

Ph.D. DEGREE IN LIFE, ENVIRONMENT AND DRUG SCIENCES

Cycle XXXV

TITLE OF THE Ph.D. THESIS

BIO-ECOLOGICAL AND ANATOMICAL ASPECTS OF THE EUROPEAN EEL ANGUILLA ANGUILLA (L. 1758)

Scientific Disciplinary Sector(s)

BIO/07 and BIO/06

Ph.D. Student:

Cinzia PODDA

Supervisor

Prof. Antonio PUSCEDDU

Co-Supervisor

Prof. Andrea SABATINI

Final exam. Academic Year 2021/2022 Thesis defence: April 2023 Session

Acknowledgments

I gratefully acknowledge the Sardinian Regional Government for the financial support of my Ph.D. scholarship (P.O.R. Sardegna F.S.E.—Operational Program of the Autonomous Region of Sardinia, European Social Fund 2014–2020—Axis III Education and training, Thematic goal 10, Investment Priority 10ii), Specific goal 10.5.











Part of this thesis has been carried out according to the regional Council for Agriculture and Agro-Pastoral Reform n. 972/DecA/55 (August 6, 2013), and the agreements "Rep. RAS n. 27002–1 A.D.A. of 18.12.2015 and Rep. RAS n. 7304–12 A.D.A. of 29.03.2018," Sardinian Regional Government.

I would like to gratefully thank my supervisors Prof. Antonio Pusceddu and Prof. Andrea Sabatini. The achievement of this work would not have been possible without them.

Prof. Antonio Pusceddu for his constant willingness to provide me constructive and positive comments, observations, and suggestions and for his support during these 3 years of Ph.D.

A special thanks to Prof. Andrea Sabatini, for believing in me ever since I was a young student, for guiding me during these nine years of scientific growth and formation. You taught me to maintain focus, balance, and independence in my work, I will always be grateful to you for my achievements, you are my mentor. If I'm so passionate about my job, it's also thanks to you.

I want to thank the Ph.D. Coordinators, Prof. Simona Distinto and Prof. Follesa for their availability and support, even in the most difficult moments.

I thank Prof. Saturnino Spiga for giving me the opportunity to conduct part of my research in his laboratory.

Thanks to Dr. Francesco Palmas, for sharing most of the activities in the field and for the constant scientific comparison and suggestions during these years, my scientific big brother.

Thanks to all my colleagues of the Macro Team and of Ponte Vittorio whom I had the pleasure of working alongside, Serenella Cabiddu, Giovanna Mulas, Alessio Musu, Melissa Serra, Giacomo Frau, Viviana Pasquini, Claudia Ennas, Claudia Dessì, Riccardo Porceddu.

Thanks to my special colleagues Stefania, Giulia, and Elettra for always supporting and believe in me.

I would like also to acknowledge my external supervisors Dr. Elisabeth Faliex and Dr. Elsa Amilhat from CEFREM – University of Perpignan, for their teaching and understanding becoming my point of reference during my abroad period. Thanks to Dr. Raphael Lagarde, for the continuous constructive confrontation on eel issues. Thanks also to Dr. Fabien Morat from CRIOBE – University of Perpignan, who kindly helped me with the otolith statistical analysis. A big thanks to all the French colleagues with whom I spent my time abroad.

I am thankful to Dr. Delphine Nicolas from Tour du Valat in Arles, and Dr. Louis Zamora from the University of Girona to share glass eels recruiting data.

Thanks to Dr. Nicola Fois, Dr. Giovanna Chessa, Dr. Jacopo Culurgioni, Dr. Riccardo Diciotti, and Mr. Marco Maxia from the Agricultral Research Agency of Sardinia (AGRIS) for the collaboration in the study of recruitment and restocking and field activities.

Thanks to Mr. Adriano Deiana and Mrs. Sabina Piras who helped me in the field samplings and welcoming me in the beautiful Pramaera river at "Pollu".

Thanks to the fishing association "Laccara" from Ulassai for the precious support during the 4yers restocking experiment.

I wish to express my gratitude to the Referees of the thesis for their precious comments and suggestions.

Last but not least, a special thanks to my husband Stefano, my parents Piero and Maria Grazie, and my sister Martina for supporting, encouraging and enduring me always, and thanks to my sweet Dudol for making this Ph.D. emotionally lighter.

Index

Acknowledgment	
Abstract	
1. Chapter 1	••••
1.1 Thesis Outline	
1.2 Aims	
1.3 Main life history traits of the European eel (A. anguilla, L.)	
2. Chapter 2 Hard times for catadromous fish: The case of European eel <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (L. 1758)	f the
	•••••
	• • • • • • • •
2.2 Life cycle, habitat occupancy, and migration patterns of A. anguilla	• • • • • • • •
2.2.1. The life cycle of the genus Anguilla: common features	• • • • • • • •
2.2.2. Habitat occupancy	•••••
2.2.3. Juveniles' migration and orientation	•••••
2.2.4. Adult migration behavior	•••••
2.3. Eels' recruitment dynamics	• • • • • • • • •
2.4. Threats to A. anguilla	••••
2.5. Success and limits of eels' restocking practices	• • • • • • • • •
2.6. The way forward	
3. Chapter 3 Changes in dendritic spine morphology and densi granule cells in the olfactory bulb of <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (L., 175 possible way to understand orientation and migratory behavior	ty of 58): a
Abstract	•••••
3.1. Introduction	•••••
3.2. Material and Methods	
3.2.1. Eel samples	•••••
3.2.2. Golgi-Cox Processing	
3.2.3. Laser Scanning Confocal Microscopy	
3.2.4. Rendering	
3.2.5. Statistical Analysis	
3.3. Results	
3.4. Discussion	

4.	Chapter 4 Recruitment dynamics of Anguilla anguilla (L., 1758) the	
	western Mediterranea basin: single and in multiple sites study, and	
	implementation of alternative sampling methods	34
4.1	I. Introduction	34

4.1.1. Environmental influences on the recruitment dynamics of juvenile	
European eels, Anguilla anguilla, in a small estuary of the Tyrrhenian Sea Sardinia Italy	35
Abstract	35
4.1.1.1. Introduction	35
4.1.1.2. Material and Methods	38
4.1.1.2.1. Study area	38
4.1.1.2.2. Data collection	39
4.1.1.2.3. Model analysis	40
4.1.1.3. Results	41
4.1.1.3.1. Population structure and seasonal migration	41
4.1.1.3.2. Factors affecting juvenile eel abundance	45
4.1.1.4. Discussions	47
4.1.1.5. Supplementary Materials	52

4.1.2. Inter-annual and month-to-month variations of glass eels' recruitment within and among estuaries in the western	
Mediterranean	58
4.1.2.1. Material and Methods	58
4.1.2.1.1. Study sites, data collection, and statistics	58
4.1.2.2. Results	61
4.1.2.2.1. Catch data	61
4.1.2.2.2. Biometric data	62
4.1.2.2.3. TL comparisons within estuaries	65
4.1.2.2.4. TL comparisons among estuaries	67
4.1.2.2.5. Pigmentation comparisons	68
4.1.2.3. Discussions	70

4.1.3. Assessment of glass eels' settlement in three Sardinian estuaries (Central-Weastern Mediterranean) through a new sampling method	73 73
4.1.3.1.1. Study area	73
4.1.3.1.2. Environmental characterization of the three estuaries	75
4.1.3.1.3. Floating trap and sampling method	76
4.1.3.1.4. Glass eels' catch data	76
4.1.3.1.5. Glass eels' biometrics, Fulton's condition factor and pigmentation	77
4.1.3.1.6. Statistical comparisons	77
4.1.3.2. Results	78
4.1.3.2.1. Environmental characterization of the three estuaries	78
4.1.3.2.2. Glass eels' catch data	78
4.1.3.2.3. Glass eels' biometrics	81
4.1.3.2.4. Biometrics comparisons among estuaries and between floating traps and fyke	83
nets	

4.1.3.2.5. Fulton's condition factor and glass eels' pigmentation	84
4.1.3.3. Discussions	85
5. Chapter 5 Growth performances of the European eel in Sardinia 5.1. Restocking with wild-caught farmed European eels: an alternative approach to understanding eels' early growth in captivity and the yellow	87
eel phase adaptation to the wild	88
5.1.1. Introduction	88
5.1.2. Material and Methods	90
5.1.2.1. Study area	90
5.1.2.2. Experiment I: survival and growth in rearing conditions	92
5.1.2.3. Experiment II: marking, restocking, and recapturing	93
5.1.2.4. Data analysis	95
5.1.3. Results	96
5.1.4. Discussions	100

orowth from a Sardinian stream as a study case	105
5.2.1. Introduction	105
5.2.2. Material and Methods	107
5.2.2.1. Study locations	107
5.2.2.2. Eel's samples	109
5.2.2.3. Otoliths extraction and shape analysis	110
5.2.2.4. The Von Bertalanffy growth model	111
5.2.3. Results	113
5.2.3.1. The otolith shape analysis	113
5.2.3.2. The Von Bertalanffy growth model	122
5.2.4. Discussions	123
5.2.4.1. The otolith shape analysis	123
5.2.4.2. The Von Bertalanffy growth model	124
5.2.5. Conclusions	125

6. Chapter 6 Threats that are contributing to imperiling the European eel in freshwaters: the impact of larger dams in riverine	107
systems	127
6.1. When the Eel meets dams: Larger dams' long-term impacts on	
Anguilla anguilla (L., 1758)	127
Abstract	127
6.1.1. Introduction	128
6.1.2. Material and Methods	130
6.1.2.1. Study area	130
6.1.2.2. Eels' data	131

6.1.2.3. Data analysis	131
6.1.3. Results	134
6.1.3.1. Dams in the Sardinian hydrographic district	134
6.1.3.2. Eel's occurrence in Sardinian Rivers	135
6.1.3.3. Effect of Larger Dams on Eel's Occurrence	136
6.1.4. Discussions	138
6.1.4.1. Impacts of large dams on eel's occurrence	138
6.1.4.2. Giving future to the European eel	140
6.1.5. Supplementary Materials	142
7. Chapter 7 Summary and perspectives	147
7.1. Summary of the most relevant results	147
7.2. Future perspectives	149
8. References	152
9. Appendix: Bibliography of the Ph.D. student	210
9.1. Publications on topics pertinent to the Ph.D. thesis	210
9.2. Other publications on topics not pertinent to the Ph.D. thesis	214
9.3. Congress participation	214
9.4 Awards	216

Abstract

Catadromous fish can be considered important species for their ecological, economical, and cultural value. Because of their complex life cycle, they are subjected to the cumulative effects of multiple anthropogenic threats that resulted in worldwide decline since the beginning of the 20th century. Among the most iconic catadromous species, the European eel Anguilla anguilla (L.) has aroused considerable interest since ancient times, though, to date, many aspects of its life cycle remain relatively unknown. Moreover, the most recent interest increase in eel biology is primarily linked to conservation issues. This is because A. anguilla showed a progressively declining since the 1970s and, starting from 2007, accordingly has been protected by the European Council Regulation n. 1100/2007, and successively classified as Critically Endangered (CR). Therefore, although conspicuous efforts by the research have been conducted, to implement knowledge and identify possible solutions to preserve the future survivorship of A. anguilla, there is an urgent need to gain further insights into its life history. A review of the bibliography and the state of the art allowed me to focus on an updated state of knowledge about the European eel life cycle, biology, ecology, and the main threats that affect it. Starting from this knowledge, I identified several knowledge lacks and some critical issues on the eel, which led me to the formulation of some questions that structured my thesis project. Questions have been developed in the topics of the doctoral research with the general aim of providing a contribution to the implementation of the knowledge of the bio-ecology and anatomy of this species in relation to the various environmental and anthropic factors through different ecological and anatomical approaches.

- Is olfaction involved in the migratory behavior of the European eel? How does the olfactory system develop in the different continental eel's life stages?
- Environmental and hydrological factors influence the eel's recruitment dynamics? How do the spatio-temporal eel's recruitment patterns vary in the central-western Mediterranean?
- iii) What are the eels' growth performances in breeding and in the natural environment after restocking in the central-western Mediterranean?
- iv) How eel growth differs between rivers and lagoons of Sardinia?
- v) Can be the continental distribution of *A. anguilla* a function of the effects exerted by the presence of anthropogenic river interruptions (dams)?

From these questions, through a multidisciplinary approach that involved numerous sampling activities accompanied by complex data collection, laboratory experiments, and statistical analyses, new insights have emerged.

First, I demonstrated the presence of synaptic development in the olfactory bulb, in terms of morphology and density of dendritic spines, that is shaped according to a pattern linked to the migratory life stages of the European eel (glass eels and silver eels).

Second, I described the glass eels' migration temporal peak for the first time in the centralwestern Mediterranean in relation to the effects of main environmental factors. I also identified a spatio-temporal variability between four sites in the western Mediterranean in terms of the peak of recruitment and the biometric and pigmentation patterns of glass eels. Moreover, I tested new floating traps as an alternative sampling method in three Sardinian estuaries to further deepen and understand glass eels' recruitment dynamics in Sardinia.

Third, I analysed eels' survival and growth performances during a rearing experiment using wild glass eels from Sardinia. I estimated recapture and growth rates on marked and unmarked farmed eels after restocking after a four-year study. Results suggest a good readjustment to the wild environment and demonstrated that this technique represents a valid alternative restocking approach. Furthermore, I found that eels' growth, in terms of otoliths' shape differences, clustered separately for rivers and lagoons, revealing more rounded shapes in otoliths of eels from rivers than lagoons, but with annual body growth quicker in lagoons than in rivers, showing that eel's growth can differ according to habitat types. I fitted Von Bertalanffy's growth curves for female and male eels from a Sardinian stream, that exhibited the already-known sexual dimorphism in growth parameters with higher values in females.

Finally, I pinpointed an overall decrease in eels' occurrence in the Sardinian river network because of dams' building features and the time from their construction.

The achieved results might have several implications beyond the regional interest and add new points from which to start further investigations that, over specific actions, may guide the implementation of new studies and appropriate monitoring programs, highlighting also an urgent need for collaboration between the various stakeholders, researchers, decision-makers, authorities, professionals, and common people as an essential step to creating a sense of awareness on the criticalities that impact this species and the need to mitigate them.

Keywords

Anguilla anguilla, catadromous, migratory species, olfaction, recruitment dynamics, growth, farming, restocking, otoliths, freshwater threats, dams' impact.



Figure *i* - Schematic abstract of the Ph.D. thesis

1. Chapter 1

1.1. Thesis outline

My doctoral thesis work is organized into six main Chapters. Besides this introductory **Chapter 1**, the first part introduces the general topic of the thesis (Review). I developed the following four Chapters according to the scientific article style (Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, and Discussions). Some contain specific Subchapters. Finally, I reported general conclusions of the work and future perspectives.

Two Chapters and two Subchapters have been fully published in international journals while the remaining Chapters or Subchapters are being defined for submission. Each published section also reports the abstract of the paper. At the end of the thesis, I reported all bibliographic references. Finally, the Appendix contains the list of publications in which I am the author or coauthor, the list of my congress participations, and the awards that I obtained for the products of my Ph.D.

During my doctoral path, I tried to follow the logical thread of the life cycle of the European eel in relation to some potential criticalities that the species could encounter in its life. The European eel is a catadromous teleost species with a peculiar and complex cycle characterized by two extraordinary transoceanic migrations, a wide range of distribution, particular longevity, and a series of metamorphoses necessary for adaptation to different aquatic environments. Human, since ancient times, has tried to understand this mysterious species, its origin, its migratory routes, and the mechanisms that regulate them; however, some of these questions have not yet found a complete answer. Added to this is the considerable commercial interest of the species, but overfishing is only one of the numerous natural, but above all, anthropogenic threats which have caused, over time, a drastic decline since the 1970s, for all the continental stages. To address this decline, several international organizations and regulations have been implemented to conserve this species, however, the decline continues to persist. The improvement of knowledge, therefore, represents a fundamental tool for protecting the European eel, especially in those areas of its distribution range where knowledge is still scarce or fragmentary, as in the case of the Mediterranean and, in particular Sardinia.

Right from the identification of knowledge gaps, my research started. Through a narrative review, in **Chapter 2**, I tried to report on the state of knowledge about the European eel biology and ecology in relation to environmental factors and major threats that contributed to its decline.

This allowed me to identify the main topics, that made up the body of the thesis, which have been treated starting from the complexity of the life cycle and various aspects of the bio-ecology and anatomy of the species, developed through a multidisciplinary approach.

> Ecurepean eel recruitment Jassels Jas

Figure *ii* - Keywords of the thesis

Starting from the complexity of the whole life cycle of the species, I tried to clarify if olfaction plays a role in the orientation of the European eel, therefore, if olfaction could represent a cofactor involved in the migratory behavior and in the life of this species. To understand this, in **Chapter 3**, I studied olfaction, at the level of the anatomy of the brain, by the morphological classification and the density estimation of dendritic spines, in granule cells of the olfactory bulb, in the different continental stages.

Proceeding, in **Chapter 4**, I tried to deepen some aspects related to recruitment dynamics of early juvenile stages of European eel in relation to the main involved environmental drivers in the western Mediterranean, at several spatial scales (single estuary and multiple sites). Recruitment represents one of the two migratory phases of the eel, in which, arriving from the sea, the species colonizes continental waters (estuaries, lagoons, rivers) as small unpigmented eels (glass eels). Because, to date, artificial reproduction of this species is not yet completed, this life stage represents the only source of supply of wild and in captivity eel stocks. Considering this, understanding recruitment phenomena is crucial to address its decline and it is precisely starting from the deepening of these dynamics and related issues that, consequently, it could be possible to address issues that the species could encounter during subsequent stages, but that are directly dependent by the recruitment decline. This is even more urgent in the Mediterranean area where data are still limited and fragmentary.

Chapter 4 contains a general introduction followed by three Subchapters, each composed of materials and methods, results, and discussions.

After recruitment, the eel colonizes the continental waters in which it will spend a big part of its life to growing. Similarly, juvenile eels are caught to be reared in captivity (farming) or repopulated. In **Chapter 5**, I investigated the growth of the eel using two approaches: during a farming and restocking experiment and using otolith shape as a growth descriptor. I also considered the otolith age determination to fit the von Bertalanffy growth models of eels from a Sardinian stream as a case study. Each Subchapter contains an introduction followed by materials and methods, results, and discussions.

During the long continental phase, eels are subject to numerous impacts, among these, in **Chapter 6** I described eels' threats in freshwater habitats by focusing on the negative impact of larger dams (height > 15 m) on the eel occurrence in Sardinian rivers. It is known that dams can directly affect the successful survival of the European eel by causing migration delay, migration stop, mortality, and loss of orientation. But indirectly, dams can also create unfavorable conditions due to the interruption of river connectivity, the interruption or reduction of the river flow, the closure of estuaries, and therefore, the continuum between river and sea. This can prevent the migration, both upstream of juveniles and downstream for spawners, seriously affecting the overall survival of the species.

This Chapter contains an introduction followed by materials and methods, results, and discussions.

Finally, in **Chapter 7** I report overall final considerations and conclusions, future perspectives, and some new ideas deriving from the cognitive contribution obtained from the Ph.D. research to support the enhancement of knowledge to favor survivorship and protection of the European eel.

1.2. Aims

The general purpose of my Ph.D. thesis aspires to bring new knowledge about several aspects of eel bio-ecology and anatomy through a multidisciplinary approach, and to deepen some aspects related to eel the farming, restocking practices, and eel growth under experimental conditions.

Starting from a review of the state of the art of bio-ecology and the critical issues of the European eel (**Chapter 2**) I summarized the specific objectives of my Ph.D. thesis as follows:

- Chapter 3: Because of its complexity, the European eel developed one of the most sensitive olfactory systems among fish, which plays a central role in its life. However, the morphological development of brain areas involved in olfaction remains unknown. To fill this gap, I investigated the morphological characterization and the density of dendritic spines in granule cells of the olfactory bulb between the different eels' continental life stages.
- **Chapter 4:** The glass eel recruitment represents the first stage that undergoes the impact of human activities and could be considered an indicator for assessing the status of the eel's population. To increase knowledge about recruitment dynamics, I developed Chapter 4 into the following three sub-chapters:

<u>Subchapter 4.1</u>: I analysed the recruitment dynamics and the influence of environmental factors on eel recruitment in a small estuary in the central-western Mediterranean (Sardinia).

<u>Subchapter 4.2</u>: I investigated inter-annual and short-term variations of the recruitment and of biometrics within and between multiple sites of the western Mediterranean (Sardinia, France, Spain).

<u>Subchapter 4.3:</u> I tested floating traps as an alternative tool to study glass eels' recruitment dynamics in three Sardinian estuaries. I analysed catches and biometrics data and compared them with respect to conventional fyke nets.

Chapter 5: I investigated the growth of the eel in captivity and in nature in Sardinian continental waters in a farming and restocking experiment, and by using otoliths as growth descriptors. I divided Chapter 5 into two parts as follows:

<u>Subchapter 5.1</u>: I studied eels' growth during a nine-month rearing experiment and in nature after restocking in a small stream of Sardinia, with a focus on the

feasibility of restocking practices using farmed wild-caught glass eels through a 4years study.

<u>Subchapter 5.2</u>: I analysed otolith shape differences among eel populations from five rivers and three lagoons of Sardinia. I used otolith age determination to fit the von Bertalanffy growth models of female and male eels from a Sardinian stream as a case study.

Chapter 6: In the Anthropocene, human disturbances are having detrimental impacts on freshwater ecosystems threatening of extinction their ichthyofauna, particularly diadromous migratory fish species. Despite their evolutionary robustness and habitat plasticity, the cumulative effects of anthropogenic activities are leading the European eel to the edge of collapse. In Chapter 6, I focused on a specific threat that is contributing to imperil eels in freshwaters, the impact of dams. I investigated the impacts of large dams on the long-term occurrence of the eel in the Sardinian hydrographic district, with a focus on the negative effects of a set of temporal, spatial, and dams' related descriptors.

1.3. Main life history traits of the European eel (A. anguilla, L.)

The European eel (*A. anguilla* L.) is a semelparous catadromous migratory fish (Tesch, 2003; van Ginneken and Maes, 2005), which undertakes one of the longest and most complex oceanic migration among anguillid species (more than 5000 km), develops in freshwaters and returns to the sea to spawn (Aoyama, 2009; Tsukamoto et al., 2002; Wright et al., 2022). Only recently, Wrigth et al. (2022) traced the migration route of the species up to the breeding site in the Sargasso Sea, however, the exact site of reproduction remains still an unsolved mystery.





After spawning in the Sargasso Sea, adults die and leptocephalus larvae are transported by the Gulf Stream and oceanic currents toward the continental shelf for about two years on average (Davey and Jellyman, 2005; Zenimoto et al., 2011). When leptocephali reach the continental shelf metamorphose into unpigmented glass eels. Once settled continental waters (estuaries rivers and lagoons), eels undergo a transitioning phase between saltwater and freshwater, called elvers, pigmented juvenile yellow eels, sexually undifferentiated, to adapt to freshwater. The yellow phase represents the resident growing phase whose duration can vary from 5 to 25 years (Tesch, 2003; Schneebauer et al., 2017; Cresci, 2020). After this period, yellow eels start the metamorphosis into silver eels. During the silvering phase, gonad differentiation and development, and regression of the digestive tract occur (Durif et al., 2009a, b), together with the increasing of pectoral fin length and the ocular diameter. During migration, silver eels stop feeding, the digestive tract atrophies, and sexual maturation reaches completion.

The distribution area of the European eel covers all the European coasts and the northern coasts of Africa (ICES, 2018a). Despite this extensive geographic range, the genetic data indicate that the entire species represents a single panmictic population (Palm et al., 2009; Jacobsen et al., 2014; Enbody et al., 2021).

Freshwater eels, genus *Anguilla* (Schrank, 1978), are among the most unique groups of freshwater eels, currently recognized as 19 species (Arai, 2022). According to their biogeography, are splitted into 13 species/subspecies of tropical eels and 6 temperate eels species/subspecies (Arai 2022).



Figure *iv* - Biogeography of freshwater eels of the genus *Anguilla*. Blue: temperate eels, red: tropical eels. (From Arai, 2022: Migration ecology in the freshwater eels of the genus *Anguilla* Schrank, 1798).

are of the Baropean certi. anguna	
Kingdom	Animalia
Phylum	Chordata
Subphylum	Vertebrata
Infraphylum	Gnathostomata
Superclass	Actinopterygii
Class	Teleostei
Superorder	Elopomorpha
Order	Anguilliformes
Family	Anguillidae
Genus	Anguilla (Shrank, 1798)
Species	Anguilla anguilla (Linnaeus, 1758)
Common name	European eel

 Table i - Systematic of the European eel A. anguilla

1 **2. Chapter 2**

2 Hard times for catadromous fish: The case of the European eel

3 Anguilla anguilla (L. 1758)

Published as: Podda C., Palmas F., Pusceddu A., Sabatini A. (2021). Hard times for catadromous fish: The
case of the European eel Anguilla anguilla (L. 1758). Adv. Oceanogr. Limnol., 12: 9997. doi:
10.4081/aiol.2021.9997.

7 Abstract

Catadromous fish species can be defined important organisms for their ecological, 8 9 economical, and cultural value. Because of a complex life cycle, catadromous fish species are exhibited to the cumulative effect of multiple anthropogenic threats that resulted in 10 worldwide decline since the beginning of the 20th century. Among the most iconic 11 12 catadromous species, the European eel Anguilla anguilla has aroused considerable interest, and to date, many aspects of its life cycle remain relatively unknown. Although 13 conspicuous efforts by the research to ensure the perpetuation of the species were 14 conducted, the identification of the best tools to reduce the threats that affect eels remains 15 challenging. In this narrative review, I reported the state of the knowledge and main 16 threats about the life cycle, the habitat occupancy, the recruitment, and migration 17 18 patterns of the European eel.

19 2.1. Introduction

The term diadromy describes migrations between freshwater and marine environments (Myers, 1949; Mc Dowall, 1988; McDowall, 1992). Diadromous species include less than 3% of the world's fish fauna (Eschmeyer and Fong, 2016), among which several ones are economically and culturally important, such as freshwater anguillid eels and salmons (Chapman et al., 2012).

Catadromous fish are characterized by a complex life cycle where fish breed in 25 the ocean and growth in continental coastal and/or inland waters (McCleave, 2001a), as 26 seen in anguillids (Tesch, 2003; Elliot et al., 2007). The main ecological services provided 27 by catadromous fish consist for example in the provision of food, and in the regulation of 28 ecosystem functions by transporting nutrients and linking different biomes (Drouineau et 29 al., 2018a). Globally, these animals have been appreciated for human consumption 30 showing a relevant economic interest (Costa-Dias et al., 2009; Feunteun and Laffaille, 31 2011). Catadromous fish can be also used as indicators of environmental quality and 32

33 functionality (Smith et al., 2016). For instance, they are also commonly used as a metric in the assessment of water bodies' ecological status in the European Water Framework 34 Directive (Delpech et al., 2010), or as bio-indicators of water quality (Amara et al., 2009), 35 reflecting both habitat longitudinal connectivity and habitat quality. In this context, an 36 exiguous number of catadromous fish species are identified as 'umbrella species' to 37 ensure the protection of these species and their habitats (Rochard et al., 2009). They are 38 39 also magnified by many cultures, foster a belonging sense, and support million-dollar 40 fishing (Garman, 1992; Close et al., 2002; Montgomery, 2003; Chasco et al. 2017; NOAA, 2017). Because of this general interest, catadromous fish are the object of studies 41 in all their dimensions (Drouineau et al., 2018b) and are strongly linked to research 42 43 questions associated with animal migration (Secor, 2015; Morais and Daverat, 2016).

Catadromous fish use, along their migration pathways, a variety of habitats and 44 face many diverse environmental threats (McIntyre et al., 2016). In Europe, as observed 45 for most migratory animals (Sanderson et al., 2006; Wilcove and Wikelski, 2008), a 46 47 worldwide decline of migratory fish has been recorded at least since the beginning of the 20th century (Béguer et al., 2007; Wolter, 2015; Lambert et al., 2019). The causes are 48 numerous and likely cumulative (e.g., obstacles to migration, deterioration in essential 49 habitat and water quality, unsustainable fisheries, and parasite introductions), although 50 quantitative evidence has been rarely demonstrated (Dekker and Casselman, 2014). As a 51 52 result, many catadromous species are now classified as rare, endangered, or extinct, in the IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2019). There is, therefore, an urgent need to develop 53 approaches that provide reliable quantification of the specific impacts of the different 54 anthropogenic pressures acting on catadromous species. This would help support the 55 56 implementation of effective mitigation measures and provide adequate tools for national 57 and international regulation around the world.

Among the most iconic catadromous species, the European eel Anguilla anguilla 58 59 (Linnaeus, 1758) has been the focus of many studies (e.g., Dekker, 2003a; Bonhommeau 60 et al., 2008; Kettle et al., 2011; Baltazar-Soares et al., 2014; Schiavina et al., 2015; Aalto et al., 2016; Righton et al., 2016; Bornarel et al., 2018; Bevacqua et al., 2019; Dekker, 61 2019; Wright et al., 2022). The life cycle of the European eel has stimulated great 62 curiosity and interest since at least the 4th century BC, where already some important 63 Greek philosophers like Aristotle hypothesized the origin of this species, which remained 64 enshrouded in mystery for millennia. The recent interest increase in eel biology is 65 primarily linked to conservation issues. Therefore, to implement knowledge about the 66

main natural and anthropogenic threats to its survivorship and identify possible solutions
to preserve it, there is an urgent need to gain further insights into *A. anguilla* life-history.

In this narrative review, I present the state of the knowledge about the life cycle,
habitat occupancy, recruitment, and migration patterns of the European eel and about the
major threats most likely have contributed to its decline.

