

**Developing a landscape risk assessment for the redheaded cockchafer
(*Adoryphorus couloni*) in dairy pastures using precision agriculture sensors**

Amy M. Cosby

Bachelor of Agriculture/Bachelor of Laws (Honours) University of New England

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of New England.

May 2014

Declaration

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.



Amy M. Cosby

Acknowledgments

To my many supervisors, Mark Trotter, Greg Falzon, John Stanley, Kevin Powell and David Lamb, thank you for your supervision which has ensured this PhD was completed. A special mention to Greg for the many hours he spent working with me on the trickier parts of this project.

The field work would not have been possible or nearly as much fun without Derek Schneider. Thank you for all your technical assistance both in and out of the field, your time and effort spent is greatly appreciated. Also thank you to Rebecca Bruce and Ian Faithfull from the Victorian Department of Primary Industries for their technical assistance.

Thank you to the funding sources that made this research possible and allowed me to attend and present at numerous conferences; Dairy Australia, GippsDairy, the School of Science and Technology (UNE), and the Keith and Dorothy McKay Scholarship fund.

Thanks to my family and friends for supporting me throughout the many years of study. To my best friend Liz for her constant encouragement and to Jess, the greatest PhD buddy a girl could have - all the coffee and skype dates have finally paid off! Also, to my colleagues in Agronomy and Soil Science, particularly Tractor and Rozzie, for always making me laugh. Lastly, a special thank-you must go to Rowdy for his unconditional support and patience.

Abstract

The redheaded cockchafer (*Adoryphorus couloni*) (Burmeister) (RHC) is an important pest of semi-improved and improved pastures of south-eastern Australia. The third instar larvae of the RHC feed on the organic and root matter found in the soil causing reduced pasture growth and in severe cases death of plants. The control of the RHC is complicated by its lifecycle which involves the insect spending the majority of its life underground with only a brief time as an adult beetle flying. The RHC is particularly hard to control as there are no insecticides registered for use against the pest or any effective cultural control methods. A pasture mix containing an endophyte believed to deter insect feeding will be commercially available in late 2014 and a biological control, an entomopathogenic nematode, are the only possible options to use against the RHC. However, the cost of the biological control is high at approximately \$6,500 ha⁻¹ and therefore use is not practical in grazing enterprises. The most common method currently used by farmers to control this pest is to re-sow pasture in affected paddocks. This thesis aims to identify possible relationships between third instar RHC larvae with environmental variables which can be measured using precision agriculture sensors. This may allow farmers to identify areas of the landscape which are particularly susceptible to infestation based on soil, plant and topographic attributes. If these areas can be easily identified farmers could target control before an infestation becomes severe. This thesis begins by identifying the potential relationships between the RHC and environmental variables measured by proximal sensors; the soil electrical conductivity (soil ECa), normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI) and elevation. Relationships between these variables and third instar RHC larvae were established however they were not consistent and more research was required to determine if they remained stable over time and place. Next the relationships between the individual measurements taken by the CropCircleTM and EM38 were explored. It was determined that the red wavelength reflected, as opposed to the near infrared wavelength, from the CropCircleTM and the EM38 operated in the vertical dipole mode, rather than the horizontal dipole mode, had the strongest relationships with third instar RHC larvae. Further examination of the relationships found between the proximally sensed measurements and third instar RHC larvae allowed the development of univariate, bivariate and multivariate models which predict RHC population densities within a paddock. The bivariate model which used elevation and soil ECa ratio was the most useful predictor of third instar RHC larvae population densities. The NDVI was found not to be a strong predictor of third instar RHC larvae densities when used on its own in a model or in conjunction with elevation and soil ECa ratio. The next step was to further refine these models and used them to develop risk maps which delineate regions which are under different levels of threat from third instar RHC larvae damage. Three models were explored with the best risk map produced using both third instar RHC count and sensor derived environmental variable data based on the cross validation accuracy estimate. The risk maps produced may be able to be used by farmers to target the application of control techniques in areas where it is needed most and the economics of this were explored. The blanket sowing of an endophyte containing pasture thought to deter RHC feeding and site specific application of the biological control to areas at 'extreme' risk of infestation was found to be the most cost effective strategy. To encourage the use of these risk maps, a sampling strategy which outlines the minimum

number of samples required to detect a certain category of RHC infestation and their location based on proximally sensed environmental data was designed. It was determined that sample sites were correlated to 71m and 34 holes were required to be dug to detect an 'extreme' infestation. The cost of implementing the sampling strategy and using the risk maps to control third instar RHC infestations was examined. It was determined that savings up to \$18,337.44 could be made by the farmer on the 9 ha⁻¹ study site if they were to sample for and control an 'extreme' RHC infestation through the blanket sowing of the endophyte containing pasture and site specific application of the biological control. Overall the research presented in this thesis contributes to the improved detection and management of the RHC in pastures using the relationships between third instar larvae infestations and environmental variables derived from precision agriculture sensors

