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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a Lie group with a left Haar measure $d g$ and let $L$ denote the action of $G$ as left translations on $L_{p}(G ; d g)$. If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ are elements of the Lie algebra $g$ of $G$ and $A_{i}=d L\left(a_{i}\right)$ the generators of the corresponding one-parameter subgroups $t \mapsto L\left(\exp \left(t a_{i}\right)\right)$ define the $C^{n}$-subspace $L_{p ; n}^{\prime}$ as the common domain of all $n$-th order monomials $M_{n}$ in the $A_{i}$ and introduce the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p ; n}^{\prime}$ on $L_{p ; n}^{\prime}$ by $$
\|\varphi\|_{p ; n}^{\prime}=\sup _{0 \leq k \leq n}\left\|M_{k} \varphi\right\|_{p}
$$ where the supremum is over all monomials of order $k \leq n$. Then define $$
H=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{d^{\prime}} c_{i j} A_{i} A_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} c_{i} A_{i}
$$ with domain $D(H)=L_{p ; 2}^{\prime}$, where $c_{i j}, c_{i} \in \mathbf{C}$ and the real part of the matrix $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ is strictly positive-definite. We establish that for each $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle, n \in \mathbf{N}$ and all large positive $\lambda$ the spaces $L_{p ; n}^{\prime}$ and $D\left((\lambda I+\bar{H})^{n / 2}\right)$ coincide and there is a $C_{p, n, \lambda}>0$ such that $$
C_{p, n, \lambda}^{-1}\|\varphi\|_{p ; n}^{\prime} \leq\left\|(\lambda I+\bar{H})^{n / 2} \varphi\right\|_{p} \leq C_{p, n, \lambda}\|\varphi\|_{p ; n}^{\prime}
$$ for all $\varphi \in L_{p ; n}^{\prime}$. Similar inequalities are valid for left translations on the spaces $L_{p}(G ; d \hat{g})$ constructed with right Haar measure $d \hat{g}$. More generally, if $H$ is an $m$-th order subcoercive operator then $L_{p ; n}^{\prime}=$ $D\left((\lambda I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)$ with equivalent norms.

It should be emphasized that we do not assume that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ is an algebraic basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., the $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ are not required to satisfy the Hörmander condition.


## 1 Introduction

In an earlier paper, [EIR2] Theorem 5.3.I, it was established that the $C^{\infty}$-structure of each continuous representation of a Lie group coincides with the $C^{\infty}$-structure for each strongly elliptic, or subcoercive, operator, i.e., the $C^{\infty}$-elements of the representation are precisely the $C^{\infty}$-elements with respect to the subcoercive operator. It is known, however, that the the differential structures, i.e., the $C^{n}$-elements, do differ for certain representations such as the left regular representation in $L_{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ or $L_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ (see, for example, [Orn] and $[\mathrm{LeM}])$. Nevertheless, in many particular classes of representations the differential structures are the same. For strongly elliptic operators this equality was established for unitary representations in [Rob], Example II.5.10, for Lipschitz representations in [Rob], Theorem II.5.8, and for principal series representations in [Els] Theorem 6. Moreover, for subcoercive operators the coincidence was proven for unitary representations in [EIR2], Theorem 6.3.II, and for second-order operators with real symmetric coefficients and Lipschitz spaces a comparable conclusion was reached in [EIR1], Theorem 5.1.III. (The last result extends to general subcoercive operators although the proof is not explicitly given in [ElR1].) In the present paper we prove that the differential structure for the left regular representation on the $L_{p}$-spaces with respect to the left-, or right-, Haar measure on the Lie group $G$ coincides with the differential structure of each subcoercive operator if $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$.

It is perhaps worthwhile mentioning in this context that the analytic structure of a continuous representation coincides with the analytic structure for each strongly elliptic operator, [Rob] Theorem II.3.1, but there are subcoercive operators for which these structures differ, even in the case of a unitary representation, [EIR1] Example 8.2.

The comparison of the differential structures is related to the Lie group version of the boundedness of the Riesz transforms. If $H$ is the sublaplacian associated with the left derivatives $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d^{\prime}}$ then we establish that the operators $X_{n}(\nu)=M_{n}(\nu I+H)^{-n / 2}$, with $M_{n}$ an $n$-th order monomial in the $A_{i}$, are bounded on the $L_{p}$-spaces, $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$, whenever $\nu>0$. The operators $A_{i} H^{-1 / 2}$ are the analogues of the Riesz transforms and correspond to the $X_{1}$ in the limiting case $\nu=0$. It should be stressed that one cannot expect the $X_{n}(0)$ to be bounded for all groups, all sublaplacians and all $n$. Gaudry, Qian and Sjögren [GQS] have shown that for the $(a x+b)$-group, which is a non-unimodular group of exponential growth, there is an algebraic subbasis such that the operators $A_{i} H^{-1 / 2}$ are bounded on $L_{p}, p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$, but the $A_{i} A_{j} H^{-1}$ are not bounded on any of the $L_{p^{-}}$ spaces. Nevertheless, boundedness is restored if $H$ is replaced by $\nu I+H$ with $\nu>0$. The parameter $\nu$ introduces an exponential decrease in the kernels of the operators $X_{n}(\nu)$ and hence boundedness of these operators becomes a local problem, albeit a problem which has to be handled uniformly over the group. Seemingly stronger results can be obtained if one considers special classes of groups. Lohoué [Loh] established boundedness of the Riesz transforms for non-amenable unimodular groups and an algebraic basis of left derivatives but since the non-amenability is simply used to deduce an exponential decrease of the operator kernel his results follow from our arguments, even for non-unimodular groups. Folland, [Fol] Corollary 4.13, established boundedness of the Riesz transforms for stratified groups with $H$ the canonical sublaplacian but this is a simple corollary of our results and
a rescaling which removes the factor $\nu$. Other results in this direction have been given by Saloff-Coste [Sal] who proved boundedness of first-order transforms $A_{i} H^{-1 / 2}$ on polynomial groups and by Anker, [Ank], who established a similar result on noncompact symmetric spaces obtained by the quotient of a semisimple group $G$ by a maximal compact subgroup $K$. We emphasize that all our results hold for general Lie groups, which need not be unimodular.

In the sequel we adopt the general notation used in [Rob] and [EIR2] but now we consider two connected Lie groups $G$ and $G_{1}$ with $G \subseteq G_{1}$ and the continuous representation $U$ of $G$ is identified with left translations $L$ acting on the spaces $L_{p}\left(G_{1} ; d g\right)$ and $L_{p}\left(G_{1} ; d \hat{g}\right)$ where $d g$ and $d \hat{g}$ denote left and right Haar measure, respectively. We use the abbreviated notation $L_{p}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and let $\Delta$ denote the modular function over $G_{1}$. In fact, the group $G$ need not be connected since all analysis takes part on the connected component of the identity of $G$.

Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ be elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$ and let $A_{i}$, for all $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d^{\prime}\right\}$, denote the infinitesimal generator of the one-parameter group $t \mapsto L\left(\exp \left(t a_{i}\right)\right)$ from $\mathbf{R}$ into $L_{p}\left(G_{1}\right)$ or $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$. It will be clear from the context on which space the $A_{i}$ act. We also denote by $A_{i} \varphi$ the pointwise left derivative in the direction $a_{i}$ of a function $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The constant $\left(A_{i} \Delta\right)(e)$ is denoted by $b_{i}$. We use multi-index notation for products of the generators $A$ or for products of the $b_{i}$. For $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ let

$$
J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)=\bigcup_{k=0}^{n}\left\{1, \ldots, d^{\prime}\right\}^{k}
$$

If $\alpha=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right) \in\left\{1, \ldots, d^{\prime}\right\}^{k}$, we define $|\alpha|=k, A^{\alpha}=A_{i_{1}} \ldots A_{i_{k}}$ and $b^{\alpha}=b_{i_{1}} \ldots b_{i_{k}}$. Let $J\left(d^{\prime}\right)=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$. Then for each $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ we denote the subspace $\bigcap_{\alpha \in J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)} D\left(A^{\alpha}\right)$ in $L_{p}\left(G_{1}\right)$, or $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$, by $L_{p ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$, or $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$, respectively. We define a norm and a seminorm on $L_{p ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ by setting

$$
\|\varphi\|_{p ; n}^{\prime}=\sup _{\alpha \in J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)}\left\|A^{\alpha} \varphi\right\|_{p} \quad, \quad N_{p ; n}^{\prime}(\varphi)=\sup _{|\alpha|=n}\left\|A^{\alpha} \varphi\right\|_{p}
$$

for each $\varphi \in L_{p ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$, and $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}, N_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}$, are defined analogously on $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Let $L_{p ; \infty}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{p ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $L_{\hat{p} ; \infty}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$. We also adopt the corresponding notation $\mathcal{X}_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\infty}^{\prime}$ for the subspaces $\bigcap_{\alpha \in J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)} D\left(A^{\alpha}\right)$ and $\bigcap_{\alpha \in J\left(d^{\prime}\right)} D\left(A^{\alpha}\right)$ associated with the generators of a continuous representation of $G$ in a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$.

