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## 0. One-parameter semi-groups of operators

## Notations:

- $\quad X$ : Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$.
- $\mathcal{L}(X)$ : Bounded operators on $X$.
- $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)\|A\|=\sup _{x \in X} \frac{\|A x\|}{\|x\|}$.

Definition. A semi-group of operators on a Banach space $X$ is a mapping:

$$
[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X), t \mapsto P_{t},\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}
$$

such that

1) $\quad P_{0}=I$
2) $\quad \forall_{t \geq 0} \forall_{s \geq 0} \quad P_{t} P_{s}=P_{t+s}$

## Definition.

- $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called a uniformly continuous semi-group on $X$ if $t \mapsto P_{t}$ is continuous as a mapping: $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$.
- $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called a strongly continuous semi-group on $X$ if $\forall_{x \in X} t \mapsto P_{t} x$ is continuous as a mapping: $[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$.


## Examples.

- $t \mapsto e^{\alpha t} I, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and fixed, is uniformly continuous.
- Take $L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and define $P_{t}: t \mapsto P_{t} u$ by $\left(P_{t} u\right)(x)=u(x-t)$. In this case $P_{t}$ is strongly continuous but not uniformly continuous. Proof?


## 1. Uniformly continuous semi-groups

Theorem 1.1. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X), t \in \mathbb{C}$, and define

$$
P_{t}=e^{t A}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n} A^{n}}{n!} .
$$

Then

1) $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a uniformly continuous semi-group.
2) $A=\lim _{h \downarrow 0} \frac{P_{h}-I}{h}$ in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.
3) $R_{\lambda}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} d t$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>\|A\|$.

Note: The resolvent $R_{\lambda}$ of $A$ appears as the Laplace transform of $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$.
Remark: $A$ is called the infinitesimal generator of the semi-group $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$.
Proof. 1) and 2) $t \mapsto P_{t}$ is obviously an entire analytic function and hence continuously differentiable. From the expansion:

$$
\frac{d}{d t} P_{t}=A P_{t}=P_{t} A .
$$

Therefore

$$
A=A P_{0}=\left.\frac{d}{d t} P_{t}\right|_{t=0}=\lim _{h \downarrow 0} \frac{P_{h}-I}{h} .
$$

3) $\quad P_{t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n} A^{n}}{n!} \Rightarrow\left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^{n}\|A\|^{n}}{n!}=e^{|t| \cdot| | A \|}$.

So

$$
\operatorname{Re} \lambda>\|A\| \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|e^{-\lambda t} P_{t}\right\| d t<\infty \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} d t
$$

is absolutely convergent.
Now, put $S_{\lambda}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} d t$.
We will show that $S_{\lambda}=(\lambda-A)^{-1}=R_{\lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\lambda-A) S_{\lambda} & =(\lambda-A) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} d t=\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} d t-\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} A P_{t} d t \\
& =\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} d t-\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{d}{d t} P_{t} d t= \\
& =\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} d t-\left.e^{-\lambda t} P_{t}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}+\int_{0}^{\infty}-\lambda e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} d t= \\
& =\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty}\left(-e^{-\lambda s} P_{s}\right)+I .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left\|e^{-\lambda s} P_{s}\right\|=e^{-\operatorname{Re} \lambda s}\left\|P_{s}\right\| \leq e^{-s(\operatorname{Re} \lambda-\|A\|)}
$$

and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>\|A\|$ it follows that $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda s} P_{s}=0$.
So $(\lambda-A) S_{\lambda}=1$. Also $A P_{t}=P_{t} A$ implies $S_{\lambda}(\lambda-A)=I$.
Therefore $S_{\lambda}=(-\lambda-A)^{-1}=R_{\lambda}$ whenever $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>\|A\|$.
Note: Cf. the highschool formula

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} e^{a t} d t=\frac{1}{\lambda-a} \quad \forall_{a \in \mathbb{C}}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda>|a|
$$

Definition. $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a called a contradiction semi-group if $\forall_{t \geq 0}\left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq 1$.
Remark. For a contraction semi-group we have

$$
\operatorname{Re} \lambda>0 \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|e^{-\lambda t} P_{t}\right\| d t<\infty
$$

So the resolvent $R_{\lambda}$ exists whenever $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>0$.
For the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ of $A$ it now follows $\rho(A) \supseteq\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda>0\}$ and for the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of $A: \sigma(A) \subseteq\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0\}$.
Finally

$$
\left\|R_{\lambda}\right\|=\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} d t\right\| \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t \cdot \operatorname{Re} \lambda} d t=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}
$$

Theorem 1.2. (Reverse of theorem 1.1).
Let $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a uniformly continuous semi-group. Then

$$
\exists!A \in \mathcal{L}(X) \forall_{t \geq 0}\left[P_{t}=e^{t A}\right] .
$$

( $A$ is called the infinitesimal generator).
Proof.

$$
\forall_{a>0} \forall_{s>0}: \frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} P_{s+t} d t=\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} P_{s} P_{t} d t=P_{s} \cdot \frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} P_{t} d t
$$

$P_{t}$ is continuous $\Rightarrow \lim _{a \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} P_{t} d t=I$.
For $a>0$, sufficiently small, $\left\|I-\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} P_{t} d t\right\|<1$.
But then $I-\left(I-\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} P_{t} d t\right)=\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} P_{t} d t$ is invertible and $\left(\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} P_{t} d t\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$.
Further, $\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} P_{s+t} d t=\frac{1}{a} \int_{s}^{s+a} P_{t} d t$ is differentiable to $s$. Hence, also

$$
P_{s}=\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} P_{s+t} d t \cdot\left(\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} P_{t} d t\right)^{-1}
$$

is differentiable to $s$, whereas

$$
P_{s}^{\prime}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{s+\varepsilon}-P_{s}}{\varepsilon}=\lim _{\varepsilon \not 0} \frac{P_{\varepsilon}-I}{\varepsilon} P_{s} .
$$

If we put $\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{P_{\varepsilon}-I}{\varepsilon}=A$ then we have $P_{s}^{\prime}=A P_{s}=P_{s} A$.
Let $Q_{t}=e^{-t A} P_{t}$. Then $Q_{t}^{\prime}=e^{-t A} P_{t}^{\prime}-e^{-t A} A P_{t}=0$. This implies $Q_{t}=$ constant $=$ $Q_{0}=I$.
Finally, $e^{-t A} P_{t}=I$ or $P_{t}=e^{t A}$.

## 2. Strongly continuous semi-groups

Lemma. Let $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ be strongly continuous on $X$.
Let $\varepsilon>0, s>0, x \in X$ and put

$$
A_{\varepsilon}=\frac{P_{\varepsilon}-I}{\varepsilon} \text { and } B_{s} x=\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} P_{t} x d t
$$

Then $B_{s}$ is a bounded operator, $B_{s} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, and

$$
A_{\varepsilon} B_{s} x=A_{s} B_{\varepsilon} x=B_{s} A_{\varepsilon} x
$$

Proof. The mapping $t \mapsto P_{t} x$ is continuous. Hence

$$
\forall_{s>0} \forall_{x \in X}\left[\sup _{0 \leq t \leq s}\left\|P_{t} x\right\|<\infty\right]
$$

Then, with Banach-Steinhaus, sup $\left\|P_{t}\right\|<\infty$.
This implies the boundedness of $0<\bar{B}_{s}$.
Concerning the algebraic part of the Lemma:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\varepsilon} B_{s} x & =\frac{1}{\varepsilon s} \int_{0}^{s}\left(P_{t+\varepsilon}-P_{t}\right) x d t=\frac{1}{\varepsilon s}\left\{\int_{\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} P_{t} x d t-\int_{0}^{s} P_{t} x d t\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{\varepsilon s}\left\{\int_{0}^{s+\varepsilon} P_{t} x d t-\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} P_{t} x d t\right\}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon s} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon}\left(P_{s+t}-P_{t}\right) x d t=A_{s} B_{\varepsilon} x . \\
A_{\varepsilon} B_{s} x & =\frac{1}{\varepsilon s} \int_{0}^{s}\left(P_{t+\varepsilon}-P_{t}\right) x d t=\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} P_{t} \frac{P_{\varepsilon}-I}{\varepsilon} x d t= \\
& =\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} P_{t} A_{\varepsilon} x d t=B_{s} A_{\varepsilon} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Put $D(A)=\left\{x \in X \mid \lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} A_{\varepsilon} x\right.$ exists $\}$.
Define $A: D(A) \rightarrow X$ by $A x=\lim _{\varepsilon \nmid 0} A_{\varepsilon} x$. Then

1) $\overline{D(A)}=X$.
2) $A$ is a closed linear operator.
( $A$ is called the infinitesimal generator of $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ ).

