

Fluid-structure interaction in liquid-filled pipe systems : sources, solutions and unsolved problems

Citation for published version (APA): Tijsseling, A. S. (2002). *Fluid-structure interaction in liquid-filled pipe systems : sources, solutions and unsolved* problems. (IWDÈ report; Vol. 0205). Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/2002

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Rapport IWDE 02 - 05

Fluid-structure interaction in liquid-filled pipe systems: sources, solutions and unsolved problems

A.S. Tijsseling

Mei 2002

Instituut Wiskundige Dienstverlening Eindhoven

Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

FLUID-STRUCTURE INTERACTION IN LIQUID-FILLED PIPE SYSTEMS: SOURCES, SOLUTIONS AND UNSOLVED PROBLEMS

A.S. Tijsseling

May 2002

EDF Final Report



FLUID-STRUCTURE INTERACTION IN LIQUID-FILLED PIPE SYSTEMS: SOURCES, SOLUTIONS AND UNSOLVED PROBLEMS

A.S. Tijsseling Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Eindhoven University of Technology P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven The Netherlands

May 2002

Research Report

Final Report for:

ELECTRICITÉ DE FRANCE Direction des Etudes et Recherches Service Ensembles de Production Département Acoustique et Mécanique Vibratoire 1 avenue du Général de Gaulle 92141 Clamart France

Contract Number: E26991

Research Officers: Patrick Vaugrante, Pierre Moussou and Sebastien Caillaud

Résumé

This final report is the result of an extensive literature and internet search. It is intended as an introduction to the subject and as a guide to relevant literature.

The main themes are FSI (fluid-structure interaction) and FIV (flow-induced vibration) in liquid-filled pipe systems. Roughly, one may say that FSI refers to unsteady and FIV to steady flow phenomena, exciting pipe systems. The review is specially dedicated to EDF.

The report lists 146 references.

SUMMARY

The themes of this report are fluid-structure interaction (FSI) and flow-induced vibration (FIV) in internal liquid flows (as opposed to external and gas flows) in pipe systems. Roughly one may say that FSI stands for unsteady-flow induced vibration and FIV for steady-flow induced vibration. This is explained in Section 2.

The report is a survey of and a guide to literature on FSI and FIV. For FSI, the review by Wiggert and Tijsseling (2001) is the starting point. Section 3 summarises the subject and lists new references. For FIV, the review by Weaver *et al* (2000) is the starting point. Section 4 summarises this paper and also the standard textbooks. A list of all possible excitation sources can be found in Section 5. Vibrating bends and branches, being the most important FSI mechanisms, are studied in Section 6. The Sections 7 and 8 are on codes, standards and current research activity. Future work and conclusions are given in the Sections 9 and 10. The list of references comprises 146 publications.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY

1.	PERSON	IAL BACKGROUND	1
	1.1.	The author	1
	1.2.	The institute	1
2.	INTROD	DUCTION	2
	2.1.	Context of the study	2
	2.2.	FSI versus FIV	2
	2.3.	Report outline	3
3.	FLUID-	STRUCTURE INTERACTION (FSI)	4
	3.1.	History	4
	3.2.	Coupling mechanisms	5
	3.3.	Importance of FSI	7
	3.4.	Practical applications	12
	3.5.	Recent work	13
4.	4. FLOW-INDUCED VIBRATION (FIV)		14
	4.1.	Introduction	14
	4.2.	Review paper by Weaver et al (2000)	14
		4.2.1. Turbulence	14
		4.2.2. Vorticity shedding	15
		4.2.3. Leakage flow-induced vibration	16
		4.2.4. Axial-flow-induced vibration	17
		4.2.5. Fluidelastic instability	17
	4.3.	The textbooks	18
5.	Excit	ATION SOURCES: SUMMARY	23
	5.1.	Exciters for the fluid	23
	5.2.	Exciters for the piping	26
6	EXCIT	ATION SOURCES: VIBRATING BENDS AND BRANCHES	26
	6.1.	Introduction	26
	6.2.	Bends	27
	6.3.	Branches	29

. CODES AND STANDARDS	
7.1. ASME B31	30
7.2. NEN 3650	30
7.3. Stress criteria	30
8. CURRENT FSI RESEARCH ACTIVITY	30
9. UNSOLVED PROBLEMS	32
10.Conclusion	33
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	34
References	
History	34
FSI - reviews	35
FSI - recent work (1997-2002)	36
FSI - earlier work (before 1997)	39
FSI - single-elbow experiments and single-branch experiments	40
FIV - reviews	42
FIV - textbooks	43
FIV - papers and reports	45
Codes and Standards	47
Stress criteria	47
ACRONYMS	48
Symbols	48

1. PERSONAL BACKGROUND

1.1. The author

Arris S Tijsseling has an MSc (in Technical Mathematics and Informatics) and a PhD (in Civil Engineering) from Delft University of Technology in The Netherlands. He has spent two and half years at the renowned Dutch research institutes National Aerospace Laboratory and Delft Hydraulics Laboratory. He has been a post-doctoral research fellow with Professor Alan E Vardy, working on the suppression of waterhammer-induced vibration, in the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Dundee, Scotland. On 1 December 1995 he was appointed Lecturer in the field of Fluid Mechanics. Per 1 September 1999 he is Assistant Professor in the Department of Mathematics and Computer Science of Eindhoven University of Technology.

The author has been working on fluid-structure interaction (FSI) in pipe systems since 1986 and has about 35 publications on this subject. In 1994 he was co-recipient of the Vreedenburgh prize of the Royal Dutch Institute of Engineers. The applicant's work is referenced in the Dutch Pipe Code NEN-3650 English (1998). He is co-author of EDF-DRD Technical Note HP-54/99/030/A (1999).

1.2. The institute

Eindhoven University of Technology (TUE) and Eindhoven Centre for Computational Engineering (ECCE)

TUE - ECCE

TUE is a relatively young university. It was founded some 45 years ago and it is situated in the southern part of The Netherlands. ECCE is a co-operation of some 15 chairs at TUE, from the Departments of Mathematics and Computer Science, Technical Physics, Mechanical, Chemical and Electrical Engineering. Industrial orientation, and at the same time a recognised high quality of research, is evidenced by the establishment of top technological institutes (TTIs) and top scientific research schools in selected areas with a strong international profile. Indeed, one out of four technological institutes and two out of five selected top research schools in The Netherlands have their main base in Eindhoven.

Research

Theoretical and experimental science are more and more supplemented by computational science. Modelling and numerical simulation are therefore an important tool. Typical areas in which various groups work together are material technology, biomedical technology, process industry, dynamics and control, heat and mass transfer and geophysical flows. Multidisciplinary teams work here together with industrial companies on both small problems and longer-term basic issues.

Training

ECCE fosters a training programme in Computational Science and Engineering (CSE). The master's programme has a strong application orientation. Students are expected to take a minor in a technical discipline and to carry out a practical 9 months project in an industrial environment. The PhD programme is usually based on a problem originating from and often paid by industry. Also in the framework of ECMI there is a European graduate programme.

Service

There exists strong co-operation with a large variety of industries. Smaller and larger projects for companies are done by students, who often find a job there after completing their thesis. The mathematics department has a special service bureau (IWDE) for technical co-ordination of such contract work. There is expertise in doing this on an international level.

2. INTRODUCTION

2.1. Context of the study

Vibration in piping systems is a complex phenomenon not well understood by many pipework designers. Currently there are no standards and just a few guidelines to assist in determining which systems might be at risk. Through the project VICI, EDF is trying to improve its comprehension of vibrations of water pipes in the low frequency range and to propose an approachable method of analysis for the majority of the EDF piping systems (Moussou 2002).

This contract with Eindhoven University of Technology intends to fulfil a part of this objective by summarising, from an engineering point of view, the mechanisms inducing vibrations in pipe systems.

This report intends to be a guide to published work (papers and books) in the area of FSI (fluidstructure interaction) and FIV (flow-induced vibration) in liquid-filled pipe systems. This is a very broad area, and only certain aspects of importance for EDF will be highlighted. The report should leave the reader with an impression of what exists and of what still has to be developed. Furthermore, codes and standards used in practice will be compared with the present state of knowledge. An inventory of current research activities is presented.

2.2. FSI versus FIV

The terms FSI and FIV are used promiscuously in the literature. In this report, the term FSI is used for unsteady flow interacting with pipe vibration. The term FIV will be used for stationary flow inducing pipe vibration. FSI generally involves two-way (fluid \leftrightarrow pipe) interaction. For FIV the interaction normally is one-way (fluid \rightarrow pipe). Of course, there are regions where the above-made definitions of FSI and FIV overlap.

The stationary (or steady) flow is considered time-averaged: it includes turbulence and vorticity, and the associated small (compared to the steady state) velocity and pressure fluctuations. In this sense, the above-made definitions differ from the classification made by Blevins (1990, p. 2) which is shown in Figure 1. The top diagram refers to FIV and the bottom diagram to FSI, except that herein the random excitation by turbulence is placed under the FIV label.

The terms waterhammer and acoustics will be used in the following way, noting that both phenomena concern pressure waves. Waterhammer is transient unsteady flow of large amplitude, considered in the time domain. It is related to FSI. Acoustics concerns steady-oscillatory flow of small amplitude, which may become of large amplitude under resonance and instability conditions. It is considered in the frequency domain and related to both FSI and FIV. Waterhammer can be generated by valve and pump operation. Acoustic sources can be vortex shedding and the vibration of fluid machinery. Of course, there are many grey areas where both definitions apply.



Fig. A A classification of flow-induced vibrations.

FIGURE 1 (Blevins 1990, p. 2)

2.3. Report outline

FSI and FIV are dealt with in the Sections 3 and 4, respectively. All possible sources of excitation of liquid-filled pipe systems are summarised in Section 5. The most important of these probably is the vibrating bend, which therefore deserves special attention in Section 6. What codes and standards say about FSI and FIV is reported in Section 7. Section 8 surveys current research activity and Section 9 addresses future work. Section 10 concludes the report.

3. FLUID-STRUCTURE INTERACTION (FSI)

The papers by Tijsseling (1996) and Wiggert and Tijsseling (2001) give a fairly complete picture of what has been achieved in the area of fluid-structure interaction in liquid-filled pipe systems. A short summary and some additions are given here. Section 3.5 lists overlooked and new contributions to the subject that were not mentioned in the above-mentioned papers.

