

# Effects of Different Moisture Sorption Curves on Hygrothermal Simulations of Timber Buildings

**Michele Libralato – University of Udine, Italy – [michele.libralato@uniud.it](mailto:michele.libralato@uniud.it)**

**Maja Danovska – Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy – [maja.danovska@natec.unibz.it](mailto:maja.danovska@natec.unibz.it)**

**Giovanni Pernigotto – Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy – [giovanni.pernigotto@unibz.it](mailto:giovanni.pernigotto@unibz.it)**

**Andrea Gasparella – Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy – [andrea.gasparella@unibz.it](mailto:andrea.gasparella@unibz.it)**

**Paolo Baggio – University of Trento, Italy – [paolo.baggio@unitn.it](mailto:paolo.baggio@unitn.it)**

**Paola D'Agaro – University of Udine, Italy – [paola.dagaro@uniud.it](mailto:paola.dagaro@uniud.it)**

**Giovanni Cortella – University of Udine, Italy – [giovanni.cortella@uniud.it](mailto:giovanni.cortella@uniud.it)**

## Abstract

Building energy simulations are a key tool in designing high performance buildings capable of facing the future challenges and in helping emission reduction targets to be met. Currently, thermal properties of materials used in most building energy simulations are assumed to be constant and not dependent on moisture content and temperature. Heat and moisture dynamic transfer models allow a simulation of building envelope performance considering thermal resistance reduction due to moisture effects. These models are generally considered more accurate than the heat transfer models, and they could be used to simulate the heat transfer (increased by water vapor storage) and the moisture buffering effect on the indoor environment. For the simulation to be performed, hygrothermal material properties should be known as functions of moisture content. Nevertheless, hygrothermal material properties are rarely available and correlations from the literature have to be used. In this study, the moisture storage curves of CLT, OSB and two types of wood fibre insulation have been measured with a dynamic vapor sorption analyser. The other hygrothermal properties are estimated from values measured in previous studies or taken from the literature. The simulations of two small single room buildings in four Italian locations are performed with the software EnergyPlus, considering an ideal HVAC system, to calculate the heating and cooling needs of the building. The HAMT (heat and moisture transfer) module of EnergyPlus is used. With the results presented in this study, it is possible to evaluate how an approximated curve affects the results of a whole-building simulation in terms of wall average water content, indoor air relative humidity and heating/cooling loads.

## 1. Introduction

The energy required for heating and cooling buildings is a large fraction of the total consumption and therefore of greenhouse gas emissions. These emissions need to be reduced as soon as possible and Building Energy Simulation (*BES*) methods are essential for designing high performance buildings and predicting their energy needs. Researchers and practitioners are using dynamic detailed building energy models that consider the transient behavior of the building envelope and of the *HVAC* systems to minimize the carbon emissions and reduce the energy demands during both heating and cooling seasons. When the building envelopes are composed of porous materials, water vapor diffusion plays a significant role in heat transfer. The main effect on the materials is the increase of the value of thermal conductivity, which results in larger heat losses during the heating season and larger heat gains during the cooling one (Danovska et al., 2020a and 2020b). Using the appropriate software, it is possible to model whole buildings using heat, air and moisture transfer models (*HAMT*) for the building envelope, calculating the effects of moisture diffusion and storage in building materials (Libralato et al., 2021a and 2021b), as well as the moisture buffering effect on the air conditions of the thermal zones (Zu et al., 2020). 17 *BES* tools based on *HAMT* models (including EnergyPlus) are presented in Woloszyn and Rode (2008), defining a benchmarking process included in IEA ECBCS Annex 41. All these models require advanced

hygrothermal material characterizations, which are possible with time-consuming testing activities. The thermal and hygroscopic properties of the materials have to be tested at different temperatures and moisture contents, often requiring months of conditioning in climatic chambers (depending on the size of the samples).

This research is focused on the comparison between Moisture Sorption Curves (*MSCs*) interpolation models (Fig. 1), commonly used for timber materials when few Equilibrium Moisture Content (*EMC*) values are available. The study is based on the *MSCs* of four wood-based materials, measured at the Thermal Systems Laboratory of the University of Udine, and the thermal conductivity of three of the same set of materials, previously measured at different moisture contents and temperatures at the Building Physics Laboratory of the Free University of Bozen-Bolzano (Danovska et al., 2020a and 2020b).

First, the points of the moisture curve are measured using a Dynamic Vapor Sorption (*DVS*) analyser. Then, a piece-wise linear curve is developed to represent a *MSC* starting from the measured points. At this stage, using only three *EMC* points from the measured ones, three commonly used *MSC* models are adopted to interpolate the values. Finally, two small single-zone buildings are simulated in four locations, using the 4 *MSC* modeling approaches and comparing the results. The simulation tool used is EnergyPlus (version 9.5).




Fig. 1 – Study procedure scheme. In this study the effects of the choice of the function interpolation of *MSC* are quantified in terms of energy demands and indoor air conditions

The effect of *HAMT* models on *BES* energy consumption results has been already studied in the literature (Yang et al., 2015), finding differences of 5-10 % from the thermal simulations. The aim of

this comparison is to assess to what extent an approximated sorption curve can alter the results of a whole-building hygrothermal simulation. Indeed, little information exists in the literature on the influence of different *MSCs* on *BES*.

The topic of the effects of *MSC* has been mainly tackled when considering moisture hysteresis in *HAMT* wall simulations for moisture-related risk analysis or for moisture buffering evaluations (Berger et al., 2020; Libralato et al., 2021a; Scheffler, 2008), comparing the effects of including hysteresis sorption models in the material sorption process. In this paper, hysteresis will not be considered, since EnergyPlus cannot model moisture hysteresis, but the adsorption and desorption curves of the materials will be taken into account separately.

## 2. Material Characterization

To perform heat and moisture transfer transient simulations, knowledge of several hygro-thermal material properties is required. In this study, the *MSCs* and thermal conductivities are obtained from measurements, while heat capacity and vapor permeability is taken from the literature. The study is limited to the hygroscopic range (under 95 % *RH*).