In the absence of a statement to the contrary we assume that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ is an algebraic basis for $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., a finite sequence of linearly independent elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ which generate $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus there is an integer $r$ such that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ together with all commutators $\left(\operatorname{ad} a_{i_{1}}\right) \ldots\left(\operatorname{ad} a_{i_{n-1}}\right)\left(a_{i_{n}}\right), i_{j}=1, \ldots, d^{\prime}$, where $n \leq r$, span the vector space $g$. The smallest integer $r$ with this property is referred to as the rank of the subbasis and a vector space basis is defined to have rank one. Moreover, the algebraic basis determines in a canonical fashion (see, [Rob] Section IV.4c) a modulus function $g \mapsto|g|^{\prime}$ on the group. This function in turn determines a unique local dimension $D^{\prime}$ such that the ball $B_{\rho}^{\prime}=\left\{g \in G:|g|^{\prime}<\rho\right\}$ has measure $\left|B_{\rho}^{\prime}\right|$, with respect to Haar measure on $G$, satisfying bounds $c_{1} \rho^{D^{\prime}} \leq\left|B_{\rho}^{\prime}\right| \leq c_{2} \rho^{D^{\prime}}$ for all $\rho \in\langle 0,1]$.

An $m$-th order form is a function $C: J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ such that $C(\alpha) \neq 0$ for some $\alpha \in J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ with $|\alpha|=m$. The principal part $P$ of $C$ is the form with $P(\alpha)=C(\alpha)$ if $|\alpha|=m$ and $P(\alpha)=0$ if $|\alpha|<m$. The formal adjoint $C^{\dagger}$ of $C$ is the function $C^{\dagger}: J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by $C^{\dagger}(\alpha)=(-1)^{|\alpha|} \overline{C\left(\alpha_{*}\right)}$ where $\alpha_{*}=\left(i_{n}, \ldots, i_{1}\right)$ whenever $\alpha=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$. We consider the operator

$$
d L(C)=\sum_{\alpha \in J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right)} c_{\alpha} A^{\alpha}
$$

with domain $L_{p ; m}^{\prime}(H)$ or $L_{\hat{p} ; m}^{\prime}(H)$.
Next we want to introduce the concept of subcoercive form of step $s$, with $s \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}\left(d^{\prime}, s\right)$ denote the nilpotent Lie algebra with $d^{\prime}$ generators which is free of step $s$, i.e., the quotient of the free Lie algebra with $d^{\prime}$ generators $\tilde{a}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{a}_{d^{\prime}}$ by the ideal generated by the commutators of order at least $s+1$. Further let $\tilde{G}=G\left(d^{\prime}, s\right)$ be the connected simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $g\left(d^{\prime}, s\right)$ and $L_{\tilde{G}}$ left translations on $L_{2}(\tilde{G} ; d g)$, where $d g$ denotes left Haar measure on $\tilde{G}$. We say that $C$ is an $m$-th order subcoercive form (of step $s$ ) if $m$ is even and there exists $\mu>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(d L_{\widetilde{G}}(P) \varphi, \varphi\right) \geq \mu\left(N_{2 ; m / 2}^{\prime}(\varphi)\right)^{2}
$$

for all $\varphi \in L_{2 ; \infty}(\tilde{G} ; d g)$. The largest such $\mu$ is called the ellipticity constant of $C$.
The main result of this paper is that if $C$ is a subcoercive form of order $m$ and step $r$, where $r$ is the rank of the algebraic basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the group $G$, and if $H=d L(C)$, with $L$ acting on $L_{p}\left(G_{1}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)=D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equivalent norms, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, all large $\nu$ and all $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$. A similar identification is valid on the $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$-spaces.

Finally note that if $\nu_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ is such that $\nu_{0} I+\bar{H}$ generates a bounded semigroup and if (1) is valid for some $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$ then it is automatically valid for all $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$. This follows because $D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)$ is independent of the value of $\nu$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$, by [Rob] Lemma II.3.2. Moreover, the identity (1) for one $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$ implies the $L_{p}\left(G_{1}\right)$-boundedness of the operators $M_{n}(\nu I+H)^{-n / m}$, with $M_{n}$ an $n$-th order monomial in the subelliptic derivatives $A_{i}$, for all $\nu>\nu_{0}$. But the analysis of the $(a x+b)$-group in [GQS] gives an example of a second-order operator which generates a contraction semigroup for which (1) is valid for $n=1$ and $\nu \geq 0$ but $M_{2}(\nu I+H)^{-1}$ is not bounded for the critical value $\nu=0$. Therefore the boundedness properties are more delicate.

## 2 Regularity of the left regular representation

In this section we prove that domains of the fractional powers of subcoercive operators associated with left translations of the group $G$ acting on the $L_{p}\left(G_{1}\right)$-spaces, $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$, of the larger group $G_{1}$ coincide with the corresponding $C^{n}$-vectors. We begin by observing that it suffices to establish this coincidence for the left differential operators on the space $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$.

First let $C$ be a subcoercive form of order $m$ and for $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$ define the $m$-th order forms $C_{ \pm p}$ by

$$
C_{ \pm p}=\sum_{\alpha \in J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right) \\(\beta, \gamma) \in L b(\alpha)}} c_{\alpha}( \pm p)^{-|\gamma|} b^{\gamma}
$$

where $L b(\alpha)$ is the set of all $(\beta, \gamma) \in J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ such that $\beta$ is a multi-index obtained from $\alpha$ by omission of some indices and $\gamma$ is the multi-index formed by the omitted indices, i.e., the ( $\beta, \gamma$ ) occurring are the pairs of multi-indices in the Leibniz formula for the multiderivative $A^{\alpha}$ of a product. Then the principal parts of $C_{ \pm p}$ equal the principal part of $C$, so $C_{ \pm p}$ are also subcoercive. In addition the map $C \mapsto C_{p}$ is invertible and $C=\left(C_{p}\right)_{-p}$ Since $\Delta^{-1 / p} A_{i} \Delta^{1 / p}=A_{i}+p^{-1} b_{i} I$ it follows that

$$
d L\left(C_{p}\right) \Delta^{-1 / p} \varphi=\Delta^{-1 / p} d L(C) \varphi
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Thus if $H=d L(C)$ and $H_{p}=d L\left(C_{p}\right)$ on $L_{p}\left(G_{1}\right)$ one formally has the relation

$$
H_{p}=\Delta^{-1 / p} H \Delta^{1 / p}
$$

and this is the key to the first result.

Lemma 2.1 Let $C$ be a subcoercive form of order $m$ and step $r$, and $H=d L(C)$ and $H_{p}=d L\left(C_{p}\right)$ the corresponding operators associated with left translations $L$ by the group $G$ acting on the spaces $L_{p}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ with $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$. Further let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. The following conditions are equivalent.
I. The spaces $L_{p ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $D\left(\left(\nu I+\bar{H}_{p}\right)^{n / m}\right)$ are equal, with equivalent norms, for some large $\nu>0$.
II. The spaces $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)$ are equal, with equivalent norms, for some large $\nu>0$.

Proof We only prove $I \Rightarrow$ II since the proof of the other implication is almost identical but the map $C \rightarrow C_{p}$ is replaced by its inverse. Moreover, we assume that the real part of the zero-order coefficient of $C$ is large and then we may take $\nu=0$. We begin by proving that $D\left(\bar{H}^{n / m}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$.

Let $S$ and $K$ denote the semigroup and kernel corresponding to $H$ acting on $L_{p}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $S^{p}$ and $K^{p}$ the pair corresponding to $H_{p}$. Arguing as in the proof of Corollary 3.5 of [EIR3] it follows that $K_{t}(g)=\Delta^{-1 / p}(g) K_{t}^{p}(g)$ for all $t>0$ and $g \in G$. So $S_{t} \varphi=\Delta^{1 / p} S_{t}^{p} \Delta^{-1 / p} \varphi$ for all $t>0$ and $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Since

$$
\bar{H}^{n / m} \varphi=c \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{-1-n / m}\left(I-S_{t}\right)^{n} \varphi
$$

for all $\varphi \in D^{\infty}(\bar{H})$ (see, for example, [LaR]), where

$$
c^{-1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{-1-n / m}\left(I-e^{-t}\right)^{n}
$$

with a similar expression for ${\overline{H_{p}}}^{n / m}$, it follows that

$$
\Delta^{1 / p}{\overline{H_{p}}}^{n / m} \Delta^{-1 / p} \varphi=\bar{H}^{n / m} \varphi
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$.
Finally, by assumption, one has bounds $\|\varphi\|_{p ; n}^{\prime} \leq c\left\|{\overline{H_{p}}}^{n / m} \varphi\right\|_{p}$ for $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi\|_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime} & \leq c^{\prime}\left\|\Delta^{-1 / p} \varphi\right\|_{p ; n}^{\prime} \\
& \leq c c^{\prime}\left\|{\overline{H_{p}}}^{n / m} \Delta^{-1 / p} \varphi\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq c c^{\prime}\left\|\Delta^{-1 / p} \bar{H}^{n / m} \varphi\right\|_{p}=c c^{\prime}\left\|\bar{H}^{n / m} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $c^{\prime}>0$ and all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Since $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is dense in $L_{\hat{p} ; \infty}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ by [Pou] Theorem 1.3 , it is a core for $\bar{H}^{n / m}$ and it follows that $D\left(\bar{H}^{n / m}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$.