3.1. History

The study of the propagation of waves in liquid-filled elastic tubes has a rich history. Two excellent historical reviews, in French, are those by Boulanger (1913) and Lambossy (1950, 1951). Both authors mention the work by Von Kries (1892), who, the author recently discovered, preceded (Von Kries 1883) Joukowsky (1898) in finding - and validating experimentally - the relation between pressure and velocity in waterhammer. In those days, to actually measure the transient event of waterhammer, one needed either long pipelines or low pressure wavespeeds. Joukowsky conducted experiments in the Moscow drinking water system and had long lengths, and Von Kries used rubber hoses with low wavespeeds.

Classical papers on FSI are those by Korteweg (1878), Lamb (1898) and Skalak (1956).



. Miner

3.2. Coupling mechanisms

FSI in liquid-filled pipe systems is caused by four interaction mechanisms: friction coupling, Poisson coupling, junction coupling and Bourdon coupling. *Friction coupling* is the mutual friction between the liquid and the axially vibrating pipe wall. *Poisson coupling* relates pressures in the liquid to axial stresses in the pipes through the radial displacements of the pipe walls. While friction and Poisson coupling act along the entire pipe, the more important *junction coupling* acts wherever there is a branch or a change in area or flow direction. For example, the vibration of a short unrestrained elbow generates pressure variations in the liquid, which in turn excites the elbow and other junctions in the system. *Bourdon coupling* occurs in curved fluid-filled tubes of (slightly) non-circular cross-section. Internal fluid pressure unbends the tube and externally imposed bending changes the fluid flow. The mechanism, used in Bourdon gauges to measure liquid pressures, is probably best known from unrolling paper party tooters.

Dimensional or multi-scale analysis will show that friction (coupling) is unimportant in transient events. The Poisson coupling terms generally are small, but their effect may accumulate in time. Poisson coupling is of importance for modes of vibration that are predominantly in the axial direction of the individual pipes. Junction coupling is important when the excitation is fast enough to generate large unbalanced pressure forces in the piping system.

Pipe systems vibrate in axial, radial, lateral and torsional directions. In the axial vibration pipe and fluid interact through Poisson and friction coupling. In the lateral vibration the contained liquid acts as added mass. Torsional vibration is not affected by the liquid. Unrestrained pipe junctions couple fluid and pipes, and they let the axial, lateral and torsional vibrations interact.

Chen (1987, Section 4.3) showed that two modes of <u>axial</u> wave propagation are non-evanescent at low frequencies. One mode corresponds to pressure waves with large radial pipe expansions, the other to axial stress waves with small radial pipe contractions. The two modes, corresponding to waterhammer and precursor waves, are non-dispersive at low frequencies. The constant phase velocities (wavespeeds) are defined by Chen's equation 4.15, which takes into account the influence of wall thickness; i.e. terms of the order of e/R are not neglected with respect to 1.

Chen (1987, Section 4.3) showed that only one mode of <u>lateral</u> wave propagation exists at low frequencies. This mode is highly dispersive. Chen followed Reissner (1955) in defining the dispersion equation 4.19, which includes both the pipe wall thickness and a frequency-dependent added mass coefficient. Figure 2, from (Chen 1987, Section 4.3, Fig. 4.5), shows that the added mass coefficient, C_m , given in his equation 4.14, is close to one at low frequencies, $\alpha = 2\pi R/\lambda$.

Figure 3, from (Au-Yang 2001, Section 2.10, Fig. 2.16), shows the influence of pipe wall thickness. In the low frequency range, due to the fluid loading, the frequency of lateral vibration is lowered in proportion to $1/\sqrt{1+\frac{\rho_s R}{\rho_f e} c_m}$, where C_m is about 1.



FIGURE 4.5. Values of the added mass coefficient for n = 1 to 5 (Chen and Rosenberg 1974)





Figure 2.16 Speed of bending waves as a function of pipe wall thickness and frequencies, based on data from Mayo, et al. (Copyright © 1988, EPRI report NP-5743, Electric Power Research Institute, reproduced with permission.)

FIGURE 3 (Au-Yang 2001, Section 2.10, Fig. 2.16)

3.3. Importance of FSI

The main effects of fluid-structure interaction are problem dependent. When compared to predictions of conventional waterhammer and uncoupled analyses, predictions including fluid-structure interaction may lead to: higher or lower extreme pressures and stresses, changes in the natural frequencies of the system, and more damping and dispersion in the pressure and stress histories.

The classical theory of waterhammer predicts a square-wave pressure history (at the valve, friction neglected) in a reservoir-pipeline-valve system subjected to sudden valve closure (Chaudhry 1987, p. 14; Wylie and Streeter 1993, p. 50, p. 65). It has been shown by Tijsseling and Heinsbroek (1999) that this square wave is distorted in flexibly supported pipelines. Moving pipe (b)ends may (1) introduce higher-frequency pressure oscillations, (2) make square waves "triangular" and consequently wavefronts less steep, (3) change the system's main frequency and (4) invalidate application of Joukowsky's formula (Eq. 1 herein).

Fully fluid-structure coupled models are required to analyse flexible pipelines subjected to rapid excitation, in particular to assess accurately the anchor forces. FSI models give a more accurate prediction of pressure, stress and displacement amplitudes, of natural and resonance frequencies, of damping, and of anchor and support forces.

Amplitudes

Many investigators have shown that the pressure amplitudes during a waterhammer event in a pipeline may exceed Joukowsky's value (Eq. 1 herein) as a result of pipe flexibility. For example, figure 7c in (Tijsseling 1996) shows a Joukowsky overshoot of 100%. In general, the flexibility of pipe systems causes pressures higher than Joukowsky in the beginning of a transient event. Later on, in systems with movable bends, the pressures will be lower than Joukowsky, because energy has then been transferred from the fluid pulsation to lateral pipe vibration.

Frequencies

The <u>structural</u> natural frequencies of a fluid-filled pipe system are usually obtained from an analysis in which the fluid is dead mass. The <u>fluid</u> natural frequencies, if required, are then obtained from an analysis in which the pipe system is rigid. This approach will fail in compliant systems with structural and fluid frequencies close to each other. Fluid-structure interaction by junction coupling - e.g. movable (b)ends - will separate coinciding fluid and structural frequencies (as found without considering FSI). This is nicely shown in the Figures 4 and 5 copied from

Diesselhorst *et al* (2000) and Hara (1988), respectively. Figure 4 corresponds to a coupled fluidstructure oscillator and Figure 5 to a Z-shaped pipeline. Moussou *et al* (2000) gave a most detailed study of such a Z-system and they came to the conclusion that, due to FSI, fluid and structural frequencies can<u>not</u> coincide. Thus, FSI prevents a certain type of resonance behaviour as seen in the uncoupled calculations for a single pipe with a vibrating closed end (Müller 1989, Fig. 7; Wiggert and Tijsseling 2001, Fig. 2b) and for a pipeline with six movable bends (Kellner and Schönfelder 1982, Fig. 6), see Figure 6.



FIGURE 4 (Diesselhorst *et al* 2000, Fig. 4)



Fig. 7 Comparison of the seismic acceleration response spectrum between the dead-mass model (\circ) and the pressure-wave-pipinginteraction model (\bullet)—r = (a) 0.5594, (b) 0.9945, (c) 1.793, (d) 2.225

FIGURE 5 (Hara 1988, Fig 7)



FIGURE 6 (Kellner and Schönfelder 1982, Fig. 6)

FSI prevents this type of resonance behaviour, because it prohibits coinciding fluid and structural frequencies. Uncoupled calculations predict resonance, where fully-coupled calculations do not. In this way the results of uncoupled calculations are much too conservative.

Damping

This subject has been reviewed by Leslie and Tijsseling (1999). See also Blevins (1990, Chapter 8).

FSI damping (from Leslie and Tijsseling 1999)

Hydraulic engineers regard pipes as rigid containers resisting their liquid flow through wall friction. However, in waterhammer analyses the hoop elasticity of pipes is taken into account to reduce the pressure wave speed. Pipe motion and associated inertia effects are normally ignored. In doing so, they may not be aware that energy is transferred from liquid pulsation to pipe vibration, and observe more liquid damping than anticipated.

Structural engineers regard liquids as rigid columns pressurising their elastic pipes. However, in vibration analyses the mass of liquids is taken into account to reduce the structural frequencies. Liquid elasticity and associated waterhammer effects are normally ignored. In doing so, they may

not be aware that energy is transferred from pipe vibration to liquid pulsation, and observe more structural damping than anticipated.

FSI engineers consider elastic liquids contained within elastic pipes and they take into account the mutual energy transfer. Liquid energy cannot only be lost to the vibrating pipe system, but it can also be gained from it.

An illustrative example is provided by a vibrating semi-infinite liquid-filled pipe with a closed end that is massless and free to move. The vibrating end will generate pressure waves in the liquid according to the formula of *Joukowsky*:

$$P = \rho_f c_f V \qquad FLUID \tag{1}$$

The following end conditions relate the pressure pulsation to the structural vibration:

$$V = \dot{u} \tag{2}$$

$$F = A_f P = A_s \sigma \tag{3}$$

Combining the equations (1), (2) and (3) gives:

FSI

$$c \dot{u} = F$$
 with $c = \rho_f c_f A_f$ STRUCTURE (4)

In this example, where the pipe vibration is imposed, the moving end is an exciter for the liquid and a dash-pot (damper) for the pipe. On the other hand, when the liquid pulsation is imposed, the moving end is an exciter for the pipe and a dash-pot (damper) for the liquid. In general, both effects occur at the same time, something fully accounted for in FSI analyses.

Coriolis damping

In systems with high flow velocities, Coriolis forces in the fluid have a dampening effect on flexural pipe vibrations. This phenomenon is fully explained by Païdoussis (1998), who also gave the governing equations including Kelvin-Voigt internal and viscous external damping.

This subject is reviewed in Section 7.2 of Wiggert and Tijsseling (2001).

Frictional damping

In systems with relative motion between fluid and structure, the friction and drag forces are proportional to $|V - \dot{u}| (V - \dot{u})$ instead of |V|V. For small structural velocities, $\dot{u} \ll V$, which is valid for example for small pulsations on top of a steady flow, Blevins (1990, Section 6.2.2) linearised this term to $|V|V - |V|\dot{u}$. The first term is the steady-state friction force, which is an

external force for the structure. In a harmonic analysis, because of the V^2 non-linearity, this term gives rise to higher harmonics. The second term is a time-dependent damping force for the structure, which may not be neglected because it is of importance for the dynamic response near resonance conditions.

