

On education

Citation for published version (APA):

Bronswijk, van, J. E. M. H. (2008). On education. *Gerontechnology*, 7(1), 1-2.
<https://doi.org/10.4017/gt.2008.07.01.001.00>

DOI:

[10.4017/gt.2008.07.01.001.00](https://doi.org/10.4017/gt.2008.07.01.001.00)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2008

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

On education

Johanna E.M.H. van Bronswijk PhD EurProBiol
 Group Public Health Engineering for Built Environments,
 Department of Architecture, Building and Planning,
 Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, the Netherlands
 E: j.e.m.h.v.bronswijk@gerontechnology.info

J.E.M.H. van Bronswijk. On education (editorial). Gerontechnology 2008; 7(1):1-2. Gerontechnology may be variously described as a hypothesis, a philosophy, a movement, or an application-oriented field of study, but it always combines gerontology and technology, and has increased well-being of aging individuals as its measure of success. From the start it has also been developed as a higher-education teaching endeavour that stresses multidisciplinary aspects and the suitability for generalization of existing theories from the relevant monodisciplines. In a rising number of master classes for PhD students the theoretical and practical bases are made explicit.

Keywords: gerontechnology, application-oriented, impact, cross-fertilization

This is the 25th issue of Gerontechnology journal; time to look back. In 6 volumes or 24 issues, Gerontechnology as a quarterly journal brought 26 editorials, 10 reviews, 62 full papers (originals, keynotes of conferences), 48 short papers (shorties or student papers), 28 best practices, and 278 abstracts of international conferences. Interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary studies came along; but mainly case and pilot studies with few generalizations.

In content *gerontechnology is a combination* of gerontology, the interdisciplinary study of aging, and technology, the multidisciplinary study of design and engineering. We could well be 'trapped in the cage of interdisciplinarity' as was defined so clearly for gerontology. Daatland¹ observed that established (mono)disciplines and universities resist acceptance of interdisciplinary research, since theories as the corner stone of scientific development are discipline-specific by their nature.

WHAT A WONDERFUL WORLD

Gerontechnology could be formulated as the hypothesis "Changing a person's (technical) environment from childhood on will have people live happily ever af-

ter". Fozard² summarized this in the lyrics of 'What a wonderful world' of Louis Armstrong. In the 15+ years that the problem area has been recognized³ such a hypothesis was never formally tested. In his discussion of the development of gerontechnology, Pieper⁴ calls this approach a philosophy, rather than a hypothesis. But if we cannot predict engineering effects on prolonging vitality, work ability and independence far beyond the age of 65 years, who will mass-individualize the results?

*Gerontechnology can also be described as a viewpoint, or a movement*⁴. The individual older person and his/her characteristics⁵ is the measure in research and design. Success of environmental intervention from childhood to old age is assessed as the older person's prolonged pleasure, vitality, work ability and independence. In the end, aspirations and ambitions of older persons are the focus of functionalities and user interfaces, and the touchstone of gerontechnology's success. This application-oriented approach is basic to gerontechnology, and is a teacher viewpoint in higher education, the basic means to develop the professional cadre².

TOWARDS MASTER CLASSES

Gerontechnology has been an educational issue from the wake of the gerontechnology movement. Funding of the European Commission was obtained for the development of a 3-year academic educational program. In GENIE (Gerontechnology Education Network in Europe)⁶⁻⁸ 45 institutions of higher learning from 18 different European countries participated, but when European money ran out the project was abandoned. None of the participating universities or colleges continued the GENIE program as a formal BSc or MSc program. However, in the wake of the project a textbook was produced⁹.

Next came 'Master Classes' with masters from both technology and gerontology, who targeted groups of young scientists, mostly PhD students. The special gerontechnology outlook is taught based on a cross-fertilization matrix indicative of methodologies and theoretical progress in useful concepts, theories and paradigms from the constituting monodisciplines,

and an impact matrix of suitable products, services, and enabling environments³. Teaching is directed towards acquiring new insight and at theory concerning the realization of enabling technological environments for fulfilling ambitions and needs of aging individuals, stressing generalization from specific findings. The number of these classes is increasing with one in 2006 (Eindhoven, the Netherlands), two in 2007 (Nantou, Taiwan and Eindhoven, the Netherlands), and three planned for 2008 (May in Nantou, Taiwan; June in Volterra, Italy; November in Eindhoven). Gerontechnology is growing on the higher educational level. In a special issue on 'Gerontechnology Basics' to be completed by the end of this year, this approach should crystallize.

We may not yet be able to test the gerontechnology hypothesis on a long and happy life, but we advocate a theoretically founded view on research and design that -in the end- will make testing ever more possible.

References

1. Daatland SO. Time to pay back? Is there something for psychology and sociology in gerontology? In: Andersson L, editor. Cultural gerontology. Westport: Auburn House; 2002; pp 1-12
2. Fozard JL. The future of gerontechnology. Closing address of the third international conference of gerontechnology. In: Pieper R, Vaarama M, Fozard JL, editors. Gerontechnology. Technology and aging – Starting into the third millennium. Aachen: Shaker; 2002; pp 74-83
3. Bouma H, Fozard JL, Bouwhuis DG, Taipale V. Gerontechnology in perspective. Gerontechnology 2006;6(4):190-216
4. Pieper R. Introduction: The paradigm of gerontechnology. In: Pieper R, Vaarama M, Fozard JL, editors. Gerontechnology. Technology and aging – Starting into the third millennium. Aachen: Shaker; 2002; pp 2-14
5. Steenbekkers LPA, Beijsterveldt CEM van, editors. Design-relevant characteristics of ageing users. Delft: Delft University of Technology; 1998
6. Dekker M, Molenbroek J. Gerontechnology Education Network In Europe (GENIE). Gerontechnology 2001;1(1):82
7. Nicolle C, Dekker M, Molenbroek J. The GENIE design workshops and their contribution to curricula for inclusive design (Abstract). Gerontechnology 2002;2(1):152-153
8. Dekker MC, Nicolle C, Molenbroek JFM. GENIE workshops for curricula with user involvement and inclusive design. Gerontechnology 2004;3(1):35-42
9. Harrington TL, Harrington MK, editors. Gerontechnology. Why and How. Maas-tricht: Shaker; 2000