The *MSCs* of four materials were measured using the Proumid VSORP basic *DVS* analyser (Fig. 2). The instrument was set to perform gravimetric tests of the five samples every 20 minutes in a small climatic chamber with controlled dry bulb air temperature (*T*) and relative humidity (*RH*). *T* and *RH* being kept constant, and the samples are weighed until they reach equilibrium conditions. This procedure is performed automatically for every point of the *MSCs*. The environment was set to 23 °C and the relative humidity was set sequentially to 0 %, 30 %, 40 %, 50 %, 60 %, 70 %, 80 %, 90 %, 80 %, 70 %, 60 % and 50 % *RH*. The air *RH* is kept constant until the equilibrium condition is met by all the samples. The equilibrium condition is set to a mass change lower than 0.01 % in 350 minutes. The balance resolution is 0.1 mg. The whole test lasted approximately 42 days for a total of 12 *EMC* points.

The measurement procedure used differs from the standard ISO 12571 (CEN, 2021) on the following points:

- the sample's mass shall be at least of 10 g, and 100 mm x 100 mm if the material has a density lower than 300 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. Smaller samples can be used but it should be demonstrated that the result will not be affected;
- three samples shall be tested for each material;
- the equilibrium is reached when three consecutive weights, made 24 h apart, differ less than 0.1 % of the total mass;
- the starting point for the desorption curve should be at least 95 % *RH*.

Using the *DVS* analyser in place of the standard procedure removes the error caused by moving the sample from the controlled air environment to the scale, and reduces the time required by the test.




Fig. 2 – Experimental device (Vsorp Basic dynamic vapor sorption analyser) and studied samples of wood-based materials

The materials studied (Fig. 2) are spruce timber (used for Crossed Laminated Timber (*CLT*) panels), Orientated Standard Board (*OSB*), Low-density Wood Fibre (*LWF*) and High-density Wood Fibre (*HWF*). Since the instrument can measure 5 samples at the same time, two samples of *CLT* are tested and the *MSCs* used in the simulations is obtained averaging the two values.

The dry weight, volume, and free saturation moisture content of the samples are presented in Table 1. The dry weight is obtained after conditioning the samples at 0 % *RH* at 23 °C. The free saturation moisture contents of the five samples are obtained from the weight of the samples submerged in water until the weight variation is under the 0.1 %. A scale with 0.01 g resolution is used. The results of the sorption analysis are presented in Fig. 3. The *EMCs* of the five samples for the adsorption process are measured starting from the dry state up to the 90 % *RH*, and for the desorption process, starting at 90 % *RH* and back to 50 % *RH*.

Table 1 – Sample description

Sample ID	Dry weight [mg]	Volume [mm <sup>3</sup> ]	Sat. M.C. [mg]
<i>CLT1</i>	7577.0	14570	13270
<i>CLT2</i>	7094.2	15850	14740
<i>OSB</i>	8632.1	18045	19890
<i>LWF</i>	2449.5	47740	41410
<i>HWF</i>	8654.5	80686	46390




Fig. 3 – Adsorption and desorption curves measured for the five samples

## 2.1 Other Hygrothermal Properties

The thermal conductivity and specific heat values of the materials are taken from (Danovska et al., 2022), except for the *OSB* values which are obtained from the correlation reported in (Vololonorina et al., 2014) at 25 °C. The values of thermal conductivity are measured at different moisture contents and temperatures, but for this paper only the values at 20 °C with different moisture contents are considered. As an overall description of the materials, the hygrothermal properties are presented in Table 2. The values of permeability  $\mu_{dry}$  and specific heat  $c_{dry}$  of the dry materials are taken from (Carbonari, 2010), except for *OSB*, which is from (Igaz et al., 2017).

Table 2 – Hygrothermal properties of the materials

Material	$\lambda_{dry}$ [W m <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\rho_{bulk}$ [kg m <sup>-3</sup> ]	$c_{dry}$ [J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\mu_{dry}$ [-]
CLT	0.104	467	1380	34
OSB	0.096	478	1287	46
LWF	0.039	51	2100	6
HWF	0.048	107	1380	6

The values of density  $\rho_{bulk}$  are calculated from Table 1. The values of  $\mu$  used in the models are dependent on the moisture content and are described by linear piecewise functions connecting the values presented in (Vololonorina et al., 2014). The values of the vapor resistance factor at 0 % RH,  $\mu_{dry}$  are presented in Table 2.

## 2.2 Moisture Sorption Curve Functions

In this study, four types of MSC interpolation are compared:

- 1 - Piecewise linear function (PLF)
- 2 - Brunauer–Emmett–Teller model (BET)
- 3 - Guggenheim-Anderson-de Boer model (GAB)
- 4 - Modified BET (B80)

The PLF case is obtained from the list of measured points. The interpolated EMC are calculated between the known points with a linear interpolation. The BET and the GAB model isotherm functions are considered in the form described in (Thybring et al., 2021) and they are used to obtain a correlation for the MSCs from three points of the measured EMC values series using the parabolic form presented also in (Thybring et al., 2021). The B80 modified BET model is presented in (Künzel, 1995) and it is used in the WUFI software family to define the unknown MSCs. The parameters of the B80 curve are defined by the EMC at 80 % RH and by the free water saturation point, set at 100 % RH, therefore it is the only function in this study that includes information on the over-hygroscopic range. The analytical curves obtained are used to define the sorption curve in EnergyPlus in the hygroscopic range for both adsorption and desorption curves. Fitting the values of EMC is commonly done when only few measured EMC are available. Therefore, to perform this situation, 3 EMC values

are used to calculate the functions' parameters. The GAB and BET adsorption curves are fitted to the EMC values of 30 %, 50 % and 80 % RH, while the desorption curves are fitted to the 50 %, 70 % and 80 % RH points.

## 3. Simulations

To perform a simple comparison a modified version of the BESTEST Common Exercise 600 (Fig. 4) is used as test building (ANSI/ASHRAE, 2017).