Often the damping force will not follow the ideal of the viscous damper (which is proportional to \dot{u}). It is possible to define *equivalent viscous damping* as the viscous damping that expends the same energy per cycle of vibration as the actual damping force. Blevins (1990, Section 8.1, Table 8.1) gives equivalent damping factors for Coulomb (friction), hysteretic (displacement dependent) and velocity power-law damping models.

3.4. Practical applications

The practical applications / importance of FSI can be captured by the following keywords:

Nuclear industry (safety)

Chemical industry (environment)

Aerospace industry (light structures)

Marine industry (noise)

Hydropower stations (stability)

Petroleum industry (long pipelines)

Earthquake engineering (Japan)

Dyke crossings (The Netherlands)

Biomedical engineering (haemodynamics)

Laboratory experiments (accuracy)

Fatigue

COSTS

3.5. Recent work

The three review papers by Wiggert (1996), Tijsseling (1996), and Wiggert and Tijsseling (2001) give a fairly complete overview of FSI research and application. There is no need to repeat what is mentioned in these papers here. What is listed below can be regarded as an addition to and extension of the above review papers through overlooked and new literature.

Reseachers not mentioned in the three FSI reviews

Altstadt et al (2000); Chun and Yu (2000); Formaggia et al (2001); Giot et al (2001); Hansson and Sandberg (2001); Kollmann et al (1998); Kollmann and Swidersky (2000a); Kollmann and Swidersky (2000b); Liu and Ohashi (1988); Reissner (1955); Repp (1998); Schumann (1979); Shu et al (2001); Stoessel et al (1988); Thompson et al (1989); Uspuras et al (2001); Vatkova et al (1999); Yamaguchi et al (1982); Yamaguchi and Kondo (1989)

Reseachers mentioned in the three FSI reviews, except for the papers:

Bahrar *et al* (1998b); this is the paper of Bahrar *et al* (1998a) with viscoelasticity included; Bergant and Tijsseling (2001); Chen and Rosenberg (1974); Charley *et al* (1998); Charley and Carta (2001); Ichchou *et al* (1998); Ichchou *et al* (1999); Jiao *et al* (1999); Moussou and Boyelle (1999); Müller (1989); Munjal and Thawani (1997); Ohayon (1986); Tijsseling and Vaugrante (2001); Zhang *et al* (2000a); Zhang *et al* (2000b)

4. FLOW-INDUCED VIBRATION (FIV)

4.1. Introduction

FIV has become a broad area of research. An excellent state-of-the-art paper has been written by six leading experts in the field: Weaver, Ziada, Au-Yang, Chen, Païdoussis and Pettigrew (Weaver *et al* 2000). This paper is the starting point for the present review. It is summarised in Section 4.2 with respect to liquid-filled pipes. What the standard textbooks, and some relevant papers, say about fluid-conveying pipes is reported in Section 4.3. Section 5 summarises all FIV and FSI excitation sources.

4.2. Review paper by Weaver et al (2000)

This section discusses five general excitation mechanisms that may occur in internal pipe flow.

4.2.1. Turbulence

Nearly all industrial pipe flows are turbulent. Despite DNS, LES and a whole family of k- ε models that can be used to compute turbulent flow, preference is given to a practical approach in terms of power spectral density functions (PSD). Some empirical PSD formulae are given in section 2.1 (of Weaver *et al* 2000). The PSD are input to a probabilistic structural analysis, which calculates pipe responses. Au-Yang (2001, Chapter 8) describes this method in detail. Although turbulence-induced vibration or turbulence/acoustics-induced vibrations are yet an issue in nuclear piping systems, turbulence is not further pursued here, because the next type of excitation, vorticity shedding, is considered to be much more important.

4.2.2. Vorticity shedding

Where turbulence is a random excitation, vorticity shedding is an almost periodic excitation whose frequency varies linearly with the flow velocity. The generated pressure and velocity fluctuations appear in the pressure and turbulence spectra as a discrete frequency excitation. The excitation level is generally weak, unless it is coupled with a feedback mechanism that may drastically enhance the flow oscillations such that excessive vibration and/or acute noise problems are likely to occur. Feedback can be provided by structural vibrations (for example pipe bends), by acoustic resonators (for example a closed side branch excited by grazing flow in the main) and by flow impingement on a downstream subject (this may occur, for example, in corrugated pipes). All three feedback mechanisms can coexist.

Vorticity-induced vibration. As the vortex shedding frequency, determined by the Strouhal number St = f D / V, approaches a natural frequency of the structure (piping, valve body, pump blade, etc) resonant oscillations may develop. These structural vibrations strongly enhance the vortex shedding and they lock the shedding frequency to the structural frequency. This phenomenon is called "lock-in" or "synchronisation". Lock-in can occur over a flow velocity range of about $\pm 20\%$ of that to produce vortex shedding resonance. Lightly damped structures in heavy fluid (liquid) flows are most susceptible to damaging vibrations caused by vortex shedding. A first step in the identification of FIV problems is the comparison of structural frequencies with Strouhal numbers. Blevins (1990, Section 3.2) gives Strouhal numbers for various bodies in external flow. He also gives a "universal" Strouhal number based on the width (*D*) between the flow separation points, which is valid for any bluff section, regardless of section geometry, and over a broad range of Reynolds numbers. Its value is approximately 0.2.

Vorticity-induced acoustic resonance. Howe (1975) derived the following formula for the power, *P*, of vorticity-induced sound:

$$P = -\rho_f \iiint \omega \cdot (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{v}) \, \mathrm{d} \, \forall \tag{5}$$

where ω is the vorticity, V the flow velocity, v the superposed particle velocity of the sound field, and \forall the volume containing the vorticity field. Formula (5) is valid in cases with high Reynolds and low Mach numbers.

Fluid flow in pipes containing multiple closed side-branches in close proximity can generate very powerful acoustic resonances. The side branches decouple themselves from the rest of the piping system and form an acoustic resonator with negligible radiation losses. The strongest resonance occurs when the excitation at the branch mouth is a shear layer with just one vortex. Ziada (1993) gave a Strouhal number chart to estimate the critical velocity in the main pipe at the

onset of resonance, which includes the effects of diameter ratio, geometry of the side branches and location of upstream elbows. He discussed counter-measures to reduce the pulsation amplitudes and he remarked that measured source terms can be incorporated relatively easily into computer codes of pipe acoustics.

Control valves have the inherent feature that a high-speed jet issues from the vena-contracta. Another common feature is the smallness of the valve body which, in many cases, provides a downstream impingement boundary. Flow impingement in valves can cause severe noise problems and/or acoustic fatigue failures, especially when acoustic modes are excited (Ziada *et al* 1989).

Ziada *et al* (1999, 2001) and Schafbuch *et al* (1997) showed that the separation of low-speed flows in entrance ports of control valves and upstream elbows can cause acoustic resonance in the connected piping systems.

Broadband acoustic power, for example generated by high-pressure reduction valves, may cause acoustic fatigue damage to the downstream piping system. Graf *et al* (1997) proposed a systematic approach to predict and reduce the broadband noise level produced by gas throttling devices. Eisinger (1997) gave a design chart for the prevention of acoustic fatigue failure.

4.2.3. Leakage flow-induced vibration

Leakage flow is an important excitation mechanism that is not directly related to vorticity shedding and/or turbulence. It occurs, for example, in liquid control valves. When these valves are nearly closed, the local high-velocity leakage flow may cause an instability with coupled flow and valvebody oscillations. These oscillations may interact with acoustic modes in the piping and with downstream vortex streets. An upstream nearly-closed or leaking valve is generally less stable than a downstream one (Miller 1970; Mulcahy 1983, 1988).

Weaver et al (2000) address this subject very briefly.

Naudascher and Rockwell (1994, Section 7.4) give a nice introduction. Figure 7 is from their book. The left figure shows the system, the right one the corresponding stability diagram. The symbols in the stability diagram are explained in the sketch of the system, with γ the specific weight of the liquid.

For further information, see Blevins (1990, Section 10.4.2), Chen (1987, Section 6.10) and Au-Yang (2001, Sections 10.5 and 10.6).



fluid inertia; horizontal lines = experimental stability threshold $L/\sqrt{A_v} = 221$ (after D'Netto & Weaver, 1987).

FIGURE 7 Naudascher and Rockwell (1994, Section 7.4, Fig. 7.46)

4.2.4. Axial-flow-induced vibration

Axial-flow-induced vibration in fluid-conveying pipes refers to lateral pipe vibration caused by flow-induced centrifugal and Coriolis forces. Païdoussis (1998) gives an encyclopaedic treatise of the subject, which focuses on stability analysis. Wiggert and Tijsseling (2001, Section 7.2) summarise literature combining Païdoussis-type of FIV work with Wiggert-type of FSI work.

The basic equations describing the phenomenon as a Bernoulli-Euler beam with internal axial flow, and axial-lateral coupling mechanisms, are given by Païdoussis (1998, Section 3.3.2).

In industrial pipe systems, axial-flow-induced vibration is predicted to occur at unrealistically high flow velocities. It is of less practical importance and therefore not surveyed in this report.

4.2.5. Fluidelastic instability

<u>Fluidelastic</u> instability does <u>not</u> refer to the elasticity of the fluid, but to the mutual interaction of <u>fluid</u> dynamic forces and <u>elastic</u> structural displacements. Instability may develop if the structural motion produces a fluid force in the same direction. In the absence of the structural motion, the flow is assumed to be without periodicity, so that the behaviour is different from vorticity-induced vibration. The instability is self-excited and occurs at and above a certain critical velocity. It mostly applies to bodies, in particular tube arrays, in external flow.

4.3. The textbooks

What the standard textbooks on FIV say about internal pipe flow is shortly summarised in this section.

Chen (1987)

Some general principles for a better understanding of FIV are given in the Sections 2.1 and 2.14. Fluid viscosity, fluid compressibility and a free surface may cause damping of a vibrating structure surrounded by fluid. To be more precise: 1) in an incompressible inviscid fluid without surface waves, there is no damping and a positive added mass, 2) in a compressible inviscid fluid in a confined region, there is no damping, because energy cannot radiate away, 3) in a compressible inviscid fluid in an unconfined region, there is radiation damping, and 4) in compressible fluids, there are acoustic modes, so that the added mass may be positive or <u>negative</u>.

Chapter 4 describes circular cylindrical shells containing fluid. Shell theory for the pipe is combined with potential flow theory for the fluid through interface conditions at the inner pipe wall. A harmonic analysis of infinitely long pipes gives phase velocities, modes of vibration and amplitude ratios. Waterhammer and precursor waves are discussed on the pages 118-120, and bending waves on the pages 120-122.