Similarly it follows that $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $D\left(\bar{H}^{n / m}\right)$ since $L_{\hat{p} ; \infty}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and hence $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is dense in $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ by [E1R3] Lemma 2.4.

Corollary 2.2 Let $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$. The following are equivalent.
I. For any subcoercive form $C$ of order $m$ and step $r$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, all large $\nu>0$ and with $H=d L(C)$ the operator in $L_{p}$ the spaces $L_{p ; n}^{\prime}$ and $D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)$ are equal with equivalent norms.
II. For any subcoercive form $C$ of order $m$ and step $r$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, all large $\nu>0$ and with $H=d L(C)$ the operator in $L_{\hat{p}}$ the spaces $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}$ and $D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)$ are equal with equivalent norms.

The problem is now reduced to the examination of the left differential operators on the $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$-spaces. These operators automatically commute with right translations and as the measure is right-invariant this is useful for obtaining uniform estimates.

Theorem 2.3 Let $H=d L(C)$ be an m-th order subcoercive operator associated with left translations $L$ by the group $G$ acting on the spaces $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$. If $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$ then $D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)=L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ for all large $\nu>0$ and the spaces have equivalent norms. In particular, the operator $H$ is closed.

Similar statements are valid on the $L_{p}\left(G_{1}\right)$-spaces.
Proof The proof is in several steps.
First we aim to establish that $D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)=\mathrm{R}\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and this requires proving that $A^{\alpha}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m}$, with $|\alpha|=n$, is defined as a bounded operator on $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$. This is achieved by establishing that the operator and its adjoint are bounded on $L_{\hat{2}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and are also bounded from $L_{\mathrm{i}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ to weak- $L_{\mathrm{i}}\left(G_{1}\right)$.

Then the desired result is obtained by interpolation and duality. But the $L_{\hat{2}}\left(G_{1}\right)$-bounds follow from [EIR2], Theorem 6.3.II, and the main onus of the proof is the derivation of the $L_{\mathrm{i}}\left(G_{1}\right)$-bounds.

The approach to the $L_{\hat{1}}\left(G_{1}\right)$-bounds begins by observing that

$$
(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi=L\left(R_{\nu, n / m}\right) \varphi
$$

for an appropriate kernel $R_{\nu, n / m}$ over $G$ where

$$
L(f)=\int_{G} d g f(g) L(g)
$$

with $d g$ left Haar measure over $G$. But if $\alpha \in J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right),|\alpha|=n$, then $A^{\alpha} R_{\nu, n / m}$ is not locally integrable and the $L_{1}(G)$-integral is logarithmically divergent at the identity. Therefore the idea is to use singular integration theory to prove the bound from $L_{\hat{1}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ to weak- $L_{\mathrm{i}}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Now a straightforward adaptation of the singular integration methods would begin by approximating $A^{\alpha} R_{\nu, n / m}$ with a sequence of functions obtained by excision of a decreasing family of neighbourhoods of the identity. Thus the convolution formally corresponding to the action of $A^{\alpha}(\nu I+\widetilde{H})^{-n / m}$ would be replaced by a principal value integral. But the problem with this approach is that it appears difficult to obtain suitable $L_{\hat{2}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ bounds for the sequence of approximating operators. Therefore we adopt a different type of approximation.

Fix $N \in \mathbf{N}, N>D^{\prime}$ and for large $\nu>0$ and all $j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $j>2 \nu$ consider the operators

$$
X_{j}=j^{N}(j I+\bar{H})^{-N}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m}
$$

Then $A^{\alpha} X_{j}$ 'approaches' $A^{\alpha}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m}$ as $j$ tends to infinity. Therefore if the $A^{\alpha} X_{j}$ are bounded, uniformly in $j$, on $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ one deduces that $(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m}$ maps into the domain of $A^{\alpha}$ and the $A^{\alpha}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m}$ are bounded on $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$. The uniform bounds on the $A^{\alpha} X_{j}$ are obtained by following the above outline. In particular the bounds from $L_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$ to weak- $L_{\mathrm{i}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ use singular integration theory and as a prerequisite it is necessary to have uniform $L_{\hat{2}}\left(G_{1}\right)$-bounds on the approximating sequence.

First observe that if $j \in \mathrm{~N}$ and $\alpha \in J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ one can write $A^{\alpha} X_{j}=A^{\alpha}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \circ$ $j^{N}(j I+\bar{H})^{-N}$. But by Corollary 2.2 and [EIR2] Theorem 6.3.II the operators $A^{\alpha}(\nu I+$ $\bar{H})^{-n / m}$ are bounded on $L_{\hat{2}}\left(G_{1}\right)$. First they are bounded on $L_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)$ by [EIR2] Theorem 6.3.II because the representation of $G$ by left translations is unitary. Then they are bounded on $L_{\mathbf{2}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ by Corollary 2.2. Moreover, since $\bar{H}$ generates a holomorphic semigroup, the operators $j^{N}(j I+\bar{H})^{-N}$ are bounded, uniformly for all large $j$, on $L_{\hat{2}}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Thus the operators $A^{\alpha} X_{j}$ are bounded on $L_{\hat{2}}\left(G_{1}\right)$, uniformly for all large $j$.

Secondly, remark that if $j \in \mathbf{N}$ then

$$
j^{N}(j I+\bar{H})^{-N} \varphi=j^{N} L\left(R_{j, N}\right) \varphi
$$

and $(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi=L\left(R_{\nu, n / m}\right) \varphi$, where

$$
R_{j, N}(g)=\Gamma(N)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{N-1} e^{-j t} K_{t}(g)
$$

with an analogous expression for $R_{\nu, n / m}$. Using the convolution property of the kernel $K_{t}$ one then obtains

$$
j^{N}(j I+\bar{H})^{-N}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi=L\left(k_{j}\right) \varphi
$$

where $k_{j}: G \backslash\{e\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is defined by

$$
k_{j}(g)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d t f_{j}(t) K_{t}(g)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}(t)=j^{N}(N-1)!^{-1} \Gamma(n / m)^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} d x x^{N-1} e^{-j x}(t-x)^{n / m-1} e^{-\nu(t-x)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need some estimates for $f_{j}(t)$.
Lemma 2.4 There exists an $a>0$ such that

$$
f_{j}(t) \leq a t^{n / m-1}(j t)^{\mu} e^{-\nu t}
$$

uniformly for all $t>0, \nu>0, j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $j \geq 2 \nu$ and $\mu \in[0, N]$.
Proof A substitution $x=t y$ in (2) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{j}(t) & =j^{N}(N-1)!^{-1} \Gamma(n / m)^{-1} t^{N+n / m-1} \int_{0}^{1} d y y^{N-1} e^{-j t y}(1-y)^{n / m-1} e^{-\nu t(1-y)} \\
& \leq(N-1)!^{-1} \Gamma(n / m)^{-1} t^{n / m-1} e^{-\nu t}(j t)^{N} \int_{0}^{1} d y y^{N-1}(1-y)^{n / m-1} e^{-2^{-1} j t y} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Now define the function $g:[0, \infty\rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$
g(x)=x^{N} \int_{0}^{1} d y y^{N-1}(1-y)^{n / m-1} e^{-2^{-1} x y} .
$$

We shall prove that $g$ is bounded. In order to evaluate $g$ we estimate the integral in two parts: over $\left\langle 0,2^{-1}\right\rangle$ and over $\left\langle 2^{-1}, 1\right\rangle$. The first can be estimated as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{2^{-1}} d y x^{N} y^{N-1}(1-y)^{n / m-1} e^{-2^{-1} x y} & \leq \max \left(2^{1-n / m}, 1\right) \int_{0}^{2^{-1}} d y x^{N} y^{N-1} e^{-2^{-1} x y} \\
& =2^{N} \max \left(2^{1-n / m}, 1\right) \int_{0}^{4^{-1} x} d t t^{N-1} e^{-t} \\
& \leq 2^{N} N!\max \left(2^{1-n / m}, 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Alternatively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{2^{-1}}^{1} d y x^{N} y^{N-1}(1-y)^{n / m-1} e^{-2^{-1} x y} & \leq x^{N} e^{-4^{-1} x} \int_{2^{-1}}^{1} d y(1-y)^{n / m-1} \\
& =m n^{-1} 2^{-n / m} x^{N} e^{-4^{-1} x}
\end{aligned}
$$