Fig. 4 – The BESTEST 600 geometrical model is used for the simulations. The model is a single room building with two large windows facing South

To evaluate the effects of the variation of the MSCs, the Heat Balance Algorithm is set to the Combined Heat and Moisture Finite Element model. Then the materials' MSCs are defined with 22 points (EnergyPlus allows a maximum of 25 points). The 22-point definition of the MSCs GAB, BET and B80 is obtained from this subdivision of the RH range: every 10 % RH up to the 70 % RH, while from 72 % RH to 96 % every 2 %; the last point of the moisture curve is set at 100 % RH to the free water saturation point. The liquid conduction coefficients are set to 0 to avoid the over-hygroscopic moisture transport. Constant infiltration is set to 0.5 ACH and the internal sensible heat gains are set (as the BESTEST case) constantly to 200 W (60 % radiative, 40 % convective, 0 % latent). The weather files used are the ASHRAE IWEC (International Weather for Energy Calculation) files for the locations of Milan, Rome, Palermo and Venice. Annual average  $T$ ,  $RH$  and total solar horizontal irradiation  $I_G$  of the weather files are presented in Table 3. The initial water content for every material is set to the EMC value correspondent to the 50 % RH value of the adsorption PLF MSC.

Table 3 – Weather files: average temperature and relative humidity, and total solar horizontal irradiation

Location	$T$ [°C]	$RH$ [%]	$I_G$ [MWh/m <sup>2</sup> ]
Milan	11.8	75	1.29
Palermo	18.8	74	1.69
Rome	15.8	78	1.46
Venice	13.2	77	1.15

Two construction types are set as external vertical walls (yellow walls in Fig.4): a *CLT* wall and a frame wall, while the floor and the roof constructions are the same for both cases. The build-ups of the two walls are described in Table 4.

Table 4 – Wall types

Wall type	Material layers	d [cm]
<i>CLT</i> wall $U = 0.26 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{K})$	<i>OSB</i> (external layer)	2
	<i>LWF</i>	10
	<i>CLT</i>	10
Frame wall $U = 0.37 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{K})$	<i>OSB</i> (external layer)	2
	<i>HWF</i>	10
	<i>OSB</i>	2
Floor and Roof $U = 0.31 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{K})$	Barrier (external layer)	-
	<i>HWF</i>	10
	<i>LT</i>	10

A vapor barrier ( $S_d = 1500 \text{ m}$ ), not defined in the BESTEST, is added on the external side of the floor and of the roof, to remove the influence of the ground and of the roof. The floor external surface is set as adiabatic. An ideal heating and cooling system is set to maintain the internal temperatures between 20 °C and 27 °C, without air humidity control, and the heating and cooling demand is calculated. There are six warmup days required by EnergyPlus to reach convergence not reported in the results.

## 4. Results

In this section, first the *MSC* fitted curves are compared with the measured *PLF* curves, then the effects of using different *MSCs* in EnergyPlus *HAMT* whole building simulations are presented.

### 4.1 Fitting Evaluation

The curves fit the experimental points differently: from Fig. 5 it could be observed that the *GAB* model tends to follow the measured points, but it does not increase the *EMC* values after 90 % *RH*. Differently, the *BET* and *B80* curves always have lower *EMC* for values of *RH* lower than 80 %.

On one hand, the *B80* function is constrained at the *EMC* for 80 % *RH* and at 100 % *RH* and overestimates the values at 90 % *RH*. On the other hand, the *BET* curves overestimate also the 80 % *RH*. It should be also noted that the *LWF* adsorption *GAB* curve is above the desorption curve after the 80 % *RH*, being the only case where the *GAB* curve shows high moisture contents in the higher *RH* values.

Depending on the *RH* range used in the simulations, the material will have different moisture contents. In the cases studied, the room air *RH* is calculated to be between 30 % and 60 %, therefore, the representativeness is evaluated only up to 70 %. To evaluate the goodness-of-fit, the difference (in terms of moisture content) between the curves (*BES*, *GAB* and *B80*) and the *EMC* measured points (*PLF*) is calculated for each measured point of the desorption and adsorption curves, up to 70 %. The average of the differences is presented in Fig. 6. The *GAB* curves are the closest to the measured points, except for the *LWF* curve. The *BET* and *B80* curves have higher differences, therefore larger differences are expected in the simulation results. Despite the constraint at 80 % *RH*, the *B80* curves have larger average distances from the measured points.




Fig. 5 – Measured (PLF) adsorption and desorption curves, BET, GAB and B80 (adsorption and desorption) curves for the four considered materials




Fig. 6 – Absolute average distance from measured points of the MSCs considered. The differences are expressed in percentage mass/mass and the points from 0 % to 70 % RH are considered

## 4.2 Simulations

The results of the simulations follow the expectations of the differences presented in Fig. 6. The hourly values (Fig. 7) show that the moisture contents calculated with the measured curves are almost overlapping the values obtained using the GAB curve for both adsorption and desorption. The other results follow the order of the CLT sorption curves: ADS-B80, DES-B80, then ADS-BET and DES-BET, ADS-GAB and ADS-PLF (whose line is covered by the ADS-GAB), and, finally, DES-GAB and DES-PLF.




Fig. 7 – Hourly average water content of 1 m<sup>2</sup> of the North-facing wall for the CLT wall building simulation in the Milan weather file. The visualised values start after the 6-day warm-up period and are expressed in %kg<sub>water</sub>/kg<sub>dry</sub>

In Fig. 8, the annual mean values are reported for both wall typologies and for all the other climates considered. The relative positions of the MSCs seen in Fig. 6 are confirmed. Similar results with similar values are obtained for the Frame wall case (not reported here). When considering the heating and cooling demands, the simulations with MSCs with higher EMC values are expected to have larger values of energy needs, and lower energy needs for lower EMC, since the thermal conductivity is dependent on the moisture content of materials. Moreover, also the effects of latent heat transfer should be expected, especially when the effect of initial moisture content is present.