## Proof.

1) Let $x \in X$. We want to show $x \in \overline{D(A)}$. From $B_{s} x=\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} P_{t} x d t$ and the strong continuity of $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ it follows that $\lim _{s \downarrow 0} B_{s} x=x$. So we are ready if we show that $B_{s} x \in D(A)$. Indeed $A_{s}$ continuous $\Rightarrow \lim _{\varepsilon \neq 0} A_{s} B_{\varepsilon} x=A_{s} x$.
Lemma $\Rightarrow \lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} A_{\varepsilon} B_{s} x=A_{s} x \Rightarrow B_{s} x \in D(A)$.
2) Observe $x \in D(A) \Rightarrow \lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} B_{s} A_{\varepsilon} x=A_{s} x=B_{s} A x$. Consider a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0} \subset$ $D(A)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A x_{n}=y$.
If we show that $x \in D(A)$ and $A x=y$ the operator $A$ is closed. Indeed, for all $s>0$

$$
B_{s} y=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{s} A x_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{s} x_{n}=A_{s} x
$$

But then $y=\lim _{s \downarrow 0} B_{s} y=\lim _{s \downarrow 0} A_{s} x$, which says $x \in D(A)$ and $y=A x$.

Theorem 2.2. Consider a strongly continuous semi-group $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$. Then $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is uniformly continuous iff the infinitesimal generator $A$ is bounded.

Proof. See theorem 1.2.
Now we discuss the resolvent of infinitesimal generators.
Lemma. Let $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be sub-additive and bounded from above on compact sets, then the limiet $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t}$ exists in $[-\infty, \infty)$.

Proof. Sub-additivity means $\forall_{s, t \geq 0} f(s+t) \leq f(s)+f(t)$. Take $t_{0}>0$ and put $\alpha=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq t_{0}} f(t)<\infty$.

$$
\forall_{t \geq 0} \exists_{n(t) \in N} \exists_{r(t), 0 \leq r(t) \leq t_{0}}\left[t=n(t) t_{0}+r(t)\right]
$$

and one has

$$
f(t)=f\left(n(t) t_{0}+r(t)\right) \leq n(t) f\left(t_{0}\right)+\alpha .
$$

So

$$
\frac{f(t)}{t} \leq \frac{n(t)}{t} f\left(t_{0}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{t}=\frac{f\left(t_{0}\right)}{t_{0}}+\frac{\alpha}{t}-\frac{r(t)}{t t_{0}} f\left(t_{0}\right), \quad t \geq 0 .
$$

Hence

$$
\forall_{t_{0}>0} \quad \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \frac{f(t)}{t} \leq \frac{f\left(t_{0}\right)}{t_{0}} .
$$

Therefore also

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} \leq \inf _{t>0} \frac{f(t)}{t}
$$

It follows that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t}=\inf _{t>0} \frac{f(t)}{t}<\infty
$$

Corollary. Let $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous semi-group. Then the function $f(t)=\log \left\|P_{t}\right\|$ is sub-additive and bounded on compact sets. (This follows from $\forall_{x \in X} \forall_{T>0}\left[\left\|P_{t} x\right\|\right.$ is bdd on on [0,T]] and Banach-Steinhaus). So

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left\|P_{t}\right\|}{t}=\omega<\infty .
$$

Theorem 2.3. Consider $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ with infinitesimal generator $A$. Put

$$
\omega=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left\|P_{t}\right\|}{t} .
$$

Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>\omega$, one has $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ and

$$
(\lambda I-A)^{-1} x=R_{\lambda} x=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} x d t
$$

Proof. Choose $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\omega<a<\operatorname{Re} \lambda$. Then

$$
\exists_{t_{0}>0} \forall_{t>t_{0}}\left[\frac{\log \left\|P_{t}\right\|}{t} \leq a \text { or }\left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq e^{t a}\right] .
$$

Because, via Banach-Steinhaus, $\sup _{0 \leq t \leq t_{0}}\left\|P_{t}\right\|=\alpha<\infty$, we find

$$
\exists_{M_{a}>0} \forall_{t \geq 0}\left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq M_{a} e^{t a} .
$$

## Estimate

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} x\right\| d t \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \lambda t} M_{a} e^{t a}\|x\| d t=\frac{M_{a}}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda-a}\|x\|
$$

This shows that the integral

$$
S_{\lambda} x=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} x d t
$$

converges absolutely and defines a bounded operator $S_{\lambda}$. We now want to show

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}\left(\lambda I-A_{\varepsilon}\right) S_{\lambda} x=\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} S_{\lambda}\left(\lambda I-A_{\varepsilon}\right) x=x, \quad \text { for all } x \in X .
$$

Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\lambda I-A_{\varepsilon}\right) S_{\lambda} x=\lambda S_{\lambda} x-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{t+\varepsilon}-P_{t}}{\varepsilon} e^{-\lambda t} x d t \\
& =\lambda S_{\lambda} x-\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{e^{\lambda \varepsilon}-1}{\varepsilon} P_{t} x d t+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} x d t \\
& \rightarrow \lambda S_{\lambda} x-\lambda S_{\lambda} x+x=x, \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude $S_{\lambda} x \in D(A)$ and $(\lambda I-A) S_{\lambda} x=x$, for all $x \in X$. It also follows that ( $\lambda I-A$ ) is surjective. Because of $A_{\varepsilon} P_{t}=P_{t} A_{\varepsilon}$ for all $\varepsilon, t>0$ we also have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} S_{\lambda}\left(\lambda I-A_{\varepsilon}\right) x=x=S_{\lambda}(\lambda I-A) x
$$

for all $x \in D(A)$, which implies that $(\lambda I-A)$ is injective.
Conclusion: For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda>\omega, \lambda I-A: D(A) \rightarrow X$ is bijective.
This means $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ and $(\lambda I-A)^{-1} x=S_{\lambda} x=R_{\lambda} x$.

## Corollary.

$$
\left\|R_{\lambda}^{n}\right\| \leq \frac{M_{a}}{(\operatorname{Re} \lambda-a)^{n}}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda>a>\omega
$$

## Proof.

$$
R_{\lambda}^{n} x=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t_{n}} P_{t_{n}} d t_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t_{n-1}} P_{t_{n-1}} d t_{n-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t_{1}} P_{t_{1}} x d t_{1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t_{n}} d t_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t_{n-1}} d t_{n-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t_{1}} P_{t_{n}} P_{t_{n-1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot P_{t_{1}} x d t_{1} \\
\left\|R_{\lambda}^{n} x\right\| & \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{-\lambda t_{n}}\right| d t_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{-\lambda t_{n-1}}\right| d t_{n-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{-\lambda_{t_{1}}}\right| e^{a\left(t_{n}+\ldots+t_{1}\right)} d t_{1} \\
& \leq M_{a} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{-\lambda t_{j}+a t_{j}}\right| d t_{j}=M_{a} \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Re} \lambda-a)^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remarks.

1) If $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semi-group then, in Theorem 2.3, we can take $\omega \leq 0$, since $\left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq 1$ implies $\frac{1}{t} \log \left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq 0$.
2) We have $\left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq M_{a} e^{a t}$ with $a>\omega$. Observe that $\left\{Q_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ with $Q_{t}=e^{-a t} P_{t}$ is again a strongly continuous semi-group and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} Q_{t} x=-a e^{-a t} P_{t} x+e^{-a t} \frac{d}{d t} P_{t} x
$$

At $t=0$ this leads to $B=A-a$ if $A$ and $B$ denote the infinitesimal generators of $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\left\{Q_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$, respectively.
From $\rho(A) \supseteq\{\lambda \in C \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda>\omega\}$ it follows that

$$
\rho(B) \supseteq\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda>0>\omega-a\} .
$$

So, without losing generality we could restrict to uniformly bounded semi-groups, the spectra of whose infinitesimal generators all lie in the closed left half plane.

Theorem 2.4. If two semi-groups $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\left\{Q_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ have the same infinitesimal generator, they are the same.

