

## Continuity properties of solutions to \$H\_2\$ and \$H\_\infty\$ **Riccati equations**

## Citation for published version (APA):

Stoorvogel, A. A., & Saberi, A. (1995). Continuity properties of solutions to \$H\_2\$ and \$H\_\infty\$ Riccati equations. In *Proceedings 1995 Conference on Decision and Control (New Orleans LA, USA, December 13-15, 1995)* (pp. 4335-4340). Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.1995.478923

DOI: 10.1109/CDC.1995.478923

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1995

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

## Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

# Continuity properties of solutions to $H_2$ and $H_{\infty}$ Riccati equations

A.A. Stoorvogel \* Dept. of Mathematics and Comp. Science Eindhoven University of Technology P.O. Box 513 5600 MB Eindhoven The Netherlands Fax: +31-40-465995 E-mail: wscoas@win.tue.nl

Abstract. In  $H_2$  and  $H_\infty$  optimal control (semi-) stabilizing solutions of algebraic Riccati equations play an essential role. It is well-known that these solutions might have discontinuities as a function of the system parameters. The paper shows that these discontinuities are directly linked to nonleft-invertibility and, in contrast to what one might think, unrelated to zeros on the imaginary axis.

## **1** Introduction

In most  $H_2$  and  $H_\infty$  control problems solutions of the algebraic Riccati equation play a crucial role. Note that in general for continuous time systems we have to use quadratic matrix inequalities instead of Riccati equations. However, these have a 1-1 relation to Riccati equations of a lower dimension (see [3]). In particular we are interested in the stabilizing solution of these Riccati equations and quadratic matrix inequalities. However, if the system has zeros on the imaginary axis (continuous time) or on the unit circle (discrete time), we have to study semi-stabilizing solutions. These are solutions of the Riccati equation/quadratic matrix inequality associated to eigenvalues in the closed left-half plane (continuous time) or in the closed unit circle (discrete time). The standard way to obtain semi-stabilizing solutions is a cheap control argument where we perturb the system parameters to obtain a system without problems induced by for instance the zeros on the boundary of the stability domain. A natural question is then whether the semi-stabilizing solutions depend continuously on the system parameters. There are simple examples where the solution does not depend continuously on the system parameters (see e.g. [2]). On the other hand, [6] identifies a class of perturbations which guarantee a continuous behaviour. We would like to study this question in more detail. We will clearly identify what kind of perturbations can yield discontinuous behaviour and in the process show that for a very large class of systems discontinuities never occur. We will consider both continuous and discrete time systems.

A. Saberi

School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Washington State University Pullman, WA 99164-2752 U.S.A. Fax: (509) 335-3818 E-mail: saberi@ece.wsu.edu

Notation in this paper is mostly standard. By  $M^{\dagger}$  we denote the Moore-Penrose inverse of M. Due to size limitations the proofs have been omitted.

## 2 Discrete time systems

#### 2.1 Problem formulation

Consider the following discrete time Riccati equation:

$$P = A^{\mathrm{T}}PA + C^{\mathrm{T}}C - \begin{pmatrix} B^{\mathrm{T}}PA + D_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}C \\ E^{\mathrm{T}}PA + D_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}C \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}G(P)^{\dagger} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} B^{\mathrm{T}}PA + D_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}C \\ E^{\mathrm{T}}PA + D_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}C \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$G(P) := \begin{pmatrix} D_1^{\mathsf{T}} D_1 & D_1^{\mathsf{T}} D_2 \\ D_2^{\mathsf{T}} D_1 & D_2^{\mathsf{T}} D_2 - \gamma^2 I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B^{\mathsf{T}} \\ E^{\mathsf{T}} \end{pmatrix} P \begin{pmatrix} B & E \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.2)

subject to

$$D_{2}^{\mathsf{T}}D_{2} + E^{\mathsf{T}}PE - (D_{2}^{\mathsf{T}}D_{1} + E^{\mathsf{T}}PB) \times (D_{1}^{\mathsf{T}}D_{1} + B^{\mathsf{T}}PB)^{\dagger} (D_{1}^{\mathsf{T}}D_{2} + B^{\mathsf{T}}PE) < \gamma^{2}I.$$
(2.3)

