Does increasing the sample size always increase the accuracy of a consistent estimator?

Eindhoven University
of Technology

Department of Mathematics
and Computing Sciences

Memorandum COSOR 99-05

Does increasing the sample size always increase the accuracy of a consistent estimator?

P. van der Laan
C. van Eeden

Also appearing as EURANDOM report,
EURANDOM, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Eindhoven, February 1999
The Netherlands

DOES INCREASING THE SAMPLE SIZE ALWAYS INCREASE THE ACCURACY OF A CONSISTENT ESTIMATOR ?

Paul van der Laan and Constance van Eeden [1]

Abstract

Birnbaum (1948) introduced the notion of peakedness about θ of a random variable T, defined by $P(|T-\theta| < \varepsilon), \varepsilon > 0$. What seems to be not well-known is that, for a consistent estimator T_n of θ, its peakedness does not necessarily converge to 1 monotonically in n. In this article some known results on how the peakedness of the sample mean behaves as a function of n are recalled. Also, new results concerning the peakedness of the median and the interquartile range are presented.

1 Introduction

Suppose $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are a sample from a distribution with finite variance and one wants to estimate $\mu = \mathcal{E}X_1$ based on $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. Then it is, of course, well-known that $\bar{X}_n = (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)/n$ is a consistent estimator of μ, i.e., for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$p_{\bar{X}_n}(\varepsilon) = P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| < \varepsilon) \to 1 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

What seems to be less well-known and is seldom, if ever, mentioned when the subject of consistency is discussed in a course, is that $p_{\bar{X}_n}(\varepsilon)$ does not necessarily converge to one monotonically in n. Thus, judging the accuracy of $\bar{X}_n$ by $p_{\bar{X}_n}(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, a larger n might give a worse estimator.

In this article we first recall in Section 2 some known results on how $p_{\bar{X}_n}(\varepsilon)$ behaves as a function of n. Then, in Section 3, we present new results on this question for the case where the median or the midrange are used to estimate the median or the mean of X_1.

[1] Paul van der Laan is Professor, Department of Mathematics and Computing Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands (E-mail: PvdLaan@win.tue.nl). Constance van Eeden is Honorary Professor, Department of Statistics, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, V6T 1Z2 (E-mail:vaneeden@stat.ubc.ca).

2 Results for $\bar{X}_n$ and some generalizations

Birnbaum (1948) calls

$$p_T(\varepsilon) = P(|T - \theta| < \varepsilon) \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

the peakedness (with respect to θ) of T and calls T more peaked than S when $p_T(\varepsilon) \geq p_S(\varepsilon)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. He proves several properties of the peakedness and gives, e.g., conditions under which, for the same θ and the same sample size, one of two sample means is more peaked than the other.

Proschan (1965) gives several results on the behaviour of $p_{T_n}(\varepsilon)$ as a function of n where T_n is a convex combination of $X_1, \ldots, X_n$, a sample from a distribution F. He supposes that F has a density which is symmetric with respect to θ and is logconcave on the support of F. In particular, Proschan shows that for such a distribution $p_{\bar{X}_n}(\varepsilon)$ is, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, strictly increasing in n (i.e., of course, for those $\varepsilon > 0$ which are in the interior of the support of $X_1 - \theta$).

Proschan also gives an example where $p_{\bar{X}_n}(\varepsilon)$ is not increasing in n. In fact, he gives a distribution for which X_1 is more peaked about 0 than $(X_1 + X_2)/2$. This distribution is the convolution of a distribution with a symmetric (about zero) logconcave density and a Cauchy distribution with median zero. Then, for ϕ strictly increasing and convex on $(0, \infty)$ with $\phi(x) = \phi(-x)$ for all x, $\phi(X_1)$ is more peaked with respect to zero than $(\phi(X_1) + \phi(X_2))/2$. Of course, for this case $\bar{X}_n$ does not converge to zero in probability, so the result might not be too surprising. However, Dharmadhikari and Joag-Dev (1988, p. 171-172) show that, e.g., for the density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{3} I(|x| \leq 1) + \frac{1}{18}(1 \leq |x| \leq 4),$$

X_1 is more peaked with respect to zero than $(X_1 + X_2)/2$. And for this distribution (??) clearly holds.

The results of Proschan (1965) have been extended to the multivariate case by Olkin and Tong (1987) (see also Dharmadhikari and Joag-Dev (1988, Theorem 7.11)).

3 The case of the median and the midrange

Assume that $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ is a sample from a distribution function with a density and that n is odd. Let M_n be the median of $X_1, \ldots, X_n$, let $\mathcal{M} = [m_1, m_2]$ be the set of medians of the distribution of X_1 and let F be the distribution function of X_1. Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.1 *Under the above conditions, the peakedness of $M_n - m$ is, for $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{2} < F(m + \varepsilon) < 1$, strictly increasing in n.*

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that $m = 0$. First note that, for $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$,

$$P(M_n > x) = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-1)/2} \binom{n}{i} F(x)^i (1-F(x))^{n-i} = 1 - \frac{1}{B\left(\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}\right)} \int_0^{F(x)} t^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (1-t)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} dt.$$

