# PATTERNS AND PROCESSES IN POPULATION DIVERGENCE OF *MICROLAENA STIPOIDES* (LABILL.) R. BR.

#### Damasa B. Magcale-Macandog

B.Sc. Agriculture, M.Sc. Soil Science (University of the Philippines at Los Baños)

October 1994

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of New England

#### **DECLARATION**

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

Damasa B. Magcale-Macandog

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to:

my supervisor, Associate Professor R.D.B. Whalley, Head, Department of Botany, University of New England, for his guidance, encouragement, enthusiastic support throughout the course of my study and helpful comments on drafts of my thesis;

Dr. Keith Gregg, Institute of Biotechnology, U.N.E., for providing me with materials, equipment, facilities and supervision during the conduct of the DNA fingerprinting analyses;

Professor John A. Milburn, my co-supervisor, for his ideas and help during the initial stages of my study;

Dr. Peter J. Clarke for the inspiring and stimulating discussions, and for his ideas and suggestions in organising my thesis;

the International Development Program of Australian Universities and Colleges, and the Australian International Development Assistance Bureau for the scholarship;

Ed and Frances Wyndham of 'Karuah' and Bruce and Sharon Blomfield of 'Powalgarh' for their friendship and permission to conduct experiments on their properties; and the owners of the 33 properties selected for the Northern Tablelands survey for their co-operation;

Dr. Cheryl Ware, Jill Allen and all the staff and postgraduate students of the Institute of Biotechnology for all their assistance and guidance during the course of my DNA fingerprinting analyses;

Associate Professor Gerry Woolsey, Department of Physics, for help with the calibration of the light integrators;

Doug Clark for his warm friendship and assistance in the conduct of all my experiments and surveys. Olive Bourke, Derek Johnson, Rey Macandog, Warren Sheather and Kevin Theodore, for their help during my surveys, and Rick Kenny for his technical assistance with the use of the light photometer;

the Department of Agronomy and Soil Science, University of New England for the use of their facilities in the Soil Physics Laboratory;

David Nehl for showing me how to use MacDraw, Mathew Campbell for showing me how to use SYSTAT, Virginia Williamson and Todd Andrews for showing me how to use Excel, Ernie Martin for showing me how to use Sigma Plot, Peter Clarke for showing me how to use Cricket Graph 3 and STATVIEW, Geoff Smith for analysing my 1993 survey data using SYSTAT, Doug Beckers for helping me sort out various computer problems; and Barb Blenman for showing me how to prepare tables and standardise the page layout of this thesis;

Dr. Michelle Casanova, Dr. Peter Clarke, Dr. Keith Gregg and Dr. Chris Jones for their helpful comments on drafts of parts of my thesis;

Dr. Peter Clarke, Dr. Ian Davies, and Dr. David Smith for advice on statistical analyses;

Chris Barnden and all the staff of the Media Resources Unit for the photographic services;

Wal Whalley and Chris Jones for supplying me with M. stipoides photographs; and Virginia and Peter for helping me choose the photographs to be included in the thesis;

all the staff and students of the Botany Department for making our stay here in Australia a truly rewarding one;

Rey Macandog for all the help in the conduct of my experiments and for preparing all my isonome maps;

Virginia Williamson, (TBE, The Bionic Eye) for excellent proof reading of the drafts and for keeping me company through all these late nights or early mornings;

Rene Villano my efficient 'assistant', for typing the appendix tables and for digging out lost references from the library. Muditha Ranasinghe for typing some of the ANOVA tables in the appendices;

the staff of Hobbit House for providing excellent child care for my two children, Paolo and Yula:

Virginia for providing me with a most valuable friendship, for her willingness to listen, for sharing moments of joy and tears, and for being a champion of my flagging spirit;

all my friends who were so willing to help me gather data and take turns looking after my two children while I was conducting experiments and field surveys. Carol Figueroa (Tita K), Judith Jomadiao (Tita Dudit) and Rene Villano (Tito Rini) for our extraordinary and inspiring friendship and for all the good times. Melissa Moon (Tita Mel) for being there all the time. Joy Octavo, Edwin Castro, and Nadine Belga for all their help and company. The del Socorro family (Tita Alice, Tito Wynch, Wilson and Alison) for the lasting friendship and for providing Paolo and Yula with playmates and company. Edith Cardenas, Jean Inoc, and Tina Tan-Cruz for looking after Paolo and Yula while I was doing my field experiments. Special friends Mahinda (Tito Mahinda) and Sally (Tita Sally) Dahanayake for all the help, for all our fun travelling together and for caring for Paolo after school hours. All other fellow Filipino students and Filipino residents and their families in Armidale for making our stay here memorable;

