

Environmentalism as a virtue

Citation for published version (APA): Kaiser, F. G., & Byrka, K. (2009). Environmentalism as a virtue. In H. Gutscher, H-J. Mosler, B. Meyer, S. Mischke, & M. Soland (Eds.), *Abstract presented at the 8th Biennial Conference on Environmental Psychology,* September 6-9, 2009, Zürich (pp. 79-79). Pabst Science Publishers.

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/2009

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Abstracts

Pro-environmental actions, climate change and denial: Do self-affirmations make a difference to people's motives and beliefs about making a difference?

Sparks, Paul; Jessop, Donna; Chapman, James; Holmes, Katherine University of Sussex, United Kingdom

Social concerns with the imperative of environmentally sustainable lifestyles sit rather awkwardly with ideas about the widespread denial of global environmental problems. Given the very obvious threat and denial dimensions to these issues, we conducted two studies assessing the impact of self-affirmation manipulations on people's beliefs and motives regarding pro-environmental actions. In Study 1, participants (N = 125) completed an affirmation task and read information on the threat of climate change. Results showed that the affirmation manipulation resulted in higher personal efficacy regarding climate change-related actions but did not affect beliefs about the reality of climate change and its effects. In Study 2, participants (N = 132) completed an affirmation task and read some information on recycling. Findings showed a beneficial effect of a morality affirmation manipulation on intentions to increase recycling behaviour (among lower recyclers). The results are discussed in relation to the potential benefits of self affirmation manipulations for promoting pro-environmental actions. *p.sparks@sussex.ac.uk*

Environmentalism as a Virtue

Kaiser, Florian G. (1); Byrka, Katarzyna (2) 1: Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg, Germany; 2: Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

Many of the currently existing environmental problems stem from self-interest undermining people's resource conservation in the common interest. Consistent with this view, pro-environmental engagement is often seen as a selfless, prosocial activity. As an extension of previous work, we found a well-established self-report measure of past conservation behavior to predict an individual's active participation in a psychological experiment, as well as their performance in this common dilemma experiment. Based upon their degree of environmental engagement, originally established in 2003, we re-contacted a sample of 502 participants in 2005. Of these re-contacted persons, 131 persons showed up for the announced experiment. Knowing a person's level of environmentalism allowed us to forecast their propensity to act prosocially even outside the environmental domain, and even with specific overt prosocial actions, such as cooperating more in commons dilemma experiments and more likely showing up for a psychological experiment. Thus, our findings lend credit to the notion of environmentalism as a valid measure of differences in people's prosocial propensity. Most remarkably, however, these results also speak of environmentalism as a virtue and of environmentalists to generally be the "better," more prosocially acting persons. Our findings also caution against overly high hopes for moral motives (e.g., norms) as viable means for effectively promoting environmental conservation.

fgk@ovgu.de