COLLECTIVE TEACHER EFFICACY IN QUEENSLAND SECONDARY SCHOOL STAFFROOMS

Derek Cantle
B.A., Dip.Ed.(Macq)

In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Masters of Educational Administration with Honours of the University of New England

27 February 2009

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINALITY

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.



Abstract

This thesis examines the nature and strength of the relationship between collective teacher efficacy (CTE) at the staffroom level and student academic achievement in four secondary schools in Queensland, Australia. CTE refers to the aggregate beliefs of a group of teachers in their joint capabilities to positively influence students under their care. It is founded on Bandura's social cognitive theory (1986, 1997) and models of collective efficacy developed primarily by R. Goddard between 1998 and 2004. The study is unique in that it includes analysis of CTE at the staffroom level rather than only the whole school or individual teacher levels.

CTE was measured in the study by a survey instrument developed by the author - the Australian Collective Efficacy Survey (ACES). The survey is based on Goddard's 2002 Collective Efficacy Survey (CES) developed in the United States.

The principal analytical tool used in the study was one-way between-groups analysis of variance (ANOVA). This tested the strength of association between CTE, current student academic achievement and six other variables thought to be associated with CTE. Results indicated that CTE had a moderate effect on current student achievement. Differences in prior student achievement held the greatest power in explaining variance in current student achievement. There was also a strong association between student socioeconomic status (SES) and current student achievement.

Variance in CTE was explained mostly by variations in teacher experience and staffroom longevity. Student SES also had a large effect on CTE.

Results support the idea that a higher proportion of experienced teachers in secondary schools is more conducive for a stronger sense of CTE. However, the study did not demonstrate that this translated into improved student academic outcomes.

Principal Components Analysis (PCA) of the ACES indicated that the instrument displayed acceptable consistency and reliability for measuring CTE. Consistent with previous measures of CTE, two underlying survey components of CTE were identified: task analysis and group competence.

Results did not support the key assumption of social cognitive theory that perceived collective teaching expertise (mastery experience) influences CTE. The strong, positive association between prior academic success and CTE at the school level, indicated in previous studies, was not present at the staffroom level in this study. Further studies of CTE at a variety of levels are needed to determine whether teacher experience and staffroom longevity are embodiments of perceived teaching expertise (mastery experience).

Acknowledgements

This thesis could not have been completed without the support and understanding of many people.

Dr Dan Riley was my principal supervisor, advisor and motivator throughout the process. Suggestions and criticisms made by him drew on wisdom borne from extensive experience, professionalism and a sharp mind. His encouragement was always gladly anticipated and his gentle criticisms always pertinent. Thank you Dan.

Mr. David Gee also supervised the writing process. As an experienced educational administrator, David always sought to highlight the relevance of my findings to the complex task of leading schools. He partnered with Dr Riley to keep me focused and balanced. I appreciate his pragmatism and his deep knowledge about Australian school leadership.

Professor Larry Smith introduced me to the necessity of 'telling the story' with quantitative analysis. Listening to his criticisms and advice was like eagerly awaiting to discover a gem in the sand. I hold his academic rigor and creative brilliance in high regard.

Colin Carmichael is a fellow student who became my unexpected and informal mentor. Through many rich conversations he prodded me to be critical as I examined valid methods to analyse data. He guided me during those days of statistical twilight.

I am also indebted to the writings of Roger Goddard. His research on collective teacher efficacy (CTE) inspired me to examine its nature in an Australian context. From the late 1990s Goddard has prompted many to consider the importance of CTE in influencing student outcomes.

Many of my workplace colleagues were persistent in their encouragement right from the start. Special mention must be made of Andrew Pierpoint, Meg Englardt, Vanessa Crothers, Amanda Carney, Maree Rosier and Annette Cahill. When I made demands on their time, they remained flexible, empathetic and helpful.

I also thank the principals and staff at participating schools who freely gave their assents and time that enabled me to conduct the study. This includes the Year Level Coordinators and Deputy Principals who arranged meetings with students, parents and teaching staff. It also includes school IT coordinators who gladly accessed student academic data for analysis.