My parents, Celina and the late Paulino, my grandparents: my late Lola Beheng and my late Lola Ineng, and Lolo, my sister Judith and family, and brother Nonie and family, for the moral support, encouragement and prayers;

My husband Rey, for the encouragement, moral support, understanding, assistance, sacrifices, care and love always;

And finally, to my children, Paolo and Yula, my spring of joy, my source of inspiration and the wind beneath my wings.

#### **ABSTRACT**

The distribution of *Microlaena stipoides* (Labill.) R. Br. on the Northern Tablelands of New South Wales was examined by surveying 101 paddocks on 33 commercial properties. Greater abundance of *M. stipoides* was observed on the eastern side and the southern half of the Northern Tablelands where rainfall and altitude were higher. Pasture management correlates that were significantly associated with abundant *M. stipoides* were high tree density, minimum soil disturbance and long period since last cultivation. Greater abundance of *M. stipoides* was observed in paddocks containing other year-long green perennials, cool season annuals and exotic sown species in addition to warm season perennials and annuals. *Microlaena stipoides* grew well in association with other perennial grasses found in permanent pastures, such as *Lolium perenne*, *Dactylis glomerata*, *Poa pratensis* and *Phalaris aquatica*, which may indicate the permanency of these species associations.

Morphological, behavioural and genotypic patterns of variation among the four populations of *M. stipoides* found growing in association with *L. perenne* (M (Lpe)), *P. pratensis* (M (Ppr)), *D. glomerata* (M (Dgl)) and *P. aquatica* (M (Paq)) were examined. *Microlaena stipoides* (Ppr) had narrower leaves than the other populations. Seeds of M (Ppr) weighed significantly less and had a faster rate of germination than the other three populations. *Microlaena stipoides* (Ppr) exhibited greater shade tolerance, while M (Dgl) showed greater tolerance to full light. *Microlaena stipoides* (Dgl) and M (Paq) exhibited a greater tolerance to water stress than M (Ppr) and M (Lpe). Random amplified polymorphic DNA banding patterns of the four populations showed greater base sequence divergence in M (Ppr) compared with the other three populations. Conversely, thermal denaturation profiles of the four populations resulting from the DNA-DNA hybridisation experiment indicated that the geographically isolated M (Paq) was distantly related to the other three populations.

It is suggested that the greater divergence of M (Ppr) from the three other populations resulted from interspecific competition with the associated naturalised perennial species, *P. pratensis*. The local abiotic microenvironment was also probably important in the divergence of the *M. stipoides* populations. The three natural neighbouring pairs of *M. stipoides* and associated grass species at 'Karuah', one of the commercial properties, showed high competitive yield quotient (CYQ) values. Coexistence between *M. stipoides* populations and introduced and naturalised perennial grass species in permanent pastures could be due to the balancing of competitive abilities between natural neighbouring pairs.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title			:
Decla			ii iii
	owledgem	ents	iii
Abstra	act		V
	of Conten	ts	V
	f Tables		X
	f Figures		xiii
List o	f Appendie	ces	xviii
Chap	ter 1. I	ntroduction	1
Chap	ter 2. R	Review of Literature	3
2.1		aena stipoides	3 3 3 3 6
	2.1.1	Botanical nomenclature and description	3
	2.1.2	Floral biology of Microlaena stipoides	3
	2.1.3	Morphological variation in Microlaena stipoides	6
	2.1.4	Importance, general occurrence and habitat requirements	
	_	of Microlaena stipoides	6 7 8 9 10
2.2		s within plant communities	7
	2.2.1	Sociological pattern	8
	2.2.2		10
	2.2.3		10
	2.2.4	Methodologies for determining genotypic variation	10 11
		2.2.4.1 Random amplified polymorphic DNA 2.2.4.2 DNA-DNA hybridisation	12
2.3	Mechai	nisms supporting species diversity and coexistence	12
2.5	2.3.1	Wallacian forces	13
		Darwinian forces	14
2.4	Compe		14
	2.4.1	Theories on interspecific competition	15
		2.4.1.1 Gause's principle	16
		2.4.1.2 Character divergence	16
		2.4.1.3 Niche divergence	16
		2.4.1.4 Competitive ability	17
	2.4.2	Criticisms on competition as the sole organising force in	
		plant community structure	18
2.5	Conclu		20
2.6	Aims a	nd objectives	20
Chan	ter 3. E	Distribution and abundance of <i>Microlaena stipoides</i> on	
		Tablelands of New South Wales	21
3.1	Introdu	ction	21
3.2	Method	ds	22
	3.2.1	Selection of survey sites	22
	3.2.2	Survey methods	22
	3.2.3	Data analysis	25
3.3	Results		25
	3.3.1	The distribution of M. stipoides on the Northern Tablelands	. ت
	<b>.</b>	of NSW in relation to environmental correlates	25
	3.3.2	The distribution of <i>M. stipoides</i> on the Northern Tablelands	2.0
		of NSW in relation to pasture management correlates	30