We are interested in real symmetric semi-stabilizing solutions of this algebraic Riccati equation. These are solutions of the algebraic Riccati equation where the zeros of the matrix pencil

$$\begin{pmatrix} zI - A & -B & -E \\ B^{\mathsf{T}}PA + D_1^{\mathsf{T}}C & D_1^{\mathsf{T}}D_1 + B^{\mathsf{T}}PB & D_1^{\mathsf{T}}D_2 + B^{\mathsf{T}}PE \\ E^{\mathsf{T}}PA + D_2^{\mathsf{T}}C & D_2^{\mathsf{T}}D_1 + E^{\mathsf{T}}PB & D_2^{\mathsf{T}}D_2 + E^{\mathsf{T}}PE - \gamma^2I \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.4)

<sup>\*</sup>The research of dr. A.A. Stoorvogel has been made possible by a fellowship of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Sciences and Arts.

are inside or on the unit circle. If the zeros are strictly inside the unit circle we will call *P* a stabilizing solution of the Riccati equation. This Riccati equation is associated to the following system:

$$\Sigma: \begin{cases} x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) + Ew(k), \\ z(k) = Cx(k) + D_1u(k) + D_2w(k). \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

Basically there exists a stabilizing feedback  $u = F_1 x + F_2 w$ such that the closed loop  $H_{\infty}$  norm is less than  $\gamma$  if and only if there exists a positive semi-definite semi-stabilizing solution of the above Riccati equation and some additional conditions (see [4]).

For  $\gamma = \infty$  the general Riccati equation (2.1) reduces to the  $H_2$  Riccati equation:

$$P = A^{T}PA + C^{T}C - (A^{T}PB + C^{T}D_{1}) \times (B^{T}PB + D_{1}^{T}D_{1})^{\dagger}(B^{T}PA + D_{1}^{T}C).$$
(2.6)

Moreover, the extra condition (2.3) becomes void. Finally, the stability requirement is imposed on the following matrix pencil:

$$\begin{pmatrix} zI - A & -B \\ B^{\mathrm{T}}PA + D_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}C & B^{\mathrm{T}}PB + D_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}D_{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.7)

The Riccati equation is associated to the system  $\Sigma$  which is parameterized by  $(A, B, E, C, D_1, D_2)$ . For finite  $\gamma$ , we define the set  $\mathcal{D}$  to be the class of systems  $\Sigma$  for which  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  is left-invertible and for which there exists matrices  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that  $A + BF_1$  is stable and

$$\| (C + DF_1)(zI - A - BF_1)^{-1} (E + BF_2) + (D_2 + D_1F_2) \|_{\infty} < \gamma \quad (2.8)$$

For the  $H_2$  problem, where  $\gamma = \infty$  the set  $\mathcal{D}$  consists of systems  $\Sigma$  for which  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  is left-invertible and (A, B) is stabilizable. In that case it is known (see [5]) that there exists a unique real symmetric semi-stabilizing solution P of the Riccati equation. Moreover, this solution is positive semi-definite.

For the  $H_{\infty}$  control problem (finite  $\gamma$ ) the semi-stabilizing solution need not be unique. However, for elements of the set  $\mathcal{D}$  there exists a semi-stabilizing, positive semi-definite solution of the Riccati equation. In this section, we will study the smallest, positive semi-definite rank-minimizing solution P of the quadratic matrix inequality which always exists and is obviously unique.

In the next subsection we study the behaviour of P when we vary the system parameters over the set D. We will show that P depends continuously on the system parameters both for finite  $\gamma$  and for  $\gamma = \infty$ . Since we allow for zeros on the unit

circle, this continuity is far from obvious. We consider systems outside the set  $\mathcal{D}$  in the subsection 2.3.