Finally, my family patiently believed in me throughout the process. Thanks go to my children, Jess, Millie, Sarah and Sam for trusting that it would all be worthwhile. The greatest thanks go to my wife, Alison who bore much of the emotional load during those moments of frustration, confusion and discouragement. During the journey, we have learnt much about each other.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	V
List of Tables	X
List of Figures	XV
List of Key Terms and Acronyms	xvii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
The Context of Collective Teacher Efficacy	2
Collective Teacher Efficacy - Themes in the Literature	5
Hypothesis and Research Questions	9
Scope and Limitations	10
Research Methods	11
Significance of the Study	15
Chapter Outline	17
Chapter 2 Literature Review	19
The Importance of Collective Teacher Efficacy	19
The Meaning of Efficacy and Its Related Constructs	21
Efficacy	21
Teacher Efficacy	23
Collective Efficacy	24
Collective Teacher Efficacy	25

Theoretical Foundations of Teacher Efficacy	27
Conceptual Beginnings	27
Social Cognitive Theory	29
Sources of Collective Teacher Efficacy	33
Measuring Collective Teacher Efficacy	39
Measurement Instruments	39
Aggregation Bias and the Unit of Analysis Proble	em42
Reliability and Internal Consistency	44
Analysing Collective Teacher Efficacy	47
Enhancing Collective Teacher Efficacy – Suggestion	ns and Conclusions53
Chapter 3 Research Methods	57
Introduction	57
What is a Staffroom?	58
Development of the Australian Collective Efficacy	Survey 59
Previous Surveys	59
Pilot Study	60
Assessing Australian Collective Efficacy Survey	Reliability63
Measuring Academic Achievement	64
The Comparability Issue	64
Value Added Analysis of Student Academic Ach	ievement67
Selecting and Measuring Independent Variables	69
Teacher Derived Variables	69
Student Derived Variables	70
Making Sense of Coded Variables	72
Sampling Procedures	72
Data Collection Procedures	77
Data Analysis Methods	78

Data Aggregation	78
Investigating Differences – Analysis of Variance	79
Chapter 4 Data Analysis	83
Introduction	83
Overview of Sample Populations	83
Students	83
Teachers	84
Staffrooms	89
Impacts on Student Academic Achievement	92
Summary of Results	92
Impacts of Collective Teacher Efficacy on Student Academic Achievement	95
Impacts of Teacher Age on Student Academic Achievement	98
Impacts of Teacher Experience on Student Academic Achievement	99
Impacts of Staffroom Longevity on Student Academic Achievement	100
Impacts of Professional Development on Student Academic Achievement	101
Impacts of Student Socioeconomic Status on Student Academic Achievement.	103
Impacts of Prior Academic Achievement on Current Academic Achievement	104
Impacts on Collective Teacher Efficacy	105
Summary of Results	105
Impacts of Student Academic Achievement on Collective Teacher Efficacy	107
Impacts of Prior Student Academic Achievement on Collective Teacher Effica	acy
	108
Impacts of Student Socioeconomic Status on Collective Teacher Efficacy	108
Impacts of Teacher Age on Collective Teacher Efficacy	109
Impacts of Teacher Experience on Collective Teacher Efficacy	110
Impacts of Teacher Staffroom Longevity on Collective Teacher Efficacy	111
Impacts of Professional Development on Collective Teacher Efficacy	114
The Reliability of the Australian Collective Efficacy Survey	115
Interpreting Results from a Principal Components Analysis	115

