

A free-boundary problem for concrete carbonation : rigorous justification of the \$\sqrt t\$-law of propagation

Citation for published version (APA):

Aiki, T., & Muntean, A. (2011). A frée-boundary problem for concrete carbonation : rigorous justification of the \$\sqrt t\$-law of propagation. (CASA-report; Vol. 1118). Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/2011

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

CASA-Report 11-18 February 2011

A free-boundary problem for concrete carbonation: Rigorous justification of the \sqrt{t} -law of propagation

by

T. Aiki, A. Muntean



Centre for Analysis, Scientific computing and Applications Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Eindhoven University of Technology P.O. Box 513 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands ISSN: 0926-4507

A FREE-BOUNDARY PROBLEM FOR CONCRETE CARBONATION: RIGOROUS JUSTIFICATION OF THE \sqrt{T} -LAW OF PROPAGATION

TOYOHIKO AIKI* AND ADRIAN MUNTEAN[†]

Abstract. We study a one-dimensional free-boundary problem describing the penetration of carbonation fronts (free reaction-triggered interfaces) in concrete. A couple of decades ago, it was observed experimentally that the penetration depth versus time curve (say s(t) vs. t) behaves like $s(t) = C\sqrt{t}$ for sufficiently large times t > 0 (with C a positive constant). Consequently, many fitting arguments solely based on this experimental law were used to predict the large-time behavior of carbonation fronts in real structures, a theoretical justification of the \sqrt{t} -law being lacking until now.

The aim of this paper is to fill this gap by justifying rigorously the experimentally guessed asymptotic behavior. We have previously proven the upper bound $s(t) \leq C'\sqrt{t}$ for some constant C'; now we show the optimality of the rate by proving the right nontrivial lower estimate, i.e. there exists C'' > 0 such that $s(t) \geq C''\sqrt{t}$. Additionally, we obtain weak solutions to the free-boundary problem for the case when the measure of the initial domain vanishes. In this way, our mathematical model is now allowing for the appearance of a moving carbonation front – a scenario that until was hard to handle from the analysis point of view.

Key words. Free-boundary problem, concrete carbonation, large-time behavior, \sqrt{t} -law of propagation, appearance of a carbonation front, phase change;

AMS subject classifications. 35R35, 35B40, 80A22

1. Introduction.

1.1. Background. Environmental impact on concrete parts of buildings results in a variety of unwanted chemical and chemically-induced mechanical changes. The bulk of these changes leads to damaging and destabilization of the concrete itself or of the reinforcement embedded in the concrete. One important destabilization factor is the drop in pH near the steel bars induced by carbonation of the alkaline constituents; see for instance [12, 13, 22] and [20] for technical details and [14, 2] for an introduction to the mathematical modeling of the situation¹. The destabilization is caused by atmospheric carbon dioxide diffusing in the dry parts and reacting in the wet parts of the concrete pores. The phenomenon is considered as one of the major processes inducing corrosion in concrete. A particular feature of carbonation is the formation of macroscopic sharp reaction interfaces or thin reaction layers that progress into the unsaturated concrete-based materials. The deeper cause for the formation of these patterns is not quite clear, although the major chemical and physical reasons seem to be known.

Mathematically, the proposed model is a coupled system of semi-linear partial differential equations posed in a single 1D moving domains. The moving interface (front position in 1D) is assumed to be triggered by a fast chemical reaction – the carbonation reaction. Non-linear transmission conditions of Rankine-Hugoniot type are imposed across the inner boundary that separates the carbonated regions from

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Gifu University, Yanagido 1-1, Gifu, 501-1193, Japan (aiki@gifu-u.ac.jp).

[†]CASA - Centre for Analysis, Scientific computing and Applications, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Institute for Complex Molecular Systems (ICMS), Eindhoven University of Technology, PO Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands (a.muntean@tue.nl).

¹Remotely related mathematical approaches of similar reaction-diffusion scenarios have been reported, for instance, in [10, 9, 6].

the uncarbonated ones. The movement of the carbonated region is determined via a non-local dynamics law.

The key objective is not only to understand the movement of a macroscopic sharp reaction front in concrete but rather to predict the penetration depth after a sufficient large time.

A couple of decades ago, it was observed experimentally that the penetration depth versus time curve (say s(t) vs. t) behaves like $s(t) = C\sqrt{t}$ for sufficiently large times t > 0 (with C a positive constant). Consequently, many fitting arguments solely based on this experimental law were used to predict the large-time behavior of carbonation fronts in real structures, a theoretical justification of the \sqrt{t} -law being lacking until now.

This is the place where our paper contributes: We want to fill this gap by justifying rigorously the experimentally guessed asymptotic behavior.

1.2. Basic carbonation scenario-a moving one-phase approach. We study a one-dimensional free boundary problem system arising in the modeling of concrete carbonation problem. We consider that the concrete occupies the infinite interval $(0,\infty)$ and that there exists a sharp interface x = s(t), t > 0 separating the carbonated from the uncarbonated zone. The whole process can be seen as a solidsolid phase change; see the two colors in Fig. 1.1 (left). One color points out to $CaCO_3$ (carbonated phase), while the other one indicates $Ca(OH)_2$ (uncarbonated phase). The zone of interest is only one of the solid phases, namely the carbonated zone. We denote it by $Q_s(T)$ and, in mathematical terms, this is defined by $Q_s(T) := \{(t, x) : 0 < t < T, 0 < x < s(t)\}$ for some T > 0. Throughout this paper u and v denote the mass concentrations of CO_2 in air and water, respectively. As mentioned in [2], s, u and v satisfy the following system $P = P(s_0, u_0, v_0, g, h)$ (1.1) ~ (1.7):

