# ECOLOGY, ENERGETICS AND THERMAL BIOLOGY OF SUGAR GLIDERS

Nereda Christian

B.Sc. Hons, University of New England

Zoology
University of New England
Armidale, New South Wales, Australia

April 2007

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of New England

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Firstly, I would like to acknowledge my two supervisors Fritz Geiser and Gerhard Körtner for their ongoing support of this project. I would like to thank Fritz for his time and enthusiasm as well as his generosity in both financial support and the use of laboratory equipment. Fritz offered exceptional and patient guidance on the difficulties of thermal physiology. I would like too to thank Gerhard for his help with the various technical difficulties of data loggers and respirometry equipment, as well as his invaluable input on the contents of the thesis.

I am grateful to Stuart Cairns for his ongoing statistical advice and support. I also make special mention of Christine Cooper and Rebecca Drury whose generosity with their time, friendship and knowledge of the complexities of respirometry equipment were greatly appreciated. In particular I would like to thank Christine for performing painstaking experiments on the thermal properties of sugar glider pelts; unfortunately I was unable to include this in the thesis.

I have also been extremely fortunate in having a number of people who were willing to brave cold winter mornings in order to help me with my field work and other aspects of the project. In particular I would like to acknowledge the assistance and support of the Brigham family, Steve Debus, Lisa Doucette, Frank Falkenstein, Nicole Feay, Alison Goldzieher, Nicola Goodship, Bronwyn McAllan, Antja Seckerdieck Chris Turbill, Jamie Turner, Lisa Warnecke, Marco Wenzel, Wendy Westman, Craig Willis, and many others.

Thank you also to the Zoology technical and administrative staff: Zoltan Enoch, Louise Streeting, Sandy Watson and Sandy Higgins.

I would lastly like to thank my partner Paul Bayne for his ongoing support during my PhD candidature. Paul provided a great deal of assistance in the field as well as offering his own expertise in Ecology. Paul also took most of the photographs that appear in the thesis. I would also like to thank my father, John and brother Ben for their love and support over the years.

Permits for all animal experiments were provided by New South Wales National Parks and Wildlife Service and the University of New England Animal Ethics Committee. I would also like to thank the Armidale District NPWS for allowing access to Imbota Nature Reserve as well as the field staff at Newholme Field Laboratory.

Petaurus breviceps inhabit tropical to cool-temperate regions within Australia and New Guinea. Despite their small body size (115-160 g) populations persist even in areas, such as the New England region, where ambient temperature (Ta) frequently falls below 0°C over winter. Small mammals encounter a variety of energetic stresses at low Ta as a result of high thermal conductance requiring high metabolic rates (MR) for normothermic thermoregulation.

Additionally insectivorous and nectarivorous species, such as sugar gliders, are confronted with seasonal reductions to food resources over winter. In order to survive and reproduce under these conditions, sugar gliders must employ a variety of behavioural and physiological strategies that include huddling and daily torpor. Although these strategies appear pivotal to their survival, almost all available information on this species is derived from captive animals and little is known on the seasonal adjustments of wild sugar gliders in terms of their thermal biology and behaviour. Moreover, little is known about the extent to which these adjustments are governed by reduced food availability and/or detrimental environmental conditions.

I used temperature telemetry to measure the body temperature (T<sub>b</sub>) and activity of 33 sugar gliders at two study areas over 3.5 years (late-autumn to mid-summer; May 2002–September 2004). Field MRs (FMR) of six gliders were also measured using doubly labelled water techniques. I also performed a food-supplementation experiment in order to quantify for the first time the effect that food *ad libitum* (food-supplemented site) has on the nature of energy saving strategies and frequency of torpor use in free-ranging gliders when compared with gliders under natural seasonal food restrictions (non-supplemented site). In addition, I carried out laboratory experiments using open-flow respirometry to quantify energy expenditure and T<sub>b</sub> fluctuations of gliders under simulated environmental conditions. These included measurements of MR of single gliders, 2 and 6 huddling gliders, single gliders in a simulated nest and "wet gliders" at a variety of T<sub>a</sub>s (6–27°C) below the thermoneutral zone.