3.4	3.3.3 Discussi		aster analysis	31 36
			of Microlaena stipoides and its association	
		lelands of	al grasses in a permanent pasture on the	39
4.1	Introduc		149 44	39
4.1		ls and metho	de	4(
4.2	4.2.1	Survey site		40
	4.2.1		survey methods	40
	4.2.3	Data analys		41
4.3	Results	Data analys	515	41
7.5	4.3.1	Species per	centage basal cover	41
	4.3.2		poral distribution of dominant species in the paddock	42
	4.3.3	1 .	al classification of the sampling sites	47
	4.3.4		nt function analysis	50
	4.3.5	Species ord		53
4.4	Discussi	ion		53
Chapte	r 5. L	ocal popul	ation differences in Microlaena stipoides	59
5.1	Genera	l introduc	tion	59
5.2			s and methods	60
	5.2.1		of sites where the four <i>M. stipoides</i> populations had	
		been collec		60
	5.2.2	Planting ma		60
		5.2.2.1	Propagation and multiplication of plants of four	
		5222	M. stipoides populations and associated grass species	60
		5.2.2.2	Seed weight and seed germination variation among four <i>M. stipoides</i> populations	61
			Tour 11. Suporace populations	0.2
5.3			ve Microlaena stipoides populations under	
		ght intensi		63
	5.3.1	Introductio		63
	5.3.2		nd methods	66
	5.3.3		Y to be to see that	68
		5.3.3.1	Light intensity	68
		5.3.3.2 5.3.3.3	Total dry mass Tiller production	68 69
		5.3.3.4	Leaf production	72
		5.3.3.5	Chlorophyll concentration	74
		5.3.3.6	Leaf length	74
		5.3.3.7	Leaf width	75
		5.3.3.8	Plant height	76
		5.3.3.9	Panicle production	77
	5.3.4	Discussion		77
- 4	<b>n</b>		Marine I and a discription of the second of	
5.4	<b>Kespon</b> stress	ises of five	e Microlaena stipoides populations to water	83
	5.4.1	Introductio	n	83
	5.4.2		nd methods	84
	5.4.3	Results	ing ingulous	86
	J.7.J		50% leaf mortality	86
		5.4.3.2	100% leaf mortality	87
	5.4.4	Discussion		87

