

#### Study of tree-atmosphere interaction and assessment of air quality in real city neighbourhoods

*Citation for published version (APA):* Buccolieri, R., Salim, S. M., Sabatino, Di, S., Chan, A., Ielpo, P., Gennaro, de, G., Placentino, C. M., Caselli, M., & Gromke, C. (2010). Study of tree-atmosphere interaction and assessment of air quality in real city neighbourhoods. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Harmonisation within Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling for Regulatory Purposes (HARMO13), 1-4- June 2010, Paris, France (pp. 673-678)

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/2010

#### Document Version:

Accepted manuscript including changes made at the peer-review stage

#### Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.



# HARMO13

13th International Conference on Harmonisation within Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling for Regulatory Purposes



**UNIVERSITY OF VENICE (ITALY)** 



**UNIVERSITY OF SALENTO (ITALY)** 

# STUDY OF TREE-ATMOSPHERE INTERACTION AND ASSESSMENT OF AIR QUALITY IN REAL CITY NEIGHBOURHOODS

## **RICCARDO BUCCOLIERI**

Dipartimento di Informatica - Università "Cà Foscari" di Venezia (ITALY) Dipartimento di Scienza dei Materiali - University of Salento (ITALY) riccardo.buccolieri@unisalento.it

Salim Mohamed Salim: University of Nottingham, Malaysia Silvana Di Sabatino: University of Salento (Lecce), Italy Andy Chan: University of Nottingham, Malaysia Pierina Ielpo: Water Research Institute-National Research Council, Bari, Italy Gianluigi de Gennaro, Claudia Marcella Placentino, Maurizio Caselli: University of Bari, Italy Christof Gromke: WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF, Switzerland Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany





# Introduction

- Background ideas / urban areas (buildings, trees ..)

# CFD simulations / validation

- Aerodynamic effects of trees in street canyons (IDEALISED)
- Application to a real case scenario Bari city (Italy)
- Conclusions and future perspective



13th Int. Conf. on Harmonisation within Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling for Regulatory Purposes, Paris (France), June 1-4







>where the **people and (the emissions)** are

≻where **trees** can be planted

>direct CFD/LES is practicable

Street canyon

**≻operational modeling** is typically based on a more idealized recalculating vortex driven by a shear layer

➤ traffic pollutants released near the ground need to be "effectively" dispersed to maintain "adequate" air quality



13th Int. Conf. on Harmonisation within Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling for Regulatory Purposes, Paris (France), June 1-4





**Street canyon with one-row trees** 

Introduction Example of Urban street canyons

### **Street canyon without trees**



Street canyon with two-rows trees



# Where are we?

>Impact of trees in urban areas on pollutant dispersion **not widely considered** 

➢Both experimental and numerical investigations are present in the literature

>Some of the tree effects on flow and dispersion have been considered individually in previous works, such as **deposition**, **filtration**, **blockage** etc.

Still far from a comprehensive understanding of the overall role plaid by vegetation on urban air quality

>One of the most extensive review is given by Litschke and Kuttler (2008), who reported on several field studies as well as numerical and physical modelling of filtration performance of plants with respect to atmospheric dust.

particle deposition on plant surfaces



pollutant concentration reduced

obstacles to airflow (air mass exchange reduced)



pollutant concentration increased

Litschke, T and Kuttler, W., 2008. On the reduction of urban particle concentration by vegetation – a review. Meteorologische Zeitschrift 17, 229-240.



### **CFD modelling** *Validation studies (W/H=2)*

# 1) Approaching flow **perpendicular and inclined by 45**° to street axis

# **>**Empty street canyon - W/H=2

# >Street canyon with tree planting





2) Is wind direction important? Competition with aspect ratio...

#### Example of a typical CFD simulation setup

- commercial CFD-Code
- RANS-Equations
- turbulence closure schemes
  - RSM at least!
- second order discretization schemes
- grid: hexahedral elements
  - -~400,000-1,000,000
  - $\delta_x$ =0.05H,  $\delta_y$ =0.25H,  $\delta_z$ =0.05H
  - expansion rate <1.3
- turbulent Schmidt number  $Sc_t = 0.7$

 $Sc_t = \frac{v_t}{D_t} \left( \frac{turbulent vis \cos ity}{turbulent diffusivity} \right)$ 

#### **Dimensionless concentrations c+**



c\_mmeasured concentrationu\_{ref}reference velocityHbuilding heightQ\_T/lstrength of line source

# CFD modelling

Objectives: Validation studies / speculative approach



 $u_H$ =4.7 m/s: undisturbed wind speed at the building height H  $\alpha$ =0.30: power law exponent  $U_* = 0.52$  m/s: friction velocity  $\kappa$ =0.40: von Kàrmàn constant  $C_u = 0.09$ 