Fig. 8 – Annual mean water content of 1 m<sup>2</sup> of the North-facing wall for the CLT wall building simulation in the Milan weather file

Heating and cooling demands are also influenced by the latent loads due to the walls drying or adsorbing air moisture to reach *EMC*; this resulted in larger heating loads for the simulations with *MSCs* with lower *EMC* values and vice versa. The differences among the loads of the studied cases are the combination of the effect of the conductivity reduction and the effect of latent loads provided by the moisture migration from the walls. The results for the adsorption and desorption curves are presented as annual energy demands in Table 4 (*CLT* wall) and Table 5 (frame wall). In most of the cases, the energy demands values are higher for the adsorption curve results, except for the *CLT* cooling demands of Venice, Rome and Palermo, and the heating demands in Milan.

Table 4 – Annual heating and cooling demands calculated for the *CLT* wall with the adsorption and desorption *PLF MSC*

Location	Heating demand [kWh/(m <sup>2</sup> yr)]		Cooling demand [kWh/(m <sup>2</sup> yr)]	
	<i>ADS</i>	<i>DES</i>	<i>ADS</i>	<i>DES</i>
Milan	21.77	21.80	32.40	32.32
Venice	20.98	20.75	27.75	27.77
Rome	4.19	4.05	40.16	40.19
Palermo	0.17	0.16	56.45	56.61

Table 5 – Annual heating and cooling demands calculated for the frame wall with the adsorption and desorption *PLF MSC*

Location	Heating demand [kWh/(m <sup>2</sup> yr)]		Cooling demand [kWh/(m <sup>2</sup> yr)]	
	<i>ADS</i>	<i>DES</i>	<i>ADS</i>	<i>DES</i>
Milan	28.22	27.95	33.54	33.28
Venice	26.05	25.80	28.11	27.89
Rome	7.52	7.34	40.48	40.19
Palermo	0.69	0.65	56.03	55.90

The desorption curves have higher moisture contents, and this is expected to lead to higher demands, caused by higher thermal conductivity values of the envelope materials. However, when the

loads due to the drying process are larger, the resulting effect is the opposite. The charts in Fig. 9 show the deviation from the adsorption and desorption results obtained using *MSC* fitting curves. In these charts the difference for the adsorption and desorption fitting curves is calculated from the results of the adsorption and desorption *PLF* curves, respectively. The calculated differences for the heating demands are all below 1.2 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>, with Milan *CLT ADS-BET* having the highest corresponding to a deviation of 5 % from the *PLF* values. The higher relative deviations are found for Palermo *CLT DES-B80* (38 % of 0.16 kWh/(m<sup>2</sup> yr)) due to the very low heating loads. The cooling loads differences are below 1 kWh/m<sup>2</sup> (e.g., for Milan frame wall *DES-B80*), which is also the maximum relative difference (2.5 %). As expected, in every case, the *GAB* model produces the lowest differences, while the *B80* the largest. The negative values of the *CLT* wall cooling demands are caused by the drying process due to the initial moisture contents of the walls. To verify this, multi-year simulations have been performed (removing the dependence on the initial moisture content), obtaining positive differences in the last year of the simulations.

The effect of the *MSCs* on the internal environment for the *CLT* wall case is presented in Fig. 10. While the internal temperature values are controlled by the ideal heating and cooling system, the relative humidity is influenced by the constant air infiltration and by the moisture buffering effect of the building materials on the internal environment. The results show that the *MSCs* compared can also have an influence on the annual average moisture content. The variation due to the *MSC* of the average value is less than 2 % *RH*, while the maximum values have variations up to 4 % *RH*. The minimum annual average values are found for the *CLT ADS-B80* case (e.g., 41.3 % *RH* in Milan), while the maximum is found for *CLT DES-GAB* (e.g., 43.0 % *RH* in Milan). The *ADS* simulations have 1 % *RH* higher values than the respective *DES* simulations, and the *BET* and *B80* have higher values than *PLF* and *GAB*. Sorption curves with lower *EMC* obtained lower wall moisture contents (Fig. 8) and higher air *RH* values.




Fig. 9 Annual heating and cooling demands deviations. The differences of the ADS and DES fitting curves are calculated from the respective ADS and DES PLF curves (Table 5)




Fig. 10 – Annual average indoor air RH calculated with different MSCs at different locations. The error bars indicate the annual hourly maximum and minimum RH values

## 5. Conclusions

In this preliminary research the moisture adsorption and desorption curves of four wood-based building materials have been measured with a DVS analyser. The measured values have been com-

pared with three commonly used fitting functions based on different MSC models. 64 simulations of a small building have been performed, with two different envelopes composed of the materials analysed, in four Italian locations, for four MSC fitting curves, for both adsorption and desorption curves. The simulations have been performed with the software EnergyPlus considering moisture dependent hygrothermal material properties. The effects of every fitting function on the results of the simulation have been quantified in terms of heating and cooling annual demands, moisture content in walls and air relative humidity. The main findings are:

- The GAB function represents better the measured EMC in the hygroscopic range.
- For the studied cases, using the BET and B80 functions in hygrothermal building energy simulations caused errors in the heating demand up to 1.2 kWh/(m² yr) (case of CLT simulation in Milan, with 5 % difference from the same PLF case) and 1 kWh/(m² yr) in cooling demands (Milan frame wall DES-B80, with 5 % difference from the same PLF case).
- When considering the internal annual average air relative humidity, the influence of the fitting function is found to be of 2 % RH, and of 4 % RH on the annual maximum values.

In conclusion, the differences are of a small order, and could be of interest when high precision results are required (for example, with high performance buildings, in risk evaluations, or in model calibration procedures). The desorption curves, as expected, led to the calculation of higher moisture contents and internal relative humidity values, and should be preferred to adsorption curves when conservative simulations are needed.

Further research is required to increase the accessibility of hygrothermal simulations. Future work will focus on extending the analysis on the other hygrothermal material properties and on the over-hygroscopic range, considering the effects of rain and extreme weather conditions. Occupants' comfort parameters and multi-year results will also be considered.



## Acknowledgement

The research leading to these results has also received funding from the MIUR of Italy within the framework of the PRIN2017 project «The energy flexibility of enhanced heat pumps for the next generation of sustainable buildings (FLEXHEAT)», grant 2017KAAECT.

