

# The film adsorber : a new developed artificial organ to remove exogenous and endogenous poisons from blood

*Citation for published version (APA):* Zutphen, van, P. (1975). *The film adsorber : a new developed artificial organ to remove exogenous and* endogenous poisons from blood. [Phd Thesis 1 (Research TU/e / Graduation TU/e), Chemical Engineering and Chemistry]. Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven. https://doi.org/10.6100/IR53973

DOI: 10.6100/IR53973

### Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1975

#### Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

#### Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

# THE FILM ADSORBER

PAUL VAN ZUTPHEN

# THE FILM ADSORBER

# A new developed artificial organ to remove exogenous

# and endogenous poisons from blood

#### PROEFSCHRIFT

TER VERKRIJGING VAN DE GRAAD VAN DOCTOR IN DE TECHNISCHE WETENSCHAPPEN AAN DE TECHNISCHE HOGESCHOOL EINDHOVEN, OP GEZAG VAN DE RECTOR MAGNIFICUS, PROF. DR. IR. G. VOSSERS, VOOR EEN COMMISSIE AANGEWEZEN DOOR HET COLLEGE VAN DEKANEN IN HET OPENBAAR TE VERDEDIGEN OP VRIJDAG 26 SEPTEMBER 1975 TE 16.00 UUR.

DOOR

#### PAUL VAN ZUTPHEN

#### GEBOREN TE UTRECHT

O 1975 by P. van Zutphen, Eindhoven, Netherlands

DRUK WIBRO HELMOND

Dit proefschrift is goedgekeurd door de promotoren:

Prof.dr. K. Rietema (1<sup>e</sup> promotor) Prof.dr.ir. N.W.F Kossen (2<sup>e</sup> promotor)

aan mijn ouders

- -

#### SUMMARY

In this thesis the development and analysis of a new type of adsorber (the film adsorber) is described for the use as an artificial organ to remove exogenous and endogenous poisons from blood.

The film adsorber can be used among other applications: 1 as an addition to the hemodialyser

2 as adsorber in cases of acute hepatic failure

3 as adsorber in cases of autointoxications.

For each of these applications the film adsorber can be optimized by using different materials or dimensions.

A technological analysis of the film adsorber was performed with the following results:

- 1 analysis of the flow pattern revealed, that the film adsorber containes neither short circuits nor dead corners of importance.
- 2 by means of an integration of the different masstransfer mechanisms a reasonable approximation can be made of both the number of masstransfer units and the mean residence time.
- 3 when the film adsorber is flown through by bovine blood, the pressure drop over the adsorber can be described by the formula of the pressure drop over a slit for a Cassonian fluid with the assumption of a marginal plasma layer.

For the applications mentioned above the film adsorber comes in direct contact with the blood of a patient. Therefore preclinical analysis was carried out. This showed, that

- 1 in the film adsorber all carbon particles are covered by a collodion layer
- 2 neither carbon particles nor glass beads are released by the film adsorber
- 3 the damage to erythrocytes is negligible
- 4 all metabolites are adsorbed except urea

- 5 the clearance of barbiturates is much higher than the clearance obtained either by forced diuresis of by means of a dialyser
- 6 the film adsorber is an useful addition to the hemodialyser. Not only the clearance of metabolites with a molecular weight between 100 and 200 is increased by simultaneous use, but also the clearance of the middle molecules is increased

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This thesis is the outcome of three years of work in the department "Fysische Technologie" and it would not have been completed without the technical and theoretical advices of a large part of the members of this department. Especially, however, I would like to thank Mr. Hoskens for his technical assistance and Mr. Boonstra for the drawings in this thesis. Furthermore I would like to mention Messrs. v.d. Assum, v.d. Hoven, Jacobs and Peeters, who performed many experiments.

Many thanks are also due to Messrs Deckers, Sistermans and Vink of the St. Jozef Hospital at Eindhoven for their advices on the clinical part of this thesis. They are not to be held responsible for possible errors in this part of the thesis

#### CURRICULUM VITAE

The author was born on March 27, 1947 in Utrecht, The Netherlands. Following his secondary education at the gymnasium of the Openbaar Lyceum "Scoonoord" in Zeist, he began his studies in the Chemical Engineering Department at the Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven in 1965. Graduate work leading to the title of "scheikundig ingenieur" in March 1971 was performed under the guidance of prof.dr. K. Rietema. From March 1971 until March 1974 he was "wetenschappelijk assistent" in the dapartment of "Fysische Technologie under the direction of prof.dr. K. Rietema.

#### CONTENTS

#### I INTRODUCTION

1	The human kidney	3
2	The hemodialyser	4
	a the principle of the hemodialyser	4
	b the practical restrictions of the hemodialyser	6
	c the efficiency of the dialyser	8
3	The possibilities of an adsorption kidney	9

## II <u>ARTIFICIAL KIDNEYS, WHICH MAKE USE OF THE PRINCIPLE</u> OF ADSORPTION

	1	Revie	ew of litterature	13
		a the	e adsorption by means of activated carbon	13
		b dia	alysis with regeneration of the dialysate	14
		c the	e microcapsule adsorber as artificial kidney	14
		d the	e removal of urea	15
	2	The d	levelopment of the film adsorber	16
	3	Short	description of the film adsorber	18
	4 Description of the apparatus used to produce			
the film				- 19
		a the	e original apparatus	19
		b the	e spreading tray and the level controller	21
		c the	e improved type of apparatus	23
	5	Costs	evaluation of the film adsorber	25
III	TI	IE TEC	CHNOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE FILM ADSORBER	
	1	The t	flow phenomena in the film adsorber	27
		a the	e residence time distribution in the film	
		sorber	28	
		b the	e pressuredrop over the film adsorber	34
	c conclusions			34
		d the	rheological behaviour of blood in the film	

d the rheological behaviour of blood in the film
adsorber 36
1 the measurement of the pressuredrop 36

		2 the theoretical explanation of the	
		pressuredrop	37
	2	The masstransfer mechanisms in the film adsorber	44
		a adsorption isotherms	44
*		b diffusion in the liquid	46
		c the masstransfer coefficient in the liquid	47
		d the diffusion coefficient in the acc film	47
		1 the boundary layer masstransfer coefficient	49
		2 the diffusion coefficient in the acc membrane	51
		e the masstransfer in the carbon particles	56
	3	Breakthrough curves	62
		a the model of Vermeulen	62
		b the model of Kucera	65
		c the measurement of the breakthrough curves	69
		d conclusions from the breakthrough curves	71
IV	PF	RECLINICAL ANALYSIS OF THE FILM ADSORBER	
	1	Adsorption of some metabolites and ions from	
		blood	74
	2	Adsorption of albumin	75
	3	Hemolysis caused by the film adsorber	77
v	4	Release of carbon particles and glass beads by	
		the film adsorber	79
	5	The competition effect	82
	6	The adsorption of barbiturates by the film	
		adsorber	83
		a the adsorption isotherms of barbiturates at	
		free carbon	83
		b experiments with the film adsorber	86
	7	the simultaneous use of the film adsorber and a	
		dialyser	88
		a series connection of the film adsorber and the	
		dialyser	89
		b parallel connection of the film adsorber and a	
		dialyser	91

 $_{\rm C}$  conclusions from the experiments with the simul-

V

taneous use of the film adsorber and a dialys	ser 92
CONCLUSIONS	94
APPENDICES	101
1 the analysis of "Merck" activated carbon and	
"Ketjen" cracking catalyst	10 <b>1</b>
2 the quantitative analysis	102
3 the correction of the residence time distribu-	
tion curve for the compartments before and afte	er
the roll	103
4 the calculation of the diffusion coefficient	
from the measurements with the SMDC	105
5 the criterium of Taylor for a flow between pa-	
rallel planes	106
6 the pressuredrop velocity relation with the as-	-
sumption of a marginal plasma layer along the	
walls of the channel	107
7 some data about barbiturates	109
SYMBOLS	110
REFERENCES	- 113

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

Dialysis of blood in case of renal deficiency was first applied by Kolff and is now more than 30 years old. Although many improvements in apparatus and membranes and also in control and technique have been achieved since its first application the principle of artificial blood purification is still the same at the present time. Of course an artificial kidney can never replace all the functions of a real kidney, but by means of dialysis the main metabolic products, excessive water and unwanted ions can be removed. Drawbacks of dialysis are still the large sizes of the equipment, the large amount of dialysate needed to extract the metabolites and the long time necessary for each treatment especially because of the poor extraction capacity for the so called middle molecules (M>200).

For 10 years they have tried to find an alternative for dialysis on basis of the principle of adsorption in which activated carbon is contacted directly or indirectly with the blood. Molecules with a molecular weight larger than 70 are good adsorbed to the carbon. This principle could be further extended by means of chemical or enzymatic conversion and adsorption of ions to ionexchangers. Chang was the first to apply clinically an artificial kidney on basis of adsorption. In order to prevent blood damage by the direct contact between blood cells and carbon particles he encapsulated the carbon particles in a collodion film by means of a precipitation technique. The encapsulated carbon particles (average size about 2 mm) are packed in a cylinder of about 600 cm<sup>3</sup>, which is taken up in a extracorporal blood shunt.

All metabolic products except urea, water and ions are removed in this way. Adsorption of the very large protein

molecules is prevented by means of the semipermeable collodion membranes around the carbon particles.

Although no doubt Chang had some succes, his technique is far from perfect:

1. Encapsulation of carbon particles by means of the precipitation is very difficult and never complete. Especially for smaller particles the encapsulation is only partly. Particles smaller than about 2 mm in diameter can not be used so that a large amount of particles is necessary to obtain a sufficient large exchanging surface (in Chang's apparatus circa 1  $m^2$ ).

2. The more or less random packing of the carbon particles causes a stochastic spread of the blood flow over the particles: some particles are in good contact with the blood flow, while other particles or parts of the surface are captured in dead corners; also channeling especially along the cylinder wall is possible.

3. The strongly tortured blood flow streamlines cause a relative high pressuredrop over the artificial kidney. 4. Because of the large amount of carbon particles necessary to obtain a sufficiently high exchanging surface area also the blood holdup (priming volume) is high  $(300 \text{ cm}^3)$ . In this thesis the use of an adsorber, with a new design, for the removal of endogenous and exogenous poisons from blood will be discussed. Especially, however, the use for the treatment of uremic patients will be discussed. To understand the development of an adsorber for this purpose, the functions of the natural kidney will be discussed in paragraph I-1 and the principles of the hemodialy-ser in paragraph I-2.

Moreover the possiblities of the adsorber will be described in paragraph I-3.

#### I-1 The human kidney

The human kidney has the following functions:

- <u>a</u> The removal of the non volatile metabolic products and dietetic substances from the blood. These products are among others urea, uric acid, creatinine and detoxification products. For a more complete list of these products see table I-1.
- b The metabolic regulation of the acid-base equilibrium of the body fluids (e.g. H<sup>+</sup> elimination)
- <u>c</u> An important contribution to the electrolyt- and waterbalance of the body.
- d The production of hormones:
  - erythropoetine, which stimulates the production of hemoglobine
  - renine, which has a function in the regulation of the blood pressure.

The functions <u>a</u>, <u>b</u> and <u>c</u> take place in the  $10^6$  nephrons of each kidney. Each nephron is capable of producing urine. Such a nephron is schematically sketched in figure I-1. The blood enters the glomerulus (g) of the nephron through the arteriole (a) and flows through peritubular capillaries (p) surrounding the tubule of the nephron back into the vein (v). The glomerulus consists of a network of parallel capillaries (c) held in the Bowmans capsule (B). The mass transfer across the capillaries is caused by ultrafiltration due to the static pressure across the capillaries decreased with the intracapsular pressure and the colloidosmotic pressure in the arteriole.

The ultrafiltrate (normally about 200 l/day) containes only molecules with a molecular weight smaller than about 15000. It is for about 70% reabsorbed in the proximal tubule (t). The residue passes through the loop of Henle (H) and comes via cells lining the distal tubule (d) in contact with the blood in the peritubular capillaries. During this contact a selective reabsorption and secretion takes place. The cells are filters, each with a task to reabsorb a particular solute necessary for the body. Most of the water goes back to the blood. Daily about 99% of the 200 l is reabsorbed. The residue of the ultrafiltrate, the urine, flows into the bladder. Renal insufficiency is the result of loss of kidney function mostly by damage of any part of the nephrons. Generally more than about 90% of the function of both kidneys must fall out before symptoms of illness arise such as: weariness, apathy, disturbances of equilibrium, vomiting and haemorrhage.



figure I-1 the nephron

I-2 The hemodialyser

a arteriole p peritubular capillaries v vein c parallel capillaries B Bowman's capsule

g glomerulus

- t proximal tubule
- H loop of Henle
- d distal tubule

In case of renal insufficiency an artificial kidney together with a dietetic regime has to fulfil the functions  $\underline{a}$ ,  $\underline{b}$  and  $\underline{c}$  of the human kidney. The conventional artificial kidney based on the principle of dialysis and ultrafiltration is called hemodialyser.

I-2-a the principle of the hemodialyser

The dialysis treatment involves, that a part of the blood from a patient is extracorporally directed through the

hemodialyser and back into the corporal blood circulation. In the hemodialyser the blood flows along a semipermeable membrane separating the blood from a special aqueous solution called the dialysate (see figure I-2).



#### figure I-2 the hemodialyser

The normal <u>composition</u> of the dialysate is given in table I-1. The <u>volume</u> of dialysate needed for each treatment is minimal 200 litres. The <u>temperature</u> of the dialysate is so adjusted, that the blood flowing back into the patient has a temperature of  $37^{\circ}C$ .

-	the blood p	olasma, and the s	<u>ecretion per day</u>
solute	dialysate	plasma (normal)	excretion per day
	concentra	tions in mmol/l	normal feed (g)
Ca <sup>++</sup>	1,5	2,2 - 2,6	0,3 - 0,5
Mg <sup>++</sup>	0,65	1,5	0,2 - 0,4
K <sup>+</sup>	2	3,6 - 5	2 - 4
Na <sup>+</sup>	130	138 - 144	4 - 7
Cl-	100	100 - 105	10 - 15
acetate	35		
glucose	3	3,7 - 5,6	
uric acid	0	0,24	0,8
creatinine	0	0,08	1 - 2
urea	0	5	25 - 40

Table I-1 the composition of the dialysate compared with the blood plasma, and the secretion per day

We can distinguish between compounds that:

a should be removed

The metabolic products are removed by diffusion across the membrane into the dialysate. The concentration of these products in the dialysate is zero.

b should be partly removed

Substances, which are normal of importance, but which concentration may be elevated in uremia, have to be partly removed (e.g.  $K^+$ , phosphate and water). The pHand the electrolyt balance is regulated by adjusting the dialysate (see table I-1).

c should not be removed

These compounds are among others blood cells and plasma proteins. From these the cells and the macro molecules (proteins) cannot pass the membrane. Others like hormones, fat soluble vitamins, trace elements, Fe and Cu are mainly adsorbed by the plasma proteins.

#### d should be added

E.g. glucose and vitamins (orally).

The increased H<sup>+</sup> concentration (acidosis) is eliminated be the acetate in the dialysate, since acetic acid will disappear as such in the metabolism.

The surplus of water is removed by ultrafiltration caused by a pressure difference between the blood and dialysate compartment of the dialyser. The osmolarity of the dialysate is generally adjusted by variation of the concentration of glucose in the dialysate.

#### I-2-b the practical restrictions of the hemodialyser

Since the use of a hemodialyser requires, that a part of the blood is led through an extracorporal shunt and since water and the metabolites are removed from the blood there are some limitations and requirements in the use of the hemodialyser. 1 During the treatment the blood flows from a connection placed in an artery of arm or leg through plastic tubing and through the hemodialyser to another connection placed in the vein.

To prevent clotting of the blood an anticoagulant (heparin) is infused continuously into the blood circuit before the dialyser. If necessary the heparin may be neutralised by an infusion of protamine chloride after the hemodialyser (regional heparinisation).

- 2 The extracorporal blood volume should be less than 500 ml.
- 3 High shear rates will cause damage of blood cells (hemolysis). Therefore blood flow rates should be less than 300 ml/min in most dialysers, although this maximum blood flow depends on the construction of the apparatus.

4 Theoretically it is possible to remove via the dialyser any metabolite from the blood at high rates e.g. by increasing the membrane surface area and/or the permeability of the membrane.

A removal of the water and solutes, which is performed too rapidly, however, may cause various disturbances in the patient. Since the diffusion rates of metabolites from the cells in the body towards the interstitial fluid and further from the interstitial fluid towards the blood are restricted, a dialysis implemented too rapidly may cause an inacceptable osmolarity difference between the cells and the interstitial fluid respectively the blood. This difference may cause a swelling and subsequently dammage of the cells (especially in the brain). This phenomenon is known as desequilibrium syndrome and especially caused by a removal of urea and ions, which is performed too rapidly.

If a metabolite is mainly removed from the blood the body may extravasculary still contain much of the same solute, since the blood volume is only 10-15% of the total body

- fluid (40 1). Thus the fact, that the blood hardly containes this solute anymore, does not include, that the body is free of the solute.
- <u>5</u> After each dialysis treatment an amount of blood is lost extracorporally <u>a</u> from blood sampling necessary for control and <u>b</u> because a rest volume of blood will always remain in the dialyser after the treatment.
- 6 The production of hormones is not fulfilled by the artificial kidney and there is no way to fulfil this function at all. The problems, which might arise through shortage of these hormones can only be solved by careful medical control.

#### I-2-c the efficiency of the dialyser

To indicate the effect of an artificial kidney medical specialists commonly use the dialysance defined as:

$$D = \frac{\binom{C_{bi} - C_{bo}}{(C_{bi} - C_{di})} Q_{b} \quad ml/min \qquad I-2-1$$

In this equation is:

 $Q_b$  the volumetric flowrate of the blood ml/min  $C_{bi}$  the inlet concentration of a solute in the blood g/l  $C_{bo}$  the outlet concentration of a solute in the blood g/l  $C_{di}$  the inlet concentration in the dialysate g/l Normally  $C_{di}=0$  (except for some solutes as summarised in table I-1), in which case the dialysance equals the clearance, if a single pass dialysate flow is used:

$$Cl = \frac{(C_{bi} - C_{bo})}{C_{bi}} Q_{b} \quad ml/min \qquad I-2-2$$

As can be seen from equation I-2-2 the clearance of an artificial kidney for a particular metabolite is the hypothetical volume of blood, which is totally cleaned from that metabolite each minute.

Another way to describe the effect of a dialyser is by means of the overall masstransfer coefficient K defined

8 :

by the equation:

$$\Phi_{\rm m} = KA\Delta C_{\rm log}$$

in which

difference between blood and dialysate  $kg/m^3$ The definition of  $\Delta C_{\log}$  depends on the apparatus used. For a single pass cocurrent dialysate and blood stream it is defined by

$$\Delta C_{log} = \frac{\binom{C_{di} - C_{bi} - \binom{C_{bo} - C_{do}}{C_{bi} - C_{di}}}{\binom{C_{bi} - C_{di}}{C_{bo} - C_{do}}}$$
I-2-4

The overall masstransfer coefficient depends on:

- 1 the nature of the metabolite
- 2 the thickness and the nature of the membrane
- <u>3</u> the resistance for masstransfer in the blood and in the dialysate.

It follows from the equations I-2-1 and I-2-2, that the clearance and the dialysance depend on these parameters and furthermore on the volumetric flowrate of the dialysate and the blood.

The two approaches (the medical and the technological approach) of the dialyser generally use two different systems of units. Also in this thesis we will make use of both systems of units with a preference of the practical system (m, kg, sec) in the case of theoretical analyses.

#### I-3 The possiblities of an adsorption kidney

Two or three dialysis treatments in a week are necessary for an uremic patient. Each treatment takes 8-12 hours and is generally carried out in a hospital. It is obvious,

I-2-3

that the treatments are not only a physical inconvenience, but also a mental stress for the patient.

A technical and medical team is necessary to assist the treatment.

In the Netherlands the insurrance companies pay about f500 for each treatment, which amounts up to f50 million each year for the thousand patients in this country. Because of these facts it would be important if either the number of treatments or the dialysis time could be reduced. Homedialysis is an important improvement and the hemodialyser with regeneration of a restricted quantity of dialysate may facilitate this.

At the moment it is not possible to give a full alternative for the hemodialyser in order to decrease the number of treatments or the duration of the treatment. A future alternative might be the artificial kidney based on adsorption. Such an artificial kidney removes the metabolites from the blood by means of adsorption (eg. activated carbon), but also chemical reactions could assist for this purpose. The blood of the patient may be flown through a cartridge instead of through the dialyser. Such a cartridge could contain:

<u>a</u> activated carbon to remove all metabolites from the blood except urea and ions

<u>b</u> an anion exchanger in the acetate form to exchange acetate with Cl<sup>-</sup>,  $H_2PO_L^-$ ,  $HPO_L^-$  and  $PO_L^{--}$ 

<u>c</u> a kation exchanger to exchange  $Ca^{+7}$  for  $K^+$ ,  $Na^+$  and  $Mg^{++}$  d urease to convert urea

<u>e</u> ionexchangers to adsorb the ammonium formed in step <u>d</u>. Because of this complicated composition the cartridge has to be composed of different compartments. A direct contact between the substances mentioned and the blood must also be prevented as we will see in the following chapter. Although such a cartridge becomes very complicated it has several advantages:

1 the system is smaller and more manageable than the dia-

lyser and home dialysis will be no problem anymore

2 the duration of the treatment will be shortened

3 no control is needed during the treatment

4 no dialysate container has to be used.

The disadvantages of the system are:

- <u>1</u> a rather high surface area (5-10  $m^2$ ) which increases the amount of blood damage
- $\underline{2}$  a rather high priming blood volume is involved, which is 200-1000 cm<sup>3</sup> depending on the method of direct contact preventing (see chapter II)
- <u>3</u> for the removal of water (to control the water balance if needed) a ultrafiltration section has to be added as well.

In order to decrease both the exchanging surface area and the priming volume some of the functions of the cartridge could be performed by medicaments.

