

**Floral Variation and Breeding System in  
Distylous and Homostylous Species of Clonal  
Aquatic *Nymphoides* (Menyanthaceae)**

**Azadeh Haddadchi**

B.Sc. (GU)

B.Sc. (Hons.) (UNE)

A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy  
Faculty of Arts and Sciences

School of Environmental and Rural Science  
University of New England  
Armidale, New South Wales  
Australia

February 2008

## Acknowledgement

I am very grateful for the financial assistance of IPRS (International Postgraduate Research Scholarship) and UNERA (UNE Research Assistantship) scholarships and School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, which made this research possible.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr Glenda Vaughton, for her time and advice during my thesis research, for her insights in the field, and for expanding my scientific knowledge and understanding. I would like to especially thank Assoc. Prof. Caroline Gross for her friendly, enthusiastic and inspiring supervision and her helpful scientific comments. Thanks for being such a good supervisor! I have learned a great deal from Caroline and for that I am forever grateful. My thanks also go to Dr Mike Ramsey who has developed significantly my knowledge in designing the experiments and analysing the results, and for his generosity in sharing his broad scientific knowledge. Thank you also Mike for assisting with the field work.

I would like to sincerely thank my mentors and role models, my husband Dr Mohammad Fatemi and my father Prof. Gholam-Reza Haddadchi, for their support and encouragement throughout the PhD and actual life! This project benefited greatly from Mohammad's valuable intellectual feedback, his assistance with fieldwork, and his computer and photographic competence; thanks for all your love and encouragement. Also, without the love and the remote support (15 000 km away!) of my mother, Hormat, my brothers, Meisam and Arman, and my sister Arameh, pursuing a PhD could not have been possible.

I am extremely fortunate to have, in Botany, the companionship of my friends Dr Kerri Clarke and Dr Kathy Own. I really enjoyed your joyful and supportive conversations during the lunch breaks and tea times!

I am also grateful to all the staff in Botany and the Faculty of Arts and Sciences. I would like to thank Ms Liisa Atherton for her careful proofreading and valuable comments, Mr Ian Simpson and Dr. Dorothy Bell, for introducing the field sites and field assistance. I am also grateful to Mr Richard Willis for his glasshouse assistance. I would like to thank Mr Ian Telford in the N.C.W Beadle Herbarium (NE), Mr Patrick Littlefield in the

Scanning electron microscopy unit (UNE), and Mr Chris Cooper and Ms Shirley Fraser in the Faculty Resource office.

The genetic work of this project has been conducted in the Gross ecology lab (UNE) and has benefited from the discussions held with its members, Assoc. Prof. Caroline Gross, Dr Mohammad Fatemi, Dr Simone Simpson, and Ms. Penny Nelson and other postgraduate students.

Surely, completing a PhD takes a lot of effort and energy, and without the help of these people and the financial support this thesis would have not been the same.

## Abstract

This study focuses on floral variation and the breeding system of two species of the clonal aquatic *Nymphoides* (Menyanthaceae), in particular, the phenomena of distyly, loss of sex and homostyly. Distyly is a floral design that promotes efficient pollen transfer while reducing self-interference and self-pollination. Populations of distylous species contain two floral morphs that differ in the reciprocal positioning of the sex organs; i.e. reciprocal herkogamy. Distylous species usually possess a dimorphic incompatibility system in which only pollination between flowers of different morphs produce seed. There are, however, atypical features of distyly, which provide excellent examples to study the maintenance or evolutionary transition of this breeding system. *Nymphoides montana* is a perennial clonal aquatic species, native to Australia, found in shallow water on the edges of wetlands. Selective factors that maintain distyly were sought by examining floral morphologies, compatibility relationships, morph frequencies and reproductive success in three *N. montana* populations. In two of these populations the two floral morphs are present in equal ratios (1:1), and natural pollination resulted in a high level of fruit and seed set (> 70%). The major morphological and mating features of the distyly in these populations were the reciprocal positioning of stigmas and anthers in the morphs and the presence of self- and intramorph incompatibility (0–26% seed set). Crosses between plants of the opposite morphs produced more than 95% seed set. A wide range of ancillary dimorphism in pollen, stigma and flower size accompanied the distyly. However, the reciprocity of anther position and the strength of the incompatibility systems varied between the floral morphs. Although these atypical features of distyly are usually implicated in evolutionary transitions to, or from, this breeding system, other lines of evidence appear to reflect the maintenance of distyly in *N. montana* populations.