Summary Findings of Principal Components Analysis of the Australian	Collective
Efficacy Survey	116
Data Assumptions	117
Component Extraction	117
Component Rotation	119
Chapter 5 Discussion	122
Major Findings	122
Explaining Differences in Current Student Academic Achievement	123
Explaining Differences in Collective Teacher Efficacy	125
Measuring Collective Teacher Efficacy	130
Practical and Theoretical Implications	133
Suggestions for Future Research	134
Conclusion	136
References	137
Appendices	149
Appendix 1 Short Form of the Collective Efficacy Survey	149
Appendix 2 Australian Collective Efficacy Survey	150
Appendix 3 Parent/Guardian Survey	156
Appendix 4 Information Sheet for Students	159
Appendix 5 Information Sheet for Principals	161
Appendix 6 Student Achievement Analyses of Variance	163
Student Achievement by Collective Teacher Efficacy Group	163
Student Achievement by Teacher Age Group	166
Student Achievement by Teaching Experience Group	170
Student Achievement by Staffroom Longevity Group	173
Student Achievement by Professional Development Group	177

Student Achievement by Socioeconomic Status	180
Current Student Achievement by Prior Student Achievement	184
Appendix 7 Collective Teacher Efficacy Analyses of Variance	190
Collective Teacher Efficacy by Student Achievement Group	. 190
Collective Teacher Efficacy by Prior Achievement Group	194
Collective Teacher Efficacy by Socioeconomic Status Group	198
Collective Teacher Efficacy by Teacher Age Group	202
Collective Teacher Efficacy by Teacher Experience Group	205
Collective Teacher Efficacy by Staffroom Longevity Group	209
Collective Teacher Efficacy by Professional Development Group	212
Appendix 8 Principal Components Analysis of the Australian Collective Efficacy	
Survey	216

List of Tables

Table 1 Cronbach's Alpha Scores for Recent Studies of Collective Teacher Efficacy	
Using the Collective Efficacy Survey Short Form	47
Table 2 Comparison of Item Wordings Used in Goddard's Collective Efficacy Survey	ī
and the Australian Collective Efficacy Survey	61
Table 3 Sample Data – Raw Student Academic Grades (Year 11 and Year 12)	65
Table 4 Sample Data - Scores for Year 12 Grades	68
Table 5 Sample Data - Value Added Scores	68
Table 6 Measurement of Teacher Derived Independent Variables	70
Table 7 Variable Codes Used in Data Analysis	73
Table 8 Valid Consents Compared to Target Populations	75
Table 9 Post hoc Compromise Power Analysis of Maximum Group Sizes in Analysis	of
Variance Procedures	76
Table 10 Descriptive Data – Student Variables	84
Table 11 Descriptive Data – Interval Teacher Variables	85
Table 12 Descriptive Data - Ordinal Teacher Variables	85
Table 13 Descriptive Data – Student Variables Aggregated to the Teacher Level	89
Table 14 Descriptive Data – Ordinal Teacher Variables Aggregated to the Staffroom	
Level	90
Table 15 Descriptive Data – Interval Teacher Variables Aggregated to the Staffroom	
Level	91
Table 16 Summary of Analysis of Variance Results - Impacts on Current Student	
Academic Achievement (VAASY12)	93
Table 17 Summary of Analysis of Variance Results - Impacts on Collective Teacher	
Efficacy	106
Table 18 Descriptive Data - CESteacher by SESteacher Groups	108
Table 19 Post hoc Comparisons - CESteacher by Longteacher Group	
Table 20 Pattern Matrix with Item Identification	
Table 21 Factor Analysis Results Comparison	131