So there exists an $a>0$, depending only on $N$, such that

$$
f_{j}(t) \leq a t^{n / m-1} e^{-\nu t}
$$

uniformly for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$ and $t>0$ with $j \geq 2 \nu$. This proves the case $\mu=0$.
The case $\mu=N$ follows from (3):

$$
f_{j}(t) \leq(N-1)!^{-1} \Gamma(n / m)^{-1} t^{n / m-1} e^{-\nu t}(j t)^{N} \int_{0}^{1} d y y^{N-1}(1-y)^{n / m-1} .
$$

The general case can be obtained by interpolation.
By the 'Gaussian' bounds on $K_{t}$ and its derivatives one deduces that $k_{j}$ is infinitely differentiable on $G \backslash\{e\}$. Moreover, using Lemma 2.4 with $\mu=0$ and with $\operatorname{Re} c_{0}$ large enough, then it follows by the argument in Theorem III.6.7 of [Rob] that for all $\alpha \in J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ and $\beta \in J\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ there exist $a, b>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(A^{\beta} A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)(g)\right| \leq a\left(|g|^{\prime}\right)^{-D^{\prime}-|\beta|} e^{-b \nu^{1 / m}|g|^{\prime}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for all $g \in G \backslash\{e\}$ and $j \in \mathbf{N}$. Alternatively, using Lemma 2.4 with $\mu=N$, the inequality $N>D^{\prime}$ and the argument in Theorem III.6.7 of [Rob], one deduces for large Re $c_{0}$ that for all $j \in \mathbf{N}, j \geq 2 \nu$, one has bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)(g)\right| \leq c_{j} e^{-b \nu^{1 / m}|g|^{\prime}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $\alpha \in J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ and $g \in G \backslash\{e\}$. So $k_{j} \in L_{1 ; n}^{\prime}\left(G ; e^{\rho|g|^{\prime}} d g\right) \cap L_{\infty}(G ; d g)$ for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $j \geq 2 \nu$, if $\nu$ is large enough, where $\rho>0$ is such that $\Delta(g) \leq e^{\rho \mid g g^{\prime}}$ for all $g \in G$.

Next note that $A^{\alpha} X_{j} \varphi=L\left(A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right) \varphi$ for all $\alpha \in J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$. So each operator $A^{\alpha} X_{j}$ is continuous on each of the $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$-spaces. In order to prove that the $A^{\alpha} X_{j}$ are uniformly continuous if $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$ we have to consider two cases, $p \leq 2$ and $p \geq 2$.

Case 1: $p \in\langle 1,2]$.
Let $\chi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\chi(g)=1$ for all $g \in B_{1}^{\prime}$ and $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\alpha} X_{j} \varphi=L\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right) \varphi+L\left((1-\chi) A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right) \varphi . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\sup _{j} \int_{G} d g\left|(1-\chi)(g)\left(A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)(g)\right| e^{\left.|g|\right|^{\prime}}<\infty
$$

if $\nu$ is large enough. Because of the bounds (4) the operators $\varphi \mapsto L\left((1-\chi)\left(A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)\right) \varphi$ are bounded on all the $L_{\dot{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$-spaces, $p \in[1, \infty]$, uniformly for $j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $j \geq 2 \nu$. In particular, this is the case for $p=1$ and $p=2$.

Next we prove a local weak- $L_{\mathrm{i}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ estimate for $A^{\alpha} X_{j}$, which is uniform in $j$. Because of the equality (6) it is sufficient to establish a local weak- $L_{\mathbf{i}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ estimate for the operator $\varphi \mapsto L\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right) \varphi$ which is uniform in $j$. We obtain this estimate by application of Theorem III. 2.4 of [CoW] but since $L\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)$ acts by convolution with respect to the subgroup $G$ of $G_{1}$ some care has to be taken in applying the result.

Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}, \ldots, a_{d}$ be a vector space basis for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$ obtained by completing the algebraic basis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$. Further let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}, \ldots, a_{d_{1}}$ be a vector space
basis for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ of $G_{1}$ obtained by completing the basis of $G$. Now $G_{1}$ and $G \times \mathbf{R}^{d_{1}-d}$ are locally isomorphic. More precisely, define $\Phi: G \times \mathbf{R}^{d_{1}-d} \rightarrow G_{1}$ by

$$
\Phi\left(g, \xi_{d+1}, \ldots, \xi_{d_{1}}\right)=g \exp \left(\xi_{d+1} a_{d+1}\right) \ldots \exp \left(\xi_{d_{1}} a_{d_{1}}\right)
$$

Next let $U \subset G$ and $V \subset \mathbf{R}^{d_{1}-d}$ be open bounded neighbourhoods of the identity and the origin. One may choose $U$ and $V$ such that $\Phi$ restricted to $U \times V$ is an analytic diffeomorphism from $U \times V$ onto an open neighbourhood $\Omega$ of the identity of $G_{1}$. If $U$ and $V$ are small enough there exist $\delta, M>0$ and a $C^{\infty}$ function $\sigma: U \times V \rightarrow[\delta, M]$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} d \hat{g} \varphi(g)=\int_{U} d \hat{g} \int_{V} d \xi \varphi(\Phi(g, \xi)) \sigma(g, \xi)
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}(\Omega)$. We may assume that $U=B_{4}^{\prime}$ and $V=\langle-4,4\rangle^{d_{1}-d}$. One can then introduce $\chi_{1} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that if $\chi_{2}=\chi_{1} \circ \Phi$ then $\chi_{2}=\chi_{3} \otimes \chi_{4}$ for some $\chi_{3} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\chi_{4} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left([-2,2]^{d_{1}-d}\right)$ and, moreover, $0 \leq \chi_{4} \leq 1$ and $\chi_{2}(g, \xi)=1$ for all $(g, \xi) \in$ $B_{1}^{\prime} \times[-1,1]^{d_{1}-d}$. Then for all $\varphi \in L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)\left(\chi_{1} \varphi\right)\right)(\Phi(g, \xi)) & =\int_{G} d h\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)(h)\left(L(h)\left(\chi_{1} \varphi\right)\right)(\Phi(g, \xi)) \\
& =\int_{G} d \hat{h}\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)\left(g h^{-1}\right) \chi_{3}(h) \chi_{4}(\xi) \varphi(\Phi(h, \xi))
\end{aligned}
$$

for $(g, \xi)$-almost everywhere in $U \times V$ and for all large $j$.
In order to prove suitable weak $L_{1}$-bounds we first restrict ourselves to the case $G=G_{1}$. Define $T_{j}: L_{\hat{p}}\left(B_{4}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow L_{\hat{p}}\left(B_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ by

$$
\left(T_{j} \varphi\right)(g)=\int_{B_{4}^{\prime}} d \hat{h}\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)\left(g h^{-1}\right) \chi_{3}(h) \varphi(h)
$$

for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $j \geq 2 \nu$. Then $T_{j}$ has the form

$$
\left(T_{j} \varphi\right)(g)=\int d \mu(h) \kappa_{j}(g, h) \varphi(h)
$$

where $\kappa_{j}(g, h)=\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)\left(g h^{-1}\right) \chi_{3}(h)$ and $\mu$ denotes the restriction to $B_{4}^{\prime}$ of the right Haar measure on $G$. Alternatively

$$
T_{j} \varphi=\left(A^{\alpha} X_{j}\right)\left(\chi_{3} \varphi\right)-L\left((1-\chi) A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)\left(\chi_{3} \varphi\right)
$$

for all $\varphi \in L_{p}\left(B_{4}^{\prime} ; \mu\right)$. Since we have already established that $A^{\alpha} X_{j}$ is bounded on $L_{2}(G ; d \hat{g})$, uniformly in $j$, and since $\left\|\chi_{3} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{2}} \leq\|\varphi\|_{\hat{2}}$ it follows from the observation of the previous paragraph that $\sup _{j}\left\|T_{j}\right\|_{\hat{2} \rightarrow \hat{2}}<\infty$. This is the first condition of [CoW] for the $T_{j}$ and it is uniform in the $j$.