In the field, sugar gliders used a variety of ecological, behavioural and physiological strategies that included huddling with conspecifics in a well-insulated nest hollow and adopting a spherical shape such that thermal conductance was reduced. In the laboratory, gliders huddling with just one other individual were able to reduce their resting MR (RMR) by 24-31% and shift the lower critical limit ( $T_{LC}$ ) of their thermoneutral zone down 2.5°C relative to single gliders resting at  $T_a$ =10-20°C. Six gliders huddling together were able to reduce RMR by ~40% and shift  $T_{LC}$  down by ~15°C. Similarly, single gliders in a simulated nest were able to reduce MR by 10% relative to single gliders without a nest. Conversely, "wet gliders" had a RMR almost

double that of dry gliders at 16°C. FMRs of gliders were ~3.8 times that of basal MR (BMR), with FMR increasing in response to both increased duration of activity and reduced T<sub>a</sub>. Sugar gliders also reduced activity in response to adverse environmental conditions such as rainfall and low T<sub>a</sub> that make remaining active foraging too energetically expensive. Other energy-conservation strategies included reducing normothermic resting T<sub>b</sub> during the diurnal rest-phase, decreased activity and the use of daily torpor in order to make more substantial reductions in MR should adverse environmental conditions persistently restrict foraging.

The type of energy saving strategies employed by gliders differed among individuals and between the sexes, indicating that gliders are able to respond flexibly and immediately to both short-term and long-term environmental stresses. Female gliders typically reduced activity in winter, whereas male gliders increased activity. This was likely the result of differing energetic expenditure between the sexes as a consequence of reproductive expenses and body size. In general, sugar gliders used torpor relatively infrequently, with torpor used on 5.3% of animal nights (N=1846, n=16). Female gliders at both sites used torpor more frequently than male gliders. Torpor was apparently used in response to low resource availability and low  $T_a$  and/or rainfall at which times foraging was likely either restricted or too energetically expensive. In the laboratory, gliders entered torpor only three times. The lowest minimum torpor  $T_b$  was 13.0°C at which time a steady state  $\hat{V}O_2$  of 0.17 ml  $O_2$  g<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> was recorded at  $T_a$ =8.9°C. This represented a saving of approximately 75% on BMR.

Gliders apparently faced some degree of energetic shortfall as a result of food restrictions over winter. Food-supplementation affected the type of energy saving strategies employed by gliders, with food supplemented gliders using torpor less frequently (2.9% of 862 animal nights, n=16) than gliders at the non-supplemented site. However, torpor still occurred, and at both sites was predominantly used by female gliders. Food supplementation also did not abolish the effect of climatic conditions upon the activity patterns of sugar gliders, with both male and female gliders typically reducing the time spent active at low T<sub>a</sub> and when it was raining. Thus, energy saving strategies, including torpor, are a function of both food availability and adverse environmental conditions that make foraging too energetically expensive.

## **DECLARATION**

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.



Nereda Christian

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
DECLARATION	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	Vİ
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	X
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION AND AIMS	1
Environmental physiology: Animals in their Environment Basal metabolic rates Thermal interactions between an animal and its environment Doubly labelled water and daily energy expenditure	1 3 3 4
Strategies for production and conservation of heat under cold conditions Increases in heat production Strategies for reduction of heat loss Heterothermy	5 6 6
Disadvantages for small endotherms in the cold	7
Daily torpor and hibernation	7
Thermoregulation and use of torpor in Marsupials	()
Biology and Ecology of <i>Petaurus breviceps</i> Reproduction Population Ecology and social organisation Diet Predators Captive-field comparisons	10 11 12 13 14
Aims of the study	15
CHAPTER TWO: MATERIAL AND METHODS	17
Study site  Location and topography  Flora and fauna	17 17 17
Climate	21
Methods Period of study Trapping procedures Fitting of temperature sensitive radio transmitters Temperature telemetry Nest tree and night time observations Food supplementation Ambient temperature, nest hollow temperature and rainfall data Respirometry	21 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 26
Statistical analysis	27