Table 22 Descriptive Data – VAASY12teacher by CESteacher Group	163
Table 23 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12teacher by CESteach	ner Group
	163
Table 24 ANOVA Results - VAASY12teacher by CESteacher Group	164
Table 25 Descriptive Data – VAASY12staffroom by CESstaffroom Group	164
Table 26 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12staffroom by CESsta	affroom
Group	165
Table 27 ANOVA Results - VAASY12staffroom by CESstaffroom Group	166
Table 28 Descriptive Data – VAASY12teacher by Ageteacher Group	166
Table 29 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12teacher by Ageteach	er Group
	167
Table 30 ANOVA Results - VAASY12teacher by Ageteacher Group	168
Table 31 Descriptive Data – VAASY12staffroom by Agestaffroom Group	168
Table 32 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12staffroom by Agesta	ffroom
Group	169
Table 33 ANOVA Results - VAASY12staffroom by Agestaffroom Group	170
Table 34 Descriptive Data – VAASY12teacher by Expteacher Group	170
Table 35 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12teacher by Expteach	er Group
	171
Table 36 ANOVA Results - VAASY12teacher by Expteacher Group	171
Table 37 Descriptive Data – VAASY12staffroom by Expstaffroom Group	171
Table 38 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12staffroom by Expsta	ffroom
Group	172
Table 39 ANOVA Results - VAASY12staffroom by Expstaffroom Group	173
Table 40 Descriptive Data – VAASY12teacher by Longteacher Group	173
Table 41 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12teacher by Longteac	her Group
	174
Table 42 Robust Tests of Equality of Means - VAASY12teacher by Longteac	her Group
	175
Table 43 ANOVA Results - VAASY12teacher by Longteacher Group	175
Table 44 Descriptive Data – VAASY12staffroom by Longstaffroom Group	175

Table 45 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12staffroom by Longstaffroom
Group
Table 46 ANOVA Results - VAASY12staffroom by Longstaffroom Group
Table 47 Descriptive Data – VAASY12teacher by PDteacher Group
Table 48 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12teacher by PDteacher Group 17
Table 49 ANOVA Results - VAASY12teacher by PDteacher Group
Table 50 Descriptive Data – VAASY12staffroom by PDstaffroom Group
Table 51 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12staffroom by PDstaffroom
Group
Table 52 ANOVA Results - VAASY12staffroom by PDstaffroom Group
Table 53 Kendall's tau b Association Results - SESstudent and Grade12
Table 54 Descriptive Data – VAASY12teacher by SESteacher Group
Table 55 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12teacher by SESteacher Group
Table 56 ANOVA Results - VAASY12teacher by SESteacher Group
Table 57 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12staffroom by SESstaffroom
Group
Table 58 ANOVA Results - VAASY12staffroom by SESstaffroom Group
Table 59 Descriptive Data - VAASY12staffroom by SESstaffroom Group
Table 60 Kendall's tau b Association Results – Grade12 and Grade11
Table 61 Descriptive Data – VAASY12teacher by VAASY11teacher Group
Table 62 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12teacher by VAASY11teacher
Group
$Table\ 63\ Post-hoc\ Comparisons-VAASY12 teacher\ by\ VAASY11 teacher\ Groups\ 18$
Table 64 ANOVA Results - VAASY12teacher by VAASY11teacher Group
Table 65 Descriptive Data – VAASY12staffroom by VAASY11staffroom Group 18
Table 66 Test of Homogeneity of Variances - VAASY12staffroom by
VAASY11staffroom Group18
Table 67 ANOVA Results - VAASY12staffroom by VAASY11staffroom Group 18
Table 68 Post-hoc Comparisons – VAASY12staffroom by VAASY11staffroom Groups