In stead of aiming at a complete substitution of the dialyser, one could also try a combination of the dialyser and a less complete cartridge, based only on e.g. the principle of adsorption at activated carbon in order to reduce the costs and duration of the treatment.

During the last few years the symptoms of uremic patients are ascribed more and more to the socalled middle molecules (molecules with a molecular weight larger than 200) and the small clearance of these molecules appears to be the limiting factor in the dialysis treatment. These middle molecules are, however, good adsorbed by activated carbon and thus an adsorber filled with carbon will be a good supplement to the dialyser.

Besides for the use as an addition to the dialyser, such an adsorber offers also possibilities for the removal of exogenous poisons. In fact it appears to be very useful in the removal of poisons in cases of autointoxications, but also for patients with acute hepatitic failure. The time needed for the removal of exogenous poisons by

means of advantation is much less than either by means of forced diuresis or by means of dialysis.

Other advantages of the adsorber above the dialyser are: <u>1</u> the direct applicability (e.g. in the ambulance)

2 the fact, that no disturbances are introduced in pH-

and water balances, and in urea and ionic concentrations 3 the slight control needed during the treatment.

#### CHAPTER II

ARTIFICIAL KIDNEYS, WHICH MAKE USE OF THE PRINCIPLE OF ADSORPTION

II-1 <u>Review of litterature</u> II-1-a <u>the adsorption by means of activated carbon</u>

As is mentioned in paragraph I-3 activated carbon will readily adsorb all metabolites from blood except urea and ions, that are adsorbed to a lower extent and more slowly. Although the adsorption mechanism of a solute from a solution is not very well understood, it is generally assumed, that the adsorption is caused by van der Waals forces (C-5). The nonpolar adsorbent activated carbon will therefore adsorb organic solutes with a molcular weight larger than about 100 and ions with a high molecular weight.

In previous studies (C-1 - C-8) it was found, that creatinine, uric acid and many other metabolites were readily adsorbed on activated carbon.

Yatzidis (C-9) was the first, who used activated carbon in direct contact with blood during hemoperfusion through a cylinder. Afterwards Dunea (C-10) used the same type of cylinder. Yatzidis and Dunea found, that creatinine, uric acid and many other metabolites were adsorbed in contrast with urea. Salicylates and barbiturates were also adsorbed in this way. It appeared, however, that hemoperfusion over activated carbon also introduced some disadvantages:

- a serious damage of the blood cells
- embolisms caused by release of small carbon particles
- adsorption of useful substances like proteins.

#### II-1-b dialysis with regeneration of the dialysate

To prevent the above mentioned disadvantages it is possible to make an indirect contact between the blood and the activated carbon particles.

One method is the dialysis with recirculation of the dialysate through a cylinder filled with carbon. This method was first proposed by Twiss and Paulssen (C-7).

Some years ago Gordon (D-1,2) introduced a more advanced method. He recirculated the dialysate over a cartridge containing:

<u>1</u> activated carbon to adsorb all metabolites except urea <u>2</u> urease to convert urea into ammonia and carbon dioxide <u>3</u> ionexchangers (zirconium phosphate and zirconium oxide)

to adsorb the liberated ammonia.

The advantage of such a dialyser is the small volume of dialysate, namely 2 litres, which makes homedialysis more feasible. The dialysis with recirculation of the dialysate has also some disadvantages:

- <u>1</u> the composition of the dialysate is not constant and the efficiency will be less for some compounds than with the use of normal dialysate.
- 2 the principle of dialysis is maintained and therewith the low clearance of the middle molecules. The duration and the costs of the dialysis treatment will therefore hardly be diminished.

#### II-1-c the microcapsule adsorber as artificial kidney

A second method to prevent direct contact between the blood and activated carbon is encapsulation of the carbon particles in semipermeable membranes (microcapsules). The blood is led through a cylinder containing these microcapsules.

This method is developed by Chang (E-1 - E-10) and afterwards also used by Andrade (E-13 - E-15). Chang encapsu-

lated carbon particles with a diameter between 0,5 and 2 millimetres and he used collodion as coating material, whereas Andrade used hydron. Besides Chang coated the microcapsules with albumen to prevent blood damage. Apart from albumen coated collodion he also used heparin complexed collodion as coating material. His microcapsule artificial kidney is already clinically used for some time (E-6). The microcapsule adsorber again has some disadvantages:

- <u>1</u> The risk of embolisms remains. A complete coating is very difficult to attain especially with smaller particles and therefore a direct contact between blood and activated carbon is not entirely prevented. A washing procedure in order to remove small carbon particles, which are not encapsulated is therefore generally applied (see also E-15).
- 2 There is a high probability for channeling, especially along the cylinder wall, which causes an ineffective use of the adsorber.
- 3 It is impossible to use small carbon particles, because these are difficult to encapsulate and will furthermore cause a high pressuredrop over the adsorber. This has different consequences for the final apparatus: a small specific surface area, an overcapacity for adsorption and a large blood hold up (priming volume). This disadvantage also holds for the dialyser with regeneration of the dialysate (see section II-1-b).

#### II-1-d the removal of urea

As is already mentioned an artificial kidney based on adsorption at activated carbon hardly removes urea. At the moment the best way to perform the removal of urea from blood is the indirect one by hydrolysis of urea by means of urease and adsorption of the produced  $NH_4^+$  by ionexchangers. This system of urease and ionexchangers can be

#### applied in three ways:

- 1 The blood from a patient can be directed through a cylinder containing the mentioned compounds. Not only the carbon but also the urease and the ionexchangers must be prevented from direct contact with the blood.
- 2 By oral ingestion of ionexchangers and urease. The ionexchangers may adsorb the  $NH_4^+$  produced from urea by the bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract (F-3 - F-5). As the conversion of urea to  $NH_4^+$  is rather slow, it might be necessary to ingest urease for the accelaration of the conversion. This oral ingestion is a addition to the hemoperfusion over carbon. In this way it is also possible to reach a constant urea concentration in the blood.
- <u>3</u> The system urease ionexchangers can be simplified by the adsorption of urease on the ionexchangers. We found (G-12), that urease was well adsorbed by means of HAHPV, which is a solid catalyst used in the oil industries for the cracking of heavy oils (see for further description appendix 1) and that this adsorption caused a higher activity of the urease. Moreover the produced  $NH_4^+$  was adsorbed on the catalyst as well.

By the adsorption of urease at the ionexchanger the system urease - ionexchangers becomes smaller, than with a separate use of the components.

Since we concentrated on the film adsorber no further research was performed on this subject.

#### II-2 The development of the film adsorber

We passed the following stages during the development towards the final film adsorber:

a the formation of a microcapsule adsorber

According to the method of Chang we tried to make microcapsules. Much smaller carbon particles (40  $\mu$ ) were used in order to obtain a large specific surface area. Good encapsulation of these small particles, however, appeared to be impossible. A high amount of particles was not encapsulated at all, while most of the other particles were only coated partly.

Another difficulty was, that a cylinder filled with these small particles caused an enormous pressuredrop, which for a cylinder (10 cm heigh and 5 cm in diameter) filled with these particles will be of the order of 5,7  $\text{mH}_2$ O, when normal blood of 25°C is led through the cylinder with a volumetric flowrate of 100 ml/min. For blood of uremic patients at 37°C this would be 3,2 mH<sub>2</sub>O. We therefore choose to search for an improved method.

#### b the production of chips

Thin sheets of collodion were made, in which the carbon particles were embedded. The produced sheets were cut into chips. In this way we obtained a good clearance for creatinine with a cylinder filled with these chips. While cutting these sheets, however, some carbon particles were freed, which introduced again a direct contact between the blood and the carbon. The logical consequence was to lessen the cutting by use of sheets.

#### <u>c</u> <u>the</u> <u>use</u> <u>of</u> <u>sheets</u>

Sheets of 10x5 cm were made with a thickness of 150  $\mu$ . A pile of a hundred of these sheets was the active part of the adsorber (10x5x2 cm).

The production of the sheets of a definite size still introduced some cutting and consequently release of carbon particles. All sheets must have the same breadth, because otherwise a channeling is caused along the sides of the pile. A short circuiting along the sides, however, could not be prevented.

The last step was the production of a film in which no cutting was needed.

#### <u>d the production of a continuous film</u>

The collodion film in which carbon particles are embedded has a length of 10 m, a breadth of 10 cm and a thickness

of 150  $\mu$ . It is winded up to a roll, which is brought into a cylinder. The blood is led axially through this roll. In order to ensure, that a liquid film is maintained between the consecutive windings of the roll, small glass beads are embedded in the film together with the activated carbon. In a clinically used adsorber of this type the glass beads will be replaced by beads of another kind of material (e.g. polystyrene or a poly acrylate), since glass beads appear to cause an unallowable amount of blood damage.

#### II-3 Short description of the film adsorber

The film adsorber consists of the above described activated carbon collodion film (acc film), which is rolled up on a trovidur core with a diameter of half a centimetre and which is brought into a trovidur cylinder (see figure II-1).



In our experimental apparatus there is an inlet and an outlet compartment of 20 ml each. In an ultimate design, however, these compartments can without objection be reduced to only a few millilitres, since because of a relatively high pressure drop over the film roll a good distribution of blood over the whole roll is ensured. 'The composition of the film at operating conditions is: water 66 %wt, activated carbon 21 %wt, collodion and glass beads each 7 %wt. Some characteristics of the carbon are given in appendix 1.

The glass beads, that are embedded in the film, have a

diameter of 200-250  $\mu$  and spare a free space between the windings of about 50  $\mu$  for the blood flow (see figure II-2). As a consequence the blood hold up of the film adsorber is 50 ml, the exchanging surface area is 2 m<sup>2</sup> and the specific surface area is 100 cm<sup>2</sup>/cm<sup>3</sup>, while the exchanging surface area of the microcapsule adsorber is only 15-40 cm<sup>2</sup> per cubic centimetres depending on the diameter of the carbon granules.

The necessary volume of an adsorber depends on:

- 1 the adsorption capacity of the carbon
- <u>2</u> the masstransfer rate needed to adsorb a specific amount of solute in a specific time.



## II-4 <u>Description of the apparatus used to produce the film</u> II-4-a <u>the original apparatus</u>

In the original apparatus, that has been developed for the preparation of the acc film (see figure II-3 and picture II-1), a rotating drum or cylinder is partly immersed in a tank T, that is filled with water. The cylinder is made of brass and has a diameter of 65 cm and a wideness of 15 cm. It is tightened around a bicycle rim B and centered by means of spokes around the horizontal axis. The rotating cylinder is driven by a motor M via a pulley and rotates at about 1/6 cycle/minute.

A suspension of activated carbon in collodion (a 6 % solution of cellulose nitrate in ether and alcohol (4:1)) is brought in a closed tray on top of the rotating cylinder.



#### figure II-3 the original apparatus

The suspension is spread evenly over the wall of the cylinder through a slit at the bottom of the tray. The width of the slit and the distance of the tray from the cylinder wall can be varied. The suspension level in the tray is kept constant by a regulated flow from a container V, in which the suspension is continually stirred.

When the suspension has leaved the tray, it passes an ejector E, which sprays the glass beads on the film. The ether is evaporated mainly from the film but the alcohol only sparingly. When the film reaches the water, the larger part of the alcohol and most of the residue of the ether is extracted.

Near A the film is drawn from the cylinder and whinched on roll R. Finally the cylinder surface is blown dry before returning to the spreading tray.

The production rate of the film is about 20 m/h. It must be stressed, that the film produced in this way should not be dried, since drying causes an irreversible shrinking and brittleness of the film.

Roll R may contain up to about 150 m of film. Since the rolls in the film adsorber contain only 10 m of film a rewinding mechanism is necessary. During this rewinding care is taken, that no air is introduced and that the space between the windings is entirely filled up with water. The rewinding is therefore carried out underneath the water.



picture II-1 the original apparatus



picture II-2 the spreading tray and the level controller

Before the adsorber is used, it is rinsed for the removal of the residues of ether and alcohol with about 20 1 of water. Besides, for tests with blood, the adsorber is equilibrated with 5 1 of a saline solution (9 g NaCl per litre).

#### II-4-b the spreading tray and the level controller

A sketch of the spreading tray and the level controller is given in figure II-4a and 4b and in picture II-2. The spreading tray is made of brass and is triangular in cross section. One side 7 can be moved by means of adjusting screws  $M_s$ , sothat the width of the slit S is variable between 0 and 0,5 mm. Experimentally was found, that 0,2 mm gives the best results at a rotation of 1/6 cycles/minute.



figure II-4a the spreading tray

The distance between the tray and the cylinder can be varied as well by means of screws  $M_d$ , which are connected with the weels, on which the spreading tray moves on the rotating cylinder.

A float F is placed upon the acc suspension in the spreading tray. This float blocks the light from a light source L to the light sensible cell C. When the level of the suspension sinks the light way is unblocked and by way of a relais R a magnetic valve V is closed. This serves a pressure cylinder P to open a tube B, which connects the spreading tray with container V.



II-4-c the improved type of apparatus

With the original type of apparatus all the film adsorbers are prepared, that are used for the experiments described in the following chapters (except for the experiments described in paragraph IV-7; the adsorbers used there were prepared with the improved apparatus). This improved type (see figure II-5 and picture II-3) is developed with the know how obtained with the original apparatus. It operates fully automatically. The films, produced by means of this apparatus, have a more constant thickness and are more easily released from the rotating drum. As in the original type a rotating drum is partly immersed in a tank with water. The drum consists of a chromium plated cylinder (65 cm in diameter and 40 cm wide), which is tightened around three circular brass plates. The cylinder is wider than that of the original type, so that there are more possibilities concerning number and breadth of the produced films. This is done in view of the different

picture II-4 <u>the sprea-</u> ding tray, the ejector, the level controller and the container





picture II-3 <u>the</u> <u>improved appara-</u> <u>tus</u> kinds of application as mentioned in paragraph I-3. The spreading tray has a constant slit of 0,2 mm, but the distance between the tray and the drum is still variable. The suspension level controller and the ejector of the glass beads are of the same design as in the original type.

The whinching on the roll is performed underneath the water to avoid the introduction of air between the windings of the roll. The force, with which the film is whinched is automatically regulated. This facilitates the rewinding and improves the reproducebility. In picture II-4 the spreading tray, the ejector, the level controller and the container are shown.

#### II-5 Costs evaluation of the film adsorber

#### starting points

We would need 2000 adsorbers in a week or 100000 in a year starting from an estimation of 1000 patients in the Netherlands. One film production apparatus produces 4 adsorbers in one hour, because it has two tracks each with a film production rate of 20 m/h as we have used. This rate may be raised. The working schedule is 5 days à 8 hours a week. The production of one apparatus is therefore 160 adsorbers a week. 15 apparatus (2 reserve) would be needed to fulfil the requirements of the Netherlands. These apparatus can be operated by 15 men. Furthermore 3 men for additional activities like sterilisation and filling of the cylinders would be needed as well as a supervisor.

#### investments

film apparatus .	£375000	
other equipment	<b>f30000</b> 0	
building	<u>f400000</u>	
	f1075000	
the materials need	led_for_one_ad	s <u>orb</u> e <u>r</u>
--------------------	----------------	-------------------------
30 g carbon	à f10/kg	f0,30
180 g collodion	à f5 /kg	f0,90
15 ml alcohol	à f10/l	f0,15
60 ml ether	à f10/l	f0,60
10 g glass beads	à f20/l	f0,20
cylinder	à	<u>f0,25</u>
		f2 /10

<u>Costs in one year</u>

depreciation (in three years)	
and capital costs	£500000
salaries (nine men)	f270000
costs of materials	f240000
overhead	£100000
research	f100000
sale expenses	<b>f1</b> 00000
utilities	<u>f100000</u>
	£1410000

The production costs of one adsorber therefore are estimated to be f14,10

#### CHAPTER III

#### THE TECHNOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE FILM ADSORBER

Since the medical requirements demanded from the film adsorber are given, one can also deduce the technological standards, which have to be satisfied. A satisfactory operation will depend on: <u>a</u> the flow distribution through the adsorber <u>b</u> the adsorption capacity <u>c</u> the masstransfer and the adsorption rate. The flow distribution is studied in paragraph III-1.

In paragraph III-2 some experiments are described, which are related to the different masstransfer mechanisms in the adsorber and the adsorption rate. The measurement of the adsorption isotherms is also described in this paragraph. The result of these experiments can be integrated into a model describing the functioning of the adsorber. This is done in paragraph III-3.

#### III-1 The flow phenomena in the film adsorber

The ideal flow corresponds with what is generally called plug flow, which means, that any liquid element has the same residence time in the adsorber. Two extreme departures, which might occur are:

- dead corners, where the liquid does not flow at all
- short circuits, through which the liquid passes very

fast; no proper adsorption from this liquid is possible. There are however many intermediate flow patterns such as that caused by a spread in the liquid film thickness. In section III-1-a the residence time distribution is measured, which gives an idea of the flow distribution. This residence time distribution is also theoretical treated by means of the criterium of Taylor. From the mean residence time a mean liquid film thickness can be calculated.

In section III-1-b the relation between the pressuredrop and the liquid velocity of a Newtonian liquid is used to measure a mean liquid film thickness as well. In section III-1-c these two methods are compared with the direct measurement of the liquid film thickness. In the last section (III-1-d) of this paragraph the relation between the pressuredrop and the velocity is measured, while the adsorber is flown through by bovine blood. The result is compared with some models on the rheology of blood.

# III-1-a the residence time distribution in the film adsorber

We used albumen as a tracer for the measurement of the residence time distribution (RTD). This compound does not penetrate in the acc film. The small adsorption at the collodion surface (see chapter IV) does influence the measurement, as will be proven in section III-1-c, but the influence is only small.

By means of a hypodermic syringe a pulse injection of 1,5  $\rm cm^3$  albumen solution (6,5 g/l) was given at the inlet of the adsorber, while the adsorber was flown by a saline solution with a volumetric flowrate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>/min. Continually samples were drawn of 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (which took 20 sec) at the outlet of the adsorber alternated with 20 seconds during which no samples were drawn.

Under the same conditions another experiment was performed in which a similar injection was given. Again samples were drawn alternating with 20 seconds without sampling, but now with a time shift of 20 seconds as compared to the first experiment.

The albumen concentration in the samples was measured and the result is given in table III-1 (under column  $C_1$  for the first experiment and under column  $C_2$  for the second one). With the obtained concentrations a cumulative curve

was composed, which is given in graph III-1. Curve a of graph III-2 shows the output concentration of the adsorber as calculated from graph III-1:  $C_0 = dF/dt$ . This is the residence time distribution curve.



graph III-1 <u>the cumulative residence time distribu-</u> <u>tion curve</u> graph III-2 <u>the residence time distribution curve</u> a: no correction applied; b: corrected for one compartment; c: corrected for two compartments

The two compartments before and after the roll behave as ideal mixers, as is concluded after injections with a dye before the adsorber as described in section II-2-c. This adsorber was transparant and had also two compartments like the film adsorber. The dye injections showed, that no preferential streamlines appeared in the compartments. The course of the concentration was comparable to the course in an ideal mixer.

The RTD as shown in curve a of graph III-2 is therefore

composed of the RTD curves of the roll and of the two compartments. The RTD of the roll can now be calculated from curve a by means of the procedure indicated in appendix 3. The result of this calculation is given in table III-2 and graph III-2 (curve c).

In graph III-3 this corrected RTD curve is compared with the RTD curve of a Poiseuille flow through a slit between two parallel planes, where there is no radial diffusion. This last distribution is given by the formula which is shown in this graph as well.



curve a measured and corrected curve b calculated by means of

 $\frac{\mathrm{VC}}{\delta} \frac{1}{6(t/\tau)^3} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2/3(t/\tau)}}$ 

graph III-3 <u>the residence time</u> <u>distribution</u>

The deviations between these two curves might be explained by the occurence of radial diffusion, but also by a spread in the film thickness.

Graph III-4 gives RTD curves measured with KCl. Since KCl can easily penetrate in the acc film and in the carbon particles its mean residence time is much higher. The KCl concentration was measured conductometrically. The RTD curve of KCl seems more to be like the RTD curve of an apparatus with a plug flow and axial mixing. Some tailing is showing because of the lag caused by the diffusion in the film and the carbon particles.

		(no	corre	ectior	n appli	ied for	• the	compartments)
	•							
t	с <sub>1</sub>	с <sub>2</sub>	$C_1 \Delta t$	$C_2 \Delta t$	F	$tC_1 \Delta t$	tC <sub>2</sub> Δt	dF/dt
80	0,010		0,20		0,20	14		0,024
100		0,040		0,80	1,00		72	0,063
120	0,104		2,08		3,08	228		0,122
140		0,136		2,72	5,80		353	0,154
160	0,156		3,12		8,92	468		0,127
180		0,107		2,14	11,06		364	0,093
200	0,082		1,64		12,70	312		0,076
220		0,068		1,36	14,06		285	0,0625
240	0,057		1,14		15,20	262		0,0509
260		0,044		0,88	16,08		219	0,0400
280	0,033		0,66		16,74	178		0,0320
300		0,030		0,60	17,34		173	0,0272
320	0,021		0,42		17,76	130		0,0186
340		0,019		0,38	18,14		125	0,0166
360	0,014		0,28		18,42	98		0,0095
380		0,012		0,25	18,67		92	
400	0,011		0,23		18,90	89		
420		0,007		0,14	19,04		57	
440	0,006		0,12		19,16	51		
460		0,005		0,11	19,27	,	49	
480	0,005		0,11		19,38	52		
500		0,005		0,11	19,49		54	
520	0,005		0,10		19,59	51		
540		0,004		0,08	19,67		42	
560	0,004		0,08		19,75	44		
580		0,004		0,07	19,82		40	
600	0,002		0,03		19,85	17		
620		0,002		0,03	19,88		17	
640	0,001		0,02		19,90	12		
660		0,001		0,01	19,91		6	

#### table III-1 (continued)

 ${\rm C}_1$  and  ${\rm C}_2$  are the output concentrations of the first and the second experiment (g/l)

 $F = \Sigma C_1 \Delta t + \Sigma C_2 \Delta t$  (gsec/1)

the total amount of albumen in the output of the adsorber was 9,95 mg, while 9,75 mg was injected  $\Sigma tC_1 \Delta t + \Sigma tC_2 \Delta t = 3954$ 

the mean residence time of the adsorber was 3954/19,91. the mean residence time of the roll was 118 sec

 $C_{o}=dF/dt$  the real output concentration of the film adsorber

table	III-1	the	corre	ectio	<u>n of</u>	the	residence	time	distribu-
		tion	for	the	two	compa	artments		

t	dF/dt	C <sub>c1</sub>	C <sub>c2</sub>	vc <sub>c2</sub> /s	t/r	$vc_{c2}^{cal}/s$
80	0,024	0,073	0,290	1,71	0,68	19,3
100	0,063	0,204	0,494	2,92	0,85	0,62
120	0,222	0,227	0,227	1,33	1,02	0,264
140	0,154	0,154	0,068	0,40	1,19	0,150
160	0,127	0,073	0,041	0,24	1,36	0,095
180	0,093	0,053	0,029	0,17	1,53	
200	0,076	0,046	0,023	0,14	1,69	
220	0,062	0,036	0,020	0,12	1,87	
240	0,051	0,031	0,017	0,10	2,03	
260	0,040	0,020	0,008	0,05	2,20	*
280	0,032	0,017	0,008	0,05	2,37	
300	0,022	0,014	0,006	0,04	2,54	

 $C_{c1}$  and  $C_{c2}$  are the output concentrations after correction for respectively one and two compartments (g/l);  $\delta$  is the amount of albumen injected (g); V is the priming volume (l);  $\tau$  is the mean residence time (sec).