(1.1)
$$u_t - (\kappa_1 u_x)_x = f(u, v)$$
 in $Q_s(T)$,

(1.2)
$$v_t - (\kappa_2 v_x)_x = -f(u, v)$$
 in $Q_s(T)$

 $v_t - (\kappa_2 v_x)_x = -f(u, v)$ in $Q_s(T)$, u(t, 0) = g(t), v(t, 0) = h(t) for $0 \le t \le T$, (1.3)

(1.4)
$$s'(t) = \psi(u(t, s(t)))$$
 for $0 < t < T$

(1.5)
$$-\kappa_1 u_x(t, s(t)) = \psi(u(t, s(t))) + s'(t)u(t, s(t)) \quad \text{for } 0 < t < T,$$

(1.6)
$$-\kappa_2 v_x(t, s(t)) = s'(t)v(t, s(t)) \quad \text{for } 0 < t < T$$

(1.7)
$$s(0) = s_0$$
 and $u(0, x) = u_0, v(0, x) = v_0$ for $0 < x < s_0$,

where κ_1 (resp. κ_2) is a diffusion constant of CO₂ in air (resp. water), f(u, v) := $\beta(\gamma v - u)$ is an effective Henry's law, where β and γ are positive constants, g and h are given functions corresponding to boundary conditions for u and v, respectively, $\psi(r) := \alpha ||r|^+|^p$ for $r \in R$ describes the rate of the carbonation reaction, where $p \ge 1$ and α is a positive constant². $s_0 \ge 0$ is the initial position of the free boundary, while u_0 and v_0 are the initial concentrations.

First mathematical models with free boundaries for describing the concrete carbonation process have been proposed by Muntean and Böhm in [14, 16], where the first mathematical results concerning the global existence and uniqueness of weak solutions as well as the stability of the solutions with respect to data and parameters

²The exponent p is sometimes called order of the chemical reaction, while the parameter α is just a proportionality constant. Its sensitivity with respect to the model output (s, u, v) has been studied numerically in [17].

have been investigated. Recently, we have improved their results by focussing a reduced free-boundary model still able to capturing the basic features of the carbonation process; see [2] for the reduced model and [1, 3] for the list of the new theorems on the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to P. This model is in some sense minimal: It includes the transport of species (diffusion), their averaged transfer across air-water interfaces (the Henry law), as well as fast reaction (with an indefinitely large chemical compound - "the concrete"). We have used further the advantageous structure of the reduced model to study the large-time behavior of the penetration depths. Basically, we started to wonder whether the experimentally known $\sqrt{t-law}$

$$s(t) = C\sqrt{t}$$
 for $t > 0$,

where C is a positive constant, is true or not [18]. Let us comment a bit on the context: It was shown in [22] (pp. 193-199) that the carbonation front behaves like a similarity solution to a one-phase Stefan-like problem [19]. Using matched-asymptotics techniques, the fast-reaction limit (for large Thiele moduli) done in [15] for a reaction-diffusion system also led to a \sqrt{t} -behavior of the carbonation front supporting experimental results from [12, 13], e.g. On the other hand, experimental



FIG. 1.1. (Left) Typical result of the phenolphthalein test on a partially carbonated sample (Courtesy of Prof. Dr. Max Setzer, University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany). The dark region indicates the uncarbonated part, while the brighter one points out the carbonated part. The two regions are separated by a sharp interface moving inwards the material. In this colorimetric test, this macroscopic interface corresponds to a drop in pH below 10. (Right) Computed interface positions vs. measured penetration depths [17].

results from [20] indicate that, depending on the type of the cement, a variety of t^{β}

front behaviors with $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}$ are possible. Furthermore, Souplet, Fila and collaborators (compare [21, 7]) have shown that, under certain conditions, non-homogeneous Stefanlike problem can lead to asymptotics like $s(t) \sim t^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Somehow, the major question remains:

What is the correct asymptotics of the carbonation front propagation?

The main result of our preliminary investigations (based on the reduced FBP) is reported in [4] and supports the fact that

$$s(t) \to \infty$$
 as $t \to \infty$ and $s(t) \le C' \sqrt{t}$ for $t \ge 0$.

where C' is a positive constant. Moreover, in this paper we establish a result on the lower estimate for the free boundary s as follows: For some positive constant c

(1.8)
$$s(t) \ge c\sqrt{t} \text{ for } t \ge 0$$

This estimate combined with the corresponding lower one would immediately guarantee the correctness of the \sqrt{t} -law from a mathematical modeling point of view. In section 2 we derive the missing lower bound.

Note that since, generally, $k_1 \gg k_2$ and $\gamma v \neq u$, the system (1.1)–(1.7) cannot be reduced to a scalar equation, where the use of Green functions representation [5, 19] would very much facilitate the obtaining of non-trivial lower bounds on concentrations, and hence, on the free boundary velocity. Furthermore, by using the similar method as the one used in the proof of (1.8), we can construct a weak solution to P satisfying $s_0 =$ 0. It is worth mentioning that Fasano and Primicerio (cf. [8], e.g.) have investigated a one-phase Stefan problem when the measure of the initial domain vanishes. In their proof the comparison principle is used in an essential manner. However, for our problem P we do not have any comparison theorem for the free boundary. Our idea here is to develop a method to obtain improved uniform estimates for solutions and then use these estimates to prove the existence of weak solutions for the case $s_0 = 0$. This program is realized in section 3. There are neither physical nor mathematical reasons to believe that uniqueness of weak solutions for the case $s_0 = 0$ would not hold. However, since our fixing-domain technique is not applicable anymore, the uniqueness seems to be difficult to prove.