Libralato M. acknowledges fellowship funding from MUR (Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca) under PON "Ricerca e Innovazione" 2014-2020 (D.M. 1062/2021).

This research was partially funded by the project "Klimahouse and Energy Production", in the framework of the programmatic-financial agreement with the Autonomous Province of Bozen-Bolzano of Research Capacity Building.

## Nomenclature

### Symbols

$c_{dry}$	Specific heat capacity ( $J \cdot kg^{-1} K^{-1}$ )
$\lambda_{dry}$	Thermal conductivity ( $W \cdot m^{-1} K^{-1}$ )
$\mu_{dry}$	Water vapor resistance factor (-)
$\rho_{bulk}$	Density ( $kg \cdot m^{-3}$ )
$U$	Air-to-air thermal transmittance ( $W \cdot m^{-2} K^{-1}$ )

### Abbreviations

<i>ADS</i>	Adsorption
<i>B80</i>	BET model with 80 % RH constraint
<i>BES</i>	Building Energy Simulation
<i>BET</i>	Brunauer–Emmett–Teller model
<i>CLT</i>	Cross-Laminated Timber
<i>DES</i>	Desorption
<i>DVS</i>	Dynamic Vapor Sorption analyser
<i>EMC</i>	Equilibrium Moisture Content
<i>GAB</i>	Guggenheim-Anderson-deBoer model
<i>HAMT</i>	Heat Air and Moisture Transfer
<i>HWF</i>	High-density Wood Fibre
<i>LWF</i>	Low-density Wood Fibre
<i>MSC</i>	Moisture Sorption curve
<i>OSB</i>	Oriented Strand Board
<i>PLF</i>	Piecewise Linear Function
<i>RH</i>	Relative Humidity

## References

- ANSI/ASHRAE. 2017. "Standard Method of Test for the Evaluation of Building Energy Analysis Computer Programs (ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140)."
- Berger J., T. Busser, T. Colinart, and D. Dutykh. 2020. "Critical assessment of a new mathematical model for hysteresis effects on heat and mass transfer in porous building material." *International Journal of Thermal Sciences* 151: 106275. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2020.106275>
- Carbonari, A. 2010. "Proprietà materiali edilizi." Lecture notes. Accessed on December 1, 2021 <http://www.iuav.it/Ateneo1/docenti/architettura/docenti-st/Carbonari-/materiali-1/ciaSA-06-0/proprmat.pdf>
- CEN. 2021. EN ISO 12571:2021 – *Hygrothermal performance of building materials and products - Determination of hygroscopic sorption properties*. European Committee for Standardization.
- Danovska, M., G. Pernigotto, P. Baggio, and A. Gasparella. 2022. "Simulation uncertainty in heat transfer across timber building components in the Italian climates: the role of thermal conductivity". *Energy and Buildings* 268: 112190. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2022.112190>
- Danovska, M., G. Pernigotto, M. Baratieri, P. Baggio, and G. Gasparella. 2020a. "Influence of moisture content, temperature and absorbed solar radiation on the thermal performance of a spruce XLAM wall in the Italian climates." *Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 37th UIT Heat Transfer Conference*. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1599/1/012028>
- Danovska, M., M. Libralato, G. Pernigotto, A. De Angelis, O. Saro, P. Baggio, and A. Gasparella. 2020b. "Numerical and experimental study on the impact of humidity on the thermal behavior of insulated timber walls." *Proceedings of Building Simulation Applications BSA 2019*. doi: <https://doi.org/10.13124/9788860461766>
- EnergyPlus. 2021. "Weather Data." National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). Accessed Dec 1, <https://energyplus.net/weather>

- Igaz, R., L. Krišťák, L. Ružiak, M. Gajtanska and M. Kučerka. 2017. "Thermophysical properties of OSB boards versus equilibrium moisture content." *BioResources* 12(4): 8106-8118.
- Künzel, H.M. 1995. "Simultaneous heat and moisture transport in building components: One- and two-dimensional calculation using simple parameters." Fraunhofer-Institut für Bauphysik
- Libralato, M., A. De Angelis, O. Saro, M. Qin, and C. Rode. 2021a. "Effects of considering moisture hysteresis on wood decay risk simulations of building envelopes." *Journal of Building Engineering* 42: 102444 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2021.102444>
- Libralato, M., A. De Angelis, G. Tornello, O. Saro, P. D'Agaro, and G. Cortella. 2021b. "Evaluation of Multiyear Weather Data Effects on Hygrothermal Building Energy Simulations Using WUFI Plus." *Energies*. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14217157>
- Scheffler, G. A. 2008. "Validation of hygrothermal material modelling under consideration of the hysteresis of moisture storage." PhD Thesis. Dresden University of Technology.
- Thybring, E. E., C. R. Boardman, S. L. Zelinka, and S. V. Glass. 2021 "Common sorption isotherm models are not physically valid for water in wood." *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* 627: 127214. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2021.127214>
- Vololonorina, O., M. Coutand, and B.Perrin. 2014. "Characterization of hygrothermal properties of wood-based products – Impact of moisture content and temperature." *Construction and Building Materials* 63: 223–233. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.04.014>
- Woloszyn, M., and C. Rode. 2008. "Tools for performance simulation of heat, air and moisture conditions of whole buildings." *Building Simulation* 1: 5–24. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-008-8106-z>
- Yang, J., H. Fu, and M. Qin. 2015. "Evaluation of Different Thermal Models in EnergyPlus for Calculating Moisture Effects on Building Energy Consumption in Different Climate Conditions" *Procedia Engineering* 121: 1635-1641 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2015.09.194>
- Zu, K., M. Qin, C. Rode, and M. Libralato. 2020. "Development of a moisture buffer value model (MBM) for indoor moisture prediction." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 171: 115096 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2020.115096>