Proof. For suitable $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq M_{a} e^{a t} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|Q_{t}\right\| \leq M_{b} e^{b t}
$$

Let $A$ and $B$ be the infinitesimal generators of $\left\{P_{t}\right\}$ and $\left\{Q_{t}\right\}$ respectively. Then for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>\max \{a, b\}$

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} x d t=R_{\lambda}(A) x=R_{\lambda}(B) x=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} Q_{t} x d t
$$

It is sufficient to show that $P_{t} x=Q_{t} x$, for all $x \in X$.
For $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>\max \{a, b\}=m$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(\lambda-m) t} e^{-m t}\left(P_{t} x-Q_{t} x\right) d t=0
$$

Since $\operatorname{span}\left\{t \mapsto e^{-(\lambda-m) t}\right\}$ is dense in $C_{0}([0, \infty))$ we find

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-m t}\left(P_{t} x-Q_{t} x\right) d t=0 \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in C_{0}([0, \infty))
$$

Take $\varphi$ : and let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.
Then

$$
\forall_{u \geq 0} \quad \int_{0}^{u} e^{-m t}\left(P_{t} x-Q_{t} x\right) d t=0
$$



Differentiate to $u$,

$$
e^{-m u}\left(P_{u} x-Q_{u} x\right)=0
$$

Hence $P_{u} x=Q_{u} x, \forall_{u \geq 0} \forall_{x \in X}$.
Theorem 2.5. (Hille-Yosida). Consider a closed operator $A$ in the Banach space $X$; $\overline{D(A)}=X$. Then $A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semi-group $\Leftrightarrow \exists_{M \geq 0} \exists_{a \in \boldsymbol{R}} \forall_{\lambda<a} \forall_{n \in \boldsymbol{N}}$

$$
\left[\lambda \in \rho(A) \text { and }\left\|R_{\lambda}^{n}\right\| \leq \frac{M}{(\lambda-a)^{n}}\right]
$$

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 2.3.
$\Leftarrow$ For all $\lambda>a$ we have $R_{\lambda}=(\lambda-A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Form $B_{\lambda}=-\lambda\left(I-\lambda R_{\lambda}\right) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ for $\lambda>a$. We will construct the operators $P_{t}$ of the desired semi-group as the strong limit for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ from the operator $e^{t B_{\lambda}}$.

1) Let

$$
t \geq 0, \lambda>a ; e^{t B_{\lambda}}=e^{-\lambda t+\lambda^{2} t B_{\lambda}}=e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\lambda^{2} t\right)^{n} R_{\lambda}^{n}}{n!}
$$

This implies

$$
\left\|e^{t B_{\lambda}}\right\| \leq M e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\lambda^{2} t\right)^{n}}{n!(\lambda-a)^{n}}=M e^{-\lambda t} \cdot e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\lambda-a}}=M e^{\frac{\lambda t a}{\lambda-a}}
$$

Pick $a_{1}>a$. Since $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda t a}{\lambda-a}=t a$, it follows that
(*)

$$
\exists_{\lambda_{0} \in \boldsymbol{R}} \forall_{\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}>a}\left[\left\|e^{t B_{\lambda}}\right\|<M e^{a_{1} t}\right] .
$$

2) We show that $\forall_{x \in D(A)}\left[\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} B_{\lambda} x=A x\right]$. Let $x \in D(A)$ and $\lambda>a$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - }\left\|\lambda R_{\lambda} x-x\right\|=\left\|\lambda R_{\lambda} x-R_{\lambda}(\lambda-A) x\right\|=\left\|R_{\lambda} A x\right\| \leq \frac{M\|A x\|}{\lambda-a} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty . \\
& \text { - } \exists \exists_{\lambda_{1} \in \boldsymbol{R}} \forall_{\lambda>\lambda_{1}}\left\|\lambda R_{\lambda}\right\| \leq \frac{M|\lambda|}{\lambda-a}<2 M .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $y \in X ; \exists_{x_{0} \in D(A)}\left[\left\|x_{0}-y\right\|<\min \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{6 M}, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)\right]$.

We derive, for $\lambda$ sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\lambda R_{\lambda} y-y\right\| & \leq\left\|\lambda R_{\lambda} y-\lambda R_{\lambda} x_{0}\right\|+\left\|\lambda R_{\lambda} x_{0}-x_{0}\right\|+\left\|x_{0}-y\right\| \\
& \leq 2 M\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}<\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\forall y \in X\left[\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R_{\lambda} y=y\right]
$$

and from this, $\forall_{x \in D(A)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\lambda} x & =-\lambda\left(I-\lambda R_{\lambda}\right) x=-\lambda\left(R_{\lambda}(\lambda-A)-\lambda R_{\lambda}\right) x= \\
& =\lambda R_{\lambda} A x \rightarrow A x, \text { as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

3) Put $S_{\lambda}(t)=e^{t B_{\lambda}}$ for $\lambda>a$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Then $\left\{S_{\lambda}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a uniformly continuous semi-group. We will show that - for $x \in D(A)-S_{\lambda}(t) x$ converges to a limit $P_{t} x$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on bounded intervals in $[0, \infty)$.
Let $\lambda, \mu>a$. Since $R_{\lambda} R_{\mu}=R_{\mu} R_{\lambda}$, it follows that $B_{\lambda} B_{\mu}=B_{\mu} B_{\lambda}$ and $S_{\lambda}(t) B_{\mu}=$ $B_{\mu} S_{\lambda}(t)$. Let $x \in D(A)$, on $[0, t]$ the function $S_{\mu}(t-s) S_{\lambda}(s) x=e^{(t-s) B_{\mu}+s B_{\lambda}}$ is continuously differentiable to $S$, the derivative is

$$
e^{(t-s) B_{\mu}+s B_{\lambda}}\left(B_{\lambda}-B_{\mu}\right) x
$$

We estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|S_{\lambda}(t) x-S_{\mu}(t) x\right\|=\left\|\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) B_{\mu}+s B_{\lambda}}\left(B_{\lambda}-B_{\mu}\right) x d s\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|e^{(t-s) B_{\mu}}\right\|\left\|e^{s B_{\lambda}}\right\|\left\|B_{\lambda} x-B_{\mu} x\right\| d s \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \\
& \leq M e^{a_{1}(t-s)} M e^{a_{1} s} \cdot t \cdot\left\|B_{\lambda} x-B_{\mu} x\right\|= \\
& =M^{2} t e^{a_{1} t}\left\|B_{\lambda} x-B_{\mu} x\right\|, \text { for all } \lambda, \mu \geq \lambda_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of thos the limit $P_{t} x=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} S_{\lambda}(t) x, x \in D(A)$, exists and the convergence is uniformly on bounded intervals.
4) Let $x \in D(A)$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Then

$$
\exists_{\lambda_{2}>a} \forall_{\lambda \geq \lambda_{2}}\left\|P_{t} x\right\| \leq\left\|S_{\lambda}(t) x\right\|+\varepsilon .
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\|P_{t} x\right\| \leq\left\|S_{\lambda}(t)\right\|\|x\|+\varepsilon \stackrel{(*)}{<} M e^{t a_{1}}\|x\|+\varepsilon .
$$

So

$$
\forall_{x \in D(A)}\left\|P_{t} x\right\| \leq M e^{t a_{1}}\|x\|
$$

Together with $\overline{D(A)}=X$ this implies that $P_{t}$ extends to a bounded linear operator on $X$. The extension is again denoted by $P_{t}$.
Note that $\left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq M e^{t a_{1}}$.
5) Let $x \in X$ and $y \in D(A)$. Estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P_{t} x-S_{\lambda}(t) x\right\| \leq\left\|P_{t} x-P_{t} y\right\|+\left\|P_{t} y-S_{\lambda}(t) y\right\|+\left\|S_{\lambda}(t) y-S_{\lambda}(t) x\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|P_{t}\right\|\|x-y\|+\left\|P_{t} y-S_{\lambda}(t) y\right\|+\left\|S_{\lambda}(t)\right\|\|y-x\| \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \\
& \leq M e^{t a_{1}}\|x-y\|+\left\|P_{t} y-S_{\lambda}(t) y\right\|+M e^{t a_{1}}\|x-y\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, with 3), for all $x \in X P_{t} x=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} S_{\lambda}(t) x$, uniformly in $t$ on bounded intervals. From this the continuity of $t \mapsto P_{t}^{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}$ is immediate. In order to get the semi-group property for $P_{t}$ we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P_{s+t} x-P_{s} P_{t} x\right\| \leq\left\|P_{s+t} x-S_{\lambda}(s+t) x\right\|+\left\|S_{\lambda}(s)\right\|\left\|S_{\lambda}(t) x-P_{t} x\right\|+ \\
& +\left\|\left(S_{\lambda}(s)-P_{s}\right) P_{t} x\right\| \stackrel{(*)}{\leq}\left\|P_{s+t} x-S_{\lambda}(s+t) x\right\|+ \\
& \dot{M} e^{a_{1} s}\left\|S_{\lambda}(t) x-P_{t} x\right\|+\left\|\left(S_{\lambda}(s)-P_{s}\right) P_{t} x\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and it follows that $P_{s+t}=P_{s} P_{t}$. We know that $S_{\lambda}(0)=I=P_{0}$. Thus we have shown that $\left\|P_{t}\right\|_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semi-group.
6) Finally, we want to show that $A$ is the infinitesimal generator of $\left.\| P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$. Analoguous to 3), $\forall_{x \in D(A)}$
$(* *) \quad S_{\lambda}(t) x-x=\int_{0}^{t} S_{\lambda}(s) B_{\lambda} x d s$.
Because of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|S_{\lambda}(s) B_{\lambda} x-P_{s} A x\right\| \leq\left\|S_{\lambda}(s)\left(B_{\lambda}-A\right) x\right\|+ \\
& +\left\|\left(S_{\lambda}(s)-P_{s}\right) A x\right\| \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} M e^{s a_{1}}\left\|B_{\lambda} x-A x\right\|+\left\|\left(S_{\lambda}(s)-P_{s}\right) A x\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

and $P_{s} x=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} S_{\lambda}(s) x \mathrm{~m}$ for all $x \in X$, uniformly in $s$ on $[0, t]$, it follows that for all $x \in D(A) P_{s} A x=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} S_{\lambda}(s) B_{\lambda} x$, uniformly in $s$ on $[0, t]$. That is why we may interchange integral and limit in $(* *)$, so that

$$
P_{t} x-x=\int_{0}^{t} P_{s} A x d s
$$

Hence

$$
\forall_{x \in D(A)} \lim _{t \downarrow} \frac{P_{t} x-x}{t}=\lim _{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} P_{s} A x d s=A x
$$