Secondly, $\kappa_{j}$ has support in $B_{4}^{\prime} \times B_{4}^{\prime}$, and in fact $\kappa_{j} \in L_{2}\left(B_{4}^{\prime} \times B_{4}^{\prime} ; \mu \otimes \mu\right)$. This follows because $A^{\alpha} k_{j} \in L_{\infty}(G ; d g)$ by (5). Finally, for the third and most difficult condition, it suffices to prove that

$$
\sup _{j} \sup _{h, h_{0} \in B_{4}^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega\left(h, h_{0}\right)} d \mu(g)\left|\kappa_{j}(g, h)-\kappa_{j}\left(g, h_{0}\right)\right|<\infty
$$

where $\Omega\left(h, h_{0}\right)=\left\{g \in B_{4}^{\prime}: d\left(g, h_{0}\right)>4 d\left(h, h_{0}\right)\right\}$ and $d$ is the subelliptic distance on $G$, defined by $d(g, h)=\left|h g^{-1}\right|^{\prime}$. Then by right invariance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{j} \sup _{h, h_{0} \in B_{4}^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega\left(h, h_{0}\right)} d \mu(g)\left|\kappa_{j}(g, h)-\kappa_{j}\left(g, h_{0}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sup _{j} \sup _{h, h_{0} \in B_{4}^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega_{1}\left(h, h_{0}\right)} d \mu(g)\left|\kappa_{j}\left(g h_{0}, h\right)-\kappa_{j}\left(g h_{0}, h_{0}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Omega_{1}\left(h, h_{0}\right)=\left\{g \in B_{8}^{\prime}:|g|^{\prime}>4\left|h h_{0}^{-1}\right|^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\mu$ also denotes the restriction to $B_{8}^{\prime}$ of the right Haar measure on $G$. For $a_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}$ let $\widetilde{X}_{i}$ be the corresponding right invariant vector field on $G$. So

$$
\left(\widetilde{X}_{i} \psi\right)(g)=\left.\frac{d}{d t} \psi\left(\exp \left(t a_{i}\right) g\right)\right|_{t=0}
$$

for all $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G)$. Now let $h, h_{0} \in G$ and choose an absolutely continuous path $\omega:[0,1] \rightarrow$ $G$ from $h_{0}$ to $h$ with tangential coordinates in the directions $\widetilde{X}_{i}$, i.e.,

$$
\dot{\omega}(t)=\left.\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} \omega_{i}(t) \widetilde{X}_{i}\right|_{\omega(t)}
$$

such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d t\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} \omega_{i}(t)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq 2 d\left(h, h_{0}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\left|\kappa_{j}\left(g h_{0}, h\right)-\kappa_{j}\left(g h_{0}, h_{0}\right)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1} d t\left|\frac{d}{d t} \kappa_{j}\left(g h_{0}, \omega(t)\right)\right|
$$

Now if $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G), k \in G$ and $\psi(g)=\varphi\left(k g^{-1}\right)$, then

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \psi(\omega(t))=\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} \omega_{i}(t)\left(L\left(k \omega(t)^{-1}\right) A_{i} L\left(\left(k \omega(t)^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) \varphi\right)\left(k \omega(t)^{-1}\right)
$$

By [EIR2], Lemma 7.3, there exist functions $c_{i, \beta}: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, where $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\beta \in$ $J_{\tau}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$, and constants $M_{1}, \sigma>0$ such that

$$
L\left(g^{-1}\right) A_{i} L(g)=\sum_{\substack{\beta \in J_{r}\left(d^{\prime}\right) \\|\beta| \neq 0}} c_{i, \beta}(g) A^{\beta}
$$

with $\left|c_{i, \beta}(g)\right| \leq M_{1}\left(|g|^{\prime}\right)^{|\beta|-1} e^{\sigma|g|^{\prime}}$ for all $g \in G, i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\beta \in J_{\tau}\left(d^{\prime}\right),|\beta| \neq 0$. So

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \psi(\omega(t))=\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in J_{r}\left(d^{\prime}\right) \\|\beta| \neq 0}} \omega_{i}(t) c_{i, \beta}\left(k \omega(t)^{-1}\right)\left(A^{\beta} \varphi\right)\left(k \omega(t)^{-1}\right)
$$

Moreover, for all $t \in[0,1]$ and $g \in \Omega_{1}\left(h, h_{0}\right)$ one has

$$
\left|g h_{0} \omega(t)^{-1}\right|^{\prime} \geq|g|^{\prime}-d\left(h_{0}, \omega(t)\right) \geq|g|^{\prime}-2 d\left(h_{0}, h\right) \geq 2^{-1}|g|^{\prime}
$$

Combining these two observations with the bounds (4) one obtains for all $g \in \Omega_{1}\left(h, h_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{d}{d t} \kappa_{j}\left(g h_{0}, \omega(t)\right)\right| \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}}\left|\omega_{i}(t)\right| \cdot\left|\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)\left(g h_{0} \omega(t)^{-1}\right)\left(\widetilde{X}_{i} \chi_{3}\right)(\omega(t))\right| \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in J_{r}\left(d^{\prime}\right) \\
|\beta| \neq 0}}\left|\omega_{i}(t)\right| \cdot\left|c_{i, \beta}\left(g h_{0} \omega(t)^{-1}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\left(A^{\beta}\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)\right)\left(g h_{0} \omega(t)^{-1}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\chi_{3}(\omega(t))\right| \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}}\left|\omega_{i}(t)\right|\right)\left(a 2^{D^{\prime}}\left(|g|^{\prime}\right)^{-D^{\prime}} \sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}}\left\|\widetilde{X}_{i} \chi_{3}\right\|_{\infty}\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\sum_{\substack{\beta \in J_{r}\left(d^{\prime}\right) \\
|\beta| \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{(\gamma, \delta) \in L b(\beta)}} M_{1}\left(2|g|^{\prime}\right)^{|\beta|-1} e^{2 \sigma|g|^{\prime}} a\left(2^{-1}|g|^{\prime}\right)^{-D^{\prime}-|\gamma|}\left\|A^{\delta} \chi\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\chi_{3}\right\|_{\infty}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& \leq M_{2}\left(|g|^{\prime}\right)^{-D^{\prime}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}}\left|\omega_{i}(t)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left.\left.\mid \kappa_{j}\left(g h_{0}, h\right)\right)-\kappa_{j}\left(g h_{0}, h_{0}\right)\right) \mid \leq\left(d^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} M_{2} d\left(h_{0}, h\right)\left(|g|^{\prime}\right)^{-D^{\prime}-1} .
$$

But if $c=\sup _{t \in\{0,8]} t^{-D^{\prime}}\left|B_{t}^{\prime}\right|, s=d\left(h, h_{0}\right)$ and $N_{s} \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ is such that $2^{N_{s}-1} \leq s^{-1} \leq 2^{N_{s}}$ then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{8}^{\prime} \backslash B_{4 s}^{\prime}} d \hat{g} s\left(|g|^{\prime}\right)^{-D^{\prime}-1} & \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N_{s}} \int_{B_{2-n+3}^{\prime} \backslash B_{2-n+2}^{\prime}} d \hat{g} s\left(|g|^{\prime}\right)^{-D^{\prime}-1} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N_{s}} c s\left(2^{-n+2}\right)^{-D^{\prime}-1}\left(2^{-n+3}\right)^{D^{\prime}} \\
& =2^{D^{\prime}-2} c s\left(2^{N_{s}+1}-1\right) \leq 2^{D^{\prime}} c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left.\left.\int_{\Omega_{1}\left(h, h_{0}\right)} d \hat{g} \mid \kappa_{j}\left(g h_{0}, h\right)\right)-\kappa_{j}\left(g h_{0}, h_{0}\right)\right) \mid \leq 2^{D^{\prime}} c\left(d^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} M_{2}
$$

which is the third condition of Theorem III. 2.4 of [CoW], uniform in $j$.
Now we can use this latter theorem to deduce that there exists $M_{3}>0$, independent of $j$, such that

$$
\mu\left(\left\{g \in B_{4}^{\prime}:\left|\left(T_{j} \varphi\right)(g)\right|>\gamma\right\}\right) \leq M_{3} \gamma^{-1}\|\varphi\|_{\hat{i}}
$$