Table 69 Descriptive Data - CESteacher by VAASY12teacher Groups	190
Table 70 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESteacher by VAASY12teacher G	roup
	191
Table 71 ANOVA Results - CESteacher by VAASY12teacher Group	192
Table 72 Descriptive Data - CESstaffroom by VAASY12staffroom Groups	192
Table 73 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESstaffroom by VAASY12staffroom	om
Group	193
Table 74 ANOVA Results - CESstaffroom by VAASY12staffroom Group	194
Table 75 Descriptive Data - CESteacher by VAASY11teacher Groups	194
Table 76 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESteacher by VAASY11teacher G	roup
	195
Table 77 ANOVA Results - CESteacher by VAASY11teacher Group	196
Table 78 Descriptive Data - CESstaffroom by VAASY11staffroom Groups	196
Table 79 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESstaffroom by VAASY11staffroom	om
Group	197
Table 80 ANOVA Results - CESstaffroom by VAASY11staffroom Group	198
Table 81 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESteacher by SESteacher Group	199
Table 82 ANOVA Results - CESteacher by SESteacher Group	199
Table 83 Descriptive Data - CESstaffroom by SESstaffroom Groups	200
Table 84 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESstaffroom by SESstaffroom Gro	oup 201
Table 85 ANOVA Results - CESstaffroom by SESstaffroom Group	201
Table 86 Robust Tests of Equality of Means - CESstaffroom by SESstaffroom Gro	oup 201
Table 87 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESteacher by Ageteacher Group	202
Table 88 Descriptive Data - CESteacher by Ageteacher Groups	203
Table 89 ANOVA Results - CESteacher by Ageteacher Group	203
Table 90 Descriptive Data - CESstaffroom by Agestaffroom Groups	204
Table 91 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESstaffroom by Agestaffroom Gro	oup 205
Table 92 ANOVA Results - CESstaffroom by Agestaffroom Group	205
Table 93 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESteacher by Expteacher Group	205
Table 94 Descriptive Data - CESteacher by Expteacher Groups	206
Table 95 ANOVA Results - CESteacher by Expteacher Group	207

Table 96 Post hoc Comparisons - CESteacher by Expteacher Group	207
Table 97 Descriptive Data - CESstaffroom by Expstaffroom Groups	208
Table 98 ANOVA Results - CESstaffroom by Expstaffroom Group	208
Table 99 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESstaffroom by Expstaffroom Grou	ıp 209
Table 100 Descriptive Data - CESteacher by Longteacher Groups	209
Table 101 ANOVA Results - CESteacher by Longteacher Group	210
Table 102 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESteacher by Longteacher Group.	211
Table 103 Descriptive Data - CESstaffroom by Longstaffroom Groups	211
Table 104 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESstaffroom by Longstaffroom Gr	roup
	211
Table 105 ANOVA Results - CESstaffroom by Longstaffroom Group	212
Table 106 Descriptive Data - CESteacher by PDteacher Groups	212
Table 107 ANOVA Results - CESteacher by PDteacher Group	213
Table 108 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESteacher by PDteacher Group	214
Table 109 Descriptive Data - CESstaffroom by PDstaffroom Groups	215
Table 110 Test of Homogeneity of Variances – CESstaffroom by PDstaffroom Grou	up 215
Table 111 ANOVA Results - CESstaffroom by PDstaffroom Group	215
Table 112 Principal Components Analysis - Assumptive Tests	216
Table 113 Correlation Matrix – Australian Collective Efficacy Survey Items	216
Table 114 Total Variance Explained for Components Extracted	217
Table 115 MonteCarlo Principal Components Analysis for Parallel Analysis	218
Table 116 Comparison of Principal Components Analysis Eigenvalues and Parallel	
Analysis Criterion Values	219
Table 117 Component Matrix	219
Table 118 Pattern Matrix	220
Table 119 Structure Matrix	221
Table 120 Communalities	222

List of Figures

Figure 1 Triadic Reciprocal Causation	30
Figure 2 Conceptual Path Model -Collective Teacher Efficacy, Its Sources and Results	s 31
Figure 3 Hypothesized Model of Perceived Collective Teacher Efficacy	38
Figure 4 Structural Equation Model - Collective Teacher Efficacy and School Verbal	
Achievement	. 50
Figure 5 Structural Equation Model - Student Instructional Ratio, Collective Teacher	
Efficacy and Student Achievement.	51
Figure 6 Sample Population - Teacher Longevity	. 86
Figure 7 Sample Population - Teacher Experience	87
Figure 8 Sample Population - Teacher Professional Development	. 88
Figure 9 Classes Per Staffroom	. 90
Figure 10 Means Plot - VAASY12teacher by CESteacher Group	. 96
Figure 11 Means Plot - VAASY12teacher by Expteacher Group	. 99
Figure 12 Means Plot of VAASY12teacher by PDteacher Group	102
Figure 13 Means Plot - CESstaffroom vs Expstaffroom Group	110
Figure 14 Means Plot - CESstaffroom vs Longstaffroom Group	113
Figure 15 Principal Components Analysis Scree Plot	118
Figure 16 Reciprocal Causation - Teaching Experience, Staffroom Longevity and	
Collective Teacher Efficacy	126
Figure 17 Means Plot - VAASY12staffroom by CESstaffroom Group	165
Figure 18 Means Plot - VAASY12teacher by Ageteacher Group	167
Figure 19 Means Plot - VAASY12staffroom by Agestaffroom Group	169
Figure 20 Means Plot - VAASY12staffroom by Expstaffroom Group	172
Figure 21 Means Plot - VAASY12teacher by Longteacher Group	174
Figure 22 Means Plot - VAASY12staffroom by Longstaffroom Group	176
Figure 23 Means Plot - VAASY12staffroom by PDstaffroom Group	179
Figure 24 Means Plot - VAASY12teacher by SESteacher Group	181