This means that the infinitesimal generator of $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$, call it $B$, is an extension of A:

$$
D(B) \supseteq D(A) \text { and } \forall_{x \in D(A)}[B x=A x]
$$

However for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently large

$$
\lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \text { and } X=(\lambda-A) D(A)=(\lambda-B) D(A)
$$

and also $X=(\lambda-B) D(B)$.
Since $R_{\lambda}(A)$ and $R_{\lambda}(B)$ exist both we finally have $D(A)=D(B)$ and therefore $A=B$.

Corollary. (Hille-Yosidas for contraction semi-groups). Let $A$ be a closed operator in a Banach space $X, \overline{D(A)}=X$. Then $A$ is infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous contraction semi-group $\Leftrightarrow$

$$
\forall_{\lambda>0}\left[\lambda \in \rho(A) \text { and }\left\|R_{\lambda}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\right] .
$$

## Proof.

$\Rightarrow)\left[\forall_{t \geq 0}\left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq 1\right] \Rightarrow \omega=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left\|P_{t}\right\|}{t} \leq 0$.
From Theorem 2.3, in this case, $\lambda>0 \Rightarrow \lambda \in \rho(A)$, and $\forall_{x \in X}\left[R_{\lambda} x=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t} x d t\right]$. So

$$
\left\|R_{\lambda} x\right\| \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t}\|x\| d t=\frac{1}{\lambda}\|x\| \text { and }\left\|R_{\lambda}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}
$$

$\Leftrightarrow)\left\|R_{\lambda}^{n}\right\| \leq\left\|R_{\lambda}\right\|^{n} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{n}}$.
Apply Theorem 2.5 with $M=1, a=0$.
Further, $\left\|e^{t B_{\lambda}}\right\| \leq M e^{\frac{\lambda t a}{\lambda-a}}$, so that $\left\|e^{t B_{\lambda}}\right\| \leq 1$. Let $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, then still $\left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq 1$.
Theorem 2.6. (Perturbations). Let $A$ be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semi-group and $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ then $A+B$ is again the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semi-group.

Proof. See [BM].
Theorem 2.7. (Hille's Inversion Formula).

$$
P_{t} x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(I-\frac{t}{n} A\right)^{-n} x
$$

uniformly on bounded sets in $[0, \infty)$.
Theorem 2.8. (A regularity result). Let $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous semi-group in a Banach space $X$. Let $A$ be its infinitesimal generator. Let $n \in I N$ and $x \in D\left(A^{n}\right)$. Then
i) $\forall_{t \geq 0} P_{t} x \in D\left(A^{n}\right)$.
ii) $t \mapsto P_{t} x$ is $n$ times continuously differentiable.
iii) $\frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}}\left(P_{t} x\right)=A^{n} P_{t} x=P_{t} A^{n} x$.

Proof. Note that $D\left(A^{n}\right)=R_{\lambda}^{n}(X)$ for $\lambda$ sufficiently large. Take $n=1, x \in D(A)$ and put $x=R_{\lambda} y=(\lambda-A)^{-1} y$. We have

$$
u(t)=P_{t} x=P_{t} R_{\lambda} y=R_{\lambda} P_{t} y=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} P_{t+s} y d s=
$$

$$
=e^{\lambda t} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda \tau} P_{\tau} y d \tau \in D(A)
$$

which is obviously differentiable. Calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d u}{d t} & =\lambda P_{t} x-P_{t} y=\lambda P_{t} x-(\lambda-A) R_{\lambda} P_{t} y \\
& =\lambda P_{t} x=(\lambda-A) P_{t} R_{\lambda} y=A P_{t} x=A u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n>1$ this procedure can be repeated, replacing $x$ by $A^{k} x$ with $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, successively.

Corollary. Obviously $u(t)=P_{t} x$ solves the Cauchy-problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d u(t)}{d t}=A u(t) \\
u(0)=x \in D(A)
\end{array}\right.
$$

A sort of motivation for writing $P_{t}=e^{t A}$ is the following:
Theorem 2.9. Let $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous semi-group in a Banach space $X$. Let $A$ be its infinitesimal generator. Then $\forall_{t \geq 0} \forall_{x \in X}$

$$
P_{t} x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(I-\frac{t}{n} A\right)^{-n} x
$$

Proof. (Sketch). By induction it easily follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda-A)^{-n} x=\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{n-1} e^{-\lambda s} P_{s} x d s, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \\
& \left(I-\frac{t}{n} A\right)^{-n} x=\frac{n^{n}}{t^{n}}\left(\frac{n}{t}-A\right)^{-n} x=\frac{n^{n}}{(n-1)!t^{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{n-1} e^{-\frac{n}{t} s} P_{s} x d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $s^{n-1} e^{-\frac{n}{t} s}$ has its maximum at $s=\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) t$ and also that

$$
\forall_{n \in \boldsymbol{N}} \quad \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{n}}{t^{n}} s^{n-1} e^{-\frac{n}{t} s} d s=1
$$

Now, show that the norm of

$$
P_{t} x-\left(I-\frac{t}{n} A\right)^{-n} x=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{n}}{(n-1)!t^{n}} s^{n-1} e^{-\frac{n}{t} s}\left(P_{s} x-P_{t} x\right) d s
$$

tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3. Homomorphic semi-groups

In this chapter we suppose that $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semi-group of bounded operators on a Banach space $X$. Its infinitesimal generator is denoted by $A$.

Lemma. Suppose

$$
\forall_{t>0} \forall_{x \in X}\left[P_{t} x \in D(A)\right] .
$$

Then
i) $\quad \forall_{t>0} \forall_{x \in X}\left[P_{t} x \in D\left(A^{\infty}\right)\right]$
ii) $\quad \forall_{x \in X} \forall_{t>0}\left[P_{t} x\right.$ is $\infty-$ differentiable at $\left.t\right]$
ii) $\quad \forall_{n \in N} \forall_{t>0} \forall_{x \in X}\left[P_{t}^{(n)} x=A^{n} P_{t} x=\left(P_{\frac{t}{n}}^{\prime}\right)^{n} x=\left(A P_{\frac{t}{n}}\right)^{n} x\right]$.

Proof. By induction we show

- $\forall_{t>0} \forall_{n \in N} \forall_{x \in X}\left[P_{t} x \in D\left(A^{\infty}\right)\right]$.
- $t \mapsto P_{t} x$ is $n$-times continuously differentiable at $t>0$.
- $P_{t}^{(n)} x=A^{n} P_{t} x$.
$\mathrm{n}=1$ Let $0<t_{0}<t, P_{t} x=P_{t-t_{0}} P_{t_{0}} x$. Apply Theorem 2.8.

$$
P_{t_{0}} x \in D(A) \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{t-t_{0}} P_{t_{0}} x \in D(A) \\
P_{t-t_{0}} P_{t_{0}} x \text { is continuously differentiable at } t \\
P_{t}^{\prime} x=P_{t-t_{0}}^{\prime} P_{t_{0}} x=P_{t-t_{0}} A P_{t_{0}} x=A P_{t} x
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{k} \Rightarrow \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{k}+1$ Again by Theorem 2.8,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{t}^{(k)} x=A^{k} P_{t} x=P_{t-t_{0}} P_{\frac{t_{0}}{2}} A^{k} P_{\frac{t_{0}}{2}} x \in D(A) \text { and continuously differentiable } \\
& \frac{d}{d t} P_{t}^{(k)} x=P_{t-t_{0}}^{\prime} P_{\frac{t_{0}}{2}} A^{k} P_{\frac{t_{0}}{2}} x=A P_{t-t_{0}} P_{\frac{t_{0}}{2}} A^{k} P_{\frac{t_{0}}{2}} x=A^{k+1} P_{t} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, since $P_{t}$ and $A$ commute

$$
P_{t}^{(n)} x=A^{n} P_{t} x=\left(A P_{\frac{t}{n}}\right)^{n} x=\left(P_{\frac{t}{n}}^{\prime}\right)^{n} x
$$