for all $\varphi \in L_{1}\left(B_{4}^{\prime} ; d \hat{g}\right) \cap L_{2}\left(B_{4}^{\prime} ; d \hat{g}\right)$ and $\gamma>0$.
Next we drop the restriction that $G=G_{1}$ and extend the last bounds to $G_{1}$. Let $\mu_{2}$ denote the product measure of $\mu$ and the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}-d}$. Then with $\varphi^{\xi}(g)=\varphi(\Phi(g, \xi))$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{2}(\{(g, \xi) \in U \times V & \left.\left.:\left|\left(\left(L\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)\right)\left(\chi_{3} \varphi\right)\right)(\Phi(g, \xi))\right|>\gamma\right\}\right) \\
& =\mu_{2}\left(\left\{(g, \xi) \in U \times V: \chi_{4}(\xi)\left|\left(T_{j} \varphi^{\xi}\right)(g)\right|>\gamma\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \int_{[-4,4]^{d_{1}-d}} d \xi \mu\left(\left\{g \in B_{4}^{\prime}:\left|\left(T_{j} \varphi^{\xi}\right)(g)\right|>\gamma\right\}\right) \\
& \leq M_{3} \int_{[-4,4]^{d_{1}-d}} d \xi \int_{B_{4}^{\prime}} d \hat{g}\left|\varphi^{\xi}(g)\right| \gamma^{-1} \\
& \leq M_{3} \delta^{-1} \int_{[-4,4]^{d_{1}-d}} d \xi \int_{B_{4}^{\prime}} d \hat{g}|\varphi(\Phi(g, \xi))| \sigma(g, \xi) \gamma^{-1} \\
& =M_{3} \delta^{-1}\|\varphi\|_{\hat{1}} \gamma^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in L_{1}(\Omega ; d \hat{g})$. In particular, if $\varphi \in L_{1}\left(G_{1} ; \mu_{1}\right) \cap L_{2}\left(G_{1} ; \mu_{1}\right)$, where we now use $\mu_{1}$ to denote right Haar measure on $G_{1}$, with $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset \Omega^{\prime}=\Phi\left(B_{1}^{\prime} \times[-1,1]^{d_{1}-d}\right)$, then there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\mu_{1}\left(\left\{g \in G_{1}:\left|\left(L\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right) \varphi\right)(g)\right|>\gamma\right\}\right) \leq c\|\varphi\|_{i} \gamma^{-1}
$$

with $c$ independent of $j$.
Next for $j \in \mathbf{N}, j \geq 2 \nu$ define $P_{j}: L_{\mathbf{1}}\left(G_{1}\right) \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{i}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ by

$$
P_{j} \varphi=L\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right) \varphi .
$$

Obviously each $P_{j}$ is continuous by the estimates (5). It follows that

$$
\mu_{1}\left(\left\{g \in G_{1}:\left|\left(P_{j} \varphi\right)(g)\right|>\gamma\right\}\right) \leq c \gamma^{-1}\|\varphi\|_{i}
$$

for all $\varphi \in L_{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime} ; \mu_{1}\right) \cap L_{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime} ; \mu_{1}\right)$ and $\gamma>0$.
Moreover, for all $k \in G_{1}$ and $\varphi \in L_{1}\left(G_{1} ; \mu_{1}\right)$, one has

$$
R(k) L\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right) \varphi=L\left(\chi A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right) R(k) \varphi
$$

where $R$ denotes right translations. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}\left(\left\{g \in G_{1}:\left|\left(P_{j} \varphi\right)(g)\right|>\gamma\right\}\right) \leq c\|\varphi\|_{\hat{\mathrm{i}}} \gamma^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j \in \mathbf{N}, \gamma>0$ and all $\varphi \in L_{1}\left(G_{1} ; \mu_{1}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset \Omega^{\prime} k$ for some $k \in G_{1}$. It then follows by a finite covering argument that a similar estimate is valid for all $\varphi \in L_{1}\left(\Omega ; \mu_{1}\right)$ and for each bounded open neighbourhood $\Omega$ of $e$. So if $G_{1}$ is compact it follows that the $P_{j}$ satisfy a global weak- $L_{\mathrm{i}}$ estimate. However, we need a global bound also if $G_{1}$ is not compact.

Next we establish that the operators $P_{j}$ satisfy a global weak- $L_{\mathrm{i}}$ estimate if $G_{1}$ is not compact by use of the following covering lemma, [Bur] Lemma 3.2.7 (see also [Pie] page 66).

Lemma 2.5 Suppose $G_{1}$ is not compact and let $B_{\varepsilon}$ denote the ball $\left\{g_{1} \in G_{1} ;\left|g_{1}\right|<\varepsilon\right\}$ relative to a fixed modulus on $G_{1}$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, there is a sequence $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots$ of points in $G_{1}$ such that

$$
G_{1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{e} g_{i}
$$

and the additional two properties are valid.
I. There is an $N_{1} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that each $g \in G_{1}$ lies in at most $N_{1}$ balls $B_{\varepsilon} g_{i}$.
II. Given $\delta>0$ there is an $N_{2} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that each $g \in G_{1}$ lies in at most $N_{2}$ of the balls $B_{e+\delta} g_{i}$.

Proof The existence of a covering sequence with the first property has been established by Pier (see [Pie] page 66). The second property is established as follows.

Fix $g \in G$ and let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the set of indices $i$ such that $B_{\varepsilon} g_{i} \subseteq B_{2 \varepsilon+\delta} g$. Further let $m_{j}$ denote the $\mu_{1}$-measure of the set of $h \in B_{2 \varepsilon+\delta}$ such that $h$ lies in exactly $j$ of the balls $B_{\varepsilon} g_{i}$ with $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Then

$$
\sum_{i \in I} \mu_{1}\left(B_{\varepsilon} g_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} j m_{j}
$$

since any point of $B_{2 \varepsilon+\delta}$ is contained in at most $N_{1}$ of the balls $B_{\varepsilon} g_{i}$. But

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} m_{j} \leq \mu_{1}\left(B_{2 \epsilon+\delta}\right)
$$

Therefore if $k$ denotes the number of indices in $\mathcal{I}$ then

$$
k \mu_{1}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)=\sum_{i \in I} \mu_{1}\left(B_{\varepsilon} g_{i}\right) \leq N_{1} \mu_{1}\left(B_{2 \varepsilon+\delta}\right)
$$

and $k$ has the $g$-independent bound

$$
k \leq N_{1} \mu_{1}\left(B_{2 \varepsilon+\delta}\right) / \mu_{1}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

Finally suppose that $h \in G$ lies in $l$ balls $B_{\varepsilon+\delta} g_{i}$ then $B_{\varepsilon} g_{i} \subseteq B_{2 \varepsilon+\delta} h$ for each such ball. Hence one may choose

$$
N_{2}=N_{1} \mu_{1}\left(B_{2 \varepsilon+\delta}\right) / \mu_{1}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

independent of the choice of $g$.
Now apply the lemma with an $\varepsilon>0$ such that $B_{\varepsilon} \subseteq \Omega^{\prime}$ and and $\delta>0$ such that $\Phi\left(B_{3}^{\prime} \times[-1,1]^{d_{1}-d}\right) \subseteq B_{\varepsilon+\delta}$. Choose a partition of the unity $\left(\psi_{i}\right)_{i}$ relative to the cover $G_{1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{\varepsilon} g_{i}$, i.e., $\operatorname{supp} \psi_{i} \subseteq B_{\varepsilon} g_{i}$. Then for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $j \geq 2 \nu$ and $\varphi \in L_{1}\left(G_{1} ; \mu_{1}\right)$ one has $\varphi=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi_{i} \varphi$ in $L_{1}\left(G_{1} ; \mu_{1}\right)$. Then by the continuity of $P_{j}$

$$
P_{j} \varphi=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{j}\left(\psi_{i} \varphi\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} R\left(g_{i}^{-1}\right) P_{j} R\left(g_{i}\right)\left(\psi_{i} \varphi\right)
$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{supp} R\left(g_{i}\right)\left(\psi_{i} \varphi\right) \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}$ and $\operatorname{supp} R\left(g_{i}^{-1}\right) P_{j} R\left(g_{i}\right)\left(\psi_{i} \varphi\right) \subseteq B_{\varepsilon+\delta} g_{i}$, so each $g \in G_{1}$ lies in the support of at most $N_{2}$ functions $R\left(g_{i}^{-1}\right) P_{j} R\left(g_{i}\right)\left(\psi_{i} \varphi\right)$. Therefore we obtain by (7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{1}\left(\left\{g \in G_{1}:\left|\left(P_{j} \varphi\right)(g)\right|>\gamma\right\}\right) & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{1}\left(\left\{g \in G_{1}:\left|\left(R\left(g_{i}^{-1}\right) P_{j} R\left(g_{i}\right)\left(\psi_{i} \varphi\right)\right)(g)\right|>\gamma N_{2}^{-1}\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c \gamma^{-1} N_{2}\left\|\psi_{i} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{i}} \\
& =c \gamma^{-1} N_{2}\|\varphi\|_{\hat{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the operators $P_{j}$ satisfy a global weak- $L_{\mathrm{i}}$ estimate for any Lie group $G_{1}$, uniformly in $j$. Hence the operators $A^{\alpha} X_{j}$ also satisfy a global weak- $L_{\hat{1}}$ estimate, uniformly in $j$. By interpolation one deduces that the operators $A^{\alpha} X_{j}$ are uniformly bounded on the $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ spaces, with $p \in\langle 1,2]$ and $\alpha \in J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$.