Konferenzbeiträge / Atti / Proceedings

# Building Simulation Applications BSA 2022

5<sup>th</sup> IBPSA-Italy Conference

Bozen-Bolzano, 29<sup>th</sup> June – 1<sup>st</sup> July 2022

**Edited by**

**Giovanni Pernigotto, Francesco Patuzzi,**

**Alessandro Prada, Vincenzo Corrado, Andrea Gasparella**

**bu,press**

bozen  
bolzano  
university  
press

**unibz**  
—  
Freie Universität Bozen  
Libera Università di Bolzano  
—  
Università Lìdia de Bulsan

Konferenzbeiträge / Atti / Proceedings

# Building Simulation Applications BSA 2022

5<sup>th</sup> IBPSA-Italy Conference  
Bozen-Bolzano, 29<sup>th</sup> June –1<sup>st</sup> July 2022

**Edited by**

**Giovanni Pernigotto, Francesco Patuzzi,  
Alessandro Prada, Vincenzo Corrado, Andrea Gasparella**

**bu,press**

bozen  
bolzano  
university  
press

### **Scientific Committee**

Ian Beausoleil-Morrison, Carleton University, Canada  
Jan L.M. Hensen, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, The Netherlands  
Gregor P. Henze, University of Colorado Boulder, USA  
Ardeshir Mahdavi, Technische Universität Wien, Austria  
Athanasios Tzempelikos, Purdue University, USA  
Reinhard Radermacher, University of Maryland, USA  
Francesco Asdrubali, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, Italy  
Paolo Baggio, Università degli Studi di Trento, Italy  
Francesca Cappelletti, Università IUAV di Venezia, Italy  
Maurizio Cellura, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Italy  
Cristina Cornaro, Università degli Studi di Tor Vergata, Italy  
Vincenzo Corrado, Politecnico di Torino, Italy  
Andrea Gasparella, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy  
Livio Mazzarella, Politecnico di Milano, Italy  
Adolfo Palombo, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy

### **Students Tutoring Scientific Committee**

Matthias Schuss, Technische Universität Wien, Austria  
Ulrich Pont, Technische Universität Wien, Austria  
Alessia Arteconi, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Italy  
Ilaria Ballarini, Politecnico di Torino, Italy  
Annamaria Buonomano, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy  
Marco Caniato, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy  
Gianpiero Evola, Università degli Studi di Catania, Italy  
Federica Morandi, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy  
Francesco Patuzzi, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy  
Giovanni Pernigotto, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy  
Anna Laura Pisello, Università degli Studi di Perugia, Italy  
Alessandro Prada, Università degli Studi di Trento, Italy

### **Organizing Committee**

Paolo Baggio, Università degli Studi di Trento, Italy  
Marco Baratieri, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy  
Marco Caniato, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy  
Francesca Cappelletti, Università IUAV di Venezia, Italy  
Vincenzo Corrado, Politecnico di Torino, Italy  
Andrea Gasparella, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy  
Norbert Klammsteiner, Energytech G.m.b.H./S.r.l -Bozen, Italy  
Federica Morandi, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy  
Francesco Patuzzi, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy  
Giovanni Pernigotto, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy  
Alessandro Prada, Università degli Studi di Trento, Italy  
Fabio Viero, Manens – Tifs, Italy

# **bu,press**

Bozen-Bolzano University Press, 2022

Free University of Bozen-Bolzano

[www.unibz.it/universitypress](http://www.unibz.it/universitypress)

Cover design: DOC.bz / bu,press

ISSN 2531-6702

ISBN 978-88-6046-191-9

DOI 10.13124/9788860461919



This work—excluding the cover and the quotations—is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

# Table of Contents

Preface .....	ix
Optimization of Daylighting and Energy Performance in Bangladesh Ready-Made Garment Factories: Use of Parametric Design, Simulation Modeling, and Genetic Algorithms <i>Md Ashikur Rahman Joarder, Md Monir Hossain, Aaron J.E. Bach, Jean P. Palutikof, Fahim Tonmoy</i> .....	1
Transient Three-Dimensional CFD Modelling of Ceiling Fans: A Comparison Between Detailed and Simplified Models <i>Francesco Babich, Akshit Gupta, Wilmer Pasut</i> .....	9
Intelligibility Prediction in Scholar Classrooms <i>Samantha Di Loreto, Fabio Serpilli, Valter Lori, Costanzo Di Perna</i> .....	17
Hybrid Heat Pump Systems: Is Predictive Control Worth Using? <i>Patricia Ercoli, Alice Mugnini, Fabio Polonara, Alessia Arteconi</i> .....	25
The Acoustic Adaptation of the Aula Magna at the University of Bologna: Auditorium and Conference Hall Scenarios Simulated in the Main Nave of Santa Lucia's Church <i>Antonella Bevilacqua, Ruoran Yan, Maria Cristina Tommasino</i> .....	33
Implementation and Calibration of a Model to Treat Naturally Ventilated Complex Fenestration Systems in TRNSYS <i>Ingrid Demanega, Giovanni Gennaro, Giuseppe De Michele, Francesco Isaia, Fabio Favoino, Stefano Avesani</i> .....	41
Heat and Mass Transfer Modelling for Moisture-Related Risks in Walls Retrofitted by Timber Materials <i>Gianpiero Evola, Alessandra Urso, Vincenzo Costanzo, Francesco Nocera, Luigi Marletta</i> .....	49
Multi-Objective Optimization Of Thermo-Acoustic Comfort Of School Buildings <i>Daniele Colarossi, Samantha Di Loreto, Eleonora Tagliolini, Paolo Principi, Fabio Serpilli</i> .....	67
A Review on the FIVA-Project: Simulation-Assisted Development of Highly-Insulating Vacuum Glass Windows <i>Ulrich Pont, Peter Schober, Magdalena Wölzl, Matthias Schuss, Jakob Haberl</i> .....	69
Influence of Sound-Absorbing Ceiling on the Reverberation Time. Comparison Between Software and Calculation Method EN 12354-6 <i>Nicola Granzotto, Paolo Ruggeri, Fabio Peron, Marco Caniato, Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	77
Simulation of Thermal and Acoustic Façade Insulation Starting From the Characteristics of the Individual Elements <i>Nicola Granzotto, Paolo Ruggeri, Fabio Peron, Marco Caniato, Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	85
Climate Change Impact on Historical Buildings: A Case Study Within the Interreg Ita-Slo Secap Project <i>Marco Manzan, Amedeo Pezzi</i> .....	95
Hourly Dynamic Calculation of the Primary Energy With Heat Pump Generation System (EN 15316-4-2): A Case Study in Italy <i>Giada Remia, Serena Summa, Luca Tarabelli, Costanzo Di Perna</i> .....	103
A Project Focused on Sound Diffusion: The Acoustics of the Auditorium Yves St Laurent of Marrakech in Combination With its Innovative Architectural Design <i>Lamberto Tronchin, Antonella Bevilacqua, Ruoran Yan</i> .....	111
On the Prints of Another Horseshoe-Shaped Historical Building: Acoustic Studies of the Bonci Theatre of Cesena <i>Antonella Bevilacqua, Ruoran Yan</i> .....	117
Acoustic Discoveries of Another Masterpiece by Antonio Galli Bibiena: The Communal Theatre of Bologna <i>Antonella Bevilacqua, Ruoran Yan</i> .....	123
In Situ Measurement of Wall Thermal Properties: Parametric Investigation of the Heat Flow Methods Through Virtual Experiments Data <i>Andrea Alongi, Luca Sala, Adriana Angelotti, Livio Mazzarella</i> .....	129