Theorem 3.1. (Yosida) Assume $\exists_{M, 1 \leq M<\infty} \forall_{t>0}\left\|P_{t}\right\| \leq M$.
Then the following 3 conditions are equivalent
I. $\forall_{x \in X} \forall_{t>0}\left[P_{t} x \in D(A)\right]$, and

$$
\exists_{\alpha>0} \forall_{t, 0<t \leq 1}\left[\left\|t P_{t}^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|t A P_{t}\right\| \leq \alpha\right]
$$

II. a) $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t>0}$ has a holomorphic extension, locally given by

$$
P_{\lambda} x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda-t)^{n}}{n!} P_{t}^{(n)} x, \quad x \in X,|\arg \lambda|<\arctan \frac{1}{\alpha e} .
$$

b) $\exists_{\delta, 0<\delta<1} \exists_{K>0} \forall_{\lambda,|\arg \lambda|<\arctan \left(\delta \frac{1}{\alpha e}\right)}\left[\left\|e^{-\lambda} P_{\lambda}\right\| \leq K\right]$
III. $\exists_{\beta>0} \exists_{\varepsilon>0} \forall_{\lambda, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 1+\varepsilon}\left\|\lambda(\lambda I-A)^{-1}\right\| \leq \beta$.

Proof. $I \Rightarrow I I$
a) For $\lambda>0, t>0$ we write Taylor's formula

$$
P_{\lambda} x=\sum_{h=0}^{N-1} \frac{(\lambda-t)^{n}}{n!} P_{t}^{(n)} x+R_{N}(\lambda-t),
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{N}(\lambda-t)=\frac{1}{(N-1)!} \int_{0}^{\lambda-t} \tau^{N-1} P_{\lambda-\tau}^{(N)} x d \tau=(\text { Lemma }) \\
& \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \int_{0}^{\lambda-t} \tau^{N-1}\left(P_{\frac{\lambda-\tau}{N}}^{\prime}\right)^{N} x d \tau=\frac{N^{N}}{(N-1)!} \int_{0}^{\lambda-t} \frac{\tau^{N-1}}{(\lambda-\tau)^{N}}\left\{\left(\frac{\lambda-\tau}{N}\right)\left(P_{\frac{\lambda-\tau}{N}}^{\prime}\right)\right\}^{N} x d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

$\underline{\text { Case }} \lambda-t \geq 0$. Choose $N$ so large that $\frac{1}{N}\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha e}\right)<1$, then $\lambda<\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha e}\right) t \Rightarrow$ $\frac{\lambda-\tau}{N}<1$, and we estimate

$$
\left\|R_{N}(\lambda-\tau)\right\| \leq \frac{N^{N}}{(N-1)!} \int_{0}^{\lambda-t} \frac{\tau^{N-1}}{(\lambda-\tau)^{N}} \alpha^{N} d \tau \leq \frac{N^{N}}{(N-1)!} \alpha^{N} \int_{0}^{\lambda-t} \frac{\tau^{N-1}}{t^{N}} d t \leq \frac{e^{N} \alpha^{N}}{t^{N}}(\lambda-t)^{N}
$$

Therefore the power series converges to $P_{\lambda}$ if $0 \leq \frac{\alpha e}{t}(\lambda-t)<1$. Case $\lambda-t \leq 0$. Choose $N$ so large that $\frac{t}{N}<1$.
Then $\frac{\lambda-\tau}{N}<\frac{t}{N}<1$ and

$$
\left\|R_{N}(\lambda-t)\right\| \leq \frac{N^{N}}{(N-1)!} \alpha^{N} \int_{\lambda-t}^{0} \frac{|\tau|^{N-1}}{(\lambda-\tau)^{N}} d \tau \leq \frac{e^{N} \alpha^{N}}{\lambda^{N}}(t-\lambda)^{N} .
$$

Therefore the power series converges to $P_{\lambda}$ if $0 \leq \frac{\alpha e}{\lambda}(t-\lambda)<1$.
Conclusion: On every compact interval in $\left(\frac{t}{1+\frac{1}{\alpha e}},\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha e}\right) t\right)$ the power series for $P_{\lambda}$ converges uniformly to $P_{\lambda}$.

The radius of convergence of the power series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda-t)^{n}}{n!} P_{t}^{(n)} x \quad \text { at } t>0
$$


is at least $\frac{1}{\alpha e} t$ and in the sector $|\arg \lambda|<\arcsin \frac{1}{\alpha e}$, the function $P_{t}$ can be continued analytically to $P_{\lambda}$. If it so happens that $\frac{1}{\alpha e}>1$ this implies that $P_{\lambda}$ is analytic at $\lambda=0$ and hence $D(A)=X$.
Note that analytic extension is guaranteed in the sector $|\arg \lambda|<\arctan \frac{1}{\alpha e}$.
b) $S_{t}=e^{-t} P_{t}$ is a semi-group with infinitsimal generator $A-I$ and has the property

$$
\forall_{x \in X} \forall_{t>0}\left[S_{t} x \in D(A-I)=D(A)\right] .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<t \leq 1:\left\|t S_{t}^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|t e^{-t} P_{t}^{\prime}-t e^{-t} P_{t}\right\| \leq \alpha+M \leq M(1+\alpha) . \\
& t>1:\left\|t S_{t}^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|t e^{-t} A P_{1} P_{t-1}-t e^{-t} P_{t}\right\| \leq M \alpha+M .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\forall_{t>0}\left\|\left(t S_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{n}\right\| \leq M^{n}(1+\alpha)^{n}=\frac{1}{\delta_{1}^{n}}, \quad \text { with } \delta_{1}=\frac{1}{M(1+\alpha)}<1 .
$$

According to a) we have the representation

$$
e^{-\lambda} P_{\lambda} x=S_{\lambda} x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda-\operatorname{Re} \lambda)^{n}}{n!} S_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}^{(n)} x,|\arg \lambda|<\arctan \left(\frac{\delta_{1}}{e}\right) .
$$

Restrict to $|\arg \lambda| \leq \arctan \left(\delta_{2} \delta_{1} e^{-1}\right)$, with $0<\delta_{2}<1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S_{\lambda} x\right\| & \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda-\operatorname{Re} \lambda|^{n}}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|^{n}} \frac{n^{n}}{n!} \frac{1}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)^{n}}\left\|\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}{n} \delta_{1} S_{\frac{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}{\prime}}^{n}\right)^{n} x\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\delta_{2} \delta_{1} e^{-1}\right)^{n} \frac{n^{n}}{n!} \frac{1}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)^{n}}\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\delta_{2}}\|x\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $\delta=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}$. This proves b ).

Corollary. (Hille).

$$
\limsup _{t \downarrow 0}\left\|t P_{t}^{\prime}\right\|<e^{-1} \Rightarrow D(A)=X
$$

Proof. According to d'Alembert the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda-t)^{n}}{n!} P_{t}^{(n)} x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda-t)^{n}}{t^{n}} \frac{n^{n}}{t^{n}}\left(\frac{t}{n} P_{\frac{t}{n}}^{\prime}\right)^{n} x
$$

converges in the sector $\left\{\lambda \left\lvert\, \frac{|\lambda-t|}{t}<1+\delta\right., \delta>0\right\}$. This sector contains $\lambda=0$ and $P_{\lambda} x$ analytic at $\lambda=0 \Rightarrow D(A)=X$.