2. Large-time behavior of the free boundary. In order to give a statement of our result on the large-time behavior of (weak) solutions, we consider the problem P posed in the cylindrical domain $Q(T) := (0, T) \times (0, 1)$. To this end, we use the following change of variables:

Let

(2.1)
$$\bar{u}(t,y) = u(t,s(t)y) \text{ and } \bar{v}(t,y) = v(t,s(t)y) \text{ for } (t,y) \in Q(T).$$

Then, it holds that

$$\begin{split} \bar{u}_t &- \frac{\kappa_1}{s^2} \bar{u}_{yy} - \frac{s'}{s} y \bar{u}_y = f(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) & \text{in } Q(T), \\ \bar{v}_t &- \frac{\kappa_2}{s^2} \bar{v}_{yy} - \frac{s'}{s} y \bar{v}_y = -f(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) & \text{in } Q(T), \\ \bar{u}(0, t) &= g(t), \bar{v}(0, t) = h(t) & \text{for } 0 < t < T, \\ s'(t) &= \psi(\bar{u}(t, 1)) & \text{for } 0 < t < T, \\ -\frac{\kappa_1}{s(t)} \bar{u}_y(t, 1) &= s'(t) \bar{u}(t, 1) + s'(t) & \text{for } 0 < t < T, \end{split}$$

Justification of the \sqrt{t} -law

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\kappa_2}{s(t)}\bar{v}_y(t,1) = s'(t)\bar{v}(t,1) \quad \text{ for } 0 < t < T, \\ &s(0) = s_0, \bar{u}(0,y) = \bar{u}_0(y), \bar{v}(0,y) = \bar{v}_0(y) \quad \text{ for } 0 < y < 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{u}_0(y) = u_0(s_0 y)$ and $\bar{v}_0(y) = v_0(s_0 y)$ for $y \in [0, 1]$.

For simplicity, we introduce some notations as follows: $H := L^2(0,1), X := \{z \in$ $H^1(0,1): z(0) = 0$, X^{*} is the dual space of X,

$$V(T) := L^{\infty}(0,T;H) \cap L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(0,1))$$

and

$$V_0(T) := V(T) \cap L^2(0, T; X),$$

and $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ denote the usual inner product of H and the duality pairing between X and X^* , respectively.

First of all, we define a weak solution of $P(s_0, u_0, v_0, g, h)$. To do this, we use a similar concept of weak solution as the one introduced in [1].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let s be a function on [0,T] and u, v be functions on $Q_s(T)$ for $0 < T < \infty$, and \bar{u} and \bar{v} be functions defined by (2.1). We call that a triplet $\{s, u, v\}$ is a weak solution of P on [0,T] if the conditions $(S1) \sim (S5)$ hold: $(S1) s \in W^{1,\infty}(0,T) \text{ with } s > 0 \text{ on } [0,T], (\bar{u},\bar{v}) \in (W^{1,2}(0,T;X^*) \cap V(T) \cap L^{\infty}(Q(T)))^2.$ (S2) $\bar{u} - q, \bar{v} - h \in L^2(0, T; X), u(0) = u_0 \text{ and } v(0) = v_0.$ (S3) $s'(t) = \psi(u(t, s(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, T] \text{ and } s(0) = s_0.$

$$(S4) \qquad \int_0^T \langle \bar{u}_t, z \rangle_X dt + \int_{Q(T)} \frac{\kappa_1}{s^2} \bar{u}_y z_y dy dt + \int_0^T \frac{s'}{s} (\bar{u}(\cdot, 1) + 1) z(\cdot, 1) dt$$

$$= \int_{Q(T)} (f(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) + \frac{s'}{s} y \bar{u}_y) z dy dt \quad \text{for } z \in V_0(T).$$

$$(S5) \qquad \qquad \int_0^T \langle \bar{v}_t, z \rangle_X dt + \int_{Q(T)} \frac{\kappa_2}{s^2} \bar{v}_y z_y dy dt + \int_0^T \frac{s'}{s} \bar{v}(\cdot, 1) z(\cdot, 1) dt$$

$$= \int_{Q(T)} (-f(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) + \frac{s'}{s} y \bar{v}_y) z dy dt \quad \text{for } z \in V_0(T)$$

Moreover, let s be a function on $[0,\infty)$, and u and v be functions on $Q_s := \{(t,x)|t > t\}$ 0, 0 < x < s(t). We say that $\{s, u, v\}$ is a weak solution of P on $[0, \infty)$ if for any T > 0 the triplet $\{s, u, v\}$ is a weak solution of P on [0, T].

Before recalling our results concerning the global existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to P on the time interval [0,T], T > 0, we give the following assumptions for the involved data and model parameters:

(A1) $f(u, v) = \beta(\gamma v - u)$ for any $(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ where β and γ are positive constants. (A2) $g, h \in W^{1,2}_{loc}([0,\infty)) \cap L^{\infty}(0,\infty)$, and $g \ge 0$ and $h \ge 0$ on $(0,\infty)$.

(A3) $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(0, s_0)$ and $v_0 \in L^{\infty}(0, s_0)$ with $u_0 \ge 0$ and $v_0 \ge 0$ on $(0, s_0)$. THEOREM 2.2. (cf. [1, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, Lemma 4.1]) If $(A1) \sim (A3)$ hold,

then P has one and only one weak nonnegative solution on $[0,\infty)$.

The next theorem is the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 2.3. If $g(t) = g_*$, $h(t) = h_*$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$, where g_* and h_* are positive constants with $\gamma h_* = g_*$, and (A1) and (A3) hold, then there exists a positive constant c such that

$$s(t) \ge c\sqrt{t}$$
 for $t \ge 0$.

The proof of Theorem 2.3 relies on three technical lemmas. We give these auxiliary results in the following.