72 2.2. Life cycle, habitat occupancy, and migration patterns of A. 73 anguilla

74 2.2.1. The life cycle of the genus Anguilla: common features

Eels of the genus *Anguilla* (Schrank, 1798) are the only genus of Anguilliformes with a
catadromous life cycle (McDowall, 1988). The life cycle of anguillid eels involves five
developmental stages: leptocephalus (larvae), glass eel (transparent juvenile stage), elver
(pigmented juvenile stage), yellow eel (immature adult) and silver eel (partially mature
adult) (Bertin, 1956; Tesch, 1977; Cresci, 2020).

The larval stage duration varies in different regions worldwide and can last from 80 several months to some years, according to the species and biogeographic region 81 (Tsukamoto, 1990; Lecomte-Finiger, 1992; Cheng and Tzeng, 1996; Arai et al., 1999, 82 2001, 2003; Wang and Tzeng, 2000; Marui et al., 2001; Robinet et al., 2003; Robinet et 83 al., 2008; Reveillac et al., 2008; 2009; Bonhommeau et al., 2010; Han et al., 2016; 2019; 84 85 Hewavitharane et al., 2020). The larval phase suffers high mortality thereby influencing 86 recruitment success (Cushing, 1990; Durant et al., 2007). After metamorphosis into glass eels, juveniles leave oceanic waters, starting the upstream migration crossing coastal 87 88 waters (Tesch, 2003; Cresci, 2020).

Glass eels represent the recruitment phase to continental waters (ICES, 2011), and
constitute the natural source of supply of the species because its artificial reproduction is
not yet possible (Pedersen and Ramussen, 2016). Glass eels develop into elvers and settle
as yellow eels for many years (about 5-25 years) in coastal and inland water habitats (e.g.,
estuaries, rivers, streams, ponds, and lakes) (Tesch, 2003; Cresci, 2020).

After this trophic phase, eels start the downstream migration during the silver eel stage (Tesch, 2003) which is initiated by endogenous and exogenous signals that coincide with optimal conditions for successful migration (Sandlund et al., 2017). The migration peaks in rivers properly occur during rainfall events associated with flow pulses, affecting water velocity, turbidity, and conductivity (Cullen and McCarthy, 2003; Durif et al., 2008; Drouineau et al., 2017). Once gonad maturation starts, eels run downstream mainly
at night, during rising river flow phases (Behrmann-Godel and Eckmann, 2003), which
also provide protection against predation and reduce the swimming energy cost to return
to the offshore spawning area (Tesch, 2003; Sandlund et al., 2017; Cresci, 2020).

103 **2.2.2.** Habitat occupancy

The European eel is a panmictic species (Palm et al., 2009; Enbody et al., 2021) 104 105 distributed across most of the coastal countries in Europe and North Africa and spanning 106 the entire Mediterranean basin (ICES, 2018a). Because of the complex life cycle, the 107 cryptic behavior, and the body shape features of eels, results hard to find appropriate and standardized sampling techniques for the monitoring of the European eel in several 108 aquatic environments (Naismith and Knight, 1990; Lasne and Laffaille, 2009). 109 Furthermore, many aspects of the resident stage of eels in freshwaters during their growth 110 phase are still insufficiently understood such as ecology in terms of space and time use 111 112 (Feunteun et al., 2003; Imbert et al., 2010).

113 The habitats occupancy can be investigated through the otolith microchemistry 114 used to determine the type of habitat of individuals throughout their life, primarily using 115 the strontium (Sr) to calcium (Ca) ratio to distinguish freshwater phases from brackish 116 and seawater phases (Tsukamoto and Aoyama, 1998; Arai et al., 2006; Shiao et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2011 Arai et al., 2019). More recently, other elements, such as barium (Ba) and 117 118 manganese (Mn), have been used to assess finer-scale movement patterns (Benchetrit et 119 al., 2017). This technique constitutes a reliable tool for the assessment of habitat use and 120 growth throughout the entire life span between freshwater and saline waters (Clément et al., 2014). 121

Experimental electrofishing has been recognized as an efficient sampling method 122 to catch eel in freshwaters despite some limits (e.g., deep waters) (Laffaille and Rigaud, 123 2008), while fishery-based time-series are usually utilized to assess eels' temporal trends 124 (ICES, 2020a). Glass eel fisheries are carried out in the estuaries, or under dams, to study 125 the natural abundance of glass eels in time and space (Dekker et al., 2003). Several dipnet 126 127 types are applied, on foot or using boats (Aubrun, 1986), trawls (Aubrun, 1987), stow nets (Weber, 1986), and fyke nets (Ciccotti et al., 2000). Fisheries for yellow and silver 128 129 eels foresee a wide range of gears that include nets, spears, pots, and hooks, in coastal areas, lagoons, rivers, lakes, and streams (Dekker et al., 2003). 130

Several studies used telemetry to investigate individual movement patterns, site fidelity, habitat use, and home range exploitation in relation to seasonal and environmental factors (e.g., Ovidio et al., 2013; Barry et al., 2015; Piper et al., 2017; Trancart et al., 2018; Dorow et al., 2019; Piper et al., 2019; Teichert et al., 2020).

Furthermore, diel, seasonal phenology, and the effect of environmental drivers on non-migrant eel movements were investigated using the acoustic camera to evaluate the presence of eels swimming toward the inland waters (Lagarde et al., 2021). Studies on the presence of the eels' population were conducted also with visual observations in inland waters (e.g., lakes and reservoirs) (Rossier, 1997; Schulze et al., 2004).

Another tool that could support understanding the eels' habitat occupancy is 140 represented by the environmental DNA (eDNA) analysis (Knudsen et al., 2019). eDNA 141 142 assays for target species and eDNA metabarcoding are both promising techniques for establishing species presence from environmental samples (Taberlet et al., 2012; Evans 143 144 et al., 2016; Deiner et al., 2017). These indirect methods are cheap to implement at a large 145 scale and can be used to quickly establish the spatial distribution of a target species (Atkinson et al., 2018; Bracken et al., 2019). Instead, when it is difficult to assess the 146 presence of a species because the species could not simply be present, direct methods 147 148 (fish tagging) or physical survey assessments may be more appropriate (Kemp and O'Hanley, 2010). 149

150 2.2.3. Juveniles' migration and orientation

151 Migration mechanisms, including orientation, behavior and route architecture throughout 152 the entire life of anguillid eels have been revealed by means of the recent advanced technologies like agent-based model, ABM, particle tracking model of upstream 153 migrating juvenile eels (Padgett et al., 2020; Benson et al., 2021), motion analysis of glass 154 eels (Eldrogi et al., 2018), tiny acoustic transmitters (Fischer et al., 2019; Mueller et al., 155 2019; Liss et al., 2021), satellite tracking for migrant adults (e.g., Aarestrup et al., 2009; 156 Westerberg et al., 2014; Wysujack et al., 2015; Amilhat et al., 2016; Righton et al., 2016; 157 Wright et al., 2022 for the European eel; Manabe et al., 2011; Higuchi et al., 2018 for the 158 159 Japanese eel Anguilla japonica; Schabetsberger et al., 2013; 2015; 2019 for Pacific eels Anguilla marmorata and Anguilla megastoma; Beguer-Pon et al., 2015 for the American 160 eel Anguilla rostrata). 161

162 To reduce migration energy costs (Forward and Tankersley, 2001; Bureau du 163 Colombier et al., 2007; Edeline, 2007), juvenile catadromous species are transported in

continental waters by entering the water column during floodtides and descending to the 164 bottom during ebbtides using flood tide transport (FTT) to migrate through estuaries and 165 thus colonize catchments (Forward and Tankersley, 2001). But in absence of this 166 condition, an alternative migratory tactic to undertake upstream migration reckon on 167 active swimming running after the salinity gradient (Cresci, 2020), and using earthy and 168 green odors as attractants (Sola and Tongiorgi, 1996). For instance, several authors 169 showed that chemical cues (e.g., green odors, amino acids, and bile salts) such as 170 freshwater plumes and salinity gradients transporting inland odors into estuaries can 171 172 guide estuarine juveniles' migration (Tosi et al., 1988; Tosi et al., 1989; Crnjar et al., 173 1992; Tosi and Sola, 1993; Sola, 1995; Atta et al., 2013). Indeed, eels possess one of the 174 most sensitive olfactory systems among fish, and olfaction plays a central role in their life (Huertas et al., 2008). Glass eels, for example, are attracted by inland odors, derived from 175 176 the decomposition of detritus associated with the flora and microfauna in freshwater 177 (Sorensen, 1986). Among inland odors, geosmin (trans-1,10-dimethyltrans- 9-decalol) 178 plays a role in attracting glass eels (Tosi and Sola, 1993; Sola, 1995). In addition, it would 179 seem that geosmin operates as an attractant in freshwater and as a repellent in seawater (Tosi and Sola, 1993). Moreover, glass eels' migratory behavior may be also affected by 180 physiological changes, alterations of locomotor activity, and decreasing of body condition 181 (Edeline et al., 2007). Social interactions represent a selective element for the migration 182 and timing of glass eels' settlement linked to specific habitat survival and growth (Edeline 183 et al., 2009). 184

Some studies provided evidence that thyroid hormones are involved in glass eel 185 migration (Edeline et al., 2004, 2005). Decreasing levels of thyroid hormones in juvenile 186 187 eels might explain the decreasing rate of development and the decreasing propensity to migrate during the transition from the leptocephalus larval to the elver stage (Jegstrup and 188 189 Rosenkilde, 2003). This hypothesis is corroborated in subadults of the American eel A. rostrata, in which elevated T4 plasma levels are correlated with increased locomotion 190 activity (Castonguay et al., 1990). Furthermore, European glass eels' river colonizers 191 exhibit increased thyroid hormone concentrations when compared to estuarine migrants 192 193 (Castonguay et al. 1990; Edeline et al., 2004) suggesting a switch from a high migrating to settling behavior strongly linked to thyroid hormones production. 194

195 The migration of catadromous fish can also be explained with the 'pheromone 196 hypothesis', according to which fish can release particular odors into the water 197 (pheromones, likely amino acids; Crnjar et al., 1992) functioning as attractants for conspecifics (Schmucker et al., 2016). The attractive power of these cues is stagedependent in the eels, it is more accentuated on glass eels and gradually disappears in
pigmented glass eels and elvers likely due to physiological and behavioral changes,
alternative cues possibly become the main attractants (Schmucker et al., 2016; Galbraith
et al., 2017).

Mechanisms regulating glass eels' orientation are most likely innate and involve geomagnetic-based compass mechanisms based on the inclination and intensity of the magnetic field (Cresci et al., 2017, 2019; Naisbett-Jones et al., 2017). However, the leptocephalus stage presents in the Sargasso Sea may not possess the same magnetic sensing ability as the glass eels because of substantial body rearrangements and related physiological changes (Tesch, 2003; Baltazar-Soares and Eizaguirre, 2017; Durif et al., 2017).

More recent studies confirmed that glass eels can orient their migration using the 210 Earth's magnetic field (Cresci et al., 2017, Cresci et al., 2019a) and lunar cues (Cresci et 211 212 al., 2019b), as a reference to imprint a memory of tidal currents in estuaries and to facilitate position holding and upstream migration (Cresci et al., 2019b). However, 213 although many individual pieces of the complex puzzle of glass eels' orientation and 214 migratory behavior have been elucidated, a holistic mechanism to discriminate how they 215 216 migrate from the continental slope to estuaries and whether this path is memorized until 217 returning to the sea in the adult stages is still far from being identified.

218 2.2.4. Adult migration behavior

The spawning migration patterns of the European eel in the Atlantic Ocean have been studied due to their long distances (about 2000–8000 km) (Schmidt, 1922; Miller et al., 2019; Wright et al., 2022). The long migration paths are notable because of the amplitude of their scale and the excellent ability to trace the birth location using an unrevealed combination of sensory cues (McCleave and Kleckner, 1985).

Mark–recapture studies have been used to evaluate eels' home range, habitat preferences,
diel and seasonal movements (Jellyman et al. 1996; Oliveira, 1997; Laffaille et al., 2003).

226 Direct observations of the migratory behavior of yellow and silver eels were made using

electronic tags (e.g., Amilhat et al., 2016; Righton et al., 2016; Béguer-Pon et al., 2018;

228 Dorow et al., 2019; Teichert et al., 2020; Trancart et al., 2020; Wright et al., 2022).

- 229 Telemetry represents a reliable method to study the spatial ecology of eels, providing the
- 230 opportunity to track fish in real-time or from archived data to remote receivers, enabling

data to be retrieved without recapturing the tag (Torstad et al., 2013). The development 231 and miniaturization of pop-up satellite archival tags have allowed the tracking of silver 232 eels at sea, unraveling part of the mystery surrounding the oceanic migration of anguillid 233 eels (Jellyman and Tsukamoto 2002; Aarestrup et al. 2009; Béguer-Pon et al. 2015, 234 Amilhat et al., 2016; Wright et al., 2022). Fundamental telemetry studies on silver eels 235 236 investigated their migration from freshwaters to oceanic spawning areas, including survival, progression rate, and behavioral and external physical factors associated with 237 migration. To date, no telemetry studies on eels have been used with other available 238 239 physiologically oriented sensors, such as electrocardiography or electromyography 240 (Cooke et al. 2013), or any other environmental sensors, such as conductivity or oxygen, 241 while tracking eels in the wild.

Recently, some studies have shed light on the possible effects of global change on eels' migration patterns: climate change and warming-related thermal and hydrological modifications of aquatic ecosystems could delay or bring forward silver eels (Verreault et al., 2012) and alter patterns of glass eels' migration (Moore and Jarvis, 2008). Migratory phenology and habitat change as affected by current climate change should therefore be a priority of future studies.

248 **2.3.** Eels' recruitment dynamics

The success and extent of eels' recruitment depend both on global (Knights, 2003; Kettle 249 250 and Haines, 2006; Bonhommeau et al., 2008; Pacariz et al., 2014; Gutierrez-Estrada and 251 Pulido-Calvo, 2015; Bornarel et al., 2018) and local factors, whose interaction modulates 252 spatial and temporal dynamics of recruits entering brackish environments and freshwaters 253 (Gascuel et al., 1995; Arribas et al., 2012; Harrison et al., 2014; Trancart et al., 2014; 254 Aranburu et al., 2016). Recruitment dynamics at the local scale can vary daily, seasonally, and annually (Bru et al., 2009; Laffaille et al., 2007; Zompola et al., 2008; Arribas et al., 255 256 2012, Podda et al., 2020a), are well known for Atlantic estuaries and rivers of Europe (Beaulaton and Castelnaud, 2005; Harrison et al., 2014), and relatively less known for the 257 258 estuaries located in the southernmost distribution area (Arribas et al., 2012).

Although only one cohort recruits each year (Desaunay and Guerault, 1997), glass eels arrive in different waves from different routes (Boëtius and Harding, 1985; Briand et al., 2018). The preference of glass eels for freshwater or brackish water varies with the body condition and the timing of arrival to the continental shelf (Edeline et al., 2005). Reconstructions of the exact hatching site and migration routes of the larvae and glass eel, based on mere analyses of recruitment and sampling data (Dekker, 1998; LecomteFinger, 1992), have been carried out since the early twentieth century (Boëtius and
Harding, 1985; Van Ginneken and Maes, 2005; Westerberg et al., 2018).

Most of the available multi-year temporal series on glass eels' recruitment to 267 268 European estuaries are based on fishery and/or scientific surveys, however, pluriannual fishery-independent studies are very scarce. Fishery data based on glass eels' recruitment 269 270 estimates generally suffer from sampling (methods and protocols) and temporal biases (fishing season). For example, in Europe, most surveys to estimate recruitment rates have 271 272 been conducted in rivers or estuaries, where the eels' dispersion is influenced by the riverbed or river mouth width, allowing easier glass eel samplings (Adam et al., 2008; 273 274 Zompola et al., 2008; Bru et al., 2009).

Models like the glass eel recruitment estimation model (GEREM) (Drouineau et al., 2016) estimated the annual glass eel recruitment at different spatial scales, providing a recruitment index to robustly compare spatial variation trends, with large biases for specific regions where data are scarce or not existent (e.g., North Africa, Eastern Mediterranean, and the Baltic Sea).

280 Moreover, it must be noticed that an accurate knowledge of the physico-chemical 281 characteristics of the surveyed environments is also needed to properly assess the 282 movement and distribution of eels in both the biomes hosting their life cycle (Adam et al., 2008). This need creates a significant challenge: precise information on eels' numbers 283 entering inland waters and moving through the biomes would be collected to understand 284 recruitment dynamics, but, yet it is hard to be obtained because of the complex, often 285 unpredictable, environmental variability of shallow water ecosystems that can mask 286 natural patterns at the relevant spatial scales. Implementing standardized data collection 287 programs of glass eels' abundance should be therefore a major investment of future 288 research and stock assessment protocols. 289

290 **2.4.** Threats to A. anguilla

The global status of the eel is primarily a consequence of a prolonged decline in its recruitment across the entire distribution area (Moriarty and Dekker, 1997; ICES, 2020a and author therein). Many factors have been identified as recruitment short- or mediumterm drivers but, so far, it has been difficult to reach clear conclusions about what are the primary drivers of its decline. Multiple environmental factors (e.g., river flow, changes in the North Atlantic Oscillation, warming of sea surface temperature, currents) probably affected the documented decline (e.g., Gandolfi et al., 1984; Domingos, 1992; Elie and
Rochard, 1994; de Casamajor et al., 1999; Prouzet, 2002; Jellyman and Lambert, 2003;
Knights, 2003; Polyakov et al., 2005; Bouvet et al., 2006; Bureau Du Colombier et al.,
2007; Friedland et al., 2007; Laffaille et al., 2007; Adam et al., 2008; Bonhommeau et
al., 2008; Crivelli et al., 2008; Kettle et al., 2008; Zompola et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2009;
Durif et al., 2011; Kettle et al., 2011; Arribas et al., 2012; Baltazar-Soares et al., 2014;
Hanel et al., 2014; Milardi et al., 2018; Podda et al., 2020a).

While eels are still a common species throughout Europe, their stocks have been 304 305 declining rapidly during the last 40-50 years (Dekker, 2016). The global decline, encountered from 1980s, affects indeed its entire geographical range, with less than 6% 306 307 for recruitment data and less than 19% for yellow eels data compared to 1960-1979 levels 308 (ICES, 2022). This decline also concerning the southern part of its distribution area, as 309 documented by a concurrent decline in glass eels' recruitment, as well as by contracting local stocks in the Mediterranean Region (Ciccotti, 2005; Aalto et al., 2016; Amilhat et 310 311 al., 2016). Silver eels' abundance decreased by as much as 90% between 1975 and 2010 (Bevacqua et al., 2015) with human-mediated activities being a contributing factor to this 312 decline (Feunteun, 2002; Calles et al., 2010; Piper et al., 2013). It is known that a 313 combination of natural causes and anthropogenic pressures has been impacting both the 314 eel stock and its habitats (Jacoby et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2016; Drouineau et al., 2018b). 315 The European eel is subjected to fishing activities at all continental life stages (from 316 317 juveniles to adults) and high fishing mortality estimated over the entire life cycle suggests that overfishing represents one of the main threats to the survival of the entire eel 318 population (FAO, 2007). Glass eels' landings showed a sharp decline since 1980 319 320 decreasing from 2,000 tonnes to around 40-60 tonnes, nowadays, as well as landings of yellow and silver eels decreased from about 18000-20000 tonnes in the 1950s to about 321 322 2000-3500 tonnes (ICES, 2022). Furthermore, all commercial production of A. anguilla (intensive and extensive farming, commercial and recreational fishing) depends on the 323 exploitation of wild stocks (juveniles to supply farms, adults for fishing) (OSPAR, 2010). 324

To deal with this problem, there are various regional management measures currently undertaken to regulate European eel fisheries. Principal conservation measures in place for glass, yellow and silver eels include a ban on commercial fishing of glass eels, gear regulations, quotas, closed seasons, licenses for fishing, size limits, free gaps in weirs, and requirements for elver passes (Ringuet et al., 2002; ICES, 2022). Other pressures play an important role in the decline of the European eel, and also include habitat loss, water pollution, parasitism, and migration obstacles (dams, weirs, pumping
stations) (e.g., Baltazar-Soares et al., 2014; Culurgioni et al., 2014; 2015; Bevacqua et al.,
2015; Aalto et al., 2016; Dekker and Beaulaton, 2016). These factors affect European eels
most in the continental phase of their life cycle, while environmental factors, such as
climate change, mostly influence their oceanic phase (Drouineau et al., 2018b). However,
as eels can spend most of their life in freshwater (Tesch, 2003), the environmental
stressors affecting their life in this biome need to be studied thoroughly.

In 2007, the European Commission developed specific legislation (Council Regulation EC No. 1100/2007) to protect eels (European Commission, 2007). The European eel has been listed also in Appendix II of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES, 2020a) and in Appendix II of the Convention for the Conservation of Migratory Species (CMS) (CITES, 2020b). Most recently, the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) has recently classified the European eel as Critically Endangered (IUCN, 2014; Pike et al., 2020).

The stock of the European eel is currently at its historical minimum. For more 345 346 than half a century, stock abundance and fishing yield have declined by about 5% per year, to less than 10% of their historical level (Dekker, 2003a, 2004; ICES, 2022). From 347 348 1980 to 2010, recruitment of young eels (glass eels) from the ocean towards the continent dropped consistently by approximately 15% per year, to 1-10% of its former levels 349 350 (Dekker, 2000; ICES, 2020a). The causes of these downward trends are not clear, and, consequently, efficient remedies and mitigation measures are hard to design (Dekker, 351 352 2016). Hence, the dynamics of the population are only marginally known (Dekker, 2004) in the current relatively well-documented years, and even more so for the decades during 353 354 which the stock declined (Dekker, 2016). To fill these gaps of knowledge, monitoring programs have been established, and models of stock dynamics have also been developed 355 (De Leo et al., 2009; Walker et al., 2013; Briand et al., 2018, 2019). 356

Many discoveries were made in the 20th century about the behavior and movement ecology of this species. Extensive sampling programs were conducted in the Atlantic Ocean to understand the horizontal and vertical movement of eel leptocephali (Hanel et al., 2014; Miller et al., 2015), and direct observations of the migratory behavior of yellow and silver eels were made using telemetry (Amilhat et al., 2016; Righton et al., 2016; Béguer-Pon et al., 2018; Wright et al., 2022). However, less is known about the 363 migratory behavior of glass eels during their complex journey from the continental slope364 to estuaries.

General threats to the survivorship of *A. anguilla* span across their entire home range including either freshwater, marine coastal, or oceanic habitats. Threats to reproducing stocks in freshwater are, obviously, conceivably more of a concern.

Freshwater ecosystems are threatened habitats by multiple human disturbances (Vörösmarty et al., 2010), which are expected to affect future species ranges (Comte et al., 2016; Radinger et al., 2016). From a legislative perspective, the presence of obstacles to river flow is important for determining the hydro-morphological status of a river in terms of hydrological regime, continuity, morphological condition, and ecological flows (EU Water Framework Directive (WFD) 2000/60/EC; Moccia et al., 2020).

374 Indeed, in recent years, there has been a growing interest in the ecological consequences of river fragmentation by physical obstacles (Garcia de Leániz, 2008; 375 376 Januchowski-Hartley et al., 2013; Kroon and Phillips, 2016; Birnie-Gauvin et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2019). Recent estimates of fragmentation suggest that 63% of rivers 377 378 worldwide are no longer free-flowing and that half of all rivers reached have diminished connectivity (Grill et al., 2019). Rivers' flows have been regulated for the purposes of 379 380 flood protection, navigation, and agricultural development, as well as for electricity 381 production and other human uses. However, these regulations have overall degraded river geomorphological and hydrological conditions (e.g., by the fragmentation of river 382 networks and generating a deficit of sediment transport) (Alexander et al., 2012; Grill et 383 al., 2019). 384

385 In river ecosystems, fragmentation due to dam building and changes to river flows due to drought may affect river continuity and can be considered a key driver of the 386 Anthropocene biodiversity crisis (Meybeck, 2003; Dudgeon et al., 2006; Zarfl et al., 387 388 2015). River connectivity interruption threatens ecosystems' structure and functioning by 389 hindering the movements of migratory species, the exchange of individuals and of genetic information between populations (Wofford et al., 2005; Raeymaekers et al., 2008;), 390 391 altering aquatic habitats, flow, and sediment transport regimes (Bunn and Arthington, 2002). 392

393 Disruption of natural movements can affect the extent, viability, and persistence 394 of native aquatic species, and has caused a decline in the distribution and abundance of many fish populations, including eels (Feunteun, 2002; Burkhead, 2012; Katz et al.,
2013). In this regard, we stress here that catadromous fish are declining worldwide, also
because of direct and indirect effects generated by dams building (Shields et al., 2005;
Clavero and Hermoso, 2010; Collas et al., 2018).

399 In particular, dam-mediated river fragmentation limits fish dispersal and likely 400 increases their extinction risk (Carvajal-Quintero et al., 2017; Dias et al., 2017). For 401 example, hydroelectric dams can cause injury, direct mortality, delays in migration times, and inhibit downstream migration in A. anguilla (Behrmann-Godel and Eckmann, 2003; 402 403 Durif et al., 2003; Winter et al., 2006; Bruijs and Durif, 2009). Downstream passage at 404 non-powered dams (i.e., dams not equipped with turbines) can have minor impacts, as the 405 passage of fish through them is usually safe (Besson et al., 2016), but anyway can delay migration (Larinier, 2000; Larinier and Travade, 2002; Besson et al., 2016) and result in 406 407 lower (20%) annual migration rates when compared to equivalent non-obstructed rivers (Feunteun et al., 2000; Acou, 2006). 408

A high level of connectivity between habitats in a river system and between a river and the sea is vital for sustaining healthy stream fish populations and assemblages that migrate among several habitats, for suitable feeding, spawning, and refuge conditions (Lucas and Baras, 2001; Maitland, 2003; Carlsson et al., 2004; Perkin and Gido, 2012; van Puijenbroek et al., 2019): this holds conceivably true, particularly for the survivorship of catadromous fish that migrate across different aquatic biomes.

Eels can climb along waterfalls and the weirs of hydropowers (Byrne and Beckett, 2012). Nevertheless, most counteracting methods applied to mitigate the negative effects of dams on fish migration, do not grant success for all migratory fish upstream, and even if they do, successful catadromous fish species can encounter unfavorable habitat conditions in reached reservoirs (Larinier, 2001a; van Puijenbroek et al., 2019).

Upstream migration in presence of dams may be also delayed given the required time to obtain further fish passages (Larinier, 2001a; Lucas and Baras, 2001; Brink et al., 2018). Moreover, because the general upstream effects of dams increase with the size of the dam and reservoir (Birnie-Gauvin et al., 2017; Brink et al., 2018), large dams, usually, tend to be more harmful than smaller barriers. Downstream migration in presence of dams can enhance mortality due to predation in reservoirs and passage in hydropower turbines or spillways (Larinier, 2001a; Wilkes et al., 2018). Hence, independently of the movement direction and of the presence of fish
transposition devices, dams can severely impair catadromous fish movement and, thus,
are partly responsible for the decline of catadromous species and, in particular, of eels
(Calles et al., 2010; Feunteun, 2002; Piper et al., 2013). Widespread eel ladders could aid
upstream migration, although, to date, few efficiency assessments of their efficiency exist
(Jellyman and Arai, 2016).

Alternative approaches to the capture and the transfer of adult eels downstream of a barrier are also used worldwide (ICES, 2016a; Jellyman and Unwin, 2017; Béguer-Pon et al., 2018). Management of the water regimes alterations of the dams during the fish migratory peaks could be also an effective measure (Boubee et al., 2001; Trancart et al., 2013), but they can be complicated if they are not predicted to limit the economic loss (Teichert et al., 2020).

439 **2.5.** Success and limits of eels' restocking practices

Restocking practices are used for conservation, protection, or recovery purposes of 440 441 endangered species and to increase the productivity of fish stocks (FAO, 2003). Among 442 the actions undertaken to recover the European eel population, restocking practices in continental systems where natural recruitment is low or absent are still underdeveloped 443 444 (Moriarty and McCarthy, 1982; Andersson et al., 1991; Wickström et al., 1996; Pedersen, 1998; Simon and Dörner, 2014; Ovidio et al., 2015; Hanel et al., 2019). Eels' restocking 445 446 was initiated in Europe before the 20th century and has been done for decades across the 447 entire continent (Wickström et al., 1996; Moriarty and Dekker, 1997; Psuty and Draganik, 448 2008; Dekker and Beaulaton, 2016).

The release of glass eels in closed catchments can efficiently support local eels' 449 production and as well as promote local employment (Wickström et al., 1996; Pedersen, 450 2000; Rosell et al., 2005; Psuty and Draganik, 2008). Moreover, among the conservation 451 measures conceived for inland waters that are distant from the sea, restocking is the only 452 453 solution that enhances the local stocks (Simon et al., 2013; Ovidio et al., 2015; Nzau 454 Matondo et al., 2019). Considering that a proportion of stocked eels needs to escape as 455 silver eels, contrasting for example hydropower-induced mortality during the downstream migration (Winter et al., 2006), restocking is probably the best long-term plan to meet the 456 silver eels' escapement target in the Eel Recovery Plan of the European Union. 457

458 Because, to date, the success of eels' artificial reproduction in captivity has not 459 yet been totally obtained, this measure cannot be considered a realistic option for directed

stock recovery effort (Hanel et al., 2019). In this sense, the species represents a true 460 challenge for breeding and production (e.g., egg quality, fertilization rate, and larval 461 survival are the main challenges). Therefore, domestication and aquaculture might 462 represent an effective tool to satisfy purchaser requests and to preserve natural stocks 463 (Guarniero et al., 2020). However, eel's restocking remains controversial (ICES 2018b), 464 implications and potential benefits and losses caused by stocking are not scientifically 465 466 monitored or evaluated and a European strategy for the use of stocking as a measure for 467 stock recovery is already lacking (Hanel et al., 2019).