Investigating the Performance of Different Window Opening Styles for Single-Sided Wind-Driven Natural Ventilation Using CFD Simulations <i>Akshit Gupta, Annamaria Belleri, Francesco Babich</i> .....	137
The Management of the Energy Performance Simulation of a Complex Building Portfolio. The Case of the School Building Asset of an Italian Municipality <i>Claudia Bo, Enrico De Angelis, Andrea Augello</i> .....	145
Hourly-Simplified Calculation to Identify Cost-Optimal Energy Performance Requirements for the Italian Building Stock <i>Matteo Piro, Franz Bianco Mauthe Degerfeld, Giovanna De Luca, Ilaria Ballarini, Vincenzo Corrado</i> .....	153
A Novel Methodology for Risk Assessment of Airborne Transmission due to Covid-19 in University Classrooms <i>Giulia Lamberti, Roberto Rugani, Fabio Fantozzi</i> .....	161
Integrated Approach to Assess the Energy and Environmental Payback Time of Buildings Refurbishment: A Case Study <i>Marta Roncone, Francesco Asdrubali, Gianluca Grazieschi, Chiara Tonelli</i> .....	169
Comparison Between Measured and Calculated Values in Relation to Noise From Wind Turbines <i>Antonella Bevilacqua, Gino Iannace, Ilaria Lombardi, Amelia Trematerra</i> .....	177
Thermo-Hygrometric Comfort Analysis in a Real Public Conference Room to Support a Digital-Twin Targeted to Parametric Investigations <i>Roberto Bruno, Piero Bevilacqua, Daniela Cirone, Natale Arcuri</i> .....	185
Validation of Energy Simulations of a Sustainable Wooden House in a Mediterranean Climate <i>Piero Bevilacqua, Roberto Bruno, Daniela Cirone, Stefania Perrella, Natalia Shushunova, Natale Arcuri</i> .....	193
Thermal and Acoustic Simulation of a Technical Enclosure for High Voltage Control Equipment <i>Edoardo A. Piana, Somayan Basu, Francesco Palone, Simone Sacco, Roberto Spezie</i> .....	199
Investigating the Role of Humidity on Indoor Wellness in Vernacular and Conventional Building Typologies <i>Suchi Priyadarshani, Roshan R Rao, Monto Mani, Daniel Maskell</i> .....	207
An Investigation Into Thermal Performance of Buildings Built Using Upcycled End-Of-Life Photovoltaic Panels <i>Roshan R Rao, Suchi Priyadarshani, Monto Mani</i> .....	217
Determining the Energy Benefits from Passive Solar Design Integration through the Sensitivity Analysis of Different Case Studies <i>Giacomo Cillari, Alessandro Franco, Fabio Fantozzi</i> .....	225
A Novel Personal Comfort System: A Radiant Desk With a Loop Heat Pipe <i>Roberto Rugani, Marco Bernagozzi, Marco Picco, Giacomo Salvadori, Fabio Fantozzi</i> .....	233
Energy Signature Modeling Towards Digital Twins – Lessons Learned From a Case Study With TRV and GAHP Technologies <i>Massimiliano Manfren, Maria Cristina Tommasino, Lamberto Tronchin</i> .....	243
The Amintore Galli Theatre in Rimini: A Dataset of Building Simulation Tools for its Acoustic Design <i>Antonella Bevilacqua, Massimiliano Manfren, Maria Cristina Tommasino, Ruoran Yan, Lamberto Tronchin</i> .....	249
Data-Driven Building Energy Modelling – Generalisation Potential of Energy Signatures Through Interpretable Machine Learning <i>Massimiliano Manfren, Maria Cristina Tommasino, Lamberto Tronchin</i> .....	255
Estimated Versus Actual Heating Energy Use of Residential Buildings <i>Matthias Schuss, Martin Fleischhacker, Ardeshir Mahdavi</i> .....	265
Polyamide Waste Thermal and Acoustic Properties: Experimental and Numerical Investigation on Possible Reuse for Indoor Comfort Improvement <i>Manuela Neri, Eva Cuerva, Alfredo Zabaleta, Pablo Pujadas, Elisa Levi, Ondrej Sikula</i> .....	273
Assessment of Demand-Side Management on the Performance of a Single-Dwelling Mechanical Ventilation Plus Radiant Floor System <i>Paolo Bonato, Anton Soppelsa, Marta Avantaggiato, Roberto Fedrizzi</i> .....	281
Passive Design Strategies for the Improvement of Summer Indoor Comfort Conditions in Lightweight Steel-Framed Buildings <i>Nicola Callegaro, Max Wieser, Giovanni Manzini, Ivan Kharlamov, Rossano Albatici</i> .....	289
Energetic Optimisation of the Domestic Hot Water System in a Residential Building by Means of Dynamic Simulations <i>Paolo Valdiserri, Aminhossein Jahanbin, Giovanni Semprini</i> .....	299