For elements of the set  $\mathcal{D}$ , the system  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  is leftinvertible. This implies that the generalized inverses in (2.1), (2.3) and (2.6) become standard inverses. Moreover for semi-stabilizing and stabilizing solutions of the Riccati equation we can simply study the eigenvalues of the following matrix

$$A - \begin{pmatrix} B & E \end{pmatrix} G(P)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B^{\mathsf{T}} P A + D_1^{\mathsf{T}} C \\ E^{\mathsf{T}} P A + D_2^{\mathsf{T}} C \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.9)

#### 2.2 Continuity

We first show that the stabilizing solution of the Riccati equation depends continuously on the system parameters if we do not have zeros on the unit circle.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $D_0$  be the open subset in D of systems  $\Sigma$  for which  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  has no zeros on the unit circle. For each element of  $D_0$ , the Riccati equation (2.1) has a unique solution P for which the matrix (2.9) is asymptotically stable. The function f from  $D_0$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  which assigns to each system in  $D_0$ , the associated stabilizing solution of the Riccati equation is continuous.

Our main objective is to show that the extension of this function f to the whole set  $\mathcal{D}$  is also continuous. We will need some technical lemmas. First of all a lemma related to the classical cheap control argument.

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $\Sigma$  be an arbitrary element of D such that  $D_1$  is invertible and  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  has no zeros outside the unit circle. For  $\varepsilon \neq 0$  small enough the following Riccati equation has a stabilizing solution  $P_{\varepsilon}$ :

$$P_{\varepsilon} = A^{T} P_{\varepsilon} A + C^{T} C + \varepsilon^{2} I - \begin{pmatrix} B^{T} P_{\varepsilon} A + D_{1}^{T} C \\ E^{T} P_{\varepsilon} A + D_{2}^{T} C \end{pmatrix}^{T} \\ \times G(P_{\varepsilon})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B^{T} P_{\varepsilon} A + D_{1}^{T} C \\ E^{T} P_{\varepsilon} A + D_{2}^{T} C \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.10)

where G is defined by (2.2). Moreover  $P_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

The above lemma states the more or less standard continuity of cheap control for a given minimum-phase system. The next lemma extends this result to compact sets of minimumphase systems.

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $D_1$  be any compact subset of D such that for all systems  $\Sigma$  in  $D_1$  the direct feedthrough matrix  $D_1$  is invertible and  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  has no zeros outside the unit circle. There exists  $\varepsilon^* > 0$  such that for all  $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon^*]$  and for all  $\Sigma \in D_1$ , we have  $\sigma(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$  in D. For each element in  $D_1$ and  $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon^*]$  there exists a smallest positive semi-definite stabilizing solution of the algebraic Riccati equation:

$$P = A^{T}PA + C^{T}C + \varepsilon^{2}I - \begin{pmatrix} B^{T}PA + D_{1}^{T}C \\ E^{T}PA + D_{2}^{T}C \end{pmatrix}^{T} \times G(P)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B^{T}PA + D_{1}^{T}C \\ E^{T}PA + D_{2}^{T}C \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.11)$$

where G is defined by (2.2), such that all the zeros of the matrix pencil (2.9) are in the closed unit disc. Moreover, P defines a map from  $\mathcal{D}_1 \times [0, \varepsilon^*]$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  which is well-defined and continuous.

**Theorem 2.4** Consider the set  $\mathcal{D}$  of systems  $\Sigma$  for which  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  is left-invertible and, if  $\gamma$  is finite, for which there exists  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that A + BF is stable and (2.8) is satisfied. The smallest, positive semi-definite semi-stabilizing solution of the algebraic Riccati equation is a continuous function from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

#### 2.3 Non-left-invertible systems

If a discrete time system is not left-invertible then we can almost always find a perturbation which yields a discontinuous jump in the semi-stabilizing solution of the algebraic Riccati equation. This is quite natural. After all if the system is not left-invertible then one has an input which does not have any affect on the to-be-controlled output z. After a small perturbation this input will have a (small) affect on the output z. It is a very small affect but since this input is not weighted in the performance criterion we can have high-gain feedback. The high gain can offset the fact that there is only a small affect on z and therefore a discontinuous jump. A simple example of this is given by the following system:

$$\Sigma: \begin{cases} x(k+1) = + & w(k) \\ z(k) = x(k) + \varepsilon u(k) \end{cases}$$

For  $\varepsilon = 0$  the control input cannot affect z at all and we will have a non-zero cost. On the other hand for  $\varepsilon \neq 0$  we can choose  $u = -\varepsilon^{-1}x$  which guarantees z = 0. For this example we have that the solution of the algebraic Riccati equation is non-zero for  $\varepsilon = 0$  and any  $\gamma$  and jumps to zero if we perturb  $\varepsilon$  away from 0.

