

## Solution to problem 90-12 : Two integrals arising from a cloud model

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Boersma, J., & Doelder, de, P. J. (1991). Solution to problem 90-12 : Two integrals arising from a cloud model. *SIAM Review*, 33(3), 474-477.

**Document status and date:**

Published: 01/01/1991

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

**Please check the document version of this publication:**

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.tue.nl/taverne](http://www.tue.nl/taverne)

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[openaccess@tue.nl](mailto:openaccess@tue.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

### Point of Minimum Temperature

*Problem 91-15\**, by M. S. KLAMKIN (University of Alberta).

A homogeneous convex centrosymmetric body with constant thermal properties is initially at temperature zero and its boundary is maintained at a temperature  $T_b > 0$ . Prove or disprove that at any time  $t > 0$ , the point of minimum temperature is the center. Also, prove or disprove that the isothermal surfaces are convex and centrosymmetric. Note that the convexity of the isothermal surfaces will imply that the center is the point of minimum temperature.

## SOLUTIONS

### Two Integrals Arising from a Cloud Model

*Problem 90-12*, by JOHANNES VERLINDE (Colorado State University).

Determine a closed-form solution or a good approximation to the following integrals, where  $d$  is a rational number:

$$(1.1) \quad I_{\Gamma}(\alpha, \nu, b, c, d) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{(\alpha-1)} e^{-bt} \Gamma(\nu, ct^d) dt,$$

$$(1.2) \quad I_{\gamma}(\alpha, \nu, b, c, d) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{(\alpha-1)} e^{-bt} \gamma(\nu, ct^d) dt.$$

We can get a general form for the closed form of this integral for the special case  $d = 1$  from Prudnikov, Brychkov, and Marichev [1, form. 2.10.3.2]:

$$(1.3) \quad I_{\Gamma}(d=1) = -\frac{c^{\nu} \Gamma(\alpha + \nu)}{\nu b^{(\alpha + \nu)}} {}_2F_1\left(\nu, \alpha + \nu; \nu + 1; -\frac{c}{b}\right) + \frac{\Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(\alpha)}{b^{\alpha}},$$

$$(1.4) \quad I_{\gamma}(d=1) = \frac{c^{\nu} \Gamma(\alpha + \nu)}{\nu b^{(\alpha + \nu)}} {}_2F_1\left(\nu, \alpha + \nu; \nu + 1; -\frac{c}{b}\right).$$

This problem arises in the mean collection growth equation as used in large mesoscale numerical cloud models.

## REFERENCE

- [1] A. P. PRUDNIKOV, Y. A. BRYCHKOV, AND O. I. MARICHEV, *Integrals and Series*, Vol. 2, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1986.

*Solution by J. BOERSMA and P. J. DE DOELDER* (Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, the Netherlands).

For simplicity, it is assumed that all parameters  $\alpha, \nu, b, c, d$  are real and positive, to ensure convergence of the integrals. Since

$$(1) \quad I_{\Gamma} + I_{\gamma} = \Gamma(\nu) \int_0^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-bt} dt = \frac{\Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(\alpha)}{b^{\alpha}},$$

it is sufficient to consider the integral  $I_{\gamma}$  only.

By inversion of the Mellin transform

$$\int_0^{\infty} \gamma(\nu, x) x^{s-1} dx = -\frac{\Gamma(\nu + s)}{s}, \quad -\nu < \operatorname{Re} s < 0,$$

we obtain the representation

$$\gamma(\nu, x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+s)}{s} x^{-s} ds, \quad -\nu < \delta < 0,$$

which is inserted into the integral  $I_\gamma$ . By interchanging the order of integration, we are led to a representation of  $I_\gamma$  by a Mellin–Barnes integral:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} I_\gamma &= -\frac{b^{-\alpha}}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+s)\Gamma(\alpha-ds)}{s} \left(\frac{c}{b^d}\right)^{-s} ds \\ &= \frac{b^{-\alpha}}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+s)\Gamma(\alpha-ds)\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(1-s)} \left(\frac{c}{b^d}\right)^{-s} ds, \quad -\nu < \delta < 0. \end{aligned}$$