Table of Contents

- Declaration i
- Acknowledgments..... ii
- Abstract iii
- 1 Chapter 1: General introduction and review of the literature 6**
 - 1.1 The redheaded cockchafer (*Adoryphorus couloni*)..... 6
 - 1.1.1 Pest status of the redheaded cockchafer..... 6
 - 1.1.2 Economic Importance 6
 - 1.1.3 Current and Projected Distribution in Australia 7
 - 1.1.4 Morphology and Lifecycle..... 8
 - 1.1.5 Damage to pasture 9
 - 1.1.6 Control options..... 10
 - 1.1.7 Insecticides..... 10
 - 1.1.8 Fungal pathogens 11
 - 1.1.9 Fungal Endophytes 11
 - 1.1.10 Nematodes..... 11
 - 1.2 Site specific pest management at the sub-paddock or sub-farm scale 12
 - 1.2.1 Insect sampling plans 13
 - 1.3 Soil and plant sensors used in precision agriculture and their potential for identifying areas of the landscape preferred by the redheaded cockchafer 14
 - 1.3.1 Soil characteristics which influence RHC presence and survivability 14
 - 1.3.2 The EM38 soil sensor..... 15
 - 1.3.3 Topographic attributes which influence RHC survivability 15
 - 1.3.4 Characteristics of the pasture which may influence RHC survivability 16
 - 1.3.5 Active optical sensing of PAB..... 16
 - 1.4 Objectives and outline of this thesis 17
- 2 Chapter 2 - Detection of pasture pests using proximal PA sensors: a preliminary study investigating the relationship between EM38, NDVI, elevation and redheaded cockchafer in the Gippsland region.....21**
 - 2.1 Abstract..... 21
 - 2.2 Keywords 21
 - 2.3 Introduction 21

2.4	Materials and Methods.....	22
2.4.1	Study site.....	22
2.4.2	EMI Measurements	22
2.4.3	NDVI Measurements	22
2.4.4	Elevation	23
2.4.5	Ground survey	23
2.4.6	Data analysis	23
2.5	Results and Discussion	23
2.6	Conclusion.....	25
2.7	Acknowledgments.....	25
3	Chapter 3: Mapping redheaded cockchafer infestations in pastures – are PA tools up to the job?	28
3.1	Abstract.....	28
3.2	Keywords	28
3.3	Introduction	28
3.4	Materials and Methods.....	29
3.4.1	Study site.....	29
3.4.2	Ground survey.....	29
3.4.3	Data analysis	30
3.5	Results and Discussion	30
3.5.1	Exploratory data analysis	31
3.5.2	Statistical modeling and inference.....	32
3.6	Conclusion.....	34
3.7	Acknowledgments.....	35
4	Chapter 4: Associating third instar redheaded cockchafer (<i>Adoryphorus couloni</i>) (Burmeister) larvae population densities with precision agriculture sensors.	38
4.1	Abstract.....	38
4.2	Keywords	38
4.3	Introduction	38
4.4	Materials and Methods.....	41
4.4.1	Study Site	41
4.4.2	Soil and Plant Sensors	43
4.4.3	Sensor survey, point sampling and larvae sampling techniques.....	43

4.4.4	Statistical Analysis	44
4.5	Results and Discussion	46
4.5.1	The use of soil ECa ratio	48
4.5.2	Univariate models - how do NDVI, EM38 and elevation perform as individual predictors of RHC?.....	48
4.5.3	Bivariate models- how does the combination of two sensors perform in terms of predicting RHC?.....	50
4.5.4	Multivariate model - how does the combination of three sensors perform in terms of predicting RHC?	53
4.6	Conclusion.....	55
4.7	Acknowledgements.....	55
5 Chapter 5: Risk mapping of redheaded cockchafer (<i>Adoryphorus couloni</i>) (Burmeister) infestations using a combination of novel K-means clustering and on-the-go plant and soil sensing technologies ...		58
5.1	Abstract.....	58
5.2	Keywords	58
5.3	Introduction	58
5.4	Materials and Methods.....	61
5.4.1	Study Site	61
5.4.2	Soil, plant and elevation survey techniques and larvae sampling	61
5.4.3	Statistical Analysis	63
5.5	Results and Discussion	65
5.5.1	Developing a Voronoi diagram.....	65
5.5.2	Developing a predictive model and risk map using RHC counts alone	66
5.5.3	Developing a predictive model and risk map using sensor data alone.....	66
5.5.4	Developing a predictive model and risk map using RHC counts and sensor data	71
5.5.5	Economic analysis	71
5.6	Conclusion.....	75
5.7	Acknowledgements.....	75
6 Chapter 6: Developing a spatial sampling algorithm to improve the detection and estimation of redheaded cockchafer (<i>Adoryphorus couloni</i>) (Burmeister) populations in dairy pastures.		78
6.1	Abstract.....	78
6.2	Keywords	78
6.3	Introduction	78
6.3.1	The pest significance of the redheaded cockchafer	78

6.3.2	Potential for site specific management (SSM) of the RHC.....	79
6.3.3	Insect sampling plans and where to start.....	80
6.4	Materials and Methods.....	82
6.4.1	Study site.....	82
6.4.2	Paddock measurements.....	82
6.4.3	Sampling Algorithm.....	84
6.5	Results and Discussion.....	87
6.5.1	Step 1: Number of samples that need to be taken from a paddock in order to detect a certain threshold density category.....	87
6.5.2	Step 2: Distance at which third instar RHC population counts are correlated.....	88
6.5.3	Step 3: The physical location of each of the sites in a given paddock.....	90
6.5.4	Economic analysis of the sampling model.....	91
6.6	Conclusion.....	94
6.7	Acknowledgments.....	95
7	Chapter 7 - Discussion and Conclusion.....	97
7.1	Future research.....	100
7.2	Conclusion.....	100
8	Reference list.....	101