Chapter 5 describes pipes conveying fluid. This refers to what is called Païdoussis-type of FIV work in the present Section 4.2.4. Beam theory for the pipe, combined with plug flow for the fluid, is used in stability analyses. Section 5.3.3.3 explains the role of the Coriolis force, which is a damping mechanism in non-conservative systems at low flow velocities. Section 5.6 mentions waterhammer.

Blevins (1990)

Chapter 10 describes vibrations of a pipe containing a fluid flow. The introduction on page 384 mentions waterhammer, valve chatter, pipe whip and leakage flow.

Section 10.1.1 on instability of fluid-conveying pipes is the Païdoussis-type of FIV work (see present Section 4.2.4). Equation (10-27) gives a good estimate of the influence of a steady flow on the frequency, ω_1 , of the first natural mode of lateral vibration of a simply supported pipe:

$$\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_N} \approx \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{V}{V_c}\right)^2} \tag{6}$$

where V is the flow velocity, V_c is the critical velocity at which the pipe buckles, and ω_N is the natural frequency for V = 0. A simply-supported pipe will *buckle* because of the centrifugal force,

at $\omega_1 = 0$, but a cantilever pipe will *flutter* because of the Coriolis force, at $\omega_1 > 0$. These are different kinds of instabilities. Table 10-1 gives the stability behaviour of differently supported pipes.

Section 10.3 describes *pipe whip*, which is defined as the dynamic response of a pipe to an instantaneous rupture. Pipe rupture will not only generate severe pressure waves (waterhammer) in the liquid, but also a significant lateral force acting on the pipe. If the pipe is part of a high-pressure system, fluid will blow down through the rupture into the air. The rush of fluid and the unrestrained fluid pressure place an impulsive reaction on the pipe, which can cause the pipe to whip about and threaten personnel and structures. An estimate of the initial lateral (transverse) force at the rupture is PA, where P is the pressure and A the size of the rupture.

Section 10.4.1 describes pipe acoustical forcing, which can be seen as waterhammer in the frequency domain, with turbulence excitation. Fluid flow through valves, bends, and orifices generates turbulence within the flow and radiates acoustical energy upstream and downstream (Reethof 1978; Blake 1986). See for example Figure 8. Standing acoustic waves form in the fluid and these exert forces on bends and area changes (junction coupling). If the acoustical source possesses sufficient energy, resonance may cause excessive pipe motion and fatigue.

Chadha *et al* (1980) found that turbulence generated by valves is a broad-band acoustic source with a maximum frequency of about $0.05V/D_v$, where V is the downstream velocity and D_v is the diameter of the valve throat. The induced pressure fluctuations are about 1%-2% of the steady-state pressure difference across the valve. The components of the broad-band pressure at, or near, the system's natural frequencies are dominant. The fluid natural frequencies can be estimated as $c_f/4L$ (and odd higher harmonics) for an open-closed system and as $c_f/2L$ (and higher harmonics) for an open-open or closed-closed system.

Blevins (1990, Eq. 10-58) gives a formula for the acoustic (*PA*) and convective ($\rho_f A V^2$) forces on a fixed right-angle bend. The acoustic forces are at the natural frequencies of the fluid, but the convective forces may have higher harmonic components because of V^2 .

Blevins mentions that he has observed severe resonance in the case of coinciding fluid and structural frequencies, but he does not provide sufficient information on the system concerned, possibly steam (gas) piping.

Section 10.4.2 deals with leakage-flow-induced vibration (see Section 4.2.4 herein).



Figure 1 Schematic representation of valve noise generation and propagation.

FIGURE 8 (Reethof 1978, Fig. 1)

Naudascher and Rockwell (1994)

In their introduction, Naudascher and Rockwell give a strategy to identify possible flow-induced vibrations in a system. First, search for 1) all body oscillators, 2) all fluid oscillators, 3) all sources of extraneously induced excitation, 4) all sources of instability-induced excitation, and 5) all sources of movement-induced vibration. Second, assess all possible combinations of structural and fluid oscillations arising from (1) and (2) in conjunction with the excitations (3), (4) and (5). The coincidence of natural frequencies makes the combination of body and fluid oscillators dangerous, although in liquid flows FSI tends to separate coinciding fluid and structural frequencies. Estimating these natural frequencies, and finding the dominant excitation frequencies, is an integral part of the identification process.

A useful aid in preliminary investigations of danger spots and dangerous operating conditions of a system is a global or lumped-parameter analysis as suggested by Naudascher and Rockwell (1980) and as performed by, e.g., Wood (1968, 1969), Schumann (1979), Erath *et al* (1998), and Moussou *et al* (2000).

Section 7.4 gives a good introduction to leakage-flow-induced vibration. Press-open and pressshut devices are devices controlling the flow through small openings such that the fluid force tends to press them either open or shut. One should take care with these devices, because, if they are lightly damped, they may vibrate due to leakage-flow pulsations. More specific: valves controlling the outflow from a Helmholtz resonator of negligible fluid inertia are susceptible to movementinduced excitation if they act as press-open devices. And: devices controlling flow through small openings are susceptible to movement-induced excitation if they are press-shut and exposed to

20

large fluid-inertia effects from the adjacent flow passage.

Chapter 8 describes fluid oscillators. The Sections 8.2 and 8.4 consider classical waterhammer theory in the frequency domain (Wylie and Streeter 1993). Section 8.5.2 describes various filters to attenuate fluid oscillations. Some practical examples are given in Section 8.6.1.

Au-Yang (2001)

Section 10.4 gives a short and clear introduction to the stability of pipes containing flowing fluid: this is the Païdoussis-type of FIV work. Example 10.3 concerns a 18 m long, 1 m diameter, 58 mm thick, water-filled, steel pipe, for which the critical velocity, V_c , is about 500 m/s.

The Sections 10.5 and 10.6 treat leakage-flow-induced vibration. Figures 9(A)(B) show common taps. If the threads are worn, the spindle may experience violent vibration that can shake the attached water pipe (waterhammer). Figure 10 shows the acceleration signal obtained from a piston check valve of the type shown in Figure 9(C). The signal exhibits a leakage-flow-induced instability.





Figure 10.9 Components that have experience leakage-flow-induced instability (A) Common faucet; (B) Frost-proof, outdoor faucet; (C) Lift check valve; (to be continued)

FIGURE 9 (Au-Yang 2001, Section 10.6, Fig. 10.9)



Figure 10.10 Vibration signature from an accelerometer mounted on a piston check valve showing leakage-flow-induced instability

FIGURE 10 (Au-Yang 2001, Section 10.6, Fig. 10.10)

Chapter 12 discusses acoustically induced vibration and noise. Acoustically induced vibration is probably one of the most common vibration problems in the power and process industries. Piping systems, vessels, valve cavities, heat exchanger internals, and many other components are potential resonators in which standing waves can form, while pumps, valves, elbows, orifices, etc, all have the potential to excite these standing waves. Once resonance occurs, the resulting sound intensity in most cases asks for remedial action. In some cases, acoustic excitation can cause rapid fatigue failure of piping welded points, valve internal parts and other components. The case studies in Section 12.10 are most interesting. Case Study 12.2, taken from Ziada *et al* (1999, 2001), concerns acoustic noise generated by a spherical elbow. Case Study 12.3, taken from Coffman and Bernstein (1979), concerns acoustic resonance in valve cavities. Standing waves in the cavities of relief valves, excited by the flow over them, turned out to be destructive for the valve components. The problem was solved by replacing a cylindrical nozzle by a conical one. Case Study 12.5, taken from Pastorel *et al* (2000), concerns valve-generated acoustic waves in a pipe. Acoustic fatigue caused cracks in the pipes downstream of the control valves.

Gibert (1988)

Chapter 19 gives an acoustic analysis of the low-frequency behaviour of fluid-filled pipe systems. All kinds of acoustic sources, mainly of the turbulent/acoustic nature, are described. Section 19.5 23

gives a practical example. This book is well known at EDF and not further summarised here.

Morand and Ohayon (1995)

Morand and Ohayon (1995) give a thorough mathematical and numerical treatment of general FSI/FIV problems without special reference to fluid-conveying pipe systems.

Païdoussis (1998)

Païdoussis's book is on axial-flow-induced vibration as discussed in Section 4.2.4 herein.

5. EXCITATION SOURCES: SUMMARY

Many excitation mechanisms exist. Here is an overview of what was found in the literature consulted. A distinction is made between exciters of the fluid and exciters of the piping. Of course, if FSI takes place in a significant manner, both fluid and piping will be excited. The excitation can be transient, periodic or random.

Types of excitation (from Wylie and Streeter 1993, pp. 309-313)

Resonance develops only when there is an exciter present at some point in the system. The form that the exciter takes can generally be placed into one of two categories. The first and most common is an explicit device that acts as a forcing function exciting the system at some frequency. The second type is one that responds to the system. The oscillations produced by this type are generally referred to as *self-excited*, as the implicit exciter is an integral part of the entire physical system.

5.1. Exciters for the fluid

Transient

valve action (closure / opening) (control valves, check valves, relief valves, etc) pump action (shut off / start up) turbine action (load rejection) column separation (collapse) steam-condensation (e.g. Chun and Yu 2000) pipe break (generating severe waterhammer) rupture-disk break (generating severe waterhammer) blast / explosion collision (with other pipe, with vehicle) bend / junction motion priming / start up / slug flow

Periodic

vortex-shedding (Section 4.2.2)

leakage-flow (Section 4.2.3)

check valve chatter / tapping (Figure 11)

check valve flutter (Figure 12)

surface wave in a reservoir near a pipe entrance (e.g. Naudascher and Rockwell 1994, pp. 274-276) bend / junction motion (Figure 13(a))

pipe breathing (Figure 13(b))





FIGURE 11 (Au-Yang 2001, Section 2.10, Fig. 2.18)



Figure 2.5 Flutter of a check valve disk measured by UT instrument (Au-Yang, 1993)

FIGURE 12 (Au-Yang 2001, Section 2.4, Fig. 2.5)



Figure 13-31 Capacitance elements.