LEMMA 2.4. (cf. [4, Lemma 3.3]) If (A1) ~ (A3) hold, then a weak solution $\{s, u, v\}$ on $[0, \infty)$ satisfies

$$\int_{0}^{s(t)} xu(t)dx + \frac{1}{2}|s(t)|^{2} + \kappa_{1} \int_{0}^{t} u(\tau, s(\tau))d\tau$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{s(t)} xv(t)dx + \kappa_{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s(\tau)} v_{x}(\tau, x)dxd\tau$$
$$= \int_{0}^{s_{0}} xu_{0}dx + \frac{1}{2}|s_{0}|^{2} + \int_{0}^{s_{0}} xv_{0}dx + \kappa_{1} \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau)d\tau \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

Proof. Let T > 0. In (S4) we can take $z(t) = s^2(t)y$ for $t \in [0, T]$ so that we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \langle \bar{u}_{t}(t), s^{2}(t)y \rangle_{X} dt + \int_{Q(T)} \kappa_{1} \bar{u}_{y}(t) dy dt + \int_{0}^{T} s'(t)s(t)(\bar{u}(t,1)+1) dt$$

$$(2.2) = \int_{Q(T)} (yf(\bar{u}(t),\bar{v}(t)) + \frac{s'(t)}{s(t)}y^{2} \bar{u}_{y}(t))s^{2}(t) dy dt.$$

Here, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \bar{u}_t(t), s^2(t)y \rangle_X dt \\ &= -2 \int_0^T \int_0^1 \bar{u}(t)s'(t)s(t)y dy dt + \int_0^1 \bar{u}(T)s^2(T)y dy - \int_0^1 \bar{u}(0)s^2(0)y dy \\ (2.3) &= -2 \int_0^T \int_0^{s(t)} u(t) \frac{s'(t)}{s(t)} x dx dt + \int_0^{s(T)} u(T)x dx - \int_0^{s_0} u_0 x dx; \end{aligned}$$

(2.4)
$$\int_{Q(T)} \kappa_1 \bar{u}_y(t) dy dt = \kappa_1 \int_0^T (\bar{u}(t,1) - g(t)) dt;$$

(2.5)
$$\int_0^T \frac{s'(t)}{s(t)} (\bar{u}(t,1)+1)s^2(t)dt = \int_0^T s'(t)s(t)\bar{u}(t,1)dt + \frac{1}{2}(s^2(T)-s_0^2);$$

and

(2.6)
$$\int_{Q(T)} \frac{s'(t)}{s(t)} y^2 \bar{u}_y(t) s^2(t) dy dt$$
$$= -2 \int_{Q(T)} s'(t) s(t) y \bar{u}(t) dy dt + \int_0^T s'(t) s(t) \bar{u}(t, 1) dt$$
$$= -2 \int_{Q(T)} \frac{s'(t)}{s(t)} u(t) dx dt + \int_0^T s'(t) s(t) x \bar{u}(t, 1) dt.$$

By substituting $(2.3) \sim (2.6)$ into (2.2) we see that

$$\int_{0}^{s(T)} xu(T)dx + \frac{1}{2}s^{2}(T) + \kappa_{1}\int_{0}^{T} u(t,s(t))dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{s_{0}} xu_{0}dx + \frac{1}{2}s_{0}^{2} + \kappa_{1}\int_{0}^{T} g(t)dt + \int_{Q_{s}(T)} f(u,v)xdxdt.$$

Similarly, it follows from (S5) with $z(t) = s^2(t)y$ that

$$\int_0^{s(T)} xv(T)dx + \kappa_2 \int_{Q_s(T)} v_x(\tau, x)dxdt = \int_0^{s_0} xv_0dx - \int_{Q_s(T)} f(u, v)xdxdt.$$

Adding these two equations leads to the end of the proof of this lemma. \Box

Before starting off to providing a proof for the main result of the paper (Theorem 2.3), we wish to point out in Lemma 2.5 and in Lemma 2.6 below that our freeboundary problem allows for positive and uniformly bounded concentrations, and also, that an energy-like inequality holds.

LEMMA 2.5. (cf. [4, Lemma 3.2]) Assume (A1) ~ (A3) hold, take positive numbers g^* and h^* satisfying $u_0 \leq g^*$, $v_0 \leq h^*$ on $[0, s_0]$, $g \leq g^*$, $h \leq h^*$ on $[0, \infty)$ and $g^* = \gamma h^*$, and let $\{s, u, v\}$ be a weak solution of P on $[0, \infty)$. Then it holds that

$$0 \le u \le g^*, 0 \le v \le h^* \text{ on } Q_s.$$

LEMMA 2.6. (cf. [4, Lemma 3.4]) Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.3 a weak solution $\{s, u, v\}$ of P on $[0, \infty)$ satisfies

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{s(t)} |u(t) - g_*|^2 dx + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_0^{s(t)} |v(t) - h_*|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |s'(\tau)|^{1+2/p} d\tau \\ &+ \kappa_1 \int_0^t \int_0^{s(\tau)} |u_x(\tau)|^2 dx d\tau + \gamma \kappa_2 \int_0^t \int_0^{s(\tau)} |v_x(\tau)|^2 dx d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{s_0} |u_0 - g_*|^2 dx + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_0^{s_0} |v_0 - h_*|^2 dx \\ &+ \int_0^t s'(\tau) (\frac{1}{2} |g_*|^2 + g_* + \frac{\gamma}{2} |h_*|^2) d\tau \quad for \ t \ge 0. \end{split}$$