5.5	I. DN	NA amplif	ation among <i>Microlaena stipoides</i> populations. Fication fingerprinting	90
	5.5.1			90
	5.5.2		and methods	92
		5.5.2.1		92
		5.5.2.2		93
	5 5 2	Dogulto	polymorphic DNA)	95 95
	5.5.3 5.5.4		nn	93 99
	3.3.4	Discussic	ш	77
5.6			ation among Microlaena stipoides populations.	
			hybridisation technique	103
	5.6.1			103
	5.6.2		and methods	105
		5.6.2.1	Preparation of nylon membrane filters	105
		5.6.2.2	Preparation of hybridisation probe	106
	562	5.6.2.3	Heteroduplex melting experiment	107
	5.6.3		Palativa paraantaga haund DNA	108 108
		5.6.3.1 5.6.3.2	Relative percentage bound DNA Thermal denaturation curves	108
		5.6.3.3	ATm values	1109
	5.6.4	Discussion		110
	3.0.4	Discussic	л	110
5.7	Discu	ssion		116
Chan	40m 6 N	Mi ama awalu	stion in Michaelagua atinoidas	121
Спар	ter o. N	viicroevoiu	ition in Microlaena stipoides	121
6.1	Gener	al introdu	ıction	121
6.2			d divergence of Microlaena stipoides with	
			nnial grass species	122
	6.2.1	Introduct		122
	6.2.2		and methods	124
		6.2.2.1	Study sites	124
		6.2.2.2	Experimental design	125
		6.2.2.3	Experimental methods	126
		6.2.2.4	Measurements	128
	6.2.3	6.2.2.5	Data analysis	128 129
	0.2.3	Results 6.2.3.1	Survival	129
		6.2.3.1	Total dry weight	129
		6.2.3.3	Total dry weight Total panicle number	130
		6.2.3.4	Divergence of <i>Microlaena stipoides</i> populations	131
		6.2.3.5	Divergence in response to competition with the	131
		0.2.3.3	associated grass species	132
		6.2.3.6	Genetic basis of population divergence	138
		6.2.3.7	Influence of local site conditions	138
	6.2.4	Discussion		142
6.3			ilities of four Microlaena stipoides	
			their natural neighbouring perennial	
			glasshouse	146
	6.3.1	Introducti		146
	6.3.2		and methods	149
		6.3.2.1	Plant propagation	149
		6322	Competition experiment	149

164

175

#### 6.4 Discussion

Harvest

Data analysis

6.3.2.3 6.3.2.4

Results

6.3.3.1 6.3.3.2

6.3.3.3

Discussion

6.3.3

6.3.4

References

Chapter 7. Integrating discussion and conclusion 168

General performance Component yield Component yield quotient

Appendices 193

### **List of Tables**

Table		Page
3.1	Pearson $\chi_2$ values for the test of independence between abundance of $M$ . stipoides and the different paddock characteristics.	27
3.2	Squared correlation and semipartial correlation values of all paddock characteristics on abundance of <i>M. stipoides</i> .	29
3.3	Native and naturalised (*) species composition of the five clusters of paddocks, species frequency, average <i>M. stipoides</i> abundance rating (1-5) and growth (wsp-warm season perennial; wsa-warm season annual; csp-cool season perennial; csa-cool season annual; ygp-year-long green perennial). Only species having a frequency of 0.20 and above were included in this table.	34
4.1	Average percentage composition by basal cover of different species in the 20 location groups and classification results using Fisher's linear discriminant function analysis for the 1990 and 1993 surveys.	48
4.2	Results of discriminant function analysis for the 1990 and 1993 surveys.	55
5.3.1	Mean leaf chlorophyll (mg/g fresh weight) contents of five $M$ . stipoides populations grown under varying light intensity levels. Within each group, means which share the same letter are not significantly different at $P > 0.05$ .	74
5.3.2	Effects of light intensity on average plant height (mm) of five $M$ . stipoides populations at four separate measurements. Values within rows which share the same letter(s) are not significantly different at $P > 0.05$ .	76
5.3.3	Mean total number of panicles/pot produced by five $M$ . stipoides populations grown under varying light intensity levels. Within each group, means which share the same letter(s) are not significantly different at $P > 0.05$ .	78
5.4.1	Cumulative number of drying days required to 50% leaf mortality of plants of five $M$ . stipoides populations and $L$ . perenne grown in three pot sizes. Within each group, means which share the same letter are not significantly different at $P > 0.05$ .	86
5.4.2	Cumulative number of drying days required to $100\%$ leaf mortality of plants of five $M$ . stipoides populations and $L$ . perenne grown in three pot sizes. Means which share the same letter(s) are not significantly different at $P > 0.05$ . Comparisons are made in both directions except for the means.	87
5.5.1	Combination of number, temperatures and lengths of time for denaturing, annealing and extension in each amplification cycle in the first three trials.	94