FIGURE 13 (Wylie and Streeter 1993, Section 13-8, Fig. 13-31)

Random

turbulence (Section 4.2.1) cavitation two-phase flow

5.2. Exciters for the piping

Transient

waterhammer column separation earthquake landslide pipe whip (rupture of flexible pipe, where the rupture generates lateral forces that make the pipe heavily whip / flail / shake, see Blevins 1990, Section 10.3) blast / explosion collision (with other pipe, with vehicle) bend / junction motion priming / start up / slug flow

Periodic

machine vibration axial-flow-induced vibration (Section 4.2.4) lock-in (see Section 4.2.2) bend / junction motion

Random

seismic motion base motion wind

6. EXCITATION SOURCES: VIBRATING BENDS AND BRANCHES

6.1. Introduction

Vibrating pipe junctions, in particular bends (elbows) and branches (tee-pieces), but also dead ends, are the most significant FSI mechanisms in liquid-filled pipe systems. Axially vibrating junctions generate pressure waves in the fluid, and pressure waves make unrestrained junctions vibrate. Section 6.2 attempts to list all physical experiments with respect to FSI in single-elbow

pipe systems. Some aspects of mathematical and numerical modelling are discussed. Section 6.3 lists the few FSI experiments that have been performed in branched systems.

6.2. Bends

List in chronological order of experimental studies of FSI in one-elbow pipe systems:

Blade et al (1962): Swaffield (1968-1969); Davidson and Smith (1969); Wood and Chao (1971); Davidson and Samsury (1972); A-Moneim and Chang (1979); Kellner et al (1983); Otwell (1984), Wiggert et al (1985); Liu and Ohashi (1988); Yamaguchi and Kondo (1989); Tentarelli (1990), Brown and Tentarelli (2001); Tijsseling (1993), Tijsseling et al (1996); de Jong (1994, 2000); Svingen (1996); Repp (1998); Jiao et al (1999); Altstadt et al (2000); Tijsseling AS and Vaugrante P (2001); Caillaud et al (2001)

Note: The much-used experimental data of Swaffield (1968-1969) and Davidson and Smith (1969) are not valid, as will be explained below.

Many physical experiments have been performed in systems with elbows, ranging from Blade *et al* (1962) to Caillaud *et al* (2001). Nearly all of the experimental systems had at least one "fixed" end (support, anchor), for example the connection of the test pipe to a liquid supply (reservoir). "Fixed" stands for infinitely large impedance (zero mobility), something impossible in practice, especially during resonance. Some researchers, like Davidson and Samsury (1972) and de Jong (1994), measured the mobility of the pipe supports in their test systems, but it nevertheless is common practice not to measure or estimate the mobility of supports, but to neglect it on the simplifying assumption that the support is (looks) rigid. Consequently, many investigators have overlooked

support mobility. The well known experiments by Swaffield (1968-1969) and Davidson and Smith (1969), the results of which have been used by many others, suffer from the ignored vibration of "fixed" points, as noted by Wilkinson (1980, p. 197) and Brown and Tentarelli (1988, p. 148), respectively. Svingen (1996, p. 76) reported "unintentional" valve motion. Care has to be taken in this respect, always. In contrast to the many other test rigs, the experimental apparatus employed by Tijsseling *et al* (1996) and Tijsseling and Vaugrante (2001) has no "fixed" points at all, it is structurally "free".

The fundamental acoustic frequency of a fluid column in a(n) (open) reservoir - pipeline - valve (closed) system is $c_f/4L_{\text{eff}}$. The effective length, L_{eff} , given by Alster (1972, Eq. 40) as

$$\frac{L_{\rm eff}}{L} = \sqrt{1 + 0.48 \left(\frac{D}{L}\right)} \approx 1 + 0.24 \frac{D}{L} \tag{7}$$

accounts for added-mass effects at the open (reservoir) end. The semi-empirical formula (7) is valid for circular ducts of diameter D and length L. It differs from the classical value $1+4/(3\pi)D/L \approx 1+0.42D/L$ given in standard textbooks on acoustics (e.g. Rienstra and Hirschberg 1999, p. 105).

The correction for added mass has not been made in all of the studies listed above. Although most of the studies concern laboratory experiments in short pipes, the correction term was justifiably neglected, because the D/L ratios were small enough.

Aspects of mathematical and numerical modelling

Wiggert and Tijsseling (2001, Section 2) surveyed the mathematical models and numerical methods that are used to simulate FSI in liquid-filled pipe systems. EDF uses CIRCUS to perform computations in the frequency domain. CIRCUS is based on a spectral finite-element method. An alternative approach, based on transfer matrices, has been presented by Tijsseling and Vaugrante (1999).

One issue of concern is the influence of lumped (concentrated) masses, e.g. due to bends and branches, on pipe vibration. Lumped masses give rise to (infinitely) large accelerations when subjected to impact loads, e.g. instantaneous valve closures. In numerical calculations, if $\Delta t \rightarrow$ zero, then $m/\Delta t \rightarrow$ infinity (Tijsseling 1993, Section 4.6, Eq. 4.128), indicating the dominance of lumped inertia. Large narrow peaks appear in the solutions. A continuous representation of lumped masses (short bends, valves, etc) is not acceptable because their small L/D ratios obstruct the assumption of one-dimensionality. This particularly holds for analysis in the time domain. In the frequency domain, Tentarelli (1990, Section 7.4) has experimented with different elbow representations. He rejected the lumped-mass approach in favour of two short and stiff segments representing the elbow. The lengths of the segments were determined by the actual measured length of the elbow and the outer radii were selected such that their total mass equalled that of the elbow. In general it is difficult to find the mass and stiffness of an elbow, in particular the moments of inertia are difficult to assess.

A second issue, also addressed by Tentarelli (1990, Section 3.2.2), is numerical instability at higher frequencies (ill-conditioned matrices, round-off errors, numerical instabilities). This is a general problem in the frequency-domain solution of lateral beam (pipe) vibration. Tentarelli (1990, pp. 43-44) and De Jong (1994, p. 41, pp. 54-55) sub-divided pipes into shorter reaches to prevent numerical problems. Finnveden (1994, pp. 473-474) cured the numerical instability by choosing appropriate base and shape functions within the FEM. Charley *et al* (1998, Section 3.2) studied the matrix condition numbers in their transfer matrix model. For low-frequency flexural vibration acceptable solutions can be obtained without special measures.

6.3. Branches

List in chronological order of experimental studies of FSI in branched pipe systems:

Wood and Chao (1971); Merkli P (1978) (air, no FSI, "analytical" solutions, definition of effective lengths); Kellner *et al* (1983); Tentarelli (1990), Brown and Tentarelli (2001); Vardy *et al* (1996)

There have not been many physical experiments on FSI in branched systems, although the author has seen quite a few industrial problems in which T-pieces were involved. Some of these had to do with fatigue, others with combined mechanical-acoustical loading. As usual, these problems have not been documented for the public. However, it is well known that the connection points of small bore pipes connected to (vibrating) large bore pipes are points of potential failure (see ASME B31.3, paragraph 319.6, p. 41).

7. CODES AND STANDARDS

Leslie and Vardy (2001) inspected many codes and standards with respect to FSI, but they omitted the important ASME B31 codes. Pothof and McNulty (2001) included these codes in their review, which, however, was not exclusively dedicated to FSI. FSI was considered by Lemmens and Gresnigt (2001) in the framework of the Standards, Measurements and Testing (SMT) programme of the European Union.

There is no need to repeat the work done by the above authors. Instead, two recently revised

codes will be discussed: the American ASME B31.1 (December 2001) and the Dutch NEN 3650 (August 2001). Furthermore, a few remarks on yield stress criteria.

7.1. ASME B31.1 (December 2001)

This code prescribes minimum requirements for the design, materials, fabrication, erection, test, and inspection of power and auxiliary service piping systems for electric generation stations, industrial institutional plants, and central and district heating plants. To my best knowledge, this latest B31.1 code, does not mention FSI and/or FIV.

7.2. NEN 3650 (Parts 1 and 2) (August 2001)

This standard (code) contains requirements for the complete life cycle of pipeline transportation systems, without regard to the material. It also gives reference to relevant European (EN) and international (ISO) standards. The standard has recently been updated and it has been open for comment until 1 December 2001. The comments are presently being used to improve the standard.

Part 1 is general, and Part 2 concerns steel pipelines. Appendix C.2.2 on pages 94-96 of Part 1 mentions three ways of calculating dynamic pressure variations: 1) with the Joukowsky formula, 2) with a classical waterhammer analysis, and 3) taking into account FSI. The description is very brief, and WL | Delft Hydraulics has commented to it.

7.3. Stress criteria

Standard yield stress criteria are those of Tresca, Von Mises (1913), Hill (1950) and Vegter (1991). According to Todhunter and Pearson (1960), Von Mises's material is actually a rediscovery of work by Levy (see Saint-Venant 1871). Tresca's work was largely experimental (see Saint-Venant 1885). Pijlman (2001, Section 2) gives an introduction to the subject.

8. CURRENT FSI RESEARCH ACTIVITY

If one realises that every paper in the list of 123 references in Wiggert and Tijsseling (2001) has cost time and money (man-hours), this list gives a good indication of the mainly academic research activity in this area. The category "FSI - recent work" in the list of References in this report gives latest papers to be added to Wiggert and Tijsseling's list. Also, the five European projects mentioned below, and their participating members, give an indication of the viability and importance of the subject.

Nearly all activity known to the author takes place in Europe, and in particular in Germany, UK

31

and France. Based upon publications, web-sites and personal relations, an overview for Europe:

Universities:

Aberdeen, UK Bathe, UK Darmstadt, Germany Dundee, UK Eindhoven, The Netherlands Imperial College, University of London, UK King's College, University of London, UK Lille, ENSAM, France Louvain - Leuven, Belgium Trondheim, Norway

Research centres:

Forschungszentrum Rossendorf, Dresden, Germany GMD-SCAI, Sankt Augustin, Germany UMSICHT, Oberhausen, Germany TNO, Delft, The Netherlands WL | Delft Hydraulics, Delft, The Netherlands

Companies:

BASF, Ludwigshafen, Germany EDF, Clamart, France ESDU, London, UK FDB, Trondheim, Norway Flowmaster, Towcester, UK Framatome (formerly: Siemens Nuclear Power), Erlangen, Germany KAE, Bubenreuth, Germany KED, Rodenbach, Germany Mannesmann-Demag, Düsseldorf, Germany

European projects:

FLODAC - BRITE/EURAM3, BRPR970394

Title: Flow in Duct Acoustics *Coordinator*: Prof M Åbom, KTH, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden *Period*: 1997-2001 *See*: Dequand (2001)

LEAKING - GROWTH - G1RD - 2002 - 00677

Title: Leak detection and reliability-based life prediction of water piping *Coordinator*: Dr G Becker, RISA Sicherheitsanalysen GMBH, Berlin, Germany *Period*: 2002-2005

SMT4-CT97-2188

Title: Transient pressures in pressurized conduits for municipal water and sewage water transport *Coordinator*: Mr G McNulty, BHR Group Ltd, Cranfield, UK *Period*: 1997-2001

SURGE-NET - GROWTH - G1RT - 2002 - 05069

Title: Organisations involved in the prediction and analysis of fluid transients in pipe systems *Coordinator*: Mr D Stewardson, University of Newcastle, UK *Period*: 2002-2005

WAHALoads - FIKS-CT-2000-00106

Title: Two-phase flow water hammer transients and induced loads on materials and structures of nuclear power plants *Coordinator*: Prof M Giot, Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium *Period*: 2000-2003 *See*: Giot *et al* (2001)

USA:

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan

9. UNSOLVED PROBLEMS

Much work has been done and the basic mechanisms of FSI and FIV are well understood these days. Areas that need further development are listed below.