The number of inputs that affect z is measured by the normal rank. Hence we might think that if a perturbation is such that the normal rank of  $C(zI - A)^{-1}B + D_1$  does not change then this perturbation changes the solution of the algebraic Riccati equation in a continuous manner. For a special case this property is indeed true:

**Theorem 2.5** Consider the set  $\mathcal{D}_{mp}$  of systems  $\Sigma$  for which (A, B) is stabilizable, which have no zeros outside the unit circle and for which, if  $\gamma$  is finite, there exists  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that  $A + BF_1$  is stable and (2.8) is satisfied. Consider a sequence of systems  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$  parameterized by  $(A_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}, C_{\varepsilon}, D_{1,\varepsilon}, D_{2,\varepsilon})$  which converges to  $\Sigma$ . Moreover assume that the normal rank of  $C_{\varepsilon}(zI - A_{\varepsilon})^{-1}B_{\varepsilon} + D_{1,\varepsilon}$  is equal to the normal rank of  $C(zI - A)^{-1}B + D_1$  for all  $\varepsilon$ . Then the smallest, positive semi-definite semi-stabilizing solution  $P_{\varepsilon}$  of the algebraic Riccati equation associated with  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$  converges to the smallest, positive semi-definite semistabilizing solution P of the algebraic Riccati equation associated with  $\Sigma$ .

The above theorem does not hold without the requirement that the system  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  has no unstable zeros. As an example consider the following system

$$\Sigma: \begin{cases} x(k+1) = 2x(k) + (1 \ \varepsilon)u(k) + w(k) \\ z(k) = (1 \ 0)u(k) \end{cases}$$

Clearly for this system the normal rank of the subsystem from u to z is equal to 1 for all  $\varepsilon$ . On the other hand the semistabilizing solution of the algebraic Riccati equation behaves discontinously. The reason is clearly that a major objective of this system is the requirement to stabilize the system. For  $\varepsilon \neq 0$  there is suddenly an extra input available to stabilize the system. This input is not weighted in the cost criterion and hence the cost jumps to 0. Therefore an additional condition is needed which ensures that we do not change the number of inputs that can stabilize unstable zeros. We can connect non-minimum-phase zeros to the following subspace:

**Definition 2.6** Consider a linear system  $\Sigma$  characterized by the quadruple (A, B, C, D). Then, the strongly controllable subspace  $\mathcal{R}^*(\Sigma)$  is defined as the maximal subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for which there exists a matrix F such that

- $\mathcal{R}^*(\Sigma)$  is (A + BF)-invariant
- $\mathcal{R}^*(\Sigma)$  is contained in Ker(C + DF).
- For each  $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$  there eixsts  $F_1$  such that  $\mathcal{R}^*(\Sigma)$  is  $A + BF_1$  invariant, contained in Ker $(C + DF_1)$  and the eigenvalues of  $A + BF_1$  restricted to  $\mathcal{R}^*(\Sigma)$  satisfy Re  $\lambda < \overline{\lambda}$ .

Note that this subspace is closely related to left-invertibility. In particular, a system is left-invertible if and only if  $\mathcal{R}^* = \{0\}$  and  $(B^T \quad D^T)$  is surjective. Basically the example given before is such that part of the state space associated with a non-minimum phase zero suddenly becomes part of  $\mathcal{R}^*$  by a small perturbation. We have to exclude this from happening. In particular, we can obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.7** Consider the set  $\mathcal{D}$  of systems  $\Sigma$  for which (A, B) is stabilizable and, if  $\gamma$  is finite, there exists  $F_1, F_2$  such that  $A + BF_1$  is stable and (2.8) is satisfied. Consider a sequence of perturbed systems  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$  with parameters  $(A_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}, C_{\varepsilon}, D_{1,\varepsilon}, D_{2,\varepsilon})$  which converges to  $\Sigma$ . Moreover assume that the normal rank of  $C_{\varepsilon}(zI - A_{\varepsilon})^{-1}B_{\varepsilon} + D_{1,\varepsilon}$  is equal to the normal rank of  $C(zI - A)^{-1}B + D_1$  for all  $\varepsilon$  and