During population surveys of distylous *Nymphoides montana*, one population appeared to be monomorphic for style length. A severely reduced, or an absence of, fertility is reported to be a common feature of monomorphic populations, so the consequences of an absence of a mating partner on sexual and clonal reproduction were studied for this monomorphic *N. montana* population. The monomorphic plants failed to produce any fruits and seeds following open-pollinations and controlled crosses in a glasshouse environment, indicating a genetic basis to the sterility of this population. The result of cross-pollinations between the sterile and conspecific fertile populations showed

an extreme limitation in the number of compatible mating partners could be responsible for the sexual sterility. Sterile polyploidy was ruled out as the possible cause of sexual infertility when both sterile and fertile populations were found to be at the same ploidy level ( $6x = 54$ ). Observations of male sterility detected loss of male function following a failure in the mechanical release of pollen from anthers, together with a low proportion of pollen viability (0.05%) and a high proportion of malformed pollen (85%). Infertility in this population was also caused by the loss of female function; there was a very low level of seed set ( $< 4\%$ ) in the cross-pollination treatments using fertile pollen. A clonal growth experiment comparing vegetative growth and total plant dry mass between sterile and fertile plants revealed an association between sexual infertility and enhanced clonal propagation, indicating once genetic sterility has originated, it could spread into the population via resource reallocation or antagonistic pleiotropy. Comparisons of genetic and clonal diversity using ISSR markers between the sterile and fertile populations showed a strong link between the severely reduced seed production and the failure of sexual recruitment. Overall, the results suggest that following a severe bottleneck or founder event, the self-incompatible plants lost their ability to reproduce sexually in the absence of compatible mates and rely solely on extensive clonal propagation.

In the ecological or geographical margins of the range of distylous taxa, homostyly may evolve in response to a lack of mates and/or poor pollinator visitation. Evolution of homostyly is associated with the evolution of self-compatibility and floral adaptation to autonomous self-pollen deposition (or self-pollination without pollinators). This prediction was tested by comparing floral traits, seed production without pollinators, pollinator activity and ploidy level between the homostylous *Nymphoides geminata* and the distylous *N. montana*. The homostylous species has smaller flowers with little or no stigma-anther separation (0.01–0.60 mm) and lower pollen:ovule ratio than the distylous species, and produces many fruits and seeds autonomously ( $>70\%$ ). The result of floral visitor observations and open pollinations indicated the ability of homostylous plants to reproduce successfully despite pollinator scarcity. A study of chromosome number revealed that the origin of homostyly was not associated with a change in ploidy level. The ability of homostylous *N. geminata* to persist in temporary habitats with unreliable pollinator service is associated with the ability of plants to self-pollinate and self-fertilise autonomously.

Studies of distyly, loss of sex and homostyly in two species of the clonal aquatic *Nymphoides* provided an opportunity to answer some questions regarding the observed variation in floral morphology and reproductive systems. The self-incompatibility and flowers adapted for cross-pollination should enhance mating between plants of different genotypes and ensure a high level of genetic variability within populations. If the distylous plants do not receive enough compatible pollen, the plants can become sterile. In the absence of sexual reproduction and recruitment, however, clonality allows the sterile population to persist. Alternatively, in response to the lack of mates or poor pollinator visitation, seed production can be assured through the development of homostyly and the ability to self-pollinate and fertilise autonomously. Although, clonality and self-fertility are believed to decrease genetic variation within populations, these modes of reproduction could be beneficial to the survival of populations under unfavourable pollination conditions.

