

Formation of NH3 and N-2 from Atomic Nitrogen and Hydrogen on Rhodium(111)

Citation for published version (APA):

van Hardeveld, R. M., Santen, van, R. A., & Niemantsverdriet, J. W. (1997). Formation of NH3 and N-2 from Atomic Nitrogen and Hydrogen on Rhodium(111). *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A*, *15*(3), 1558-1562. https://doi.org/10.1116/1.580631

DOI: 10.1116/1.580631

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1997

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Formation of NH_3 and N_2 from atomic nitrogen and hydrogen on rhodium (111)

R. M. van Hardeveld, R. A. van Santen, and J. W. Niemantsverdriet^{a)} Schuit Institute of Catalysis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

(Received 2 October 1996; accepted 17 February 1997)

Reactions of adsorbed N atoms on Rh(111) to N₂ and NH₃ were studied with temperature programmed desorption, temperature programmed reaction spectroscopy, and static secondary ion mass spectrometry. For N-atom coverages below ≈ 0.15 monolayers, desorption of N₂ follows simple second-order kinetics, but at higher coverages the desorption traces broaden to higher temperatures. Hydrogenation to NH₃ can be described by a stepwise addition of H atoms to N_{ads} in which the reaction from NH_{2,ads}+H_{ads} to NH₃,ads determines the rate. The activation energy for the rate determining step is 76 kJ/mol. The desorption of NH₃ from Rh(111) was studied separately. The kinetic parameters for desorption at low NH₃ coverage are 81 kJ/mol and 10^{13} s⁻¹, but the rate of desorption increases rapidly with increasing NH₃ coverage. It is argued that the remarkable coverage dependence of the desorption rate is unlikely to be caused by lateral repulsive interactions but may be due to a coverage dependence of the pre-exponential factor. © *1997 American Vacuum Society*. [S0734-2101(97)56303-2]

I. INTRODUCTION

The most important function of rhodium in a three-way catalyst is to reduce nitrogen oxides from automotive exhausts to harmless nitrogen.¹ The key step in the reduction of NO is the dissociation of NO into adsorbed nitrogen and oxygen atoms, N_{ads} and O_{ads} , for which all surfaces of rhodium have satisfactory reactivity.^{2–5} Exhaust gas contains several constituents (CO, H₂, and several hydrocarbons) that readily remove oxygen from the surface. The fundamentally important question then remains is how the N atoms react. The desirable pathway is that to N₂, but reactions to NH₃ (Ref. 6) and HCN (Ref. 7) are conceivable as well.

The purpose of this article is to present and compare kinetic parameters for the reactions of atomic nitrogen to N_2 and to NH_3 on rhodium (111). Temperature programmed desorption (TPD), temperature programmed reaction spectroscopy (TPRS), and static secondary ion mass spectrometry (SSIMS) have proven to be a successful combination for studying such reactions in real time.^{3,4,6–9} First, we describe how submonolayers of N_{ads} can be prepared in a controlled way. Then we discuss the desorption of N_{ads} to N_2 , and next the hydrogenation of N_{ads} to NH_3 . Finally, we briefly discuss the desorption of NH_3 . The work has yielded activation energies and pre-exponential factors of a number of elementary surface reactions of adsorbed N atoms.

II. EXPERIMENT

Experiments were done in an ultrahigh vacuum UHV system (base pressure around 1×10^{-10} mbar) equipped with a Leybold SSM 200 quadrupole mass spectrometer for TPD and SSIMS measurements that is described elsewhere.³ The rhodium crystal, cut in the [111] orientation within 0.5° and

polished by standard procedures, was mounted on a moveable stainless steel manipulator with 0.3-mm-thick tantalum wires, where it could be cooled to liquid nitrogen temperature and resistively heated up to 1500 K. Temperatures were measured with a Chromel-Alumel thermocouple spotwelded on the backside of the crystal. Small amounts of bulk impurities, such as sulphur, chlorine, and boron, were removed by cycles of argon sputtering (1.5 keV, 5 μ A/cm²) at 900 K and annealing to 1400 K. Carbon was removed by annealing the crystal in 2×10^{-8} mbar O₂ and slowly varying the temperature between 900 and 1100 K. Oxygen was removed from the surface by a short anneal to 1425 K. Hydrogen (Messer Griesheim, 99.999% pure), NO (Messer Griesheim, 99.5% pure), and NH₃ (Ucar, 99.995% pure) were used without further purification; gas exposures are reported in langmuirs (L) $(1 L=1.33\times10^{-6} \text{ mbar s})$; coverages are reported in monolayers (ML being the number of adsorbates per Rh surface atom).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. How to prepare atomic nitrogen