468 Wild-caught glass eels and elvers represent the only supply of restocking, that can be translocated from estuaries to rivers with low or without natural immigration (Pedersen 469 470 et al., 2000; Nzau Matondo et al., 2019). In their new freshwater environments, restocked young eels can survive, grow, and mature into silver eels that, ultimately, display a 471 472 seaward migration behavior that is similar to the one exhibited by naturally recruited wild eels (e.g., Shiao et al., 2006; Ovidio et al., 2015; Kullmann and Thiel, 2018; Nzau 473 474 Matondo et al., 2019; Felix et al., 2020). Nevertheless, it is yet to be demonstrated whether restocking is an efficient measure to restore the eels' stocks and also to produce new 475 mature individuals that could successfully contribute to the successive spawning stocks 476 (Westin, 1998, 2003; Prigge et al., 2013; Westerberg et al., 2014). Moreover, further 477 478 studies are also needed to assess the impact of restocking practices on the future sexual differentiation of restocked individuals (Geffroy and Bardonnet, 2015; Ovidio et al., 479 480 2015). Restocked eels' long-term survival is also still debated (Westin, 1998, 2003; Prigge et al., 2013; Westerberg et al., 2014). 481

The size and stage of restocking material (glass eel vs. yellow eel), their origin 482 (cultured vs. wild eels), their health status (e.g., parasites, infections, diseases), and the 483 trophic status of the water body may altogether influence the restocking yield (Prigge et 484 485 al., 2013; Pedersen et al., 2016; Ovidio et al., 2015). The annual growth in length and the survival rates of restocked eels vary strongly among different recipient environments and 486 depend upon the characteristics of rearing location, the wild origin of reared eels, and the 487 stage used (juvenile vs. adult eels) (Bisgaard and Pedersen, 1991; Pedersen, 1998; Lin et 488 489 al., 2007; Simon et al., 2013; Simon and Dörner, 2014).

Recent studies reported that the natural mortality of restocked populations
decreases with increasing individual body mass and, thus, restocking carried out with
larger eels resulted in a better survival rate and, consequently, in a higher yield (Pedersen

et al., 2016). More recent experiments showed that restocked eels have an initial delay in
their downstream migration, and those recaptured eels have lower body length and
weight, likely attributable to their allochthonous origin (Prigge et al., 2013). Interestingly,
however, both restocked and farmed eels show similar migratory behaviors and routes
during spawning migrations in the open ocean (Westerberg et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2018).

Information about the effects of restocked eels' density on the restocking yield is 498 499 much less, and densities used for restocking are, typically, site-specific and established based on the natural recruitment and yield per recruit estimates (Moriarty and Dekker, 500 501 1997). Moreover, few studies have contextually investigated the survival, growth, dispersal, and movement of restocked eels (Shiao et al., 2006; Pedersen et al., 2009; 502 503 Desprez et al., 2013; Wickström et al., 2014; Ovidio et al., 2015; Sjöberg et al., 2017), 504 little is known about the best procedure for implementing restocking with maximum 505 survival rates in riverine ecosystems and, even, about how to accurately assess the level 506 of restocking success (Pedersen 2000; Pedersen, 2009; Deprez et al., 2013; Nzau 507 Matondo et al., 2019).

508 Based on the above cues and considering the still large gaps of knowledge about the best protocol to restock efficiently depauperated eels' populations (Wickström and 509 510 Sjöberg, 2014; Stacey et al., 2015), I claim the already known need for new and sciencebased assessments of restocking protocols in different scenarios (ICES, 2016b), possibly 511 512 coping with the expected habitat quality modifications caused by climate change and 513 unintentionally to the anthropogenic emergence and spread of pathogens (e.g., 514 Anguillicola crassus Kirk, 2003; Wickström et al., 2014, and Anguillid Herpesvirus 1, AngHV-1, Kullmann et al., 2017; Delrez et al., 2021). 515

516 **2.6.** The way forward

517 Despite the research effort to date, identification of the best technologies to reduce the 518 threats that impair *A. anguilla* remains challenging. Data about the distribution range of 519 the European eel are still spatially and temporally fragmented, and the available ones are 520 still affected by a large heterogeneity in the sampling methods and in analysis protocols.

These gaps of knowledge represent altogether major biases for any possible generalization about the life cycle of eels. Thus, the put in place of standardized monitoring programs represents a priority to increase information of the eels' life cycle and their migration patterns. Only when these gaps of knowledge will be filled, restoration of environmental connectivity, particularly when rivers' flow is interrupted by artificial obstacles like dams, will contribute to enhancing eels' stocks and their ability to fuelfuture generations.

In this regard, I anticipate that the removal or mitigation of migration barriers, by promoting fish passage and habitat restoration, could represent a key step to enhance the yield of any eventual restocking practice without prejudice to the risk that restoring connectivity could facilitate the dispersion of alien fish species in a catchment (Clavero and Hermoso, 2010).

A better understanding of habitat-eel relationships is probably one of the most promising ways that may contribute to habitat restoration for restoring inland eel stocks (Laffaille et al., 2004).

Using eels to study water contamination based on an integrated approach (ecotoxicological, parasitological, patogens and virus, pollution topics) is crucial for the evaluation of environmental health, and chemical status of water bodies, and will directly be beneficial for the restoration of eels' stocks and consequently for ensuring water quality and habitat conservation as well as affecting the quality of spawners (Maes et al., 2005; Belpaire and Goemans, 2007; Bourillon et al., 2020; Capoccioni et al., 2020).

With this in mind, I contend that identifying river basins and the minimum proportion of river stretches that could serve as "eel reserves" is also needed, along with a collaborative research approach between researchers and stakeholders, with the final aim of establishing protocols of eels' exploitation that respond to the principles of sustainable use of resources and development.

547 **3.** Chapter 3

548 Changes in dendritic spine morphology and density of granule

cells in the olfactory bulb of Anguilla anguilla (L., 1758): a

possible way to understand orientation and migratory behavior

Published as: Porceddu R.*, Podda C.*, Mulas G., Palmas F., Picci L., Scano C., Spiga S., Sabatini A.
(2022). Changes in dendritic spine morphology and density of granule cells in the olfactory bulb of *Anguilla*

anguilla (L., 1758): a possible way to understand orientation and migratory behavior. *Biology*, 11: 1244.

555 doi: 10.3390/biology11081244.

556 * These authors contributed equally to this work

557 Abstract

- 558 Olfaction could represent a pivotal process involved in fish orientation and migration.
- The olfactory bulb can manage olfactive signals at the granular cell (GC) and dendritic
 spine levels
- 561 for their synaptic plasticity properties and changing their morphology and structural stability after environmental odour cues. I analysed the GCs' dendritic spine density and 562 563 morphology across the life stages of the catadromous Anguilla anguilla. According to the 564 head and neck morphology, I classified spines as mushroom (M), long thin (LT), stubby 565 (S), and filopodia (F). Total spines density decreased from juvenile migrants to nomigrant stages, to increase again in the adult migrant stage. Mean spines' density was 566 567 comparable between glass and silver eels as an adaptation to migration. At nonmigrating phases, spines' density decreased for M and LT, while M, LT, and S density 568 increased in silver eels. A great dendritic spine development was found in the two 569 migratory phases, regressing in trophic phases, but that could be recreated in adults, 570 571 tracing the migratory memory of the routes travelled in juvenile phases. For its phylogenetic Elopomorph attribution and its complex life cycle, A. anguilla could be 572 recommended as a model species to study the development of dendritic spines in GCs of 573 574 the olfactory bulb as an index of synaptic plasticity involved in the modulation of olfactory stimuli. If olfaction is involved in the orientation and migration of A. anguilla and if eels 575 possess a memory, these processes could be influenced by the modification of 576 environmental stimuli (ocean alterations and rapid climate change) contributing to 577 578 threatening this critically endangered species.
579 **3.1. Introduction**

Olfaction is a pivotal process involved in many behaviors of fish (orientation, migration, 580 feeding, defense, spawning, and schooling) whose life is entirely restricted to the aqueous 581 environment (Kleerekoper, 1967; Hara, 1975; Calvo-Ochoa and Byrd-Jacobs, 2019). The 582 583 behavioral significance of the signals arriving at the brain through different channels varies greatly from one species to another. The importance of olfaction in the behavior 584 pattern known as homing is displayed by many fish species (e.g., eel, rainbow trout, 585 586 Pacific, and Atlantic salmon) (Gunning, 1959; Creutzberg, 1961; Miles, 1968a, 1968b; Thunberg, 1971; Atema et al., 1973; Ramenofsky and Wingfield, 2007). 587

Olfaction cues, reached first by olfactory neurons, are managed by the olfactory 588 589 bulb (OB), whose projections lead to higher telencephalic areas (Oka, 1980; Fujita et al., 1984) and reciprocally receive projections from the telencephalon itself (Murakami et al., 590 591 1983; Rink and Wullimann, 2004). Different neurobiological studies have been performed on fish olfactory circuits, searching for a possible area involved in olfactory 592 593 memory formation. For instance, in Cyprinus carpio (L., 1758), the OB shows general properties for neural plasticity in vivo and in vitro as examples of input-specific, activity-594 dependent synaptic plasticity (Anzai and Satou, 1996; Satou et al., 2005, 2006). These 595 synaptic activities can take place at the dendro-dendritic synapses between glutamatergic 596 mitral cells (MCs) and granular cells (GCs, interneurons Gamma Amino Butirric Acid 597 GABA releasing) at the peripheral dendrites. Their activity is also regulated by synapses 598 with noradrenergic afferent projections by the telencephalon, which are mainly located at 599 the deep dendrites (Satou et al., 2005, 2006). 600

Furthermore, OB shows that both intrinsic and environmental factors can 601 602 rearrange the dendritic spines of GCs (Rehn et al., 1986; Matsutani and Yamamoto, 2004a, 2004b; Mizrahi, 2007; Huang et al., 2015; McDole et al., 2015; Mandairon et al., 603 604 2018). A single dendritic spine of a GC can change its morphology and structural stability after environmental odor enrichment or removal (Zhang et al., 2016). Dendritic spines 605 606 are the most common postsynaptic structures of most excitatory synapses, connecting presynaptic and postsynaptic neurons (Alvarez and Sabatini, 2007). They consist of a 607 608 small bulbous head connected to its dendrite through a neck, which provides biochemical and electrochemical compartmentalization of the synapse. The dimension of the head is 609 610 directly proportional to the quantity of structural synaptic proteins and postsynaptic functional receptors (Matsuzaki et al., 2001). Moreover, synaptic activity is associated
with physical growth or shrinkage of the spine (Matsuzaki et al., 2004).

613 Dendritic spines can mutate in various shapes and sizes, depending on brain areas, 614 cell types, and animal species (Ghani et al., 2017). Their structure is classified into four 615 conventional classes according to the morphological features of the head and neck: mushroom, long thin, stubby, and filopodia (Spiga et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016; 616 617 Pchitskya and Bezprozvanny, 2020). Mushroom spines are characterised by a large head and a small neck, able to establish strong synaptic connections, showing the longest 618 619 lifetime, and constituting the sites of long-term memory storage (Hayashi and Majewska, 2005; Bourne and Harris, 2007). Long thin spines are structurally similar to mushroom 620 621 spines but have a smaller head. They are more changeable and, for this reason, can be considered the learning spines, able to learn new memories during synaptic plasticity, 622 623 followed by head growth (Hayashi and Majewska, 2005; Bourne and Harris, 2007). Stubby spines usually do not have a neck and are the predominant spine type during early 624 625 postnatal development stages, but a small amount is present also in adulthood due to the disappearance of mushroom spines (Hering and Sheng, 2001). Filopodia are long 626 dendritic protrusions without a well-defined head, typically observed in young 627 developing neurons (Yoshihara et al., 2009). These structures are mobile and flexible but 628 with a short lifetime (Berry and Nedivi, 2017). 629

The shape, structural organization, synaptic function, and morphological
rearrangements of dendritic spines are potentially related to development or experience
(Matsutani and Yamamoto, 2004a, 2004b; Mizrahi, 2007; Lendvai et al., 2000; Kasai et
al., 2010; Muller and Nikonenko, 2013; Huang et al., 2015).

Furthermore, the stability of spines determines the maturity of neural circuits, and
their maturation is related to learning, memory formation and storage, and memory
consolidation (Zhou et al., 2004; Bourne and Harris, 2007; Yang et al., 2009; Kasai et al.,
2010; Fu and Zuo, 2011; Bailey et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015).

The catadromous European eel *Anguilla anguilla* (L., 1758) during its life undertakes one of the most extraordinary migrations in the animal kingdom (Tesch, 2003). Indeed, the European eel crosses the entire Atlantic Ocean twice, first as larvae and finally as an adult. The species spawns in the Sargasso Sea, then leptocephali larvae migrate towards the European and North African coasts for more than 5000 km (Schmidt, 1923; Miller et al., 2019; Wright et al., 2022), transported by the Gulf Stream (Tesch,

1977; Bonhommeau et al., 2010; Hanel et al., 2014; Miller et al., 2015). Larvae 644 645 metamorphose into unpigmented glass eels (Tesch, 1980) able to reach continental areas (Deelder, 1952; Tesch, 1977) where, under stimulation by chemical attractants 646 (pheromones, green odors, amino acids, and bile salts), magnetic and lunar orientation 647 mechanisms, and/or salinity gradients (Tosi et al., 1988; Crnjar et al., 1992; Sola and 648 Tosi, 1993; Sola, 1995; Schmucker et al., 2016; Cresci et al., 2017, 2019a, 2019b) recruit 649 650 estuarine environments, starting their upstream migration (Tzeng et al., 2000). Reaching continental waters, glass eels pigment into juvenile yellow eels (elvers), developing all 651 652 morphological and physiological features necessary for life in inland waters (Wood et al., 653 1992; Ciccotti et L., 1993). Eels spend most of their life in these habitats (5-25 years or 654 more) at the adult yellow eel stage, then metamorphose into silver eels towards sexual maturity during seaward migration (Tesch, 1977; Durif et al., 2009a, b; Amilhat et al., 655 656 2016; Righton et al., 2016; Béguer-Pon et al., 2018). At this stage, eels swim across the Atlantic Ocean to the spawning area in the Sargasso Sea to die after spawning (Schmidt, 657 658 1923; Righton et al., 2016; Béguer-Pon et al., 2018).

Because of the complex life cycle (Figure 3.1), characterized by metamorphosis, migratory behavior, and the capacity to live in different habitats (marine, brackish, and freshwater) (Tesch, 2003), the European eel developed one of the most sensitive olfactory systems among fish and olfaction plays a central role in its life (Westin, 1990, 1998; Tesch, 2003; Huertas et al., 2008). However, the morphological development of brain areas involved in olfaction remains unknown.



665666 Figure 3.1. The life cycle of the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*)

To fill these gaps, in general, with this study I investigated the development of 667 dendritic spines in the secondary dendritic trunk of OB GCs of the European eel, which 668 resulted as the peripheral ones, known for the presence of synapses between MCs and 669 GCs, characterised by general properties for neural plasticity (Anzai and Satou, 1996; 670 Satou et al., 2005, 2006). In particular, this study aimed: (1) to investigate the 671 morphological characterisation of dendritic spines along the entire eel's life cycle and (2) 672 using a cytomorphological Golgi staining approach, the differences in the density of these 673 structures for each eel's continental life stage. 674

675 **3.2. Material and Methods**

676 **3.2.1.** *Eel samples*

677 Eels were collected between November 2019 and January 2021 from the Pramaera river (Central-Eastern Sardinia, Italy). The Pramaera river is a typical Mediterranean small 678 679 watercourse characterised by bi-seasonal climatic features, with hot arid summers, rainy autumn/winter seasons along with extreme precipitation events, determined irregular 680 681 flow, and strong seasonal hydrological fluctuations (De Waele et al., 2010; Sabatini et al., 2018; Palmas et al., 2020; Podda et al., 2022). The river showed well-oxygenated waters 682 (dissolved oxygen = $9.40 \pm 12.35 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$), good conductivity ($1324.16 \pm 1564.71 \mu \text{S cm}^{-1}$ 683 ¹), and typically Mediterranean average water temperatures (16.01 \pm 5.32 °C). Erosion 684 was not very evident indicating a high level of naturalness and integrity and good fish 685 suitability (VV. AA., 2022). Euryhaline fish species (e.g., mullets and seabass), and 686 mostly European eels populate this river (Podda et al., 2020a). The extension of the 687 watercourse is 10 km, with a catchment area of 180.7 km², currently devoid of fluvial 688 interruptions of anthropogenic origin (i.e., dams or other anthropogenic barriers). 689

Nineteen animals were used for the experiment. According to the peak migration 690 periods of this species in Sardinia (Podda et al., 2020a), five glass eels and five elvers 691 692 were caught using experimental fyke nets. Five yellow and four male silver eels were captured using low-frequency, pulsed DC electrofishing. All individuals were 693 694 immediately stored in cool and aerated water and anaesthetised by immersion in a bath of MS 222 until the termination of opercular movements (Gilderhus and Marking, 1987) 695 and measured for total length (TL, cm) and total weight (TW, g). Then, animals were 696 sacrificed in situ using decapitation, conforming with the guidelines and protocols 697 approved by the European Community and Italian legislation for the protection of animals 698 used for scientific purposes (Directive 2010/63/UE L 276 20/10/2010, implemented by 699

Italian Legislative Decree 26/2014). Finally, eel heads were immediately fixed in 4.0%
paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.40) and stored on ice for
transfer to the laboratory for subsequent analysis.

703 3.2.2. Golgi-Cox Processing

In the laboratory, the whole brain (including rostral and caudal portions) was removed 704 from the skull and kept in paraformaldehyde solution at 4 °C overnight. All brains were 705 washed in PBS, weighed (brain weight, BW), and placed in 20 mL Golgi-Cox solution 706 707 (known for randomly providing the most complete morphology about 5% of the total 708 neuron population) (Cox, 1891; Glaser and Van der Loos, 1981) 2 weeks at room temperature in the dark. Brains were quickly washed in distilled water and transferred in 709 a 30% sucrose plus 0.2% Sodium Azyde solution in PBS for cryoprotection for a 710 minimum of 3 days at 4 °C to accurately remove the Golgi-Cox solution in excess (Zaqout 711 712 and Kaindl, 2016).

Afterward, brains were included in 35% gelatine/25% sucrose in PBS and cut at 80 to 100 µm thick sagittal slices using a vibratome (Leica VT1000S). Slices were collected in a cryoprotectant in series, selecting those involved in OB, using a stereomicroscope to identify the target brain area according to the zebrafish brain atlas 'Neuroanatomy of the Zebrafish Brain' (Wullimann et al., 1996) as a reference (Figure 3.2). Slices were developed using the procedure described by Kolb and McClimans (1986), dehydrated, cleared, and mounted with Canada balsam.



Figure 3.2. Sagittal slices of the eel's brain. Representation of the sagittal slices of a male Silver eel's brain.
The intern cellular layer (ICL) (grey area) of the left OB is included

723 3.2.3. Laser Scanning Confocal Microscopy

Quantitative analysis was performed using a Leica 4D confocal laser scanning 724 microscope (CLSM) with an argon-krypton laser (Leica, Heidelberg, Germany). 725 Confocal images were generated using 100x oil (n.a. = 1.3) in reflection mode (488) 726 excitation wavelength). Each frame (512 lines and 512 columns) was acquired eight times 727 728 and averaged to obtain noise-free images. Confocal images were obtained from the maximum number of scans allowed by the dendrite thickness. Optical sections, usually at 729 consecutive intervals of 0.5 µm, were imaged through the depth of the labeled neurons 730 and saved as image stacks. All confocal images were white labeled on a black background 731 732 in grayscale ranging from 0 (black) to 255 (white) and processed in grayscale values with 733 Scanware 4.2a Leica. Criteria for morphological analyses were: (i) internal cell layer, where GABAergic GCs were more concentrated, with somata diameter of 7 to 10 µm 734 735 (Medina et al., 1994) were considered; (ii) only clearly and completely countable GCs were classified; (iii) type IIIb sub-cells (Alonso et al., 1986) were included (Figure 3.3). 736



Figure 3.3. Golgi-Cox Staining in Light Microscopy. The left image shows the ICL of a male Silver eel's OB (grey dotted line) (scale bar = $250 \mu m$). The right image shows a magnification of the rectangular field in the left image (black dotted line), where a subtype IIIb GC (somata indicated by the grey arrow) is located (scale bar = $50 \mu m$)

742 3.2.4. Rendering

Image analysis was performed using the software Bitplane Imaris 7.4.2.
(http://www.bitplane.com/imaris/imaris) by two independent observers blind to the eel
life stage using the libraries Filament Tracer and Classifying Spine. Spine density was
calculated by tracing at least a 10 µm long spline curve along the secondary dendritic
trunk of GCs (Figure 3.4).



Figure 3.4. Representative GC's secondary dendritic branches (orange). Spine class densities and differences among the Glass, the Elver, the Yellow, and male Silver eels. (Scale Bar = 1 μm). Mushroom spine (yellow); long thin spine (blue); stubby spine (red); filopodia (magenta)

Primary dendritic trunks, known for being primarily innervated by inputs of 752 telencephalic origin (Satou et al., 2005, 2006) were not considered. For each eel 753 754 continental life stage, for spine density evaluation, about 75 dendritic segments were 755 generated. According to the head and neck morphological/metric criteria reported by 756 Spiga et al. (2014), spines were classified into four classes: stubby spines (S) (no distinguishable head and total length less than 1 µm), mushroom spines (M) (head 757 758 diameter greater than the maximum diameter of the neck, well-formed head, and neck diameter greater than its length), long thin spines (LT) (head diameter greater than the 759 760 maximum diameter of the neck, well-formed head, and neck length greater than its diameter), and filopodia (F) (no distinguishable head and total length greater than 10 µm). 761

762 3.2.5. Statistical Analysis

The relationships between TL, TW, BW, and spine density in the OB GC secondary dendritic trunk were evaluated for each specimen using linear correlation analysis (correlation coefficient R^2). Before linear regression, the extreme difference between the values of body characteristics was down-weighted by applying a log transformation. Spine density was checked for normality (Shapiro–Wilk's test, S–W, p < 0.05). Therefore, differences in spine density among life stages and spine classes were analysed by the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis's test (K-W test) to verify the equality of the medians between different groups. When significant differences were obtained, pairwise comparisons were conducted using Dunn's post hoc test (Z test). All values were expressed as the mean and standard error (\pm SE) unless otherwise indicated. Significance was set at *p* < 0.05. All data were analysed by R (R core Team, 2021).

774 **3.3. Results**

Basic statistics relative to TL, TW, and BW of different eel life stages are reported inTable 3.1.

Table 3.1. Biometrics of sampled eels. Mean total length (TL), mean total weight (TW), and brain weight
 (BW) of sampled eels (± standard deviation) for different life stages

Life Stage	TL (cm)	TW (g)	BW (g)
Glass eel	6.53 ± 0.43	0.23 ± 0.04	0.004 ± 0.001
Elver	8.06 ± 2.43	0.77 ± 0.94	0.005 ± 0.002
Yellow eel	30.56 ± 3.41	39.04 ± 14.04	0.058 ± 0.026
Silver eel	38.40 ± 3.23	105.75 ± 52.22	0.11 ± 0.049

From the image analysis, 308 segments (about 10 μ m long) from secondary dendritic trunks in eel OB GCs were collected and dendritic spine classes were characterized (Figure 3.4). Linear regression between body characteristics (TL, TW, and BW) and spine density for each eel life stage showed no significant correlations (R² coefficient < 0.7, *p* < 0.05). However, the highest total spine density was observed in relation to eels' body characteristics for the glass eel stage. In elvers and yellow eels, the density decreases and increases again at the silver eel stage (Figure 3.5).



Figure 3.5. Relationships between eels' body characteristics and spine total density from secondary dendritic trunks in the GCs of the OB. (A) log-transformed total length (TL), (B) log-transformed total weight (TW), (C) log-transformed brain weight (BW)

Total spine density was abundant in the glass eel stage (6.67 ± 0.15 spines/10 µm), 790 reducing progressively in the elver (4.45 \pm 0.11 spines/10 µm) and yellow eel (3.73 \pm 791 792 0.09 spines/10 μ m) stages, to increase again in the silver eel stage (5.71 \pm 0.12 spines/10 793 µm) (Figure 3.6).



794 795 Figure 3.6. Dendritic spine density per 10 μ m of dendritic trunk length ± standard error (SE), grouped for 796 eels' life stage and for spine class. Bars are repartitioned according to the life stage of eels and the dendritic 797 spine class, respectively (M: mushroom in yellow, LT: long thin in blue, S: stubby in red, F: filopodia in 798 pink)

799 Significant differences were highlighted in the median values of total spine density among life stages (K-W: 42.77, p < 0.0001). Post hoc Dunn's test showed no 800 801 statistical differences, only between elvers and yellow eels (Z: -1.42, p > 0.05).

802 Densities within dendritic spine classes (M, LT, S, F) revealed significant

803 differences for each eel life stage (Table 3.2).

Table 3.2. Summary of K-W test among eels' life stages. Paired post hoc Dunn's test between dendritic spine classes. *p* values < 0.05 are significant. Asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ****; p < 0.001 = ***; p < 0.05 = *; p > 0.05 = ns (non-significant)

	Glass eel K-W = 130.19		Elver K-W= 134.86		Vell	ow eel	Silver	eel (M)	
					Ith	0			
					K-W:	= 122.46	K-W= 154.93 <i>p</i> < 0.001		
	<i>p</i> <	0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001		<i>p</i> < 0.001				
Paired	_						_		
groups	<i>p</i> value	significance	p value	significance	p value	significance	p value	significance	
M-S	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	0.4912	ns	0.0014	**	
M-LT	0.6921	ns	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	
M-F	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	
LT-S	< 0.0001	****	0.3539	ns	0.0028	****	0.051	ns	
LT-F	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	
S-F	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001	****	

807 M = Mushroom; S = Stubby; LT = Long thin; F = Filopodia

808 For glass eels (K-W: 130.19, p < 0.05), greater mean densities were found for M and LT spine classes (2.65 ± 0.20 spines/10 μ m, and 2.60 ± 0.23 spines/10 μ m, respectively) that, 809 indeed, were detected as statistically similar (Z: 0.48, p > 0.05) (Figure 3.7). For elvers 810 (K-W: 134.86, p < 0.05), M spines were the most abundant class (2.33 ± 0.20 spines/10 811 μm). In addition, no differences were observed between S and LT spine (Figure 3.7). In 812 the yellow eel stage (K-W: 122.46, p < 0.05), both M and S classes showed higher density 813 values $(1.50 \pm 0.13 \text{ spines}/10 \text{ }\mu\text{m}, \text{ and } 1.28 \pm 0.10 \text{ spines}/10 \text{ }\mu\text{m}, \text{ respectively})$ with no 814 statistical differences (Z: -0.51, p > 0.05) (Figure 3.7). Lastly, greater density spines (K-815 W: 154.93, p < 0.05) were obtained for the M spine class $(2.52 \pm 0.20 \text{ spines}/10 \mu \text{m})$ for 816 silver eels. Furthermore, no differences were detected between S and LT classes (Z: 1.53, 817 818 *p* > 0.05) (Figure 3.7).



Figure 3.7. Mean density of dendritic spines. Mean spine density per 10 μm in the European eel per life
stage and per spine class (M: mushroom in yellow, LT: long thin in blue, S: stubby in red, F: filopodia in
pink). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean

Based on the analysis of the density of different dendritic spine classes, the total spine density was greater in M spines $(2.25 \pm 0.19 \text{ spines}/10 \,\mu\text{m})$, lower in F $(0.07 \pm 0.03 \text{ spines}/10 \,\mu\text{m})$, and intermediate in LT $(1.51 \pm 0.18 \text{ spines}/10 \,\mu\text{m})$ and S $(1.31 \pm 0.10 \text{ spines}/10 \,\mu\text{m})$ spines. Moreover, median spine density was significantly different among all spine classes (K-W: 571.23, p < 0.001). Post hoc Dunn's test explained no statistical differences only between LT and S spine classes (Z: 0.52, p > 0.05).

Considering all dendritic spine classes separately (Figure 3.7), M and LT spines showed a reduction in spine density in the elver and yellow eels' stages to increase again in the silver eel stage. Regarding the S spine class, a slight decrease in spine density was found between glass eel and elver stages to increase again in yellow and silver eels. Finally, the density of the F class decreased progressively until it almost disappeared in silver eels. Within each spine class, significant differences were identified (Table 3.3).

Table 3.3. Summary of K-W test among dendritic spine classes. Paired post hoc Dunn's test between eels' life stages. p values < 0.05 are significant. Asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ****; p <

837	0.001 = ***	; $p < 0.01 = *$	**; <i>p</i> < 0.05 =	= *; <i>p</i> > 0.05	= ns (non-significant)
-----	-------------	------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	------------------------

	Mushroom (M) K-W = 23.52 p < 0.001		Stubby (S) K-W = 130.19 p < 0.001		Long Thin (LT) K-W = 47.23 <i>p</i> < 0.001) Filo	Filopodia (F)	
							K-'	W = 7.90	
							<i>p</i> = 0.048		
Paired	n voluo	significance	n value	significance	n valua	significanc	a n valua	significance	
groups	<i>p</i> value	significance	<i>p</i> value	significance	<i>p</i> value	significane	e p value	significance	
Glass eel-Elver	0.2479	ns	0.3944	ns	< 0.0001	****	0.0793	ns	
Glass eel-Yellow eel	< 0.0001	****	0.5585	ns	< 0.0001	****	0.1318	ns	
Glass eel-Silver eel (M)	0.6584	ns	0.0042	**	< 0.0001	****	0.0128	*	
Elver-Yellow eel	0.0013	**	0.0953	ns	0.7401	ns	0.7591	ns	
Elver-Silver eel (M)	0.3719	ns	< 0.0001	****	0.0145	*	0.3738	ns	
Yellow eel-Silver eel (M)	< 0.0001	****	0.0097	**	0.0040	**	0.2338	ns	

The density in M spines was quite similar between glass eels and elvers (Z: 1.17, p >838 839 0.05), glass eels and silver eels (Z: -0.37, p > 0.05), and elvers and silver eels (Z: 0.81, p> 0.05), respectively, showing greater values (glass eel 2.65 \pm 0.20 spines/10 μ m; silver 840 eel 2.52 \pm 0.20 spines/10 μ m; elver 2.33 \pm 0.20 spines/10 μ m). Regarding LT spine 841 density, a greater value was detected in the glass eel stage (2.60 ± 0.23 spines/10 µm) and 842 843 no differences were observed between the elver and yellow eel stages (Z: -0.37, p > 0.05). For S spines, the most abundant were in the silver eel stage $(1.65 \pm 0.10 \text{ spines}/10 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$. 844 The pairwise Dunn's test confirmed that this stage was statistically different from the 845 others (silver eels vs glass eels: Z: 3.01, p < 0.05, silver eels vs. elvers: Z: 3.98, p < 0.05, 846 silver eels vs. yellow eels: Z: -2.45, p < 0.05). F class showed greater density in the glass 847 eel life stage (0.18 ± 0.06 spines/10 µm), with the only significant difference between the 848 glass eel and silver eel stages (Z: -2.70, p < 0.05). 849

850 **3.4. Discussion**

Although the role of olfactory stimuli in the modulation of different functions in fish is
known (e.g., social relationships, prey or predator recognition, and the search for food)
(Døving, 1986), some studies have suggested a key role of olfaction in migration in the
genus *Anguilla* (Westin, 1990; Huertas et al., 2008).