## Table of Contents

<b>Declaration</b>		<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgement</b>		<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>		<b>v</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>		<b>viii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>		<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>		<b>xvi</b>
<b>Glossary</b>		<b>xviii</b>
<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	The evolution and maintenance of heterostyly	2
1.2	What factors disturb heterostyly and sexual reproduction in clonal species?	4
1.3	Does heterostyly break down to promote self-fertilisation?	6
1.4	Menyanthaceae	7
1.5	Aims of the project	8
1.6	Outline of the thesis	8
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>Distyly in <i>Nymphoides</i></b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Aims	13
2.3	Material and Methods	14
2.3.1	Study species	14
2.3.2	Study site	16
2.3.3	Population size	19
2.3.4	Morph ratio	21
2.3.5	Floral measurements	21

2.3.5.1	Phenotypic correlations	24
2.3.5.2	Sex-organ reciprocity	25
2.3.6	Pollen grain size	25
2.3.7	Pollen grain number	26
2.3.8	Ovule number	27
2.3.9	Pollen:ovule ratio	27
2.3.10	Pollen and stigma morphology	27
2.3.11	Incompatibility systems	27
2.3.12	Autonomous self-fertilisation	31
2.3.13	Open pollinations	31
<b>2.4</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>32</b>
2.4.1	Population size	32
2.4.2	Morph ratio	32
2.4.3	Between-morph and population variations in floral traits	34
2.4.3.1	Phenotypic correlations	39
2.4.3.2	Sex-organ reciprocity	41
2.4.4	Pollen grain size and number, and ovule number	43
2.4.5	Pollen and stigma morphology	43
2.4.6	Incompatibility systems	47
2.4.7	Autonomous self-fertilisation	51
2.4.8	Open pollinations	51
<b>2.5</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>53</b>
2.5.1	Morph ratio and reproductive success	53
2.5.2	Between-morph variations in ancillary traits	54
2.5.3	Reduced herkogamy and weak reciprocity	55
2.5.4	Phenotypic correlations and traits contributing in reciprocity	56
2.5.5	Consequences of weak reciprocity: increased selfing and imprecise pollen transfer	56
2.5.6	Partial incompatibility and reduced herkogamy	58
2.5.7	Capacity for autonomous self-fertilisation	60
<b>2.6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>60</b>



<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Loss of sex in a clonal distylous species</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Aims</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Material and Methods</b>	<b>66</b>
3.3.1	Study species and site	66
3.3.2	Floral measurements	69
3.3.3	Open pollination and pollinations within and between populations	69
3.3.4	Chromosome count	70
3.3.5	Male sterility	71
3.3.5.1	Pollen morphology	71
3.3.5.2	Size and proportion of aborted pollen	71
3.3.5.3	Pollen stainability and germinability	71
3.3.6	Clonal growth experiment	72
3.3.7	Sampling, DNA isolation and ISSR analysis	73
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>77</b>
3.4.1	Floral measurements	77
3.4.2	Sexual infertility	82
3.4.3	Chromosome count	84
3.4.4	Male sterility	86
3.4.5	Clonal growth experiment	89
3.4.6	Genetic diversity and differentiation	92
3.4.7	Genotypic (or clonal) diversity	92
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>98</b>
3.5.1	Basis of sterility (environment vs. genetic)	98
3.5.1.1	What is the genetic basis of sexual sterility?	99
3.5.2	Degeneration of sexual floral traits	100
3.5.3	Establishment of sterility (clonal vs. sexual reproduction)	101
3.5.4	Lack of genetic and genotypic diversity within the sterile monomorphic population	102
3.5.4.1	Population structure	103
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>103</b>

<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Breakdown of Distyly</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Aims 110</b>	
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Material and Methods</b>	<b>110</b>
4.3.1	Study species	110
4.3.2	Study site	114
4.3.3	Floral measurements	116
4.3.4	Pollen and stigma morphology	119
4.3.5	Chromosome count	119
4.3.6	Breeding systems	119
4.3.7	Open pollinations	120
4.3.8	Floral visitor observations	120
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>121</b>
4.4.1	Floral measurements	121
4.4.1.1	Comparisons of floral traits (homostyly vs. distyly)	123
4.4.2	Pollen and stigma morphology (homostyly vs. distyly)	128
4.4.3	Chromosome count	131
4.4.4	Breeding systems	131
4.4.5	Open pollinations	131
4.4.6	Floral visitor observations	134
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>134</b>
4.5.1	Floral adaptation to auto-fertility and breakdown of self-incompatibility	134
4.5.2	Other floral traits adapted to auto-fertility	136
4.5.3	Pollinator scarcity	136
4.5.4	Polyploidy and no changes in the breeding system	137
4.5.5	Wider distribution and higher colonisation potential	138
<b>4.6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>General Discussion and Conclusions</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>What factors maintain distyly?</b>	<b>142</b>