5.6.1	Relative percentage bound DNA during hybridisation.	108
5.6.2	Mean relative percentage bound DNA during hybridisation of the reciprocal DNA duplexes. Relative percentage bound values greater than 100% were eliminated in the calculation of the mean.	109
5.6.3	Changes in temperature (°C) at which 50% bound radioactive DNA was released ( $\Delta$ Tm) from homoduplex to heteroduplex hybrid DNA.	113
6.2.1	Percentage composition by basal cover of perennial species in the four experimental sites at 'Karuah' and 'Powalgarh' on the Northern Tablelands.	125
6.2.2	Experimental treatments used to test for the coevolution of $M$ . stipoides and competitor grass species, $L$ . perenne. In the sympatric site, $M$ . stipoides and $L$ . perenne occur together naturally, and in the allopatric site $M$ . stipodes is present but $L$ . perenne is naturally absent or at relatively low abundance. Treatments 1-6 are consistent with Connell (1980) and Turkington (1989a).	127
6.2.3	Log total dry weight (sum of four harvests) and log panicle number (in brackets) per plot (sum of two ramets) of four $M$ . stipoides populations collected from four sites dominated by different perennial grasses and transplanted into all four sites where the native $M$ . stipoides and other minor species were removed but not the associated grass species. Values are means of five replicates. Values which share the same letter(s) are not significantly different $(P > 0.05)$ .	130
6.2.4	Log total dry weight (sum of four harvests) and log panicle number (in brackets) per plot (sum of two ramets) of four $M$ . stipoides populations collected from four sites dominated by different perennial grasses and transplanted into all four sites where the native $M$ . stipoides, associated grass species and other minor species were removed. Values are means of five replicates. Values which share the same letter(s) are not significantly different $(P > 0.05)$ .	131
6.2.5	The effect of local soil conditions on the mean log total dry weights, log total panicle numbers and number of survivors of the four <i>M. stipoides</i> populations transplanted in sympatric and allopatric sites where both native <i>M. stipoides</i> and competitor species were removed. Significant results from pairwise t-tests comparing the performance of <i>M. stipoides</i> populations transplanted in sympatric and allopatric sites where both native <i>M. stipoides</i> and competitor species were removed to determine the effect of local soil conditions are denoted by asterisks.	141
6.3.1	Accumulated component yields (g/pot) of four $M$ . stipoides populations grown for 45 weeks in mixtures with four associated grass species. Means which share the same letter are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ).	151

6.3.2 Accumulated component yields (g/pot) of four associated grass species grown for 45 weeks in mixtures with four M. stipoides populations. Means which share the same letter are not significantly different (P > 0.05).

152

# **List of Figures**

rigure		Page
2.1	Microlaena stipoides, showing its characteristic linear-lanceolate and flat leaf blades.	4
2.2	The inflorescence of Microlaena stipoides.	4
2.3	Microlaena stipoides growing under shade trees.	8
3.1	Map showing the mean distribution and abundance of <i>Microlaena stipoides</i> in the paddocks surveyed in different parts of the Northern Tablelands.	26
3.2	Regression between altitude and abundance classes of $M$ . stipoides.	27
3.3	Dendrogram showing the groupings of all paddock characteristics.	28
3.4	Regression between soil pH level and the abundance classes of $M$ . $stipoides$ .	29
3.5	Relationship between tree density and abundance of M. stipoides.	31
3.6	Relationship between period since last cultivated and abundance of <i>M. stipoides</i> .	32
3.7	Map showing the five clusters of paddocks based on grass species composition.	33
4.1	Isonome maps showing a) the location of tree canopies (cross-hatched areas), sampling locations and contour lines in the field, and the distribution of b) Microlaena stipoides, c) Lolium perenne, d) Trifolium repens, e) Dactylis glomerata, f) Poa pratensis, g) Paspalum dilatatum for the 1990 and 1993 surveys and h) Cynodon dactylon and i) Eleusine tristachya for the 1993 survey.	43
4.2	Percentage composition by basal cover of the species studied in the area. Mst, Microlaena stipoides; Lpe, Lolium perenne; Tre, Trifolium repens; Dgl, Dactylis glomerata; Ppr, Poa pratensis; Bma, Bothriochloa macra; Cin, Carex inversa; Pdi, Paspalum dilatatum; Scr, Sporobolus creber; Psi, Poa sieberiana; Esc, Elymus scaber; Cda, Cynodon dactylon; Etr, Eleusine tristachya; Pal, Pennisetum alopecuroides; Fel, Festuca elatior; Hla, Holcus lanatus; Dan, Danthonia sp.; J, Juncus sp.; Lfi, Lomandra filiformis; Hun, Hemathria uncinata; Pes, Pteridium esculentum; Emo, Eragrostis molybdea.	46
4.3	a) Dendrogram showing the fusion of the 20 location groups into five groups; and b) location of the five groups in the paddock for the 1990 survey using Bray-Curtis hierarchical classification.	49
4.4	a) Dendrogram showing the fusion of the 20 location groups into seven groups; and b) location of the seven groups in the paddock for the 1993 survey using Bray-Curtis hierarchical classification.	51