CHAPTER THREE: THE POPULATION AND SPACIAL ECOLOGY OF SUGAR GLIDERS	29
Introduction	29
Materials and methods	30
Trapping and handling	30
Population size and density estimates	30
Marking and body measurements	31
Age classes	31
Social organisation	33
Survival	33
Results	33
Trapping success	33
Population size and density	35
Body mass	36
Social organisation  Age and population structure	40 43
Survivorship	45
Predation	45
Discussion	46
Glider densities	46
Food availability and capture rate	<b>4</b> 7
Body mass	48
Recruitment and survivorship	49
Population structure	49
Social organisation	50
Conclusion	52
CHAPTER FOUR: ACTIVITY PATTERNS	53
Introduction	53
Material and methods	54
Results	56
Nocturnal activity patterns	56
Bouts of activity	58
Duration of activity	62
Body mass and condition	62
Ambient temperature and rainfall	63
Discussion	67
Nocturnal activity	67
Activity patterns and food resources	67
Activity patterns and environmental conditions	68
Differences in activity patterns between the sexes	70
Conclusion	72
CHAPTER FIVE: ENERGETICS AND THERMAL BIOLOGY OF SUGAR GLIDERS	74
Introduction	74
Material and methods	76
$T_a$ and nest hollow $T_a$	76
Animal capture and maintenance	76
Doubly labelled water	78
Time-energy budget	81

Results	81
Changes in T <sub>a</sub> of occupied and unoccupied nest hollows	81
Resting metabolic rate	82
Active metabolism	83
Huddling Nest hollow insulation	83
Wet gliders	85 86
Thermal conductance and body mass	86
Daily energy expenditure field metabolic rates	88
Torpor	9()
Discussion	93
Metabolic rate	93
Thermal conductance	95
Huddling and microclimate	96
Activity costs	99
Field metabolic rates	99
Torpor	103
Conclusion	105
CHAPTER SIX: THERMAL BIOLOGY OF FREE-RANGING SUGAR GLIDERS	107
Introduction	107
Material and methods	108
Results	110
Normothermic thermoregulation	110
Winter and Summer T <sub>b</sub> cycles	110
Use of torpor	116
Frequency of torpor use Typical patterns of torpor	118 121
Synchronisation of torpor bouts and patterns of normothermic resting $T_b$	121
Environmental determinants of torpor	129
Discussion	131
Normothermic thermoregulation	131
Winter ambient temperatures and body temperatures	132
Spring/Summer ambient temperature and body temperature cycles	133
Daily torpor	136
Low $T_a$ and rainfall	137
Sex differences in torpor use	139
Huddling	14()
Why not use torpor?	142
Conclusion	146
CHAPTER SEVEN: REPRODUCTION	148
Introduction	148
Materials and methods	150
Results	151
Gestation	151
Pouch young and lactation	158
Torpor	160
Breeding groups and hierarchies	161

Discussion	162
Gestation	162
Pouch young	163
Torpor in reproductive sugar gliders	167
Conclusion	168
CHAPTER EIGHT: TO USE OR NOT TO USE TORPOR? ACTIVITY AND BODY TEMPERAT AS PREDICTORS	URE 170
Abstract	171
Introduction	171
Material and methods	173
Results	174
Discussion	177
CHAPTER NINE: THERMAL BIOLOGY AND FOOD SUPPLEMENTATION IN THE WILD	179
Introduction	179
Material and methods	181
Results	182
Food supplementation	182
Body mass	182
Activity patterns	183
Normothermic thermoregulation	187
Frequency of torpor	189
Minimum torpor T <sub>b</sub> and environmental determinants of torpor	192
Discussion	196
Body mass	196
Reductions in activity	197
Normothermic thermoregulation	198
Daily torpor	199
Sex differences in frequency of torpor	201
Conclusion	203
CHAPTER TEN: FINAL DISCUSSION	205
References	208
Appendix	228

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADMR Average daily metabolic rate

AMR Active metabolic rate

ANCOVA Analysis of covariance

ANOVA Analysis of variance

ATP Adenosine triphosphate

BAT Brown adipose tissue

BMR Basal metabolic rate

DEE Daily energy expenditure

FMR Field metabolic rate

Imbota NR Imbota Nature Reserve

L<sub>I T</sub> Lower lethal limit

LSR Long Swamp Road

MR Metabolic rate

REM Rapid eye-movement

RMR Resting metabolic rate

SDA Specific dynamic action

T<sub>a</sub> Ambient temperature

T<sub>b</sub> Body temperature

 $T_{holl}$  Nest hollow  $T_a$ 

T<sub>10</sub> Lower critical limit

TMR Torpor MR

TNZ Thermoneutral zone

T<sub>set</sub> Set point T<sub>b</sub>

Tuc Upper critical limit

U<sub>LT</sub> Upper lethal limit

VO<sub>2</sub> Rate of oxygen consumption