

On the continuity of a reduction-amplification operator in quantum mechanics

Citation for published version (APA):

Eijndhoven, van, S. J. L., & Graaf, de, J. (1984). On the continuity of a reduction-amplification operator in *quantum mechanics*. (Eindhoven University of Technology : Dept of Mathematics : memorandum; Vol. 8407). Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven.

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/1984

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

70250L

EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Mathematics and Computing Science

Memorandum 84-07

May 1984

ON THE CONTINUITY OF

A REDUCTION-AMPLIFICATION OPERATOR

IN QUANTUM MECHANICS

by

S.J.L. van Eijndhoven and J. de Graaf

Eindhoven University of Technology P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven The Netherlands

ON THE CONTINUITY OF

A REDUCTION-AMPLIFICATION OPERATOR

IN QUANTUM MECHANICS

by

S.J.L. van Eijndhoven and J. de Graaf

Abstract

In the Banach algebra B(H) of bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space H we investigate the continuity of the linear mapping

 $A \leftrightarrow P_{c}^{\star}A = \sum_{nm\bar{n}} \langle no|A|mo \rangle |n\bar{n} \rangle \langle m\bar{n}|$

with respect to the uniform, the ultra-strong, the strong and the weak topologies on B(H).

AMS Classifications 47D25 47D45

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Let *H* be a Hilbert space. Let B(H) denote the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on *H*. Informally the operator $P_{C}^{*} : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ is defined by means of Dirac brackets in the following way

$$P_{c}^{*} A = \sum_{n \neq n} \langle n o | A | m o \rangle | n n \rangle \langle m n | .$$

Here the operator A is supposed to have the matrix representation

$$A = \sum_{n n n m m} \langle n n | A | m m \rangle | n n \rangle \langle m m | .$$

The problems posed by W.M. de Muynck, cf. [M], are the following:

- (i) Show that for each $A \in B(H)$ the operator $P_{C}^{*}A$ is well defined and belongs to B(H).
- (ii) Investigate the continuity of the mapping $P_{c}^{*}: B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ in the uniform, the strong and the weak topologies of B(H).

MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION AND RESULTS

Let X be a separable Hilbert space with a fixed orthonormal basis $(v_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Let $H = X \otimes X$ denote the two-fold tensor product of X. Then $(v_n \otimes v_{\overline{n}})_{n,\overline{n}=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis in H.

In X define the operator P_{nm} by $P_{nm}f = (f, v_n)_X v_m$. In H the operator $P_{nm} \otimes I$ can be written

$$(\mathbf{P}_{n\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{I})\mathbf{F} = \sum_{\overline{n}=0}^{\infty} (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{v}_{n} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\overline{n}})_{H} (\mathbf{v}_{m} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\overline{n}}) .$$

Next, let A be a bounded linear operator on H, i.e., $A \in B(H)$, and define the matrix $(a_{nm})_{n,m=0}^{\infty}$ by

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{m}} = (\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{0}}), (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{0}}))_{H}$$

Our first problem is to give a mathematical meaning to the sequence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm} (P_{nm} \otimes I)$$

Let P_0 denote the projection in X defined by $P_0 f = (f, v_0)_X v_0$. The projection operator I $\otimes P_0$ on H can be written

$$(\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{P}_0)\mathbf{F} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{v}_n \otimes \mathbf{v}_0)_H (\mathbf{v}_n \otimes \mathbf{v}_0) .$$

For any operator $A \in B(H)$ the operator $(I \otimes P_0)A(I \otimes P_0) \in B(H)$. We have $\|(I \otimes P_0)A(I \otimes P_0)\|_{B(H)} \leq \|A\|_{B(H)}$.

Further $(I \otimes P_0)A(I \otimes P_0)$ maps $H = X \otimes X$ into $X \otimes \langle v_0 \rangle$ and also $X \otimes \langle v_0 \rangle$ into $X \otimes \langle v_0 \rangle$. It is clear now that $(I \otimes P_0)A(I \otimes P_0)$ can be regarded as a mapping from X into X. This so-called 'reduction' will be denoted by A_0 . Finally, we are in a position to define the operator P_c^* :

$$P_{c}^{*}: B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$$
, $P_{c}^{*}A = A_{0} \otimes I$.