4.5	Territorial maps of the main sampling location groups dominated by the different species or combinations of different species using discriminant function analysis for the 1990 and 1993 surveys.	52
4.6	Species ordination based on percentage composition by basal cover using the reciprocal averaging technique.	54
5.2.1	The four populations of <i>Microlaena stipoides</i> (from left: M (Lpe), M (Paq), M (Dgl) and M (Ppr)) collected in the field, propagated in the glasshouse and studied in the light intensity (Chp. 5.3), water stress (Chp. 5.4), RAPD (Chp. 5.5), DNA-DNA hybridisation (Chp. 5.6), field competition (Chp. 6.2) and glasshouse competition (Chp. 6.3) experiments.	62
5.2.2	Cumulative seed germination rate of four M. stipoides populations.	62
5.3.1	The amount of transmitted light under 1, 2 and 3 layers of Sarlon <sup>®</sup> shadecloth measured by light integrators and a photometer. Vertical bars indicate ± SE. Where no error bars appears, the SE was smaller than the size of the symbol.	68
5.3.2	Mean total harvested dry mass of five $M$ . stipoides populations grown under varying light intensities. Columns which share the same letter(s) are not significantly different at $P > 0.05$ . Vertical bars indicate $\pm$ SE.	70
5.3.3	Mean total number of tillers produced by five $M$ . stipoides populations grown under varying light intensities. Columns which share the same letter(s) are not significantly different at $P > 0.05$ . Vertical bars indicate $\pm$ SE.	71
5.3.4	Mean total number of leaves produced by five $M$ . stipoides populations grown under varying light intensities. Columns which share the same letter(s) are not significantly different at $P > 0.05$ . Vertical bars indicate $\pm$ SE.	73
5.3.5	Effects of light intensity treatments on mean leaf lengths of five $M$ . stipoides populations. Columns which share the same letter are not significantly different at $P > 0.05$ . Vertical bars indicate $\pm$ SE.	75
5.3.6	Mean leaf width of five $M$ . stipoides populations. Columns which share the same letter are not significantly different at $P > 0.05$ . Vertical bars indicate $\pm$ SE.	75
5.3.7	Mean plant heights of five $M$ . stipoides populations four weeks after transplanting. Columns which share the same letter(s) are not significantly different at $P > 0.05$ . Vertical bars indicate $\pm$ SE.	77
5.5.1	Polymorphic patterns of <i>M. stipoides</i> (Lpe) genomic DNA amplified with five different oligoprimers at three DNA concentrations: 0.02, 0.2, and 2 ng template DNA/µl. Lanes 2-4: 12MER1 (5'-TGATAGTGTG GG-3'), lanes 6-8: 12MER2 (5'-GGCCTCGCCT AC-3'), lanes 10-12: 12MER10 (5'-GTTGCCGCCG GT-3'), lanes 14-16: 12MER11 (5'-GTTGCCACCG GT-3'), lanes 18-20: Oligoprimer 1.	97