The latter integral can be expressed in terms of Fox’s  $H$ -function. Using the definition and notation from [2, § 8.3], we find

$$(3) \quad I_\gamma = b^{-\alpha} H_{22}^{12} \left[ \frac{c}{b^d} \middle| \begin{matrix} (1-\alpha, d), (1, 1) \\ (\nu, 1), (0, 1) \end{matrix} \right] = b^{-\alpha} H_{22}^{21} \left[ \frac{b^d}{c} \middle| \begin{matrix} (1-\nu, 1), (1, 1) \\ (\alpha, d), (0, 1) \end{matrix} \right].$$

The first integral in (2) can also be evaluated as a series of residues at the poles  $s = -\nu - k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  to the left, or at the poles  $s = 0$  and  $s = (\alpha + k)/d, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  to the right of the integration contour. Closing the contour to the left (right) can be shown to be permissible if  $d < 1$  ( $d > 1$ ) and if  $d = 1, c < b$  ( $d = 1, c > b$ ). Thus we obtain the series representations

$$(4) \quad I_\gamma = \frac{c^\nu}{b^{\alpha+d\nu}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+d\nu+dk)}{(\nu+k)k!} \left(-\frac{c}{b^d}\right)^k, \quad d < 1 \text{ or } d = 1, c < b,$$

$$(5) \quad I_\gamma = \frac{\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\alpha)}{b^\alpha} - \frac{1}{c^{\alpha/d}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+d^{-1}\alpha+d^{-1}k)}{(\alpha+k)k!} \left(-\frac{b}{c^{1/d}}\right)^k, \quad d > 1 \text{ or } d = 1, c > b.$$

These results also follow from [1, form. 2.10.1.5]. From (1), (4), and (5) we deduce the symmetry relation

$$I_\gamma(\alpha, \nu, b, c, d) = \frac{c^\nu}{b^\alpha} I_\gamma(\nu, \alpha, c, b, d^{-1}),$$

which can also be verified in a direct manner.

In the special case  $d = 1$ , the representations (4) and (5) simplify to

$$(6) \quad I_\gamma(d=1) = \frac{c^\nu \Gamma(\alpha+\nu)}{\nu b^{\alpha+\nu}} {}_2F_1\left(\alpha+\nu, \nu; \nu+1; -\frac{c}{b}\right), \quad c < b,$$

$$(7) \quad I_\gamma(d=1) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\alpha)}{b^\alpha} - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\nu)}{\alpha c^\alpha} {}_2F_1\left(\alpha+\nu, \alpha; \alpha+1; -\frac{b}{c}\right), \quad c > b.$$

These results are related by analytic continuation and can be combined into the single expression

$$\begin{aligned} I_\gamma(d=1) &= \frac{c^\nu \Gamma(\alpha+\nu)}{\nu(b+c)^{\alpha+\nu}} {}_2F_1\left(\alpha+\nu, 1; \nu+1; \frac{c}{b+c}\right) \\ &= \frac{c^\nu \Gamma(\alpha+\nu)}{\nu b^\alpha (b+c)^\nu} {}_2F_1\left(1-\alpha, \nu; \nu+1; \frac{c}{b+c}\right); \end{aligned}$$

see [3, form. 2.10 (2), (6)].

Consider next the case where  $d$  is rational, say,  $d = p/q$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are positive integers that are mutually prime. It is known [4], [2, form. 8.3.2.22] that for rational  $d$  Fox's  $H$ -function in (3) can be reduced to a Meijer's  $G$ -function. To that end we start from the first integral in (2). By setting  $d = p/q$  and by replacing  $s$  by  $qs$ , we obtain

$$I_\gamma = -\frac{b^{-\alpha}}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+qs)\Gamma(\alpha-ps)}{s} \left(\frac{c^q}{b^p}\right)^{-s} ds, \quad -\nu/q < \delta < 0.$$

Using the Gauss–Legendre multiplication formula for the  $\Gamma$ -function (cf. [3, form. 1.2 (11)]), we write

$$\Gamma(\nu+qs) = (2\pi)^{(1-q)/2} q^{\nu+qs-1/2} \prod_{k=0}^{q-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+k}{q} + s\right)$$

and similarly for  $\Gamma(\alpha-ps)$ . Thus we are led to the Mellin–Barnes integral representation

$$(8) \quad I_\gamma = \frac{M}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{q-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+k}{q} + s\right) \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+k}{p} - s\right) \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(1-s)} \left(\frac{p^p c^q}{q^q b^p}\right)^{-s} ds, \quad -\nu/q < \delta < 0,$$

in which

$$(9) \quad M = (2\pi)^{1-(p+q)/2} p^{\alpha-1/2} q^{\nu-1/2} b^{-\alpha}.$$

The integral (8) is readily expressed in terms of Meijer's  $G$ -function. Using the definition and notation from [2, § 8.2], we find