In this study, I tried to understand if the olfactory system could play a specific role in the migratory behavior and orientation of *A. anguilla*, focusing on the development of dendritic spines in OB GCs as an index of synaptic plasticity involved in the possible modulation of olfactory stimuli that drive these behaviors.

Considering the specific evolution of dendritic spines, starting from the pattern 859 860 described in the vertebrate central nervous system, it is well known that the total spine density decreases from younger to older individuals, mostly connected with ageing 861 (Okabe et al., 1999; Dickstein et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2016). In the OB, mushroom and 862 long thin spines are the most abundant spine classes, with mushroom spines representing 863 864 a more stable synapse than long thin spines (Zhang et al., 2016). Mushroom spines are 865 characterised by a large amount of structural synaptic proteins and postsynaptic receptors 866 (Peters and Kaisermann-Abramof, 1970; Harris et al., 1992; Huang et al., 2015) and by 867 long-term potentiation (Stewart et al., 2005). Furthermore, long thin morphology shows a smaller head and a lower number of synaptic proteins and postsynaptic receptors than 868 869 the mushroom shape (Peters and Kaisermann-Abramof, 1970; Huang et al., 2015). Stubby spines can represent immature spines (Peters and Kaisermann-Abramof, 1970; Harris et 870 871 al., 1992, 1999; Fiala et al., 2002) that may disappear or evolve into long thin spines 872 (Kasai et al., 2010). During the second postnatal week of young mammals, dendritic 873 filopodia can emerge and interact with other neurons to form nascent synapses (Harris et al., 1992; Brocco et al., 2010), which can later develop into stubby spines (Ziv and Smith, 874 875 1996; Fiala et al., 1998; Sorra and Harris, 2000).

In general, results showed that the total dendritic spine density decreases 876 877 progressively from glass eels to non-migrant stages (elvers and yellow eels) to increase 878 again in the adult migrant silver stage. The amount of dendritic spines is comparable 879 between glass eels and silver eels, demonstrating a quantitative and qualitative adaptation 880 of these structures during the two migratory phases of the species. It was hypothesised that this overall trend is initially dictated by the decrease in the density of mushroom and 881 882 long thin spines during the non-migrating trophic phase. Subsequently, the total spine density increases again in the silver eel stage due to the increment of mushroom, long 883 884 thin, and stubby spines. Therefore, this model is in contrast to what is known in other vertebrates, revealing a relationship between the trend of the total spine density and the 885 catadromous life cycle and the consequent two migratory phases of this species rather 886 than with the aging of the animal. 887

By analysing the single spine classes, mature mushroom and long thin spines were very similar in the migratory glass eel stage. Instead, in adult silver eels, there was a similar increase between long thin and stubby spines. These results suggested that there would be an increase in synaptogenesis in juvenile and adult stages, correlated by the increment in the number of mushroom, long thin, and stubby spines, with respect to elver

and yellow eel stages. Furthermore, in the silver eel stage, the increase in these three spine 893 894 classes suggested that this migratory phase could stimulate the processing of a large 895 amount of new olfactory cues, which may correspond to only reproductive functions, 896 including pheromone detection (Churcher et al., 2015). Stubby spines would constitute the source of the future mature mushroom and long thin spines, necessary in the migration 897 898 phase to reach the spawning area. Higher-density values of filopodia were found at the juvenile glass eel stage and this value gradually decreased in the subsequent development 899 900 stages, which were statistically homogeneous with each other. Although it was the lowest 901 abundant class, their greatest production in the glass eel stage could be attributable to the 902 possible role of these spines in the neural network formation in the OB during the still-903 stabilising juvenile stage. However, these results were in line with those reported in the developmental model of filopodia in other vertebrates, where a greater filopodia 904 905 production was observed in the first weeks of life (Harris et al., 1992; Brocco et al., 2010; 906 Zhang et al., 2016).

907 Studies on the olfactory system development in fish species using the plasticity of dendritic spines of GCs of OBs as an index for possible dendritic spine rearrangements 908 are scarce or absent. To date, few descriptive studies exist on the OB's GCs morphology 909 and prolongations in the common carp C. carpio and in the Mediterranean barbel Barbus 910 911 meridionalis (Risso, 1826), where the presence of dendritic spines is reported only in 912 these neurons (Alonso et al., 1986). Other studies demonstrated the plasticity of these 913 structures in the pyramidal neurons of the optic tectum of the jewel fish Hemichromis bimaculatus (Gill, 1862) (Coss and Globus, 1978, 1979; Coss, 1979; Burgess and Coss, 914 915 1980; Berard et al., 1981; Coss and Burgess, 1981) and in zebrafish Danio rerio 916 (Hamilton, 1882) (Plata and Robles, 2022), as well as the spiny-medium-like neurons in the telencephalon of the zebrafish (Song et al., 2018). 917

Therefore, This work can represents a pilot study for verifying, first, the presence of the GCs in European eel's OB, the presence of dendritic spines in this brain area, then the quality evaluation of Golgi-Cox Staining, the morphological characterization of dendritic spines, and their density estimation.

Findings showed great synaptic development activity in the migratory phases of eels, which regresses in the trophic phase but must necessarily be recreated by tracing the migratory memory of the routes previously traveled in the juvenile phases. If olfaction plays a role in the orientation and migratory behavior throughout the complex and long life cycle of *A. anguilla*, and if eels possess a memory, all these processes could be
influenced by changes in the olfactory stimuli under several internal and external
conditions (e.g., adaptation to different aquatic environments; sexual development
phases, growing or fasting phases, climate changes, ocean alterations) (Durif et al., 2011;
Bevacqua et al., 2015; Podda et al., 2021), making it difficult or impossible to cover wide
reproductive migratory routes and constituting a cofactor that contributes to threaten this
critically endangered species (CR) (Pike et al., 2020).

For these reasons and due to its ancient phylogenetic attribution to the Elopomorph teleost group, the European eel *A. anguilla* could be recommended as a model species to study and understand the development of olfaction in catadromous fish species (Lauder et al., 1983).

937 4. Chapter 4

938 Recruitment dynamics of *Anguilla anguilla* (L., 1758) the
939 western Mediterranean basin: single and in multiple sites
940 study, and implementation of alternative sampling methods

941 **4.1. Introduction**

942 The glass eel phase represents the recruitment of the European eel, and constitutes the 943 first life stage that undergoes the impact of human activities both on a local (Åström and 944 Dekker, 2007; Beaulaton and Briand, 2007; Drouineau et al., 2018) and on a global scale 945 (Knights, 2003; Kettle and Haines, 2006; Bonhommeau et al., 2008; Pacariz et al., 2014; 946 Gutierrez-Estrada and Pulido-Calvo, 2015; Bornarel et al., 2018). The success rate of the 947 recruitment is a consequence of multiple environmental and anthropogenic factors, whose 948 interactions can modulate the dynamics of recruits entering continental waters (Gascuel 949 et al., 1995; Arribas et al., 2012; Harrison et al., 2014; Trancart et al., 2014; Aranburu et 950 al., 2016). Moreover, these dynamics are subjected to spatio-temporal fluctuations (Bru 951 et al., 2009; Laffaille et al., 2007; Zompola et al., 2008; Arribas et al., 2012, Podda et al., 952 2020a), which are reflected in the state of global stocks and the perpetuation of the 953 species. For these reasons, recruitment phase could be considered a useful indicator for 954 assessing the impacts of local anthropogenic pressures, and a good indicator of the large-955 scale status of the eel's population to be accompanied by the escapement estimates of eels, 956 in order to evaluate the survival of local stocks.

For a better understanding of recruitment phenomena, it would be necessary to need precise information on glass eels' abundance entering continental waters. However, this is still challenging to achieve due to the complex, often unpredictable, environmental variability and impacts of multiple stressors affecting the species, as well as the lack of standardized programs to study the glass eels' dynamics as in the Mediterranean, and, in particular in Sardinia.

In this Chapter I tried to improve the knowledge on several aspects of eels' recruitment both on a local scale (Sardinia) and on a sub-basin scale in some distribution areas of the Mediterranean, where information is still scarce or spatially and temporally fragmented.

967 4.1.1. Environmental influences on the recruitment dynamics of 968 juvenile European eels, Anguilla anguilla, in a small 969 estuary of the Tyrrhenian Sea, Sardinia, Italy

Published as: Podda C., Palmas F., Frau G., Chessa G., Culurgioni J., Diciotti R., Fois N., Sabatini A.
(2020). Environmental influences on the recruitment dynamics of juvenile European eels, *Anguilla anguilla*, in a small estuary of the Tyrrhenian Sea, Sardinia, Italy. *Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.*,
30: 1638-1648. doi: 10.1002/aqc.3362.

974 Abstract

975 The European eel, Anguilla anguilla, is a catadromous and migratory species of 976 commercial importance. Its complex life cycle results in its exposure to many risk factors, 977 which have resulted in stock declines across all life stages since the 1970s. I investigated 978 temporal recruitment dynamics of juvenile eels (glass eels and elvers) in a small 979 Mediterranean estuary (Sardinia, Italy). The composition of the population and the 980 monthly and seasonal variations in the abundance of juvenile eels was assessed over 78 981 sampling events (from February 2017 to February 2018). Furthermore, I investigated the 982 effects of abiotic variables on the abundance of glass eels and elvers using generalized 983 additive models (GAMs). Glass eels had the greatest abundance during the winter 984 months, whereas elvers had the greatest abundance during spring. Modeling revealed 985 that the abundance of glass eels was mostly explained by the combined effects of water temperature (12.3-14.5 °C), tidal coefficient (40-110 cm), moon phase, season, and river 986 987 mouth condition, whereas the abundance of elvers was associated with water temperature $(14-21 \ ^{\circ}C)$, dissolved oxygen content (> 7 mg L⁻¹), and season. These results suggest that 988 989 the annual recruitment of juvenile eels occurs throughout the year, with clear seasonal 990 migration dynamics. The use of multiple statistical approaches allowed me to identify the 991 importance of several environmental variables in regulating the recruitment dynamics, 992 providing useful information for conserving eel stocks through the restoration of the 993 natural flow regime and the connectivity between freshwater habitats and the sea.

994 **4.1.1.1.** Introduction

The European eel, *Anguilla anguilla* (L.), is a catadromous, highly migratory, and euryhaline species of commercial importance (Tesch, 2003). The species' continental distribution extends over Europe and northern Africa, from Morocco to Norway, and throughout the Mediterranean and the Baltic Seas (Dekker, 2003a; Tesch, 2003). The

999 European eel has a complex life cycle, during which a growth phase occurs in continental 1000 waters and panmictic reproduction occurs in the Sargasso Sea (Andrello et al., 2009; Als 1001 et al., 2011; Amilhat et al., 2016). After spawning events, leptocephali are transported 1002 along the Gulf Stream and North Atlantic Drift on a long journey that lasts 7-12 months 1003 (Boëtius and Harding, 1985; Lecomte-Finiger, 1992; Arai et al., 2000). On reaching the 1004 continental shelf, leptocephalus larvae undergo a first metamorphosis into glass eels and 1005 colonize estuarine, coastal, and river habitats (Kleckner and McCleave, 1982; McCleave 1006 and Kleckner, 1982). After entering continental waters, eels undergo an acclimation 1007 period to adjust to freshwater (Ciccotti et al., 1993; Birrel et al., 2000) before completing 1008 their migration into continental feeding habitats as elvers and yellow eels (Van Ginneken 1009 and Maes, 2005). After a long feeding and growing period (of more than 20 years) 1010 (Naismith and Knights, 1993; Tesch, 2003), eels undergo a second metamorphosis into 1011 adult silver eels and finally migrate and spawn in the Sargasso Sea (Amilhat et al., 2016; 1012 Righton et al., 2016; Wright et al., 2022).

1013 This complex life cycle exposes European eels to many risk factors contributing 1014 to the decline of the species, although the exact causes have not yet been clearly 1015 established because of difficulties in assessing spawning stock abundance (Moriarty and 1016 Dekker, 1997; Dekker, 2001; Knights, 2003; Friedland et al., 2007; Harrison et al., 2014). 1017 Several factors have been proposed as being responsible for this crisis (Dekker, 2003a; 1018 Miller et al., 2016), including potential threats related to the cumulative effects of human impacts, such as overfishing (ICES, 2002; Dekker, 2004;), habitat loss (McCleave, 1019 1020 2001b; Knights, 2003), the presence of pathogens (Lefebvre et al., 2007; Palstra et al., 1021 2007; Culurgioni et al., 2014, 2015), pollution (Van Ginneken et al., 2009; Capaldo et al., 1022 2012), and ocean climate changes (Castonguay et al., 1994; Miller et al., 2009, 2016; 1023 Jacoby et al., 2015). With all life stages of the European eel has been in decline since the 1024 early 1970s, the stock reached its lowest level in the last few decades, with variable 1025 impacts on fisheries (Aalto et al., 2015; ICES, 2015; Bornarel et al., 2018). In Sardinia, 1026 based on commercial fishery data recorded in coastal lagoons, this decline was already 1027 evident in the 1950s (Moriarty and Dekker, 1997). Consequently, in 2007 a European 1028 Council Regulation established that all EU members must define and implement 1029 protection and conservation measures for the recovery of the European eel stock 1030 (European Council, EC, 2007). In Italy, the national plan indicates Sardinia as a single 1031 eel management unit (EMU). In Sardinia, the fishing of glass eels is forbidden, and it is 1032 expected that any individual with a total length of < 28 cm is immediately released 36 (regional decree no. 2617/DECA/53; September 27, 2018). The European eel was also
added to CITES Annex II to control its international trade, and in 2008 it was listed as
Critically Endangered in the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red
List of Threatened Species (IUCN, 2014).

1037 Juvenile eels (glass eels and elvers) represent the recruitment phase to continental 1038 waters (ICES, 2011) and exhibit variable abundances, depending on latitudinal, 1039 morphological, climatic, hydrodynamic, and site-specific abiotic factors (Harrison et al., 1040 2014; Trancart et al., 2014). The timing of recruitment and the spatial dynamics at a local 1041 scale have already been characterized for many estuaries and rivers located on the Atlantic 1042 coast of Europe (Beaulaton and Castelnaud, 2005; Bru et al., 2009; Arribas et al., 2012; 1043 Harrison et al., 2014; Walmsley et al., 2018). Only sporadic information is available along 1044 the Mediterranean coastline, however, and most of these data are restricted to the northern 1045 part of the western basin (Gandolfi et al., 1984; Ciccotti et al., 1995; Crivelli et al., 2008; 1046 Zompola et al., 2008; Leone et al., 2016; Westerberg et al., 2018). Juveniles may settle in 1047 coastal waters throughout the year, with recruitment peaks occurring at different times 1048 depending on latitudinal gradients (Zompola et al., 2008 and references therein). Along 1049 the north-western coast of the Mediterranean Sea, the recruitment peaks are usually 1050 observed from autumn to spring (Crivelli et al., 1995, 2008), whereas, in the Tyrrhenian 1051 Sea, the peak also occurs during winter (Gandolfi et al., 1984; Ciccotti et al., 1995).

1052 The recruitment has been studied along the Mediterranean and Atlantic European 1053 coasts and appears to be controlled by an interaction between physiological and abiotic 1054 factors (water temperature, river discharge, salinity, lunar phases, tidal cycle, turbidity, 1055 rainfall, and water odours) (Edeline et al., 2006; Zompola et al., 2008; Jellyman et al., 1056 2009). However, most of the data currently available almost exclusively concern large 1057 estuary systems. The influence of environmental factors on eel recruitment in small-scale 1058 systems remains poorly studied. Although the behavioral and environmental processes 1059 that modulate recruitment patterns are reasonably well understood, site-specific factors 1060 may play a significant role in determining fine-scale distribution patterns at an individual 1061 small coastal system level. Therefore, the aims of this study were: (i) to analyse the 1062 temporal recruitment pattern of juvenile eels in a small Mediterranean estuary; and (ii) to 1063 model the effects of abiotic factors on recruitment dynamics.

1064 4.1.1.2. Material and Methods

1065 4.1.1.2.1. Study area

1066 The study was conducted in the Pramaera river, Sardinia, Italy, a typical Mediterranean

1067 small watercourse characterised by a transient torrential regime and summer dryness. The

1068 watercourse has a catchment area of 17 km², and is entirely located in central-eastern

1069 Sardinia (Figure 4.1.1.).



1070 1071 **Figure 4.1.1.** Map of the Pramaera River, located in the central-eastern coast of Sardinia

1072 The Pramaera river does not currently experience fluvial interruptions of anthropogenic 1073 origin (i.e., dams or other artificial barriers). The study focused on the stretch of the river located near the river mouth (39°58.312'N, 9°41.175'E). This area is characterized by 1074 1075 brackish water, with a mean river width of 15 m. In the Pramaera estuary, artisanal fishing 1076 is carried out in the freshwater habitat and in the river mouth using fyke nets and gillnets 1077 to target euryhaline fish species (mullets and seabass), and particularly eels. The Pramaera river mouth has an estuarine typology, assuming a funnel shape when the watercourse 1078 1079 flows into the sea, and it is characterized by a substrate that is largely dominated by sand. 1080 Such substrate, together with hydrological forces, river flow rate, precipitation, and tidal 1081 flow, mainly affects changes in river mouth hydromorphology. Sudden extreme events 1082 (such as floods or sea storms) can modify the river mouth morphology very quickly. The 1083 shores of the river mouth near the sea are characterized by a lack of vegetation, whereas 1084 the area further back from the sea is characterized by the presence of reeds and rushes, 1085 which is typical of the Mediterranean retro-dune environment.

1086 *4.1.1.2.2. Data collection*

1087 Sampling campaigns were carried out monthly from February 2017 to February 2018 1088 during the weeks of the new moon (Elie and Rochard, 1994; De Casamajor et al., 1999), 1089 with the goal of catching juvenile eels at different times during their upstream migration 1090 and different phases of the settlement process. To evaluate the abundance of juvenile eels 1091 entering the watercourse from the sea, three experimental fyke nets (3.2 m long, two 1092 chambers, 31 and 28 cm wide, with 2-mm mesh size and two wings of 2.5 m) were 1093 installed near the river mouth, approximately 50 m from the sea (with one along each 1094 bank and one in the middle), with their mouths facing to the sea in order to capture 1095 individuals arriving from the sea. The sampling started at sunset and ended in the early 1096 hours of dawn. The fyke nets were inspected, when possible, every morning over seven 1097 consecutive days. Although this sampling allowed all eel stages to be captured, it was 1098 designed to be stage selective (with a mesh size of 2 mm). Only glass eels (< 7.4 cm, 1099 unpigmented eels) and elvers (< 15 cm, pigmented eels) were considered separately in 1100 the present analysis.

1101 All captured individuals were immediately placed in containers (20 L) filled with 1102 river water and anesthetized by immersion in a bath of clove oil (eugenol dissolved in 1103 ethyl alcohol) (Walsh and Pease, 2002). The glass eels and elvers captured on each 1104 sampling day were counted and weighed separately. Catch per unit effort (CPUE, 1105 hereafter referred to as 'abundance') corresponded to the total number of individuals 1106 caught per day divided by the number of fyke nets used on that same day (n individuals/n 1107 fyke nets). When total catches were high, a subsample of 50 individuals was randomly 1108 selected for further measurements. The total length (TL, to the nearest mm) and total 1109 weight (TW, to the nearest 0.01 g) of each individual were measured directly in the field. 1110 Once the measurements had been taken, the eels were transferred to another container (20 1111 L) with a continuous supply of river water for recovery and were monitored for 1 hour before their release upstream of the fyke nets. 1112

1113 These biotic data were used to analyse the relationship between TL and TW for 1114 both the glass eel and the elver stages using regression analysis (Ricker, 1973, 1975). 1115 Monthly and seasonal size differences were compared separately for each stage using the 1116 Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test (K-W, p < 0.05). Seasonal variation in abundance was 1117 assessed by plotting the mean monthly capture trend (standard error, ± SE) for each stage. 1118 Environmental parameters were also measured on each sampling day using a 1119 multiparameter probe (smarTROLL Multiparameter Handheld, InSitu Europe, North 1120 Moons Moat, Redditch, Worcs. B98 9ND, United Kingdom), including the water and air temperatures (°C), salinity, pH, dissolved oxygen content (mg L^{-1}), and oxygen saturation 1121 1122 levels (%). In addition, rainfall (mm), moon phase, tidal coefficient (cm), and river mouth 1123 condition were recorded. Rainfall data were provided by the regional meteorological 1124 department (Sardinia Environmental Protection Agency, ARPAS) from three meteorological stations situated in the area of the Pramaera river basin and were estimated 1125 1126 as the average rainfall recorded during the week before each sampling date. Moon phase 1127 data were collected using an online application (https://www.calendario-1128 365.it/luna/calendario-lunare.html). Because sampling was performed during the week of 1129 the new moon for each sampling campaign, this covered a period of the waning moon 1130 (i.e., the days before the new moon), the new moon, and the waxing moon (i.e., the days 1131 after the new moon). The tidal coefficient was also recorded for each day of sampling, 1132 which was calculated as the difference in height between consecutive high and low tides. 1133 Finally, river mouth condition was considered as a local factor (open or nearly open river 1134 mouth). A summary of the environmental features of each season is given in Table S4.1.1.

1135 4.1.1.2.3. Model analysis

1136 Before undertaking the modeling, the abundance data were tested for normality (Shapiro-1137 Wilk test, S-W, p < 0.01) and homogeneity of variance (Levene's test, p < 0.01), which 1138 showed that they had a nonnormal distribution. Therefore, to address this and to handle 1139 zero inflation, which seriously affects juvenile eel samples, a Tweedie distribution family 1140 was fitted to the abundance data (Shono, 2008; Augustin et al., 2013).

1141 For the abiotic parameters, the Zuur et al., (2010) protocol was followed, whereby 1142 collinearity was examined by computing pairwise scatter plots that compared continuous 1143 covariates and those combinations that had relevant Spearman's rho coefficients ($\rho > 0.7$) 1144 were discarded prior to modeling. The variance inflation factor (VIF) was also used to 1145 check collinearities among the predictive variables, and variables with VIF > 3 were 1146 discarded. Data exploration revealed nonlinear patterns among the response variables, so 1147 juvenile abundance (dependent variable) and its relationships with environmental, 1148 temporal, and site-specific variables were described using generalized additive models 1149 (GAMs) by a log link function (Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990; Maunder and Punt, 2004). 1150 Seasons and moon phases (waning, new, or waxing moon) were included as temporal factors, whereas river mouth conditions (open or nearly open) were included as sitespecific factors. Only days on which the river mouth was open or nearly open were included in the analysis because a closed river mouth represents a physical barrier to the ascent of juvenile eels.

1155 A GAM can be considered a generalized linear model in which part of the linear 1156 predictor is specified as a sum of the smooth functions (smooth function, s) of the 1157 predictor variables and where the challenge is to find suitable parametric representations 1158 for the smooth functions and to control the degree of smoothness appropriately (Wood 1159 and Augustin, 2002). A stepwise backward selection procedure was implemented to 1160 identify the best-fitting model, based on the minimization of Akaike's information 1161 criterion (AIC) (Akaike, 1973). At each step in the selection procedure, the variables with 1162 the highest P values (p > 0.05) were dropped to produce a model with a lower AIC, and 1163 backward selection continued until the lowest AIC was reached.

1164 The total explained deviance and the relative contribution of each factor were 1165 evaluated for each model and the performance of the models was evaluated with cross-1166 validation using Pearson's correlation coefficients between the observed and predicted abundances. All statistical analyses were performed using R software (R Core Team, 1167 1168 2018), with a significance level of p < 0.05, and the GAM approach, as proposed by Wood 1169 (2006),was performed using the library mgcv 1.8-12 (https://CRAN.R-1170 project.org/package=mgcv).

1171 **4.1.1.3. Results**

1172 4.1.1.3.1. Population structure and seasonal migration

1173 Twelve sampling campaigns were carried out from February 2017 to February 2018 1174 during the weeks of the new moon. The river mouth was closed during the campaign of 1175 November 2017, so these data were not available for the analysis. The total catches over 1176 the entire study period were 28,999 glass eels, weighing 7,212.68 g, and 620 elvers, weighing 640.28 g. A total of 2,064 glass eels and 313 elver specimens were measured 1177 1178 during the 78 sampling events. There was a negative allometric relationship between size and weight for the glass eels that favored body length development (b = 2.70, R² = 0.82, 1179 $y = 0.0014x^{2.70}$) (Figure 4.1.2.a). The mean values for TL and TW (mean \pm SD) for glass 1180 eels were 6.3 ± 0.33 cm and 0.25 ± 0.04 g, respectively. The largest individual was 1181 1182 captured in winter (7.4 cm and 0.33 g), whereas the smallest was captured in spring (5.2 1183 cm and 0.12 g); however, there was no significant difference in the median TL of glass eels among the months and seasons (K-W, p > 0.05) (Figure 4.1.3.a). 1184





1186 1187 1188 Figure 4.1.2. Size-weight relationship for (a) glass eels (n = 2064) and (b) elvers (n = 313) from the Pramaera River





1191 Figure 4.1.3. (a) Relationship between total length and month of the year for glass eels. (b) Relationship 1192 between catch per unit effort (CPUE, i.e., number per trap per day) and month of the year for glass eels. 1193 Box lengths represent interquartile ranges, bar lengths represent ranges, horizontal lines represent median 1194 values, and black dots represent mean values. Error lines represent the standard errors for each month. The 1195 black line denotes the trend of the CPUE data

- 1196 On average, higher glass eel abundances (mean \pm SE) occurred during the winter months
- 1197 (February 2017, 281.8 ± 242.24 individuals per day; January 2018, 371.68 ± 98.63
- 1198 individuals per day; and February 2018, 555.54 ± 153.66 individuals per day), whereas
- 1199 the lowest recruitment was observed from late spring to early autumn (with a minimum
- 1200 mean value in September of 1.5 ± 0.27 individuals per day) (Figure 4.1.3.b). Overall,
- 1201 80.45% of the glass eel catches were recorded in February 2017 and 2018, and in January
- 1202 2018.

1203 The size-weight relationships for elvers had allometric coefficients of > 3 (b = $3.19, R^2 = 0.91, y = 0.0008x^{3.19}$, Figure 4.1.2.b). The mean values for TL and TW (mean 1204 \pm SD) for elvers were 9.4 \pm 2.4 cm and 1.03 \pm 7.35 g, respectively, and size differences 1205 1206 were detected among months, with the smallest elvers being captured in spring, after 1207 which time there was a progressive increase in TL during the summer and autumn months 1208 so that the largest individuals were captured during the winter months (K-W, p < 0.001) 1209 (Figure 4.1.4.a). The elver abundance (mean \pm SE) was highest during spring, with two 1210 peaks in May and June (17.15 ± 4.59 and 12.62 ± 9.54 individuals per day, respectively, Figure 4.1.4.b), representing 71.60% of the total catches. No elvers were captured in July 1211 1212 and December.







Figure 4.1.4. (a) Relationship between total length and month of the year for elvers. **(b)** Relationship between catch per unit effort (CPUE, i.e., number per trap per day) and month of the year for elvers. Box

1217 lengths represent interquartile ranges, bar lengths represent ranges, horizontal lines represent median

44

values, and black dots represent mean values. Error lines represent the standard errors for each month. Theblack line denotes the trend of the CPUE data

1220 4.1.1.3.2. Factors affecting juvenile eel abundance

Air temperature (°C), oxygen saturation (%), pH, and salinity were eliminated from the analysis based on the VIF criteria used. Therefore, in the modeling, water temperature (T), dissolved oxygen (DO), rainfall (Rain), and tidal coefficient (Tide) were considered as continuous variables, and season (Season), moon phase (Moon), and river mouth condition (Mouth) were considered as categorical factors.

1226 Three models were tested for glass eels (Table S4.1.2.). The best model included 1227 five exploratory variables (AIC = 842.3): water temperature (T), tidal coefficient (Tide), 1228 seasons (Season), moon phase (Moon), and river mouth condition (Mouth) (Table 1229 S4.1.3.). The final model had statistically significant goodness of fit and explained 66.1% 1230 of the total deviance. Examination of the relative contribution of each variable to the total 1231 explained deviance revealed that water temperature was the most important factor 1232 (48.7%), followed by the tidal coefficient (10.4%). In addition, season, moon phase, and 1233 river mouth condition showed some importance, explaining 7% of the total deviance. The 1234 response plots for each variable included in the best-fitting GAM are shown in Figure 1235 4.1.5. Glass eel abundance decreased at temperatures of 10-12 °C, to reach a local 1236 minimum of about 12 °C, and then increased to reach a maximum of approximately 14-1237 15 °C. Temperatures above this resulted in relatively high variation. The probability of 1238 glass eel abundance was also significantly affected by season, reaching a maximum value 1239 in winter and a minimum value in summer. The likelihood of a large increase in 1240 abundance was clearly associated with an open river mouth condition. The abundance of 1241 glass eels increased steadily and positively with tidal coefficient, ranging from 40 to 110 1242 cm. Finally, the model revealed that the waxing moon phase appeared to have a slight, 1243 but statically significant, effect on glass eel abundance. Cross-validation indicated a moderate correlation between the observed and fitted abundance values (CPUE, $R^2 =$ 1244 1245 0.52, Figure S4.1.1.a).