$$\dim \mathcal{R}^*(A, B, C, D_1) = \dim \mathcal{R}^*(A_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}, C_{\varepsilon}, D_{1,\varepsilon})$$

for all  $\varepsilon$ . Then the smallest, positive semi-definite semistabilizing solution  $P_{\varepsilon}$  of the algebraic Riccati equation associated with  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$  converges to the smallest, positive semidefinite semi-stabilizing solution P of the algebraic Riccati equation associated with  $\Sigma$ .

## **3** Continuous time systems

## 3.1 Problem formulation

Consider the following quadratic matrix inequality

$$\begin{pmatrix} PA + A^{\mathsf{T}}P + C^{\mathsf{T}}C + \gamma^{-2}R & PB + C^{\mathsf{T}}D_1 \\ B^{\mathsf{T}}P + D_1^{\mathsf{T}}C & D_1^{\mathsf{T}}D_1 \end{pmatrix} \ge 0.$$
(3.1)

where we denote the matrix on the left by  $F_{\gamma}(P)$  and R is defined by  $R := (PE + C^{\mathsf{T}}D_2)(E^{\mathsf{T}}P + D_2^{\mathsf{T}}C)$ . We are interested in rank-minimizing solutions which imposes the following rank condition on solutions of the quadratic matrix inequality:

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{C}} F_{\gamma}(P) = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{R}(s)} G_{ci}$$
(3.2)

As in the discrete time we want to have semi-stabilizing solutions. In this setting, semi-stabilizing solutions are rankminimizing solutions which satisfy the following additional rank condition:

$$\operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} L_{\gamma}(P,s)\\ F_{\gamma}(P) \end{pmatrix} = n + \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{R}(s)} G_{ci} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{C}^{+}.$$
(3.3)

where

$$L_{\gamma}(P,s) := \begin{pmatrix} sI - A - \gamma^{-2} E E^{\mathsf{T}} P & -B \end{pmatrix}.$$

If this last rank condition is also satisfied on the imaginary axis then we will call P a stabilizing solution of the quadratic matrix inequality. Like in the continuous time we can associate this quadratic matrix inequality to an  $H_{\infty}$  control problem for the following system:

$$\Sigma: \begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu + Ew, \\ z = Cx + D_1u + D_2w. \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

Basically there exists a stabilizing feedback  $u = F_1 x + F_2 w$ such that the closed loop  $H_{\infty}$  norm is less than  $\gamma$  if and only if there exists a positive semi-definite semi-stabilizing, rankminimizing solution of the above quadratic matrix inequality and some additional conditions (see [4]).

For  $\gamma = \infty$  the general quadratic matrix inequality (3.1) reduces to the  $H_2$  linear matrix inequality:

$$F(P) := \begin{pmatrix} PA + A^{\mathsf{T}}P + C^{\mathsf{T}}C & PB + C^{\mathsf{T}}D_1 \\ B^{\mathsf{T}}P + D_1^{\mathsf{T}}C & D_1^{\mathsf{T}}D_1 \end{pmatrix} \ge 0.$$
(3.5)

The quadratic matrix inequality is associated to the system  $\Sigma$  which is parameterized by the matrices  $(A, B, E, C, D_1, D_2)$ . For finite  $\gamma$ , we define the set  $\mathcal{D}$  to be the class of systems  $\Sigma$  for which  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  is left-invertible and for which there exists matrices  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that  $A + BF_1$  is stable and

$$\|(C + DF_1)(sI - A - BF_1)^{-1}(E + BF_2) + (D_2 + D_1F_2)\|_{\infty} < \gamma \quad (3.6)$$

For the  $H_{\infty}$  control problem (i.e. finite  $\gamma$ ) the semistabilizing solution always exists for elements of the set  $\mathcal{D}$ (see [4]) but it need not be unique. We will study the smallest, positive semi-definite semi-stabilizing solution P of the quadratic matrix inequality which is obviously unique.