As N₂ does not chemisorb dissociatively on rhodium (111), one has to resort to other sources of N atoms. We have used NO in combination with H₂ at 400 K. The SIMS spectra in Fig. 1 show that the approach works: The lower spectrum is the SIMS between 200 and 250 atomic mass units (amu) of 3.0 L NO adsorbed on Rh(111) at 120 K. It shows ions of Rh₂⁺ (206 amu) and Rh₂NO⁺ (236 amu) that are characteristic of molecularly adsorbed NO. Next, the surface is heated to 400 K to dissociate NO and to desorb the excess NO that cannot be dissociated.³ The SIMS spectrum confirms that all NO has disappeared and that the surface instead contains N and O atoms, as indicated by the Rh₂N⁺ (220 amu) and Rh₂O⁺ (222 amu) secondary ions, respectively.

^{a)}Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed; Electronic mail: tgtahn@chem.tue.nl



FIG. 1. SIMS spectra of the Rh(111) surface after the different reaction steps to produce N_{ads} ; adsorption of 3.0 L NO at 120 K, thermal NO dissociation at 400 K, and reaction with 2.10^{-8} mbar H₂ for 160 s to remove atomic oxygen.

Treatment in 2×10^{-8} mbar H₂ at 400 K during 160 s removes all O atoms, as confirmed by the SIMS spectrum of Fig. 1 (top), which only contains peaks due to Rh₂⁺ and Rh₂N⁺. Checking the N-ads coverage by means of thermal desorption indicated that virtually no N atoms are lost due to hydrogenation at 400 K. Thus, N_{ads} layers on Rh(111) are readily prepared by decomposing molecularly adsorbed NO at 400 K, followed by hydrogenation of O atoms at the same temperature. If one starts with NO coverages below 0.20 ML, where all the NO dissociates,³ the eventual coverage of N atoms equals that of the initially molecular NO.

B. Desorption of N₂

Recombinative desorption to form N₂ is the desired reaction pathway of N atoms in the context of automotive exhaust catalysis. Figure 2 shows thermal desorption spectra of N₂ from Rh(111) surfaces with coverages of N_{ads} in the range of 0–0.25 ML. Initially, the spectra follow the expected second-order desorption behavior, in agreement with the literature.^{10,11} Chan–Aris–Weinberg analysis¹² indicates an activation energy of 118 ± 10 kJ/mol and a preexponential factor of $10^{10\pm1}$ s⁻¹ in the limit of zero coverage. The fact that the pre-exponential factor is smaller than kT/h (~1 .4×10¹³ s⁻¹ at 650 K) indicates loss of entropy going from the free reactants to the transition state.



FIG. 2. N_2 TPD spectra obtained from a Rh(111) surface covered with various amounts of atomic nitrogen. For atomic nitrogen coverages larger than 0.15 ML the N_2 desorption peaks broaden towards the high temperature side.

For N_{ads} coverages of 0.15 ML and higher, however, the desorption traces broaden towards the *high* temperature side, indicating the population of sites from which the desorption proceeds is considerably slower than for the first 0.15 ML of N atoms. One explanation for the delayed N₂ desorption might be formation of the N-induced (1×2) added row reconstruction of the Rh(111) surface, which was recently reported by Xu and Ng.¹³

C. Hydrogenation of N_{ads}

Starting with a Rh(111) surface and a certain coverage θ_N and exposing it to a background pressure of H₂, we can expect the following sequence of elementary steps:

$$H_2 + 2* \leftrightarrows 2H_{ads}, \tag{1a}$$

$$N_{ads} + H_{ads} \stackrel{l}{\Longrightarrow} NH_{ads} + *, \tag{1b}$$

$$NH_{ads} + H_{ads} \Longrightarrow NH_{2,ads} + *, \qquad (1c)$$

$$\mathrm{NH}_{2,\mathrm{ads}} + \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ads}} \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{NH}_{3,\mathrm{ads}} + *, \tag{1d}$$

$$NH_{3,ads} \Longrightarrow NH_3 + *.$$
 (1e)

The overall rate expressed in terms of a power rate law becomes

$$r_{\rm NH_3} = -\frac{d\theta_{\rm N}}{dt} = k_{\rm eff} \cdot \theta_{\rm N}^n \times p_{\rm H_2}^m.$$
⁽²⁾

The rate of ammonia formation is too small to be measured directly with acceptable precision by a mass spectrometer, but the rate of reaction can accurately be determined by measuring the decrease in the coverage of N_{ads} by means of thermal desorption after hydrogenation.