Calculation of $P_c^*A(v_p \otimes v_q)$ shows that P_c^* is indeed the desired operator as mentioned at the very beginning of this notice.

<u>Remark</u>. $P_{C}^{\star}(Q \otimes I) = Q \otimes I$ for all $Q \in B(X)$.

THEOREM 1

 P_{c}^{*} is a bounded linear operator on B(H) and

$$\|P_{\mathbf{c}}^{\star}\mathbf{A}\|_{B(H)} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{B(H)}.$$

Hence P_c^* is continuous in the uniform topology of B(H). In other words $P_c^* \in B(B(H))$. Finally $\|P_c^*\|_{B(B(H))} = 1$.

PROOF:

 $\|P_{c}^{*}A\|_{B(H)} = \|A_{0} \otimes I\|_{B(H)} = \|A_{0}\|_{B(X)} = \|(I \otimes P_{0})A(I \otimes P_{0})\|_{B(H)} \leq \|A\|_{B(H)}.$ For the special choice $A = Q \otimes P_{0}, Q \in B(X)$, we have

$$\|P_{c}^{*}(Q \otimes P_{0})\|_{B(H)} = \|Q\|_{B(X)}\|P_{0}\|_{B(X)} = \|Q\|_{B(X)} = \|Q \otimes P_{0}\|_{B(H)}.$$

For the used properties of Hilbert norms of tensor products of Hibert spaces see Weidmann [W].

. LEMMA 2

Consider the linear mapping $\Gamma : B(X) \rightarrow B(H) : Q \mapsto Q \otimes I$.

\[is the so-called 'amplification map'. See Dixmier [D].

- (i) Γ is continuous with respect to the uniform topologies of B(X) and B(H).
- (ii) Γ is continuous with respect to the ultra-strong (= strongest, [N]) topologies of B(X) and B(H).
- (iii) Γ is sequentially continuous with respect to the strong topologies of B(X) and B(H).
- (iv) Γ is sequentially continuous with respect to the weak topologies of B(X) and B(H).

PROOF:

(i) Trivial because
$$\|Q\|_{B(X)} = \|Q \otimes I\|_{B(H)}$$
.
(ii) Take a sequence $(F_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset H$ such that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|(Q \otimes I)F_k\|_H^2 < \infty$.
Write $F_k = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{k_i} \otimes v_i$ with $f_{k_i} \in X$. Then
 $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|(Q \otimes I)F_k\|_H^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|Qf_{k_i} \otimes v_i\|_H^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|Qf_{k_i}\|_X^2$.
Hence Γ maps the set $\left\{Q\Big|_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|Qf_{k_i}\|_X^2 < \varepsilon^2\right\} \subset B(X)$ into the set
 $\left\{W\Big|_{k=0}^{\infty} \|Wf_k\|_H^2 < \varepsilon^2\right\} \subset B(H)$.
(iii) Let $(Q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B(X)$. Suppose $Q_n \neq 0$ strongly, i.e. for all
 $f \in X, \|Q_n f\|_X \neq 0$. Further let $F = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k \otimes v_k \in H$. We must show that
 $\|(Q_n \otimes I)F\|_H^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|Q_n f_k \otimes v_k\|_H^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|Q_n f_k\|_X^2$.
From the Banch-Steinhaus theorem it follows that

$$\left(\| Q_n \|_{B(X)} = \| Q_n \otimes I \|_{B(H)} \right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is a bounded sequence. From this and the strong convergence of \underline{Q}_n it follows that $\|(\underline{Q}_n \otimes I)F\|_{\underline{H}} \to 0$.