5.5.2	Effect of DNA template concentration on the polymorphic patterns detected in <i>M. stipoides</i> (Lpe) genome amplified with 2 arbitrary oligoprimers. Lanes 1-4: 12MER1 and lanes 4-8: 12MER10. Concentrations of DNA used were 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 ng/µl.	97
5.5.3	Effect of MgCl <sub>2</sub> concentration on the polymorphic patterns detected in <i>M. stipoides</i> (Lpe) genome amplified with primer 12MER10 using 3 levels of genomic DNA concentration: 1, 2 and 4 ng/μl. Lanes 3-5: 1.25 mol m <sup>-3</sup> MgCl <sub>2</sub> , lanes 6-8: 1.56 mol m <sup>-3</sup> MgCl <sub>2</sub> , lanes 9-11: 1.92 mol m <sup>-3</sup> MgCl <sub>2</sub> , lanes 12-14: 2.19 mol m <sup>-3</sup> MgCl <sub>2</sub> , lanes 14-16: 2.5 mol m <sup>-3</sup> MgCl <sub>2</sub> , lanes 18-20: 2.81 mol m <sup>-3</sup> MgCl <sub>2</sub> .	98
5.5.4	Effect of increasing the annealing temperature to 35° C on the polymorphisms detected in genomic DNA from <i>M. stipoides</i> (Lpe) amplified with 12MER10. Lanes 2-4, triplicate reaction: 1 ng DNA/reaction, lane 5-7, triplicate reaction: 2 ng DNA/reaction.	98
5.5.5	Effect of increasing the annealing temperature to 37° C on the reproducibility of polymorphic banding patterns of genomic DNA from <i>M. stipoides</i> (Lpe) amplified with 12MER10. Lanes 2-4, triplicate reactions: 1 ng DNA/reaction, lanes 5-7, triplicate reactions: 2 ng DNA/reaction.	100
5.5.6	Intraspecific polymorphisms among four <i>M. stipoides</i> populations and interspecific polymorphism between <i>M. stipoides</i> and <i>L. perenne</i> revealed by amplification with primer 12MER10. Each population is represented with four lanes: two replicates at each concentration: 1 and 2 ng/µl. Lanes 2-5: M (Lpe), lanes 6-9: M (Ppr), lanes 10-13: M (Dgl), lanes 14-17: M (Paq) and lanes 18-21: <i>L. perenne</i> .	100
5.6.3.1	Thermal denaturation curves of homologous and heterologous duplexes of DNA using a) <i>Microlaena</i> (Lpe), b) <i>Microlaena</i> (Ppr), c) <i>Microlaena</i> (Dgl), d) <i>Microlaena</i> (Paq) and e) <i>Lolium perenne</i> as the hybridisation probe.	111
6.2.1	Total plot dry weight (TDW) of <i>M. stipoides</i> populations collected from and transplanted into four different sites where only the native <i>M. stipoides</i> population was removed. (Lpe, <i>Lolium perenne</i> ; Ppr, <i>Poa pratensis</i> ; Dgl, <i>Dactylis glomerata</i> ; and Paq, <i>Phalaris aquatica</i> ). Pairwise comparison of treatments (T4 v T1 and T4 v T6) are necessary to demonstrate divergence of <i>M. stipoides</i> populations. Asterisks refer to the results of Bonferroni pairwise comparisons (***, 0.1%; **, 1%; *, 5% level of significance).	133
6.2.2	Total panicle number (TPN) per ramet of $M$ . stipoides populations collected from and transplanted into four different sites where only the native $M$ . stipoides population was removed. (Lpe, Lolium perenne; Ppr, Poa pratensis; Dgl, Dactylis glomerata; and Paq, Phalaris aquatica). Pairwise comparison of treatments (T4 $\nu$ T1 and T4 $\nu$ T6) are necessary to demonstrate divergence of $M$ . stipoides populations. Asterisks refer to the results of Bonferroni pairwise comparisons (***, 0.1%; **, 1%; *, 5% level of significance).	134

from and transplanted into four different sites where the native M. stipoides population and associated grass species were removed. (Lpe, Lolium perenne; Ppr, Poa pratensis; Dgl, Dactylis glomerata; and Pag, *Phalaris aquatica*). Pairwise comparison of treatments (T2 v T1 and T5 v T2) are necessary to demonstrate competition with the associated grass species as the driving force in the divergence of M. stipoides populations. Results of all pairwise T2 v T1 comparisons were non-significant. Asterisks refer to the results of T5 v T2 pairwise comparisons (\*\*\*, 0.1%; \*\*, 1%; \*, 136 5% level of significance). 6.2.4 Total panicle number (TPN) per ramet of M. stipoides populations collected from and transplanted into four different sites where the native M. stipoides population and associated grass species were removed. (Lpe, Lolium perenne; Ppr, Poa pratensis; Dgl, Dactylis glomerata; and Paq, Phalaris aquatica). Pairwise comparison of treatments (T2 v T1 and T5 v T2) are necessary to demonstrate competition with the associated grass species as the driving force in the divergence of M. stipoides populations. Results of all pairwise T2 v T1 comparisons were non-significant. Asterisks refer to the results of T5 v T2 pairwise comparisons (\*\*\*, 0.1%; \*\*, 1%; \*, 137 5% level of significance). 6.2.5 Total plot dry weight (TDW) of M. stipoides populations collected from and transplanted into four different sites. (Lpe, Lolium perenne; Ppr, Poa pratensis; Dgl, Dactylis glomerata; and Pag, Phalaris aquatica). Pairwise comparison of treatments (T4 v T5 and T6  $\nu$  T3) are necessary to demonstrate a genetic basis of M. stipoides population divergence. Results of all pairwise T2 v T1 comparisons were non-significant. Asterisks refer to the results of Bonferroni pairwise comparisons (\*\*\*, 0.1%; \*\*, 1%; \*, 5% level of significance). 139 6.2.6 Total panicle number (TPN) per ramet of M. stipoides populations collected from and transplanted into four different sites. (Lpe, Lolium perenne; Ppr, Poa pratensis; Dgl, Dactylis glomerata; and Pag, *Phalaris aquatica*). Pairwise comparison of treatments (T4 v T5 and T6  $\nu$  T3) are necessary to demonstrate a genetic basis of M. stipoides populations divergence. Results of all pairwise T2 v T1 comparisons were non-significant. Asterisks refer to the results of Bonferroni pairwise comparisons (\*\*\*, 0.1%; \*\*, 1%; \*, 5% level 140 of significance). 6.3.1 Total dry weight (TDW) over 45 weeks of growth of four M. stipoides populations grown with four grass species. Filled and open bars indicate mean dry weights of M. stipoides populations and associate grass species, respectively. Line graph indicate total yield of mixtures. Points in the line graph and bars in the bar graph which share the same letter(s) are not significantly different 153 (P < 0.05).