The order of ammonia formation in the coverage of N atoms equals n = 1, as follows from the straight line plot of ln θ_N versus time, measured at 375 K and a constant pressure of 2×10^{-7} mbar of H₂.⁶ The order in hydrogen was determined at 375 K and H₂ pressures between 5×10^{-8} and 10^{-6} mbar, for a fixed reaction time of 160 s, (see Fig. 3). In the low pressure regime (i.e., below 4×10^{-7} mbar), the rate



FIG. 3. The influence of H₂ pressure on the hydrogenation rate, indicated by plotting the $\ln[\theta_N(t)/\theta_N(0)]$ after 160 s of reaction vs H₂ pressure. Initially the hydrogenation rate is linearly proportional to the H₂ pressure, but the dependence levels off above $\approx 5.10^{-7}$ mbar H₂.

is approximately first order in hydrogen. As the coverage of H atoms $\theta_{\rm H}$ is proportional to $p({\rm H}_2)^{1/2}$ or lower, an order in H₂ equal to 1 implies that the first hydrogenation step, reaction (1a) in the scheme above, does *not* determine the rate.

Hence, either step (1c), (1d), or (1e) should be considered rate determining.

In order to identify a rate determining step, one needs to establish which species reside on the surface during hydrogenation. Static SIMS can provide this information, although in a qualitative way. The SIMS spectrum in the left panel of Fig. 4, measured during hydrogenation at 375 K and 5.10^{-7} mbar H₂, shows peaks of Rh₂⁺, Rh₂H⁺, Rh₂N⁺, and Rh₂NH₂⁺. SIMS measurements of the N hydrogenation by means of deuterium give better mass separation and confirm the assignments. The fact that the Rh(111) surface contains a significant concentration of NH₂ species during the hydrogenation of Nads clearly points to the reaction between NH_{2,ads} and H_{ads}, step (1d) in the scheme above, as the ratedetermining step. The right panel of Fig. 4 shows the SIMS intensity ratio Rh₂NH₂⁺/Rh₂N⁺ when measured as a function of H₂ pressure. Note that, from a kinetic point of view, the decreasing H_2 dependence of the $Rh_2NH_2^+/Rh_2N^+$ ratio above 5.10^{-7} mbar H₂ agrees with the decreasing H₂ dependence of the hydrogenation rate (see Fig. 3).

It is interesting that not all N atoms are converted to NH_2 . If the reaction of Fig. 4 is stopped and the surface cooled down and subsequently heated in a TPD experiment, the atomic hydrogen desorbs below 380 K, but at 415 K a reaction limited desorption peak of hydrogen arises, mainly due to the decomposition of $NH_{2.ads}$, while the N atoms de-



FIG. 4. (Left) SIMS spectrum of the Rh(111) surface during a hydrogenation experiment showing the presence of H, N, and NH₂, as reaction intermediates. Peak assignments were verified by using D₂ instead of H₂. The spectrum was taken after 20 s of reaction; the H₂ pressure was 5.10^{-7} mbar and T=375 K. (Right) H₂ pressure dependence of the SIMS Rh₂NH₂⁺/Rh₂N⁺ peak intensity ratio which initially increases with H₂ pressure but reaches a saturation value of 0.43 above 5.10^{-7} mbar.

sorb as in Fig. 2. The experiment suggests a stoichiometry of N:H=1:1, implying that half of the nitrogen is present as NH_{2,ads} during hydrogenation at 350 K. These findings suggest that N atoms are present in islands, whereas hydrogenation is limited to the edges of these islands.

Finally, measuring the temperature dependence of the rate yields an effective activation energy of 40 kJ/mol and a preexponential factor of 100 s^{-1} . If we write the rate of reaction as

$$r = k_4 \times \theta_{\rm NH_2} \times \theta_{\rm H} \approx k_4 \times K_{\rm H_2}^{1/2} \times \theta_{\rm NH_2} \times p_{\rm H_2}^{1/2}, \qquad (3)$$

while the heat of adsorption of H_2 equals 72 kJ/mol, the true activation energy of the rate determining step (1d) becomes 76 kJ/mol.