The difference between the RTD curves of albumen and KCl is in agreement with the criterium of Taylor (H-1). Starting from the convective diffusion equation Taylor (see also Levich (H-25)) derived a criterium stating, when a Poisseuille flow should be treated like a plug flow with axial diffusion. He derived this criterium for a flow through a circular pipe. In appendix 5 we derived a similar criterium for a flow through a slit. The result is:

$$LD/\bar{v}d_1^2 \gg 10$$
 III-1-1

If this criterium fits, the flow should be treated like a plug flow with axial diffusion.



curve a no correction applied curve b corrected for one compartment

curve c corrected for two compartments

graph III-4 <u>the residence</u> time distribution of KCL

For albumen (D=0,07.10<sup>-9</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/sec) LD/ $\overline{v}d_1^2$ =3 and no plugflow should be expected.

For KCl (D=2.10<sup>-9</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/sec)  $LD/\overline{v}d_{1}^{2}$ =100 and in this case a plug flow with axial mixing should be expected, although the criterium does not take the diffusion in the acc film into account.

Futher on in this chapter some experiments with creatinine are described. For this compound holds  $LD/\bar{v}d_1^2=32$  if Q=30 ml/min.

In table III-1 the mean residence time is calculated from

the RTD curve of albumin. After correction for the residence time in the two compartments its value is 118 sec. The liquid hold up (priming volume) is therefore 59 cm<sup>3</sup> and the distance between the windings is  $52.2 \ \mu m$ .

### III-1-b the pressuredrop over the film\_adsorber

The room between the windings of the roll can be considered as a very broad slit and the pressure drop for a Newtonian fluid over a slit is described by the following equation:

**III-1-2** 

 $\Delta p = \frac{12Q\eta L}{d_1^3 b}$ 

in which

- Ap is the pressuredrop over the film adsorber
- Q is the volumetric flowrate
- $\eta$  is the viscosity
- L is the length of the liquid to flow (breadth of the roll)
- $d_1$  is the thickness of the liquid film

b is the breadth of the slit (length of the roll)

The next pressuredrops were measured when the film adsorber was flown by water:

Q=30	cm <sup>3</sup> /min	∆p=392	$N/m^2$
Q=48	cm <sup>3</sup> /min	∆p=657	$N/m^2$

From equation III-1-2 the thickness of the liquid film appears to be respectively 47,6  $\mu$ m and 46,7  $\mu$ m. The corresponding liquid hold ups are 52,7 cm<sup>3</sup> and 51,5 cm<sup>3</sup>.

III-1-c conclusions

An arbitrary winding of the roll has a outer circumference  $2\pi(r_0+px)$  III-1-3

in which

r is the radius of the core on which the film is rolled

- p is the number of the arbitrary winding counted from the core
- x is the thickness of the winding (the sum of the thickness of the acc film and the liquid film)

The sum of the lengths of all the windings of the roll equals the length of the film

$$b = \sum_{p=1}^{p=n} 2\pi (r_0 + px)$$
 III-1-4

in which

b is the length of the film n is the number of windings of the roll Equation III-1-4 is an arithmetic progression, of which the sum is given by:

 $b=2\pi r_0 n + \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)2\pi x$  III-1-5

For the last winding applies

 $2\pi R=2\pi(r_0+nx)$  III-1-6 in which R is the inner radius of the cylinder containing the roll. Elimination of n from equation III-1-6 and III-1-5 renders:

$$x = \frac{\pi (R^2 - r^2)}{b - (R - r_0)}$$
 III-1-7

For the dimensions of the film adsorber, which we used in our experiments, applies R=2,5 cm and  $r_0=0,5$  cm. The thickness of the collodion film  $d_f$  can be measured with a micrometer. The liquid film thickness  $d_1$  equals  $(x-d_f)$ . In the film adsorber we used for the measurements in this paragraph  $d_f=118~\mu$ m (which result was found by means of 52 measurements with a relative standard deviation of 6%) and b=1129. x was calculated to be 166  $\mu$ m. The mean liquid film thickness is therefore 48  $\mu$ m and the corresponding liquid hold up is 54,2 cm<sup>3</sup>.

We have now used three methods to measure the liquid film thickness. The results of these measurements are

measurement	film thickness	hold up
RTD	52,2 µm	59 cm <sup>3</sup>
pressuredrop	47,6	52,7
	46,7	51,5
direct	48,0	54,2

Since the result of these measurements corresponds suprisingly well, it can be concluded, that the flow pattern through the adsorber satisfies a high standard and that no dead corners or short circuits of significance are present. Also in section III-1-d a good example of the good similarity of the values of direct geometrical measurement and the pressuredrop values is given. The values found by the RTD measurement are slightly higher. This is probably caused by the adsorption of albumen at the collodion surface. From graph IV-2 it follows that from an albumen solution (0,5 g/l), which is led through the film adsorber with a volumetric flowrate of 30 ml/min 0,06 g is adsorbed in 20 minutes. When we look at curve b of graph III-2, which gives the concentration at the output of the roll, it seems justified to say, that the roll is flown during 20 seconds by an albumen solution with a concentration of 0,1 g/l. During the RTD measurement about 0,0012 g will be adsorbed out of the original 0,01 g, that was injected. The rate of desorption, however is unknown, but since all albumen left the adsorber in less than 600 seconds it seems justified to say, that the influence of the adsorption is only small. The measurement of the liquid hold up, however, can be better performed by means of the measurement of the relation between the pressure drop and the velocity.

# III-1-d <u>the rheological behaviour of blood in the film</u> <u>adsorber</u>

III-1-d1 the measurement of the pressuredrop

For this experiment the set up shown in figure IV-1 was 36

used. The pressuredrop was measured with a differential pressure indicator, existing of a half filled inverted U-tube.

In the first experiment an adsorber was first flown by water of  $25^{\circ}$ C and afterwards by bovine blood of  $25^{\circ}$ C. In both cases the pressuredrop over the film adsorber was measured at different volumetric flowrates. In another experiment a film adsorber was first flown by bovine blood of  $37^{\circ}$ C and afterwards by water of  $37^{\circ}$ C. These two experiments were performed in order to control if a possible clotting has influence on the measurement. The result of the measurement is shown in graph III-5. The result of the measurements with water is given together with other data concerning the two adsorbers in table III-3.



• adsorber 1 • adsorber 2

graph III-5 the pressuredrop measurement when the adsorber is flown by bovine blood

III-1-d2 the theoretical explanation of the pressuredrop

Blood is a suspension of blood cells in plasma. The volume percentage of blood cells is usually called hematocrit. For healthy men this hematocrit is 40-50 and for uremic patients about 20.

As blood is a suspension, it may be considered as a so called Cassonian fluid, for which Casson (I-1) defined the following relation:

$$\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} = \tau_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \eta_{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 III-1-8

in	whi	.ch		_
τ	is	the	shear stress	N/m <sup>2</sup>
τo	is	the	yield value	N/m <sup>2</sup>
Ŷ	is	the	shear rate	sec <sup>-1</sup>
$\eta_{s}$	is	the	cassonian viscosity	Nsec/m <sup>2</sup>

By means of equation III-1-8 one can calculate the pressure drop over the film adsorber as a function of blood velocity. This is done in section III-1-d2a. To this simple model one can add two refinements as is done in the sections III-1-d2b and III-1-d2c.

## III-1-d2a the Casson model\_

By means of equation III-1-8 the following relation between the pressure drop and the velocity for a flow of a suspension between two parallel planes can be derived:

 $3\eta_{\rm s} \bar{\mathbf{v}} / a\tau_{\rm o} = \tau_{\rm D} - 12\tau_{\rm D}^{\frac{1}{2}}/5 + 3/2 - \tau_{\rm D}^{-2}/10$  III-1-9 in which 2a is the distance between the planes L is the length of the planes  $\Delta p$  is the pressure drop  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  is the mean velocity  $\tau_{\rm D} = a\Delta p / \tau_{\rm o} L$ This equation is derived by Merill (I-7) and Kooyman (I-5). In the graphs III-6a and 6b curve a shows  $\tau_{\rm D}$  as a function of the dimensionless velocity  $3\bar{\mathbf{v}}\eta_{\rm s}/a\tau_{\rm o}$ , as it is given by

equation III-1-9. For the application of this equation to our experiments the following parameters have to be estimated:

- the viscosity  $(\eta_s)$ 

The formula most used for the calculation of the viscosity is the formula of Einstein

$$\eta_{\rm s} = \eta_{\rm p} / (1 - \alpha \varphi) \qquad \qquad \text{III-1-10}$$

in which

 $\eta_{s}$  is the viscosity of the serum  $\eta_{\rm p}$  is the viscosity of the plasma  $\varphi$  is the volume fraction of blood cells  $\alpha$  Charm and Kurland (I-4) have found that  $\alpha = 0,076\exp\{2,49\,\varphi + \frac{1107}{T}\,\exp(-1,690)\}$ III-1-11 in which T is the temperature ( $^{\circ}K$ ). A second formula for the viscosity is given by Kooyman:  $\eta_{\rm s} = \eta_{\rm p} \exp 2,05\varphi$ III-1-12 For the calculation of  $\eta_{\rm p}$  another formula of Kooyman may be used  $\eta_{\rm p} = 0,00135 \exp 2,78(1000/T - 1000/310)$ III-1-13 - <u>the yield value</u>  $(\tau_0)$ In the litterature a lot of different values are proposed for the yield value (I-2 - I-4). As seen from equation III-1-9 the value of  $\tau_{o}$  does not influence the relation between pressure drop and mean velocity a great deal. For our calculations we used the values of  $\tau_{o}$  as given by Kooyman (I-5) as these values are about the average of the values as found by others (see for comparison Kooyman) and agree with the value as found by Cokelet (I-2). The relation of Kooyman is

$$\tau_{0} = (0,08 + 0,35\varphi)^{2} \qquad \text{III-1-14}$$

- the volume fraction of blood cells (  $\varphi$  )

The hematocrit of the blood, that we used is about 50. With this value the yield value and the two different viscosities can be calculated respectively by the equations III-1-14, III-1-10 and III-1-12.

Since the difference between the two calculated viscosities is only small (<5%) we used the mean value.

With the resulting values a relation between the pressure drop and the blood velocity can be calculated for our experiments. The relation between  $\tau_D$  and the dimensionless velocity is shown in graph III-6a and graph III-6b (curve b) for the first and the second experiment respectively.

Since the agreement with the theoretical curve (curve a of graph III-6a and 6b) is rather poor, we applied a refinement to the Casson model.



graph III-6 the theoretical explanation for the pressuredrop measurement

III-1-d2b the first refinement to the Casson model

When blood flows from a container through a channel with a small diameter (below  $3.10^{-4}$  m) the mean hematocrit in the channel is smaller than the hematocrit in the container as was first observed by Fahreus in 1929. This is a consequence of the fact, that the hematocrit in the channel is a function of the place in the channel.

The relative hematocrit (quotient of the hematocrit in the channel and the hematocrit in the reservoir) is measured by Barbee and Cokelet (I-6) as a function of the hemato-

crit in the reservoir and the diameter of the channel. In graph III-7 the relative hematocrit is given as a function of the diameter of the channel (the hematocrit in the reservoir is 50). By means of this graph the hematocrit in a channel can be calculated.

We applied this graph also for a slit and then new values can be calculated for the yield value and the viscosities of the blood. Also a new relation between  $\tau_{\rm D}$  and the dimensionless velocity is obtained. This is shown as curve c in the graphs III-6a and 6b respectively for the first and the second experiment.

Although the agreement with the theoretical relation is better than with the pure Casson model, it is still unsatisfactory. We therefore applied a second refinement.



III-1-d2c the second refinement to the Casson model

Since the hematocrit is a function of the place in the channel, the flow pattern will be different from the flow pattern as calculated from the Casson relation (equation III-1-8). As a model one may assume a marginal plasma layer. Charm (I-7) has measured the thickness of such a layer as a function of the channel diameter and the hematocrit. Assuming a marginal plasma layer Charm and Kurland (I-4) derived a velocity pressuredrop relation in the same way

as is done for equation III-1-9 for a capillar. We did the same for a flow between two parallel planes (see appendix 6) and the result was:

$$3\eta_{\rm s} \bar{v} / a \tau_{\rm o} = \tau_{\rm D} [(1 - \Delta^3) / (1 - \alpha \varphi) + \Delta^3] - 12 \Delta^{3/2} \tau_{\rm D}^{\frac{1}{2}} / 5 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta^2$$
 III-1-15

in which  $\Delta = 1-2\delta/a$  and  $\delta$  is the thickness of the plasma layer.  $\delta$  will be about 5  $\mu$  as can be concluded from the measurements of Charm and Kurland.

 $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$  and  $\Delta$  can now be calculated and also the relation between the pressure drop and the velocity.

The curves a of the graphs III-6c and 6d represent a plot of  $\tau_D$  against the dimensionless velocity following equation III-1-15 for the two experiments, whereas the points b of these graphs represent the measurement. A good agreement between the theoretical and the measured curves is obtained.

In table III-3 different data for the two adsorbers are given as well as the result of the different calculations.

## table III-3 the pressure drop over the film adsorber when it is flown by bovine blood

#### adsorber 1

#### adsorber 2

<u>a the measurement with water</u>

т=298 <sup>0</sup> к	$\eta_{n} = 1,88$	ср	1	Г=310 <sup>0</sup> К	$\eta_{n} = 1,35$	ср
d <sub>1</sub> =41	L=9,6 c	m b=950 cm	n c	1 <sub>1</sub> =50	L=9,7cm	b=9,8 m
Q ml/min	$\Delta p N/m^2$	∆p N/m <sup>2</sup>	1	Q ml/min	∆p N/m <sup>2</sup>	∆p N/m <sup>2</sup>
	measured	calcu-			measured	calcu-
		lated				lated
30	626	656		21	245	256
		·		79	970	990

<u>b the measurement with bovine blood</u>

Q ml/min	$\Delta p N/m^2$	Q ml/min	⊿p N/m <sup>2</sup>
11	840	35	1140
22	1920	39	1260
26	2360	64	2010
38	3670	. 81	2900

<u>c</u> the Casson model

VARIAN AND A	manne susses success			2	
		$\varphi = 0,5$ to	=0,016 N/m'	2	
α=1,31	$\eta_{_{\mathrm{S}}}$ =5,30	-	α=1,23	$\eta_{s}^{=3,76}$	cp
Q ml/min	τ <sub>D</sub> N/m <sup>2</sup>	$3\eta_{\rm s}\overline{v}/a\tau_{\rm o}$	Q ml/min	τ <sub>D</sub> N/m <sup>2</sup>	$3\eta_{\rm s} \bar{v}/a\tau_{\rm o}$
11	Ĩ1,2	22,7	35	18,4	32,9 ĭ
22	25,6	45,4	39	20,3	36,7
26	31,5	53,6	64	32,4	60,2
38	49,0	78,4	81	46,8	76,2

<u>d</u> <u>applica</u>	tion of the	e_r <u>elative</u>	<u>hematocri</u> t		
φ=0 <b>,</b> 337	$\tau_0 = 0,007$	7 N/m <sup>2</sup>	$\varphi = 0,357$	τ <sub>0</sub> =0,008	36 N/m <sup>2</sup>
α=1,44	η <sub>s</sub> =3,68 d	cp	α=1 <b>,</b> 30	$\eta_{s} = 2,62$	cp
Q ml/min	τ <sub>D</sub> N/m <sup>2</sup>	3η <sub>s</sub> v/aτ <sub>o</sub>	Q ml/min	τ <sub>D</sub> N/m <sup>2</sup>	$3\eta_{\rm s}\overline{v}/a\tau_{\rm o}$
11	23,3	30	35	<u>3</u> 4,3	43,6
22	53,3	60	39	37,8	48,6
26	65,5	71	64	60,2	79,6
38	101	104	81	87	101

e	applicat	<u>ion of th</u> e	_m <u>arginal</u>	<u>plasma_lay</u>	er	
δ =	= <b>3,</b> 8μ	∆=0,82	$\varphi = 0,411$	$\delta = 5,0 \mu$	Δ=0,8	$\varphi = 0,445$
τ <sub>c</sub>	=0,011 N	$I/m^2$	$\alpha = 1,37$	τ <sub>o</sub> =0,0132	$N/m^2$	α=1 <b>,</b> 29
$\eta_s$	=4,32 cp	)		η <sub>s</sub> =3,19 c	р	
Q	ml/min	™D N/m <sup>2</sup>	$3\eta_{\rm s} \bar{v}/a\tau_{\rm o}$	Q ml/min	$\tau_{\rm D} N/m^2$	3η <sub>s</sub> v/aτ <sub>o</sub>
	11	16,7	27,3	35	22,2	34,5
	22	38,1	54,6	39	24,5	38,5
	26	46,8	64,6	64	39,2	63,2
	38	73	94,4	81	56,5	80

#### III-2 The masstransfer mechanisms in the film adsorber

A model describing the functioning of the film adsorber needs to integrate the adsorption isotherms (see section III-2-a) and the following masstransfer mechanisms (see also figure III-3):

- the diffusion in the liquid film (section III-2-b)
- the masstransfer from the liquid film (see section III-2-c)
- the diffusion in the acc film (see section III-2-d)
- the masstransfer in the carbon particles (see section III-2-e).

#### III-2-a adsorption\_isotherms

To get an idea of the capacity of the film adsorber adsorption isotherms were measured for creatinine, inulin and bromphthalein. An adsorption isotherm is the relation at constant temperature between the adsorbed quantity of a certain compound and the concentration of that compound in the liquid at equilibrium.

For the measurement of the adsorption isotherms solutions were made for the particular compound, after which a certain amount of activated carbon (not encapsulated) was added to the solution. For all experiments mentioned in this thesis "Merck" activated carbon was used (see appendix 1 for further description).

The thus obtained suspension was shaken for such a long time, that we could assume, that adsorption equilibrium was reached and then filtrated. The concentration of the compound in the filtrate was measured (see appendix 2 for all quantitative analyses). For creatinine the adsorption equilibrium is reached within 10 minutes (see graph III-12). In graph III-8a the adsorption isotherms of the compounds mentioned are given. In graph III-8b the adsorption isotherm of creatinine is given separately too.



If only adsorption is used for the removal of creatinine from blood, we can now calculate the amount of carbon needed to remove the creatinine produced in the human body in one day (by means of the table I-1). The daily production is about 1 g creatinine and the concentration in blood of uremic patients is about 0,2 g/l. The minimum amount of carbon needed is then 14 g. Since the film adsorber contains 25-30 g of carbon, the production of two days could be removed. This capacity is enough for treatment once every three days if the adsorber is used in combination with a dialyser

## III-2-b the diffusion in the liquid

To calculate the diffusion coefficient in a liquid the formula of Wilke-Chang (H-7) is generally accepted (see also Bird (H-26)):

$$D_1 = 7, 4.10^{-12} \cdot TM^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} / \eta V^{0, 6}$$
 III-2-1

in which

т	is	the	temperature	°к
М	is	the	molecular weight of the solvent	
η	is	the	viscosity	ср
v	is	the	molal volume of the solute	cc/gmol
D	is	diffusion coefficient	m <sup>2</sup> /sec	
x	is	the	association number - a correction	
	factor		for the solvent (2,6 for water)	

The molal volume can be calculated by means of Kopp's law, which states, that the molecular volume is the sum of the atomic volumes. The atomic volumes are given by Treybal (H-8) and Lebas (H-22). The molal volumes of creatinine and uric acid are respectively 117,0 and 145,7. See for this subject also Perry page  $\underline{14}$ -20 (H-4).

The diffusion coefficients needed for the description of the breakthrough curves (the measurement of these curves is described in paragraph III-3) are for

- creatinine\_in water of\_20°C

 $D=0,845.10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$  which is in agreement with the values of Colton (H-15) and Ikkenberry (H-24) after correction for the temperature.

- <u>creatinine in plasma of 37</u>°C D=0,67.10<sup>-9</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/sec - <u>uric acid in plasma of 37</u>°C D=0,56.10<sup>-9</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/sec For the diffusion coefficients in blood we will use the values found by Colton:

- <u>creatinine\_in\_blood\_of\_37</u><sup>o</sup>C  $D=0,53.10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$ - <u>uric\_acid\_in\_blood\_of\_37</u><sup>o</sup>C  $D=0,44.10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$ 

## III-2-c the masstransfer coefficient in the liquid

For a laminar flow through a pipe with a temperature fall at the wall Nusselt (H-10) and Graetz (H-11) have derived, that the Nusselt number must be constant with a value of 3,65 except for the entrance region. For a flow between parallel planes this constant Nusselt number is derived by Hahneman (H-12) and this value is also given by Grigull (H-13) as 3,75.

