $\|T_h f - f\|_d \leq 2s_d(f)$ for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. As to (i) we observe that $\|f-c \cdot e\|_d$ depends continuously on $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and that $\|f-c \cdot e\|_d \rightarrow 1$ if $|c| \rightarrow \infty$ by Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence. Since $\|f\|_d < 1$ we can find an $A > 0$ such that $\|f-c \cdot e\|_d \geq \|f\|_d$ for $|c| \geq A$. Now $s_d(f) = \inf\{\|f-c \cdot e\|_d \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is attained at some point $c_0 \in [-A, A]$.

Property (ii) follows at once from Lemma 1, (iv).

Now we prove property (iii). We obtain by Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \|T_h f - f\|_d dh &= \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{1 + |f(x+h) - f(x)|} dx \right\} dh = \\ &= \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{1 + |f(x+h) - f(x)|} dh \right\} dx = \int_0^1 \|T_x f - f(x) \cdot e\|_d dx. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of $s_d(f)$ and (ii) we get

$$\int_0^1 \|T_x f - f(x) \cdot e\|_d dx \geq \int_0^1 s_d(T_x f) dx = \int_0^1 s_d(f) dx = s_d(f).$$

Hence $\int_0^1 \|T_h f - f\|_d dh \geq s_d(f)$.

To prove property (iv), we note that for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $h \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|T_h f - f\|_d \leq \|T_h f - c \cdot e\|_d + \|f - c \cdot e\|_d = 2\|f - c \cdot e\|_d$$

by Lemma 1, (iii) and (iv). By taking the infimum over all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ at the right hand side, we get $\|T_h f - f\|_d \leq 2s_d(f)$ for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$. □

We arrive now at the counterpart of [L], Theorem 2 for $\|\cdot\|_d$.

Theorem 1. Let $(f_n)_n$ be a sequence in S . Then we have $s_d(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) if and only if $\|\tau_h f_n - f_n\|_d \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. First assume that $s_d(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). It follows at once from Lemma 2, (iv) that $\|\tau_h f_n - f_n\|_d \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$.

Next assume that $\|\tau_h f_n - f_n\|_d \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\|\tau_h f_n - f_n\|_d < 1$ ($h \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}$) we have by lemma 2, (iii) and Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence

$$s_d(f_n) \leq \int_0^1 \|\tau_h f_n - f_n\|_d dh \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad \square$$

Analyzing the proof of [L], Theorem 3 we see that this proof needs no alterations if $\|\cdot\|_d$ instead of $\|\cdot\|$ is used, perhaps except in the proof of the assertion about G in (22). There [L], section 2, property (7) is employed, but we may use Lemma 1, (v) instead (the assertion about G can also be proved by noting that for every sequence $(f_n)_n$ in S with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_d < \infty$ we have $f_n \rightarrow 0$ (a.e.)).

Remark. It is equally possible to get to Laczkovich's main result (i.e. his Theorem 3) by first deriving [L], Theorem 2 (which is our Theorem 1 with $\|\cdot\|$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_d$) from our Theorem 1, and then leaving the proof of [L], Theorem 3 as it is.

Indeed, let $(f_n)_n$ be a sequence in S with $\|\tau_h f_n - f_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$. We shall show that $s(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

We first note that, for every sequence $(g_n)_n$ in S , $\|g_n\|_d \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) if and only if $\|g_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) by Lemma 1, (vi) and [L], section 2, (9). Hence $\|\tau_h f_n - f_n\|_d \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$.

It follows from Theorem 1 that $s_d(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). We can find by Lemma 2, (i) a sequence $(c_n)_n$ in \mathbb{R} with $s_d(f_n) = \|f_n - c_n \cdot e\|_d$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Now $\|f_n - c_n \cdot e\|_d \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), whence $\|f_n - c_n \cdot e\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$s(f_n) \leq \|f_n - c_n \cdot e\|$$

we conclude that $s(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

The proof of the converse statement can be given along the same lines as the proof of the first part of our Theorem 1.

References.

- [B1] Bruijn, N.G. de: Functions whose differences belong to a given class,
Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde, 23, (1951), pp. 194-218.
- [B2] Bruijn, N.G. de: A difference property for Riemann integrable functions
and for some similar classes of functions,
Indagationes Mathematicae, 14, (1952), pp. 145-151.
- [H] Halmos, P.R.: Measure Theory (fourth printing), The University
Series in Higher Mathematics, D. van Nostrand Company
Inc., Princeton, New Jersey (1956).
- [L] Laczkovich, M.: Functions with measurable differences.