<b>5.3</b>	<b>What are the consequences of a loss of mating partners in a clonal distylous species?</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>5.4</b>	<b>What features of homostyly allow persistence in temporary environments?</b>	<b>146</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>151</b>

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 2.1.</b> Photographs of the distylous <i>Nymphoides montana</i> showing the number of flower parts and the disposition of hairs surrounding the petals	15
<b>Figure 2.2.</b> Location of study sites of the distylous <i>Nymphoides montana</i> in the Northern Tablelands, NSW, Australia.	17
<b>Figure 2.3.</b> Floral visitors of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	18
<b>Figure 2.4.</b> Aerial photograph of the Glencoe (GC) population	20
<b>Figure 2.5.</b> Cross-sections of the S-morph and the L-morph flowers of distylous <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	23
<b>Figure 2.6.</b> Diagram showing how the height and base length of each pollen grain was measured.	26
<b>Figure 2.7.</b> Photographs of the distylous <i>Nymphoides montana</i> showing glasshouse plants and fruits	30
<b>Figure 2.8.</b> Plot of the first (PC1) and second (PC2) principal component scores for the S-morphs and the L-morphs of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	35
<b>Figure 2.9.</b> Reciprocity indices between long-level sex organs and short-level sex organs of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	41
<b>Figure 2.10.</b> Sex-organ heights of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	42
<b>Figure 2.11.</b> SEM micrographs of air-dried pollen grains of the S-morph and the L-morph of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	45
<b>Figure 2.12.</b> SEM micrographs of the S-morph stigma and the L-morph stigma of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	46
<b>Figure 2.13.</b> Results of the hand-pollinated fruit set for the S-morphs and the L-morphs of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	48
<b>Figure 2.14.</b> Results of the hand-pollinated seed set for the S-morphs and the L-morphs of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	50
<b>Figure 2.15.</b> Reduced stigma–anther separation of the S-morphs and weak reciprocity between the long-level sex organs in <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	57
<b>Figure 3.1.</b> Location of the monomorphic population of <i>Nymphoides montana</i> in the Northern Tablelands, NSW, Australia	68

- Figure 3.2.** Plot of the first (PC1) and second (PC2) principal component scores for the distylous S-morphs, the monomorphs and the distylous L-morphs of *Nymphoides montana* 79
- Figure 3.3.** Mean ( $\pm$  SE) stigma height, anther height and stigma–anther separation of the monomorphs, the distylous S-morphs and L-morphs of *Nymphoides montana* 81
- Figure 3.4.** Comparison of percentage seed set after within-population cross-pollination, and between-population cross-pollination with the distylous S-morphs and with the distylous L-morphs, involving *Nymphoides montana* from the monomorphic population and the two distylous populations 83
- Figure 3.5.** Microphotographs of somatic chromosomes of the distylous Thomas Lagoon and the monomorphic Cooney Creek populations of *Nymphoides montana*. 85
- Figure 3.6.** SEM micrographs of air-dried anthers and pollen of *Nymphoides montana*, comparing an indehisced anther from the monomorphic population and a dehisced anther from a distylous population at the time of anthesis 87
- Figure 3.7.** Comparison of proportion of pollen exhibiting collapsed exine and pollen size in the monomorphic population of *Nymphoides montana* 88
- Figure 3.8.** Variation in pollen viability between plants from the monomorphic and the two distylous populations of *Nymphoides montana* 88
- Figure 3.9.** Variation in the capacity for the clonal propagation between plants from the distylous populations and the monomorphic population of *Nymphoides montana* grown in a common glasshouse environment 91
- Figure 3.10.** Electrophoresis profile of PCR products amplified with primer UBC880 for the distylous populations of *Nymphoides montana* 94
- Figure 3.11.** Electrophoresis profile of PCR products amplified with primers UBC841, UBC855 and UBC826 for the monomorphic population of *Nymphoides montana* 94
- Figure 3.12.** UPGMA dendrogram of Nei's genetic distances ( $D$ ) between the monomorphic population and the two distylous populations of *Nymphoides montana*. 96
- Figure 3.13.** Neighbour-joining dendrogram based on ISSR data among 83 individuals of the monomorphic and the two distylous populations of *Nymphoides montana* 97