2.1. Proof of Theorem 2.3.. In this section, we give the proof of our main result.

Proof. Let $\{s, u, v\}$ be a weak solution of P on $[0, \infty)$, and g^* and h^* be positive constants defined in Lemma 2.5. First, Lemma 2.6 implies that

$$\begin{split} &\gamma \kappa_2 \int_0^t \int_0^{s(\tau)} |v_x(\tau)|^2 dx d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{s_0} (|u_0 - g_*|^2 + \gamma |v_0 - h_*|^2) dx + \int_0^t s'(\tau) (\frac{1}{2} |g_*|^2 + g_* + \frac{\gamma}{2} |h_*|^2) d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{s_0} (|u_0 - g_*|^2 + \gamma |v_0 - h_*|^2) dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} |g_*|^2 + g_* + \frac{\gamma}{2} |h_*|^2\right) (s(t) - s_0) \quad \text{ for } t \geq 0. \end{split}$$

Hence, there is a positive constant depending on u_0, v_0, g_*, h_* and s_0 such that

$$\int_0^t \int_0^{s(\tau)} |v_x(\tau)|^2 dx d\tau \le C_1 + C_1 s(t) \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

Next, on account of Lemma 2.4 we see that

$$\int_{0}^{s(t)} xu(t)dx + \frac{1}{2}|s(t)|^{2} + \kappa_{1} \int_{0}^{t} u(\tau, s(\tau))d\tau + \int_{0}^{s(t)} xv(t)dx + \kappa_{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s(\tau)} v_{x}(\tau, x)dxd\tau = \int_{0}^{s_{0}} xu_{0}dx + \frac{1}{2}|s_{0}|^{2} + \int_{0}^{s_{0}} xv_{0}dx + \kappa_{1} \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau)d\tau \geq \kappa_{1}g_{*}t \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Here, we note that

$$u(t, s(t)) = \left(\frac{s'(t)}{\alpha}\right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for } t \ge 0$$

Then, by putting $M=\max\{g^*,h^*\}$ we obtain

$$\kappa_1 g_* t \le 2M \int_0^{s(t)} x dx + \frac{1}{2} |s(t)|^2 + \frac{\kappa_1}{\alpha^{1/p}} \int_0^t (s'(\tau))^{1/p} d\tau + \kappa_2 (\int_{Q_s(t)} |v_x|^2 dx d\tau)^{1/2} (\int_{Q_s(t)} dx d\tau)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

It is clear that

(2.7)
$$\frac{\kappa_1}{\alpha^{1/p}} \int_0^t (s'(\tau))^{1/p} d\tau \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{\alpha^{1/p}} (\int_0^t s'(\tau) d\tau)^{1/p} t^{1-1/p} \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{\alpha^{1/p}} s(t)^{1/p} t^{1-1/p} \leq \frac{1}{4} \kappa_1 g_* t + C_2 s(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

where C_2 is some positive constant, and

$$\kappa_2 \left(\int_{Q_s(t)} |v_x|^2 dx d\tau \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{Q_s(t)} dx d\tau \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \kappa_2 C_1^{1/2} (1+s(t))^{1/2} t^{1/2} s(t)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \kappa_1 g_* y + C_3 (s(t) + s(t)^2) \quad \text{for } t \ge 0,$$

where C_3 is some positive constant.

From the above inequalities we can get

$$\frac{1}{2}\kappa_1 g_* t \le (M + \frac{1}{2} + C_3)|s(t)|^2 + (C_2 + C_3)s(t) \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

Now, let $t \ge 1$. In this case we see that

$$\frac{1}{2}\kappa_1 g_* t \le (M + \frac{1}{2} + C_3)|s(t)|^2 + C_4|s(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\kappa_1 g_* t \quad \text{ for } t \ge 0,$$

where C_4 is some positive constant. Thus it holds that

$$\left(\frac{\kappa_1 g_*}{4(M+1+C_3+C_4)}t\right)^{1/2} \le s(t) \quad \text{ for } t \ge 1.$$

In case $0 \le t \le 1$, we have $s_0\sqrt{t} \le s(t)$.

Therefore, by putting $\nu_0 = \min\{s_0, \left(\frac{\kappa_1 g_*}{4(M+1+C_3+C_4)}\right)^{1/2}\}$ we conclude that

$$\nu_0 \sqrt{t} \le s(t) \quad \text{for } t \ge 0$$

3. Appearance of a moving carbonation front – The case $s_0 = 0$. The aim of this section is to prove a result concerning the existence of weak solutions to P for the case $s_0 = 0$. This is the case when the free boundary starts off moving precisely from the outer boundary [exposed to CO_2]. Before giving the statement of the theorem, we denote for simplicity

$$C_0((0,T];X) = \{ z \in C([0,T]:X) : z = 0 \text{ on } [0,\delta_z) \text{ for some } \delta_z > 0 \}.$$

THEOREM 3.1. Let T > 0, and g and h be functions on [0,T] satisfying $g, h \in W^{1,2}(0,T)$ and $g(t) \ge g_0 > 0$ and $h \ge 0$ for $t \in [0,T]$, where g_0 is a given positive constant. Then under (A1) there exists a triplet $\{s, u, v\}$ of functions such that $s \in W^{1,\infty}(0,T)$, s(0) = 0, s(t) > 0 for $t \in (0,T]$, $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in L^{\infty}(Q(T))$, $\bar{u} - g, \bar{v} - h \in L^2(0,T;X)$, $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in C((0,T];H)$, $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in W^{1,2}((0,T];X^*)$,

(3.1)
$$s'(t) = \psi(\bar{u}(t,1))$$
 for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$,

(3.2)
$$\int_0^T \langle \bar{u}_t, z \rangle_X dt + \int_{Q(T)} \frac{\kappa_1}{s^2} \bar{u}_y z_y dy dt + \int_0^T \frac{s'}{s} z(\cdot, 1) dt$$
$$= \int_{Q(T)} (f(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) - \frac{s'}{s} y \bar{u}_y) z dy dt \quad \text{for } z \in C_0((0, T]; X).$$

(3.3)
$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \bar{v}_t, z \rangle_X dt &+ \int_{Q(T)} \frac{\kappa_2}{s^2} \bar{v}_y z_y dy dt \\ &= -\int_{Q(T)} (f(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) + \frac{s'}{s} y \bar{v}_y) z dy dt \quad \text{for } z \in C_0((0, T]; X), \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{u} and \bar{v} are functions defined by (2.1).