(iv) Let $(Q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B(X)$. Suppose $Q_n \to 0$ weakly, i.e. for all $f, \phi \in X | (Q_n f, \phi)_X | \to 0$. Let $F = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k \otimes v_k \in H$ and $\Phi = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \phi_\ell \otimes v_\ell \in H$. We must show that $| ((Q_n \otimes I)F, \Phi)_H) \to 0$. This follows from

$$((Q_n \otimes I)F, \Phi)_H = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (Q_n f_k, \phi_k)_X$$

and the uniform boundedness of the sequence $(Q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, in a way similar to (iii).

THEOREM 3

The mapping P_{C}^{\star} : $B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$: $A \mapsto A_{O} \otimes I$ is

- (i) continuous with respect to the uniform topology on B(H),
- (ii) continuous with respect to the ultra-strong topology on B(H),
- (iii) sequentially continuous with respect to the strong topology on B(H), and

(iv) sequentially continuous with respect to the weak topology on B(H).

PROOF:

We write \mathcal{P}_{c}^{\star} as a composition of linear mappings

$$\mathcal{P}_{C}^{\star} : B(H) \to B(H) \to B(X) \to B(H)$$

$$A \mapsto (I \otimes P_{0}) A (I \otimes P_{0}) \mapsto A_{0} \mapsto A_{0} \otimes I$$
.

The desired continuity of the first arrow follows from Naimark [N], Ch. vii. See also [D]. The desired continuity of the second arrow follows because $A_0 = U^{-1}((I \otimes P_0)A(I \otimes P_0))U$ where $U : X \neq X \otimes \langle v_0 \rangle$ is a unitary bijection. Finally, the desired continuity of the third arrow follows from Lemma 2.

Next we want to show that the property of sequential continuity in Theorem 3, (iii) and (iv) cannot be replaced by continuity.

LEMMA 4

The amplification map $\Gamma : B(X) \rightarrow B(H) : E \mapsto E \otimes I$ is neither strongly nor weakly continuous.

PROOF:

(i) Consider the set of operators

ţ

$$S_{\mathbf{F}} = \left\{ \mathbf{Q} \mid \| (\mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F} \|_{H} < \epsilon^{\circ} \subset B(X) \right\}.$$

Here $F = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k \otimes v_k$ is taken fixed. We have $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_X^2 < \infty$ and we take $(f_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset X$ such that the span of the f_k is dense in X. Now suppose that there exists a finite sequence $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p) \subset X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the set

$$S_{\phi_{1},\ldots,\phi_{p}} = \left\{ \mathbb{Q} \mid \|\mathbb{Q}\phi_{1}\|_{X} < \delta \right\}, \ 1 \leq i \leq p \right\}$$

is contained in $S_{\rm F}$. By taking $Q = \alpha \Pi \in S_{\begin{subarray}{c} \phi_1, \dots, \phi_p \\ \phi_1, \dots, \phi_p \\ \end{subarray}}$ where Π is the projection operator onto the orthocomplement of span $\langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_p \rangle$ and $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently large, we get a contradiction.

(ii) Consider the set of operators

 $R_{\mathbf{FG}} = \left\{ Q \mid \left| ((Q \otimes \mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}) \right| < \varepsilon \right\} \subset B(X)$

where $\mathbf{F} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_k \otimes \mathbf{v}_k$ and $\mathbf{G} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{g}_k \otimes \mathbf{v}_k$ are taken fixed. We choose $\mathbf{f}_k = \mathbf{g}_k = (k+1)^{-1} \mathbf{v}_k$. Now suppose that there exist two finite sequences (ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_p) , $(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p) \subset X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the set

$$R_{\phi_{1},\ldots,\phi_{p},\psi_{1},\ldots,\psi_{p}} = \left\{ Q \mid |(Q\phi_{i},\psi_{i})| < \delta, \ 1 \leq i \leq p \right\}$$

is contained in $R_{\rm FG}$.

By taking $Q = \beta \Pi_1 \in R_{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p}, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_p$ where Π_1 is the projection operator onto the orthocomplement of span $\langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_p, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_p \rangle$ and $\beta > 0$ sufficiently large, we reach a contradiction.