# CFD modelling porous tree crowns

A cell zone is defined in which the porous media model is applied and the pressure loss in the flow is determined

> The porous media model adds a momentum sink in the governing momentum equations:

~	$\left(\begin{array}{c}3\\ \end{array}\right)$	$\frac{3}{5} = 1$	
$S_i = -$	$\sum D_{ij}\mu v_j$	$+\sum C_{ij} \overline{2} \rho  v  v_j$	1
	j=1	j=1 2	Ϊ

viscous loss term + inertial loss term

Si: source term for the i-th (x, y, or z) momentum equation |v| : magnitude of the velocity D and C: prescribed matrices

 $\succ$  This momentum sink contributes to the pressure gradient in the porous cell, creating a **pressure drop** that is proportional to the fluid velocity (or velocity squared) in the cell.

> The standard conservation equations for turbulence quantities is solved in the porous medium. Turbulence in the medium is treated as though the solid medium has no effect on the turbulence generation or dissipation rates.

permeable zone with the same loss coefficient  $\lambda$  as in wind tunnel experiments



**LOOSELY FILLED:**  $\lambda = 80 \text{ m}^{-1}$ 

**DENSELY FILLED:**  $\lambda = 200 \text{ m}^{-1}$ 





**Measured concentrations** Relative deviations [%] in respect of tree-free street canyon

**Concentration increase in proximity of wall A and decrease near wall B** 

➤Maximum concentrations at pedestrian level in proximity of wall A

>Differently to the tree-free street canyon case, less direct transport of pollutants from wall A to wall B occurs



#### **STREET CANYON WITH TREES**

### **CFD modelling** *Validation studies (W/H=2)*

## wind direction: perpendicular WIND y=1.25H





# ➢Increases in concentrations in proximity of wall A and decreases near wall B

The pollutants are advected towards the leeward wall A, but, since the circulating fluid mass is reduced in the presence of tree planting, the concentration in the uprising part of the canyon vortex in front of wall A is larger

#### ➢Differently to the tree-free street canyon case, less direct transport of pollutants from wall A to wall B occurs

Most of the uprising canyon vortex is intruded into the flow above the roof level. Here, it is diluted before partially re-entrained into the canyon. As a consequence, lower traffic exhaust concentrations are present in proximity of wall B





### **STREET CANYON WITH TREES**

#### CFD modelling Validation studies (W/H=2)

wind direction: perpendicular



## **CFD - WT CONCENTRATIONS**



➢CFD simulations were successful in predicting an increase in concentrations in proximity of wall A and a decrease near wall B and the relative deviations in respect of tree-free street canyon

≻As in the tree-free case, it slightly underestimated experimental data



### wind direction: perpendicular

# Sensitivity to the aspect ratio

Concentration fields within street canyon depend on both street canyon aspect ratio

>The degree of crown porosity is of minor relevance for flow and dispersion

processes inside the street canyon as the tree planting is arranged in a sheltered position

with wind speeds being very small.

#### >Double tree rows is preferable to one row in the middle of the canyon

<b>Relative deviation in wind tunnel concentration</b>	W/H=1 -single tree row vs empty	W/H=2two tree rows vs empty
leeward	+71%	+42%
windward	-35%	-32%



# CFD modelling

Flow and dispersion in street canyons with tree planting

# **Tree-free street canyon**



# Street canyon with tree planting (densely filled crown)





# wind direction: 45°

## CFD modelling Validation studies (W/H=2)



➢Pollutant concentrations are larger than at wall B. Concentration increases from the centre to the street ends at both walls are found.

≻In the wind tunnel experiments, at the beginning of wall A large concentrations are found. This phenomenon is only partially reproduced in the CFD simulations.

➢Overall CFD concentrations are similar to those obtained in the wind tunnel, even if there is some underestimation of the measured concentrations at wall A.

# **CFD - WT CONCENTRATIONS**







# wind direction: 45°

#### **CFD FLOW**



## CFD modelling Validation studies (W/H=2)



➤Lower concentrations at both walls at the upstream entry are due to enhanced ventilation caused by the superposition of the canyon vortex and the corner eddy.

➤The increasing pollutant concentrations towards the downstream end of the street clearly indicate that the flow along the street axis becomes a dominant pollutant transport mechanism.

➢ This tendency is due to the helical flow characteristic of the canyon vortex. Moreover, the clockwise rotating helical motion determine the vertical concentration distributions on both walls.



# wind direction: 45°

### **CFD modelling** *Validation studies (W/H=2)*

**Densely filled crown** ( $\lambda = 200 \text{ m}^{-1}$ ,  $P_{Vol} = 96 \%$ )



street canyon model – wind tunnel

#### ➢Increases in concentrations at both walls.

➢Overall CFD concentrations are similar to those obtained in the wind tunnel, even if there is an underestimation of the measured concentrations at wall A, especially close to the upstream entry.