## $\mathrm{II} \rightarrow$ III

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the assertion follows from Theorem 2.3 and its Corollary. Indeed we have here $\omega=0$, take $a=1$ and $\varepsilon>0$, then

$$
\left\|R_{\lambda}\right\| \leq \frac{M_{1}}{(\lambda-1)} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\lambda R_{\lambda}\right\| \leq \frac{M_{1}}{\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}\right)} \leq \frac{M_{1}}{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}
$$

since $\lambda \geq 1+\varepsilon \Rightarrow 1-\frac{1}{\lambda} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$. Now for $\lambda$ complex

$$
\left(\lambda R_{\lambda}\right) x=\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} P_{t} x d t, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda>0, x \in X
$$

With $\lambda=1+\sigma+i \tau, \sigma \geq \varepsilon>0, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $S_{t}=e^{-t} P_{t}$ this becomes

$$
(\sigma+1+i \tau) R_{\sigma+1+i \tau} x=(\sigma+1+i \tau) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(\sigma+i \tau) t} S_{t} x d t
$$

Let $\tau>0$. Deform the path of integration to a radius $r e^{i \theta}$ in the sector $0<\arg \lambda<$ $\arctan \left(\frac{\delta}{\alpha e}\right)$

$$
\left((\sigma+1+i \tau) R_{\sigma+1+i \tau}\right) x=(\sigma+1+i \tau) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(\sigma+i \tau) r e^{i \theta}} S_{r e^{i \theta}} x e^{i \theta} d r
$$

with estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left((\sigma+1+i \tau) R_{\sigma+1+i \tau}\right) x\right\| \leq\|x\||\sigma+1+i \tau| \cdot K \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{(-\sigma \cos \theta+\tau \sin \theta) r} d r \\
& \leq K \frac{|\sigma+1+i \tau|\|x\|}{|-\tau \sin \theta+\sigma \cos \theta|} \leq K\left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\cos \theta}+\frac{1}{\sin \theta}\right)\|x\|
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
\frac{|\sigma+1+i \tau|}{(-\tau \sin \theta+\sigma \cos \theta)}<\frac{|\sigma+1|}{\sigma \cos \theta}+\frac{-\tau}{-\tau \sin \theta} \leq \frac{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\cos \theta}+\frac{1}{\sin \theta} .
$$

An analogous estimate can be given for $\tau>0$. Choose for $\beta$ the worst constant in the estimates above. In fact we showed that for all $\varepsilon>0$ an estimate III can be given. Finally,

## $\mathrm{III} \Rightarrow \mathrm{I}$

Take $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{0} \geq 1+\varepsilon$, then

$$
\left\|\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)^{n} R_{\lambda_{0}}^{n} x\right\| \leq \frac{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|^{n}}{\left|\lambda_{0}\right|^{n}} \beta^{n}\|x\|
$$

The resolvent series

$$
R_{\lambda}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\lambda_{0}-\lambda\right)^{n} R_{\lambda_{0}}^{n+1}
$$

is therefore convergent if $\frac{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|}{\left|\lambda_{0}\right|} \beta<1$.
That's why the sectors

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \arg \lambda \leq \theta_{0} \text { and }-\theta_{0} \leq \arg \lambda \leq-\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

$|\lambda| \geq R$, with $\theta_{0}$ sufficiently small and $F R$ sufficiently large certainly belong to the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ of $A$.
In these sectors and in the right half plane we now want the estimate

$$
\left\|R_{\lambda}\right\| \leq \frac{K_{1}}{|\lambda|} \quad \text { if } \quad|\lambda| \geq R
$$



For $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 1+\varepsilon$ this already follows from assumption III. From the above resolvent series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{\lambda}\right\| \leq\left(1-\beta \frac{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|}{\left|\lambda_{0}\right|}\right)^{-1}\left\|R_{\lambda_{0}}\right\| \leq\left(\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|}{\left|\lambda_{0}\right|}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{0}\right|} \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we imposed $\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|<\frac{1}{\beta}\left|\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{0}\right|$.
Consider $R_{\lambda}$ in the domain $\left\{1+\varepsilon \geq \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\frac{1}{2 \beta}|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|,|\lambda| \geq R\right\}$. Take in $(* *)$ $\lambda_{0}=1+\varepsilon+i \operatorname{Im} \lambda$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{\lambda}\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\beta}\left|\lambda_{0}\right|-\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\beta}|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|+\operatorname{Re} \lambda-(1+\varepsilon)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\min \left(1, \frac{1}{\beta}\right)\{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|+|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|\}-(1+\varepsilon)} \quad \text { if } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

For $-\frac{1}{2 \beta}|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0$ holds $|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|=-\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2 \beta}|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|$ and hence also $\frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{\beta}|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \geq \frac{3}{2}|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|$.
Starting from $\dagger$ we then find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|R_{\lambda}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\beta}|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|+\frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{\beta}|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|+\operatorname{Re} \lambda-(1+\varepsilon)} \leq \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\min \left(\frac{1}{4 \beta}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|+|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|\}-(1+\varepsilon)} \quad \text { if } \quad-\frac{1}{2 \beta}|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (*).
Now consider the path of integration $\Gamma$ as drawn. Parametrization in 2nd quadrant: $\lambda=i a+\bar{b} s$,

$$
a>R, s \geq 0,|b|=1, \frac{\pi}{2}<\arg b<\frac{\pi}{2}+\arctan \frac{1}{2} \beta
$$

Parametrization in 3rd quadrant: $\lambda=-i a+\bar{b} s$. Define

$$
\hat{P}_{t} x=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} R_{\lambda} x d \lambda, \quad t>0, x \in X
$$

We want to show that $\hat{P}_{t}=P_{t}$. Successively we show
i) $\forall_{x \in D(A)} \lim _{t \downarrow 0} \hat{P}_{t} x=x$.
ii) $\forall_{t>0} \forall_{x \in X} \hat{P}_{t}^{\prime} x=A \hat{P}_{t} x$.
iii) $\left\|\hat{P}_{t} x\right\|$ grows at most exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of i). Let $x_{0} \in D(A), \lambda_{0}$ right of $\Gamma$.
Let $\left(\lambda_{0} I-A\right) x_{0}=y_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}_{t} x_{0} & =\hat{P}_{t} R_{\lambda_{0}} y_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} R_{\lambda} R_{\lambda_{0}} y_{0} d \lambda= \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t}\left(\lambda_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} R_{\lambda} y_{0} d \lambda-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t}\left(\lambda_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} R_{\lambda_{0}} y_{0} d \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second integral is zero, close by a big circle! Because of the estimate for $R_{\lambda}$ we may take the limit for $t \downarrow 0$ under the integral sign. So

$$
\lim _{t \downarrow 0} \hat{P}_{t} x_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma}\left(\lambda_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} R_{\lambda} y_{0} d \lambda, \quad y_{0}=\left(\lambda_{0}-A\right) x_{0}
$$

Close $\Gamma$ by a big circle on the right: Residu $R_{\lambda_{0}} y_{0}=x_{0}$.
Proof of ii). Using the closedness of $A$ we find $\hat{P}_{t} x \in D(A)$ and

$$
A \hat{P}_{t} x=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} A R_{\lambda} x d \lambda=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} \lambda R_{\lambda} x d \lambda-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} x d \lambda
$$

The second integral is zero again.
By differentiating the defining integral for $\hat{P}_{t} x$ the wanted identity ii) follows.
Proof of iii). Straightforward because the part of $\Gamma$ where $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$ has finite length. The unique solvability (cf. Corollary of Theorem 2.8) of the Cauchy-problem leads to the conclusion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{P}_{t} x=P_{t} x=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} R_{\lambda} x d \lambda \in D(A) \text { if } t>0 \text { and } \\
& \left(t P_{t}^{\prime}\right) x=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t}(\lambda t) R_{\lambda} x d \lambda \\
& \left\|\left(t P_{t}^{\prime}\right) x\right\| \leq \frac{K_{1}\|x\|}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma}\left|e^{\lambda t}\right| t|d \lambda| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $0<t \leq 1$

$$
\int_{\Gamma, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0}\left|e^{\lambda t}\right| t|d \lambda| \leq L e^{|\lambda|_{\max }}
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{(i a+b s) t} t\right| b \left\lvert\, d s=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{s t \operatorname{Re} b} t d s=\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Re} b|}\right.
$$

Take

$$
\alpha=L e^{|\lambda| \max }+\frac{2}{|\operatorname{Re} b|}
$$

## 4. Some applications

Definition. A semi-inner product on a Banach space $X$ is a mapping $[\cdot, \cdot]: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that
$\cdot[\alpha x+\beta y, z]=\alpha[x, z]+\beta[y, z], \begin{aligned} & \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \\ & x, y, z \in X\end{aligned}$

- $|[x, y]| \leq\|x\|\|y\|$.
- $[x, x]=\|x\|^{2}$.

Note that linearity in the second argument is not required. Note that the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on a Hilbert space is also a semi-inner product.

Example. Consider the Banach space $X=C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$

$$
C_{0}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{f \mid f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C, f \text { continuous, } \lim _{|\tau| \rightarrow \infty} f(\tau)=0\right\}
$$

Choose a mapping $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu(f)=a \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow|f|$ attains its maximum at $a$. It will be clear that

$$
[f, g]=f(b) \overline{g(b)} \text { with } b=\mu(g) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

defines a semi-inner product.
Definition. The numerical range $\Sigma(A)$ of an operator $A$ in a Banach space $X$ is defined by

$$
\Sigma(A)=\{[A x, x] \mid x \in D(A),\|x\|=1\}
$$

Theorem. Let $\lambda \in C \backslash \Sigma(A)$. Let $d$ be the distance between $\Sigma(A)$ and $A$ then $A-\lambda I$ is injective and for all $y \in R(A-\lambda)$ one has $\left\|(A-\lambda)^{-1} y\right\| \leq \frac{1}{d}\|y\|$. If in addition $A$ is closed and $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ then this inequality holds for all $y \in X$.