D. Temperature programmed desorption of NH₃ from Rh(111)

Desorption of NH₃ is kinetically insignificant in the hydrogenation scheme described above, but can of course be studied separately. Temperature programmed desorption spectra were measured at a heating rate of 5 K/s after adsorption of NH₃ at 120 K. In order to check whether ammonia decomposes, the mass channels $m/e = 2(H_2)$, $m/e = 17(NH_3)$, $m/e = 28(N_2)$, and $m/e = 30(N_2H_2)$ were monitored. In all cases, NH₃ was the only detectable N-containing desorption product. Some H₂ desorption was indeed observed, but this was attributed to adsorption of small amounts of H₂ from the background.

The NH₃ desorption spectra in Fig. 5 show three distinct desorption states depending on the NH₃ coverage. The first low coverage state, denoted by α_1 -NH₃, has a maximum around 320 K which broadens significantly to lower temperature with increasing NH₃ coverage. Application of the Redhead method¹⁴ to the low coverage peak at 320 K yields a desorption energy of 81.5 ± 3 kJ/mol for α_1 -NH₃ if a pre-exponential factor of 10^{13} s⁻¹ is assumed. Other analysis methods that in general give more reliable answers¹⁵ appeared unsuitable because of the strong dependence of the desorption energy on the coverage.

The transition to the second desorption state α_2 -NH₃, which maximizes at 155 K, takes place very gradually. Above NH₃ exposures of ≈ 0.45 L, a third desorption state, β -NH₃, is observed by a very sharp peak at 130 K. Application of the Redhead method yields a desorption energy of 32 kJ/mol for this β -NH₃ if, again, a pre-exponential factor of 10^{13} s⁻¹ is assumed.

The adsorption of NH₃ on Rh(111) greatly resembles that on the hexagonally close-packed surfaces of other group VIII metals, such as Pt(111), Ru(0001), and Ni(111).^{16–19} All systems exhibit the remarkable decrease of the α_1 -NH₃ desorption temperature with increasing NH₃ coverage. This was formerly attributed to a decrease of the desorption energy caused by repulsive lateral interactions between NH₃ molecules.¹⁹ One problem with this explanation is that the dramatic influence of the coverage on the desorption energy is by no means recognized in the coverage dependent behavior of the work function. As is shown elsewhere,²⁰ the work



Temperature [K]

FIG. 5. TPD spectrum of NH_3 obtained after exposure of various amounts of NH_3 at 120 K. The heating rate was 5 K/s.

function decreases linearly with the NH₃ coverage up to a coverage of ≈ 0.15 ML, implying that the work function change and, consequently, the average dipole per adsorbed NH₃ molecule, is constant. If repulsive lateral interactions were responsible for the large decrease in the desorption energy, the average dipole of the NH₃ molecules would be expected to be influenced as well. We therefore wonder if an alternative explanation in terms of entropy effects could be given.

According to conventional transition state theory, the rate of desorption equals²¹

$$r_{\rm des} = \frac{k_B T}{h} \frac{p f^{\sharp}}{p f} e^{-E_{\rm des}/RT} \theta_{\rm NH_3},\tag{4}$$

where k_B =Boltzmann's constant, T=temperature, h= Planck's constant, pf^{\sharp} and pf are the partition functions of the transition state and the ground state, respectively, E_{des} =desorption energy, R=gas constant, and θ_{NH_3} =NH₃ coverage.

If the adsorption energy and, hence, E_{des} are constant, a coverage dependence of the desorption rate constant can still be caused by the ratio of the partition functions. For example, let us suppose that adsorbed ammonia molecules are mobile in the high temperature/low coverage regime of the

TABLE I. Kinetic parameters of several elementary surface reactions.

	Eact	ν	
Reaction	(kJ/mol)	(s^{-1})	Source
$\overline{NO_{ads} + * \rightarrow N_{ads} + O_{ads}}$	65±6	$10^{11\pm 1}$	Ref. 3
$N_{ads} + N_{ads} \rightarrow N_2 + 2*$	118 ± 10	$10^{10\pm 1}$	This work
$NH_{2.ads} + H_{ads} \rightarrow NH_{3.ads} + *$	76±6		This work; Ref. 6
$NH_{3,ads} \rightarrow NH_3 + *$	81 ± 5	1013	Ref. 20
		(assumed)	