For the  $H_2$  problem, where  $\gamma = \infty$ , the set  $\mathcal{D}$  consists of systems  $\Sigma$  for which  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  is left-invertible and (A, B) is stabilizable. In that case, it is known (see [1]) that for elements of the set  $\mathcal{D}$  there exists a unique real symmetric semi-stabilizing solution P of the linear matrix inequality (3.5). Moreover, this solution is positive semi-definite.

Note that if  $D_1$  is injective then we can characterize rankminimizing solutions of the quadratic matrix inequality as those matrices P that satisfy the following standard Riccati equation:

$$0 = PA + A^{T}P + C^{T}C + \gamma^{-2}(PE + C^{T}D_{2})(E^{T}P + D_{2}^{T}C) - (PB + C^{T}D_{1})(D_{1}^{T}D_{1})^{-1}(B^{T}P + D_{1}^{T}C)$$

In this case a solution is semi-stabilizing or stabilizing if the following matrix

$$A + \gamma^{-2}E(E^{T}P + D_{2}^{T}C) - B(D_{1}^{T}D_{1})^{-1}(B^{T}P + D_{1}^{T}C)$$

has all eigenvalues in the closed or open right half plane respectively.

In the next subsection, we will show that P depends continuously on the system parameters for systems in the set D both for finite  $\gamma$  and for  $\gamma = \infty$ . Since we allow for zeros on the imaginary axis, this continuity is far from obvious. In subsection 3.3 we study continuity questions for systems outside the set D.

## 3.2 Continuity

We first show that the stabilizing, rank-minimizing solution of the quadratic matrix inequality depends continuously on the system parameters if we do not have zeros on the (extended) imaginary axis.

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $\mathcal{D}_0$  be the open subset in  $\mathcal{D}$  of systems  $\Sigma$  for which  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  has no zeros on the imaginary axis and  $D_1$  is injective. For each element of  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , the quadratic matrix inequality (3.1) has a unique rank-minimizing, stabilizing solution P. The function f from  $\mathcal{D}_0$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  which assigns to each system in  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , the associated rank-minimizing stabilizing solution of the quadratic matrix inequality is continuous.

Our main objective is to show that the extension of this function f to the whole set  $\mathcal{D}$  is also continuous. The derivation will be mutatis mutandis equivalent to the discrete time. First we need some technical lemmas. The following lemma is related to the classical cheap control argument.

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $\Sigma$  be an arbitrary element of D such that  $D_1$  is invertible and  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  has no zeros in the right half plane. For  $\varepsilon \neq 0$  small enough the following quadratic matrix inequality has a stabilizing solution  $P_{\varepsilon}$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} PA + A^{T}P + C^{T}C + \varepsilon^{2}I + \gamma^{-2}R & PB + C^{T}D_{1} \\ B^{T}P + D_{1}^{T}C & D_{1}^{T}D_{1} + \varepsilon^{2}I \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$
(3.7)

where R is defined by  $R := (PE + C^{T}D_{2})(E^{T}P + D_{2}^{T}C)$ . Moreover  $P_{\varepsilon} \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

Again we extend the continuity from one system to a compact set of systems:

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $D_1$  be a compact subset of D consisting of systems  $\Sigma$  for which  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  has no zeros in the open right half plane. There exists  $\varepsilon^* > 0$  such that for all  $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon^*]$  and for all  $\Sigma \in D_1$ , we have  $\sigma(\Sigma, \varepsilon)$  in D. For each element in  $D_1$  and  $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon^*]$  there exists a smallest positive semi-definite stabilizing solution of the quadratic matrix inequality.:

$$\begin{pmatrix} PA + A^{T}P + C^{T}C + \varepsilon^{2}I + \gamma^{-2}R & PB + C^{T}D_{1} \\ B^{T}P + D_{1}^{T}C & D_{1}^{T}D_{1} + \varepsilon^{2}I \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$
(3.8)

where R is defined by  $R := (PE + C^rD_2)(E^rP + D_2^rC)$ . P defines a map from  $\mathcal{D}_1 \times [0, \varepsilon^*]$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  which is welldefined and continuous.