FSI

priming, start up, slug flow two-phase flow, cavitation, steam condensation (Giot et al 2001) importance of FSI, guidelines, standards and codes dynamic behaviour of supports curved pipes and flexible hoses plastic pipes, visco-elasticity unsteady friction experimental data, empirical relations See Wiggert and Tijsseling (2001, Section 8) FIV turbulence (LES, DNS, LBM instead of $k - \varepsilon$) CFD experimental data, empirical relations

See Weaver et al (2000, Section 7)

10. CONCLUSION

From a theoretical point of view, FSI and FIV are well understood, and powerful computational tools exist to simulate the phenomena. From a practical point of view, there is a lack of general rules and practical guidelines. Each industrial problem has to be examined on a case by case base, often with the help of specialists.

34

This report is a guide to the vast amount of literature on the subject.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author likes to thank Patrick Vaugrante, Pierre Moussou and Sebastien Caillaud for their critical comments and helpful suggestions.

References

History

Allievi L (1902), Teoria generale del moto perturbato dell'acqua nei tubi in pressione. (General theory of the variable motion of water in pressure conduits.), *Annali della Società degli Ingegneri ed Architetti Italiani*, Milan, Italy (in Italian). (French translation by Allievi himself, in *Revue de Mécanique*, Paris, 1904; German translation by R Dubs and V Bataillard, 1909, Berlin: Springer.)

Boulanger A (1913), Étude sur la propagation des ondes liquides dans les tuyaux élastiques. (Study on the propagation of liquid waves in elastic tubes.), *Travaux et Mémoires de l'Université de Lille*, Nouvelle Serie, II. Médecine-Sciences **8**, Lille: Tallandier, Paris: Gauthier-Villars (in French).

Joukowsky N (1898), Über den hydraulischen Stoss in Wasserleitungsröhren. (On the hydraulic hammer in water supply pipes.), *Mémoires de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences de St.-Pétersbourg*, 1900, Series 8, **9**(5) (in German). (English translation, partly, by O Simin: Water hammer, *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Convention of the American Water Works Association*, St. Louis, USA, June 1904, 341-424; French translation, partly, by M Goupil: Notice sur les principaux travaux concernant le coup de bélier et spécialement sur le mémoire et les expériences du Professeur N. Joukovsky (1898), *Annales des Ponts et Chaussées*, 1907, 199-221.)

Korteweg DJ (1878), Ueber die Fortpflanzungsgeschwindigkeit des Schalles in elastischen Röhren (On the velocity of propagation of sound in elastic pipes), *Annalen der Physik und Chemie* New Series **5** 525-542 (in German).

Kries J von (1883), Ueber die Beziehungen zwischen Druck und Geschwindigkeit, welche bei der Wellenbewegung in elastischen Schläuchen bestehen (On the relations between pressure and velocity, which exist in the wavelike motion in elastic tubes), *Festschrift der 56. Versammlung Deutscher Naturforscher und Ärzte gewidmet von der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft zu Freiburg i. B.*, Akademische Verlagsbuchhandlung von JCB Mohr, Freiburg in Breisgau und Tübingen,

Germany, 67-88 (in German).

von Kries J (1892), *Studien zur Pulslehre*, Akademische Verlagsbuchhandlung von JCB Mohr, Freiburg in Breisgau und Tübingen, Germany (in German).

Lamb H (1898), On the velocity of sound in a tube, as affected by the elasticity of the walls, *Memoirs of the Manchester Literary and Philosophical Society*, Manchester, UK, **42**(9) 1-16.

Lambossy P (1950), Aperçu historique et critique sur le problème de la propagation des ondes dans un liquide compressible enfermé dans un tube élastique - Première partie, *Helvetica Physiologica et Pharmacologica Acta* **8** 209-227 (in French).

Lambossy P (1951), Aperçu historique et critique sur le problème de la propagation des ondes dans un liquide compressible enfermé dans un tube élastique - Deuxième partie, *Helvetica Physiologica et Pharmacologica Acta* **9** 145-161 (in French).

Skalak R (1956), An extension of the theory of waterhammer, *Transactions of the ASME* 78 105-116.

Todhunter I and Pearson K (Volume I, 1886; Volume II, Parts I and II, 1893), A History of Elasticity and Strength of Materials, Cambridge University Press, England. Reprinted as: A History of the Theory of Elasticity and of the Strength of Materials - from Galilei to Lord Kelvin, Dover Publications, New York, 1960.

FSI - reviews

Leslie DJ and Tijsseling AS (1999), A review of modelling damping mechanisms in coupled liquid-pipe vibrations, *Proceedings of the 3rd ASME & JSME Joint Fluids Engineering Conference, Symposium S-290 Waterhammer* (Editor JCP Liou), San Francisco, USA, ASME-FED 248 1-8. (54 Refs)

Tijsseling AS (1996), Fluid-structure interaction in liquid-filled pipe systems: a review, *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **10** 109-146. (248 Refs)

Wiggert DC (1986), Coupled transient flow and structural motion in liquid-filled piping systems: a survey, *Proceedings of the ASME Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference*, Chicago, USA, Paper 86-PVP-4. (29 Refs)

Wiggert DC (1996), Fluid transients in flexible piping systems: a perspective on recent developments, *Proceedings of the 18th IAHR Symposium on Hydraulic Machinery and Cavitation*, Valencia, Spain, 58-67. (26 Refs)

Wiggert DC and Tijsseling AS (2001), Fluid transients and fluid-structure interaction in flexible liquid-filled piping, *ASME Applied Mechanics Reviews* **54** 455-481. (123 Refs)

Zhang L, Huang W, and Tijsseling AS (2000b), Review of FSI analysis of fluid-conveying pipes, Journal of Hydrodynamics, Series A 15(3) 366-379 (in Chinese). (97 Refs)

FSI - recent work (1997-2002)

Altstadt E, Mössner T, and Weiss R (2000), The cold water hammer test facility (CWHTF), Forschungszentrum Rossendorf e.V., Institute for Safety Research, Annual Report 2000, Dresden, Germany.

Bahrar B, Rieutord E, Morel R, and Zeggwagh G (1998a), Modeling of the waterhammer phenomenon with respect to real pipe behavior, *La Houille Blanche - Revue Internationale de l'Eau* 53 18-25 (in French).

Bahrar B, Rieutord E, and Morel R (1998b), Influence de la viscoélasticité de la paroi sur les phénomènes classiques de coup de bélier, *La Houille Blanche - Revue Internationale de l'Eau* 53 26-32 (in French).

Bergant A and Tijsseling AS (2001), Parameters affecting water hammer wave attenuation, shape and timing, *Proceedings of the 10th International Meeting of the IAHR Work Group on the Behaviour of Hydraulic Machinery under Steady Oscillatory Conditions*, Trondheim, Norway, Paper C2.

Charley J, Carta F, and Ould Abdallahi M (1998), Fluid-structure interaction: vibroacoustical analysis of flow in piping system, *Proceedings of the ASME/JSME Joint Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference*, San Diego, USA; Published as: Advances in Fluids, Structures and Fluid/Structure Interactions, ASME PVP 377-1, 245-252.

Charley J and Carta F (2001), Application of the auto- and cross-power spectra to hydro- and aeroacoustics, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* **15**(2) 399-417.

Chun M-H and Yu S-O (2000), A parametric study and a guide chart to avoid condensation-

37

induced water hammer in a horizontal pipe, Nuclear Engineering and Design 201 239-257.

Diesselhorst T, Schmidt R, and Schnellhammer W (2000), Realistic calculation of pressure surges. Inclusion of dynamic friction and fluid/structure interaction, *3R international* **39** 678-682 (in German).

Erath W, Nowotny B, and Maetz J (1998), Simultaneous coupling of the calculation of pressure waves and pipe oscillations, *3R international* **37** 501-508 (in German).

Formaggia L, Gerbeau JF, Nobile F, and Quarteroni A (2001), On the coupling of 3D and 1D Navier-Stokes equations for flow problems in compliant vessels, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* **191** 561-582.

Giot M (UCL, Louvain-la-Neuve), Prasser HM (FZR, Dresden), Dudlik A (Fraunhofer, UMSICHT, Oberhausen), Ezsol G (KFKI, Budapest), Habip M (Framatome ANP, Offenbach), Lemonnier H (CEA, Grenoble), Tiselj I (Institut Jozef Stefan, Ljubljana), Castrillo F (Iberdrola, Madrid), Van Hove W (Tractebel, Brussels), Perezagua R (Empresarios Agrupados, Madrid), and Potapov S (EDF, Clamart) (2001), Two-phase flow water hammer transients and induced loads on materials and structures of nuclear power plants (WAHALoads), *Proceedings of Conference FISA-2001 - EU Research in Reactor Safety*, Luxembourg, 12-14 November 2001.

Hansson P-A and Sandberg G (2001), Dynamic finite element analysis of fluid-filled pipes, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* **190** 3111-3120.

Hara F (1988), Seismic vibration analysis of fluid-structure interaction in LMFBR piping systems, ASME Journal of Pressure Vessel Technology **110** 177-181.

Ichchou MN, Khamlichi A, Jezequel L, and Tephany F (1998), Elastic-plastic strain in a frame pipe system under water hammer loads: analysis and parametric study, *Proceedings of the ASME/JSME Joint Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference*, July'98, San Diego, USA, ASME-PVP **366** 165-172.

Ichchou MN, Jezequel L, and Tephany F (1999), Elastic-plastic dynamics of a frame pipe, *Proceedings of the ASME Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference*, August'99, Boston, USA, ASME-PVP **394** 209-217.

Jiao Z, Hua Q, and Yu K (1999), Frequency domain analysis of vibrations in liquid-filled piping systems, Proceedings of the ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and

Exposition, November'99, Nashville, USA, ASME-FPST 6 25-31.