TPD spectra, and that the transition state for desorption is mobile as well, then the ratio of the partition functions pf^{\sharp}/pf is on the order of 1 and the molecule desorbs with a pre-exponential factor on the order of $e \times k_B \times T/h$ = 10¹³ s⁻¹.²¹ As the coverage becomes higher, the translational partition function of the ground state decreases, whereas that of the transition state may remain high. The result is that the ratio pf^{\sharp}/pf in (4) *increases*, causing the pre-exponential to go up with coverage, while the adsorption energy remains constant until the adsorbate molecules start to interact, that is, in the α_2 state. Note that, if the above interpretation is correct, the estimate of the low coverage adsorption energy on the basis of the Redhead formula, 81 kJ/mol, should be correct, as the pre-exponential factor would indeed be on the order of 10¹³ s⁻¹.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

- N_{ads} layers of well determined coverage on Rh(111) can be prepared by using the NO+H₂ reaction.
- (2) The desorption of N_{ads} from Rh(111) to N₂ initially follows second-order kinetics, with rate parameters as in Table I. The broadening of the TPD traces to higher temperatures at coverages above 0.15 ML is tentatively explained by a N-induced (1×2) reconstruction of the Rh(111) surface.
- (3) The hydrogenation of N_{ads} on Rh(111) can be described as a stepwise addition of H atoms, in which the addition of the third H atom determines the rate (kinetic parameters in Table I). The overall hydrogenation is a slow

reaction ($E_{act}^{eff} = 40 \text{ kJ/mol}$; $\nu^{eff} = 10^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$). Some evidence has shown that hydrogenation occurs at the edges of N islands only.

(4) The rate of NH₃ desorption from Rh(111) depends strongly on coverage, as it does on other group VIII metals. It is argued that the coverage dependence may be dominated by the pre-exponential factor due to a mobile ground state of the NH₃ molecule at the desorption temperature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was in part funded by The Netherlands Foundation for Chemical Research (SON) with financial aid from The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO).

- ¹K. C. Taylor, Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. **35**, 457 (1993).
- ²J. S. Villarrubia and W. Ho, J. Chem. Phys. **87**, 750 (1987).
- ³H. J. Borg, J. F. C. J. M. Reijerse, R. A. van Santen, and J. W. Niemantsverdriet, J. Chem. Phys. **101**, 10 052 (1994).
- ⁴V. Schmatloch and N. Kruse, Surf. Sci. 269/270, 488 (1992).
- ⁵T. W. Root, L. D. Schmidt, and G. B. Fisher, Surf. Sci. **134**, 30 (1983).
- ⁶R. M. van Hardeveld, R. A. van Santen, and J. W. Niemantsverdriet, J. Phys. Chem. **101**, 998 (1997).
- ⁷R. M. van Hardeveld, A. J. G. W. Schmidt, and J. W. Niemantsverdriet, Catal. Lett. **41**, 125 (1996).
- ⁸J. W. Niemantsverdriet, *Spectroscopy in Catalysis* (VCH, Weinheim, 1993).
- ⁹H. J. Borg and J. W. Niemantsverdriet, in *Catalysis: Specialist Periodical Report*, edited by J. J. Spivey and A. K. Agarwal (The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 1994), Vol. 11, p. 1.
- ¹⁰L. Bugyi and F. Solymosi, Surf. Sci. **258**, 55 (1991).
- ¹¹D. N. Belton, C. L. DiMaggio, and K. Y. S. Ng, J. Catal. **144**, 273 (1993).
- ¹²C. M. Chan, R. Aris, and W. H. Weinberg, Appl. Surf. Sci. 1, 360 (1978).
- ¹³H. Xu and K. Y. S. Ng, Surf. Sci. **365**, 779 (1996).
- ¹⁴P. A. Redhead, Vacuum **12**, 203 (1962).
- ¹⁵A. M. de Jong and J. W. Niemantsverdriet, Surf. Sci. 233, 355 (1990).
- ¹⁶M. L. Wagner and L. D. Schmidt, J. Phys. Chem. 99, 805 (1995).
- ¹⁷D. M. Thornburg and R. J. Madix, Surf. Sci. 220, 268 (1989).
- ¹⁸J. A. Rodriguez, W. K. Kuhn, C. M. Truong, and D. W. Goodman, Surf. Sci. **271**, 333 (1992).
- ¹⁹C. Benndorf and T. E. Madey, Surf. Sci. 135, 164 (1983).
- ²⁰R. M. van Hardeveld, R. A. van Santen, and J. W. Niemantsverdriet, Surf. Sci. **369**, 23 (1996).
- ²¹R. A. van Santen and J. W. Niemantsverdriet, *Chemical Kinetics and Catalysis* (Plenum, New York, 1995).