Figure 4.1.5. Generalized additive model (GAM)-derived effect of covariate modeling for the abundance (catch per unit effort, CPUE) of glass eels. Shaded areas and dashed lines indicate 95% confidence bands; tick marks on the x-axes of the depth plots indicate sampling intensity. The y-axis represents the partial effect of each variable

1251 Five GAM models were tested for elvers, the best fitting (AIC = 268.9, Table 1252 S4.1.4.) of which retained three of the nine covariates: water temperature (T), dissolved 1253 oxygen (DO), and season (Season) (Table S4.1.5.). The final model explained 74.1% of 1254 the total deviance and all the terms were significant. Examination of the relative 1255 contribution of the individual covariates indicated that water temperature (67.7%) and 1256 dissolved oxygen (5.09%) explained the largest level of the observed variation in elver 1257 abundance, whereas season had a relatively lower contribution to the model (1.31%). 1258 Response plots showed that elver abundance was positively related to water temperature, 1259 with the highest abundance occurring at 21 °C (Figure 4.1.6.). In addition, the abundance 1260 of elvers was significantly affected by season, with a greater abundance being observed 1261 in spring, and was slightly positively related to the dissolved oxygen content (DO) for values $> 7 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$. The model validation that was developed showed good predictive 1262 power ($R^2 = 0.68$, Figure S4.1.1.b). 1263



1264

Figure 4.1.6. Generalized additive model (GAM)-derived effect of covariate modeling for the abundance
(catch per unit effort, CPUE) of elvers. Shaded areas and dashed lines indicate 95% confidence bands; tick
marks on the x-axes of the depth plots indicate sampling intensity. The y-axis represents the
partial effect of each variable

1269 **4.1.1.4. Discussions**

1270 The recruitment of juvenile eels in a small estuary on the coast of the Tyrrhenian Sea in 1271 Sardinia occurred throughout the year, with evident seasonal migration dynamics. The period of maximum recruitment for glass eels was between winter and early spring, with 1272 1273 peaks from January to March, matching the findings of previous studies conducted in 1274 estuaries along the Atlantic coast of south-western Europe and in the Mediterranean Sea 1275 (Gandolfi et al., 1984; Ciccotti et al., 1995; Zompola et al., 2008; Arribas et al., 2012; 1276 Aranburu et al., 2016; Aschonitis et al., 2017). My findings also confirmed the results of 1277 previous studies conducted in Sardinia, which reported maximum concentrations of glass 1278 eels during the first few months of the year (Cau et al., 1982).

1279 The TL of glass eels recorded in the Pramaera estuary ranged from 5.2 to 7.4 cm, 1280 which is lower than previous data reported for the eastern coast of Sardinia (Flumendosa 1281 River) (Cau et al., 1982). At a wider geographical scale, data from the Atlantic coast 1282 confirmed a decrease in TL in association with a decrease in recruitment (Desaunay and 1283 Guerault, 1997). At a seasonal scale, the differences in mean TL were quite moderate, 1284 with the lowest values recorded in spring. Similarly, Cau et al. (1982) found that glass eels that were captured in spring were smaller than those caught in the other seasons.
Previous studies conducted in the European Atlantic and Mediterranean Tyrrhenian coast
reported that glass eels entering the estuary in spring were shorter and lighter than those
arriving in autumn and summer (Gandolfi et al., 1984; Bardonnet and Riera, 2005).

1289 Recruitment of the elver stage in the Pramaera estuary began in late winter, peaked 1290 sharply in May, and persisted through October, supporting the findings of other studies 1291 conducted in both Mediterranean (Ezzat and El-Serafy, 1977; Gandolfi et al., 1984; 1292 Boëtius and Boëtius, 1989; Leone et al., 2016) and Atlantic estuaries (Naismith and 1293 Knights, 1988). The seasonal occurrence of elvers near the river mouth suggests a 1294 temporary period of residency during their upstream migration, and results in an increase 1295 in abundance and therefore an increase in their catchability. This pattern, which has also 1296 been observed in many previous studies (Naismith and Knights, 1988; Gascuel et al., 1297 1995; Bardonnet and Riera, 2005; Laffaille et al., 2007), was confirmed by the fact that 1298 the mean size of elvers in the Pramaera estuary increased from May to October. I 1299 hypothesized a horizontal active movement of the elvers from the river to the estuary because they are prone to use the sandy area near the river mouth as a foraging ground. 1300

1301 The use of multiple statistical approaches allowed me to identify the importance 1302 of some environmental variables in the recruitment of juvenile eels. Models, which were 1303 based on correlational observations in the field, revealed that water temperature is the 1304 most important factor controlling the abundance of glass eels. Similarly, water 1305 temperature has previously been reported as being one of the most significant predictors 1306 of the periodicity and magnitude of upstream eel migration among the numerous potential 1307 environmental factors (Crivelli et al., 2008; Arribas et al., 2012). Results support the 1308 findings of previous studies that glass eels become inactive and less susceptible to capture 1309 when the water temperature drops below a threshold of 10-12 °C (Gascuel, 1986; Edeline 1310 et al., 2006); however, the active migration of glass eels was associated with temperatures 1311 of 12.3–14.5 °C, which were often recorded during the winter season (12 ± 0.15 °C), and 1312 this was statistically confirmed by the model.

1313 The conspicuous abundance of glass eels was predicted to be associated with the 1314 open river mouth condition. Sardinian rivers tend to represent intermittently estuarine 1315 systems as a result of the large water-level fluctuations that are caused by summer drought 1316 conditions (Sabatini et al., 2011, 2018). Such estuaries are closed off from the sea for 1317 varying periods by a sandbar that develops at the mouth when there is little to no water 1318 discharge (Suari et al., 2019). These systems are also subject to rapid changes over short 1319 periods of time during mouth opening and river flooding events, however, which are 1320 generally associated with heavy rainfall. In these types of estuaries, prolonged mouth 1321 closure leads to a cumulative discharge of fresh water, which acts as a lure that guides 1322 glass eel migration (Crivelli et al., 2008). Supporting this, laboratory experiments have 1323 shown that chemical stimuli, such as geosmine, play an important role as inland water 1324 markers that are involved in the orientation of glass eels towards freshwater (Tosi et al., 1325 1989; Tosi and Sola, 1993). The recruitment of glass eels was also associated with an 1326 increase in the tidal coefficient. Even if the tidal range is extremely reduced in the 1327 Mediterranean Sea in comparison with the Atlantic Ocean, the tides would continue to 1328 move water in and out of the estuary, potentially exerting a strong influence on glass eels, 1329 which might be carried by the tidal currents. This result confirms previous experimental 1330 evidence, indicating that the tidal cycle plays a pivotal role as a migration vector to fresh 1331 water for glass eels (Gandolfi et al., 1984; Ciccotti et al., 1995; Aranburu et al., 2016). 1332 The moon phase also influenced the upstream migration of glass eels in the estuary, with 1333 higher catches being obtained around the time of the waxing moon. An association 1334 between glass eel migration and lunar phases has been widely reported in the literature 1335 (Sorensen and Bianchini, 1986; Leone et al., 2016; Milardi et al., 2018), but this link has 1336 been mainly attributed to the coupled relationship between the moon and the tide (Tesch, 1337 2003). Finally, the results revealed that oxygen concentration, salinity, and rainfall were 1338 not significantly correlated with glass eel abundance in the study area. Other 1339 environmental factors, not investigated in this study, could explain the observed patterns 1340 in glass eel abundance. In this regard, coastal storms from the sea, and wind speed and 1341 direction have been suggested to affect glass eel migration toward the river mouth 1342 (Lecomte-Finiger and Razouls, 1981; Arribas et al., 2012; Leone et al., 2016).

1343 The temperature was also found to be the most important predictor of the 1344 abundance of elvers in the Pramaera estuary, with higher abundances being observed at temperatures of 14-21 °C, which occur during spring. Seasonal water temperatures are 1345 1346 often considered to influence the movements of elvers in lagoons (Leone et al., 2016), 1347 with most studies arguing that seasonal increases in water temperature are positively 1348 correlated with active swimming, upstream migration, growth, metabolism, and 1349 pigmentation along both the Atlantic and Mediterranean coasts (Ezzat and El-Serafy, 1350 1977; Boëtius and Boëtius, 1989; Edeline et al., 2006). Dissolved oxygen showed 49 1351 remarkable temporal variability as a result of the combined effects of climatic factors, 1352 anthropogenic activities, and the specific features of the system. Seasonally, the 1353 maximum of dissolved oxygen recorded in spring could be related to the autotrophic 1354 production of oxygen by phytoplankton. Therefore, I hypothesized that the association 1355 with dissolved oxygen for values above 7 mg/L could be interpreted as an indirect effect 1356 related to the greater abundance of elvers during spring rather than an active preference. 1357 On the other hand, the European eel is able to tolerate relatively high levels of hypoxia 1358 (Trischitta et al., 2014), thanks to its ability to use both branchial and cutaneous modes 1359 of respiratory gas exchange (Tesch, 2003).

1360 The present study has provided, for the first time, information on environmental 1361 factors that control juvenile eel recruitment in a small Mediterranean estuary. In this 1362 context, site-specific data represent valuable and robust tools to assess stock status, 1363 especially in data-poor situations, as asserted by ICES (2016a). Analyses suggests that 1364 the seasonal recruitment of European eels at the study site is similar to the patterns seen 1365 along the Atlantic coast of southwestern Europe and the Mediterranean coast. 1366 Furthermore, the model demonstrated that the migration dynamics of juvenile eels are related to environmental, temporal, and site-specific factors, such as the water 1367 1368 temperature, tidal coefficient, oxygen concentration, moon phase, and river mouth 1369 condition. One key management measure to preserve juvenile eel recruitment in small 1370 Mediterranean watersheds could be to restore the thermal regime through river flow 1371 control. Many of the small estuaries in Sardinia are intermittently and partly closed as a 1372 result of the reduction of natural freshwater flow as a consequence of the presence of 1373 dams and weirs. In this context, many authors have stressed the critical importance of 1374 maintaining natural flow conditions and connectivity between freshwater habitats and the 1375 sea (Moriarty and Dekker, 1997; Lafaille et al., 2005a; ICES, 2011; Ciccotti et al., 2014; 1376 Besson et al., 2016). Therefore, further studies should be carried out to investigate the 1377 role of marine currents on the differences in the fluctuation dynamics of juvenile eels that 1378 are observed between the western and eastern sides of Sardinia (Cau et al., 1982). The 1379 use of Lagrangian models, which are already used to assess other species with pelagic 1380 larval forms in the Sea of Sardinia (Palmas et al., 2017), could represent an important tool 1381 for investigating the dispersion and recruitment of larvae in the Mediterranean Sea. Such 1382 studies will be important not only to understand the recruitment dynamics of European 1383 eels in the Mediterranean Sea but also to predict the time of arrival of glass eels in the 1384 proximity of the estuaries and the development of local management strategies.

1385 4.1.1.5. Supplementary Materials

Table S4.1.1. Environmental parameters in the Pramaera estuary in different seasons

Season	Water T (°C)	Air T (°C)	Salinity	рН	Dissolved O ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	O ₂ Saturation (%)	Tide Coeff (cm)	Rainfall (mm)
Winter	12.7 ± 0.15	15.7 ± 0.8	10.6 ± 1.9	7.9 ± 0.14	8.6 ± 0.37	81.4 ± 2.01	76 ± 2.4	2.1 ± 1.3
Spring	18.6 ± 0.84	21.4 ± 0.78	6.1 ± 1.17	7.2 ± 0.04	8.01 ± 0.24	81.1 ± 2.52	79.8 ± 4.58	0.24 ± 0.16
Summe r	23.6 ± 0.35	29.8 ± 1.05	6.2 ± 1.14	7.2 ± 0.04	7.8 ± 0.16	75 ± 1.08	85.9 ± 7.39	0.22 ± 0.22
Autumn	17.8 ± 2.02	22.9 ± 2.27	5.6 ± 2.75	7.4 ± 0.15	7.3 ± 0.06	67.8 ± 1.43	83.7 ± 4.4	1.6 ± 1.56

1388 Table S4.1.2. AIC and explained deviance for all glass eels model combinations. s(T) is the smooth effect 1389 associated with water temperature, s(DO) is a smooth effect associated with dissolved oxygen, Tide is the 1390 tidal coefficient, s(Rainfall) is the smooth effect associated with rainfall, Season represents the four seasons 1391 of the year, Moon is the moon phase and Mouth denotes the river mouth condition. AIC: Akaike 1.

392	Information Criterion; % Deviance: total deviance explained. The best model is highlighted in bo	old
		0/_

Component Model		70	
Component Model	AIC	Deviance	
$Glass \ eels \ CPUE \sim s(T) + s(DO) + Tide + s(Rainfall) + Season + Moon + Mouth$	844.8	64.5	
Glass eels CPUE ~ s(T) + Tide + s(Rainfall) + Season + Moon + Mouth	843.6	65.2	
Glass eels CPUE ~ s(T) + Tide + Season + Moon + Mouth	842.3	66.1	

Variables	p-value
Т	***
Tide	*
Season	***
Moon	*
Mouth	**

 1394
 Table S4.1.3. Summary of each single explanatory variable for the glass eels' best model

 Variables
 p-value

Table S4.1.4. AIC and explained deviance for all elver model combinations. s(T) is the smooth effect associated with water temperature, DO is the dissolved oxygen, Tide is the tidal coefficient, s(Rainfall) is the smooth effect associated with rainfall, Season represents the four seasons, Moon is the moon phase and Mouth denotes the river mouth condition. AIC: Akaike Information Criterion; % Deviance: total deviance explained. The best model is highlighted in bold

Component Model		%
		Deviance
Elvers CPUE ~ s(T) + DO + Tide + s(Rainfall) + Season + Moon + Mouth	278.5	70.9
Elvers CPUE ~ s(T) + DO + Tide + s(Rainfall) + Season + Mouth	274.5	72.3
Elvers CPUE ~ s(T) + DO + s(Rainfall) + Season + Mouth	272.8	73.5
Elvers CPUE ~ s(T) + DO + Season + Mouth	271.5	73.9
Elvers CPUE ~ s(T) + DO + Season	268.9	74.1
Variables	p-value	
-----------	---------	
Т	***	
DO	*	
Season	*	

1402 <u>Table S4.1.5. Summary of each single explanatory variable</u> for the elver best model Variables **p-value**

1403



Figure S4.1.1. Graphical summary of linear regression analyses between observed and predicted abundance of juvenile eel with the generalized additive models (GAMs). (a) glass eel and (b) elver. The black line represents the linear regressions. The dashed line represents the 1:1 unbiased relationship between observed and predicted values

4.1.2. Inter-annual and month-to-month variations of glass eels' *recruitment within and among estuaries in the central-*

1411 western Mediterranean

1412 Glass eels' migration timing varies among estuaries, with peaks occurring at different 1413 times (Gascuel et al., 1995; Briand et al., 2003, 2019; Laffaille et al., 2007; Arribas et al., 1414 2012; Harrison et al., 2014), even though glass eels' recruitment happens all the year. 1415 Previous studies provided that, eels' migration peaks have different timings across 1416 different Mediterranean estuaries, making it difficult to identify recurrent temporal and geographical patterns of distribution and abundance (ICES, 2020b), and it is known that 1417 1418 these differences are related to latitudinal gradients and local environmental conditions 1419 (Lecomte-Finiger, 1981; Bolliet et al., 2007; Harrison et al., 2014; Cresci, 2020; Podda 1420 et al., 2020a).

In this study, I investigated glass eels' recruitment in four estuaries located in the central-western Mediterranean. More specifically, I described the recruitment peak temporal window, and compared biometric variations within and among investigated areas.

1425 4.1.2.1. Material and Methods

1426 4.1.2.1.1. Study sites, data collection, and statistics

Glass eels' recruitment and biometric data have been collected from four estuarine areas
in the central-western Mediterranean (Figure 4.2.1.): the Bages-Sigean lagoon (Lagarde
et al., 2022) and the Rhône delta (Camargue) (Bouchard et al., 2022) in southern France,
the Pramaera river mouth in the central-east of Sardinia (Italy) (Podda et al., 2020a;
Porceddu et al., 2022), and the Ter river mouth in the south-east of Spain (Zamora and
Costarrosa, 2019).





1434Figure 4.2.1. The four studied areas of glass eels' recruitment in the western Mediterranean (grey-scale1435stars)

1436 Recruitment data from the Bages-Sigean lagoon were collected from December 1437 2018 to March 2021 through an experimental survey using floating traps. The dataset 1438 from the Rhône delta was obtained through experimental samplings from November 2016 1439 to May 2021 using experimental fyke nets (mesh size 1.5 mm). Glass eels' data from the 1440 Pramaera river mouth were collected during an experimental fishing campaign from 1441 February 2017 to May 2021. Samplings were made using experimental fyke nets (mesh 1442 size 2 mm). No data were collected in November 2017, 2019, and 2020. For the Ter river 1443 mouth, glass eels' catches were collected from December 2016 to January 2020 by 1444 professional fishermen using fyke nets (mesh size 1.5 mm). No catch data were collected 1445 between February 2017 to March 2019 and from February 2020 to March 2021. In 1446 addition, biometric data were also collected during experimental surveys from November 1447 2018 to February 2020.

1448 The migration of glass eels takes place all year round, but in the Mediterranean, 1449 the maximum recruitment season begins in October to end in May of the following year, 1450 with the maximum peak occurring between November and March (Crivelli et al., 2008; 1451 Zompola et al., 2008; ICES, 2020b; Podda et al., 2020a; Lagarde et al., 2021, 2022; Bouchard et al., 2022). In this study, the analysed data refer to the peak period ofrecruitment (from November to March).

For each site, recruitment data correspond to the daily catches in terms of the total number or total weight of caught glass eels. Average monthly trends of catch data were qualitatively described for each studied area. Since different tools and sampling protocols were used, and different units of measurement were applied (some as the total number and others as the total weight of captured individuals), catch data were not statistically compared.

For the biometrics study, the total length (TL, mm), the total weight (TW, g), and the pigmentation stage (Briand, 2009) of glass eels were considered, respectively. Furthermore, relationships between TL and TW measurements were analysed using regression analysis (Ricker, 1973, 1975).

1464 For both catches as well as biometrics, the Shapiro test was applied for the 1465 normality assumption. Because the test revealed a non-normality of data (Shapiro test, p 1466 < 0.05), inferential comparisons were performed using the non-parametric Kruskal-1467 Wallis (K-W) test followed by a multiple comparison post hoc Dunn's (Z) test. 1468 Significance was set at p value < 0.05. For catches, comparisons were made within each 1469 studied area separately, to investigate statistical interannual differences (the same month 1470 among different years). Biometrics were compared to discriminate differences among the 1471 studied areas (month-to-month of the same year and among the same month of different 1472 years).

1473 Pigmentation stages were classified according to Briand (2009) and grouped into 1474 three categories (VA, VB, and VIA0 as category I; VIA1, and VIA2 as category II; VIA3, 1475 VIA4, and VIB as category III). Groups were evaluated preliminarily to assess the 1476 contribution of the less pigmented stages (VA, VB, VIA0, VIA1, and VIA2) in proportion 1477 to the most advanced ones (VIA3, VIA4, and VIB). In all study sites, pigmentation stages 1478 between VA to VIA2 represented about the 90% of the proportion of all the stages, and 1479 for this reason also glass eels with the more advanced pigmentation stages, representing 1480 a minority fraction, were included in the analyses. The three pigmentation groups were compared using the χ^2 test (p < 0.05). 1481

1482 Due to the restrictions related to the COVID-19 pandemic, no glass eels' 1483 biometrics were available in March 2020 for the Bages-Sigean lagoon, the Pramaera river 1484 mouth, and the Ter river mouth, while only four glass eels were sampled in Rhône Delta.

1485 **4.1.2.2. Results**

1486 4.1.2.2.1. Catch data

1487 For all investigated areas, the maximum mean catches occurred in mid-winter with a peak

1488 between December and February, and exhibited site-specific and interannual variability,

1489 both between different sites and within the same site (Figure 4.2.2.).



1490

Figure 4.2.2. CPUE temporal trend for Bages-Sigean Lagoon (A), Rhône Delta (B), Pramaera river mouth
 (C), and Ter river mouth (D)

1493In Bages-Sigean lagoon significant differences were found among months of1494several years of study (K-W = 107.12, p < 0.0001). Month-to-month differences (Table14954.2.1.) were identified for December 2018 versus December 2019 and versus December

1496 2020 (Z = -5.31, p < 0.0001 and Z = -4.28, p = 0.0017) with a higher number of catches 1497 in 2018, between March 2020 and March 2021 (Z = 4.55, p < 0.001), with greater values 1498 in 2021, and between November 2019 versus November 2020 (Z = -3.98, p = 0.0062), 1499 with higher values in 2019.

1500 In Rhône Delta, catches statistically differed (K-W = 49.37, p = 0.0017) only 1501 between January 2017 and January 2018, whereas in 2017 no catches occurred.

1502 In Pramaera estuary, no differences occurred between the same month of several 1503 investigated years (K-W = 47.03, p > 0.05).

In Ter estuary, statistical differences (K-W = 27.38, p < 0.0001) were found between December 2016 and December 2019 (Z = -3.50, p = 0.0070), with higher catch values in 2016 than in 2019.

1507**Table 4.2.1.** Dunn's paired test on catch values within areas between the same month of several studied1508years. Only significant differences are reported

	p-value	Significance	
Bages-Sigean			
K-W = 107.12 <i>p</i> < 0.0001	December 2018-December 2019	< 0.0001	****
	December 2018-December 2020	0.0017	**
	March 2020-March 2021	< 0.001	***
	November 2019-November 2020	0.0062	**
Rhône Delta			
K-W = 49.37 p = 0.0017	January 2017- January 2018	0.00021	***
Ter			
K-W = 27.38 <i>p</i> < 0.001	December 2016-December 2019	0.0070	**
	1 0.0001 dububub	0.001	0.01

1509 Asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ****; p < 0.001 = ***; p < 0.01 = **; p < 0.05 = *

1510 *4.1.2.2.2. Biometric data*

1511 Biometrics were collected on a total of 7,563 glass eels across the four study sites, of

1512 which 936 in the Bages-Sigean lagoon, 1,898 in Rhône Delta, 3,421 in the Pramaera river

1513 mouth, and 1,308 in the Ter river mouth (Figure 4.2.3.).



1514

1515 Figure 4.2.3. Total length (TL, mm) monthly median values for all four sampling areas (A: Bages-Sigean

- 1516 lagoon; B: Rhône Delta; C: Pramaera river mouth; D: Ter river mouth). Error bars indicate the standard deviation for each month
- 1518 For the Bages-Sigean lagoon, glass eels' TL ranged from 51 to 76 mm (65.3 ± 4.6
- 1519 mm), and TW from 0.10 to 44 g (0.23 \pm 0.05 g). Maximum TL and TW were observed
- 1520 in the first winter months (November-January), while the minimum values occurred in
- 1521 March 2019 and 2021.
- In Rhône Delta, glass eels' TL ranged from 52 to 85 mm (65.7 ± 3.9 mm), while TW ranged from 0.07 to 0.56 g (0.23 ± 0.06 g). In this area, the greatest TL and TW were observed in the central winter months (January and February), while the smallest ones were observed in March 2021.
- 1526 In the Pramaera river mouth, the TL of glass eels varied from 52 to 75 mm (mean 1527 \pm standard deviation = 64.2 \pm 3.6 mm), and their TW varied from 0.10 to 0.39 g (0.22 \pm

1528 0.04 g). The greatest TL and TW were observed in January 2019, while the smallest ones1529 were in March 2018.

In the Ter river mouth, the TL of glass eels varied from 46 to 80 mm (mean \pm standard deviation = 65.0 \pm 4.8 mm), and their TW varied from 0.03 to 0.52 g (0.27 \pm 0.07 g). The greatest TL and TW were observed in November 2018, while the smallest ones were collected in the months of December.

Because TL and TW were significantly related at each investigated area level (Figure 4.2.4.) ($\mathbb{R}^2 > 0.6$, p < 0.05), subsequent analyses of biometrics were performed considering only the TL as a proxy of glass eels' body size. Furthermore, there was a positive allometric relationship between TL and TW (b > 3) in Bages-Sigean lagoon and in the Rhône Delta, while negative allometry (b < 3) was found in the Pramaera and Ter river mouths.



1540
1541
1542
1542
1543
1544
1544
1544
1544
1545
1544
1545
1546
1546
1547
1547
1548
1548
1549
1549
1549
1549
1549
1549
1549
1549
1549
1549
1549
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540
1540</l

 $\begin{array}{ll} 1543 \\ 1544 \end{array} \mbox{ mouth; bottom-right: Ter river mouth). In the top-left of each graphic the equation and the <math display="inline">R^2$ of the regression, respectively \\ \end{array}

1545 4.1.2.2.3. TL comparisons within estuaries

- 1546 Intra-annual (in the same area) and interannual (among areas) differences in TL were
- 1547 observed (Table 4.2.2. and Figure 4.2.5.).
- 1548
 Table 4.2.2. Dunn's paired test on TL values within areas between the same month of several studied years.

 1549
 Only significant differences are reported

	Years of comparison	p-value	Significance
November			
Ter	2018-2019	< 0.0001	****
$K-W = 54.61 \ p < 0.0001$			
-			
Desember			
December	2010 2010	0.04	ىلە
Knone Delta	2018-2019	0.04	Ť
K-W = 11.39 p = 0.0098			
Pramaera	2017-2018	< 0.0001	****
$K-W = 62.20 \ p < 0.0001$	2017-2020	< 0.0001	****
Ter	2018-2019	< 0.0001	****
$K-W = 54.61 \ p < 0.0001$			
r i i r			
January			
Bages-Sigean	2020-2021	< 0.0001	****
K-W = 19.74 <i>p</i> < 0.0001			
Rhône Delta	2018-2020	0.0014	**
K-W = 31.27 <i>p</i> < 0.0001	2020-2021	< 0.0001	****
Pramaera	2018-2019	< 0.0001	****
$K-W = 104.57 \ p < 0.0001$	2018-2020	< 0.0001	****
	2018-2021	< 0.0001	****
February			
Bages-Sigean	2019-2020	0.0026	**
$K-W = 17.03 \ p < 0.0001$	2020-2021	0.0021	**
Rhône Delta	2017-2018	< 0.0001	****
$K-W = 44.85 \ p < 0.0001$	2017-2020	< 0.0001	****
*	2017-2021	< 0.0001	****
Pramaera	2017-2018	0.0088	**
$K-W = 83.52 \ p < 0.0001$	2017-2019	< 0.0001	****
1	2018-2019	< 0.0001	****
	2018-2020	0.0061	**
	2018-2021	0.0047	**
	2019-2020	<0.0001	****
	2019-2020	<0.0001	****
March	2017 2021		
Rhône Delta	2017-2019	0.021	*
$K-W = 19.54 \ p < 0.0001$	2017-2021	0.005	**
r	2018-2021	0.0231	*
Pramaera	2017-2018	<0.0001	****
$K-W = 33.21 \ p < 0.0001$	2017-2019	0.039	*
	2017 2019	<0.0001	****
	2010-2019	0.0012	**
	2010-2021	0.0012	*
	2019-2021	0.01	

1550 Asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ****; p < 0.001 = ***; p < 0.01 = **; p < 0.05 = *



1551
1552
Figure 4.2.5. Median TL trends for each investigated year. Dark lines represent Bages-Sigean lagoon, dark gray Rhône Delta, gray Pramaera river mouth, and light gray Ter river mouth

1554 In November, intra-annual differences were obtained only in the Ter river mouth 1555 (K-W = 54.61, p < 0.05) with higher median values in 2018 than in 2019.

In December, significant differences were obtained in Rhône Delta (K-W = 11.39, p < 0.05) with higher median TL in 2018 than in 2019 (Z = -2.71, p = 0.04). In the Pramaera river mouth (K-W = 62.20, p < 0.05) significantly smaller TL median values were found in 2017 than in 2018 and 2020 (Z = 4.55 and 4.80, p < 0.0001). In the Ter river mouth, significantly higher TL mean values were found in 2018 than in 2019 (K-W = 64.93, p < 0.05; Z = -8.06, p < 0.0001).

In January, for Bages-Sigean lagoon, differences (K-W = 19.74, p < 0.05) were found only between January 2020 and 2021 (Z = 4.44, p < 0.0001), with lower median TL in 2020. In Rhône Delta, median TL was statistically different (K-W = 31.27, p < 1565 0.05) in 2020 compared to 2018 (Z = -3.80, p = 0.0014) and 2021 (Z = 5.08, p < 0.0001), 1566 showing smaller values in 2020. In the Pramaera river mouth median TL was significantly 1567 lower in 2018 compared to the other years (K-W =104.57, p < 0.05; Z = 8.52 for 2018-1568 2019 comparison, 7.96 for 2018-2020, 5.97 for 2018-2021, p < 0.0001).

1569 In Bages-Sigean lagoon, February 2020 was the month with the lowest median 1570 TL values (K-W = 17.03, p < 0.05; Z = -3.33 for 2019-2020 comparison, 3.39 for 2020-1571 2021, p < 0.01), while, in Rhône Delta, February 2017 turned out to be the month with 1572 the lowest median TL values (K-W = 44.85, p < 0.05; Z = -4.31 for 2017-2018 1573 comparison, -5.45 for 2017-2020, -4.73 for 2017-2021, p < 0.0001). Median TL was 1574 particularly heterogeneous in February in the Pramaera river mouth (K-W = 83.52, $p < 10^{-10}$ 1575 0.05), with the lowest values in 2018 (Z = -3.33 for 2017-2018 comparison, 9.11 for 2018-1576 2019, 3.43 for 2018-2020, 3.50 for 2018-2021, p < 0.01), and the greatest median values 1577 in 2019 (Z = 6.12 for 2017-2019 comparison, 9.11 for 2018-2019, -5.40 for 2019-2020, -1578 4.74 for 2019-2021, *p* < 0.0001).