Figure 25 Means Plot - VAASY12staffroom by SESstaffroom Group	183
Figure 26 Means Plot - VAASY12teacher by VAASY11teacher Group	185
Figure 27 Means Plot - VAASY12staffroom by VAASY11staffroom Group	188
Figure 28 Means Plot - CESteacher vs VAASY12teacher Group	191
Figure 29 Means Plot - CESstaffroom vs VAASY12staffroom Group	193
Figure 30 Means Plot - CESteacher vs VAASY11teacher Group	195
Figure 31 Means Plot - CESstaffroom vs VAASY11staffroom Group	197
Figure 32 Means Plot - CESteacher vs SESteacher Group	198
Figure 33 Means Plot - CESstaffroom vs SESstaffroom Group	200
Figure 34 Means Plot - CESteacher vs Ageteacher Group	202
Figure 35 Means Plot - CESstaffroom vs Agestaffroom Group	204
Figure 36 Means Plot - CESteacher vs Expteacher Group	206
Figure 37 Means Plot - CESteacher vs Longteacher Group	210
Figure 38 Means Plot - CESteacher vs PDteacher Group	213
Figure 39 Means Plot - CESstaffroom vs PDstaffroom Group	214

List of Key Terms and Acronyms

Collective agency people's shared beliefs that they can

cooperate to achieve shared group purposes

(Goddard et al., 2000).

Collective efficacy the sense of collective competence shared

amongst members of a group who coact to allocate, coordinate and integrate resources

for a required outcome (Bandura, 1997b;

Zaccaro et al., 1995).

Collective Teacher Efficacy (CTE) the perceptions of teachers in a school that

their efforts will positively influence

student outcomes (Goddard et al., 2000).

Efficacy the capacity to produce desired results

(Houghton Mifflin Company, 2004).

Group competence the cognitive process of assessing the

relative importance of endogenous factors

such as the presence or absence of skills,

knowledge, strategies and personality traits

that constrain or facilitate teaching

(Tschannen-Moran et al., 1998).

Self efficacy

a cognitive process in which people build perceptions about their abilities to achieve set goals or standards (Tschannen-Moran *et al.*, 1998).

Socioeconomic status (SES)

an individual's position within a hierarchical social structure (Houghton Mifflin Company, 2005).

Staffroom

a group of teachers in a secondary school who teach the same or similar subjects and whose interactions with each other derive from the close physical proximity of group members in a reported room.

Task analysis

the cognitive process of assessing the relative importance of exogenous factors such as student SES that constrain or facilitate teaching (Tschannen-Moran *et al.*, 1998).

Teacher efficacy

the beliefs held by teachers in their personal capacity to take courses of action that produce required results (Tschannen-Moran *et al.*, 1998).

Teacher experience

the reported length of time (in years) employed as a secondary school teacher.

Teacher professional development the reported length of time (in days) of

formal work-related training that teachers

participated in 2007.

Value added achievement score (VAAS) the measure of relative value added by a

teacher to a student's academic

achievement.