Then using a transformation we can obtain the general result from the above lemma:

**Theorem 3.4** Consider the set  $\mathcal{D}$  of systems  $\Sigma$  for which  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  is left-invertible and, if  $\gamma$  is finite, for which there exists  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that A + BF is stable and (3.6) is satisfied. The smallest, positive semi-definite semi-stabilizing solution of the algebraic Riccati equation is a continuous function from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

## 3.3 Non-left-invertible systems

If a continuous time system is not left-invertible then we can almost always find a perturbation which yields a discontinuous jump in the semi-stabilizing solution of the quadratic matrix inequality. This is quite natural. Basically the same arguments as in the discrete time case apply. Discontinuities only occur if we obtain an additional input that can either affect to the be controlled output z or can stabilize the non-minimum-phase zeros. The examples given in subsection 2.3 can easily be adapted to the continuous time and the two theorems are repeated below in a continuous time setting.

**Theorem 3.5** Consider the set  $D_{mp}$  of systems  $\Sigma$  for which (A, B) is stabilizable,  $(A, B, C, D_1)$  has no zeros in the open right half plane and, if  $\gamma$  is finite, there exists  $F_1, F_2$  such that A + BF is stable and (3.6) is satisfied. Consider a sequence of systems  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$  parameterized by  $(A_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}, C_{\varepsilon}, D_{1,\varepsilon}, D_{2,\varepsilon})$  which converges to  $\Sigma$ . Moreover assume that the normal rank of  $C_{\varepsilon}(sI - A_{\varepsilon})^{-1}B_{\varepsilon} + D_{1,\varepsilon}$  is equal to the normal rank of  $C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D_1$  for all  $\varepsilon$ . Then the smallest, positive semi-definite semi-stabilizing solution  $P_{\varepsilon}$  of the quadratic matrix inequality associated with  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$  converges to the smallest, positive semi-definite semi-stabilizing solution P of the quadratic matrix inequality associated with  $\Sigma$ .

We need the definition of  $\mathcal{R}^*$  given in definition 2.6. We can then obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.6** Consider the set  $\mathcal{D}$  of systems  $\Sigma$  for which (A, B) is stabilizable and if  $\gamma$  is finite, for which there exists  $F_1, F_2$  such that  $A + BF_1$  is stable and (3.6) is satisfied. Consider a sequence of perturbed systems  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$  with parameters  $(A_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}, C_{\varepsilon}, D_{1,\varepsilon}, D_{2,\varepsilon})$  which converges to  $\Sigma$ . Moreover assume that the normal rank of  $C_{\varepsilon}(sI - A_{\varepsilon})^{-1}B_{\varepsilon} + D_{1,\varepsilon}$  is equal to the normal rank of  $C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D_1$  for all  $\varepsilon$  and dim  $\mathcal{R}^*(A, B, C, D_1) = \dim \mathcal{R}^*(A_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}, C_{\varepsilon}, D_{1,\varepsilon})$  for all  $\varepsilon$ .

Then the smallest, positive semi-definite semi-stabilizing solution  $P_{\varepsilon}$  of the algebraic Riccati equation associated with  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$  converges to the smallest, positive semi-definite semistabilizing solution P of the algebraic Riccati equation associated with  $\Sigma$ .

## References

- [1] A. SABERI B. M. CHEN AND P. SANNUTI, H<sub>2</sub> Optimal Control, Prentice Hall International, 1994. To appear.
- [2] P. GAHINET AND A.A. STOORVOGEL, "Continuity properties of the  $H_{\infty}$  optimal gain", in Proc. European Control Conference, 1993, pp. 1171–1175.
- [3] A.A. STOORVOGEL, The  $H_{\infty}$  control problem: a state space approach, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1992.
- [4] —, "The  $H_{\infty}$  control problem with zeros on the boundary of the stability domain", To appear in Int. J. Control, 1995.
- [5] A.A. STOORVOGEL AND A. SABERI, "The discrete algebraic Riccati equation and linear matrix inequality", in Proc. IEEE Conf. Dec. & Control, Orlando, FL, 1994, pp. 1511–1516. Extended version submitted to SIAM J. Matrix Anal. & Appl.
- [6] H.L. TRENTELMAN, "Families of linear-quadratic problems: continuity properties", IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr., 32 (1987), pp. 323–329.