Similar calculations are among others performed by Colton (H-15) and Kooyman (H-23) for masstransfer. Kooyman calculated a Sh number  $(kd_1/D_1)$  of 3,77 for  $LD_1/d_1^2 \vec{v} > 10^{-1}$  and a constant concentration boundary condition. In our case  $LD_1/d_1^2 \vec{v} = 42$  and the constant Sherwood number may be applied.

## III-2-d the diffusion in the acc film

The measurement of the diffusion coefficient in the acc film was performed in a so called stirred membrane diffusion cell (SMDC), which is sketched in figure III-1.



The cell consists of two compartments  $V_u$  and  $V_l$ , which are separated by a membrane M. The membrane is placed in the SMDC as follows:

-Teflon ring TR is put in the wall of  $V_1$ . Membrane M is placed upon the teplon ring together with rubber ring O. The wall of  $V_u$  is screwed in the wall of  $V_1$ . The membrane is streched between the rings TR and O.

 $V_1$  is stirred with a magnetic stirrer MS and  $V_u$  with a Rushton stirrer RS. For the increase of the stirring effect baffles are placed in both compartments ( $B_u$  and  $B_1$ ). Injections with a dye showed that complete mixing is attained in less than a second. This does not include the boundary layers present at both sides of the membrane surface.

It may be assumed that the overall masstransfer resistance across the membrane is the sum of the mass transfer resistance in the membrane and those of the liquid films sothat

 $1/k_{o} = d_{f}/D_{m} + 1/k_{fl} + 1/k_{fu}$  III-2-2

in which

 ${\bf k}_{\sim}$  is the overall masstransfer coefficient

d<sub>r</sub> is the thickness of the membrane

 $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{m}}$  is the diffusion coefficient in the membrane

 ${\bf k}_{\mbox{fl}}$  and  ${\bf k}_{\mbox{fu}}$  are the masstransfer coefficients in the liquid phases

D<sub>m</sub> containes also an distribution coefficient for the distribution of creatinine between the water and the collodion. It is however impossible to measure this coefficient in an acc membrane, because the amount of solute present in the water - collodion system would only be about one percent of the amount adsorbed at the carbon, which is the reason why we took this up in the diffusion coefficient. For the calculation of the masstransfer coefficient in the liquid a number of models is available. The calculation is performed in section III-2-d1. We furthermore controlled these calculated coefficients by means of the measurement of the overall masstransfer coefficient for a cuprophane membrane, of which the diffusion coefficient is known from the litterature. The measurement of the diffusion coefficient in the acc membrane is described in section III-2-d2. Furthermore the diffusion coefficient in a pure collodion membrane is measured as a comparison.

### III-2-d1 the boundary layer masstransfer coefficient

We used the following models for the calculation of the masstransfer coefficient in the boundary layers: a the model of Kaufman

Kaufman (H-19) found the following equation for the liquid masstransfer coefficient near a membrane in a stirred cell

0.32, 2 , 0.68

$$k_f d_s/D = 0,368(\eta/\rho D)^{0,02}(d_s^n \rho/\eta)^{0,00}$$
 III-2-3  
in which  
 $k_f$  is the boundarylayer masstransfer coefficient m/sec  
D is the diffusion coefficient in the liquid m<sup>2</sup>/sec  
 $d_s$  is the diameter of the stirrer m  
 $\eta$  is the viscosity Nsec/m<sup>2</sup>  
 $\rho$  is the density of the liquid kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
n is the velocity of rotation rev/sec

The Reynolds number in the upper compartment is 3120 and in the lower compartment 1700, while  $d_s$  is respectively 2,6 and 2,2. The masstransfer coefficients are  $k_{fu}=2,39.10^{-5}$  m/sec and  $k_{fl}=1,93.10^{-5}$  m/sec. For equation III-2-2 we need  $1/k_{fu} + 1/k_{fl} = 0,94.10^{5}$  sec/m.

b the model of Colton

Colton (H-15,20) came to the following equation:

$$k_{fd}/D = 0,73(\eta/\rho D)^{0,33}(d_{c}^{2}n\rho/\eta)^{0,567}$$
 III-2-4

in which d<sub>c</sub> is the diameter of the stirred cell. Calculations render the following result:  $k_{fl}=2,05.10^{-5}$  m/sec and  $k_{fu}=2,3.10^{-5}$  m/sec, while  $1/k_{fu} + 1/k_{fl} = 0,92.10^{5}$  sec/m.

## c the model of Strek

Strek (H-21) has developed a model for the heattransfer to the walls of open stirred tank. When we replace the heat transfer by mass transfer we get the following equation:

$$k_{f}d_{c}/D = (\eta/\ell D)^{1/3} (d_{s}^{2}\ell/\eta)^{2/3} (d_{s}/d_{c})^{0,13} x$$
  
x (h/d<sub>c</sub>)<sup>0,12</sup> III-2-5

in which h is the distance of the stirrer to the tank bottom. Further calculation renders the following result (because the tank should be open the model cannot be applied for the lower compartment):  $k_{fu}=2,83.10^{-5}$  m/sec.

The values of the masstransfer coefficients are also shown in table III-4 together with the mean values of the three models.

As mentioned these theoretical values can be controlled by means of measuring the overall masstransfer coefficient for a cuprophane PT150 membrane. This was done as follows. The cuprophane membrane was stretched between the compartments.  $V_1$  was filled with water via tap  $T_2$ , while the air was driven out via tap  $T_1$ . At the time t=0 V, was filled with an aqueous creatinine solution. At different times samples were drawn from  $V_{11}$ . In appendix 4 a formula is derived for the calculation of the overall masstransfer coefficient from the measured concentrations in the samples. A correction factor is applied for the sampling. From graph III-10 it may be concluded that the overall mass transfer coefficient for the cuprophane is  $0.261.10^{-5}$  m/sec. The diffusion coefficient in water saturated cuprophane is measured by Babb (H-17,18) as  $0,164.10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$ , Colton (H-5) as 0,154.10<sup>-9</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/sec and Lande (H-16) as 0,154.10<sup>-9</sup>.  $1/k_{fu}+1/k_{fl}$  can now be calculated by means of equation III-2-2. Since  $d_f=44.10^{-6}$  m, it follows that  $1/k_{fu} + 1/k_{fl}$ =0,91.10<sup>5</sup> sec/m, which corresponds very well with the value of the mentioned models (see table III-4)

model	k <sub>fu</sub> .10 <sup>5</sup> (m/sec)	k <sub>fl</sub> .10 <sup>5</sup>	(1/k <sub>fb</sub> +1/k <sub>fl</sub> ).10 <sup>-5</sup> (sec/m)
Kaufman	2,39	1,93	0,94
Colton	2,30	2,05	0,92
Strek	2,83		
mean value	2,51	1,99	0,89
cuprophane			0,91

table III-4 the masstransfer coefficients in the liquid

III-2-d2 the diffusion coefficient in the acc membrane

It is now possible to calculate the diffusion coefficient from a measurement of the overall masstransfer coefficient by means of equation III-2-2.

Because of the adsorption at the carbon the measuring of the diffusion coefficient in the acc film cannot be carried out in unsteady state and therefore the measuring method has to be modified. The SMDC was applied in the experimental set up sketched in figure III-2.



figure III-2 the measurement of the diffusion in the acc film

An acc membrane was stretched between  $V_u$  and  $V_1$ . Water was brought in both compartments ( $V_u$ =100 ml and  $V_1$ =80 ml). From t=0 an aqueous creatinine solution ( $C_{1i}$ =0,1 g/l) was led through  $V_1$  with a volumetric flowrate  $Q_1$ =1 ml/min from container  $V_1$ .  $Q_1$  was measured by means of rotameter FM<sub>1</sub> and regulated with restiction  $R_1$ . For the accurate measurement of  $Q_1$  the solution coming out of  $V_1$  is received in a calibrated cylinder.

From t=0 pump P pumpes water out of  $V_u$  with a volumetric flowrate  $Q_u$ =1 ml/min. The water level in  $V_u$  remains constant, because it is siphoned over from a container  $V_2$ , where the level, which is at the same height as the level in  $V_u$ , remains constant because of its large diameter, 0,25 m. The drop of the liquid level is about 1 mm/h. The amount of liquid pumped from  $V_u$  was also received in a calibrated cylinder.

No ultrafiltration takes place, since the end of the drain of  $V_1$  (E) is at the same height as the water level of  $V_u$ . At different times the concentrations in the output of  $V_u$ and  $V_1$  (respectively  $C_{uo}$  and  $C_{1o}$ ) are measured. After a certain time adsorption equilibrium will be reached in the membrane and the concentration in  $V_u$  and  $V_1$  will

remain constant (steady state). In that case:

 $Q_1 C_{1i} = Q_1 C_{1o} + Q_0 C_{uo} \qquad \text{III-2-6}$ 

When the steady state is reached anywhere in the membrane it may be assumed, that there is also adsorption equilibrium on the activated carbon. In that case the presence of the carbon particles only causes a barrier for the diffusion through the membrane.

In this case it may be assumed that

 $Q_1(C_{1i}-C_{1o}) = Q_uC_{uo} = k_oA(C_{1o}-C_{uo})$  III-2-7 and the mass transfer coefficients can be calculated. In graph III-9 the following variables are plotted as a function of time: 
$$\begin{split} I = \int_{0}^{t} Q_{1}C_{1i}dt &- \text{ the amount of creatinine fed to the SMDC} \\ U_{1} = \int_{0}^{t} Q_{1}C_{1o}dt - \text{ the amount carried out through the outlet} \\ & of V_{1} \\ V_{1}C_{1o} &- \text{ the amount of creatinine present in } V_{1} \\ O = I - U_{1} - V_{1}C_{1o} - \text{ the amount of creatinine transferred through} \\ & or adsorbed in the membrane \\ U_{u} = \int_{0}^{t} Q_{u}C_{uo}dt - \text{ the amount of creatinine carried out through} \\ & \text{the outlet of } V_{u} \\ V_{u}C_{uo} &- \text{ the amount of creatinine present in } V_{u} \\ & q = 0 - U_{u} - V_{u}C_{uo} - \text{ the amount of creatinine adsorbed by the} \\ & \text{membrane during the experiment} \end{split}$$

These variables are also given in table III-5.



## graph III-9 the masstransfer through the acc membrane

Since only after 100 minutes a measurable amount of creatinine comes in V<sub>u</sub>, while the steady state is reached after 300 minutes, it must be concluded, that the adsorption rate is very fast as compared with the diffusion rate. From table III-5 may be concluded that  $k_0=0,254,10^{-5}$ m/sec. Since  $d_f=130~\mu$  the effective diffusion coefficient calculated with equation III-2-2 seems to be  $0,425.10^{-9}$ m<sup>2</sup>/sec. Table III-5 the mass transfer through the acc membrane

the amounts in this table are given in mg; the time in min

t	I	Ul	v <sub>l</sub> c <sub>lo</sub>	0	U <sub>u</sub>	V <sub>u</sub> C <sub>uo</sub>	đ
20	2,34	0,18	1,48	0,68			0,68
40	4,53	0,89	2,76	0,88			0,88
60	6,32	.1,72	3,72	1,38			1,38
80	9,05	2,88	4,52	1,65		0,05	1,65
100	11,3	4,22	5,06	2,00		0,22	1,78
120	13,5	5,67	5,40	2,42	0,11	0,44	1,88
140	15,6	7,11	5,68	2,82	0,19	0,60	2,03
160	18,0	8,81	5,92	3,22	0,30	0,71	2,21
180	20,5	10,7	6,08	3,68	0,42	0,82	2,43
200	23,1	12,7	6,24	4,12	0,56	0,93	2,53
220	25,7	14,8	6,40	4,5	0,71	1,10	2,70
240	28,3	16,9	6,55	4,8	0,88	1,15	2,82
260	30,9	19,0	6,65	5,2	1,07	1,27	2,86
280	33,4	21,1	6,68	5,6	1,27	1,43	2,89
300	35,8	23,2	6,7	5,9	1,50	1,54	2,92
320	38,2	25,2	6,7	6,3	1,72	1,65	2,96
340	40,6	27,2	6,7	6,7	1,97	1,76	2,98
360	42,8	29,1	6,7	7,0	2,22	1,87	2,98
380	45,1	30,9	6,7	7,5	2,49	1,93	3,03
400	47,3	32,8	6,7	7,8	2,77	2,04	3,01
420	49,6	34,7	6,7	8,2	3,07	2,15	2,97
440	51,8	36,5	6,7	8,6	3,38	2,24	2,94
460	54 <b>,</b> 0	38,4	6,7	8,9	3,70	2,29	2,93
480	56,2	40,2	6,7	9,3	4,03	2,37	2,90

As a comparison we measured the overall masstransfer coefficient in two collodion membranes. The measurement was performed as with the cuprophane membranes. The first collodion membrane had a mean thickness of  $57 \,\mu$ . The overall masstransfer coefficient was  $0,51.10^{-5}$  m/sec (see graph III-10). The diffusion coefficient is then cal-



graph III-10 the diffusion in membranes collodion  $\circ$  ( $V_{ui}$ =100 cm<sup>3</sup>,  $C_i$ =0,2 g/l,120  $\mu$ ),  $\cdot$  (100, 0,21,57) cuprophane  $\Box$  (100, 1, 44),  $\times$  (100, 0,2, 44), + (75, 0,2, 44),  $\alpha$  (90, 0,2, 44)

culated with equation III-2-2:  $0,54.10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{sec.}$ The second membrane had a thickness of  $120 \,\mu$ . The measured masstransfer coefficient was  $0,34.10^{-5}$  m/sec. The diffusion coefficient is then  $0,56.10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{sec.}$ 

Ikkenberry (H-24) found, that the logarithme of the diffusion coefficient of creatinine at  $37^{\circ}C$  for several membranes plotted against (1/hydration) gives a straight line (see line a of graph III-11). The change of the temperature into  $25^{\circ}C$  renders line b of graph III-11. The values of the diffusion coefficients, that we measured, are given in the same graph. They agree very well with line b.



- acc film
- collodion
- cuprophane
- in water following Wilke-Chang (H-7)

graph III-11 the diffusion of creatinine in membranes following Ikkenberry (H-24)

III-2-e the masstransfer in the carbon particles

A conclusion from the experiment with the acc membrane described in section III-2-d was, that the adsorptionrate at the carbon is fast as compared with the masstransport through the membrane.

We performed the following experiment to measure the adsorption rate at activated carbon from an aqueous solution. Activated carbon was suspended in half a litre of water. At time t=0 half a litre of a creatinine solution was added to this well stirred suspension. Samples were drawn from the suspension and filtrated. The sampling and the filtration took 15 seconds. The creatinine concentration in the filtrate was measured and is given in graph III-12 as a function of time.

In a first approximation the course of the concentration in the liquid can be cescribed by the following equation:  $\frac{dC/dt=k_{c}a_{c}(C-C^{\#})}{III-2-8}$ 

in which C is the concentration in the liquid g/lt is the time min  $k_c$  is the masstransfer coefficient cm/min  $a_c$  is the specific surface  $cm^{-1}$   $C^{\mathcal{H}}$  is the concentration in equilibrium with the 56 amount adsorbed by the carbon g/l



0	C <sub>i</sub> =0,2	g/1	10	g_/1
×	C,=0,3	g/1	5	g_/1

graph III-12 the masstransfer in the carbon particles

dC/dt is measured from graph III-12 and  $C^{*}$  from graph III-8b. In table III-6 equation III-2-8 is used to calculate the masstransfer coefficient, which as can be seen is not constant for the following reasons:

<u>a</u> By means of equation III-2-8 an overall masstransfer coefficient is calculated, that will be composed of the masstransfer coefficient in the liquid surrounding the carbon particles and the diffusion coefficient in the particles. When Fo=tD/d<sup>2</sup>>0,05 the total masstransfer rate will be settled by the internal diffusion. In that case Sh=kd/D=6,6.

The internal diffusion coefficient may be estimated to be  $0,5.10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$ . The internal diffusion will then already settle the masstransfer after 1,6 sec (d=40  $\mu$ ) and the mean masstransfer coefficient is  $0,825.10^{-5}$  m/sec

b The carbon particles will have a distribution in diame-

ter. When the smaller particles are saturated, the larger ones will still adsorb creatinine. Because of the decrease of the liquid concentration the smaller particles will release creatinine and a smaller masstransfer coefficient will be the consequence. To get an impression of this effect we worked out the following examples. Suppose we suspend two fractions with a different particle diameter. The following equations then describe the course of the concentration.

$$-dC/dt = k_1 a_1 (C - C_1^{\mathbf{X}}) + k_2 a_2 (C - C_2^{\mathbf{X}})$$
 III-2-9

$$\epsilon_1 \operatorname{mdC}_1^{\star}/\operatorname{dt} = k_1 a_1 (C - C_1^{\star}) \qquad \text{III-2-10}$$

under the following conditions:  $t=0: C=C_0, C_1^{\mathbf{X}}=C_2^{\mathbf{X}}=0$  $t=\cdots: C^{\mathbf{X}}=C/[1+(m-1)(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2)]$ III-2-12

$$\tau = \infty; \quad \tau = \tau_0 / \tau + (m - 1) (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$$

In these equations are

C the concentration in the liquid

m the equilibrium coefficient;  $C^{\mathbf{H}} = mC_{c}$  if  $C_{c}$  is the concentration in the carbon

 $\epsilon$  the volume fraction of the carbon k the overall masstransfer coefficient For  $C_1^{H}$  the following equation results if  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$ 

$$(\epsilon^{2}m^{2}/k_{1}a_{1}k_{2}a_{2})d^{3}C_{1}^{*}/dt^{3} + m(1/k_{1}a_{1}+1/k_{2}a_{2})(1+\epsilon m) x$$
  
x  $d^{2}C_{1}^{*}/dt^{2} + (1+2\epsilon m)dC_{1}^{*}/dt = 0$  III-2-13

The solution of this equation is:

$$C_{1}^{*} = C_{0}^{\prime} [1+2(m-1)\epsilon] + \{-C_{0}[1-2w(v+w)]/[1+2(m-1)] + 2k_{1}a_{1}C_{0}w/\epsilon m\}e^{(v+w)t} + \{2C_{0}w(v+w)/[1-2(m-1)] - 2k_{1}a_{1}C_{0}w/\epsilon m\}e^{(v-w)t}$$

$$III-2-1^{\ell}$$

in which

$$v = -(k_2 a_2 + k_1 a_1)(1 + \epsilon m)/2 \epsilon^2 m$$
 III-2-15

and

$$w = \sqrt{(k_1 a_1 + k_2 a_2)^2 (1 + \epsilon m)^2 / 4 \epsilon^4 m^2} - (1 + 2 m) k_1 a_1 k_2 a_2 / 2 m^2}$$
 III-2-16

A similar equation is found for  $C_2^{\mathbf{X}}$ . After substitution of  $C_1^{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $C_2^{\mathbf{X}}$  in equation III-2-9 a solution for C could be found.

Application of two fractions, however, is not enough to find the course of the masstransfer coefficient as given in table III-6. At least five fractions with different diameters are needed to explain this course. A solution like III-2-14 should be rather difficult for five fractions. We therefore simulated experiment a of table III-6 in another way. We started with the following assumptions: - the carbon (10 g in 1 litre) is distributed as follows

0,5 g with a mean diameter of 10  $\mu$ 

2 g with a mean diameter of 25  $\mu$ 

5 g with a mean diameter of 40  $\mu$ 

2 g with a mean diameter of 55  $\mu$ 

0,5 g with a mean diameter of 70  $\mu$ 

- the masstransfer is limited by the internal diffusion so that Sh=6,6
- the internal diffusion coefficient is  $0,5.10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$
- the initial liquid concentration is 0,2 g/l
- every fraction has a different value of  ${\rm k}_{\rm o} a$  as listed in table III-7.

It is now possible to calculate  $(dC/dt)_{t=0}$ . We suppose, that this concentration gradient is maintained for twenty seconds and thus C after twenty seconds can be calculated. The total amount of creatinine, that is adsorbed is now calculated. The part, which each fraction adsorbs is the same as the part, which each fraction contributes to the adsorption rate (dC/dt). By means of graph III-8b the concentration in equilibrium with each fraction is known. And again dC/dt can be calculated. And so on every twenty seconds. We can also calculate a mean equilibrium concentration every twenty seconds and thus by means of equation III-2-8 a mean value of  $k_{\rm o}a_{\rm \cdot}$ 

All these values are listed in table III-7 and we see, that the course of the concentration with time, but also the course of  $k_0^{a}$  with time corresponds very well with the measured values.

Our conclusion is, that the variations of the overall mass transfer coefficient is mainly caused by the variation in diameter of the carbon particles.