Assessing the Climate Resilience of Passive Cooling Solutions for Italian Residential Buildings <i>Mamak P. Tootkaboni, Ilaria Ballarini, Vincenzo Corrado</i> .....	305
Ventilation of Residential Buildings in Alpine Region: A Comparison Between Natural, Mechanical, and Mixed-Mode Strategies <i>Francesca Avella, Paolo Bonato, Annamaria Belleri, Francesco Babich</i> .....	313
A Comparison Among Three Whole-Building Dynamic Simulation Software and their Applicability to the Indoor Climate Modelling of Historical Buildings <i>Francesca Frasca, Elena Verticchio, Michele Libralato, Paola D'Agaro, Giovanni Cortella, Anna Maria Siani, Cristina Cornaro</i> .....	321
QGIS-Based Tools to Evaluate Air Flow Rate by Natural Ventilation in Buildings at Urban Scale <i>Silvia Santantonio, Guglielmina Mutani</i> .....	331
Modeling Energy Consumption in a Single-Family House in South Tyrol: Comparison Between Hemp Concrete and Clay Bricks <i>Silvia Ricciuti, Irene Lara-Ibeas, Annamaria Belleri, Francesco Babich</i> .....	341
A Fully Automated and Scalable Approach for Indoor Temperature Forecasting in Buildings Using Artificial Neural Networks <i>Jakob Bjørnskov, Muhyiddine Jradi, Christian Veje</i> .....	349
Effects of Different Moisture Sorption Curves on Hygrothermal Simulations of Timber Buildings <i>Michele Libralato, Maja Danovska, Giovanni Pernigotto, Andrea Gasparella, Paolo Baggio, Paola D'Agaro, Giovanni Cortella</i> .....	357
Energy Performance Evaluation and Economical Analysis by Means of Simulation Activities for a Renovated Building Reaching Different Nzeb Definitions Targets <i>Riccardo Gazzin, Jennifer Adami, Mattia Dallapiccola, Davide Brandolini, Miren Juaristi Gutierrez, Diego Tamburrini, Paolo Bonato, Martino Gubert, Stefano Avesani</i> .....	367
Preliminary CFD Parametric Simulations of Low- and Medium-Density Urban Layouts <i>Ritesh Wankhade, Giovanni Pernigotto, Michele Larcher</i> .....	377
Smart Sensors and Auditory Sensitivity: Acoustic Optimization of Dedicated Spaces for Autistic Individuals <i>Federica Bettarello, Marco Caniato, Arianna Marzi, Giuseppina Scavuzzo, Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	387
Simulation Application for the Assessment of the Energy Performance of a Building Renovated Using I-BEST System (Innovative Building Envelope through Smart Technology) <i>Cristina Carpino, Mario Maiolo, Patrizia Piro, Roberto Bruno, Natale Arcuri</i> .....	395
Modeling Occupants' Behavior to Improve the Building Performance Simulation of Classrooms <i>Federica Morandi, Julian Donges, Ilaria Pittana, Alessandro Prada, Francesca Cappelletti, Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	403
Modeling and Measurements in Natural Ventilation of Massive Buildings: A Case Study <i>Francesco Asdrubali, Luca Evangelisti, Claudia Guattari, Marta Roncone, Lucia Fontana, Ginevra Salerno, Chiara Tonelli, Valeria Vitale</i> .....	411
Calibration of the Energy Simulation Model of a Library with a Meta-Model-Based Optimization Approach <i>Maja Danovska, Alessandro Prada, Paolo Baggio</i> .....	417
Development of a Detailed Model of Hybrid System Composed by Air-to-Water Heat Pump and Boiler <i>Erica Roccatello, Alessandro Prada, Marco Baratieri, Paolo Baggio</i> .....	427
The Role of Ventilation in Indoor Spaces During the Covid-19 Pandemic: Comprehensive Analysis of ASHRAE Standard 62.1 <i>Giovanni Francesco Giuzio, Giovanni Barone, Annamaria Buonomano, Gianluca Del Papa, Cesare Forzano, Adolfo Palombo, Giuseppe Russo</i> .....	437
Design of Energy-Neutral Smart Buildings: An Ontological Framework to Integrate LCA, BIM and PLM <i>Tarun Kumar, Monto Mani</i> .....	449
Assessing the Performance of a Simplification Algorithm for Urban Building Energy Modeling in Multi-Objective Optimization <i>Federico Battini, Giovanni Pernigotto, Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	457
Application of a Simplification Algorithm for Urban Building Energy Modeling to Complex-Shaped Educational Buildings <i>Matteo Merli, Federico Battini, Giovanni Pernigotto, Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	465
Numerical Investigation of Radiation Efficiency of a Cross-Laminated Timber Floor <i>Marco Caniato, Nicola Granzotto, Federica Bettarello, Arianna Marzi, Paolo Bonfiglio, Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	473

Assessment of Contagion Risk due to Covid-19 for a Multi-Zone Building Model of Offices <i>Riccardo Albertin, Alessandro Pernici, Giovanni Pernigotto, Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	479
Impact of Visual, Thermal, and Indoor Air Quality Conditions on Students' Wellbeing and Learning Performance in a Primary School of Bolzano, Italy <i>Giovanni Demozzi, Luca Zaniboni, Giovanni Pernigotto, Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	489
Performance Simulation of Desiccant Wheel under Dynamic Conditions: <i>Comparison between Detailed and Simplified Models</i> <i>Simone Dugaria, Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	499
BIM and Mixed Reality for Visualizing Building Energy Data <i>Dietmar Siegele, Paola Penna, Ilaria Di Blasio, Michael Riedl</i> .....	507
Impact of Solar Radiation Modelling on the Simulated Building Energy Performance in the Climate of Bolzano, Italy <i>Giovanni Pernigotto, Alessandro Prada, Aleksandr Gevorgian, Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	515
Effect of the Time Interval Base on the Calculation of the Renewable Quota of Building in an Alpine Context <i>Margherita Povolato, Alessandro Prada, Paolo Baggio</i> .....	525
Innovative Approaches for Teaching BPS: First Implementations of Business Game-Like Activities <i>Andrea Gasparella</i> .....	533