1579 Finally, in Rhône Delta, the median TL was lower in March 2021 than in 2017 1580 and 2018 (K-W = 19.54, p < 0.001; Z = -3.48 for 2017-2021 comparison, -3.05 for 2018-1581 2021, p < 0.05), and March 2017 was slightly higher than 2019 and 2021 (Z = -3.08 for 1582 2017-2019 comparison, -3.08 for 2017-2021, p < 0.05). March median TL was highly 1583 variable among years in the Pramaera river mouth (K-W = 33.21, p < 0.05). March 2019 1584 was the month with the highest TL median values (Z = 2.72 for the 2017-2019 comparison, 5.65 for 2018-2019, -3.14 for 2019-2021, *p* < 0.05), and March 2018 was 1585 1586 the lowest one (Z = -4.64 for 2017-2018 comparison, 5.65 for 2018-2019, 3.72 for 2018-1587 2021, *p* < 0.05).

1588 4.1.2.2.4. TL comparisons among estuaries

1589 Monthly median TL showed significant differences in all 2017 and 2018 months 1590 investigated between Rhône Delta and the Pramaera river mouth (February and March, 1591 respectively) (K-W: p < 0.0001), with significantly larger glass eels in Rhône Delta 1592 (Table 4.2.3). In 2019, 2020, and 2021, great variability was found in December and 1593 January, respectively (K-W: p < 0.0001), with the highest median TL in the Rhône Delta 1594 and the lowest one in the Ter river mouth. TL in Bages-Sigean lagoon showed instead 1595 values higher than Pramaera river mouth.

investigations. Only signific	and unreferices are reported		<i>a</i>
	Sites of comparison	p-value	Significance
2017			
February	Rhône Delta/Pramaera	< 0.0001	****
$K-W = 62.2 \ p < 0.0001$			
March	Rhône Delta/Pramaera	< 0.0001	****
$K-W = 25.54 \ p < 0.0001$			
2018			
December	Rhône Delta/Pramaera	0.0064	**
$K-W = 7.44 \ p = 0.0064$			
January	Rhône Delta/Pramaera	< 0.0001	****
K-W = 93.53 <i>p</i> < 0.0001			
February	Rhône Delta/Pramaera	0.00038	***
K-W = 12.62 p < 0.0001			
March	Rhône Delta/Pramaera	< 0.0001	****
$K-W = 32.65 \ p < 0.0001$			
2019			
December	Bages-Sigean/ Rhône Delta	0.0084	**
$K-W = 58.51 \ p < 0.0001$	Bages-Sigean/Ter	0.0041	*
-	Rhône Delta/Pramaera	< 0.0001	****
	Rhône Delta/Ter	< 0.0001	****
	Pramaera/Ter	< 0.0001	****
January	Bages-Sigean/Ter	0.0032	**
K-W = 98.04 p < 0.0001	Pramaera/Ter	< 0.0001	****
2020			
December	Bages-Sigean/Ter	< 0.0001	****
K-W = 176.43 <i>p</i> < 0.0001	Rhône Delta/Pramaera	0.0049	**
r · · · · · · · · · ·	Rhône Delta/Ter	< 0.0001	****
	Pramaera/Ter	0.0075	**
January	Pramaera/Ter	< 0.0001	****
$K-W = 24.87 \ n < 0.0001$. 0.0001	
February	Bages-Sigean/ Rhône Delta	0.01	*
K-W = 12.43 n = 0.006	Bages Bigean, Inione Delu	0.01	
2021			
December	Bages-Sigean/Pramaera	< 0.001	***
$K_{-}W = 12.95 n = 0.00032$	Dages-Sigean/Flainacia	< 0.001	
$\frac{15 \text{ m} - 12.75 \text{ p} - 0.00032}{\text{Ianuary}}$	Bages-Sigean/Dramaera	< 0.0001	****
K = 24.87 n < 0.0001	Dagos-Sigeall/Flamacia	< 0.0001	****
$N^{-}W = 24.07 U \le 0.0001$	KIIOHE DEITA/FTAIHAETA	< 0.0001	

1596**Table 4.2.3.** Dunn's paired test on TL values between areas during the same months and years of1597investigations. Only significant differences are reported

1598

 $\frac{\text{K-W} = 24.87 \ p < 0.0001}{\text{Asterisks indicate the significance code: } p < 0.0001 = ****; \ p < 0.001 = ***; \ p < 0.001 = ***; \ p < 0.01 = **; \ p < 0.05 = *$

1599 4.1.2.2.5. Pigmentation comparisons

1600 The pigmentation stages were determined on the same glass eels used for the biometrics 1601 analysis (Figure 4.2.6.). For all investigated areas, the relative percentage of pigmentation 1602 stages (%) was significantly different between years in the same site (χ^2 test, p < 0.001), 1603 with the exception of the Ter river mouth (χ^2 test, p = 0.28). In all cases, the group I 1604 (stages VA, VB, and VIA0) showed the highest percentages (> 56%), except for the 1605 Rhône Delta where, in 2019 and 2020, the highest percentage was recorded for group II 1606 (37% and 53%, respectively).



Figure 4.2.6. Monthly relative pigmentation percentage (%), according to groupings I, II, and III in the Bages-Sigean lagoon (A), in Rhône Delta (B), in the Pramaera river mouth (C), and in the Ter river mouth 1610 (D)

1611 Comparing the pigmentation stage groupings among different areas in the same 1612 year of sampling, for 2017 and 2018 data were available only from Rhône Delta, therefore 1613 no comparisons were made. In 2019, differences between Bages-Sigean, Rhône Delta, and Ter (χ^2 test, p < 0.001) were found with group I which was predominant in all areas, 1614 1615 but with the highest percentage in Ter (97%), followed by Bages-Sigean (64%). In 2020, pigmentation stages were significantly different among all four areas (χ^2 test, p < 0.001), 1616 with the prevalence of group I and the highest percentage in Ter river mouth (98%), 1617 1618 followed by Bages-Sigean (77%), and Pramaera river mouth (65%). Last, in 2021, differences between Pramaera, Bages-Sigean, and Rhône Delta (χ^2 test, p < 0.001) were 1619 found with group I which was always predominant, but with the highest percentage in the 1620

1621 Pramaera river mouth (93%), followed by Bages-Sigean lagoon (89%), and Rhône Delta1622 (74%).

1623 **4.1.2.3. Discussions**

To improve the knowledge of the inter and intra-annual variations of the recruitment of *A. anguilla*, in this study I analysed for the first time, to my best knowledge, the trend of glass eels' catches and body differences in four different areas in the central-western Mediterranean (Bages-Sigean lagoon, southwestern France; Rhône delta, southeastern France; Pramaera river mouth – Sardinia, Italy; Ter river mouth, southeastern Spain) in a five-years data series (2016-2021). These habitats are located from a minimum of about 110 to a maximum of ca. 650 km distance to each other.

1631 Although it is known that recruitment takes place all year round, I observed the 1632 maximum peak of catches from December to February consistently in all estuaries. This 1633 result confirms previous studies conducted in the Mediterranean (Ciccotti et al., 1995; 1634 Lefebvre et al., 2003; Crivelli et al., 2008; Zompola et al., 2008; Leone et al., 2016; Podda 1635 et al., 2020a; Lanzoni et al., 2021; Bouchard et al., 2022; Lagarde et al., 2022). Despite 1636 the temporal window of the peak was almost contemporary at all investigated areas, 1637 statistical differences were found within each estuary, suggesting that environmental site-1638 specific features may influence the recruitment dynamics at a local level. However, the 1639 detectable local environmental parameters in the single study areas could merely be a 1640 fictitious representation of the conditions that drive the recruitment. In fact, recruitment 1641 fluctuations could be also the result of several other drivers that act out of the recruitment 1642 area, from the Sargasso Sea to the Mediterranean, for instance in the whole migration area 1643 (e.g., oceanic currents, climate changes, North Atlantic Oscillation index, thermic 1644 gradient, and food availability) (Martin, 1995; Jellymann and Chisnall, 1999; Arribas et 1645 al., 2012; Drouineau et al. 2018; Lagarde et al., 2022; O'Leary et al., 2022). These factors 1646 probably affect the migration of larvae and juvenile eels in the marine phase up to the 1647 proximity of the coasts, consequently also impacting the abundance and body conditions 1648 of the recruits that colonize continental waters. By studying recruitment short-term 1649 variations, it is possible to identify the maximum migration peak, a crucial period for 1650 recruiting. The comparisons of its occurrence intervals among different areas could help 1651 to highlight the used migration paths (Bouchard et al., 2022).

1652 In the Sargasso Sea, leptocephalus larvae (TL about 5 mm) can be observed all 1653 year round, suggesting that the reproduction period of the species is very extensive 1654 (Boëtius and Harding, 1985; Miller et al., 2009, 2015, 2019). However, it is also known 1655 that the maximum reproductive period occurs in spring (Lecomte-Finiger et al., 1993; 1656 McCleave, 1993). The duration of the transoceanic migration lasting about 9-10 months 1657 (Lecomte-Finiger, 1992; Bonhommeau et al., 2008), would be enough to explain the 1658 abundance peaks observed, in this study, in winter. However, since other studies have 1659 argued that the transoceanic migration of glass eels would occur in consecutive waves 1660 (Cantrelle, 1984; Boëtius and Boëtius, 1989; Ciccotti et al., 1995;), further investigations 1661 are needed to make light on eels' migratory paths.

1662 For biometric data, the absolute smallest glass eels were collected in the Ter river 1663 mouth, showing values that were not observed in the other areas, while maximum values 1664 were found in the Rhône delta. Instead, the average size (TL) was similar between the 1665 sites of Spain and France (about 65 mm), while on the coast of Sardinia glass eels' average 1666 sizes were lower (about 64 mm) deepening the existence of spatial and temporal 1667 variability in glass eels' biometrics. Moreover, at a temporal level, the TL always tended 1668 to decrease toward the end of the study period (March), as has already been observed 1669 previously in European coasts (Lefevbre et al., 2003; Jellyman and Lambert, 2003; 1670 Iglesias et al., 2010; Lagarde et al., 2022).

1671 Despite previous studies pointing out that a decrease in less pigmented glass eels 1672 (stages VA, VB, and VIA0) occurs in spring, I showed that glass eels' pigmentation did 1673 not follow consistent patterns, showing ample variability both among areas and in each 1674 area across the years (Lefevbre et al., 2003; Iglesias et al., 2010; Lagarde et al., 2022). 1675 This variability could be linked to the hypothesis that not just one, but several waves of 1676 glass eels colonize European estuaries throughout the year. This indeed would explain 1677 the seasonal evolution of pigmentation in relation to the existence of longer post-1678 metamorphic marine life for later arrivals during the recruitment season. Furthermore, 1679 this difference in pigmentation stages may be related also to changing pigmentation speed 1680 depending on environmental factors during the month of arrival (Lagarde et al., 2022). 1681 For example, glass eels pigment faster when water temperature increases and salinity 1682 decreases (Briand et al., 2004).

Although no generalized spatial and temporal patterns were found for catches, biometrics, and pigmentation, this study highlighted the existence of monthly glass eels' cohorts differently distributed across the western Mediterranean depending on the period of the year, and among years. This spatio-temporal heterogeneity could be explained by the geographical position and the local environmental variability of estuaries, as well as by the marine hydrological conditions that can influence the divergent arrival routes between the coasts, at the local scale.

Despite the uncontrollable biases associated with the heterogeneity of sampling areas, the different sampling methodologies, and protocols, I provided here a qualitative description of the glass eel recruitment patterns in the western Mediterranean. I was instead able to investigate the temporal variability within each area, revealing the greatest variability in the Bages-Sigean lagoon, and few or no changes within each of the other areas.

This study, therefore, highlights the already known fragmentary nature of knowledge about eels' recruitment in the Mediterranean (Podda et al., 2020) and the need to standardize protocols and survey methodologies. Besides this, I pointed out the importance of investigating further other drivers of the temporal (inter- and intra-annual) fluctuations within and among several Mediterranean areas (e.g., oceanic hydrological conditions, silver eel escapement) (Rossi et al., 2014; ICES, 2020b).

4.1.3. <u>Assessment of glass eels' settlement in three Sardinian</u> *estuaries (Central-Western Mediterranean) through a new*

1704 sampling method

Several monitoring programs for glass eels' recruitment using different methods have been deployed across Europe since the 1970s (ICES, 2020b). Hand nets, fyke nets, or trapping ladders are among the most common and effective conventional traps used for these studies (ICES, 2018a). Projects have also been launched in Sardinia for several years (starting from 2017) for the monitoring of the eels' recruitment through protocols based on the use of experimental fyke nets (Podda et al., 2020a).

In this context, to further deepen and understand glass eels' recruitment dynamics in Sardinian estuaries, new floating traps have been tested as an alternative sampling method to fyke nets. This study was carried out in three Sardinian estuaries with different local characteristics. The efficiency of floating traps was evaluated compared to fyke net data, commonly used to study recruitment in Sardinia, as part of the Eel Regional Management Plan.

1717 4.1.3.1. Material and Methods

1718 4.1.3.1.1. Study area

1719 Glass eels entering continental waters were sampled using experimental floating traps in 1720 three Sardinian estuaries (Pramaera, Pula, and Coghinas river mouths) (Figure 4.3.1), 1721 located respectively in the central-eastern, southern, and northern areas of the island. 1722 These sites have been chosen, in addition to their geographical position, to be 1723 representative of different hydrological characteristics. Furthermore, two of these 1724 (Pramaera and Coghinas river mouths) are also monitored with experimental fyke nets, 1725 whose glass eels sampling data were used for comparisons between the two tools in the 1726 survey period.

In general, Sardinian rivers are characterized by an intermittent regime with periods of hot and arid summers alternating with rainy autumn/winter seasons with extreme rains, irregular flow, and strong seasonal hydrological fluctuations (De Waele et al., 2010; Sabatini et al., 2018; Palmas et al., 2020; V.V. A.A., 2022).



1731 1732 **Figure 4.3.1.** Study site investigated using floating traps

1733 The Pramaera stream is located in the central-eastern of Sardinia, with an extension of the drained basin area of about 17 km² and characterized by no fluvial 1734 1735 anthropogenic interruptions. The river mouth is characterized by transitional waters 1736 (mean river width 15 m), and a funnel shape with the substrate largely dominated by sand, 1737 and free from vegetation. Artisanal fishing is carried out to target euryhaline fish species 1738 and particularly eels (Podda et al., 2020a; Porceddu et al., 2022), and glass eels are fished 1739 experimentally with fyke nets since 2017 (Podda et al., 2020a). The Pula stream is located 1740 in southern Sardinia and extends for a basin area of about 12 km². Its river mouth, with a 1741 substrate formed by sand and pebbles, partially retracts in summer due to seasonal drought. Along its watercourse, there is a dam still under construction (ca. 10 km from 1742 1743 the river mouth) and several concrete fords that interrupt the natural fluvial continuity, 1744 especially in drought periods. There are no professional fishing activities in this area. The 1745 Coghinas river is the third longest Sardinian watercourse, located in the northern part of the island, it extends for an area of about 170 km². This river is regulated by two dams 1746 and flows into the sea through the river mouth in Valledoria. The dam further downstream 1747 1748 (ca. 16 km distant from the river mouth) has an eel ladder that guarantees river 1749 connectivity. Its river mouth is the largest brackish area of northern Sardinia. The estuarial 1750 portion covers a three-kilometer coastal stretch that runs parallel to the coastline to form 1751 a delta swamp rich in birdlife. The river mouth consists of sand bars and a lack of vegetation and can extend up to 500 m width. Artisanal and recreational fishing and, 1752 1753 thanks to its naturalistic value, a series of tourist activities are also carried out (V.V. A.A., 1754 2014). All three estuaries are characterized by the presence of reeds and rushes, which 1755 are typical of the Mediterranean retro-dune environment. Despite some common 1756 characteristics, each estuary shows some peculiarities. In the Pramaera river mouth, the 1757 opening of the mouth is influenced by the natural seasonal hydrological and rainfall 1758 regimes, as well as by storm surges. In the case of the Pula stream and the Coghinas river, 1759 the opening of the river mouth to the sea is influenced not only by the variability of the 1760 hydrological and pluviometric regimes but also by the impulses of freshwater coming 1761 from the dams.

1762 4.1.3.1.2. Environmental characterization of the three estuaries

1763 For each sampling site and for each sampling event, environmental data including water 1764 temperature (°C), salinity, conductivity (μ S cm⁻¹), and dissolved oxygen (mg L⁻¹) were 1765 measured using a multiparameter probe (smarTROLL Multiparameter Handheld, InSitu 1766 Europe, North Moons Moat, Redditch, Worcs. B989ND, United Kingdom). Furthermore, 1767 the tidal coefficient (cm) was calculated as the difference in height between consecutive 1768 high and low tides (Podda et al., 2020a). Turbidity (NTU) was measured by using a 1769 turbidimeter as an implementation of the set of environmental variables collected in the 1770 glass eels sampling protocol, as a result of my previously published work (Podda et al., 1771 2020a). These environmental parameters were chosen as they are known to be the main 1772 factors influencing the establishment of glass eels in continental habitats (Gandolfi et al., 1773 1984; Ciccotti et al., 1995; Edeline et al., 2006; Arribas et al., 2012; Aranburu et al., 2016; 1774 Leone et al., 2016; Podda et al., 2020a; Lanzoni et al., 2021; Lagarde et al., 2022). The 1775 monthly average values of these environmental parameters have been reported for each 1776 sampled estuary.

1777 4.1.3.1.3. Floating trap and sampling method

1778 Tools I used to sample glass eels in this study were floating traps consisting of ten square 1779 geotextile layers (50×50 cm) (MACMAT/Geomat, MACCAFERRI) (Figure 4.3.2), 1780 which can act as floating shelter for ascending juvenile eels (Lagarde et al., 2022). Two 1781 floating traps were installed in each studied estuary and fixed near the riverbanks using a 1782 line of ca. 2.5 m long. To protect the traps from flow turbulence and to detect glass eels 1783 passing, in Pramaera and Pula river mouths, traps were positioned at about 50 meters and 1784 30 meters from the mouth to the sea, respectively. In the Coghinas river mouth, traps were 1785 positioned about 500 meters from the mouth. After placement, but before starting the 1786 experiments, each trap was allowed to acclimate to the environment for about one month 1787 (November 2020).



1788 1789 **Figure 4.3.2.** Floating traps

Samplings were carried out from December 2020 through May 2021 (6 months).
In each site, traps were inspected once a month (6 times per site), during the new moon
week (known to be the maximum monthly recruitment peak period) (Podda et al., 2020a),
except for the Coghinas river mouth where, for reasons related to the conditions of the
mouth (excessive flow), it was not possible to detect the data in January and March 2021.
Contemporarily, samples were also collected using fyke nets in the Pramaera, and in the
Coghinas rivers (in the Coghinas, samples in January and May 2021 were not collected).

1797 4.1.3.1.4. Glass eels' catch data

1798 Captured glass eels were counted, measured (TL, mm), and weighted (TW, g) after 1799 anesthesia by immersion in a bath of clove oil (eugenol dissolved in ethyl alcohol) (Walsh and Pease, 2002). The pigmentation stages were determined on each specimen according to Briand (2009) to understand at which pigmentation stage glass eels are preferentially captured with floating traps, as well as when the floating traps are attractive for the glass eels after colonizing the estuaries. Subsequently, all glass eels were released at alive in the same sampling site. Captures of glass eels were expressed as catches per unit effort (number and total weight of glass eels per trap).

1806 Monthly trends of total catches, obtained with floating traps (monthly trends of 1807 the median number of individuals per unit effort \pm standard deviation, and total weight 1808 per unit effort \pm standard deviation), have been described for each studied estuary and no 1809 statistical comparisons were made because only one sampling day per month was 1810 collected.

18114.1.3.1.5.Glass eels' biometrics, Fulton's condition factor and1812pigmentation

Glass eels' biometrics (TL and TW) monthly median values were reported for all estuaries both for data obtained with floating traps as well as with fyke nets. Furthermore, Fulton's condition factor was derived (Fulton = TW TL⁻³ 100) (Ricker, 1975) to provide insight into the body condition, nutritional status, and feeding activity of eels (Cone, 1989).

1817 To schematize the results relating to the pigmentation stages, these were grouped 1818 into three categories. Group I from unpigmented or lightly pigmented includes stages VA, 1819 VB, and VIA0, typical of the newly entered glass eels. Group II shows the intermediate 1820 pigmentation and includes the stages VIA1 and VIA2, typical of glass eels that are living 1821 in inland waters since recent times (days or few weeks). Group III includes advanced or 1822 almost fully pigmented stages VIA3, VIA4, and VIB, typical of glass eels that have 1823 colonized inland waters for the longest time (on the order of weeks to a few months). The 1824 percentage frequencies of pigmentation groups (I, II, III) were described monthly for each 1825 investigated site during the study period.

1826 4.1.3.1.6. Statistical comparisons

Overall, catch data were statistically compared between sites and for floating traps versus
fyke nets, as well as monthly median biometrics (TL and TW) differences were analysed
between months within each site, among sites in the same month, and with the two
different sampling tools by means of the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis (K-W) test,

followed by the pairwise post hoc Dunn's (Z) test, after testing the normality of the data (Shapiro test, p < 0.05). Significance was set at p < 0.05.

1833 **4.1.3.2. Results**

1834 4.1.3.2.1. Environmental characterization of the three estuaries

Considering environmental parameters (Table 4.3.1), water temperature mean values were highest in Pramaera (14.98 \pm 3.02 °C), and lowest in the Coghinas river (12.9 \pm 3.32 °C). Salinity and conductivity showed similar mean values in all sites, which correspond to freshwater (ca. 0.5). The Pula site showed higher mean turbidity values (2.50 \pm 1.79 NTU). Oxygen showed high values for all three sites (> 8 mg L⁻¹), while the tidal coefficients were similar in all three sites (ca. 82-84 cm).

1841**Table 4.3.1.** Environmental characterization of the three sampling sites obtained by the mean ± standard
deviation of each parameter during the investigated period

Environmental Parameter	Pramaera River	Pula River	Coghinas River
Water Temp (°C)	14.98±3.02	15.88±3.03	12.9±3.32
Salinity	0.54±0.34	0.45±0.31	0.47 ± 0.54
Conductivity (µS cm ⁻¹)	831.14±506.23	856.33±608.83	768.53±918.52
Turbidity (NTU)	1.07±0.73	2.5±1.79	-
Dissolved Oxygen (mg L ⁻¹)	8.49±1.16	9.22±2.38	9.7±1.34
Tidal Coefficient (cm)	82.17±4.62	84.17±3.31	84.33±6.15

1843

1844 4.1.3.2.2. Glass eels' catch data

A total of 133 glass eels were sampled using floating traps in the three investigated Sardinian estuaries during the six months of study (43, 21, and 69 glass eels in Pramaera, Pula, and Coghinas river mouths, respectively), with the highest number of total catches in the Coghinas river mouth. Overall catch data obtained by using fyke net amounted by 814 glass eels in the Pramaera river mouth and 71 glass eels in the Coghinas river mouth, respectively.

1851 Mean monthly captures with floating traps were higher in April in the Pramaera 1852 river mouth $(20 \pm 2.83 \text{ individuals}, 4.01 \pm 1.47 \text{ g})$, in March in the Pula river mouth (9.50 1853 ± 2.12 individuals, 1.33 ± 0.69 g), and in May in the Coghinas estuary (5 ± 2.83 1854 individuals, 0.96 ± 0.49 g) (Figure 4.3.3), with variability in mean daily values from 0 to 1855 20 glass eels per floating trap. A time-shift between sites was also observed, with the peak

- 1856 occurring first in Pula, then in Pramaera, and finally in Coghinas according to an apparent
- 1857 south-east-north gradient.



Figure 4.3.3. Bars represent the median monthly number of individuals (**A**) and total weight (**B**) obtained in the three sampling sites using floating traps (dark: Pramaera river mouth; grey: Pula river mouth; light grey: Coghinas river mouth). Error bars indicate the standard deviation for each month.

1862 Significant differences in overall catches were also observed among the three river 1863 mouths and between floating traps and fyke nets, both in terms of total number and total weight of sampled glass eels (number of individuals: K-W = 17.36, p = 0.0016; total 1864 weight: K-W = 17.76, p = 0.0014). Pairwise comparisons revealed statistical differences 1865 1866 for catch data obtained between floating traps versus fyke nets within the same site, but 1867 no differences were observed between data obtained with floating traps among the three 1868 river mouths (Tables 4.3.2 and 4.3.3). In fact, in Pramaera and Coghinas estuaries, a 1869 temporal shift was observed between mean monthly catches obtained with floating traps 1870 and fyke nets, respectively. Using fyke nets the peak occurs two months before the peak 1871 obtained with floating traps for both sites (January in Pramaera, and March in Coghinas

1872 sites) (Figure 4.3.4).



1873 1874

1875

Figure 4.3.4. Bars represent the median monthly number of individuals (A) and total weight (B) obtained in the three sampling sites using floating traps (dark: Pramaera river mouth; grey: Pula river mouth; light 1876 grey: Coghinas river mouth). Error bars indicate the standard deviation for each month. Red and green lines 1877 define the median monthly trend of the number of individuals (A) and the total weight (B) obtained with 1878 fyke nets in the Pramaera and in the Coghinas river mouths

1879 Table 4.3.2. Dunn's paired test on catches (number of individuals) values between the three sampling sites 1880 investigated with floating traps (Pramaera, Pula, and Coghinas) and by using fyke nets (Pramaera and 1881 Coghinas)

Pairwise sites	p value	Significance
Pramaera-Pula	0.27	Ns
Pramaera-Coghinas	0.32	Ns
Pula-Coghinas	0.99	Ns
Pramaera(Fyke net)-Pramaera	0.019	*
Coghinas(Fyke net)-Coghinas	0.026	*

1882 Asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ****; p < 0.001 = ***; p < 0.01 = **; p < 0.05 = *; 1883 <math>p > 0.05 = ns

Table 4.3.3. Dunn's paired test on catches (total weight) values between the three sampling sites invesgated
 with floating traps (Pramaera, Pula, and Coghinas) and by using fyke nets (Pramaera and Coghinas)

Pairwise sites	p value	Significance	
Pramaera-Pula	0.39	ns	
Pramaera-Coghinas	0.29	ns	
Pula-Coghinas	0.77	ns	
Pramaera(Fyke net)-Pramaera	0.014	*	
Coghinas(Fyke net)-Coghinas	0.016	*	

1886Asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ***; p < 0.001 = ***; p < 0.01 = **; p < 0.05 = *;1887p > 0.05 = ns

1888 4.1.3.2.3. Glass eel's biometrics

Glass eels' biometrics in the Pramaera river mouth ranged in TL from 55.4 to 71 mm, while the TW ranged from 0.12 to 0.34 g, with the greatest median TL in February, and the greatest median TW in May, the smallest median TL, and TW in March (Tables 4.3.4 and 4.3.5). No statistical differences among TL were observed (K-W = 3.85, p = 0.43) (Figure 4.3.5A), instead, statistical differences were observed in TW (K-W = 10.53, p =0.032) for January versus March, and March and April versus May (Z test, p < 0.05) (Figure 4.3.5B).

Table 4.3.4. Median monthly total length (TL, mm) obtained in the three sampling sites with floating trapsand by using fyke nets in Pramaera and Coghinas river mouths

Site	December	January	February	March	April	May
Pramaera	0	64.47 ± 2.60	65.99 ± 3.43	62.99 ± 4.08	64.35 ± 2.99	63.65 ± 4.39
Pula	66.0 ± 0	65.90 ± 0	62.63 ± 1.60	62.34 ± 2.72	63.23 ± 4.65	66.40 ± 0
Coghinas	66.0 ± 2.83	NA	63 ± 0	NA	59.25 ± 3.20	62.50 ± 3.57
Pramaera-Fyke net	65.31 ± 2.79	65.32 ± 2.62	64.39 ± 3.05	63.56 ± 2.80	64.61 ± 3.58	64.60 ± 3.67
Coghinas-Fyke net	63.18 ± 4.16	NA	61.55 ± 1.51	61.83 ± 3.03	61.30 ± 3.25	NA

1898 NA = data not available

1899 Table 4.3.5. Median monthly total weight (TW, g) obtained in the three sampling sites with floating traps 1900 and by using fyke nets in Pramaera and Coghinas river mouths

Site	December	January	February	March	April	May
Pramaera	0	0.24 ± 0.03	0.21 ± 0.03	0.20 ± 0.06	0.21 ± 0.04	0.26 ± 0.07
Pula	0.24 ± 0	0.29 ± 0	0.22 ± 0.02	0.22 ± 0.03	0.23 ± 0.04	0.18 ± 0.08
Coghinas	0.29 ± 0.10	NA	0.26 ± 0	NA	0.16 ± 0.05	0.19 ± 0.06
Pramaera-Fyke net	0.25 ± 0.03	0.24 ± 0.04	0.23 ± 0.03	0.22 ± 0.03	0.25 ± 0.05	0.25 ± 0.04
Coghinas-Fyke net	0.23 ± 0.04	NA	0.23 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.05	0.21 ± 0.07	NA

1901 NA = data not available



■ Pramaera ■ Pula ■ Coghinas ■ Pramaera_FN ■ Coghinas_FN

1902 1903 Figure 4.3.5. Bars represent the median monthly TL (A), TW (B) and Fulton condition factor (C) obtained 1904 in the three sampling sites using floating traps (dark: Pramaera river mouth; grey: Pula river mouth; light 1905 grey: Coghinas river mouth) and with fyke nets in the Pramaera and in the Coghinas river mouths (red: 1906 Pramaera river mouth; green: Coghinas river mouth). Error bars indicate the standard deviation for each 1907 month.