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} I_\gamma &= MG_{p+1, q+1}^{q, p+1} \left( \begin{matrix} p^p c^q \\ q^q b^p \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} 1-\alpha_1, 1-\alpha_2, \dots, 1-\alpha_p, 1 \\ \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_q, 0 \end{matrix} \right) \\ &= MG_{q+1, p+1}^{p+1, q} \left( \begin{matrix} q^q b^p \\ p^p c^q \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} 1-\nu_1, 1-\nu_2, \dots, 1-\nu_q, 1 \\ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p, 0 \end{matrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with the short notation  $\alpha_k = (\alpha + k - 1)/p$ ,  $\nu_k = (\nu + k - 1)/q$ .

Finally, the  $G$ -function can be expressed as a finite sum of generalized hypergeometric series. Omitting further details, we present the following series representations for  $I_\gamma$  in the two cases of rational  $d < 1$  and  $d > 1$ :

$$(11) \quad I_\gamma = \frac{c^\nu}{b^{\alpha+dv}} \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+d(\nu+k-1))}{(\nu+k-1)(k-1)!} \left(-\frac{c}{b^d}\right)^{k-1} \cdot {}_{p+1}F_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \nu_k + \alpha_1, \nu_k + \alpha_2, \dots, \nu_k + \alpha_p, \nu_k; \\ \frac{k}{q}, \frac{k+1}{q}, \dots, *, \dots, \frac{k+q-1}{q}, \nu_k + 1; \end{matrix} (-1)^q \frac{p^p c^q}{q^q b^p} \right],$$

$p < q$  or  
 $p = q, \quad c < b;$

$$(12) \quad I_\gamma = \frac{\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\alpha)}{b^\alpha} - \frac{1}{c^{\alpha/d}} \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{\Gamma(\nu + d^{-1}(\alpha + k - 1))}{(\alpha + k - 1)(k - 1)!} \left(-\frac{b}{c^{1/d}}\right)^{k-1} \\ \cdot {}_{q+1}F_p \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha_k + \nu_1, \alpha_k + \nu_2, \dots, \alpha_k + \nu_q, \alpha_k; \\ \frac{k}{p}, \frac{k+1}{p}, \dots, *, \dots, \frac{k+p-1}{p}, \alpha_k + 1; \end{matrix} \right. \left. (-1)^p \frac{q^q b^p}{p^p c^q} \right],$$

$p > q \quad \text{or}$   
 $p = q, \quad c > b.$

Here the asterisk denotes that the value 1 should be omitted in the sequence of parameters. In the special case  $d = 1$ , we have  $p = q = 1$ , and the representations (11) and (12) simplify to those in (6) and (7).

REFERENCES

[1] A. P. PRUDNIKOV, Y. A. BRYCHKOV, AND O. I. MARICHEV, *Integrals and Series*, Vol. 2, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1986.  
 [2] ———, *Integrals and Series*, Vol. 3: *More special functions*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1990.  
 [3] A. ERDÉLYI, W. MAGNUS, F. OBERHETTINGER, AND F. G. TRICOMI, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.  
 [4] J. BOERSMA, *On a function, which is a special case of Meijer's G-function*, *Compositio Math.*, 15 (1962), pp. 34–63.

*Also solved by the proposer.*

**A Two-Point Boundary Problem for Airy Functions**

*Problem 90-13\**, by RICHARD B. EVANS (Science Application International Corporation, New London, Connecticut).

Airy functions satisfy the differential equation

$$(1) \quad w''(z) - zw(z) = 0,$$

where  $z$  may be complex. Find two distinct complex numbers  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  and a nonzero solution to (1) such that

$$(2) \quad w(z_1) = 0,$$

$$(3) \quad w(z_2) = 0,$$

$$(4) \quad \int_{z_1}^{z_2} w^2(z) dz = 0.$$

The value of the integral in (4) is independent of the path of integration since

$$w^2 dz = d[zw^2 - (w')^2],$$

and (4) may be replaced by the condition

$$w'(z_1) = \pm w'(z_2).$$

This problem arises from a study of underwater acoustic propagation in a waveguide where the complex index of refraction is a linear function of depth.