<b>Figure 4.1.</b> Distribution map of the distylous <i>Nymphoides montana</i> and the homostylous <i>N. geminata</i> in Australia	109
<b>Figure 4.2.</b> Photographs of <i>Nymphoides geminata</i> showing habitat at a permanent man-made lagoon located in a travelling stock route	111
<b>Figure 4.3.</b> Photographs of <i>Nymphoides geminata</i> showing habitat at a disturbed area of dry sclerophyll forest	112
<b>Figure 4.4.</b> SEM micrographs of seeds of homostylous <i>Nymphoides geminata</i> and distylous <i>N. montana</i>	113
<b>Figure 4.5.</b> Location of the study sites of <i>Nymphoides geminata</i> in the Northern Tablelands, NSW, Australia	115
<b>Figure 4.6.</b> A cross-section of a homostylous flower of <i>Nymphoides geminata</i>	117
<b>Figure 4.7.</b> Plot of the first (PC1) and second (PC2) principal component scores for the homostylous <i>Nymphoides geminata</i> and the S-morphs and L-morphs of distylous <i>N. montana</i>	124
<b>Figure 4.8.</b> Comparisons of means of floral traits ( $\pm$ SE) among the three floral morphs: the homostylous <i>Nymphoides geminata</i> and the S-morphs and L-morphs of distylous <i>N. montana</i>	126
<b>Figure 4.9.</b> SEM micrographs of air-dried pollen grains of the homostylous <i>Nymphoides geminata</i> and the S-morphs and L-morphs of distylous <i>N. montana</i>	129
<b>Figure 4.10.</b> SEM micrographs of stigmas of the homostylous <i>Nymphoides geminata</i> and the L-morphs and S-morphs of distylous <i>N. montana</i>	130
<b>Figure 4.11.</b> Results of the glasshouse-pollinated seed set of the homostylous <i>Nymphoides geminata</i>	133
<b>Figure 4.12.</b> Results of the open-pollinated seed set of the homostylous <i>Nymphoides geminata</i>	133
<b>Figure 5.1.</b> Hypothetical pathways for the maintenance distyly, origin of homostyly and asexuality in the two species of <i>Nymphoides</i>	149

## List of Tables

<b>Table 2.1.</b> Population densities and sizes of distylous <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	32
<b>Table 2.2.</b> Morph frequencies of the S-morphs and the L-morphs of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	33
<b>Table 2.3.</b> Loading of traits on the first three scores in the Principal Component Analysis	35
<b>Table 2.4.</b> Floral traits (means in mm $\pm$ S.E.) of the S-morphs and the L-morphs of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	37
<b>Table 2.5.</b> Results of two-way ANOVAs examining 13 floral traits.	38
<b>Table 2.6.</b> Pearson correlation coefficients and associated probabilities for floral traits measured on 45 S-morphs and 45 L-morphs	40
<b>Table 2.7.</b> Mean ( $\pm$ SE) pollen grain size and number, and ovule number in the S-morphs and the L-morphs of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	43
<b>Table 2.8.</b> Results of the split-plot ANOVA to test the effects of population, plant, morph and pollination treatment on hand-pollinated seed set in <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	49
<b>Table 2.9.</b> Results of the two-year open-pollinated experiment showing mean fruit set and seed set ( $\pm$ SE) of the S-morphs and the L-morphs of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	52
<b>Table 3.1.</b> Components required for lysis buffer for 30 samples	75
<b>Table 3.2.</b> Sequences of nine ISSR primers and optimum annealing temperature for each ISSR primer used in this study	76
<b>Table 3.3.</b> Loading of traits on the first three scores in the Principal Component Analysis	79
<b>Table 3.4.</b> Results of one-way ANOVAs for stigma height, anther height and stigma–anther separation of the monomorphs, distylous S-morphs and distylous L-morphs of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	80
<b>Table 3.5.</b> Analyses of covariance (ANCOVAs) of the effect of sexual system on the number of leaves, vegetative nodes and flowers and total plant dry mass	90