## Table III-6 the masstransfer in the carbon particles

	t	C	dC/dt	q	C¥	C-C <sup>≭</sup>	k <sub>o</sub> a	k <sub>0•10</sub> 5
	min	g/1	g/lmir	ng/g <sub>c</sub>	g/l	g/1	min <sup>-1</sup>	m/sec
a	0	0,2	0,4	0	0	0,2	2	2,2
	0,25	0,135	0,136	0,0065	0,003	0,132	1	1,1
	0,5	0,111	0,079	0,0090	0,004	0,106	0,74	0,81
	1	0,079	0,057	0,0121	0,006	0,073	0,78	0,86
	1,5	0,058	0,037	0,0142	0,007	0,051	0,72	0,79
	2	0,043	0,024	0,0157	0,008	0,035	0,69	0,76
	3	0,028	0,008	0,0172	0,008	0,020	0,40	0,44
	4	0,022	0,004	0,0178	0,009	0,013	0,35	0,38
b	0	0,3	1	0	0	0,3	3,33	7,4
	0,25	0,092	0,124	0,041	0,033	0,059	2,10	4,7
	0,5	0,079	0,021	0,044	0,038	0,041	0,51	1,1
	1	0,074	0,009	0,045	0,040	0,034	0,26	0,58
	1,5	0,071	0,005	0,046	0,043	0,028	0,18	0,40
	2	0,069	0,004	0,046	0,044	0,025	0,17	0,38
	3	0,066	0,003	0,047	0,045	0,021	0,12	0,27
								~ ~
	<u>a</u> : C,	=0,2 g	g/l ; <sup>-</sup>	10 g of	carbor	n/l ; a	a=1500	$m^2/m^3$
	<u>b</u> : C <sub>j</sub>	_=0,3 g	g/l ;	5 g of	carbon	1/l ; a	a= 750	$m^2/m^3$
	g <sub>c</sub> i	s the v	volume	amount	of ca:	rbon		

tabl	e III-	7 <u>the</u>	calculat	ed mass	stransfe	er in th	ne carbon	
t	С	9 <sub>1</sub>	9 <sub>2</sub>	qz	$q_4$	9 <sub>5</sub>	$C_1^{\mathbf{x}}$	
0,24680,000,1,24680,000,1,24680,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,	0,200 0,145 0,110 0,093 0,080 0,070 0,061 0,054 0,054 0,054 0,047 0,047 0,037 0,034 0,031 0,029 0,027 0,026	0 0,0470 0,0600 0,0620 0,0566 0,0544 0,0524 0,0508 0,0504 0,0508 0,0504 0,0482 0,0460 0,0444 0,0426 0,0414 0,0408	0 0,0075 0,0183 0,0173 0,0203 0,0222 0,0243 0,0260 0,0267 0,0286 0,0297 0,0304 0,0311 0,0315 0,0319 0,0321	0 0,0030 0,0051 0,0065 0,0077 0,0084 0,0093 0,0101 0,0108 0,0113 0,0119 0,0123 0,0127 0,0130 0,0133 0,0135	0 0,0015 0,0026 0,0033 0,0040 0,0045 0,0050 0,0054 0,0058 0,0061 0,0064 0,0066 0,0068 0,0070 0,0071 0,0072	0 0,0010 0,0016 0,0020 0,0022 0,0024 0,0026 0,0028 0,0031 0,0033 0,0035 0,0037 0,0037 0,0039 0,0041 0,0042 0,0043	0 0,05 0,10 0,103 0,10 0,08 0,07 0,062 0,050 0,050 0,050 0,050 0,047 0,043 0,040 0,035 0,032 0,032	
t 0,46802468024680 1,1,1,8024680 2,468024680 2,777777777777777777777777777777777777	C <sup>2</sup> 0,0040 0,0077 0,0900 0,0113 0,0125 0,0135 0,0135 0,0135 0,0135 0,0155 0,0155 0,0165 0,0175 0,0175 0,0175 0,0175 0,0180 0,0175 0,0180 0,0180 0,0180	C <sup>*</sup> 0,002 0,002 0,003 0,004 0,005 0,006 0,006 0,006 0,006 0,006 0,006 0,006 0,006 0,007 0,007	C <sup>*</sup> 0 0,0010 8 0,0015 6 0,0016 3 0,0025 2 0,0026 6 0,0030 6 0,0035 8 0,0035 8 0,0035 8 0,0035 1 0,0036 2 0,0035 6 0,0035 6 0,0035 1 0,0035 6 0,0035 1 0,0005 1 0,0035 1 0,005 1 0,005	$C_5^{\pi}$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ac/at 0,278 0,176 0,095 0,067 0,051 3,0,047 4,0,039 6,0,030 3,0,047 4,0,039 6,0,030 3,0,022 0,017 1,0,014 2,0,011 2,0,011 3,0,007	<del>C</del> * 0,0031 0,0050 0,0060 0,0072 0,0072 0,0077 0,0081 0,0085 0,0088 0,0090 0,0091 0,0094 0,0096 0,0097	koa 1,39 1,24 0,90 0,77 0,69 0,74 0,74 0,74 0,77 0,67 0,61 0,56 0,55 0,55 0,56 0,46	
frac 1 2 3 4 5	tion d	ι (μ) w 25 40 55 70	eight (g 0,5 2 5 2 0,5	g) K (m, 3,3. 1,32 0,82 0,60 0,47	/sec) a 10 <sup>-5</sup>	(m <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>3</sup> 300 480 750 218 43	) k <sub>o</sub> a(min <sup>-7</sup> 0,595 0,380 0,375 0,078 0,012	1)

The 10 g of carbon was suspended in 1 l of creatinine solution.  $\rho$  =1 g/cm^2
#### III-3 Breakthrough curves

We have now relations, with which it is possible to calculate each mechanism, that is important for the description of the functioning of the film adsorber. For the integration of these mechanisms two models are available. The first model (see section III-3-a) gives by means of curve fitting of a measured breakthrough curve and theoretical curves a value for the overall masstransfer coefficient. In section III-3-d this value for four breakthrough curves, of which the measurement is described in section III-3-c is compared with an overall masstransfer coefficient calculated from the parameters described in paragraph III-2.

The second model (see section III-3-b) gives the possibility to calculate the mean residence time and the variance for a breakthrough curve. In section III-3-d the measured values are again compared with the values calculated by means of this model.

### III-3-a the model of Vermeulen

Vermeulen (H-2,3) has developed a model for an adsorption column, in which a component is transferred from the liquid phase into a solid granular material.

From t=0 the column is flown by a liquid with a solute (input concentration  $C_i$ ). The concentration of the component is calculated as a function of time and place in the column. The following assumptions are made:

- there is no axial dispersion in the column
- the concentration of the component is uniform in each particle (in the present case uniform in the solid phase in a cross section of the adsorber); all masstransfer is thus described with a constant masstransfer coefficient
- the concentration of the component in the liquid is uniform in a cross section of the column in each channel.

A material balance over a part dz of the column renders the following equations:

$$\epsilon_{e} \partial C / \partial t = -v_{o} \partial C / \partial z - k_{o} a_{f} (C - C^{*})$$
 III-3-1

for the liquid phase and

$$\rho_{\rm b}\partial q/\partial t = k_{\rm o} a_{\rm f} (C-C^{\rm H})$$
 III-3-2

- for the solid phase, in which
- $\varepsilon_{e}$  is the external porosity (volume of the liquid phase per unit volume of the column)
- C is the concentration in the liquid phase
- v is the superficial velocity
- $k_{\sim}$  is the overall masstransfer coefficient
- $a_f$  is the specific surface of the acc film  $(2\epsilon_p/d_1)$
- $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\rm b}$  is the weight of the carbon per unit volume of the column
- q is the concentration of the solute in the solid phase (given per unit weight of the carbon)
- $C^{\mathbf{H}}$  is the concentration of the solute in the liquid phase in equilibrium with the concentration in the solid phase

For the solution of these equations the following dimensionless variables are applied:

- X the dimensionless liquid concentration  $C/C_{i}$
- Y the dimensionless solid phase concentration  $q/q_i^{\mathbf{X}}$ , in which  $q_i^{\mathbf{X}}$  is the concentration, when the column is in equilibrium with  $C_i$
- $N_{\rm z}$  the number of masstransfer units:  $k_{\rm o}a_{\rm f}z/v_{\rm o}$
- 9 the time modulus or solution parameter:

$$\theta = k_o a_f C_i (t - \epsilon_e z / v_o) / \ell_b q_i^*$$

If  $C=f(\Theta,N)$  and  $\Theta=f(t,z)$ , N=f(z) then

 $\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \Theta} \cdot \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial N} \cdot \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} \text{ and } \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \Theta} \cdot \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial N} \cdot \frac{\partial N}{\partial z} \text{ III-3-3}$ By means of equation III-3-3 the equations III-3-1 and III-3-2 are transformed into

$$-(\partial X/\partial N)_{\Omega} = X - Y$$
 and  $(\partial Y/\partial \Theta)_{N} = X - Y$  III-3-4

The following initial and boundary conditions should be applied:

1) on t=0 q=0 for 0 < z < Lor  $\theta=0$  Y=0 all N<sub>z</sub>

2) on z=0 C=C<sub>i</sub> for t>0 or N<sub>z</sub>=0 X=1  $\Theta$ >0

The solution of these equations is (see also Mickley (H-27))  $X=J(N,\Theta)$  and  $Y=J(\Theta,N)$  III-3-5 with

$$J(v,t) = 1 - \int_{0}^{v} e^{-t - \xi} I_{0} 2\sqrt{t\xi} d\xi \qquad III - 3 - 6$$

in which  $\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathrm{O}}$  is the Bessel function of order zero and the first kind.

A similar equation can be derived for non linear equilibrium relations, in which case the equilibrium parameter r is introduced as defined by

$$Y^{H} = X/(r+(1-r)X)$$
 III-3-7

in which  $Y^{\mathbf{X}} = q^{\mathbf{X}}/q_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{X}}$ 

Using the adsorption isotherm (graph III-8b) it is found, that r=0,2.

For a number of values of r Vermeulen has calculated X as a function of N and O. In most cases, however, one is interested in the overall masstransfer coefficient for a column of known length, in which case  $N_L = k_0 a_f L / v_0$  is used instead of  $N_z$ 

Since both variables N and  $\Theta$  contain the factor  $k_0 a_f$  a new variable is introduced: the throughput parameter Z

$$Z=\Theta/N_{L}=C_{i}v_{o}(t-\epsilon_{e}L/v_{o})/L\ell_{b}q_{i}^{H}$$
 III-3-8

The parameter Z is unity when the column is passed by a volume, that is stoichiometrically equal to the adsorption capacity. This is easier to see, if equation III-3-8 is rewritten:

$$Z=C_{i}(V-\epsilon_{e}v)/\rho_{b}q_{i}^{H}v \qquad III-3-9$$

in which v is the volume of the column and V the volume of

64

the liquid, which passed the column. Vermeulen has plotted X at the output of a column as a function of Z with N and r as parameters. By means of curve fitting N can be found for a measured breakthrough curve (see also Perry (H-4)). In section III-3-d this method is used to find  $k_{off}$  for the measured breakthrough curves of section III-3-c. The values found this way are compared with the masstransfer and the diffusion coefficients described in paragraph III-2.

In graph III-15 X is plotted against Z for some values of N and for r=0,2.

#### III-3-b the model of Kucera

Kucera (H-5) has developed a model to predict the course of the concentration of a compound as a function of time and place in a section of a chromatographic column filled with porous grains of uniform size and the shape of among others infinite plates with a thickness d=2R.

Kucera, in contrast with Vermeulen, considered four mass transfer mechanisms (see figure III-3):



<u>a</u> diffusion and convection in the free volume <u>b</u> masstransfer from the free volume into the plates <u>c</u> diffusion in the pores of the plates <u>d</u> sorption at the wall of the pores. We can translate these masstransfer mechanisms in (see figure III-4): <u>ad\_a\_the\_diffusion\_and\_convection\_in\_the\_liquid\_film</u>

 $\delta C_1/\delta t + (v_0/\epsilon_e)(\delta C_1/\delta z) - D_p \delta^2 C_1/\delta z^2 = -\Phi_1 \text{ III-3-10}$  in which

- $C_{\tau}$  is the concentration in the liquid film
- t is the time
- v is the superficial velocity
- $\epsilon_{\rm e}$  is the external porosity of the acc film (volume of the liquid film per total volume of the column)

 $\phi_1$  is the masstransfer rate into the acc film

 $D_n$  is the diffusion coefficient



figure III-4 <u>the four mass-</u> transfer mechanisms in the film adsorber

in which

- $K_1$  is a massexchange coefficient: in our case  $K_1 = k_1 a_f / \epsilon_e$ in which
  - kl is the masstransfer coefficient as described in section III-2-c

 $a_f$  is the specific surface of the acc film  $(2\epsilon_p/d_1)$ 

- m1 is a equilibrium coefficient: we choose m1=1 (see for explanation section III-2-d)
- C<sub>f</sub> is the concentration in the film (given per unit volume of the film)

The massflux into the acc film is  $j_f = -D_f(\delta C_f/\delta x)_{x=R}$ , in which  $D_f$  is the diffusion coefficient in the acc film (see section III-2-d) and thus

$$\epsilon_{e} \phi_{1} = -j_{f} a_{f} = [(1 - \epsilon_{e}) D_{f} / R] (\delta C_{f} / \delta x)_{x=R} \qquad \text{III-3-12}$$

in which R is half of the thickness of the acc film ad\_c\_diffusion\_in the acc film

$$\delta C_f / \delta t - D_f \delta^2 C_f / \delta x^2 = -\Phi_c = \delta q / \delta t$$
 III-3-13

in which  $\Phi_{\rm C}$  is the sorption rate in the carbon particles. 66

ad d the masstransfer from the water in the acc film into the carbon particles

$$\Phi_{c} = K_{c}(m_{c}C_{f}-q) \qquad \text{III}-3-14$$

in which

- $K_{c}$  is a massexchange coefficient:  $k_{c}a_{c}$  (see section III-2-e)
- is the concentration of the compound in the carbon gia ven per unit volume of the water in the acc film and q is thus smaller then when it is given per unit volume of the carbon

m' is an equilibrium coefficient defined by

 $m_{c}^{\prime} = m_{c}g_{c}/\epsilon_{i}Lbd_{f}$ III-3-15 in which

 $m_{c}$  is the equilibrium coefficient as given by graph III-8b

 $g_{c}$  is the volume amount of carbon in the acc film

 $\epsilon_i$  is the internal porosity of the acc film Next to equation III-3-12 another boundary condition is needed for the calculation of the course of the concentration  $(\partial C_f / \partial x)_{x=0} = 0$ III-3-16

Furthermore the following initial conditions are needed:  $C_1(z,t)=C_f(x,z,t)=q(x,z,t)=0$  for t<0 and  $z=\pm\infty$  $C_{1}(z,t)=C_{1i}(z)$ for t=0 -...<z<+... 0<x<R III-3-17  $C_{f}(x,z,t)=C_{fi}(z)$  $q(x,z,t)=q_i(z)$ 

where  $C_{1i}(z)$ ,  $C_{fi}(z)$  and  $q_i(z)$  describe the initial distribution of the introduced compound.

Kucera applies now Laplace transformation defined by:

$$C^{\dagger} = \int_{O} Ce^{-pt} dt \qquad III-3-18$$

In general it is impossible to carry out the inverse transformation and to find an analytical expression for  $C_1$ . It

is, however, possible to calculate  $\mu_{\rm k}^{\, {\rm !}}$  (the k-th moment) of the function  $\rm C_1(t)$  defined by

$$\mu_k = u_k / u_0$$
 where  $u_k = \int_0^0 t^k C(t) dt$  III-3-19

Let  $\mu_k$  be the k-th central moment defined by

$$\mu_{k} = \frac{1}{u_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} (t - \mu_{1})^{k} C(t)$$
 III-3-20

Furthermore the following property of the Laplace transformation is known (see also v.d.Laan (H-28)):

$$(-1)^{k} \lim_{p=0} \frac{d^{k}C^{\dagger}(p)}{dp^{k}} = \int_{0}^{p} t^{k}C(t)dt \qquad \text{III-3-21}$$

In this way Kucera calculates the first five central moments. To compare the experimental results of the breakthrough experiments we used only the first and the second moment:

$$\begin{split} \mu_{1} &= [L \epsilon_{e} / v_{o} + 2D_{p} \epsilon_{e}^{2} / v_{o}^{2}] [1 + em_{1} (1+m_{c}^{*})] & \text{III-3-22} \\ \mu_{2} &= [2D_{p} L \epsilon_{e}^{3} / v_{o}^{3} + 8D_{p}^{2} \epsilon_{e}^{4} / v_{o}^{4}] [1 + em_{1} (1+m_{c}^{*})] + \\ &+ [2L \epsilon_{e} / v_{o} + 4D_{p} \epsilon_{e}^{2} / v_{o}^{2}] \times em_{1} \times & \text{III-3-23} \\ &\times [R^{2} (1+m_{c}^{*})^{2} / 3D_{f} + e(1+m_{c}^{*})^{2} / K_{1} + m_{c}^{*} / K_{c}] \\ \text{in which } e &= \epsilon_{i} (1-\epsilon_{e}) \epsilon_{e} \end{split}$$

By means of the following assumptions the equations

- III-3-22 and III-3-23 will be simplified:
- The terms with  $D_p$  are to be neglected. For example the order of magnitude of  $L\epsilon_e/v_o$  is one minute and that of  $2D_p(\epsilon_e/v_o)^2$  is  $10^{-4}$  minutes -  $m_c^2/K_c \ll R^2(1+m_c^2)^2/3D_f$ .  $m_c^2/K_c$  has an order of magnitude of
- $m_c^{\prime}/K_c \ll R^2 (1+m_c^{\prime})^2/3D_f$ .  $m_c^{\prime}/K_c$  has an order of magnitude of 20 and  $R^2 (1+m_c^{\prime})^2/3D_f$  of 10<sup>3</sup> (see section III-2-e) -  $m_c^{\prime} \gg 1$  since  $m_c^{\prime}$  is about 100

The following formulas for the first and second moment result:

$$\mu_{1}^{\prime} = \epsilon_{1}(1 - \epsilon_{e}) \operatorname{Lm}_{c}^{\prime} / v_{0} \qquad \text{III}-3-24$$

$$\mu_2 = 2L \epsilon_1 (1 - \epsilon_e) m_c' (R^2 / 3D_f + e / K_1) / v_0 \qquad \text{III} - 3 - 25$$

In section III-3-d calculations with these equations are compared with the experimental values of section III-3-c. For the experimental values the equations III-3-19 and III-3-20 were used.

#### III-3-c the measurement of the breakthrough curves

For the measurement of the breakthrough curves the set up of figure III-5 was used.



## figure III-5 the measurement of the breakthrough curves

From vessel V a solution of the model solute was led by pump P through a coil O (to adjust the temperature) and through the film adsorber F into a calibrated cylinder C. At different times samples were drawn from the output of the film adsorber and the volume of the output was measured. Three kinds of experiments were performed:

- <u>a</u> An aqueous creatinine solution with a volumetric flow rate of 30 ml/min was led through the film adsorber at  $25^{\circ}C$  (input concentration 0,1 g/l).
- <u>b</u> An aqueous creatinine solution (0,1 g/l) was led through a film adsorber with a volumetric flowrate of 100 ml/min at 25°C. At the moment, that the film adsorber was saturated the input concentration was suddenly increased up to 0,2 g/l. This last step was done to compare the amounts adsorbed at the saturation points with the adsorption isotherm. This last step is, however, not included in the theoretical analysis.

<u>c</u> Bovine blood was led through the film adsorber with a volumetric flowrate of 60 ml/min at 37°C. The input creatinine concentration was 0,2 g/l and the input urate concentration was 0,1 g/l.

For these experiments three different adsorbers were used of which the data are given in table III-8-a. The output concentrations are shown in graph III-13 and the quantities, that were adsorbed in graph III-14.



## III-3-d conclusions from the breakthrough curves

The parameters needed for the interpretation of the breakthrough curves are given in table III-8-a. <u>the model of Vermeulen</u> By means of these parameters the curve fitting procedure, as described in section III-3-a, is applied (see graph

III-15).



In this way we find the number of masstransfer units and a value for the overall masstransfer coefficient as shown in table III-8-b.

These values can be compared with the masstransfer coefficient as described in paragraph III-2 by means of the following equation:

 $1/K = 1/k_1 a_f + d_f / 2D_f a_f$  III-3-26 In this equation the term for the masstransfer in the car-

bon particles is neglected. The use of  $\frac{1}{2}d_f$  is of course an arbitrary decision, since the penetration deepness will change during the course of the experiment.

The values for K calculated by means of equation III-3-26 are shown in table III-8-b. It is seen, that the calculated K is only a indication for the practical value and also the determined value for the number of masstransfer units is only an indication for the real value.

This is to be expected because of the assumptions made during the development of the model. Especially the assumption of a constant masstransfer coefficient does influence the result.

the model of Kucera

Since we have no adsorption isotherm measured for uric acid, we used the measured value of the first moment to calculate the equilibrium constant  $m_c^i$  by means of equation III-3-24. For creatinine this value of  $m_c^i$  can be compared with the value calculated by means of equation III-3-15 (also by means of the adsorption isotherm). This last value of  $m_c^i$  was used to calculate the value of  $\mu_2$  by means of equation III-3-25.

 $\mu_2$  (calculated) is again compared with the measured value of  $\mu_2$  from the breakthrough curves. All these values are shown in table III-8-c. As seen from this table the two values for m' are in good agreement, whereas for the two values of  $\mu_2$  only the order of magnitude is well.

### Comparison of the two models

The model of Vermeulen is a good means to get an impression of the number of masstransfer units. Since the model is simple as compared with the Kucera model, it is easy to handle in practical circumstances.

The model of Kucera gives a good value for the mean residence time, if as in the case of creatinine the equilibrium constant is known. The values of  $\mu_2$  give only an order of magnitude.

Since it was only possible to get an rough impression of the number of masstransfer units and the residence time by means of these models, we did not try to optimize the film adsorber.