<u>Remarks</u>. The strong topology on B(H) restricted to the amplification of B(X) is equal to the ultra-strong topology on B(X). By Dixmier [D], the ultra-strong topology on B(X) is strictly finer than the strong topology on B(X) iff X is infinite dimensional. Notice also that the ultra-strong and the strong topology coincide on bounded sets.

THEOREM 5

The reduction-amplification mapping

$$P_{c}^{\star} : B(H) \rightarrow B(H) : A \rightarrow A_{0} \otimes I$$

(i) is not continuous with respect to the strong topology on B(H).

(ii) is not continuous with respect to the weak topology on B(H).

PROOF:

(i) Consider the set $\Sigma_{F} = \{B | B = Q \otimes I, Q \in S_{F}\} \subset B(H)$ with S_{F} as in Lemma 4. Suppose there exists a finite sequence $(\Phi_{1}, \dots, \Phi_{p}) \subset H$ and $\delta > 0$ such that P_{C}^{*} maps the set

$$U_{\Phi_{1}}, \dots \Phi_{p} = \{\mathbf{A} \mid \|\mathbf{A}\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}\|_{H} < \delta \} \subset B(H)$$

into Σ_{F} . We look at operators of the form $A = Q \otimes P_{0}, Q \in B(X)$. Write $\Phi_{i} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_{ik} \otimes v_{k}$. Then $\|(Q \otimes P_{0})\Phi_{i}\|_{H} = \|Q\phi_{i0} \otimes v_{0}\|_{H} = \|Q\phi_{i0}\|_{X}$. So if the operators Q are in the set $S_{\phi_{10}, \dots, \phi_{p0}}$, the operators $A = Q \otimes P_{0}$ are in $U_{\phi_{1}, \dots, \phi_{p0}}$.

For these special operators we have $A_0 = Q$. So it follows from Lemma 4 that P_c^* does not map $U_{\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_p}$, as a whole, into Σ_F . (ii) Consider the set $\Xi_{FG} = \{B | B = Q \otimes I, Q \in R_{FG}\} \subset B(H)$ with R_{FG} as in Lemma 4. Suppose there exist finite sequences $(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_p), (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_p) \subset \subset H$ and $\delta > 0$ such that P_c^* maps the set

$$V_{\Phi_{1},\ldots,\Phi_{p},\Psi_{1},\ldots,\Psi_{p}} = \{\mathbf{A} | | (\mathbf{A}\Phi_{i},\Phi_{i}) | < \delta \}$$

into Ξ_{FG} . Again we look at operators A of the form $A = Q \otimes P_0$, $Q \in B(X)$. Write $\Psi_i = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \Psi_{i\ell} \otimes v_{\ell}$. Then

 $((Q \otimes P_0)^{\Phi_1, \Psi_1})_H = (Q_{\Phi_{10}} \otimes v_0, \Psi_{10} \otimes v_0)_H = (Q_{\Phi_{10}, \Psi_{10}})_X.$ So if the operators Q are in the set $R_{\Phi_{10}, \dots, \Phi_{p0}, \Psi_{10}, \dots, \Psi_{10}}$, then the operator $A = Q \otimes P_0$ belongs to $V_{\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_p, \Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_p}$. For these special operators we have $A = Q \otimes P_0.$ So it follows from Lemma 4 that P_c^* does not map the set $V_{\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_p, \Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_p}$, as a whole, into E_{FG} .

REFERENCES

[D] Dixmier, J. Von Neumann Algebras North Holland, Amsterdam, etc. 1981.

[M] Muynck, W.M. de, A Quantum Mechenical Theory of Local Observables and Local Operators. Foundations of Physics 14 (1984).

[N] Naimark, M.A. Normed Algebras Wolters-Noordhoff Publ. Groningen 1970.

[W] Weidmann, J. Linear Operators in Hilbert spaces. G.T.M. Vol. 68,

Springer-Verlag, Berlin, etc. 1980