Kollmann D, Hirsch O, and Ungar K (1998), Einsparung von Rohrhalterungen durch rechentechnische Optimierung des Halterungskonzeptes. (Reduction of pipe supports through computationally optimising of the pipe support concept.), *3R international* **37**(8) 528-534 (in German).

Kollmann D and Swidersky H (2000a), Pressure wave loads on cooler elements taking into account fluid structure interaction (FSI), *KAE Report* 1(2), KAE Kraftwerks- und Anlagen Engineering GmbH, Bubenreuth, Germany.

Kollmann D and Swidersky H (2000b), Fluid-structure-interaction (FSI) at a connection line between pre- and main pump of a feedwater system, *KAE Report* 1(4), KAE Kraftwerks- und Anlagen Engineering GmbH, Bubenreuth, Germany.

Moussou P and Boyelle H (1999), Analysis of the vibrations of a complete French PWR power plant piping system, *Proceedings of the ASME Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference*, August'99, Boston, USA, ASME-PVP **389** 415-422.

Moussou P, Vaugrante P, Guivarch M, and Seligmann D (2000), Coupling effects in a two elbows piping system, *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Flow Induced Vibrations*, Lucerne, Switzerland, 579-586.

Munjal ML and Thawani PT (1997), Acoustic analysis and design of compliant cable-hose systems, *Noise Control Engineering Journal* **45**(6) 235-242.

Repp T (1998), Fluiddynamic waterhammer simulations with consideration of fluid-structure interaction, Forschungszentrum Rossendorf e.V., Institute for Safety Research, Annual Report 1998, Dresden, Germany.

Shu D, Deng J, and Zhao Y (2001), Investigation of pressure in pipe subjected to axial-symmetric pulse loading, *International Journal of Impact Engineering* **25** 523-536.

Tijsseling AS and Vaugrante P (1999), Frequency-domain and time-domain analysis of liquidfilled pipe systems, EDF-DRD Technical Note HP-54/99/030/A, June'99, Clamart, France, 162 pages.

Tijsseling AS and Heinsbroek AGTJ (1999), The influence of bend motion on waterhammer

pressures and pipe stresses, *Proceedings of the 3rd ASME & JSME Joint Fluids Engineering Conference, Symposium S-290 Water Hammer* (Editor JCP Liou), San Francisco, USA, July'99, ASME-FED **248** Paper FEDSM99-6907, 1-7, ISBN 0-7918-1961-2 (CD-ROM) or ISBN 0-7918-1978-7.

Uspuras E, Kaliatka A, and Dundulis G (2001), Analysis of potential waterhammer at the Ignalina NPP using thermal-hydraulic and structural analysis codes, *Nuclear Engineering and Design* **203** 1-12.

Vatkova G, Meynen S, and Schäfer M (1999), Numerical simulation of fluid-structure interaction in pipe systems, *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Large-Scale Scientific Computations*, June'99, Sozopol, Bulgaria; Published as: Large Scale Scientific Computations of Engineering and Environmental Problems II, M Griebel, S Margenov and P Yalamov (eds), Vieweg, Braunschweig, Germany, (2000), 414-421, ISBN 3-528-03144-1.

Zhang L, Huang W, and Tijsseling AS (2000a), Frequency spectrum analysis of liquid-filled pipes under waterhammer-induced FSI, *Engineering Mechanics* **17**(1) 1-12 (in Chinese).

FSI - earlier work (before 1997)

Chen SS and Rosenberg GS (1974), Free vibrations of fluid-conveying cylindrical shells, *Journal* of Engineering for Industry **96**(2) 420-426.

Kellner A and Schönfelder C (1982), Die Bedeutung der Fluid/Struktur-Wechselwirkung für die Druckstoßbelastung von Rohrleitungen. (The effect of fluid/structure-interaction on pressure pulse loads on pipes.), *3R international* **21** 443-449 (in German).

Müller WCh (1989), DAPS, a code for coupled analysis of pressure transients in pipes, *Kerntechnik* 54(3) 149-152.

Reissner E (1955), On transverse vibrations of thin, shallow elastic shells, *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics* **8** 169-176.

Schumann U (1979), Fluid-structure interactions in one-dimensional linear cases, Research Report KfK 2723 B, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Germany, 70 pages, ISSN 0303-4003.

Stoessel JC, Keowen RS, and Ibanez P (1988), Visco-elastic pipe support effect on water hammer induced loads, *Proceedings of the ASME Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference*, June'88, New

York, USA, ASME-PVP 144 279-281.

Thompson JM, Mallett RH, and Ennis WE (1989), Analysis of a piping reactions due to waterhammer loads, *Proceedings of the 4th International ANSYS Conference and Exhibition*, May'89, Pittsburgh, USA, Part 1, 15.50-15.90.

Wood DJ (1968), A study of the response of coupled liquid flow-structural systems subjected to periodic disturbances, *ASME Journal of Basic Engineering* **90** 532-540.

Wood DJ (1969), Influence of line motion on waterhammer pressures, ASCE Journal of the Hydraulics Division **95** 941-959.

Yamaguchi A, Kondo S, and Togo Y (1982), Pseudo two-dimensional analysis of fluid-structure interaction due to pressure wave propagation in straight pipe, *Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology* **19**(10) 845-851.

FSI - single-elbow experiments and single-branch experiments

A-Moneim MT and Chang YW (1979), Comparison of ICEPEL predictions with single-elbow flexible piping system experiment, *ASME Journal of Pressure Vessel Technology* **101** 142-148.

Blade RJ, Lewis W, and Goodykoontz JH (1962), Study of a sinusoidally perturbed flow in a line including a 90° elbow with flexible supports, Washington: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Technical Note D-1216.

Brown FT and Tentarelli SC (1988), Analysis of noise and vibration in complex tubing systems with fluid-wall interactions, *Proceedings of the 43rd National Conference on Fluid Power*, Chicago, USA, 139-149.

Brown FT and Tentarelli SC (2001), Dynamic behavior of complex fluid-filled tubing systems— Part 1: Tubing analysis, *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control* **123** 71-77.

Caillaud S, Coudiere F, Guillou J, and Vaugrante P (2001), Experimental and numerical analysis of a single elbow pipe filled with water, EDF-DRD Technical Note HT-62/01/019/A, January'02, Clamart, France, 37 pages.

Davidson LC and Smith JE (1969), Liquid-structure coupling in curved pipes, *The Shock and Vibration Bulletin*, No. 40, Part 4, 197-207.

Davidson LC and Samsury DR (1972), Liquid-structure coupling in curved pipes - II, *The Shock* and Vibration Bulletin, No. 42, Part 1, 123-136.

Finnveden S (1994), Exact Spectral Finite Element analysis of stationary vibrations in a railway car structure, *Acta Acustica* **2** 461-482.

de Jong CAF (1994, 2000), Analysis of pulsations and vibrations in fluid-filled pipe systems, Ph.D. Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Eindhoven, The Netherlands (Errata: April 2000).

Kellner A, Voss J, and Schoenfelder C (1983), Fluid/structure-interaction in piping systems: experiment and theory. *Transactions of SMiRT7*, Chicago, USA, August 1983, Paper B 4/3, 193-199.

Liu GL and Ohashi H (1988), Fluid/structure interaction in a two-dimensional bend during hydraulic transients, *Proceedings of the 14th Symposium on Progress within Large and High-Specific Energy Units*, Trondheim, Norway, June'88, Vol. 1, 229-240, ISBN 82-519-08521-1.

Merkli P (1978), Acoustic resonance frequencies for a T-tube, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics (ZAMP) 29 486-498.

Ohayon R (1986), Variational analysis of a slender fluid-structure system: the elasto-acoustic beam - A new symmetric formulation, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* **22** 637-647.

Otwell RS (1984), The effect of elbow restraint on pressure transients, Ph.D. Thesis, Michigan State University, Department of Civil and Sanitary Engineering, East Lansing, USA.

Svingen B (1996), Fluid structure interaction in piping systems, Ph.D. Thesis, The Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Trondheim, Norway.

Swaffield JA (1968-1969), The influence of bends on fluid transients propagated in incompressible pipe flow, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers* **183** 603-614.

Tentarelli SC (1990), Propagation of noise and vibration in complex hydraulic tubing systems, Ph.D. Thesis, Lehigh University, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Bethlehem, USA.

Tijsseling AS (1993), Fluid-structure interaction in case of waterhammer with cavitation, Ph.D. Thesis, Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Communications on Hydraulic and Geotechnical Engineering, Report No. 93-6, ISSN 0169-6548, Delft, The Netherlands.

Tijsseling AS, Vardy AE, and Fan D (1996), Fluid-structure interaction and cavitation in a singleelbow pipe system, *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **10** 395-420.

Tijsseling AS and Vaugrante P (2001), FSI in L-shaped and T-shaped pipe systems, *Proceedings of the 10th International Meeting of the IAHR Work Group on the Behaviour of Hydraulic Machinery under Steady Oscillatory Conditions*, Trondheim, Norway, Paper C3. (Also TUE-RANA 01-19 and EDF-DRD HT-62/01/022/A).

Vardy AE, Fan D, and Tijsseling AS (1996), Fluid/structure interaction in a T-piece pipe, *Journal* of Fluids and Structures 10 763-786.

Wiggert DC, Otwell RS, and Hatfield FJ (1985), The effect of elbow restraint on pressure transients, *ASME Journal of Fluids Engineering* **107** 402-406. (Discussed by RE Schwirian and JS Walker in **108** 121-122.)

Wilkinson DH (1980), Dynamic response of pipework systems to water hammer, *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Pressure Surges*, BHRA, Canterbury, UK, 185-202.

Wood DJ and Chao SP (1971), Effect of pipeline junctions on water hammer surges, ASCE Transportation Engineering Journal 97 441-456.

Yamaguchi A and Kondo S (1989), Numerical simulation of fluid-structure interaction in multidimensional complex piping system, *Proceedings of the Symposium on Shock and Wave Propagation, Fluid-Structure Interaction, and Structural Responses*, July'89, Honolulu, USA, ASME-PVP **159** 75-82.

FIV - reviews

Chen S and Doolen GD (1998), Lattice Boltzmann method for fluid flows, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **30** 329-364.

Moin P and Mahesh K (1998), Direct numerical simulation: a tool in turbulence research, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics **30** 539-578.

Moussou P (2002), Etat des connaissances en vibrations de tuyauteries basses fréquences et axes de progrès, Projet VICI T6-01-08, Research Report HT-62/02/006/A, EDF R&D AMV, Clamart, France (in French). (75 Refs)

Païdoussis MP (1980), Flow-induced vibrations in nuclear reactors and heat exchangers: practical experiences and state of knowledge, *Practical Experiences with Flow-Induced Vibrations*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1-81.