Proof.

$$
\forall x \in D(A),\|x\|=1|[(A-\lambda) x, x]|=|[A x, x]-\lambda| \geq d\|x\|^{2} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\|x\|\|(A-\lambda) x\| \geq d\|x\|^{2}
$$

$\operatorname{Put}(A-\lambda) x=y$.
Example 1. Consider $\mathcal{A}=a(x) \frac{d}{d x}$ in $C_{0}(\mathbb{R}), a(\cdot)$ continuous and $0<\varepsilon<a(x)<M<\infty$. Take $D(\mathcal{A})=\left\{u \mid u^{\prime} \in C_{0}(\mathbb{R})\right\}$. Show that $\mathcal{A}$ is closed. Check that at a maximum point of $f=\varphi+i \psi, \varphi$ and $\psi$ real valued, one has $\varphi \varphi^{\prime}+\psi \psi^{\prime}=0$ and $\varphi^{\prime 2}+\psi^{\prime 2}+\varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\psi \psi^{\prime \prime} \leq 0$. (For the latter the second derivative has to exist of course). We now find

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\mathcal{A} f, f] } & =a(\alpha) f^{\prime}(\alpha)(f)(\alpha) \text { with } \alpha=\mu(f) \\
& =a(\alpha)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(\alpha)+i \psi^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)(\varphi(\alpha)-i \psi(\alpha)) \in i \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Further $\omega>0$ belongs to the resolvent set since the equation

$$
\left[\omega-a(x) \frac{d}{d x}\right] x=f
$$

is solved by

$$
w=-\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\omega} \int_{x}^{\xi} \frac{1}{a(\tau)} d \tau}{a(\xi)} f(\xi) d \xi
$$

Hence we find, applying the above theorem that $\mathcal{A}$ generates a strongly continuous dissipative semi-group in $C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$.
Exercise. Investigate the operator

$$
\mathcal{A}=a(x) \frac{d}{d x}+b(x), b(\cdot) \text { is } C \text {-valued }
$$

in $C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ for being an infinitesimal generator.
Example 2. First note that the equation

$$
Q_{\omega} u=\omega u-u_{x x}=f, \quad \omega>0
$$

is solved by

$$
u(x)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\omega}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\sqrt{\omega}|x-\xi|} f(\xi) d \xi
$$

Now consider the operator $\mathcal{B}$ and the resolvent equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathcal{B}-\omega) u=u_{x x}-\omega u+a(x) u_{x x}+b(u) u_{x}+c(x) u=f \\
& {\left[I+\left(a \partial_{x x}+b \partial_{x}+c\right) Q_{\omega}^{-1}\right] Q_{\omega} u=f} \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that in $C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ we have $\left\|Q_{\omega}^{-1}\right\|=\frac{1}{2 \omega}$ and $\left\|\partial_{x} Q_{x}^{-1}\right\|=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\omega}}$.
If the coefficients $a, b, c$ are complex valued, continuous, bounded and moreover $|(x)|<\frac{2}{3}$ then by taking $\omega$ sufficiently large, we can achieve $\left\|\left(a \partial_{x x}+b \partial_{x}+c\right) Q_{\omega}^{-1}\right\|<1$. The resolvent equation can be solved then

$$
u=Q_{\omega}^{-1}\left[I+\left(a \partial_{x x}+b \partial_{x}+c\right) Q_{\omega}^{-1}\right]^{-1} f
$$

With our semi-inner product we now calculate the numerical range $\Sigma(B)$. The operator $B$ is a closed operator on the domain

$$
\left.D(B)=\left\{u \mid u^{\prime} \in C_{0}(\mathbb{R}), u^{\prime \prime} \in C_{0} \mathbb{R}\right)\right\}=Q_{\omega}^{-1}\left(C_{0}(\mathbb{R})\right) .
$$

Put $f=\varphi+i \psi$ again

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\varphi^{\prime \prime}+i \psi^{\prime \prime}, \varphi+i \psi\right]=\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi+\psi^{\prime \prime} \psi+i\left(\psi^{\prime \prime} \varphi-\varphi^{\prime \prime} \psi\right) \quad \text { at } \quad a=\mu(f)} \\
& \leq-\varphi^{\prime 2}-\psi^{2}+i\left(\psi^{\prime \prime} \varphi-\varphi^{\prime \prime} \psi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So if we take the coefficient $a$ reëel then $[B f, f]$ will be in some left half plane $\operatorname{Re} \lambda<A$, say.
Gathering our results we find that $B-A I$ is a generator of a dissipative semi-group. Find conditions such that the semi-group is holomorphic.

Example 3. The same evolution equation

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=u_{x x}+a(x) u_{x x}+b(x) u_{x}+c(x) u
$$

as in Example 2. But now in $L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. That case is easier. However 'some' differentiability of $a$ is needed.

## Appendix A

## Elementary Spectral Properties of Operators in a Banach Space

Definition. Let $X$ denote a Banach space over $\mathbb{C}$.

- A linear operator $A$, with domain $D(A)$, is
i) A linear subspace $D(A) \subset X$.
ii) A linear map $A: D(A) \rightarrow X$.
- The image of $A$ is $\operatorname{Im} A=\{A x \mid x \in D((A)\}$.
- 'A densely defined' means $\overline{D(A)}=X$.
- $A_{1}$ is called a restriction of $A_{2}$ and $A_{2}$ is called a prolongation (an extension) of $A_{1}$ if $D\left(A_{1}\right) \subset D\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $A_{1} x=A_{2} x$ if $x \in D\left(A_{1}\right)$. Notation $A_{1} \subseteq A_{2}$ or $A_{2} \supseteq A_{1}$.
We say $A_{1}=A_{2}$ iff $D\left(A_{1}\right)=D\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $A_{1} \subseteq A_{2}$.

Definition. Sums and Products of operators $A$ and $B$.

- $D(A+B)=D(A) \cap D(B),(A+B) x=A x+B x$ $D(A B)=\{x \in D(B) \mid B x \in D(A)\},(A B) x=A(B x)$.
- If $A$ is injective the inverse $A^{-1}$ is $D\left(A^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{Im} A$ and $A^{-1} y=x$ if $A x=y$. One has $\left(A^{-1}\right)^{-1}=A$. Note that in general $0 A \subseteq 0, A^{-1} A \neq A A^{-1}$ and $A^{-1} A \subseteq I$ ( 0 is null operator, $I$ identity operator with $D(0)=X, D(I)=X$ ).

Note the simple properties: $(A+B)+C=A+(B+C), A+B=B+A,(A B) C=A(B C)$, $(A+B) C=A C+B C, C(A+B) \supseteq C A+C B . A^{-1} A=\left.I\right|_{D(A)}$.

## Definition.

- An operator $A$ is called continuous iff

$$
\exists_{M \geq 0} \forall_{x \in D(A)}\|A x\| \leq M\|x\| .
$$

- $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denotes the set of all continuous operators $A$ with domain $D(A)=X$. Note that $\mathcal{L}(X)$, supplied with the norm

$$
\|A\|=\sup \{\|A x\| \mid\|x\| \leq\}
$$

is again a Banach space and even a Banach algebra. One has e.g. $\|A B\| \leq$ $\|A\|\|B\|$.

Theorem (Neumann Series). Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(A),\|A\|<1$, then $I-A: X \rightarrow X$ is bijective, $(I-A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and

$$
(I-A)^{-1}+i+A=A^{2}+\ldots+A^{n}+\ldots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{n}
$$

Definition. An operator $A$ is called closed if its graph $G_{A}$,

$$
G_{A}=\{(x, y) \mid(x, y) \in D(A) \times X, A x=y\} \subset X \times X
$$

is a closed linear subset of $X \times X$.
This is equivalent to

$$
\left[x_{n} \in D(A), x_{n} \rightarrow x, A x_{n} \rightarrow y\right] \Rightarrow[x \in D(A) \text { and } y=A x] .
$$

## Theorem.

- If $A$ is injective and closed then also $A^{-1}$ is closed.
- $[A$ is closed, $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)] \Rightarrow A+B$ is closed.
- $[A$ closed, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}] \Rightarrow \lambda-A=\lambda I-A$ is closed.

Theorem. Let $A$ be closed and $\overline{D(A)}=X$. Then $A$ is continuous iff $D(A)=X$.