So, as a function of $y = F(x)$, $0 < y < 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dy} P(M_n > x) = -\frac{y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (1-y)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{B\left(\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}\right)}.$$

Putting $Q_n(y) = P(M_n > x) - P(M_{n+2} > x)$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dy} Q_n(x) &= \frac{(n+2)!}{\left(\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)!\right)^2} y^{\frac{n+1}{2}} (1-y)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} - \frac{n!}{\left(\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)!\right)^2} y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (1-y)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \\ &= y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (1-y)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{n!}{\left(\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)!\right)^2} \left((n+1)(n+2)y(1-y) - \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is, for $0 < y < 1$, $> 0, = 0, < 0$ if and only if

$$G(y) = -y^2 + y - \frac{n+1}{4(n+2)} = \frac{1}{4(n+2)} - (y - \frac{1}{2})^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} > \\ = \\ < \end{array} \right\} 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left| y - \frac{1}{2} \right| \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < \\ = \\ > \end{array} \right\} c = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(n+2)^{-1}}.$$

So, $Q_n(y)$ is increasing on $(\frac{1}{2} - c, \frac{1}{2} + c)$ and decreasing on $(0, \frac{1}{2} - c)$ and on $(\frac{1}{2} + c, 1)$. Combining this with the fact that, for all n,

$$P(M_n > x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } y = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } y = \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{for } y = 1, \end{cases}$$

shows that

$$P(M_n > x) - P(M_{n+2} > x) \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{for } x \text{ such that } \frac{1}{2} < F(x) < 1 \\ < 0 & \text{for } x \text{ such that } 0 < F(x) < \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

which proves the result. □

Note, from Theorem ??, that the conditions on F for the median to have increasing peakedness in n are much weaker than those for the mean. All one needs for the median is a density, while for the mean a logconcave symmetric density is needed in the proofs. But in order for the median to be a consistent estimator of the population median, the condition $f(F^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})) > 0$ is needed.

Now take the case of a sample $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ from a uniform distribution on the interval $[\theta - 1, \theta + 1]$ and let S_n be the midrange of this sample, i.e.

$$S_n = \frac{1}{2}\left(\min_{1\le i\le n} X_i + \max_{1\le i\le n} X_i\right).$$

Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.2 *The peakedness of S_n with respect to θ is strictly increasing in n for $n \ge 2$ and each $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$.*

Proof. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $\theta = 0$. Then the joint density of $\min_{1\le i\le n} Y_i$ and $\max_{1\le i\le n} Y_i$ at (x, y) is, for $n \ge 2$, given by

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2^n}(y-x)^{n-2} \qquad -1 \le x < y \le 1.$$

So, for $-1 \le t \le 0$,

$$P(\min_{1\le i\le n} Y_i + \max_{1\le i\le n} Y_i \le 2t) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2^n}\int_{-1}^{t} dx \int_{x}^{2t-x} (y-x)^{n-2} dy = \frac{(1+t)^n}{2}$$

and, for $0 < t \le 1$,

$$P(\min_{1\le i\le n} Y_i + \max_{1\le i\le n} Y_i \le 2t) = 1 - P(\min_{1\le i\le n} Y_i + \max_{1\le i\le n} Y_i \le -2t) = 1 - \frac{(1-t)^n}{2},$$

which gives, for $|t| < 1$,

$$P(|S_n| < t) = 1 - (1-t)^n,$$

from which the results follows immediately. □

Remark

Note that, in quoting Proschan's (1965) results, we ask for the distribution function F to have a density f which is logconcave on the support of F, while Proschan asks for this density to be a Pólya frequency function of order 2 (PF_2). However, it was shown by Schoenberg (1951) that

$$f \text{ is } \mathrm{PF}_2 \iff f \text{ is logconcave on the support of } F,$$

so the two conditions are equivalent.
Further note that Ibragimov (1956) showed that, for a distribution function F with a density f,

$$f \text{ is strongly unimodal} \iff f \text{ is logconcave on the support of } F,$$

where a density is strictly unimodal if its convolution with all unimodal densities is unimodal. So, the condition of logconcavity of f can also be replaced by the condition of its strict unimodality. For more results on Pólya frequency functions see e.g. Marshall and Olkin (1979, Chapter 18) and Karlin (1968).

4 References

Birnbaum, Z. W. (1948). On random variables with comparable peakedness. Ann. Math. Statist., 19, 76-81.

Dharmadhikari, S. and Joag-Dev, K. (1988). *Unimodality, Convexity, and Applications*, Academic Press.

Ibragimov, I. A. (1956). On the composition of unimodal distributions. Theor. Probab. Appl., 1, 255-260.

Karlin, S. (1968). *Total Positivity*, Vol. I, Stanford University Press.

Marshall, A. W. and Olkin, I. (1979). *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications*, Academic Press.

Olkin, I. and Tong, Y. L. (1988). Peakedness in multivariate distributions. *Statistical Decision Theory and Related Topics* IV, S. S. Gupta and J. O. Berger, Eds., Vol. II, p. 373-383.

Proschan, F. (1965). Peakedness of distributions of convex combinations. Ann. Math. Statist., 36, 1703-1706.

Schoenberg, I. J. (1951). On Pólya frequency functions I. J. Anal. Math., 1, 331-374.