#### table III-8 the breakthrough curves

a <u>the</u> <u>adsorbers</u>				
parameters	III-3-ca	III-3-cb	III-3-cc	III-3-cc
		c	reatinine	uricacid
$L.10^2$ m	9,6	9,6	9,6	9,6
b m	10	8,3	9	9
$d_{f} \cdot 10^{6}$ m	155	155	150	150
$d_1.10^6$ m	34	74	62	62
τ ε <sub>Δ</sub>	0,18	0,324	0,293	0,293
ε i	0,65	0,65	0,65	0,65
$v_0$ .10 <sup>4</sup> m/sec	2,5	8,2	4,8	4,8
C, g/1	0,1	0,1	0,2	0,1
g_ cm <sup>3</sup>	25,9	21,6	22,9	22,9
qi g/gram carbon	0,06	0,06	0,07	0,045
$a_{f}^{-10^{-2}} m^{-1}$	102	85	93	93
$D_{f} \cdot 10^{9} m^{2} / sec$	0,425	0,425	0,337	0,228
$k_1$ .10 <sup>5</sup> m/sec	9,3	4,3	3,2	2,6
h the model of Ve	armeulen			ά.
$7/t_{10}^{5} \text{ sec}^{-1}$	3.11	11.9	11.4	8.9
N_	6	4	3	2,5
$k_{a} = 10^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$	1.5	4.2	1.5	1,25
$K.10^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ (calculated	1	,		
by III-3-26)	5,0	4,2	3,1	2,9
c the model of Ku	ucera			
$\mu_1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ sec	25,2	7,4	8,7	10,5
$m_{1}^{*}$ (from $\mu_{1}^{*}$ )	125	142	93	112
m; (from graph III-8b)	141	148	90	
$\mu_2.10^{-7}$ sec <sup>2</sup> (by means				
of theory)	3,7	1,23	1,15	2,4
$\mu_2.10^{-7} \text{sec}^2 \text{ (measured)}$	11,5	1,55	5,57	11,3

#### CHAPTERIV

#### PRECLINICAL ANALYSIS OF THE FILM ADSORBER

#### IV-1 Adsorption of some metabolites and ions from blood

In chapter III experiments have been described with model solutes. Now the adsorption of some metabolites from bovine blood will be described. For this purpose we used the experimental set up as sketched in figure IV-1.



figure IV-1 the experimental set up for the recirculation of a liquid through the film adsorber

From vessel V (containing 5 litres of bovine blood) blood was recirculated by means of roller pump P through a coil O (to adjust the temperature at  $39^{\circ}$ C) and film adsorber F. The levels of creatinine, urate and urea were elevated respectively up to 0,16 g/l, 0,08 g/l and 1 g/l. The volumetric flowrate was set at 60 ml/min. Each 15 minutes a sample of 10 ml was drawn from the vessel. For urate, creatinine, glucose and total protein the concentration is shown in graph IV-1 as a function of time. The adsorption of K<sup>+</sup>, HCO<sub>3</sub>, Ca<sup>++</sup>, phosphate and urea was not detectable.

The initial clearance of uric acid, creatinine and glucose was respectively 31 ml/min, 50 ml/min and 7 ml/min, while in 200 minutes 0,3 g, 0,6 g and 0,95 g was respectively adsorbed of these solutes. Equilibrium was reached for total protein within two hours (when 11 g was adsorbed).





#### IV-2 Adsorption of albumin

In the experiment described in paragraph IV-1, it was found, that 11 g of total protein was adsorbed by the film adsorber. It is to be expected, that about the same amount will be adsorbed, when the film adsorber is used for the removal of poisons from human blood.

We therefore performed some experiments with albumin, to find out wether the adsorption takes place at the collodion or at the carbon particles.

To find out, which possibility is true, the following three cartridges were used in the experimental set up as sketched in figure IV-1:

- <u>1</u> a cartridge (described in section II-2-c) filled with 100 acc sheets
- 2 a cartridge filled with 100 collodion sheets
- 3 the adsorber with the film roll



- a + adsorption by collodion and acc sheets
- a × adsorption by the film adsorber
- b circulation without adsorber
- c o adsorption of inulin
- d □ adsorption after saturation with dextran

graph IV-2 <u>the adsorp-</u> tion of albumin

Through each of these appararatus 3 litres of an albumin solution was recirculated at  $25^{\circ}C$  (initial concentration in each experiment 0,5 g/l). The albumen concentration in the vessel was measured after different time intervals (see graph IV-2).

We used the sheet adsorber, because it is easier to make collodion sheets, than to make a collodion film roll. One must, however, be careful to draw conclusions from the experiments  $\underline{1}$  and  $\underline{2}$ , because

- the sheet adsorber gives no reproduceable results (as described in section III-2-c)
- the free carbon at the edges of the sheets might influence the results.

In spite of these reasons the experimental data of the three experiments lead to the same curve (curve a in graph IV-2).

The decrease in concentration of the albumen is not the result of the albumin biodegradation as follows from the

curve b in graph IV-2, which shows the albumin concentration as a function of time during recirculation under the same conditions but without the adsorber in the circuit. The conclusion is, that the adsorption takes place at the collodion and that no albumen is adsorbed at the activated carbon. It is, however, well known that also in the hemodialyser adsorption takes place at the semipermeable membrane.

The adsorption of inulin by means of the sheet adsorber under the same conditions is given in graph IV-2 for comparison (curve c), from which can be concluded, that in this case the adsorption takes place at the carbon particles as well.

To diminish the adsorption at the acc film we carried out the following experiment:

Half a litre of a dextran solution was recirculated during a couple of hours through a film adsorber. Thereafter the dextran solution was exchanged for 3 litres of an albumen solution (initial concentration 0,5 g/l) and the concentration in the vessel was measured as a function of time. As is seen from curve d of graph IV-2 the adsorption capacity was decreased by 50% under these conditions. We did not try to optimize this result by applying different kinds of dextran and different kinds of concentrations. Neither did we study the effect of dextran, when blood is flown through the adsorber.

#### IV-3 Hemolysis caused by the film adsorber

In order to measure hemolysis during recirculation of bovine blood through the film adsorber the same experimental set up was used as described in the last paragraph. Hemolysis can be detected by measuring the free hemoglobin level.

We found that if bovine blood was stored at 4°C some hemolysis occured. The daily increase of the free hemoglobin level under these conditions was about 0,02 mmol/l. Besides the red blood cells became weaker, so that we measured an enormous hemolysis, when we used bovine blood, that was stored a couple of days.

We decided therefore to use fresh heparinized blood. Two experiments (each with half a litre of blood) were performed: one with a volumetric flowrate of 30 ml/min and an other with a volumetric flowrate of 60 ml/min and both at  $37^{\circ}$ C. Once every 10 minutes samples were drawn from the vessel. The course of the free hemoglobin level is shown in graph IV-3. This level varies in the human body between 0,003 and 0,025 mmol/1, while levels up to 0,05 are acceptable. These values are found after sampling, which might cause hemolysis as well.



× 30 ml/min

∘ 60 ml/min

graph IV-3 <u>the hemo-</u> <u>lysis caused by the</u> film adsorber

It might be possible that not all free hemoglobin is detected during the experiments, because the film adsorber might adsorb hemoglobin.

To that end solutions with various hemoglobin levels were prepared by means of dilution of hemolysed blood with plasma. The solutions were shaken with acc sheets. Before and after the shaking the hemoglobin levels were measured and no significant difference could be detected, which indicates that adsorption of hemoglobin at the collodion is negligible.

Since we found no increase of the free hemoglobin level

during circulation of bovine blood through the circuit without the film adsorber, the slight hemolysis, that was detected during circulation of bovine blood in the above described experiments, is probably caused by the film adsorber. This amount of hemolysis is, however, of no importance.

### IV-4 <u>Release of carbon particles and glass beads by the</u> film adsorber

The release of glass beads has never been noticed during the experiments. This is also hardly to be expected, because they cannot pass between the windings of the roll, since their mean size is four to five times that of the mean distance between the windings.

It might be possible for a released glass bead to escape from the adsorber (the design, that we used - see figure II-1), although the settling rate of a glass bead is one cm/sec and the rate of the blood is 0,1 cm/sec during the leaving of the roll. The rate of the blood is, however, 8,5 cm/sec in the outlet of the adsorber. A better design of the outlet is sketched in figure IV-2.

figure IV-2 <u>a design of the</u> outlet, through which the glass beads can not pass

However also with this design small particles with a settling rate smaller than 0,1 cm/sec could be transported by the blood flow and not be noticed because of their small size.

In order to detect a possible release of carbon particles the experimental set up of figure IV-1 was used again. A filter, through which the particles could not pass, was placed after the film adsorber. Half a litre of a saline solution was recirculated through the film adsorber with a flowrate of 100 ml/min. After eight hours the film adsorber was replaced by an other one and the same solution was recirculated again.

The first adsorber was rinsed with twenty litres of water before use, while the second was immediately used after assembling.

Through a third adsorber an aqueous solution of glycerol with a viscosity of 20 cp was recirculated with a volumetric flowrate of 100 ml/min.

No particles were found on the filter after the adsorbers. Our conclusion is therefore, that the film adsorber does not release carbon particles direct after assembling. Neither does the rinsing of the film adsorber cause a release of carbon particles. Also when higher viscosities (as in blood) are used, we arrive at the same conclusion.



picture IV-1 <u>the</u> acc film surface (390x)



picture IV-2 the acc film surface <u>3900x</u>



picture IV-3 <u>the</u> acc film\_surface 4300x The pictures IV-1, IV-2 and IV-3 give evidence, that it is realy unlikely, that carbon particles are released from the acc film. These pictures were made by means of a scanning electron microscope after drying of the acc film.and they give an enlargement of the acc film surface (IV-1: 390x, IV-2: 3900x, IV-3: 4300x).

Picture IV-3 was taken after that the electron beam was burned into the acc film.

The pictures show that the outside of the acc film consists of an collodion layer covering the carbon particles, as was expected from the way of production. This collodion layer has a thickness of about 0,1  $\mu$  (in the dry film) and it has no edges.

#### IV-5 The competition effect

It was suggested, that there might be a competition for adsorption between different metabolic products, so that one metabolite might supersede an other. This is very likely at high concentrations, but hardly to be expected at the relatively low concentrations of the metabolites in the blood.

Already van Leer (C-4) did not find a significant competition effect. Only when he added a large amount of creatinine to a suspension of carbon in a glucose solution he found a desorption of glucose. He did not found, however, that glucose caused desorption of creatinine.

To detect a possible competition effect in the film adsorber we used again the experimental set up sketched in figure IV-1. The vessel was filled with half a litre of a saline creatinine solution (1 g/l) and the circulation throughput was 100 ml/min. The equilibrium liquid concentration was 0,014 g/l.

Next 1 g of glucose was added to the solution in the vessel. After 6 hours the creatinine concentration was still 0,014 g/l. Also the addition of 6 g urea to the solution in the vessel did not change the creatinine concentration. In section IV-1 was already shown, that there is no influence on the adsorption of creatinine by other metabolites. Our conclusion is that the competition effect does not have an important influence.

#### IV-6 The adsorption of barbiturates by the film adsorber

In general exogenous poisons will be good adsorbed at activated carbon because of their relatively high molecular weight. Among others Chang (E-7, E-14), Yatzidis (C-9) and Widdop (J-8) mention the good adsorption qualities of an activated carbon containing system for a lot of pharmaca. Some experiments were performed to prove the applicability of the film adsorber for the removal of these pharmaca from blood. We used phenobarbital and secobarbital, because these barbiturates have a different adsorption capacity at the plasma proteins as is seen in appendix 7. In this appendix some other data about barbiturates are given as well.

We used the sodium form of the mentioned barbiturates. All concentrations are related to that form.

In section IV-6-a the measurement of the adsorption isotherms of the barbiturates at free carbon is described and in section IV-6-b some experiments with the film adsorber.

## IV-6-a the adsorption isotherms of barbiturates at free carbon

The adsorption isotherms were determined as described in section III-2-a and the measurements were performed in water, plasma and blood. The adsorption isotherms are plot-



ted for water and plasma in graph IV-4 and graph IV-5. The measurement of the concentrations involves the measurement of the amount of barbiturate in a unit volume of dispersion (see appendix 2). This holds for the measurement in blood too. As the barbiturates will hardly enter the blood cells the concentration in the plasma is twice as high as the measured concentration if the hematocrit amounts to 50. It is the concentration in the plasma, which is the real equilibrium concentration.

This is in agreement with the graphs IV-4 and IV-5, as the measurements with blood fit with the measurements with plasma after correction for the hematocrit.

Barbiturates are also adsorbed by plasma proteins. In appendix 7 the percentage of adsorption is given as found by Goldbaum (J-7). The adsorption at activated carbon will be

influenced by this phenomenom. At equilibrium the amount, that is adsorbed, will be in equilibrium with the concentration of free barbiturate. This holds if the amount of protein, that is adsorbed at the carbon is negligible. By means of the concentration measurement total barbiturate concentrations are measured, thus inclusive the barbiturates adsorbed at the proteins. We can therefore calculate the percentage of this adsorption from the graphs IV-4 and IV-5.

If one reads the value of the equilibrium concentration in water at a specific amount of barbiturate adsorbed at the carbon, this value must be the same as the concentration of free barbiturate in plasma. In that case the rest of the total liquid concentration is the concentration of the barbiturate caused by adsorption to the proteins. When we carry out this procedure in the mentioned graphs, we see, that the percentage of the barbiturate adsorbed at the proteins is not constant and mostly higher than the percentages found by Goldbaum (see appendix 7).

We have no explanation for this phenomenom. A possible explanation might be that the result of the concentration measurement depends on the medium in which it is carried out. We checked this, but found that the concentration measurement in plasma and blood agree very well with that of water as can be seen from graph IV-6. In this graph the measured extinction (see appendix 2) is plotted against adjusted concentrations.



#### IV-6-b experiments with the film adsorber

For these experiments the same set up was used as in the preceding paragraphs. The vessel was filled with 5 litres of a barbiturate solution and the volumetric flowrate was 100 ml/min. The experiment was performed with secobarbital and phenobarbital both in a buffered saline solution with a pH of 7 and in blood.

The course of the concentrations in the vessel is shown in graph IV-7. In table IV-1 the clearance (as calculated from this graph) and the total amount adsorbed are given as a function of time.



For secobarbital and phenobarbital in water the initial clearances are 99 and 97,5 ml/min and in blood 51 and 66,5 ml/min respectively. The clearance from blood is less than that from water, which is the consequence of the adsorption at the proteins.

Lassen (J-2) found a mean clearance with forced diuresis

Table IV-1 The adsorption of barbiturates by the film adsorber

the adsorption from an aqueous solution

	phe	enobarbi	ital	_					
t	C	Cf	Cl	q	t	C	Cf	Cl	q
0	0,200	_		0	0	0,1000			0
5	0,181			0,095	5	0,0867	0,0200	97,5	0,066
15	0,150	0,0133	99	0,250	16	0,0665	0,0240	86,5	0,166
25	0,126	0,0166	98,5	0,370	26	0,0504	0,0069	86	0,248
37	0,103	0,1711	98,5	0,485	46	0,0423	0,0106	80	0,228
60	0,073	0,0180	75	0,635	60	0,0312	0,0117	68,5	0,344
75	0,060	0,0155	79	0,700	115	0,0161	0,0045	72	0,419
90	0,043	0,0104	76	0,785	140	0,0111	0,0034	96,5	0,444
120	0,024	0,0060	75	0,880	181	0,0070	0,0034	51,5	0,465
205	0,009	0,0047	50	0,955	220	0,0050	0,0024	52	0,475

the adsorption from bovine blood

	phe	enobarbi	ital			seco	barbita	L	
t	C	Cf	Cl	q	t	C	Cf	Cl	q
0	0,100	-		0	0	0,100	0,034	66	0 .
14	0,087	0,0425	51,2	0,066	12	0,090	0,038	58	0,05
25	0,082	0,0432	55,4	0,090	18	0,080	0,047	43,7	0,10
35	0,065	0,0284	56,3	0,175	24	0,075	0,047	40,1	0,125
60	0,055	0,0244	61	0,225	35	0,070	0,047	36,8	0,15
75	0,044	0,022	57	0,28	50	0,070	0,046	31,1	0,15
82	0,034	0,018	61,5	0,33	61	0,060	0,044	27	0,2
100	0,032	0,016	56,5	0,34	75	0,060	0,046	23	0,2
150	0,027	0,013	41	0,36	80	0,055	0,043	22	0,225

t is the time (min);  $C_c$  is the concentration in the container (g/l);  $C_f$  is the concentration in the output of the film adsorber calculated from  $C_c$ ; Cl is the clearance (ml/min); q is the adsorbed amount. The volumetric flowrate through the adsorber was 100 ml/min

and the temperature was  $37^{\circ}C$ .

of 8 ml/min and 18 ml/min of phenobarbital and secobarbital respectively. Trautman (J-1) gives for the clearance with a dialyser (membrane surface 1,3 m<sup>2</sup> and volumetric flow rate 200 ml/min) a value of 25 ml/min.

As the clearances found in this study are much higher, the film adsorber seems to be an improvement for the removal of barbiturates.

The clearances may be further elevated by the use of a larger exchanging surface, which can be done without objections because of the small priming volume of the film adsorber.

As the film adsorber does not influence the pH-, the water or the ionbalance of the body fluids, a minimal medical care is needed during the detoxification.

Activated carbon will adsorb organic solutes with a molecular weight above 100 (see section II-1-a). The film adsorber will therefore also remove other poisons. Especially for patients with acute hepatic failure the adsorber might have prospects (see also Gazzard (J-9,10)).

## IV-7 The simultaneous use of the film adsorber and a dialyser

In the treatment of uremic patients with a hemodialyser the poor extraction of the metabolites of medium molecular weight (200-5000) is more and more considered as the limiting factor for the dialysis and in fact determines the duration of the dialysis treatment.

Probably the simultaneous use of the film adsorber and the hemodialyser, which is very well possible because of the small priming volume and the large exchanging surface of the film adsorber, gives new prospects. Because the film adsorber eliminates these socalled middle molecules faster than the dialyser as will be shown in this paragraph, the time needed for the dialysis treatment can be reduced. The duration of the treatment will then be fixed by the time

needed to remove urea and therefore will depend on the sensitivity of the patient for the desequilibrium syndrome. Section IV-7-a describes the use of the film adsorber and the dialyser in series connection. The course of the concentration of urea, creatinine, uric acid and bromsulfophthaleine was measured during recirculation of a solution of these compounds.

Section IV-7-b describes the use of the film adsorber and the dialyser in parallel connection. The course of the concentration of urea, creatinine and bromsulfophthaleine was measured.

Conclusions from these experiments are drawn in section  $\ensuremath{\text{IV-7-c}}\xspace.$ 

# IV-7-a series connection of the film adsorber and the dialyser

The set up sketched in figure IV-3 was used. Vessel V is filled with 5 litres of an aqueous solution of urea, creatinine, uric acid and bromsulfothaleine (BSP). The initial concentrations were: urea 1 g/l, creatinine 0,2 g/l, uric acid 0,1 g/l and BSP 0,1 g/l.



figure	IV-3	the	seri	es	con-
nection	n of t	the f	<b>i</b> lm	ads	or-
ber and	i a di	ialys	ser		

By means of pump P the solution was led from the vessel through a coil O (to adjust the temperature at  $37^{\circ}$ C) and the dialyser D with a volumetric flowrate of 208 ml/min. After the dialyser the flow was split up: 100 ml/min was led through the film adsorber F back into the reservoir; the remaining part was returned directly into the reservoir. The dialysate (temperature  $37^{\circ}$ C) was led through the dialyser with a volumetric flowrate of 504 ml/min.

A single pass hemodialyser of the coil type with closed dialysate side and a membrane area of 0,8 m<sup>2</sup> was used. Samples were drawn at the points 1, 2, 3 and 4 (see figure IV-3) and the concentrations in these samples were measured and they are shown in graph IV-8 for urea, IV-9 for creatinine, IV-10 for uric acid and IV-11 for BSP. The course of the urea concentration in the vessel is calculated from the concentrations after the dialyser and the film adsorber.







The experimental set up sketched in figure TV-4 was used. Vessel V contains 5 litres of an aqueous solution of urea (2,0 g/l), creatinine (0,2 g/l) and BSP (0,1 g/l). By means of pump P the solution was led through coil 0 to ad-



figure IV-4 <u>the parallel</u> connection of the film adsorber and a dialyser

just the temperature at  $39^{\circ}$ C. The stream was then split up: one part flows through dialyser D (215 ml/min) and the other part flows through adsorber F (110 ml/min). After passage through the dialyser and the film adsorber the streams are led back into the vessel. The volumetric flow rate of the dialysate ( $37^{\circ}$ C) was 540 ml/min. Samples were drawn at the points 1, 2, 3 and 4 (see figure IV-4). The measured concentrations are shown in graph IV-12 (urea), graph IV-13 (creatinine) and graph IV-14 (BSP).



in the vessel
after the dialyser
after the dialyser
in the dialysate

graph IV-12 the removal of urea - parallel connection

## IV-7-c conclusions from the experiments with the simultaneous use of the film adsorber and a dialyser

<u>1</u> The <u>amounts</u> of the solutes, which are removed from the solutions are given in table IV-2. After 100 minutes already 2,85 g of urea, 0,77 g of creatinine, 0,4 g of uric acid and 0,27 g of BSP was removed from the solution in case of the series connection. In the case of the parallel connection 6,5 g of urea, 0,9 g of creatinine and 0,38 g



of BSP is removed after 100 minutes.

<u>Urea</u> is removed in a larger amount in the case of the parallel connection, because the initial concentration was two times higher than in the experiment with the series connection.

There was no significant difference between the in and output urea concentration in the case of the parallel connection and our conclusion is, that the adsorption of urea does not have an important contribution to the removal of urea.

<u>Creatinine</u> is removed in a larger amount in the case of the parallel connection because of the higher input concentration of the adsorber. The difference between the two experiments is, however, not important in view of the large amount of creatinine, that is adsorbed. This holds especially, since the removal of urea will fix the time needed for the dialysis treatment.

In the case of the parallel connection the film adsorber released again creatinine after 75 minutes. The total clearance, however, still remains positive, since the dialyser still removes creatinine. In vivo experiments will not show this phenomenom, since the cells of the body will continuously supply creatinine.

<u>BSP</u> is also removed in a larger amount in the case of the parallel connection. We have no explanation for this phenomenom.

There is no significant difference between the input and the output BSP concentration of the dialyser in the case of the parallel connection and our conclusion is, that the dialyser has no significant contribution to the removal of middle molecules from blood.

2 The <u>clearance</u> for the different solutes in the two experiments are shown in table IV-3.

Three different clearances can be defined: one for the dialyser  $(Cl_d)$ , one for the film adsorber  $(Cl_f)$  and a total clearance  $(Cl_+)$ .