Next we prove by induction that

$$
D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right) \subseteq L_{\hat{p} ; k}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)
$$

for all $k \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ and that the inclusion is continuous. The case $k=0$ is trivial. Let $\alpha \in J_{n-1}\left(d^{\prime}\right), i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d^{\prime}\right\}$ and suppose that $D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $L_{\hat{p} ;|\alpha|}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Then there exists a $c>0$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{\hat{p} ;|\alpha|}^{\prime} \leq c\left\|(\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p}}$ for all $\varphi \in D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)$. Let $p \in\langle 1,2]$ and $\varphi \in L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Then for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $j \geq 2 \nu$ one obtains the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|A^{\alpha}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi-A^{\alpha} j^{N}(j I+\bar{H})^{-N}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p}} \\
& \leq c\left\|(\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi-j^{N}(j I+\bar{H})^{-N}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi\right)\right\|_{\hat{p}} \\
&=c\left\|\left(I-j^{N}(j I+\bar{H})^{-N}\right) \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} A^{\alpha} j^{N}(j I+\bar{H})^{-N}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi=A^{\alpha}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi
$$

in the $L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$-sense. Now let $M>0$ be such that $\left\|A^{\alpha} X_{j}\right\|_{\hat{p} \rightarrow \hat{p}} \leq M$ for all $j \in \mathbf{N}, j \geq 2 \nu$, $1<p \leq 2$ and $\alpha \in J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$. Then for all $\psi \in D\left(A_{i}^{*}\right) \subseteq L_{\hat{q}}\left(G_{1}\right)$, where $q$ is the conjugate to $p$, one obtains:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle A_{i}^{*} \psi, A^{\alpha}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi\right\rangle\right| & =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left\langle A_{i}^{*} \psi, A^{\alpha} j^{N}(j I+\bar{H})^{-N}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi\right\rangle\right| \\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left\langle\psi, A_{i} A^{\alpha} X_{j} \varphi\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq M\|\psi\|_{\hat{q}}\|\varphi\|_{\hat{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $A^{\alpha}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi \in D\left(\left(A_{i}\right)^{* *}\right)=D\left(A_{i}\right)$ and $\left\|A^{i} A^{\alpha}(\nu I+\bar{H})^{-n / m} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p}} \leq M\|\varphi\|_{\hat{p}}$.
Case 2: $p \in[2, \infty\rangle$.
For all $\alpha \in J_{n-1}\left(d^{\prime}\right), i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d^{\prime}\right\}, j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $j \geq 2 \nu, \varphi \in L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $\psi \in D\left(A_{i}^{*}\right) \subset$ $L_{\hat{q}}\left(G_{1}\right)$, where $q$ is the conjugate to $p$, one has

$$
\left\langle A_{i}^{*} \psi, A^{\alpha} X_{j} \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle\psi, A_{i} A^{\alpha} X_{j} \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle\psi, L\left(A_{i} A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right) \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle L\left(\overline{\left(A_{i} A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)^{\sigma}}\right) \psi, \varphi\right\rangle,
$$

where $\tau^{\circ}(g)=\tau\left(g^{-1}\right)$. Now $q \in\langle 1,2]$ since $p \in[2, \infty\rangle$. Because

$$
\left\|L\left(\overline{\left(A_{i} A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)^{-}}\right) \psi\right\|_{\hat{2}}=\left\|\left(A_{i} A^{\alpha} X_{j}\right)^{*} \psi\right\|_{\hat{2}} \leq\left\|A_{i} A^{\alpha} X_{j}\right\|_{\hat{2} \rightarrow \hat{2}}\|\psi\|_{\hat{2}}
$$

it follows that the operators $\psi \mapsto L\left(\overline{\left(A_{i} A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)^{\nu}}\right) \psi$ are bounded on $L_{\hat{2}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ uniformly in $j$. Moreover, if $\operatorname{Re} c_{0}$ is large, then for all $\beta \in J\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ one has bounds

$$
\left|\left(A^{\beta} \overline{\left(A_{i} A^{\alpha} k_{j}\right)^{\top}}\right)(g)\right| \leq a\left(|g|^{\prime}\right)^{-D^{\prime}-|\beta|} e^{-b \nu^{1 / m}|g|^{\prime}}
$$

because of the inequalities (4). Therefore, arguing as above, it follows that the operators $\left(A_{i} A^{\alpha} X_{j}\right)^{*}$ are uniformly bounded on $L_{\hat{q}}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Finally by repetition of the foregoing induction argument one deduces that $D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ for all $p \in[2, \infty\rangle$.

The next step in the proof consists of establishing the converse inclusion, $L_{\dot{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right) \subseteq$ $D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)$.

First suppose that $n \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$. We may assume that the real part of the zeroorder coefficient of $C$ is sufficiently large that $\bar{H}$ has a bounded inverse. Let $C_{1}: J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the form defined by

$$
C_{1}=\sum_{\alpha \in J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right) \\(\beta, \gamma) \in L L(\alpha)}} c_{\alpha} b^{\gamma}
$$

and let $H_{1}^{\dagger}=d L\left(C_{1}^{\dagger}\right)$. So $\langle\psi, H \varphi\rangle=\left\langle H_{1}^{\dagger} \psi, \varphi\right\rangle$ for all smooth enough $\varphi$ and $\psi$. Next, for all $\alpha \in J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ let $\alpha^{\prime} \in J_{m-n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ and $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \in J_{n}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ be such that $\alpha=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$. By the first part of the proof of this theorem there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\|\psi\|_{\hat{q} ; m-n}^{\prime} \leq c\left\|\left(\overline{H_{1}^{\dagger}}\right)^{(m-n) / m} \psi\right\|_{\hat{q}}
$$

for all $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Then for all $\varphi, \psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$ one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\langle\psi, \varphi\rangle| & =\left|\left\langle\psi, \bar{H}^{-(m-n) / m} H \bar{H}^{-n / m} \varphi\right\rangle\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{\alpha \in J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right)} c_{\alpha}\left\langle\left(A^{\alpha^{\prime}}\right)^{*}\left(\overline{H_{1}^{\dagger}}\right)^{-(m-n) / m} \psi, A^{\alpha^{\prime \prime}} \bar{H}^{-n / m} \varphi\right\rangle\right| \\
& =\mid \sum_{\alpha \in J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right)} c_{\alpha}(-1)^{\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|} \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in L b\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)} b^{\gamma}\left\langle\left(A^{\beta \cdot}\left(\overline{H_{1}^{\dagger}}\right)^{-(m-n) / m} \psi, A^{\alpha^{\prime \prime}} \bar{H}^{-n / m} \varphi\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq c \sum_{\alpha \in J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right)}\left|c_{\alpha}\right| \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in L b\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)} b^{\gamma}\|\psi\|_{\hat{q}}\left\|\bar{H}^{-n / m} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\|\varphi\|_{\hat{p}} \leq c^{\prime}\left\|\bar{H}^{-n / m} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}$ for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$ for some $c^{\prime}>0$ and, by density, for all $\varphi \in L_{\hat{p}}\left(G_{1}\right)$. So $\left\|\bar{H}^{n / m} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p}} \leq c^{\prime}\|\varphi\|_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}$ for all $\varphi \in D\left(\bar{H}^{n / m}\right)$. Since $L_{\hat{p} ; \infty}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and hence $D\left(\bar{H}^{n / m}\right)$ is dense in $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$, see [EIR3] Lemma 2.4, it follows that $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $D\left(\bar{H}^{n / m}\right)$.

Finally we consider the case $n \geq m$. Write $n=N m+k$ with $N \in \mathbf{N}$ and $k \in$ $\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. There exists $c>0$ such that $\left\|\bar{H}^{k / m} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p}} \leq c\|\varphi\|_{\hat{p} ; k}^{\prime}$ for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
\left\|\bar{H}^{n / m} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p}}=\left\|\bar{H}^{k / m} H^{N} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p}} \leq c\left\|H^{N} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p} ; k}^{\prime}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$. But $H^{N}$ is an operator of order $N m$. So

$$
\left\|\bar{H}^{n / m} \varphi\right\|_{\hat{p}} \leq c^{\prime}\|\varphi\|_{\hat{p} ; k+N m}^{\prime}=c^{\prime}\|\varphi\|_{\dot{p} ; n}^{\prime}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Again, since $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is dense in $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ it follows that $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $D\left(\bar{H}^{n / m}\right)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

One can immediately deduce from the theorem a characterization of the $C^{n}$-elements associated with a finite sequence $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ of elements of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$. If $G^{\prime}$ is the connected subgroup of $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ one can apply the theorem with $G$ and $G_{1}$ replaced by $G^{\prime}$ and $G$, respectively.