Proof. First, let $\{s_{0n}\}$ be a sequence satisfying $s_{0n} > 0$ for each n and $s_{0n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and put $u_{0n} = g(0)$ and $v_{0n} = h(0)$ on $[0, s_{0n}]$. Then, Theorem 2.2 guarantees that $P(s_{0n}, u_{0n}, v_{0n}, g, h)$ has a unique weak solution $\{s_n, u_n, v_n\}$ on [0, T]. Here, we denote by \bar{u}_n and \bar{v}_n the functions defined by (2.1) with $s = s_n$, $u = u_n$ and $v = v_n$ for each n. Since we can take positive constants g^* and h^* such that $g \leq g^*$ and $h \leq h^*$ on [0, T], $u_{0n} \leq g^*$ and $v_{0n} \leq h^*$ on $[0, s_{0n}]$ for n and $g^* = \gamma h^*$, Lemma 2.5 implies that

(3.4)
$$0 \le u_n \le g^*, 0 \le v_n \le h^* \quad \text{on } Q_{s_n}(T) \text{ for any } n.$$

By (S3) and this shows that $|s'_n(t)| \leq \psi(g^*)$ for $t \in [0,T]$ and n so that the set $\{s_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,\infty}(0,T)$. Clearly, there exists a positive constant L_1 such that $0 \leq s_n(t) \leq L_1$ for $t \in [0,T]$ and n.

Next, the following estimate is a direct consequence of [1, Lemma 4.2]: For each \boldsymbol{n}

$$\begin{split} &\kappa_1 \int_0^t \int_0^{s_n(\tau)} |u_{nx}|^2 dx d\tau + \kappa_2 \int_0^t \int_0^{s_n(\tau)} |v_{nx}|^2 dx d\tau \\ &\leq 2(C_f^2 + 1) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_n(\tau)} (|u_n(\tau) - g(\tau)|^2 + |v_n(\tau) - h(\tau)|^2) dx d\tau \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t s_n(\tau) (|f(g(\tau), h(\tau))|^2 + |g_\tau(\tau)|^2 + |h_\tau(\tau)|^2) d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t s_n'(\tau) (3|g(\tau)|^2 + |g(\tau)| + |h(\tau)|^2) d\tau \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], \end{split}$$

where $C_f := \beta \gamma$. Because of the boundedness of $\{s_n\}$ and (3.4) there exists a positive constant M_2 such that

$$\int_0^T \int_0^{s_n(\tau)} |u_{nx}|^2 dx d\tau + \int_0^T \int_0^{s_n(\tau)} |v_{nx}|^2 dx d\tau \le M_2 \text{ for } n.$$

Then, easily, we can obtain that $\{\bar{u}_{ny}\}\$ and $\{\bar{v}_{ny}\}\$ are bounded in $L^2(Q(T))$.

From now on we provide the estimate from below for the free boundary as follows. To do so from Lemma 2.4 it follows that

$$\begin{split} \kappa_1 g_0 t &\leq \int_0^{s_n(t)} x u_n(t) dx + \frac{1}{2} |s_n(t)|^2 + \kappa_1 \int_0^t u_n(\tau, s_n(\tau)) d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^{s_n(t)} x v_n(t) dx + \kappa_2 \int_0^t \int_0^{s_n(\tau)} v_{nx}(\tau, x) dx d\tau \\ &=: J_{1n}(t) + J_{2n}(t) + J_{3n}(t) + J_{4n}(t) + J_{5n}(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } n. \end{split}$$

Here, it is obvious that

$$\begin{cases} J_{1n}(t) + J_{4n}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}(g^* + h^*)|s_n(t)|^2 \\ J_{5n}(t) \leq \kappa_2 M_2^{1/2}(ts_n(t))^{1/2} \end{cases}$$
for $t \in [0,T]$ and n .

Similarly to (2.7), by using (1.4) we observe that

$$J_{3n}(t) \le \frac{\kappa_1}{\alpha^{1/p}} \int_0^t |s_n'(\tau)|^{1/p} d\tau \le \frac{\kappa_1 T^{1-1/p}}{\alpha^{1/p}} s_n(t)^{1/p} \text{ for } t \in [0,T] \text{ and } n.$$

From the above inequalities we have

$$\leq \frac{g^* + h^* + 1}{2} s_n(t)^2 + \frac{\kappa_1 T^{1-1/p}}{\alpha^{1/p}} s_n(t)^{1/p} + \kappa_2 (M_2 T)^{1/2} s_n(t)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{g^* + h^* + 1}{2} L_1^{2-\mu} + \frac{\kappa_1 T^{1-1/p}}{\alpha^{1/p}} L_1^{1/p-\mu} + \kappa_2 (M_2 T)^{1/2} L_1^{1/2-\mu}\right) s_n(t)^{\mu}$$

$$=: M_3 s_n(t)^{\mu} \text{ for } t \in [0, T] \text{ and } n,$$

where

$$\mu := \min\{1/p, 1/2\}$$

so that

(3.5)
$$s_n(t) \ge \nu_1 t^{1/\mu} \text{ for } t \in [0, T] \text{ and } n,$$

where ν_1 is a positive constant independent of n.