94

In the case of parallel connection  $Cl_{\pm} = Cl_{\pm} + Cl_{d}$ . In the case of series connection, however, the following equation has to be applied:

 $Cl_{t} = Q_{d}(C_{i} - (C_{do} + C_{fo})/2)/C_{i}$ IV-1 in which  $Q_d$  is the volumetric flowrate through the dialyser  $C_{i}$  is the input concentration of the dialyser  $C_{do}$  is the output concentration of the dialyser  $C_{fo}$  is the output concentration of the film adsorber. The film adsorber has a great influence on the total clearance of the middle molecules, but also on the total clearance of the solutes with a molecular weight between 100 and 200. The film adsorber is therefore a useful addition to the dialyser, especially since the film adsorber does not have

an important effect on the clearance of urea and therefore does not increase the risk for the desequilibrium syndrome.

table	IV-2	the	qua	antities	remov	ved	in	the	case	of	the	<u>si-</u>
multan	eous	use	of	dialyser	and	fil	m	adsoi	rber			

seri	es_conn	ection					
t		q <sub>d</sub>				q <sub>f</sub>	
	creatin	ine uri	c acid	BSP	creatinine	uric acid	BSP
5	0,061	C	,007	0,0052	0,050	0,022	0,017
10	0,106	C	,016	0,0099	0,080	0,040	0,031
30	0,204	C	,047	0,0243	0,155	0,095	0,079
60	0,277	C	,079	0,0445	0,272	0,143	0,137
105	0,393	C	,117	0,0863	0,394	0,179	0,195
para	all <u>el_co</u>	nnectic	m				
t		q <sub>d</sub>		q	f		
	urea	creatin	nine	creatin	ine BSP		
10	1,74	0,12	20	0,17	5 0,062		
30	3,84	0,2	55	0,38	0 0,185		

0,482

0,475

0,282

0,361

60 5,64

105 6.82

0,348

0.41

table IV-2 continued

total removal (q<sub>t</sub>)

ser	ies connect:	ion	parallel	connection	
t	creatinine	uric acid	BSP	creatinir	ie BSP
10	0,186	0,056	0,041	0,295	0,062
30	0,359	0,083	0,103	0,635	0,1855
60	0,549	0,212	0,182	0,830	0,282
105	0,787	0,290	0,282	0,885	0,361

the time is given in minutes and q is given in grams.

table IV-3 the clearances in the case of the simultaneous use of the film adsorber and a dialyser

<u>series\_connection</u>

t	uric	<u>aci</u>	Ld	crea	atini	ine		BSP	
	Cl <sub>d</sub>	Clf	C1,	Cld	Clf	cı <sub>t</sub>	Cl <sub>d</sub>	Clf	Cl <sub>t</sub>
5	24	59	61	61	79	119	8,7	34	40
10	26	64	70	57	61	104	10,1	30	43
15	31	62	82	53	53	94	9,5	31	40
30	31	62	84	52	63	100	10,8	30	43
60	28	55	75	51	70	106	11	28	43
105	31	41	68	71	61	116	10	23	50


t	crea	atin	ine	BSP	urea
5	сı	$Cl_{f}$	$cl_t$		
5	83	109	192	85	103
10	69	107	175	79	90
20	61	104	165	75	77
40	65	86	151	74	74
60	67	39	106	76	50
90	69	<del>-</del> 22	47	65	32
120	71	-26	45	66	

the clearances are given in ml/min

#### CHAPTER V

#### CONCLUSIONS

#### 1 the preparation of the acc\_film

We have developed an apparatus to prepare the acc film. This apparatus operates fully automatically. The produced films have a constant thickness and breadth.

#### 2 the flow distribution

When flown by water the pressuredrop over the adsorber can be described by the formula for the pressure drop over a slit for a Newtonian fluid.

When flown by blood the pressuredrop over the adsorber can be described by the formula for the pressuredrop over a slit for a Cassonian fluid with the assumption of a marginal plasma layer.

The film adsorber has no dead corners or short circuits of importance.

#### 3 the masstransfer mechanisms in the film adsorber

A reasonable approximation of the number of masstransfer units can be made by the model of Vermeulen. The mean residence time of a solute can be calculated by means of the model of Kucera for breakthrough curves.

#### 4 the adsorption\_capacity of the film adsorber

All metabolites are good adsorbed by the film adsorber. The only exception is urea.

If the daily production of creatinine in men is 1 g and the concentration in the blood of uremic patients is about 0,2 g/l, the film adsorber can remove the production of two days.

When the film adsorber is used simultaneously with a hemodialyser, only a part of the daily production has to be adsorbed. In that case the adsorption capacity reaches
#### for the treatment twice a week.

#### 5 the adsorption of albumen

When bovine blood is flown through the film adsorber about 10 g of albumen will be adsorbed until equilibrium is reached. This equilibrium is reached within two hours, when the flow rate is 60 ml/min. When the adsorber is flown previously by a dextran solution the adsorption capacity for albumen can be halved.

# 6 the hemolysis in the film adsorber

We found, that the hemolysis caused by the film adsorber is negligible. We have not measured the damage to thrombocytes and leukocytes as caused by the film adsorber.

#### 7 the release of particles by the film\_adsorber

We found no release of any particles by the film adsorber. This was neither to be expected because of the way of production. Nor had it been expected (because of the same reason) that carbon particles lay bare at the film surface. This is proven by the pictures of the acc film surface in paragraph IV-1. The experiment with albumen gives also an indication in that direction.

#### 8 the competition effect

As was expected with the relatively small concentrations in blood, we found no competition effect for adsorption.

# 9 the removal of poisons (other than for cases of renal insufficiency)

We have shown, that the film adsorber is an excellent means to remove barbiturates from blood. Since it has already been shown by other authors, that also many other poisons are good adsorbed at activated carbon, it is to be expected, that the good adsorption of the film adsorber is

not restricted to barbiturates only.

Also in cases of acute hepatic failure the film adsorber might be a good assistance for the treatment. Advantages of the film adsorber are the quick applicability and the minimal care needed during the treatment.

10 the simultaneous use of the film adsorber and a dialyser We have shown, that the film adsorber is a very useful addition to the dialyser.

Not only the clearances of the molecules with a molecular weight between 100 and 200 are very much increased, but especially the removal of the middle molecules is no longer a restriction for the dialysis treatment. Since the clearance of urea is not increased, this clearance will fix the time needed for the treatment. The clearance by the film adsorber is for most solutes higher than the clearance by the film adsorber. This is a consequence of the small liquid film thickness of the film adsorber, the large water content of the acc film, the small penetration depth (at least at the beginning of the treatment) and the large exchanging surface.

#### 11 sterilisation of the film adsorber

It is obvious, that no gas sterilisation can be applied. The materials used in the design of the film adsorber are able to stand up against steam sterilisation. We have not looked at sterilisation by means of y rays. To us, however, this seems very good possible.

#### 12 optimization of the film\_adsorber

In our experiments we always used the same dimensions of the film adsorber. It will be clear, however, that these dimensions can be chosen at will: the width of the film (and therewith the length of the roll) can be altered as well as the number of windings in the roll. The distance between the windings can be adjusted by choosing different diameters of the glass beads. These three parameters (width of the film, number of windings and the spacing between the separate windings) can be used to arrive at optimal conditions in which adsorption capacity, masstransfer rate (or clearance) and pressuredrop are the quantities to be optimized. Also the materials of the film adsorber can be varied. The glass beads have a bare surface of 140 cm<sup>2</sup> in the total adsorber. It is possible, that this surface causes too much blood damage (platelets). An other material e.g. polystyrene or a polyacrylate can be used. The same holds for the collodion. An other material can be used. One has, however, to reckon with the way of production.

13 extensions of the film\_adsorber

As mentioned a film adsorber based only on adsorption at activated carbon, can never totally replace the hemodialyser. The principle of the film adsorber can, however, also be applied to ionexchangers. These ionexchangers might be needed for the removal of urea (the urease might be adsorbed at the ionexchangers), for the removal of  $NH_4^+$  in cases of hepatic failure, but also for the removal of ionic poisons.

The design of the film adsorber can also be used in the hemodialyser with recirculation of the dialysate. For the total replacement of the dialyser an ultrafiltrator has to be added for the removal of water.

#### APPENDICES

# appendix 1 the analysis of "Merck" activated carbon and "Ketjen" cracking catalyst

a the carbon

	A % wt	B % wt	C % wt
soluble in water	2	2	
soluble in HCl	8		
Ce	0,01		
so <sub>4</sub> -	0,04	0,035	0
Pb etc	0,005		
Fe	0,01		
Zn	0,001		
loss by drying	8	4,5	

In column A the analysis is shown as given by the manufacturer. Column B shows our measurements. To remove the pollution in the carbon, it was repeatedly washed by water until the water had no measurable conductivity anymore. The activated carbon contained no free  $SO_4^{--}$  anymore after this procedure as is seen in column C. The carbon was washed before experiments examining the adsorption qualities were performed. Some other data are given below.

mean particle diameter	40	μm	
specific surface	710	cm <sup>2</sup> /	cm <sup>3</sup> (by BET method)
internal porosity (fraction of			
empty volume)	0,4		
bulk porosity	0,6		by liquid
density of the solid	1,66	g/cm <sup>3</sup>	titration;
density of the particles	1	g/cm <sup>3</sup>	Innes (K-1)
density of the barticites	1	g/UII	1

b the cracking catalyst				
loss by combustion	13,1	%	wet	basis
A1203	24,8	%	wet	basis
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0,01	%	dry	basis
Fe	0,03	%	dry	basis
SO4	0,91	%	dry	basis
specific surface	527	m <sup>2</sup> /g	ç	
pore volume	0,86	ml/g	5	
density	0,39	g/ml	L	

#### appendix 2 the quantitative analyses

The measurements of the concentrations in experiments, where blood was used (except for the barbiturates) were performed by the clinical laboratorium in the St Jozef hospital in Eindhoven. The concentrations in aqueous solutions were measured with a Carrey 14 recording spectrophotometer.

For each compound described below a standard curve was made (see graph IV-6). A blanco was used as reference. The same cuvet was always used both for the estimated solution and for the blanco.

#### a creatinine

The creatinine concentration was directly measured at 234 nm.

#### b inulin

For inulin the concentration was measured at 610 nm after a color reaction following Snell (K-2). 10 ml diphenylamine solution (20% in ethanol) was added to 160 ml of a mixture of ethanol and concentrated HCl (7:5). 1 ml of sample was added to 10 ml of this reagens. The solution is shaken and heated during two hours in water of 80°C.

#### c albumin

Albumin was measured directly at 210 nm.

#### d BSP

BSP was measured at 580 nm after dilution with a 20%  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NaOH}}$  solution.

e <u>barbiturate</u>s

5 ml of sample, 0,05 ml buffer (pH=6,8) and 100 ml dichloorethane (DCE) were brought in a separation funnel. After 5 minutes shaking 90% of the DCE was received in a calibrated cylinder and via a foldered filter added to a second separation funnel. 5 ml 0,45 N NaOH was added. After 5 minutes of shaking the aqueous layer was brought in a centrifuge tube and centrifugated. 0,33 ml 0,45 N NaOH was added to 2 ml of extract.

0,33 ml 16% ammonium chloride was added to another 2 ml of extract. The difference between the extinctions at 320 nm and 260 nm was used for estimation of the concentration of barbiturate.

#### f <u>ure</u>a

urea was measured at 420 nm after hydrolysis with urease and reaction with Nessler reagens.

# appendix 3 the correction of the residence time distribution curve for the compartments before and after the roll

We suppose, that the compartments before and after the roll are ideal mixers as is mentioned in section III-1-a. For such a ideal mixer holds:

$$VdC_{ij}/dt = Q(C_{ij}-C_{j})$$
(1)

in which

V is the volume of the ideal mixer

t is the time

 $\rm C_u$  is the concentration in the output of the ideal mixer  $\rm C_i$  is the concentration in the input of the ideal mixer Q is the volumetric flowrate In section III-1-a the measurement of the output concen-

tration of the film adsorber is described. By means of equation (1) the concentration in the output of the roll can be calculated.

Equation (1) can, however, not be applied for the compartment before the roll, before it is proved, that the sequence of the apparatus is of no importance. This prove is given below.

Suppose we have two apparatus A and B with mean residence time  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  and volumes  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Before A a pulse  $\delta_1$  is given



 $C_1$  (the output concentration of A) can be considered as a serie of pulses before B with a duration of  $\Delta t$ . At time  $t_1$  the number of pulses between A and B were  $n=t_1/\Delta t$ . If  $C_1^{\texttt{H}}$  is the  $C^{\texttt{H}}$  of apparatus A, then is the pulse before B:

$$\delta_2 = Q \Delta t C_1(t_1) = \delta_1 C_1^{\mathbf{X}}(t_1) \Delta t / \tau_1$$
(2)

If  $C_2^{\texttt{H}}$  is the  $C^{\texttt{H}}$  diagram of B then at time t' after the injection of  $\delta_2$ :

$$C_{12}(t'+t_1) = \delta_2 C_2^{*}(t') / V_2$$
(3)

If  $t' + t_1 = t_2$  then

$$C_{12}(t_2) = \delta_2(t_1)C_2^{\mathbf{H}}(t_2 - t_1)/V_2$$
(4)

The real concentration  $C_{12}$  is the sum of all concentrations  $C_{12}$  owing to the serie of pulses:

$$C_{12}(t_2) = \sum C_{12}(t_2) = \sum \delta_1 C_1^{\mathbf{X}}(t_1) C_2(t_2 - t_1) \Delta t / V_2 \tau_1$$
(5)

If  $t_2$  is constant,  $t_1$  can vary between 0 and  $t_2$  and the summation is therefore between 0 and  $t_2/\Delta t$ . For  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ :

$$C_{12}(t_2) = (\delta/V_2\tau_1) \int_0^{t_2} C_1^{\mathbf{x}}(t_1) C_2^{\mathbf{x}}(t_2 - t_1) dt_1$$
(6)

or

$$C_{12}^{\mathbf{x}}(t_2) = ((\tau_1 + \tau_2) / \tau_1 \tau_2) \int_0^{t_2} C_1^{\mathbf{x}}(t_1) C_2^{\mathbf{x}}(t_2 - t_1) dt_1$$
(7)

From this result follows, that  $C_{12}^{\mathbf{x}}$  does not change, if the sequence of A and B is changed. If  $t_{11} = t_2 - t_1$  is substituted, follows:

$$C_{12}^{\mathbf{x}}(t_2) = ((t_1 + t_2)/t_1 t_2 \int_0^{t_2} C_1^{\mathbf{x}}(t_2 - t_{11}) C_2^{\mathbf{x}}(t_{11}) dt_{11} \quad (8)$$

Equation (1) can thus be applied even if the ideal mixer is placed before the roll.

# appendix 4 the calculation of the diffusion coefficient from the measurements with the SMDC

 $\rm V_u$  and  $\rm V_l$  are ideal mixers separated by a membrane and with the concentrations  $\rm C_u$  and  $\rm C_l.$  For the change of  $\rm C_b$  with time holds:

$$V_{u}dC_{u}/dt = kA_{m}(C_{l}-C_{u})$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

in which

 $V_{11}$  is the volume of the upper compartment

 $C_{i,j}$  is the concentration in the upper compartment

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{C}}_1$  is the concentration in the lower compartment

k is the mass transfer coefficient

 $A_m$  is the membrane surface

A mass balance over the whole SMDC renders

$$V_{1}C_{1} + V_{u}C_{u} = V_{1}C_{1i} + V_{u}C_{ui}$$
 (2)

in which  $C_{1i}$  and  $C_{ui}$  are the initial concentrations. Elimination of  $C_1$  out of (1) and (2) renders after integration

$$\ln \frac{C_{1i} - C_{ui}}{(C_1 - C_u)_{t_1}} = kA_m t_1 (1/V_1 + 1/V_{u1})$$
(3)

By the sampling  $V_u$  is reduced, which required a correction For integration from  $t_1 \to t_2$  holds:

$$\ln \frac{(C_1 - C_u)_{t1}}{(C_1 - C_u)_{t2}} = kA_m(t_2 - t_1)(1/V_1 + 1/V_{u2})$$
(4)

From  $0 \rightarrow t_2$  (3) and (4) have to be added

$$\ln \frac{(c_1 - c_u)_{t0}}{(c_1 - c_u)_{t2}} = kA_m [t_1 (1/V_{u1} - 1/V_{u2}) + t_2 (1/V_1 + 1/V_{u2})] (5)$$

and in general from  $C \rightarrow t_i$ :

$$\ln \frac{(C_{1}-C_{u})_{t0}}{(C_{1}-C_{u})_{ti}} = \kappa A_{m} \left\{ \sum_{0}^{t-1} [t_{n}(1/V_{un}-1/V_{un+1})] + t_{1}(1/V_{1}+1/V_{ui}) \right\} = \kappa A_{m} f(t_{1}, V_{ui})$$
(6)

The term with the addition sign is the correction factor for the sampling. If one plottes  $f(t_i, V_{i,i})$  against

$$\frac{(C_1 - C_u)_{t0}}{(C_1 - C_u)_{ti}}$$

the slope of the line will be  $kA_m$ . k can be calculated from  $kA_m$  and D can be calculated from k=D/ $\delta$  in which  $\delta$  is the thickness of the film.

# appendix 5 the criterium of Taylor for a flow between parallel planes

The convective diffusion equation for a flow between two parallel planes can be described by the following equation

$$\delta C/\delta t = D(\delta^2 C/\delta x^2 + \delta^2 C/\delta y^2) - v_x \delta C/\delta y$$
(1)

The velocity at point x is

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}} = 6\overline{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}/d - \mathbf{x}^2/d^2) \tag{2}$$

We choose a coordinate system with a velocity  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  so that

$$v_x = 6\bar{v}(x/d-x^2/d^2) - \bar{v}$$
 (3)

Suppose the axial concentration change is much smaller than the radial change:  $(\delta^2 C/\delta y^2) = 0$ 

$$\delta C/\delta t = D \delta^2 C/\delta x^2 - \bar{v} (6x/d - 6x^2/d^2 - 1) \delta C/\delta y$$
(4)

Suppose  $\partial C/\partial t = 0$  and if  $\partial \overline{C}/\partial y$  is independent of x and the following boundary conditions could be applied

$$(\delta C/\delta x)_{x=0} = 0$$
 and  $x=\frac{1}{2}d: C=C_0$ 

then is the solution of (4):

$$C = C_{0} + (\bar{v}d^{2}/D)(x^{3}/d^{3} - \frac{1}{2}x^{4}/d^{4} - \frac{1}{2}x^{2}/d^{2} + 1/32)\delta\bar{C}/\delta y$$
(5)

The mean concentration over the cross section (not the cup mixing concentration) is:

$$\vec{C} = \int_{0}^{a} C dx / d = (\vec{v} d^{2} / D) (1/32 - 1/60) \delta \vec{C} / \delta y + C_{0}$$
(6)

then 
$$C=\bar{C}+(\bar{v}d^2/D)(x^3/d^3-\frac{1}{2}x^4/d^4-\frac{1}{2}x^2/d^2+1/60)\delta\bar{C}/\delta.y$$
 (7)  
The flux is

$$j=Q/d= \left[\int_{0}^{d} Cvdx\right]/d=-0, 01(\bar{v}d^{2}/D)\delta\bar{C}/\delta y=-D_{eff}\delta C/\delta y$$
(8)

We can now describe the masstransport by means of an effective diffusion coefficient if

$$D \ll D_{eff}$$
 or  $\overline{v}d/D \gg 10$  (9)

If  $\partial C/\partial y$  is nearly constant follows after differentation of (7)

$$\delta C/\delta y = \delta \bar{C}/\delta y + (d^2 \bar{v}/4D)(-1/60 + \frac{1}{2}x^4/d^4 - x^5/d^5 + \frac{1}{2}x^2/d^2)\delta^2 C/\delta y^2 \delta \bar{C}/\delta y$$
(10)

if 
$$\delta \bar{C} / \delta y \gg (\bar{v} d^2 / D) (\delta^2 C / sy^2)$$
 (11)

If L is the length over which a change in C appears then  $LD/\bar{v}d^2 \gg 1 \eqno(12)$ 

or with(9)

(13)

If this criterium holds, a flow through a slit can be described by a Poisseuille flow with radial diffusion.

From the Casson relation:

٢

$$\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} = \tau_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \eta_{S} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(1)

Merill (I-7) and Kooyman (I-5) have derived the following

relation between the pressuredrop and the velocity:

$$3\bar{v}\eta_{\rm s}/a\tau_{\rm o} = \tau_{\rm D} - 12\tau_{\rm D}^{\frac{1}{2}}/5 + 3/2 - \tau_{\rm D}^{-2}/10$$
 (2)

Assuming a marginal plasma layer Charm and Kurland (I-8) derived a similar relation for a blood flow through a capillar. For a flow between two parallel planes we derived the relation between the pressuredrop and the velocity as follows.

From equation (1) follows for a blood flow between two parallel planes with a distance 2a for the velocity gradient at a point y in the channel:

$$\gamma = dv/dy = (\tau - 2\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \tau_0)/\eta_s$$
(3)

The coordinate system is relative to the centre plane between the parallel planes

$$\tau = y_{\Delta}p_{L}$$
 (4)  
Substitution of (4) in (3) and integration over the chan-

nel gives for the plasma layer:  $1 < \xi < (a - \delta)/a = \Delta$ 

$$\eta_{\rm s} v/a\tau_{\rm o} = \eta_{\rm s} \tau_{\rm D} (1 - \Delta^2)/2\eta_{\rm p}$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

11.1

and for the blood layer:

-- 1 -- 17

$$\Delta < \xi < \tau_{\rm D}^{-1} \eta_{\rm g} v/a \tau_{\rm o} = \frac{1}{2} \tau_{\rm D} (\Delta^2 - \xi^2) - 4 \tau_{\rm D}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\Delta^{3/2} - \xi^{3/2})/3 + + (\Delta - \xi) + \eta_{\rm g} (1 - \Delta^2)/2 \eta_{\rm p}$$
(6)

and  $\tau_D^{-1} \leq \xi < 0$ 

$$\int_{S} \sqrt{a\tau_{o}} = \frac{1}{2} \tau_{D} (\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} - \tau_{D}^{-2}) - 4\tau_{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\Delta^{3/2} - \tau_{D}^{-3/2})/3 + (\Delta - \tau_{D}^{-1}) + \eta_{s} \tau_{D} (1 - \Delta^{2})/2\eta_{p}$$
(7)

 $\tau_{\rm D}=\tau_{\rm W}/\tau_{\rm O}$  in which  $\tau_{\rm W}$  is the shear stress at the wall and  $\xi=y/a$  .