Total plot dry weight (TDW) of M. stipoides populations collected

6.2.3

6.3.2	Total dry weight (TDW) over 45 weeks of growth of the associated grass species grown with four $M$ . stipoides populations. Filled and open bars indicate mean dry weights of $M$ . stipoides populations and associate grass species, respectively. Line graph indicate total yield of mixtures. Points in the line graph and bars in the bar graph which share the same letter(s) are not significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).	155
6.3.3	Component yield quotient (CYQ) (bar graph) and combined total dry weight (TDW) of each pair (line graph) of <i>M. stipoides</i> population and associated grass species at each harvest.	157

# **List of Appendices**

Appendix		Page
3.1	Spatial, climatic, edaphic and pasture management attributes of the 101 paddocks surveyed on the Northern Tablelands of NSW.	193
3.2	Species identified in the 101 paddocks surveyed on the Northern Tablelands of NSW.	196
3.3	Pearson $\chi^2$ values, DF and probability values for the test of independence between abundance of $M$ . stipoides and the different paddock characteristics.	216
3.4	Observed frequency tables for different paddock attributes of the five <i>M. stipoides</i> abundance classes.	217
4.1	Percentage composition by basal cover of the species identified in the 1990 survey.	222
4.2	Percentage composition by basal cover of the species identified in the 1993 survey.	224
5.3	ANOVA results for the effects of light intensity on the growth performance of four <i>M. stipoides</i> populations.	227
5.4	ANOVA results for the response of the five M. stipoides populations to water stress when grown in different pot sizes.	230
6.2.1	ANOVA results for the total dry weights produced and total panicle numbers exserted by four <i>M. stipoides</i> populations at four transplanting sites, in the presence (Treatment 1) or absence (Treatment 2) of associated grass species.	231
6.2.2	Probability values resulting from pairwise comparison of treatments using Bonferroni t-tests of log total plot dry weights to demonstrate population divergence, divergence as a response to competition by the associated grass species and 'genetic species' of population divergence.	233
6.2.3	Probability values resulting from pairwise t-tests comparing the performance of <i>M. stipoides</i> populations transplanted in sympatric and allopatric sites where both native <i>M. stipoides</i> and competitor species were removed to determine the effect of local soil conditions.	234
6.2.4	Summary of results of pairwise t-tests and graphs of total plot dry weight to determine coevolution of <i>M. stipoides</i> and associated grass species in the field. A plus sign means affirmative, a minus sign means negative and an equals sign means no change for each pairwise comparison following Connell's conditions.	235

6.2.5	Summary of results of pairwise t-tests and graphs of total panicle number per panel ramet to determine coevolution of <i>M. stipoides</i> and associated grass species in the field. A plus sign means affirmative, a minus sign means negative and an equals sign means no change for each pairwise comparison following Connell's conditions.	236
6.3	ANOVA tables for the accumulated biomass yields of <i>M. stipoides</i> and associated grass species in the glasshouse experiment on competitive abilities.	237
7	Publications arising from the studies reported in this thesis.	238