1908 In the Pula river mouth, TL ranged between 55.3 and 68.6 mm, while the 1909 minimum TW was 0.12 g, the maximum one was 0.29 g. The highest median TL was in

1910 May, and the median TW in January, with the smallest median values of TL in March, 1911 and TW in May. Comparisons between monthly TL in Pula river mouth showed 1912 differences (K-W = 11.17, p = 0.048) for May versus February, March, and April, 1913 respectively (Z test, p < 0.05), while no differences were obtserved for TW comparisons 1914 among sampling data (K-W = 6.75, p = 0.24).

1915 The TL of glass eels caught in the Coghinas estuary varied from 55 to 70 mm, and 1916 their TW varied from 0.08 to 0.36 g. The greatest median TL and TW were recorded in 1917 December and the smallest in April. In Coghinas river mouth, no monthly significant 1918 differences were revealed neither in TL (K-W = 6.83, p = 0.077) nor in TW (K-W = 5.95, 1919 p = 0.072).

1920 Observing biometrics measured using fyke nets, in the Pramaera river mouth the 1921 median values of TL and TW were statistically different (K-W = 101.7, and K-W = 112. 1922 69, p < 0.0001) for March versus all the other sampling months (Z test, p < 0.05), where 1923 March displayed the lowest values for both TL and TW. TW was statistically different 1924 also in February versus December, January, and May (Z test, p < 0.05) that resulted 1925 inferior to the other months. However, the maximum values were recorded in December, 1926 January, April, and May, while the smaller values in March. Finally, data obtained in 1927 Coghinas estuary with fyke nets were not different neither for TL (K-W = 2.06, p = 0.56) 1928 nor to TW (K-W = 5.56, p = 0.135), with the highest values in December, while the 1929 smallest in February, and April.

1930 4.1.3.2.4. Biometrics comparisons among estuaries and between floating 1931 traps and fyke nets

1932 Significant differences in TL were observed among estuaries in December (K-W = 8.34, 1933 p = 0.0395) between Pramaera and Coghinas for data obtained with fyke nets (Z test, p =1934 0.028) with greater TL values in Pramaera (Figure 4.3.5A), but no differences were

- 1935 observed for TW data (K-W = 4.70, p = 0.195).
- 1936 In January, no significant differences in TL and TW were observed among 1937 estuaries (K-W = 2.11, p = 0.348 and K-W = 3.64, p = 0.162, respectively).

1938 In February, TL values obtained with fyke nets statistically differed (K-W = 15.8, 1939 p = 0.0034) between Pramaera and Coghinas river mouths (Z test, p = 0.012), with higher 1940 values in the Pramaera estuary, while TW values were not different among estuaries (K-1941 W = 3.00, p = 0.557).

In March, no significant differences were found in TL among estuaries (K-W = 1943 1.81, p = 0.614), instead significant comparisons were observed for TW (K-W = 12.3, p1944 = 0.0064) between Pramaera and Coghinas sampling data obtained by using fyke nets (Z 1945 test, p = 0.017), and between Pramaera sampling data collected with fyke nets and Pula 1946 river mouth (Z test, p = 0.014).

In April, statistical differences were found both for TL and TW data (K-W = 21.1, p = 0.0030 and K-W = 15.3, p = 0.0042). Pairwise TL differences were found for Pramaera (data of fyke nets) versus Coghinas (with floating traps), Pramaera versus Coghinas, Pramaera (with floating traps) versus Coghinas (with fyke nets) (Z test, p <0.05). Instead, for TW, comparisons resulted significant between Pramaera (with fyke nets) and Coghinas (with floating traps) (Z test, p = 0.0043).

In May, statistical comparisons showed differences only for TW data (K-W = 1954 10.5, p = 0.015) between Coghinas (with floating traps) and Pramaera (fyke nets) (Z test, 1955 p = 0.017), and between Coghinas and Pramaera for data collected with floating traps (Z 1956 test, p = 0.031).

1957 4.1.3.2.5. Fulton's condition factor and glass eels' pigmentation

Fulton's condition factor was derived and described for each sampling site (Figure 4.3.5C). The greatest median values were obtained in May in the Pramaera site (0.098 \pm 0.015), in January in the Pula site (0.10 \pm 0), although on only one glass eel, in February and in December in the Coghinas river mouth (0.099 \pm 0.021 and 0.104 \pm 0, respectively).

1962 Regarding the relative proportions (%) of pigmentation groups, in the Pramaera 1963 estuary the presence of less pigmented glass eels (group I) occurred in December (100%) 1964 and in January (30%) (Figure 4.3.6). Intermediate group II showed higher percentages in 1965 March (45%) to gradually decrease until May (10%), while group III occurred in all 1966 months except in January, with the highest percentages in May (90%). In Pula river 1967 mouth, intermediate group II occurred in all months with 100% in December and April. 1968 Group III showed greater values in February and March, while group I was not detected. 1969 In the Coghinas estuary, greater proportions of group III, representing the more advanced 1970 pigmentation, were observed in all investigated months, with the greatest values in April

- 1971 (100%) and May (90%). Intermediate group II occurred in December (50%), February
- 1972 (20%), and May (less than 10%), while group I, as in Pula river mouth, was not observed.



1973
1974
1974
1974
1975
1975
1975
1976
1976
1976
1976
1976
1977
1978
1978
1978
1979
1979
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970
1970</l

1976 **4.1.3.3. Discussions**

1977 In this study, I evaluated differences in spatial and temporal recruitment of glass eels 1978 entering three Sardinian estuaries, by using floating traps as an alternative monitoring 1979 tool. Samplings were conducted for six months during the peak of the recruitment 1980 migration period (December 2020-May 2021). Spatio-temporal trends of glass eel 1981 captures were qualitatively different among estuaries, showing site-specific patterns of 1982 colonization. The peak of captures occurred in March in the Pula river mouth, followed 1983 by the Pramaera and Coghinas ones, showing an apparent spreading counterclockwise 1984 gradient south-east-north. Furthermore, Coghinas river mouth revealed the maximum 1985 total number of sampled glass eels than the other two estuaries. This result was different 1986 than those obtained with fyke nets, although placed at the same site and inspected at the 1987 same sampling event which, instead, captured the greatest number of glass eels in the 1988 Pramaera river mouth. In addition, captures obtained with floating traps were 1989 quantitatively reduced and temporally shifted compared to fyke nets, with a peak obtained 1990 with the floating traps occurring two months later the peak obtained with the fyke nets. 1991 This variability between sampling tools could reflect their capture capacity differences, 1992 probably related to the different typologies of the investigated environments which allow 1993 for more efficient capture performance with one tool over the other.

1994 Fyke nets were more efficient and precise in the Pramaera river mouth, a relatively 1995 small and intermittent river, with low flows, reduced depths, and without interruptions 1996 that artificially modify its flow, where I was able to rely on the logistical support and 1997 surveillance of a professional fisherman, concessionaire of the study area. On the other 1998 hand, floating traps showed a more efficient capture power in the Coghinas river mouth, 1999 the third largest watercourse in Sardinia, characterized by particularly high flow rates and 2000 control of the water regime due to the presence of a dam, located few kilometers upstream. 2001 The study area, with little surveillance by fishermen, is also subjected, during periods of 2002 heavy rainfall, to intense releases of fresh water from the dam which make monitoring 2003 with fyke nets impracticable for logistical and safety reasons to the advantage of the 2004 methodology of floating traps. Furthermore, sites with greater flow rates and consequent 2005 greater abundances of eels it is possible that could be detectables only by using floating 2006 traps (Watz et al., 2017).

2007 Biometrics analysis explained differences among sites especially in April and 2008 May, and between Pramaera and Coghinas estuaries, regardless from the sampling 2009 method, but not revealing a clear spatial gradient as the catches. Higher TL and TW were 2010 observed in the Pramaera river mouth, and lower values in the Coghinas river mouth, 2011 respectively, suggesting that larger catches could be likely reflected in smaller sizes and 2012 vice versa. This heterogeneity could be also linked with differences found by analyzing 2013 the stages of pigmentation among estuaries, where the least pigmented glass eels were 2014 found in the Pramaera river mouth, followed by the Pula and the Coghinas river mouths. 2015 However, glass eels captured with floating traps showed more advanced pigmentation 2016 than those captured with fyke nets (personal observations), regardless of the distance from 2017 the river mouth, highlighting the different capture capacity of the two sampling tools.

2018 Environmental characterization of the three estuaries pointed out differences in 2019 the lower average temperature values in the Coghinas river compared to the other two 2020 sites. This data, if associated with the greater capture data observed for this site, could 2021 suggest a potential relationship between the temperature and the abundance of the glass 2022 eels as demonstrated in a recent study (Podda et al., 2020a). It is likely that variations in 2023 environmental conditions around Sardinia such as temperature gradient, wind direction, 2024 and freshwater plume may influence spatially and temporally the glass eels' migration 2025 (Podda et al., 2020a; Lagarde et al., 2022).

Few studies used floating traps as artificial refuge habitats to study glass eels entering continental waters (Lagarde et al., 2022; Cellule Migrateurs Charente et Seudre, 2028 2014), but only in single sites and through comparisons with electric fishing, not with 2029 parallel fyke nets investigations (in the same site at the same sampling event), as in this 2030 study.

2031 Although floating traps do not allow an estimate of the abundance of glass eels 2032 entering continental waters, they nevertheless provide a clear signal about the dynamics 2033 of eel colonization in these systems. Furthermore, although it has not been demonstrated, 2034 I have been able to observe that, as the floating trap is a passive tool, compared to the 2035 fyke net, this could have a lower impact on the survival of the caught eels which have 2036 always resulted in good visual condition and none never found dead, unlike the fyke net 2037 (personal observations). On this aspect it would be interesting to conduct any future 2038 studies comparing the mortality of the two gears.

2039 Considering this, floating traps can be considered a useful alternative tool 2040 especially in those sites where it is not possible to use the more common and conventional 2041 ones. Moreover, these instruments, easily realizable and at low cost and management, due 2042 to their constructive characteristics, return data that can be easily standardized regardless 2043 of the sampling sites' heterogeneity.

To improve the knowledge on the recruitment of the threatened European eel, better methods and sampling protocols need to be developed that more efficiently collect juvenile eels entering continental waters. These first results obtained using floating traps in parallel with conventional tools will make it possible to develop a protocol proposal for the monitoring of glass eels in Sardinia as part of the eel regional management plan.

2049 **5. Chapter 5**

2050 Growth performances of the European eel in Sardinia

2051 Knowledge on the growth of eels is still limited in Mediterranean continental habitats, 2052 despite their important contribution for the European eel. Studies of individual and population growth are important for understanding life-history strategies (Brown et al., 2053 2054 2004), and to support population dynamic studies, stock assessment and management across the range of habitats used by the species. To my best knowledge, the variation of 2055 2056 European eel growth in Sardinian habitats have never been examined. For these reasons in Chapter 5 I tried to provide new information on the growth and development of the 2057 2058 European eel in Sardinia through two different approaches developed in the two 2059 Subchapter 5.1 and 5.2, respectively. The first deals the eel growth during an experiment of restocking by using wild-caught farmed eels for understanding early growth of eels in 2060 captivity and the yellow eel phase adaptation to the wild after restocking. In Subchapter 2061 2062 5.2, I tried to study the eel growth by using the otolith shape analysis of European eel populations from several rivers and lagoons of Sardinia, and by a case study to estimate 2063 eel's age and growth in a Sardinian stream. 2064

2065 5.1. <u>Restocking with wild-caught farmed European eels: an</u> 2066 <u>alternative approach to understanding eels' early growth in</u> 2067 captivity and the yellow eel phase adaptation to the wild

2068 **5.1.1.** Introduction

Since the 70s of the last century, the European eel, *Anguilla anguilla* (L.), has drastically declined throughout its distribution range, as a result of multiple natural and anthropogenic stressors (barriers, habitat loss, pollution, diseases, overfishing, and changes in oceanic currents) (Dekker, 2003a; Friedland et al., 2007; Belpaire et al., 2009; Baltazar-Soares et al., 2014; Bevacqua et al., 2015; Aalto et al., 2016; Dekker and Beaulaton, 2016a; Drouineau et al., 2018a; Podda et al., 2021, 2022; Bourillon et al., 2022).

2076 According to the International Council for the Exploitation of the Sea (ICES), because of these threats, the species results outside of its safe biological limits (ICES, 2077 2021, 2022), and it has been classified as Critically Endangered by the International 2078 Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) (Pike et al., 2020). Consequently, in 2007, the 2079 2080 Council of the European Union (EU) adopted Regulation n. 1100/2007 (EC, 2007), that establishes measures for the recovery of European eel stocks with the aim to ensure that 2081 40% of the pristine silver eel biomass (the migratory phase with an advanced maturation 2082 status) can migrate to the sea from each aquatic continental system. In defiance of many 2083 2084 uncertainties, a potential conservation measure of high relevance, to support the recovery of the eel population, is to restock continental systems, where natural recruitment is scarce 2085 2086 or absent (Moriarty and McCarthy, 1982; Andersson et al., 1991; Wickström et al., 1996; Pedersen, 1998; Simon and Dörner, 2014; Ovidio et al., 2015). These measures are even 2087 more important given that artificial eel production is still not possible, and therefore to 2088 date, farming and restocking are totally dependent on wild-caught glass eels from waters 2089 with relatively high natural recruitment rates (e.g., Spain, France, Portugal) (Pedersen and 2090 2091 Rasmussen, 2016; Righton et al., 2021).

Starting from 1900, there is a long tradition of eel restocking in Europe (Walter, 1910; Le Clerc, 1935; Wickström, 1984; Moriarty and Dekker, 1997; Feunteun, 2002; Psuty and Draganik, 2008). Commonly, restocking consists of the capture of natural recruits (glass eels or juvenile yellow eels) in estuaries, or by using farmed eels for their redistribution in waters potentially more suitable for both growth and survival (Pedersen, 2007; Feunteun, 2002; Josset et al., 2015; Ovidio et al., 2015; Nzau Matondo et al., 2019). 2098 On-grown eels from aquaculture (farmed eels) are commonly used for restocking 2099 throughout Europe. For instance, Sweden and Finland release glass eels in open waters at 2100 a size of 1.2 g (Wickström and Sjöberg, 2014). In Denmark, eels have been restocked in 2101 rivers, lakes, and estuaries using on-grown glass eels from commercial eel farms to a mass 2102 of 2-6 g (Pedersen et al., 2017), as well as in Germany 5-8 g farmed eels are used (Simon 2103 et al., 2013).

Although restocking can be considered an important conservation measure for the 2104 2105 enhancement of local eel stocks, according to the European Eel Recovery Plan's goals, it has been rarely scientifically monitored. In fact, it is yet to be shown whether restocking 2106 2107 is an efficient measure to restore the eel stock, allowing to obtain mature individuals able to successfully contribute to the spawning stock over and above that which would have 2108 2109 been produced without human intervention (Westin, 1998, 2003; Prigge et al., 2013; Westerberg et al., 2014). Moreover, this practice might offer hope and constitute a 2110 2111 suitable tool for countries with growing eel riverine ecosystems that are distant from the sea, so that they can contribute to eel sustainability by boosting the number and biomass 2112 of potential spawners, which escape to the sea at the silver eel stage (Brämick et al., 2016; 2113 Nzau Matondo et al., 2020). 2114

Only in recent decades, an increasing number of studies have focused on the 2115 2116 survival, growth, dispersal, and movement of the restocked eels in rivers, marshes, 2117 lagoons, and lakes (Pedersen, 2000; Shiao et al., 2006; Pedersen, 2009; Desprez et al., 2118 2013; Simon et al., 2013; Simon and Dörner, 2014; Wickström and Sjöberg, 2014; Josset et al., 2015; Ovidio et al., 2015; Sjöberg et al., 2017; Nzau Matondo et al., 2019). The 2119 2120 variety of restocking environments may contribute to a better understanding the adaptive capacity of this life stage in different habitats, considering the difficulties in the 2121 2122 implementation of efficient monitoring actions due to the low recapture efficiency.

Several studies on restocking practice have been performed within the same catchment area at sites located along a longitudinal gradient, and during short time periods (Desprez et al., 2013; Josset et al., 2015; Kullmann and Thiel, 2018; Félix et al., 2020a, 2020b). In their new environment, the restocked young eels are surviving, dispersing, growing, and maturing into silver eels that are displaying similar seaward migration behavior to the naturally recruited wild eels (Pedersen et al., 2017). Such encouraging outcomes are signs of significant progress in restocking practice knowledge; thus, there

is great hope for inland waters, where the eel stocks are declining (Bisgaard and Pedersen, 2130 1991). Nevertheless, little is known about which procedure is the best for implementing 2131 restocking with maximum survival in inland riverine ecosystems, and how to accurately 2132 assess the level of restocking success in absence of multiple marks and recapture sessions 2133 (Pedersen, 2000; Pedersen, 2009; Desprez et al., 2013; Nzau Matondo et al., 2019). Given 2134 the plasticity of the species to colonize and adapt to a wide range of aquatic ecosystems, 2135 with encouraging outcomes from restocking in inland freshwaters recently reported 2136 2137 (Ovidio et al., 2015; Nzau Matondo et al., 2019, 2020; Félix et al., 2020a, 2020b; Delrez et al., 2021), this practice sounds interesting to investigate. 2138

To date, no restocking methodology has never been used in small Mediterranean rivers, including Sardinian ones, for the European eel, least of all by using reared eels, because glass eels commercial fishing in Sardinia is forbidden.

2142 In this study, I investigated the outcomes of a repopulation experiment as an alternative approach by using glass eels raised for nine months in captivity. I analysed 2143 2144 growth performances during the rearing experiment in an eel farm (Experiment I). Then, 2145 I evaluated the feasibility of restocking practices in a small Mediterranean stream (Ulassai river) using alternatively yellow eels obtained from farmed wild-caught glass eels 2146 2147 (Experiment II). I also studied demographic data on released marked and unmarked eels, to examine eels' responses in terms of growth trend in captivity during the rearing phase, 2148 2149 and then in nature after releasing in a 4-years study (2018-2022).

2150 **5.1.2.** Material and Methods

2151 5.1.2.1. Study area

2152 Glass eels' catches were carried out in the Pramaera river mouth (central-western 2153 Sardinia), a pilot site where samplings have been underway since 2017, for the study of the glass eels' recruitment in Sardinia as part of the Regional Eel Plan (Podda et al., 2154 2020a; Porceddu et al., 2022) (Figure 5.1.1). The Pramaera river is a typical 2155 Mediterranean small watercourse showing a torrential regime and summer dryness 2156 (central-eastern Sardinia). This river does not currently experience fluvial anthropogenic 2157 2158 interruptions (e.g., dams or weirs). The glass eels' capture and releasing area is located 2159 on the stretch of the river near the river mouth, an area characterized by brackish water, 2160 and a mean river width of 15 m. The river mouth has an estuarine typology, with a funnel shape at the meeting point between freshwaters and marine waters, and it is characterized 2161 by a substrate dominated by sand. Near the river mouth, riverbanks are characterized by 2162
a lack of vegetation, while the area further back is characterized by the presence of reeds and rushes, typical of the Mediterranean retro-dune environments. Furthermore, the area is subject to extreme events (floods or sea storms), capable of rapidly altering the morphology of the estuary. Artisanal fishing is carried out in the freshwater habitat and in the river mouth using fyke nets and gillnets to target euryhaline fish species (mullets and seabass), and mostly eels.



2169 2170 **Figure 5.1.1.** - Study area

Eels were then transferred and reared in an eel farm located in the central western ofSardinia (Figure 5.1.1).

Reared eels were restocked in the Ulassai river, an eco-Mediterranean watercourse, belonging to the Flumendosa hydrographic basin, in the central-eastern of Sardinia (Italy) (Figure 5.1.1). The selected study area extends for about 1.2 km, in a stretch of an integral fishing reserve, under the concession to a recreational sport fishing

association which contributed to the surveillance of the experimental area. The European 2177 eel, present in the Ulassai river until the 1980s, results locally extinct after the 2178 construction of the Flumineddu dam (1976) (Podda et al., 2022), but, for the 2179 environmental conditions of the watercourse above the dam, it was appropriate to 2180 investigate the restocking of the eel, in a historically and potentially suitable environment. 2181 The river shows a torrential regime, with flowing, well-oxygenated, and good waters 2182 brought all year round (V.V. A.A., 2022). It exhibits bi-seasonal behavior with consistent 2183 drought in summer, and periods of greater flow in winter (V.V. A.A., 2022). From the 2184 geomorphological point of view, the studied stretch results homogeneous and composed 2185 of a rocky calcareous substrate with variable granulometry, with wide and engraved 2186 2187 valleys subjected to occasional alluvial phenomena. It is also characterized by fluvial vegetation in which predominate oleanders and alders. These waters were once populated 2188 2189 exclusively by salmonids and eels (V.V. A.A., 2022). The current fauna consists of salmonids (Salmo trutta complex), and sporadically, other allochthonous fish species due 2190 2191 to the probable ascent from Flumendosa lake through the tunnel that connects the river with such a lake. The concerned river stretch is also heavily exploited by poaching, and 2192 2193 in the area close to the watercourse pastoralism is practiced.

2194 5.1.2.2. Experiment I: survival and growth in rearing conditions

In February 2018, during the maximum recruitment peak period (Podda et al., 2020a), a total of ca. 1450 g of eels (ca. 7400 eels) were caught using experimental fyke nets (2 mm mesh size) in the Pramaera river mouth. Eels were transferred, through proper life support systems assuring constant aeration, to an intensive eel farm and reared for 9 months.

Eels were raised in quadrangular concrete tanks (ten thousand liters), with controlled water parameters (water temperature about 21-25°C, pH between 7 and 8, salinity less than 0.5, dissolved oxygen greater than 5 mg L⁻¹. Eels were fed with a diet based on mullet eggs and fish feed according to age. Three nutrition plans were followed: mullet eggs for the first four months; a mix of mullet eggs and fish feed specific for eel growing for the subsequent three months; fish feed specific for fish fattening for the last two months.

The rearing was conducted from February 2018 to November 2018, where a subsample of 50 specimens was analysed about every two weeks (19 sampling events) to study the growth performance using the individual total length (TL, cm, to the nearest 0.1 cm), and total weight (TW, g, to the nearest 0.1 g) measurements. Moreover, visual health status was also evaluated (e.g., parasites, wounds). To avoid stress, before the biometric
measurements, eels were anesthetized with eugenol and then released into the breeding
tank.

In November 2018, after the rearing period a total of 22.25 kg of eels was obtained. Farmed eels have been subdivided according to their size. Of these, a group of 136 individuals (TL > 20 cm) was selected for the marking which, together with an unmarked group of 4.5 kg (ca. 850 eels), were released in the river stretch identified in the Ulassai River. The remaining 10.8 kg (ca. 1050 eels) were released in the Pramaera river, to return part of the recruitment that had been taken for the rearing phases, with the sole purpose of increasing the population present in the river.

2220 5.1.2.3. Experiment II: marking, restocking, and recapturing in the 2221 Ulassai river

Each eel with a size greater than 20 cm, selected for marking, was measured (TL), weighed (TW), and at last tagged with an individually coded PIT tag (Mini HTP10 Pre-Load Tray). After tagging, eels were acclimatized to the water conditions of the restocking site before release. Unmarked eels were only measured in terms of TL and TW. Subsequently, marked and unmarked eels were released in two sites (Site A, upstream and Site B, downstream) at one km from each other (50% per site) (Figure 5.1.2).



Figure 5.1.2. - Ulassai river stretch with releasing points (Site A and Site B, respectively) where reared
eels were restocked

Eels' samplings were conducted from 2019 to 2022 (one per year) during the 2232 2233 summer dry season (June-July), to facilitate the recapture of eels in the km involved in 2234 the Ulassai river restocking actions. Recaptures were carried out using low-frequency, 2235 pulsed DC electrofishing. Then, eels were measured (TL), weighed (TW), and scanned 2236 to identify the presence and to read the code of PIT tags. In addition, morpho-anatomical 2237 external measurements were made to calculate the silvering index according to Durif et al., (2009b) on eels with TL greater than 30 cm, known lower silvering size limit for eels. 2238 Length of the pectoral fin (FL, mm) was measured from the insertion to the tip of the fin, 2239 both vertical (Dv, mm) and horizontal (Dh, mm) eye diameters were measured on the left 2240 2241 eye.

2242 Once measured and eventually identified, eels were released in the same capture 2243 location. Before biometric measurements and for tagging operations, eels were anesthetized by immersion in eugenol. Before subsequent procedures, eels were then placed in containers with well-oxygenated water taken from the restocking site, and after complete recovery, defined as correct orientation and response to stimuli, were released in the same sampling site.

2248 5.1.2.4. Data analysis

A descriptive analysis was carried out to estimate the average growth trend, in terms of TL and TW, by using the sub-sample of measured eels during the rearing period (Experiment I), and on the eels released in Ulassai river (Experiment II) separately for the two experiments, and distinguishing marked eels from the unmarked ones.

In addition, Fulton's condition factor ($K = TW TL^{-3}*100$) (Ricker, 1975) was calculated for each measured specimen to provide insight into the condition, nutritional status, and feeding activity of fish (Cone, 1989; Schäperclaus, 1990).

Relationships between TL and TW were identified using regression analysis (Ricker, 1975), to define the growing typology (isometric or allometric). TL, TW, and Fulton's condition factor were checked for normality (Shapiro-Wilk's test, S-W, p <0.05). Growing differences (in TL, TW, and Fulton's condition factor) were analyzed between each measurement (ca. every two weeks) using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis's test (K-W test) to verify the equality of the medians between different measurements.

The survival rate was estimated at the end of Experiment I, while the average growth rate and the overall increase in TL and TW were calculated for both experiments (%). Eels' recapture percentage was estimated at the end of Experiment II for both marked and unmarked eels, respectively.

All values were expressed as the mean and standard deviation (\pm St. Dev.) unless otherwise indicated. Significance was set at p < 0.05.

For calculate silvering index on recaptured eels the classification by Durif et al., (2009b) was used. It consists of six stages, which represent a growth phase (stages I and FII), a premigrant stage (FIII), and migrating stages (FIV, FV, MII) for male and female eels, respectively. Calculation of silvering index was carried out according to the "stacomi project", an open access bundle (Postgres database, JAVA, R), to treat migration monitoring information that allows to calculate Durif's stages. Analysis was conducted by using *stacomiR* package (Legrand and Briand, 2022). All data were analysed by R (R Core Team, 2021).

2277 **5.1.3. Results**

2278 For Experiment I, approximately 7400 glass eels used for breeding showed an average

initial TL of 6.34 ± 0.66 cm, while TW was 0.20 ± 0.24 g, respectively (February 2018).

2280 After nine months of rearing (November 2018), the mean TL was 17.29 ± 4.24 cm, and

the mean TW was 8.06 ± 7.75 g.

In November 2018, at the end of Experiment I, the survival rate amounted to about 283 28% (ca. 2000 survived eels). Eels' average growth rate amounted to 9.70% for TL, and 284 244.11% for TW, respectively. The overall increase in TL was about 190%, and TW was 285 ca. 4380%. The increases in terms of TL, TW, and Fulton's condition factor were 286 statistically different from initial values versus final values for all three variables (K-W 287 tests, p < 0.001), and with significant increases especially in the last period of rearing 288 (Figures 5.1.3 and 5.1.4).



Figure 5.1.3. - Mean TL and TW (± standard deviation) trend during the eel-rearing period



Figure 5.1.4. - Mean Condition factor (± standard deviation) trend during the eel-rearing period

2293 The regression analysis between TL and TW (Figure 5.1.5) showed positive 2294 allometric growth, with a robust correlation between length and weight ($R^2 = 0.9715$).



Figure 5.1.5. - Regression between TL and TW of farmed eels obtained after the rearing period

After the rearing months, eels were transferred from the farm to the release sites. A total of 136 eels, size-selected (TL > 20 cm) (ca. 6.95 kg) (mean TL = 31.01 ± 3.96 cm, mean TW = 51.10 ± 24.10 g, mean Fulton's condition factor = 0.16 ± 0.02), were marked using PIT tag before releasing in the Ulassai river. In the same river, 4.5 kg of unmarked eels (ca. 850 eels) (TL < 20 cm) (mean TL = 13.7 ± 5.89 cm, mean TW = 5.24 ± 7.12 g, mean Fulton's condition factor = 0.16 ± 0.02) were released.

During 4-years of experiments in the Ulassai river, eels were recaptured only in the Site A, the upper site of the investigated river stretch, while no recaptures were obtained at the Site B, the more downstream site (Figure 5.1.2). At the end of Experiment II, the overall recapture rate for marked eels was about 2307 22% (15 recaptured eels), and for unmarked eels was about 1.65% (7 eels). The overall 2308 increase for marked eels was 80.4% for TL and ca. 471.4% for TW. The total increase of 2309 unmarked eel amounted to 282.5% for TL, and 4680% for TW, respectively. Eels' 2310 average annual growth rate amounted to 20.1% for TL and 117.9% for TW of marked 2311 eels. While for unmarked eels was 70.6% for TL and 1170% for TW (Figure 5.1.6).



Marked eels showed mean TL by 55.95 ± 2.48 cm, mean TW equal to 292 ± 41.15 g, and the mean Fulton's condition factor equal to 0.166 ± 0.001 . For unmarked eels mean TL was 52.40 ± 3.94 cm, mean TW was 250.46 ± 71.13 g, and mean Fulton coefficient was 0.169 ± 0.018 , respectively (Figures 5.1.7A, 5.1.7B, and 5.1.7C). In particular, the Fulton condition factor looked similar between the 4-years of study, and between marked vs unmarked eels, indicating a state of the body that remained constant over the years of investigation.



Figure 5.1.7. - Average eels' TL (A), TW (B), and Fulton (C) trends between years for marked (black) and unmarked (grey) recaptured eels

Relationships between TL and TW using the regression analysis (Figure 5.1.8) showed positive allometric growth, with a robust correlation between length and weight for both recaptured eels' groups ($R^2 = 0.9953$ marked eels; $R^2 = 0.09876$ unmarked eels).