<b>Table 3.6.</b> Genetic and genotypic (or clonal) diversity in the monomorphic and the two distylous populations of <i>Nymphoides montana</i> .	95
<b>Table 3.7.</b> Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) based on 53 ISSR markers for the monomorphic population and the two distylous populations of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	96
<b>Table 3.8.</b> Pair-wise PhiPT values calculated by AMOVA illustrating differences between the monomorphic population and each of the two distylous populations of <i>Nymphoides montana</i>	96
<b>Table 4.1.</b> Means of floral trait, pollen and ovule data ( $\pm$ S.E.), and <i>F</i> -values from one-way ANOVAs of the populations of homostylous <i>Nymphoides geminata</i>	122
<b>Table 4.2.</b> Loadings of traits on the first three scores of the Principal Component Analysis	124
<b>Table 4.3.</b> <i>F</i> -values from nested ANOVAs showing the effects of morph and population nested within morph on floral traits for comparisons between the homostylous <i>Nymphoides geminata</i> and each of the distylous S-morphs and L-morphs of <i>N. montana</i>	125
<b>Table 4.4.</b> Results of the split-plot ANOVAs to test the effects of population, plant and pollination treatment on percent seed set in the homostylous <i>Nymphoides geminata</i>	132
<b>Table 4.5.</b> Results of the random block ANOVAs describing variations in percent seed set between the pollination treatments in the homostylous <i>Nymphoides geminata</i>	132



## Glossary

<b>Ancillary polymorphic traits</b>	Variation in size and morphology of corolla, stigma and pollen between heterostylous floral morphs
<b>Assortative mating</b>	Mating of individuals with similar phenotypes
<b>Autonomous self-fertilisation</b>	Production of fruit and seed following a within-flower self-pollination in the absence of pollinators
<b>Autonomous self-pollination</b>	Pollination that occurs within a flower without an external pollinator
<b>Bisexual</b>	A flower with functional male and female sex organs
<b>Clone</b>	A group of genetically identical individuals produced by asexual propagation
<b>Dichogamy</b>	Separation of stamen dehiscence and stigma receptivity in time to reduce sexual interference
<b>Dioecy</b>	Male and female flowers are on separate individual plants
<b>Disassortative mating</b>	Mating in which one sex chooses the other in such a way that the offspring benefit from the diversity of parental genotypes
<b>Geitonogamous self-pollination</b>	Pollination of a flower by another flower of the same individual plant
<b>Genet (clone)</b>	A group of plants growing in tight proximity which are all genetically identical, originating vegetatively, and not sexually, from a single ancestor
<b>Gynodioecy</b>	Female and hermaphrodite flowers are on separate individual plants
<b>Herkogamy</b>	Separation of anther and stigma positions in space to reduce sexual interference
<b>Hermaphrodite</b>	An individual that possesses both male and female sex organs
<b>Heterostyly</b>	A sexual polymorphism in which populations are composed of two (distyly) or three (tristyly) floral morphs with reciprocal arrangements of stigmas and anthers

<b>Homostyly</b>	A floral monomorphism in which stigmas and anthers are positioned at a close proximity within a flower. Homostylous plants are a member of heterostylous genera/species, and usually have the ability to self-pollinate and self-fertilise autonomously (without pollinators).
<b>Integration</b>	Increased genetic and functional relationships among traits
<b>Intermorph pollination</b>	Pollination of a flower by another flower of the different floral morph, or pollen transfer between anthers and stigmas of reciprocal heights
<b>Intramorph pollination</b>	Pollination of a flower by another flower of the same floral morph, or pollen transfer between anthers and stigmas of non-reciprocal heights
<b>Long style (approach herkogamy)</b>	Presentation of stigma above the level of the anthers
<b>Mid style</b>	Presentation of stigma between two levels of the anthers
<b>Monoecy</b>	Male and female organs are found on all individuals, but in separate flowers
<b>Pleiotropy</b>	Capacity of an isolated allele to have more than one distinguishable effect
<b>Ramet</b>	An individual member of a clone that has been vegetatively reproduced from the original plant
<b>Reciprocal herkogamy</b>	A reciprocal arrangement in stigma and anther positions in the heterostylous floral morphs
<b>Reproductive assurance</b>	Reproduction is assured through self-pollination and/or fertilisation when conditions for outcrossing are unfavourable because of an absence of mates or pollinators
<b>Self-incompatibility</b>	Inability of a fertile bisexual flower to set seed following self-pollination
<b>Short style (reverse herkogamy)</b>	Presentation of stigma below the level of the anthers
<b>Self-pollination</b>	Pollination that occurs within a flower