Integration of the equations (5), (6) and (7) over the total cross section of the channel gives for the mean velocity

$$3 \eta_{\rm s} \bar{v} / a \tau_{\rm o} = \tau_{\rm D} (1 - \Delta^3) / 1 - \alpha \varphi) + \Delta^3 - \frac{12 \Delta^{3/2} \tau_{\rm D}^{\frac{1}{2}} / 5 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta^2}{(8)}$$

This equation is used in section III-1-d2c for the comparison with the measured values of  $\Delta p$  and  $\bar{v}\,.$ 

#### Appendix 7 some data about barbiturates

Barbiturates are derivatives of barbituric acid. They are used as sedatives.

For normal men the hypnotic dose is 0,2-0,1 g of phenobarbital and 0,1 g of secobarbital.

Commercial names for phenobarbital and secobarbital are respectively luminal and seconal.

The rate of removal of a barbiturate from the body is not only a function of the clearance of the used apparatus, but is also fixed by the adsorption of the barbiturates by plasma proteins and by the solubility of the barbiturates in the cells of the body.

By Goldbaum (J-7) was found, that phenobarbital is adsorbed for 20 % at the proteins in the plasma and secobarbital for 44 %. These values are not fixed but are depending among others on the barbiturate concentration, but these values are the most normal.

### SYMBOLS

۵	total exchanging surface	m <sup>2</sup>
 a	half of the thickness of the liquid film	
ŭ	specific surface area	1
α	correction factor in the formula of Einstein	
	(III-1-10)	
b	breadth of the liquid film; length of the	
	acc film	m
С	concentration	g/1
C <b>¥</b>	concentration in equilibrium with the solid	
	phase concentration: $C^{\mathbf{H}}=mq$	g/1
C⁺	Laplace transformation of C	
Cl	clearance	ml/min
AC <sub>log</sub>	logarithmic averaged concentration difference	g/1
γ	shear rate	sec <sup>-1</sup>
D	dialysance	ml/min
	diffusion coefficient	m <sup>2</sup> /sec
d	film thickness	μ
	diameter	m
δ	thickness of the marginal plasma layer	μ
	amount of pulse injection	g
Δ	dimensionless plasma layer thickness	
e	$\epsilon_i (1 - \epsilon_e) / \epsilon_e$	
ε	porosity; $\epsilon_i$ = internal porosity; $\epsilon_e$ = external	
η	viscosity	Nsec/m <sup>2</sup>
θ	time modulus	
F	$\sum$ Cat for the RTD measurement	gsec/l
g <sub>c</sub>	volume amount of carbon	g .
ĸ	exchange coefficient	sec <sup>-1</sup>
k	masstransfer coefficient	m/sec
k	overall masstransfer coefficient	m/sec
L	length of the slit; breadth of the acc film	m
M	molecular weight	g/mol
m	equilibrium coefficient	

$\mu_1$	first moment	sec
μ2.	second moment	sec2
N <sub>T</sub>	number of transfer units of an apparatus	
N	dimensionless length of an adsorber	
n	velocity of rotation	rev/sec
Δp	pressuredrop	$N/m^2$
$\varphi$	volume fraction of blood cells	
Φ	masstransfer rate	g/sec
Q	volumetric flowrate	m <sup>3</sup> /sec
q	adsorbed quantity	g/g
R	inner radius of the film adsorber	cm
	half of the thickness of the acc film	μ
r	radius	m
l	density	kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Sh	Sherwood number	
Т	temperature	°к
t	time	sec
τ	shear stress	N/m <sup>2</sup>
	mean residence time	sec
τo	yield value	$N/m^2$
тĎ	dimensionless shear stress: $a\Delta p / \tau_0 L$	- '
v	volume	m <sup>3</sup>
v	velocity	m/sec
ī	mean velocity	m/sec
vo	superficial velocity	m/sec
x	dimensionless concentration	
x	correction factor in the formula of Wilke	
	and Chang (III-2-1)	
Y	dimensionless adsorbed quantity	

Z throughput parameter

## subscripta

b blood

c carbon

d dialyser or dialysate

f acc film or film adsorber

i input

1 liquid film

lower compartment of the SMDC

m membrane

o output

p plasma

s serum or stirrer

t total

u upper compartment of the SMDC

w water

#### REFERENCES

#### A. the natural kidney

- 1 Stortenbeek w. "Moderne verworvenheden der interne geneeskunde" nv de tijdstroom Lochem 1967. 2 Flower jr. "The artificial kidney and lung machines"
- The Chem Eng, may 1968 ce120. 3 Dittrig p. et al "Haemodialyse und Peritonealdialyse"
- Springer Verlag Berlin 1970.
- 4 Froeling pe. et al "Haemodialyse uitvoering en toepassing van de kunstnier".

B. the hemodialyser

- 1 Flower jr. "The artificial kidney and lung machines" The Chem Eng, may 1968 ce120.
- 2 Dittrig p. et al "Haemodialyse und Peritonealdialyse" Springer Verlag Berlin 1970.
- 3 Michaels as. "Operating parameters and performance criteria for hemodialysers and other membrane separation devices" tasaio 12 (1966) 387.
- 4 Grimsrud 1. et al "Optimalisation of dialyser design for the hemodialysis system" tasaio 10 (1964) 101.
- 5 v Doorn pjj. "De kunstmatige nier" Technological University Eindhoven januari 1964.
- 6 Kooyman jm. "Comparative performance of artificial kidneys" ph.d. thesis 1971 Delft.

C. the adsorption at activated carbon

- 1 Blaney tl. et al "The artificial kidney" Chem Eng Progr
- Symp Ser no84 64 (1968) 112. 2 Blaney tl. et al "Adsorption: a step towards a wearable artificial kidney" tasaio 12 (1966) 7.
- 3 Jutzler et al edta 3 (1966) 265.
- 4 v. Leer e. "Hemodialyse met koolstofadsorptie" ph.d. thesis 1970 Rdtterdam. 5 Mehall jr. et al "Screening study of adsorbents for
- urea removal from artificial kidney dialysing fluid" J Biomed Mater Res 3 (1969) 529.
- 6 Sparks re. "Adsorption of nitrogenous waste metabolites from artificial kidney dialysing fluid" Chem Eng Progr Symp Ser no66 62 (1966) 262
- 7 Twiss edta 3 (1966) 262.
- 8 Bock jc. "A study of decolorising carbon" J Am Chem Soc 42 (1920) 1564.
- 9 Yatzidis ha. "Convenient haemoperfusion microapparatus over charcoal for the treatment of endogenous and exogenous intoxications" edta 1 (1964) 83.
- 10Dunea g. et al "Clinical experience with the Yatzidis charcoal artifical kidney" tasaio 11 (1965) 178

#### D. dialysis with dialysate recycle

- 1 Gordon a. et al "A sorbent based low volume dialysate system: preliminary studies in human subjects" edta 5 (1968) 86.
- 2 Gordon a. et al "Clinical maintainance haemodialysis with a sorbent based low volume dialysate regeneration system" tasaio 13 (1911) 253.
  3 Salemne rm. et al "Removal of urea from solutions by
- 3 Salemne rm. et al "Removal of urea from solutions by microencapsulated reactants" Chem Eng Progr Symp Ser no114 67 (1971) 133.
- 4 Cooper ww. et al "Influence of dialysate recycle on artificial kidney treatment: costs" and effectiveness" Chem Eng Progr Symp Ser no114 67 (1971) 128.

E. the microcapsule artificial kidney

- 1 Chang tms. "Semipermeable microcapsules" ph.d. thesis 1965 Montreal.
- 2 Chang tms. et al "Semipermeable aqueous microcapsules I" Can J Physiol and Pharm 44 (1966) 115.
- 3 Chang tms. et al "Semipermeable microcapsules IV" Can J Physiol and Pharm 45 (1967) 705.
- 4 Chang tms. et al "Semipermeable aqueous microcapsules V" J Biomed Mater Res 2 (1968) 187.
- 5 Chang tms "Removal of endogenous and exogenous toxins by a microencapsulated adsorbent" Can J Physiol and Pharm 47 (1969) 1043.
- 6 Chang tms. et al "The development and first clinical use of semipermeable microcapsules (artificial cells) as a compact artificial kidney" tasaio 16 (1970) 141.
- 7 Chang tms. et al "Clinical evaluation of chronic intermittend and short term hemoperfusion in patients with chronic renal failuse using semipermeable microcapsules (artificial cells) formed from membrane coated activated charcoal" tasaio 17 (1971) 246.
- 8 Chang tms. et al "Acac microcapsules artificial kidney for the long term and short term management of eleven patients with chronic renal failure" tasaio 18 (1972) 465
- 9 Chang tms. united states patents 3725113 april 1973.
- 10 Chang tms. "Biomedical application of artificial cells" Biomed Eng, aug 1973 334.
- 11 Levine sm. et al "Materials and design considerations for a compact artifical kidney" J Biomed Mater Res 1 (1967) 275.
- 12 Levine sn. et al "Design of a compact artificial kidney" Digest of the 7th Int Conf of Med and Biol Eng 1967 552.
- 13 Andrade jd. "Coated adsorbents for direct blood perfusion hema/coated carbon" tasaio 17 (1971) 222.
- 14 Andrade jd. "Coated adsorbents for direct blood perfusion" tasaio 18 (1972) 473.
- 15 Andrade jd. et al "Activated carbon and blood transfusion a critical review" edta 9 (1972) 290.

- F. the removal of metabolic waste products via oral ingested microcapsules
- 1 Sparks re. et al "Removal of uremic waste metabolites from the intestinal tract by encapsulated carbon and oxidized starch" tasaio 17 (1971) 229.
- 2 Sparks re. et al "Binders to remove uremic waste metabolites from the gi tract" tasaio 18 (1972) 458.
- 3 Brown cl. et al "Bacterial ureases in uremic men" the Lancet 21 aug 1971 406.
- 4 "Urea metabolism in man" the Lancet dec 1971 1407.
- 5 Wrong om. "Intestinal handling of urea and ammonia" Proc Roy Soc Med 64 (1971) 1025.

G. urease and ionexchangers

- 1 Barth a. et al "Urease" die Pharmazie 6 (1971) 321.
- 2 Hanss m. et al "Application de la conductometrie a l'étude des reaction enzymatiques (systeme urée-uréase)" Biochim et biophys Acta 227 (1971) 630. 3 Chin wt. "Conductivity method for the determination of
- urea" Analytical Chemistry no12 33 (1961) 1757.
- 4 Sundaram pv. "Preparation and properties of solid sup-ported urease" Can J Biochem 69 (1971) 1388.
- 5 Merill jp. "Treatment of drug intoxications by hemoper-fusion" the New Engl J of Med no16 284 (1971) 911.
- 6 Rosenbaum jl. "Resin hemoperfusion: a new treatment for drug intoxications" the New Engl J of Med no16 284 (1971) 874.
- 7 Laidler kj. "The chemical kinetics of enzym action" Clarendon Press Oxford 1958.
- 8 Wall mc. Biochem and Biophys 43 (1953) 259.
- 9 Chaundry nc. et al "Removal of excess ammonia from arti-ficial serum by passage over cation exchange resins" the Lancet 1962 1262.
- 10 Kissack as, et al "Modifications of ion exchange resins for use in extracorporal circuits" Surgery 53 (1963) 253. 11 Nealo tf. "An extracorporal device to lower blood am-
- monia levels in hepatic coma" tasaio 8 (1962) 226.
- 12 "De hydrolyse van ureum met urease" Technological University Eindhoven 1972.

H. the breakthrough curves

- 1 Taylor g. "Dispersions of soluble mater in solvent flowing slowly in a tube" Proc Roy Soc 219 (1953) 186.
- 2 Hiester nk. et al "Saturation performance of ion exchange and adsorption columns" Chem Eng Progr no10 48 (1952) 507.
- 3 Vermeulen t. "Advances in chem eng" vol 11 147 Academic Press Inc Publishers New York 1958.
- 4 Perry jh. "Chemical Engineers Handbook" 3rd edition Mc Graw Hill Book company.

- 5 Kucera k. "Contribution to the theory of chromatography linear non equilibrium elution chromatography" J Chrom 19 (1965) 237.
- 6 Bell 1. et al "In vivo transport coefficients for urea and creatinine and their use in predictive models of solute transport" Biomed Sci Instr 7 (1970) 168.
- 7 Wilke cr. et al "Correlations of diffusion coefficients in dilute solutions" Aich J 1 (1955) 274.
- 8 Treybal re. "Mass transfer operations" Mc Graw Hill Book Company New York 2nd ed pag 164.
- 9 Thoenes Chem Eng Sci 8 (1958) 271.
- 10 Nusselt "Die Abhangigkeit der Warmeubergangszahl von der Rhorlange" Z Vdi 54 (1910) 271.
- 11 Grattz "Uber die Warmeleitfahigkeit der Warmeubergangszahl von der Rhorlange" Ann Phys (NF) 18 (1883) 79.
- 12 Hahneman h. et al Warme und Kaltetechniek 44 (1942) 167.
- 13 Grigull u. "Die Grundgesetze der Warmeubertragung" dritte ed Springer Verlag Berlin 1961.
- 14 Popovich rp. et al "The effects of membrane diffusion and ultrafiltration properties on hemodialyser design and performance" Chem Eng Progr Symp Ser no114 67 (1971) 105.
- 15 Colton ck. ph.d. thesis Mass Inst Technol Cambridge 1968
- 16 Lande aj. "Methods for increasing the efficiency of a new dialyser membrane oxygenator" tasaio 14 (1968) 227.
- 17 Babb al. et al "Methods for the in vivo determination of membrane permeabilities and solute diffusivities" Tasaio 14 (1868) 25.
- 18 Babb al. et al "The determination of membrane permeabilities and solute diffusivities with application to hemodialysis" Chem Eng Progr Symp Ser no84 64 (1968) 59.
- 19 Kaufman tg. "Mechanism of interfacial mass transfer in membrane transport" Aiche J 14 (1967) 421.
- 20 Colton ck. et al "Convective transport in a batch dialyser: determination of true membrane permeability from a single measurement" Chem Eng Progr Symp Ser no84 64 (1968) 45.
- 21 Strek f. Int Chem Eng no4 3 (1963) 535.
- 22 Lebas "The molecular volumes of liquid chemical compouds" Longmans London 1915.
- 23 Kooyman jm. "Comparative performance of membrane kidneys ph.s. thesis 1971 Delft.
- 24 Ikkenberry ld. et al "Characterization of membrane materials for hemodialysis" Chem Eng Progr Symp Ser no84 64 (1968) 69.
- 25 Levich vg. "Physicochemical hydrodynamics" Prenticehall inc Englewood cliffs New York 1962.
- 26 Bird et al "Transport phenomena" John Wiley & Sons inc New York London 1960.
- 27 White et. "Sources of error in the measurement of residence time distributions" J Imp Coll Chem Eng Soc no14 (1962) 72.

- 28 Mickley hs. et al "Applied mathemetics in chemical engineering".
- 29 v.d. Laan Chem Eng Sci 7 (1957) 187.

I. the pressuredrop over the film adsorber

- 1 Casson na. "Flow equation for pigment oil suspensions of the printing ink type" (1958) in: "Rheology of dis-
- perse systems" ed. Mills cc Pergamon London 1959. 2 Cokelet g. "The rheology of human blood" ph.d. thesis mass inst of technol 1963.
- 3 Merill ew et al "Rheology of human blood, near and at zero flow. Effects of temperature and hematocryt level" Biophys J 3 (1963) 199. 4 Charm se. "Blood rheology" (1972) in : "Cardiovascular
- fluid dynamics" ed. Bergel dh.
- 5 Kooyman jm. "Comparative performance of artificial kid-neys" ph.d. thesis 1971 Delft.
  6 Barbee jh. "Pressure-flow relations of human blood in blood in
- hollow fibers at low flow rates" J Applied Phys 20 (1965) 954.
- 7 Charm se. et al "The influence of radial distribution and marginal plasma layer in the flow of red cell suspensions Biorheology 5 (1968) 15.

J. the adsorption of barbiturates

- 1 Trautman a. "Die Dialyse von Arzneimittels und Giften" Med Klin no43 67 (1972) 1410.
- 2 Lassen na. "Treatment of severe acute barbiturate poisoning by forced diuresis and alkalinisation of the urine" the Lancet 13 aug 1960 338.
- 3 Linton al. et al "Methods of forced diuresis and its application in barbiturate poisoning" the Lancet 19 aug 1967 7512.
- 4 Jorgensen he. et al "Dialysable poisons. Haemodialyse in the treatment of acute poisoning" the Lancet 12 jan 1963 81.
- 5 Berman lb. et al "Hemodialyse, an effective therapy for acute barbiturate poisoning" J Am Med Ass 30 juni 1965 820.
- 6 Goodman ls. et al "The pharmacological basis of therapeutics" 3rd ed Macmillan company NY 1965.
- 7 Goldbaum lr. et al "The interaction of barbiturates with serum albumin and its possible relation to their disposition and pharmacological actions" J Pharm Exp Ther 111 (1954) 197.
- 8 Widdop b. et al "Treatment of drug intoxication in dogs by haemodialysis and haemoperfusion" Abstr of the Eur
- Soc for Art Org 1st annual meeting 1974 page 72. 9 Gazzard bg. et al "Polymer coating of activated charcoal and its effects on biocompatibility and paracetamol binding" Clin Sci and Mol Med 47 (1974) 97.

10 Gazzard bg. et al "Charcoal hemoperfusion in the treat-ment of fulminant hepatic failure- experience of 22 patients" the Lancet 1 (1974) 1301.

K. the quantitative analyses

- Innes wb. "Total porosity and particle density of fluid catalyst by liquid titration" An Chem no3 28 (1956) 332.
   Snell td. "Colorimetric methods of analysis" van Oost-
- rand company NY 1953. 3 Richterich r. "Clinical chemistry theory and practice"
- S Karger Bazel NY 1969.

Trans of the Am Soc for Art Int Organs Europ Dialysis and Transplant Association tasaio edta

#### STELLINGEN

- 1 De waarden, die voor de plasmaeiwitbinding van barbituraten gegeven zijn door Goodman (1), geven slechts een indruk van de onderlinge verhoudingen van de sterkte van de eiwitbindingen der barbituraten, maar niet van de absolute grootte van de binding (zie Goldbaum (2)).
  - Goodman LS et al "The pharmacological basis of therapeutics" 3<sup>e</sup> editie Macmillan company 1965.
  - (2) Goldbaum LR et al "The interaction of barbiturates with serum albumin and its possible relation to their disposition and pharmacological actions" J Pharmacol & Exp Therap 111 (1954) 197.
- 2 Voor gefluidiseerde bedden is het zinloos om de stof- of warmteoverdracht van het doorstromende gas naar de gefluidiseerde deeltjes weer te geven in een Chilton-Colburn factor, zolang aan het bestaan van bellen voorbij gegaan wordt (zie Gupta (3) en Inazumi (4)).
  - (3) Gupta SN et al "Fluid-particle heat transfer in fixed and fluidized beds" Chem Eng Sci 29 (1974) 839.
  - (4) Inazumi H et al "Dehumidification of moist air in a fluidised bed" Int Chem Eng no4 14 (1974) 768.
- 3 Uit eigen onderzoek (v.Zutphen (5)) blijkt, dat door adsorptie van urease aan aluminium-silicium oxides de aktiviteit van dit enzym vergroot wordt. Een voorafgaande behandeling van de oxides met een verdund zuur vergroot deze aktiviteit nog meer.
  - (5) v.Zutphen P "De hydrolyse van ureum met behulp van urease" intern rapport THE.

- 4 Het verdient aanbeveling indien bij het doen van verblijftijdspreidings metingen meer aandacht wordt geschonken aan mogelijke fouten in meettechniek zoals beschreven door White (6).
  - (6) White ET "Sources of error in the measurement of residence time distribution" J Imp Coll Chem Eng Soc 14 (1962) 72.
- 5 Het vermoeden van Ikkenberry (7), dat voor een membraan geldt, dat de logarithme van de permeabiliteit omgekeerd evenredig is met het waterpercentage van het membraan is door eigen metingen bevestigd.
  - (7) Ikkenberry Ld et al "Characterization of membrane materials for hemodialysis" Chem Eng Progr Symp Ser no84 64 (1968) 69.
- 6 Uit de adsorptieproeven van Gazzard (8) aan tot microcapsules verwerkte aktieve kool kan berekend worden, dat zelfs bij verwerking met behulp van 10 gewichtsprocent aan polymeer nog 5 % van het aktieve kooloppervlak onbedekt blijft.
  - (8) Gazzard BG et al "Polymer coating of activated charcoal and its effect on biocompatibility and paracetamol binding" Clin Sci and Mol Med 47 (1974) 97.
- 7 De stofoverdracht naar een starre bol wordt in geval van een constante grensvlakconcentratie bij een Fouriertijd  $(Dt/d^2)$  groter dan 0,05 bepaald door de inwendige diffusie en kan beschreven worden door Sh=6,6 (zie o.a. Thijssen (9)). De starre bol is dan echter al voor 65 % verzadigd.
  - (9) Thijssen HAC et al "Stofoverdrachtsprocessen" collegediktaat THE 1973.

- 8 Aangezien de stoffen die uit bloed verwijderd moeten worden in geval van leverbeschadiging zowel van organische als van ionogene aard zijn zal de hemoperfusie over ionenwisselaars of over aktieve kool afzonderlijk niet voldoende zijn, maar moet aan een combinatie van deze adsorbentia gedacht worden.
- 9 Het is onbegrijpelijk, dat naast de evolutietheorie van Darwin de rampentheorie van Velikowski (10 en 11) nog niet geaccepteerd wordt.
  - (10) Velikowski I "Worlds in collision" Sphere books Ltd 1972.
  - (11) Velikowski I "Earth in upheavel" Doubleday & company Ltd New York.
- 10 Het voordeel van de bridgesport boven andere denksporten is, dat een wedstrijd uit meerdere spellen bestaat en dat dus één blunder niet een hele middag denksporten in het water gooit.

26-09-1975

P.v. Zutphen