## Theorem.

- The resolvent set $\rho(A) \subset \mathbb{C}$ of $A$ is the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, such that
i) $\lambda-A$ is injective.
ii) $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda-A)$ dense in $X$.
iii) $(\lambda-A)^{-1}$ is continuous.
- The spectrum $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{C}$ of $A$ is the complement $\sigma(A)=\mathbb{C} \backslash \rho(A)$.
- For $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ the resolvent (operator) of $A$ is $R_{\lambda}=R(\lambda, A)=(\lambda-A)^{-1}$.


## Theorem.

- Let $A$ be closed then $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ iff $\lambda-A: D(A) \rightarrow X$ is bijective.

In this case one has $R_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$.

- Conversely, if $A$ is an operator and if there exists $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ with $R_{\lambda}=(\lambda-A)^{-1} \in$ $\mathcal{L}(X)$ then $A$ is closed.

Theorem. Let $A$ be a closed operator. Then:
i) $\rho(A)$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$.
ii) If $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$ then $\lambda \mapsto R_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is an analytic (bounded) operator valued function on $\rho(A)$.
iii) $R_{\lambda}-R_{\mu}=(\mu-\lambda) R_{\lambda} R_{\mu}$ and hence $R_{\lambda} R_{\mu}=R_{\mu} R_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \rho(A)$.
iv) For $\mu \in \rho(A)$ and $|\lambda-\mu|\left\|R_{\mu}\right\|<1$ one has $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ and

$$
R_{\lambda}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\mu-\lambda)^{n} R_{\mu}^{n+1}
$$

Theorem. If $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ then $\sigma(A)$ is non-empty and compact. For an arbitrary closed operator the spectrum may be empty. Also $\rho(A)$ can be empty. Any compact set in $\mathbb{C}$ can be the spectrum of an operator in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

## Examples.

a) Operator with empty spectrum.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=C_{0}([0,1])=\{u \mid u:[0,1] \rightarrow C, u \text { continuous, } u(0)=0\} \\
& \|u\|=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|u(t)| \\
& D(A)=\left\{v \mid v \text { continuously differentiable, } v^{\prime}(0)=0\right\} \\
& (A v)(t)=\frac{d v}{d t}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Check all the details!
b) Any closed set $S$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is the spectrum of an operator in $\ell_{2}$.

$$
X=\ell_{2}=\left\{\left.\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)\left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\right| x_{j}\right|^{2}<\infty\right\}
$$

Let $S \subset \mathbb{C} . S \neq \emptyset$. Choose a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset S$ which is dense in $S$.

$$
D(A)=\left\{\left(x_{n}\right) \mid\left(x_{n}\right) \in \ell_{2},\left(\lambda_{n} x_{n}\right) \in \ell_{2}\right\}
$$

Put $A x=y$ with $y=\left(y_{n}\right)=\left(\lambda_{n} x_{n}\right)$.
Note that the $\lambda_{n}$ are eigenvalues of $A$, this means that $\lambda_{n}-A$ is not injective. The set of eigenvalues is called the discrete spectrum of the operator.
c) Spectrum equal to $C$ but no eigenvalues.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\left\{\left.u\left|\iint\right| u(x, y)\right|^{2} d x d y<\infty\right\} \\
& D(A)=\left\{\left.v\left|\iint\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right| u(x, y)\right|^{2} d x d y<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$A u=v$ with $v(x, y)=(x+i y) u(x, y)$. Here $A$ is closed, $\sigma(A)=C$.

Theorem. Let $A$ be a closed operator with $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $P$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$

$$
P(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots+a_{n} z^{n}, \quad a_{n} \neq 0 .
$$

Then the operator $P(A)=a_{0}+a_{1} A+\ldots+a_{n} A^{n}$ with domain $D(P(A))=D\left(A^{n}\right)$ is closed and $\sigma(P(A))=P(\sigma(A))$.

Some hints for the proof.

- If $P(z)=k\left(\lambda_{1}-z\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-z\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(\lambda_{n}-z\right)$ then also

$$
P(A)=k\left(\lambda_{1}-A\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-A\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(\lambda_{n}-A\right) .
$$

- Proceed by induction and write $P(A)=(\lambda-A) Q(A)+r I$ with $r$ a number.
- Put $\mu-P(z)=k\left(\mu_{1}-z\right)\left(\mu_{2}-z\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(\mu_{n}-z\right)$ and observe that $\mu \notin P(\sigma(A)) \Rightarrow$ $\mu_{i} \in \rho(A), 1 \leq i \leq n$.


## Appendix B

## Integration of functions with values in a Banach space

Let $X$ be a Banach space. Let $I=[a, b]$ denote a compact interval. Denote the length of $I$ by $|I|$.

Definition. A step function $f: I \rightarrow X$ is a function which can be written $f=\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{I_{i}} x_{i}$, where $I=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_{i}$ a partition of $I$ in sub-intervals and $x_{i} \in X$.
Definition. The integral of a step function is

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=\int_{I} f d t=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|I_{i}\right| x_{i}
$$

(Verify that the definition does not depend don the choice of the decomposition $\left\{I_{i}\right\}$. Properties.

$$
\int_{I}(f+g) d t=\int_{I} f d t+\int_{I} g d t, \quad \int_{I} \lambda f d t=\lambda \int_{I} f d t, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

2) $\quad\left\|\int_{I} f d t\right\| \leq \int_{I}\|f\| d t \leq|I| \sup _{t \in I}\|f(t)\|$.
3) 

$$
U \in \mathcal{L}(X) \quad \int_{I} U f(t) d t=U \int_{I} f(t) d t
$$

Definition. A ruled function ( F : reglée) is a function $f: I \rightarrow X$ which is a uniform limit of step functions.

## Remarks.

- Continuous functions $f: I \rightarrow X$ are ruled.
- A function $f: I \rightarrow X$ is ruled iff at each point $a \in I$ both the limits $\lim _{t \uparrow a} f(t)$ and $\lim _{t \downarrow a} f(t)$ exist.

Definition. Let $f: I \rightarrow X$ be ruled. One defines

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=\int_{I} f d t=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I} f_{n} d t
$$

where $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a uniformly approximating sequence of $f$.
Theorem. The definition is OK and the limit does not depend on the approximating sequence.
Note. In the proof of this, the estimate

$$
\left\|\int_{I} f_{n} d t-\int_{I} f_{m} d t\right\| \leq|I|\left\|f_{n}-f_{m}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

plays the key role.
Theorem. Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ be continuous. Let $F(t)=\int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s$. Then $F$ is differentiable on $[a, b]$ and $F^{\prime}=f .\left(F_{r}^{\prime}(a)=f(a), F_{e}^{\prime}(b)=f(b)\right)$.

Lemma. Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ be continuous and assume thet $f^{\prime}(t)=0$ for $a<t<b$. Then $f$ is constant.

## Theorem.

- Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ be continuous and suppose $F:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ be differentiable with $F^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$. Then $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=F(b)-F(a)$.
- Let $t \mapsto s(t)$ from $[\alpha, \beta]$ onto $[a, b]$ then the classical formula holds:

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(s) d s=\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(s(t)) s^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

Theorem. Let $X, Y, Z$ be Banach spaces and let $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$ be a continuous bilinear mapping from $X \times Y$ to $Z$.
If $u: I \rightarrow X$ and $v: I \rightarrow Y$ are differentiable then $t \mapsto u(t) \cdot v(t)$ is also differentiable and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} u(t) \cdot v(t)=u^{\prime}(t) \cdot v(t)+u(t) \cdot v^{\prime}(t)
$$

Definition. (Absolutely convergent integrals). Suppose

- $f:[a, \infty) \rightarrow X$ is continuous.
- $\int_{a}^{b}\|f(t)\| d t<\infty$.

Then $\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t$ exists and is written $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(t) d t$.
Theorem. Let $f:[a, b] \times(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow X$ be continuous and put $F(\lambda)=\int_{a}^{b} f(t, \lambda) d t$.

- If $f$ is continuous then also $F$ is continuous.
- If in addition $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}:[a, b] \times(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow X$ exists and is continuous, then $F$ is continuously differentiable and

$$
F^{\prime}(\lambda)=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda) d t
$$

The proof of all these results are standard and similar to the "scalar valued" case. The results for integrals on infinite intervals are also similar to the classical case.

Theorem. Suppose

- $u:(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow X,-\infty \leq \alpha<\beta \leq \infty$.
- Let $A$ be a closed operator and suppose
- $\quad \forall_{t \in(\alpha, \beta)}[u(t) \in D(A)]$
- $A u:(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow X$ is continuous.
$\bullet \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\|u(t)\| d t<\infty \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\|A u(t)\| d t<\infty$.
Then

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} u(t) d t \in D(A) \text { and } A \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} u(t) d t=\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} A u(t) d t
$$
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