Weaver DS, Ziada S, Au-Yang MK, Chen SS, Païdoussis MP, and Pettigrew MJ (2000), Flowinduced vibrations in power and process plant components - Progress and prospects, *ASME Journal of Pressure Vessel Technology* **122** 339-348. (75 Refs)

FIV - textbooks

Anagnostopoulos P (editor) (2000), Flow-Induced Vibration in Engineering Practice, WIT Press.

Au-Yang MK (2001), Flow-Induced Vibration of Power and Process Plant Components: A Practical Workbook, ASME Press.

Blake WK (1986), Mechanics of Flow-Induced Sound and Vibration, Volume I, General Concepts and Elementary Sources, Academic Press.

Blake WK (1986), Mechanics of Flow-Induced Sound and Vibration, Volume II, Complex Flow-Structure Interactions, Academic Press.

Blevins RD (1990), Flow-Induced Vibration (2nd edition), Van Nostrand Reinhold.

Chaudhry MH (1987), Applied Hydraulic Transients (2nd edition), Van Nostrand Reinhold.

Chen S-S (1987), Flow-Induced Vibration of Circular Cylindrical Structures, Hemisphere / Springer.

Cremer L, Heckl M, and Ungar EE (1988), Structure-Borne Sound (2nd edition), Springer.

Den Hartog JP (1985), Mechanical Vibrations (4th edition), Dover.

Fahy F (2001), Foundations of Engineering Acoustics, Academic Press.

Gibert R-J (1988), Vibrations des Structures - Interactions avec les Fluides - Sources d'Excitation Aléatoires, Collection de la Direction des Etudes et Recherches d'Electricité de France **69**, ISSN 0399-4198 (in French).

Junger MC and Feit D (1986), Sound, Structures and their Interaction (2nd edition), MIT Press.

Kinsler LE, Frey AR, Coppens AB, and Sanders JV (2000), Fundamentals of Acoustics (4th edition), John Wiley & Sons.

Meirovitch L (2001), Fundamentals of Vibrations, McGraw-Hill.

Merrit HE (1967), Hydraulic Control Systems, John Wiley & Sons.

Morand HJ-P and Ohayon R (1995), *Fluid Structure Interaction - Applied Numerical Methods*, John Wiley & Sons.

Moody FJ (1990), Introduction to Unsteady Thermofluid Mechanics, John Wiley & Sons.

Naudascher E and Rockwell D (1994), *Flow-Induced Vibrations: An Engineering Guide*, IAHR Hydraulic Structures Design Manual **7**, AA Balkema.

Norton MP (1989), Fundamentals of Noise and Vibration Analysis for Engineers, Cambridge University Press.

Païdoussis MP (1998), Fluid-Structure Interactions - Slender Structures and Axial Flow, Academic Press.

Pierce AD (1989), Acoustics: an Introduction to its Physical Principles and Applications (2nd print), McGraw-Hill.

Rienstra SW and Hirschberg A (1999), An Introduction to Acoustics, Eindhoven University of Technology, Report IWDE 99-02.

Tullis JP (1989), Hydraulics of Pipelines: Pumps, Valves, Cavitation, Transients, John Wiley & Sons.

Wylie EB and Streeter VL (1993), *Fluid transients in systems*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA: Prentice Hall.

FIV - papers and reports

Alster M (1972), Improved calculation of resonant frequencies of Helmholtz resonators, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **24** 63-85.

Bruggeman JC (1987), Flow induced vibrations in pipe systems, PhD Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

Chadha JA *et al* (1980), Acoustic source properties of governor valves, Symposium on Flow-Induced Vibration of Power Plant Components, ASME-PVP **41** 125-138.

Chen SS (1985), Flow-induced vibration of circular cylindrical structures, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA, Research Report No. ANL-85-51.

Coffman JT and Bernstein MD (1979), Failure of safety valves due to flow-induced vibration, *Flow-Induced Vibrations* (Editor SS Chen), ASME Press, New York, 115-128.

Dequand S (2001), Duct aeroacoustics: from technological applications to the flute, PhD Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, and Université du Maine, Le Mans - Laval, France, ISBN 90-386-1889-1.

D'Netto W and Weaver DS (1987), Divergence and limit cycle oscillations in valves operating at small openings, *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **1** 3-18.

Donavin PR, Patel JJ, Miller HL, and Curtis GS (1993), Feed pump discharge line vibration solved at Byron Station, Unit 2, *Proceedings of the 55th American Power Conference*, Chicago, USA, April'93.

Eisinger FL (1997), Designing piping systems against acoustically-induced structural fatigue, ASME Journal of Pressure Vessel Technology **119** 375-383.

Graf HR, Ziada S, Rohner R, and Kaelin R (1997), Verification of scaling rules for control valve noise by means of model tests, *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Fluid-Structure Interactions, Aeroelasticity, Flow-Induced Vibration and Noise*, Dallas, USA, ASME-AD **53-2** 455-462.

Howe MS (1975), Contribution to the theory of aerodynamic sound, with application to excess jet noise and the theory of the flute, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **71** 625-673.

Miller DR (1970), Generation of positive and negative damping with a flow restrictor in axial flow, *Proceedings of the Conference on Flow-Induced Vibrations in Reactor System Components*, Argonne National Laboratory, Report ANL-7685, Argonne, USA, 304-307.

Mulcahy TM (1983), A review of leakage-flow-induced vibrations of reactor components, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA, Research Report No. ANL-83-43.

Mulcahy TM (1988), One-dimensional leakage-flow vibration instabilities, Journal of Fluids and Structures 2 383-403.

Naudascher E and Rockwell D (1980), Oscillator-model approach to the identification and assessment of flow-induced vibrations in a system, *IAHR Journal of Hydraulic Research* **18** 59-82.

Pastorel H, Michaud S, and Ziada S (2000), Acoustic fatigue of a steam dump pipe system excited by valve noise, *Flow-Induced Vibration* (Editors S Ziada and T Staubli), Balkema, Rotterdam, 661-668.

Reethof G (1978), Turbulence-generated noise in pipe flow, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **10** 333-367.

Schafbuch PJ, McMahon T, and Kiuchi T (1997), Low frequency acousto-hydraulic excitation of anti-surge valve piping, *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Fluid-Structure Interactions, Aeroelasticity, Flow-Induced Vibration and Noise*, Dallas, USA, ASME-AD **53-2** 507-516.

Ziada S, Bühlmann ET, and Bolleter U (1989), Flow impingement as an excitation source in control valves, *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **3** 529-549.

Ziada S (1993), Flow-excited resonance of piping systems containing side-branches: excitation mechanism, counter-measures and design guidelines, Invited Paper, International Seminar on Acoustic Pulsations in Rotating Machinery, AECL CANDU, Canada, 1-34.

Ziada S, Sperling H, and Fisker H (1999), Flow-induced vibration of a spherical elbow conveying steam at high pressure, *Proceedings of Flow-Induced Vibration '99*, ASME-PVP **389** 349-358.

Ziada S, Sperling H, and Fisker H (2001), Vibration of a high-pressure piping system due to flow in a spherical elbow, *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **15** 751-767.

Codes and Standards

Papers

Lemmens RPM and Gresnigt AM (2001), Fluid-structure data, doc. ref. 113-8664-25, CEC-SMT project SMT4 CT97 2188, BHR Group Ltd, Cranfield, UK.

Leslie DJ and Vardy AE (2001), Practical guidelines for fluid-structure interaction in pipelines: a review, *Proceedings of the 10th International Meeting of the IAHR Work Group on the Behaviour of Hydraulic Machinery under Steady Oscillatory Conditions*, Trondheim, Norway, Paper C1.

Pothof I and McNulty G (2001), Ground-rules proposal on pressure transients, *Water Software Systems* (editors Ulanicki B, Coulbeck B, and Rance JP), Research Studies Press Ltd, Vol 2, ISBN 0-86380-274-5, 225-244.

Codes and Standards

ASME B31.1 (December 2001), *Power Piping*, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, ISBN 079182716X

ASME B31.3 (1999), *Process Piping*, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, ISBN 0791825957

ASME B31.4 (1998), Pipeline Transportation Systems for Liquid Hydrocarbons and Other Liquids, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, ISBN 0791825663

NEN 3650 Engels (1998), *Requirements for Steel Pipeline Transportation Systems*, Nederlands Normalisatie-instituut, Delft, The Netherlands.

NEN 3650 (August 2001), *Eisen voor Buisleidingsystemen*, Nederlands Normalisatie-instituut, Delft, The Netherlands (in Dutch).

Stress criteria

Hill R (1950), Mathematical Theory of Plasticity, Clarendon Press.

von Mises R (1913), . , Göttinger Nachrichten, Math. Phys. Klasse (in German).

Pijlman HH (2001), Sheet material characterisation by multi-axial experiments, PhD Thesis, University of Twente, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Enschede, The Netherlands, ISBN 90-36516951.

de Saint-Venant AJCB (1871), Rapport sur un mémoire de Maurice Lévy, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences **73** 86-91 (in French).

de Saint-Venant AJCB (1885), Sur le but théorique des principaux travaux de Henri Tresca, *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences* **101** 119-122 (in French).

Vegter H (1991), On the plastic behaviour of steel during sheet forming, PhD Thesis, University of Twente, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Enschede, The Netherlands, ISBN 90-9004373-X.

ACRONYMS

- CFD computational fluid dynamics
- DNS direct numerical simulation (see Moin and Mahesh 1998)
- FIV flow-induced vibration (see Section 2.2)
- FSI fluid-structure interaction (see Section 2.2)
- LBM lattice Boltzmann method (see Chen and Doolen 1998)
- LES large eddy simulation
- PSD power spectral density function(s) (see Section 4.2.1)

SYMBOLS

- A amplitude, area
- *c* velocity of sound, damping coefficient
- C_m added mass coefficient
- D inner pipe diameter
- *e* pipe wall thickness
- f frequency

e

- L length
- m mass
- *n* mode number
- P pressure, power
- *R* inner pipe radius
- *St* Strouhal number
- \dot{u} structural velocity
- V flow velocity
- x deflection
- y deflection
- α *R* times wave number
- λ wavelength
- *ν* Poisson ratio, frequency ratio
- ρ mass density
- σ axial stress
- ω circular frequency, vorticity

<u>Subscripts</u>

- c critical
- eff effective
- f fluid
- N natural
- s structure
- v valve
- 0 initial value, steady state