As next step, we wish to estimate the time derivative of \bar{u}_n . Let $\delta > 0$ and $\eta \in L^2(\delta, T; X)$. Then (S4) implies that

$$\begin{split} &|\int_{\delta}^{T} \langle \bar{u}_{nt}(t), \eta(t) \rangle_{X} dt| \\ &\leq |\int_{\delta}^{T} \frac{\kappa_{1}}{s_{n}^{2}(t)} (\bar{u}_{y}(t), \eta_{y}(t))_{H} dt| + |\int_{\delta}^{T} (\frac{s_{n}'(t)}{s_{n}(t)} \bar{u}_{n}(t, 1) + \frac{s_{n}'(t)}{s_{n}(t)}) \eta(t, 1) dt \\ &+ |\int_{\delta}^{T} (f(\bar{u}_{n}(t), \bar{v}_{n}(t)), \eta(t))_{H} dt| + |\int_{\delta}^{T} \frac{s_{n}'(t)}{s_{n}(t)} (y \bar{u}_{ny}(t), \eta(t))_{H} dt| \\ &=: I_{1n} + I_{2n} + I_{3n} + I_{4n}. \end{split}$$

Obviously, on account of (3.5) it holds that

$$I_{1n} \leq \kappa_1 \int_{\delta}^{T} \frac{1}{\nu_1^2 t^{2/\mu}} |\bar{u}_{ny}(t)|_H |\eta_y(t)|_H dt$$
$$\leq \frac{\kappa_1}{\nu_1^2 \delta^{2/\mu}} |\bar{u}_{ny}|_{L^2(\delta,T;H)} |\eta_y(t)|_{L^2(\delta,T;H)};$$

$$I_{2n} \le \frac{\psi(g^*)}{\mu_1 \delta^{1/\mu}} (|\bar{u}_n|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(0,1))} + T^{1/2}) |\eta|_{L^2(\delta,T;X)};$$

$$I_{3n} \le \beta (\gamma h^* + g^*) T^{1/2} |\eta|_{L^2(\delta, T; X)};$$

$$I_{4n} \le \frac{\psi(g^*)}{\nu_1 \delta^{1/\mu}} |\bar{u}_{ny}|_{L^2(0,T;H)} |\eta|_{L^2(\delta,T;X)} \quad \text{for } n.$$

Hence, the set $\{\bar{u}_{nt}\}\$ and $\{\bar{v}_{nt}\}\$ are bounded in $L^2(\delta, T; X^*)$ for each $\delta > 0$.

From these estimates we can take a subsequence $\{n_j\} \subset \{n\}$ satisfying $s_{n_j} \to s$ weakly* in $W^{1,\infty}(0,T)$ and C([0,T]), and $\bar{u}_{n_j} \to \bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}_{n_j} \to \bar{v}$ weakly* in $L^{\infty}(Q(T))$ and weakly in $L^2(0,T; H^1(0,1))$, in $C([\delta,T]; H)$ and weakly in $W^{1,2}(\delta,T; X^*)$ for each $\delta > 0$ as $j \to \infty$, where $s \in W^{1,\infty}(0,T)$, $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in L^{\infty}(Q(T))$, $\bar{u} - g, \bar{v} - h \in L^2(0,T; X)$ and

$$\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in C((0,T];H) \cap W^{1,2}_{loc}((0,T];X^*).$$

By (3.5) we have s(t) > 0 for t > 0. Also, s(0) = 0.

In order to complete the proof of the Theorem, it is necessary to show that (3.2), (3.3) and (3.1) hold. Let $\eta \in C_0((0,T];X)$. Then $\eta = 0$ on $[0,\delta]$ for some $\delta > 0$. By taking $z = \eta$ in (S4) we infer that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\delta}^{T} \langle \bar{u}_{n_{j}t}(t), \eta(t) \rangle_{X} dt + \int_{\delta}^{T} \frac{\kappa_{1}}{s_{n_{j}}^{2}(t)} (\bar{u}_{n_{j}y}(t), \eta_{y}(t))_{H} dt \\ &+ \int_{\delta}^{T} (\frac{s'_{n_{j}}(t)}{s_{n_{j}}(t)} \bar{u}_{n_{j}}(t, 1) + \frac{s'_{n_{j}}(t)}{s_{n_{j}}(t)}) \eta(t, 1) dt \\ &= \int_{\delta}^{T} (f(\bar{u}_{n_{j}}(t), \bar{v}_{n_{j}}(t)) + \frac{s'_{n_{j}}(t)}{s_{n_{j}}(t)} y \bar{u}_{n_{j}y}(t), \eta(t))_{H} dt \quad \text{ for } j. \end{split}$$

Elementary calculations yield:

(3.6)
$$\bar{u}_{n_j}(\cdot, 1) \to \bar{u}(\cdot, 1) \text{ in } L^4(\delta, T) \text{ as } j \to \infty$$

so that

$$\int_{\delta}^{T} \frac{s'_{n_j}(t)}{s_{n_j}(t)} \bar{u}_{n_j}(t,1)\eta(t,1)dt \to \int_{\delta}^{T} \frac{s'(t)}{s(t)} \bar{u}(t,1)\eta(t,1)dt \quad \text{ as } j \to \infty.$$

Moreover, we can obtain $s'_{n_i} \to s'$ in $L^4(\delta, T)$ as $j \to \infty$ and

$$\int_{\delta}^{T} (\frac{s'_{n_j}(t)}{s_{n_j}(t)} y \bar{u}_{n_j y}(t), \eta(t))_H dt \to \int_{\delta}^{T} (\frac{s'(t)}{s(t)} y \bar{u}_y(t), \eta(t))_H dt \text{ as } j \to \infty.$$

Therefore, we can prove that (3.2) holds. Similarly, (3.3) is valid. Finally, by (3.6) we get (3.1). Thus the proof of this theorem has been finished. \Box

A practical comment. Before using in the engineering practice the \sqrt{t} information for forecasting purposes, the practitioner should be aware of the fact that its validity is closely related to the validity of the underlying free-boundary model P. Relying on our working experience with such FBPs for carbonation (based on [17], e.g.), we can say that P captures well accelerated carbonation tests, but it may not be suitable for predicting the evolution of carbonation scenarios under natural exposure conditions.