# wind direction: 45°

### **CFD FLOW**



#### CFD modelling Validation studies (W/H=2)



Concentration patterns are due to the predominant parallel flow component.

➢In particular, at the upstream entry of wall A the corner eddy found in the tree-free case does not occur anymore, due to the presence of trees which behave as obstacles

➤The helical flow vortex is also broken and, as a consequence, a wind flowing parallel to the walls is evident. However, from the figure it can be noted that wind velocities are slower than those found in the previous case. As the result of this, the pollutants released from the traffic are larger.

# Aspect ratio vs wind direction

#### **Tree-free case**

- W/H = 1: worst air quality conditions occur when the wind is perpendicular. No improvement in the 45° inclined wind direction case

-W/H = 2: the wall-averaged concentrations decrease for both the perpendicular and  $45^{\circ}$ inclined case compared to the W/H=1 case. Improvement in the  $45^{\circ}$  inclined wind case The larger the aspect ratio of tree-free street canyons, the worst is the effect associated to perpendicular wind direction

- in the presence of trees, the largest concentrations occur in the W/H = 1

As above, although increasing the aspect ratio the relative improvement associated to inclined wind directions is less evident



# REAL SCENARIOS Aerodynamic effects of trees in Bari (Italy)



≻2 street canyons and 1 junction

$$H_{\text{max}}$$
~46m, H<sub>mean</sub>~24m

➤ "repetition unit", i.e. representative of the urban texture of a larger portion of the city.

≻4 tree rows avenue-like tree planting of high stand densities, i.e. with interfering neighbouring tree crowns.





➢ Wind meandering, buoyancy effects, background concentrations and other variables limit the comparison between monitored and simulated data to a rather qualitative analysis of the concentration levels at the monitoring positions since CFD simulations are typically done assuming a constant wind direction and without thermal stratification.

>CFD simulations aim at providing an example of how numerical tools can support city planning requirements >Computational cells: three millions and a half (cell dimensions  $\delta x min = \delta y min = 1m$ ,  $\delta z min = 0.3m$  until the height of 4m).

>4 days simulation time with 2 processors



#### **Concentration** ratio

$$\frac{C_{west} \times U_{west}}{C_{south} \times U_{south}}$$

REAL SCENARIOS Aerodynamic effects of trees in Bari (Italy)

100

E

West

**CFD simulations** 

#### Measurements at monitoring station (~3m)

mean daily concentration ratios ranging from ~ 1.5 to ~ 2.2 during winter/spring time in the years 2005/2006





**Concentration ratios** 

~ 1.5 (MEAS.)

~ 1.1 (SIM.)





13th Int. Conf. on Harmonisation within Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling for Regulatory Purposes, Paris (France), June 1-4

80



Study of tree-atmosphere interaction and assessment of air quality

in real city neighbourhoods



# REAL SCENARIOS Aerodynamic effects of trees in Bari (Italy)

CFD results provide a basis to interpret the monitored data

➤WEST CASE: due to the interaction with the buildings and tree planting arrangement, the resulting flow is channelled along the street canyon NS (wider canyon), predominately blowing from North to South.

SOUTH CASE: wind blows predominately along the approaching direction which is from South to North.



#### **Concentration ratio**

$$\frac{C_{west} \times U_{west}}{C_{south} \times U_{south}}$$

REAL SCENARIOS Aerodynamic effects of trees in Bari (Italy)

	West/South concentration ratio	
Tree	Measurement: ~1.5 Simulation: ~1.1	
Tree-free	Measurement: N/A Simulation: ~0.3	
Without trees the situation is reversed!		

#### WEST CASE

- Larger velocities
- •3 times smaller concentrations at monitoring position without trees

#### SOUTH CASE

•Slightly larger velocities (channelling along tree spaces transports more pollutant away from monitoring position)

•1.3 times larger concentrations at monitoring position without trees

Simulations show that it has been crucial to consider the effect of trees on pollutant dispersion to explain qualitative difference between the two cases

# Conclusions

>Trees in urban street canyons have important **aerodynamics effects** (aspect ratios and wind direction are among the most important ones!) They have somehow been quantified using wind tunnel controlled experiments. Real conditions may be different.

# **BULK** effects are probably understood individually but not in combination (especially in real scenarios)... multiple canyons, neighbourhood scale.

>RANS CFD simulations/analyses for concentration predictions in street canyons are currently feasible with a proper turbulence closure but most probably LES is more adequate to take into account non-stationary processes (We are currently exploring this).

>We still need to account for the effect of buoyancy (Radiation Sheltering effect but buildings release heat in. Trapping effect. Warm air in the bottom part of the canyon.

# **THANK YOU**

# FOR

# **YOUR ATTENTION**