Corollary 2.6 Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ be elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of a connected Lie group $G$ and $L_{p ; n}^{\prime}(G), L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}(G)$ the corresponding $C^{n}$-subspaces. Then

$$
L_{p ; n}^{\prime}(G)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} D\left(A_{i}^{n}\right)
$$

for all $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Similar identities are valid for the $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}(G)$-spaces.
Proof We may assume that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ are linearly independent. Let $C_{2 n}$ be the form such that $d L\left(C_{2 n}\right)=(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} A_{i}^{2 n}$. Let $\varphi \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} D\left(A_{i}^{n}\right) \subset L_{p}(G)$. Let $c_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}}\left\|A_{i}^{n} \varphi\right\|_{p}+$ $\|\varphi\|_{p}$. Then for all $\psi \in L_{q ; \infty}^{\prime}(G)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\left(d U\left(C_{2 n}\right)+I\right) \psi, \varphi\right)\right| & =\left|(-1)^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} A_{i}^{2 n} \psi, \varphi\right)+(\psi, \varphi)\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}}\left(A_{i}^{n} \psi, A_{i}^{n} \varphi\right)+(\psi, \varphi)\right| \\
& \leq c_{1}\|\psi\|_{q ; n}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 2.3, with $G$ and $G_{1}$ replaced by $G^{\prime}$ and $G$, respectively, there exists $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\|\psi\|_{q ; n}^{\prime} \leq c_{2}\left\|\left(d L\left(C_{2 n}\right)+I\right)^{1 / 2} \psi\right\|_{q}
$$

for all $\psi \in L_{q ; \infty}^{\prime}(G)$. Since $\left(d L\left(C_{2 n}\right)+I\right)^{1 / 2}$ maps $L_{q ; \infty}^{\prime}(G)$ onto $L_{q ; \infty}^{\prime}(G)$ it follows that

$$
\left|\left(\left(d L\left(C_{2 n}\right)+I\right)^{1 / 2} \psi, \varphi\right)\right| \leq c_{1} c_{2}\|\psi\|_{q}
$$

for all $\psi \in L_{q ; \infty}^{\prime}(G)$ and, by continuity, for all $\psi \in D\left(\left(d L\left(C_{2 n}\right)+I\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$. So $\varphi \in$ $\left.D\left(\left(d L\left(C_{2 n}\right)+I\right)^{1 / 2}\right)^{*}\right)=D\left(\left(d L\left(C_{2 n}\right)+I\right)^{1 / 2}\right)=L_{p ; n}^{\prime}(G)$ by Theorem 2.3 again.

The proof for $L_{\hat{p} ; n}^{\prime}(G)$ is nearly the same but a minor complication occurs because of the modular function. This can be handled as before.

The theorem and the corollary can be combined to give a variety of other statements. For example, if

$$
H=-\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} A_{i}^{2}
$$

is the sublaplacian formed from the left derivatives associated with the general subbasis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ then

$$
D\left((\nu I+H)^{n / 2}\right)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} D\left(A_{i}^{n}\right)
$$

on each $L_{p}$-space with $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$, for all $\nu \geq 0$. In particular, if $d^{\prime}=1$, and one sets $A_{i}=A$ and $\nu=0$, then

$$
D\left(|A|^{n}\right)=D\left(A^{n}\right)
$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ where the modulus of $A$ is defined by $|A|=\left(-A^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
The situation on the $L_{\hat{p}}$-spaces is slightly more complicated. But one finds that

$$
D\left((\nu I+H)^{n / 2}\right)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} D\left(A_{i}^{n}\right)
$$

on each $L_{\hat{p}}$-space with $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$, for all $\nu \geq b^{2} / p^{2}$ where $b=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}}\left(A_{i} \Delta\right)(e)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
The foregoing argument with $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ can be used to extend earlier results on unitary representations. One has the direct analogue of the foregoing corollary and theorem.

Corollary 2.7 Let $(\mathcal{H}, G, U)$ be a unitary representation, $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie group $G$ and $A_{i}=d U\left(a_{i}\right)$ the corresponding generators. Further let $\mathcal{H}_{n}^{\prime}$ denote the $C^{n}$-subspaces associated with $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d^{\prime \prime}}$ and set

$$
H=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{d^{\prime}} c_{i j} A_{i} A_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} c_{i} A_{i}
$$

where $c_{i j}, c_{i} \in \mathbf{C}$ and the real part $2^{-1}\left(C+C^{*}\right)$ of the matrix $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ is strictly positivedefinite.

Then

$$
\mathcal{H}_{n}^{\prime}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} D\left(A_{i}^{n}\right)=D\left((\nu I+H)^{n / 2}\right)
$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\nu \geq 0$.
The corollary is a direct consequence of [ElR2], Theorem 6.3, applied to the unitary representation ( $\mathcal{H}, G^{\prime}, U^{\prime}$ ) where $G^{\prime}$ is defined as above and $U^{\prime}=\left.U\right|_{G^{\prime}}$. More general statements are possible in terms of higher-order subelliptic operators.

For general representations one has the following extension of [EIR2] Corollary 6.2. If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ is a basis for the Lie algebra this result reproduces Theorem 1.1 of [Goo].

Corollary 2.8 Let $(\mathcal{X}, G, U)$ be a strongly continuous, or weakly*-continuous, representation of $G$ on a Banach space $\mathcal{X}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie group $G$ and $A_{i}=d U\left(a_{i}\right)$ the corresponding generators. Then

$$
\mathcal{X}_{\infty}^{\prime}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} D^{\infty}\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

Next we consider homogeneous spaces for which the subgroup is compact.
Theorem 2.9 Let $K$ be a compact subgroup of a unimodular connected group $G_{1}$ and let $\mu$ be a left invariant measure on the homogeneous space $G / K$. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $G_{1}$. Let $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$ and let $U$ be the left regular representation of $G$ in $\mathcal{X}=L_{p}\left(G_{1} / K ; \mu\right)$. If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d^{\prime}}$ is an algebraic basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$ and $C: J_{m}\left(d^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ a subcoercive form of order $m$ and step $r$ then for $H=d U(C)$ one has

$$
D\left((\nu I+\bar{H})^{n / m}\right)=\mathcal{X}_{n}^{\prime}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and all large $\nu$, with equivalent norms.
Proof Consider the corresponding problem in $L_{p}\left(G_{1} ; d g\right)$. If $X_{j}$ is the operator on $L_{p}\left(G_{1} ; d g\right)$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 and $X_{j}^{b}$ is the corresponding convolution operator on $\mathcal{X}=L_{p}\left(G_{1} / K ; \mu\right)$, then the $A^{\alpha} X_{j}$ satisfy a weak $L_{1}$-estimate uniformly in $j$, so since $K$ is compact it immediately follows that also the $A^{\alpha} X_{j}^{b}$ satisfy a weak $L_{1}$-estimate on the homogeneous space, uniformly in $j$. Since $U$ is a unitary representation if $p=2$, the theorem is valid for $p=2$ by [EIR2] Theorem 6.3.II. Hence by interpolation and a similar approximation to that used in the proof of Theorem 2.3 the result follows for $p \in\langle 1,2]$. But the same argument also works for $\left(A^{\alpha} X_{j}^{b}\right)^{*}$ and hence the result for $p \in[2, \infty)$ follows by duality.

## 3 Conclusion

The characterization of the differential structure given by Theorem 2.3 is related to the Lie group version of the boundedness of the Riesz transforms. If $H$ is the sublaplacian formed from the left derivatives $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d^{\prime}}$ then we have established that $D\left(H^{n / 2}\right)=L_{p ; n}^{\prime}$ and one has bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{\alpha} \varphi\right\|_{p} \leq c_{p, n, \nu}\left\|(\nu I+H)^{n / 2} \varphi\right\|_{p} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|=n$, all $\varphi \in L_{p ; n}^{\prime}$ with $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$ and all $\nu>0$. The limit case $\nu=0$ corresponds to the Riesz transform problem. Our results do extend to $\nu=0$ for certain classes of groups, e.g., compact groups.

If $G$ is compact and $\varphi$ is a constant function then $\varphi \in L_{p}$ and since $A^{\alpha} \varphi=0=H \varphi$ the required estimates are obvious. Next let $P \varphi=\int_{G} d g L(g) \varphi$ be the projection of $\varphi$ on the space of constant functions. Then on the subspace $(I-P) L_{p}$ of $L_{p}$ the operator $H$ has a bounded inverse as a direct consequence of spectral properties (see [Rob] Proposition I.7.1). Therefore it follows straightforwardly from (8) that one has bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{\alpha} \varphi\right\|_{p} \leq c_{p, n}\left\|H^{n / 2} \varphi\right\|_{p} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|=n$ and all $\varphi \in L_{p ; n}^{\prime}$ with $p \in\langle 1, \infty\rangle$. Therefore these estimates are valid on $L_{p}$.

If $G$ is non-compact the boundedness of the Riesz transforms is much more delicate and the example of Gaudry, Qian and Sjögren [GQS] shows that (9) may be valid with $n=1$ but false for $n=2$.
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