Acknowledgment. The initial phase of this research has been supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG), special program SP 1122.

REFERENCES

- T. Aiki, A. Muntean, Existence and uniqueness of solutions to a mathematical model predicting service life of concrete structures, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl., 19 (2009), pp. 109–129.
- [2] T. Aiki, A. Muntean, Mathematical treatment of concrete carbonation process, Current Advances in Nonlinear Analysis and Related Topics, Gakuto Int. Ser. Math. Sci. Appl., 32 (2010), pp. 231–238.
- [3] T. Aiki, A. Muntean, On uniqueness of a weak solution of one-dimensional concrete carbonation problem, Discr. Cont. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A, 29 (2010), pp. 1345–1365.
- [4] T. Aiki, A. Muntean, Large time behavior of solutions to concrete carbonation problem, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal., 9 (2010), pp. 1117–1129.
- [5] J. R. Cannon, The One-Dimensional Heat Equation, vol. 23 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, California, 1984.
- [6] Y. Du, Z. Lin, Spreading-vanishing dichotomy in the diffusive logistic model with a free boundary, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 42 (1) (2010), pp. 377–405.
- [7] M. Fila, P. Souplet, Existence of global solutions with slow decay and unbounded free boundary for a superlinear Stefan problem, Interfaces and Free Boundaries, 3 (2001), pp. 337–344.

- [8] A. Fasano, M. Primicerio, General free-boundary problems for the heat equation. II, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 58 (1977), pp. 202–231.
- [9] F. R. Guarguaglini, R. Natalini, Fast reaction limit and large time behavior of solutions to a nonlinear model of sulfatation phenomena, Commun. Partial Differ. Equations, 32 (2007), pp. 163–189.
- [10] D. Hilhorst, F. Issard-Rosch, J. M. Roquejoffre, Large time behavior of the solution to a onedimensional Stefan problem with a kinetic condition at the free boundary, Euro. Jnl. of Applied Mathematics, 15 (2004), pp. 297–313.
- [11] T. Ishida, Private communication, 2010.
- [12] T. Ishida, C.-H. Li. Modeling of carbonation based on thermo-hygro physics with strong coupling of mass transport and equilibrium in micropore structure of concrete, J. Adv. Concr. Technol., 6 (2) (2008), pp. 303–316.
- [13] T. Ishida, K. Maekawa, M. Solitan, Theoretically identified strong coupling of carbobation rate and thermodynamic moisture states in micropores of concrete, J. Adv. Concr. Technol., 2 (2) (2004), pp. 213–222.
- [14] A. Muntean, A Moving-Boundary Problem: Modeling, Analysis and Simulation of Concrete Carbonation, Cuvillier Verlag, Götingen, 2006, PhD thesis, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bremen, Germany.
- [15] A. Muntean, On the interplay between fast reaction and slow diffusion in the concrete carbonation process: a matched-asymptotics approach, Meccanica, 44 (2009), 1, pp. 35–46.
- [16] A. Muntean, M. Böhm, A moving-boundary problem for concrete carbonation: global existence and uniqueness of solutions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 350 (2009), 1, pp. 234–251.
- [17] A. Muntean, M. Böhm, J. Kropp, Moving carbonation fronts in concrete: a moving-sharpinterface approach, Chemical Engineering Science, 66 (2011), 3, pp. 538–547.
- [18] A. Muntean, S. Meier, M. Peter, M. Böhm, J. Kropp. A note on limitations of the use of accelerated concrete-carbonation tests for service-life predictions. Berichte aus der Technomathematik 05-04, Universität Bremen, 2005.
- [19] L. I. Rubinstein, The Stefan Problem, vol. 27 of Translations of Mathematical Monographs, AMS, Providence, 1971.
- [20] K. Sisomphon, Influence of pozzolanic material additions on the development of the alkalinity and the carbonation behavior of composite cement pastes and concretes, PhD thesis, TU Hamburg-Harburg, 2004.
- [21] P. Souplet, H. Ghidousche, D. Tarzia, Decay of global solutions, stability and blow-up for a reaction-diffusion problem with free boundary, Proc. AMS, 129 (2001), pp. 781–792.
- [22] M. Thiery, Modélisation de la carbonatation atmosphérique des matériaux cimentaires. Prise en compte des effets cinétiques et des modifications microstructurales et hydriques, Ouvrages d'art OA 52, LCPC, Paris, 2006.

PREVIOUS PUBLICATIONS IN THIS SERIES:

Number	Author(s)	Title	Month
II-I 4	E.J.W. ter Maten O. Wittich A. Di Bucchianico T.S. Doorn T.G.J. Beelen	Importance sampling for determining SRAM yield and optimization with statistical constraint	Febr. '11
11-15	R.V. Polyuga A. van der Schaft	Model reduction of port- Hamiltonian systems based on reduction of Dirac structures	Febr. '11
11-16	S. Gugercin R.V. Polyuga C. Beattie A. van der Schaft	Structure-preserving tangential-interpolation based model reduction of port-Hamiltonian systems	Febr. '11
11-17	P.I. Rosen Esquivel J.H.M. ten Thije Boonkkamp J.A.M. Dam R.M.M. Mattheij	Numerical wall-shape optimization for laminar flow in corrugated pipes	Febr. '11
11-18	T. Aiki A. Muntean	A free-boundary problem for concrete carbonation: Rigorous justification of the √t-law of propagation	Febr. '11
			Ontwerp: de Tantes, Tobias Baanders, CWI