Figure 5.1.8. - Relationships between TL and TW using the regression analysis of marked (black) and unmarked (grey) recaptured eels' groups

Variations of silvering stages during the restocking experiment were analysed by calculating the silvering index during the different studied years and yearly proportions were calculated showing that eels were classified in two stages I and FII (Figure 5.1.9). Only eels at Stage I occurred in the first year after restocking (2019), in 2020 Stage I and FII occur in 50% of eels recaptured, respectively. In 2021 and 2022 eels were only at Stage FII (100%). Migratory eels (FIV, FV, and MII) were not identified.



2336

Figure 5.1.9 - Proportions of the various stages associated with silvering (I, FII, FIII, FIV, FV, and MII)
by years

2339 **5.1.4. Discussions**

This study provides new scientific knowledge for implementing restocking practices by using reared wild glass eels (Experiment I). After a nine-month experiment, the demographic trend after their release in a small Mediterranean stream (Rio Ulassai) using PIT-tagged and unmarked restocked eels was evaluated after four years (2018-2022,
Experiment II).

2345 The total survival rate (approx. 28%) of wild glass eels during the rearing phase 2346 confirmed the known survival rates for Italian eel's stocks (approx. 30%) (Capoccioni et 2347 al., 2017). During this on-growing phase, eels were weaned and fed with specially formulated food by tripling their length on average and increasing up to forty times in 2348 2349 weight, confirming the rapid growth rates during rearing obtained in previous studies (e.g., up to 10 times after about 6 months of breeding and up to 70 times after one year) 2350 2351 (Dainys et al., 2017). Farmed eels showed positive allometric growth with significant increases in Fulton's condition factor (from 0.07 to 0.14), as previously observed 2352 2353 (Pedersen et al., 2017).

2354 After four years of restocking experiment (Experiment II), the length of the eels has increased between 2 and 12.5 cm per year, with a mean of ca. 6 cm, confirming growth 2355 rates of restocked eels reported in other studies carried out using farmed eels in other 2356 European countries (Bisgaard and Pedersen, 1991; Pedersen et al., 2000; Lin et al., 2007; 2357 2358 Mazel et al., 2013; Simon et al., 2013; Ovidio et al., 2015; Silm et al., 2017; Nzau Matondo et al., 2019). The variability found in eel growth rates between these studies 2359 may be the result of differences in age and size of the individuals analyzed and growth 2360 assessment methods (otolith increases or capture and recapture experiments), as well as 2361 2362 in the ability to habitat load. Furthermore, most of these studies were conducted in the 2363 short term, addressing the efficiency of repopulations only in terms of growth and survival performance (Andersson et al., 1991; Bisgaard and Pedersen, 1991; Lin et al., 2007; 2364 Pedersen, 2009; Simon and Dörner, 2014). 2365

There are several issues that have not yet been clarified regarding the duration of the growth benefits of farmed and restocked eels (White and Knights, 1994). It has been also speculated that the growth in aquaculture facilities negatively affects the ability to wean the eel using natural food after the release period (ICES, 2013; Smirnov et al., 1994). Besides this, the time necessary for restocked eels to recover from stress associated with transport and introduction to a new water body as well as the time they take to start feeding are still unknown (Bernotas et al., 2020).

Regardless of the marking, restocked eels showed quite higher Fulton's condition factor after release than during the farmed phases which remained constant in all four subsequent years (between 0.15 and 0.16). This result could indicate that conditions for growth were probably favored by suitable environmental conditions such as water
temperatures and food availability and not negatively affected by PIT tags, especially in
the absence of competition with other already present conspecifics. In addition, Fulton's
values estimated in the present study were also consistent with values obtained previously
(Bisgaard and Pedersen, 1991; Methling et al. 2011; Simon et al., 2013; Bernotas et al.,
2020).

Among restocked eels, the allometric index was higher in marked recaptured eels 2382 than in unmarked ones (b = 3.4932 vs b = 3.206, respectively), probably due to the 2383 2384 different initial sizes of the two groups. In contrast, the final size, four years after release, showed similar growth between marked and unmarked individuals. The increase in 2385 2386 length, mass, and the high body condition found after restocking suggest a good readjustment to the wild environment after captivity, regardless of the starting sizes. 2387 2388 Furthermore, I tried to detect new information on the silvering stages of recaptured eels. In particular, the released eels showed that, in a Mediterranean riverine habitat, such as 2389 2390 the site of release, they remain undifferentiated (yellow eel stage) in the first two years after restocking, and that it necessarily takes more than four years, as in this study, to 2391 reach more advanced migratory stages. The recaptured eels were therefore still in a 2392 growth and development phase which will require further investigations in the following 2393 years and the continuation of the study to better understand the dynamics of the silvering 2394 2395 process (time and duration of the metamorphosis).

Although in a new release habitat, these first achievements reflect the absence of any major influence on the origin and characteristics of reared glass eels and the experimental period since the European eel comprises a single panmictic population (van Ginneken and Maes, 2005; Palm et al., 2009; Als et al., 2011; Nzau Matondo et al., 2020). For this reason, there is no genetic argument against the translocation of eels within their distribution area or between river basins for restocking purposes (Nzau Matondo et al., 2020).

Of the recaptured eels, 15 eels owned the PIT tag, while the remaining 7 did not. The overall recapture rate found in this study for marked eels (ca. 22%) exceeds what is known in the literature for river ecosystems (18% Feunteun, 2002; 12% Nzau Matondo et al., 2020). However, unexpected results were found regarding the recapture rates of the unmarked group (less than 2%). To this discrepancy, I associated the lower mean sizes in unmarked eels than marked ones and the fact that they were released at significantly higher densities, conditions which I hypothesized may have affected the survival rates ofthis group.

2411 In all cases, all recaptured eels have been found within the river stretch sampled 2412 over the years of study, thus indicating a resident behavior of these animals. It is known 2413 that freshwater habitats increase eel survival because they are generally less risky in terms of predation (Jonsson and Jonsson, 1993) and competition compared to marine and 2414 2415 brackish ecosystems (Van den Thillart et al., 2004; van Ginneken and Maes, 2005; Carpentier et al., 2009; Palm et al., 2009; Imbert et al., 2010; Nzau Matondo et al., 2020). 2416 2417 These findings support current evidence that Anguilla sp. establishes a resident home range during the continental life stages (Parker, 1995; Morrison and Secor, 2003). In fact, 2418 2419 it is known that in freshwater environments, restocked eels remain in the vicinity of the repopulation site (Nzau Matondo et al., 2019). These ecosystems show a good availability 2420 2421 of shelters that provide better burial for increased protection of the eels (Nzau Matondo et al., 2019; Pedersen, 2000). The choice of two close release points was adopted to 2422 2423 increase precision on the dispersion pattern, as the quantities of restocked eels were quite limited. The low mobility may also be influenced by the low eel density; however, 2424 obtained recapture outcomes do not include the eels that emigrated outside the monitored 2425 2426 area and were no longer redetected.

Little is known about the behavioral traits of the first movements, the dispersion, 2427 2428 and the choice of the habitat of reared and then repopulated eels. These aspects could be useful to better understand the adaptation capacity of eels to identify the preferences of 2429 2430 microhabitats in small streams with the final aim to provide useful information to optimize restocking practices. Determining eel space use in small-stream systems could 2431 2432 therefore be important for understanding eel ecology and ultimately conservation management in such systems. Information on how they use these habitats is essential to 2433 2434 aid direct conservation strategies.

Through the improved growth performance (the strong link between eel length and weight, larger eels, positive allometric growth) and the good recapture data of the eels repopulated in the Ulassai river, I observed that the growing conditions of the eel were suitable in this river, suggesting the need to identify the most appropriate habitats (Nzau Matondo et al., 2021) to be implemented for effective eel repopulation operations. Although the use of farmed eels for restocking requires great resources and effort it could be profitable for eels' survivorship in new habitats.

This finding also highlighted the importance of the timing of tagging because this 2442 2443 species shows high behavioral plasticity, with strategies such as 'founder' and 'pioneer' prevailing in the youngest stages (glass eels and elvers) during their first period in rivers 2444 (Feunteun et al., 2003; Laffaille et al., 2005b). Such restocking practices should also be 2445 2446 accompanied by measures such as barrier removal and the use of specific fish passages 2447 to allow the safe downstream migration of silver eels, as well as to improve juvenile upstream dispersal (Podda et al., 2021, 2022). Eels' dispersion outside present limited 2448 study site probably occurred by swimming with and against the current or through passive 2449 2450 migration caused by flooding rather than for natural mortality (Beaulaton and Castelnaud, 2451 2005; Bureau du Colombier et al., 2009).

Results of this experiment produced encouraging results, although it has requested considerable effort and resources. However, the efficiency of restocking to counter eel decline, also in rivers, remains difficult to evaluate, and the implications and potential benefits caused by restocking are still debated (ICES, 2018b).

2456 5.2. Otolith shape analysis on European eel populations 2457 (Anguilla anguilla, L.) from several rivers and lagoons of 2458 Sardinia, and eel's age and growth from a Sardinian stream as

2459 *a study case*

2460 **5.2.1.** Introduction

2461 The European eel (Anguilla anguilla L. 1758) is a catadromous, semelparous, and 2462 panmictic bone fish (Tesch, 2003). It shows a unique life cycle that includes two 2463 migrations of ca. 5000 km that the species undertakes from the spawning grounds in the Sargasso Sea to the European and Northern African coasts (Schmidt, 1923; Dekker, 2464 2003b; Miller et al., 2015; Chang et al., 2020). Leptocephali (larvae) drift across the 2465 Atlantic Ocean and metamorphose into glass eels before entering the continental shelf. 2466 At the glass eel stage, the species colonize continental waters (e.g., rivers, lakes, lagoons), 2467 where it grows and lives from 2 to 20 years as the yellow eel stage. After this period, eels 2468 start to metamorphose into silver eels (mature adults) and return to their spawning 2469 2470 grounds (Tesch, 2003; Van Den Thillart et al., 2004; Trancart et al., 2015; Righton et al., 2471 2016; Wright et al., 2022).

Despite their remarkable ability to adapt to several aquatic environments, the species has undergone the synergistic effect of numerous natural and anthropogenic factors to the point that the European eel strongly declined since 1980 (ICES, 2022). As consequence, this fishery species (Starkie, 2003) became threatened in European continental waters, and for this reason, is classified as critically endangered by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (Pike et al. 2020) and protected by the European regulation n. 1100/2007 (EC, 2007).

2479 Because of its complex life cycle and its widespread geographical distribution, 2480 life-history traits result very variably (Vøllestad 1992). Describing population dynamics 2481 is fundamental in stock assessment (Morais and Bellwood, 2018), however, some aspects remain still not fully documented, and they do not provide a comprehensive overview of 2482 the eel life history parameters at a local scale. Among the possible parameters, age and 2483 2484 growth are important for providing basic information required for population analysis (Brown et al. 2004). Nevertheless, the eel's body growth can vary highly within the same 2485 sub-population because of interindividual variation, and geographically among different 2486 habitats (Vøllestad 1992; Panfili et al., 1994; Melià et al. 2006; Daverat et al. 2012). In 2487

addition, the species shows a marked sexual dimorphism, with larger females than males 2488 2489 of similar age in terms of body size (Vøllestad 1992; De Leo and Gatto 1995; Daverat et al. 2012). It is also known that eel growth rates can vary along latitudinal gradients of 2490 some environmental factors (e.g., temperature, photoperiod, hydrology, and productivity) 2491 (Helfman et al., 1987; Vøllestad et al., 1992; Daverat et al., 2006, 2012; Tesch, 2003). To 2492 2493 better investigate fish populations' development variations and age estimation, otoliths and their morphology as phenotypic markers are widely used (Begg et al., 2005; 2494 Campana, 2005; ICES 2009; Capoccioni et al., 2011). 2495

2496 Otoliths are biomineralized crystalline-organic complexes composed mainly of calcium carbonate (Aydın, 2006). With a metabolically inert structure, otoliths are less 2497 2498 vulnerable to structural modification and grow under the influence of the environmental 2499 seasonality to which the fish is subjected throughout its life (Campana and Thorrold, 2500 2001; Thorrold et al., 1997; Elsdon et al., 2002; Lecomte-Finiger, 1992). For these reasons, otoliths can be defined as one of the most useful anatomical structures to study 2501 2502 growth fish (ICES, 2015; Tsukamoto and Nakai, 1998; Limburg et al., 2003) in the ichthyology field, in ecological studies (Dekker, 2003b; Antunes and Tesch, 1997), in the 2503 fishery biology (Edeline et al., 2005, 2006; Vøllestad, 1992; Bertin, 1956; Van Den 2504 Thillart et al., 2004; Helfman et al., 1987), in the population age structure studies (Tesch, 2505 2506 2003; Daverat et al., 2012), in the fisheries management (Jessop et al., 2004; Krueger and Oliveira, 1999), and to study adaptations of fish to different environmental conditions. 2507

Although otoliths have a species-specific morphological structure, they may also exhibit intraspecific changes in shape and size in relation to physiological and environmental factors (Mille et al., 2015). Otolith morphology can vary between populations (Morat et al., 2012; Ozpicak et al., 2018) or stocks of the same species (Paul et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2018), and within a species depending on sex (Y1lmaz et al., 2014; Başusta and Khan, 2021), diet (Gagliano and McCormick, 2004; Mille et al., 2016), and ontogeny (Campana, 2004).

Despite its ecological and commercial importance, there are still several aspects to be studied on the ecology of *A. anguilla* in the Sardinian island and no studies examined the eels' growth in terms of otolith shape and growth models. To date only one studied the population dynamics and the eels' growth by using otoliths (Rossi and Cannas, 1984).

In this study I provided a description of the eel's *sagittae* otoliths with morphometrical measurements and comparisons through a shape analysis, between 106 several populations of Sardinian rivers and lagoons. Last, I modeled the growth of eels in
the Pramaera river system, as a case study, trying to investigate intraspecific otolith
variability and modeling its growth at the local scale.

2524 **5.2.2.** Material and Methods

2525 **5.2.2.1.** Study locations

Samplings were conducted in five rivers and three lagoons of Sardinia, selected torepresentatively cover several geographic areas of the island (Figure 5.2.1).



2528 144000.0 153000.0
 2529 Figure 5.2.1. - Location of the height areas studied within the Sardinian continental waters, (rivers with red dots, lagoons with green dots)

As for rivers, the regional hydrographic network is characterized by a reduced presence of perennial streams. Most of the watercourses are torrential streams with a hydrographic network characterized by high slopes and short downstream sections. In fact, Sardinian streams often have an ephemeral or intermittent character with periods of hot arid

summers, alternating with rainy autumn/winter seasons, characterized by extreme
precipitation events which can cause strong seasonal hydrological flow fluctuations
(flooding) (De Waele et al., 2010; Sabatini et al., 2018; Palmas et al., 2020; V.V. A.A.,
2022).

2539 The Pramaera river is a typical Mediterranean small watercourse located in the central-eastern of Sardinia. Its extension area is about 17 km², and no fluvial interruptions 2540 2541 of anthropogenic origin occur (i.e., dams or other anthropogenic barriers) (Podda et al., 2542 2020a; Porceddu et al., 2022). The Tirso river represents the main watercourse of the island, with a basin extension of about 189 km² (V.V. A.A., 2022), it rises in the center 2543 of Sardinia and develops from northeast to southwest. Its course differs considerably as 2544 2545 it proceeds from the source to the mouth of the river, differentiating in the upstream part with a winding path and considerable slopes, to take on a regular appearance in the central 2546 2547 part, up to presenting minimal slopes and large meanders in the downstream section. An important element is the presence of numerous artificial reservoirs that are relevant from 2548 2549 the point of view of the quantity of invasable water. While, the coastal area has a series of coastal lagoons, some of which dry up completely in the summer. The Coghinas river 2550 2551 is the third mainstream in Sardinia, it is located in the northern part of the island and extends for about 170 km². Along its course, the Coghinas river is regulated by two dams 2552 and then flows into the sea in the Asinara Gulf. The Barca river is found in north-western 2553 Sardinia and is a first-order watercourse with an extension area of ca. 33 km², its 2554 2555 downstream trait flows in the Calich lagoon. In the Barca river basin, there are several reservoirs and the natural lake of Baratz. The Mannu di Fluminimaggiore river (hereafter 2556 2557 UMannu) is located in the southwestern part of Sardinia and is a first-order watercourse 2558 belonging to the Riu Mannu basin. The river, with its winding path, extends for about 12 km^2 . 2559

Sardinian lagoons extend for a total of about 120 km², representing about 10% of 2560 the entire national heritage, and are particularly interesting for their naturalistic value and 2561 2562 their productivity (V.V. A.A., 2010). The Calich lagoon is located on the north-western coast of Sardinia and has a surface of ca. 0.90 km². It communicates with the sea through 2563 a channel located in the northwestern area of the lagoon. The main tributaries are the 2564 2565 Barca and the Calvia rivers, and the Oruni canal. The continuous tidal flow and the freshwater inputs result in a very variable brackish condition which results in fishing 2566 yields that do not exceed 50 kg ha⁻¹. The salinity can vary from 5 in the winter season to 2567

38 in summer (V.V. A.A., 2010). The Porto Pino lagoon is located on the southern coast 2568 of Sardinia, with an extension of about 0.25 km², consists of a series of small basins (Porto 2569 Pino, Maestrale, Is Brebeis, Foxi, and Corvo) in communication with each other and used 2570 2571 as tanks pre-evaporating from the saline. The salinity can vary from the marine values 2572 (ca. 37) and can increase up to 50 (Rossi and Cannas, 1984). In this lagoon, a good 2573 integration has been achieved between salt production and fishing activities through the management of the bulkheads with which the water flow is regulated. The fishing activity 2574 is carried out using artisanal gill nets, pots, and fyke nets. The Sa Praia lagoon is located 2575 2576 on the southeastern coast of Sardinia and extends for about 0.86 km². It is provisioned by the Gironi river and is connected to the sea by a canal on which a traditional downstream 2577 2578 trap called "lavoriero" is positioned. The salinity ranges from 22.3 to 39.3 (Fish Products 2579 Service of Agricultural Research Agency of Sardinia, Agris).

2580 5.2.2.2. *Eel's samples*

Eels were collected between June 2015 and February 2020. In the Pramaera and Coghinas rivers, eels were collected through experimental fyke nets (2 mm mesh size), while in Tirso, Barca, and UMannu rivers fish were captured using low-frequency, pulsed DC electrofishing. Lastly, in Calich, Porto Pino, and Sa Praia lagoons, eels were caught with fyke nets (10 mm mesh size).

All caught individuals were immediately stored in cool and aerated water and 2586 2587 anesthetized by immersion in a bath of clove oil (eugenol dissolved in ethyl alcohol) 2588 (Walsh and Pease, 2002) until the termination of opercular movements, and measured for 2589 total length (TL, cm) and total weight (TW, g). Eels were then sacrificed in situ by decapitation, according to the European Community regulation and Italian legislation for 2590 2591 the protection of animals used for scientific purposes (Directive 2010/63/UE L 276 20/10/2010, implemented by Italian Legislative Decree 26/2014). Individuals were put 2592 2593 on ice and were kept frozen until head dissection for otoliths' extraction.

Moreover, in the laboratory macroscopic sex determination was also assessed, when possible, by the criteria of Sinha and Jones (1975), and Tesch (2003), discriminating eels into females, males, and undifferentiated, respectively. In Pramaera river's eels, it was also possible to conduct histological analysis to ascertain sex (Colombo et al., 1984).

2598 5.2.2.3. Otoliths extraction and shape analysis

The right and left otoliths of eels were extracted for the analysis, cleaned with distilled water to remove remaining adhering tissues, and placed dry in tubes. Each dried otolith was weighted (W_OTO, g), and observed in the dorsal position under a stereomicroscope fitted with a digital camera (Leica S9i Stereozoom LSR w/TL3000 ergo). Digital images were acquired using the software Leica LAS 4.12 to obtain the most highly contrasted image. The extraction and preparation of the otoliths' method were developed according to the methodology defined in the "Manual for the Ageing of Atlantic Eel" (ICES, 2009).

According to the methodology of otolith shape analysis previously described in Morat et al. (2012) and in Mérigot et al. (2007), the elliptic Fourier analysis (Stransky and MacLellan 2005; Mérigot et al., 2007; Morat et al., 2012; 2018), and the shape indices (Tuset et al., 2003) were applied on otoliths.

The elliptic Fourier analysis describes the otoliths' outline starting from several 2610 2611 components named harmonics, each one characterized by 4 coefficients, derived from the 2612 projection of each point along the x- and y-axes. The higher the number of harmonics, 2613 the greater the accuracy of the outline description (Kuhl and Giardina, 1982). Fourier 2614 coefficients for each numerical image were calculated using the software Shape 1.3 2615 (Iwata, 2006) to make them invariants to the otolith size, its orientation, and regarding the beginning of the outline, which is arbitrarily defined. The Fourier power spectrum 2616 2617 was calculated for each otolith to determine the best number of harmonics for the optimal reconstruction of the otolith outline (Crampton, 1995) considering both the right and left 2618 2619 otolith of the same individual separately, as well as combined. Finally, a total of 11 harmonics of the right otoliths were set up to obtain a threshold of 99.99% of the outline. 2620 2621 Because the first harmonic was not considered (representing a simple ellipse), a total of 2622 40 Fourier coefficients were used to describe each otolith.

2623 Otolith shape differences between each river and lagoon were determined using the canonical discriminant analysis (CDA) performed with the 40 Fourier coefficients. 2624 This classification method investigates the groups' integrity (each river and lagoon) by 2625 2626 finding a linear combination of the descriptors that maximizes Wilk's lambda (λ) obtaining values ranging from 0 (low discrimination) to 1 (high discrimination) (Ramsay 2627 2628 & Silveman, 2005). The Cohen kappa statistic was used to estimate the global reclassification rate of all groups (Titus et al., 1984). The dissimilarity between groups 2629 2630 was evaluated by the Euclidian distance (d) between the barycenter of each group.

Different measurements were performed on each otolith sample to characterize the otoliths and calculate the shape indices defined by Tuset et al. (2003) using the software ImageJ. In detail, five indices were derived from the area (A), the perimeter (P), the Feret length (L) and the Feret width (w) of otoliths: the form factor $(4\pi A/P^2)$, the circularity (P²/A), the roundness $(4A/\pi L^2)$, the ellipticity (L-w)/(L+w), and the rectangularity (A/Lw). All indexes range from 0 to 1.

Pairwise collinearity in the five shape indexes was examined by scatter plots to exclude redundancy between paired variables using Spearman's $\rho > 0.7$. Shape indexes were discarded from the pairwise combination based on the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) discarding observation with VIF > 3 (Zuur et al., 2010).

Differences in shape indices were then analysed to describe and compare the 2641 2642 otoliths in the different study sites (each river and lagoon). Furthermore, comparisons were made also between the measured distances of the first three rings and the core in the 2643 different sites to assess the otolith development in several environments. Finally, the 2644 annual growth (cm year⁻¹) was analyzed to identify differences between the rivers and 2645 lagoons. The assumption of linearity (normality and homoscedasticity) was rejected and 2646 therefore nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis (K-W) test followed by a pairwise comparison 2647 2648 post hoc Dunn's (Z) test was performed to test for differences of the median between different rivers and lagoons. Significance was set at p < 0.05. 2649

2650 5.2.2.4. The Von Bertalanffy growth model

For the age reading (ICES, 2009), otoliths were prepared by grinding and polishing along the sagittal plane, followed by staining. Eels 'otoliths under 5 years were analysed without any preparation (in toto), except for their immersion in lavender essential oil to improve the visualization of growth marks.

Fish growth can be estimated by relating fish length with the age. One of the most common methods for aging fish is the analysis of growth rings found on otoliths (Campana and Thorrold, 2001; Simon et al., 2013). Eel growth was described by using Von Bertalanffy growth equation

2659 $L_t = L_{\infty} (1 - e^{-k(t - t0)})$

where L_t (in mm) is the average length at the time t, L_{∞} (in mm) is the asymptotic average length, k is the growth rate coefficient, and t_0 is the (hypothetical) age at which the fish length would have been zero if it had always grown.

Because of sexual dimorphism in body growth by the European eel (male silver 2663 2664 eels can reach a size of only 29-54 cm, whereas female silver eels can grow up to a size of 133 cm), I developed two growth models separated by sex. Having a complete 2665 subdivision by sexes only in the Pramaera river, it was possible to obtain growth models 2666 2667 only on this studied site.

To fit the eel growth model, a standardized transect across each right otolith 2668 2669 previously analyzed for shape analysis allowed to obtain individual growth trajectories by measuring the distances between growth rings from the nucleus to the edge and 2670 2671 transforming these to fish lengths by a process called back-calculation (Morat et al., 2020; Vigliola et al., 2000; Vigliola and Meekan, 2009) to quantify the uncertainty around the 2672 2673 obtained length estimates according to the relationship between the length at capture (L_{cpt}) and the radius of the otolith at capture (R_{cpt}). Individuals with estimated age at the capture 2674 of one year were not included in the back-calculation. 2675

2676 Along this transect, the age of eels was estimated, and distances between each annual growth increment were measured using the software ImageJ. The age estimation 2677 2678 was performed twice by two independent operators to prevent biases induced by a single observer. When the coefficient of variation between the two observers was greater than 2679 2680 5%, a common reading was assessed for each section (Panfili et al., 2002).

2681 The dataset to fit the models should contain a set of variables that allow the estimation of multiple growth parameters (Table 5.2.1). 2682

2683	Table 5.2.1	Parameters included in the	dataset to fit Von Ber	talanffy growth models
	Parameter	Definition	Unit	

Radi	Measurements of otolith growth rings	mm
Agei	Age i	years
Ri	Radius of otolith at age <i>i</i>	mm
Age _{cpt}	Age at capture	years
Len _{cpt}	Length at capture	mm
Rad _{cpt}	Radius of otolith at capture	mm
L _o *	Length of fish at hatching	mm
R ₀ **	Radius of otolith at hatching	mm

2684 *From Sorensen et al., 2016

2685 **From Ayala et al., 2018

In the models, informative priors for growth parameters were extracted from 2686 FishBase (https://www.fshbase.se/search.php) and Ayala et al., (2018). Growth models 2687

were done with the sofware R (R Core Team, 2021) and the packages *fishgrowbot*(Schiettekatte, 2021), *dplyr*, *rstan*, and *bayesplot*.

2690 **5.2.3.** Results

2691 5.2.3.1. The otolith shape analysis

A total of 100 eels were caught for the otolith analysis (Table 5.2.2). An exploratory analysis was carried out first on otoliths of the Pramaera river in which it was possible to find the largest number of samples and on which the sex of eels was determined on a macro and microscopic basis. In this analysis, the shape indices between male and female otoliths were compared and no significant differences were found between sexes (K-W test, p > 0.05).

Table 5.2.2. - Biometrics of sampled eels. Type of environment (river or lagoon), mean total length (TL),
 mean total weight (TW), and mean otoliths' weight (W_OTO) (± standard deviation, st. dev.) for different
 study sites

Site	Environment type	n	TL \pm st. dev. (cm)	TW \pm st. dev. (g)	W_OTO ± st. dev. (g)
Pramaera	River	26	33.29±17.68	117.4±143.64	0.0033 ± 0.0032
Tirso	River	13	23.03±39.09	25.35±20.15	0.0015±0.00066
UMannu	River	11	30.47±2.49	40.9±11.07	0.0024±0.00037
Barca	River	10	38.09±14.46	159.255±278.39	0.0050±0.0068
Coghinas	River	10	15.02±2.86	4.41±2.85	0.00077±0.00026
Calich	Lagoon	10	40.77±9.82	163.59±133.55	0.0031±0.0017
Porto Pino	Lagoon	10	43.97±15.64	181.43±195.02	0.0048 ± 0.0047
Sa Praia	Lagoon	10	40.56±8.74	129.69±110.50	0.0041±0.0027

Starting from this result, according to which the development of the otolith form is not sex-dependent, the Fourier coefficients of right otoliths were used in the CDA to show the relative classification of the eight study sites (Figure 5.2.2). The CDA showed Wilks λ equal to 0.06 and 0.17 for the x and y axes respectively, indicating low discrimination between groups. While the percentage of reclassification assessed with Cohen's kappa test was 75.7%.



Figure 5.2.2. - Canonical Discriminant Analysis (CDA) output between the five rivers and three lagoons investigated in the present study

Euclidian distances between the barycenter of each group (rivers and lagoons), resulting from the CDA, showed a clustering between the Pramaera, Tirso, and UMannu rivers with values of d < 0.9, and a net grouping between the three lagoons (d < 0.4). Barca river showed intermediate characteristics between the rivers and lagoons groups, while the Coghinas river did not grouped with any other site showing d > 2.4 compared to all other sites (Table 5.2.3).

2716	Table 5.2.3 Euclidian distances between barycenter of study sites resulting from the CDA performed
2717	with right otoliths (Euclidian distance < 1 in bold, representing the strong clustering between sites)

Site	Pramaera	Tirso	UMannu	Barca	Coghinas	Calich	Porto Pino	Sa Praia
Pramaera	0							
Tirso	0.21	0						
UMannu	0.76	0.86	0					
Barca	1.29	1.47	0.78	0				
Coghinas	2.52	2.59	3.16	3.18	0			
Calich	2.14	2.34	1.97	1.28	2.84	0		
Porto Pino	1.98	2.18	1.93	1.33	2.52	0.32	0	
Sa Praia	2.06	2.26	2.05	1.47	2.42	0.43	0.16	0

After analyzing shape indices through Spearman correlation ($\rho < 0.7$) (Figure 5.2.3) and using VIF score < 3 as a threshold (Table 5.2.4), only the form factor, roundness, and rectangularity were included for the subsequent shape analysis. The remaining shape indices (area, perimeter, Feret length, Feret width, and circularity) showed a correlation higher than 0.7 and VIF greater than 3, therefore they were discarded from subsequent analyses.



27242725 Figure 5.2.3. - Spearman rank correlation for shape otoliths' indexes



Between study sites, the form factor showed significant differences between rivers and lagoons (K-W = 29.34, p = 0.00012) (Figure 5.2.4). Form factor values were higher in rivers than in lagoons (Table 5.2.5). This result was also confirmed by the post hoc Dunn's test revealing significant differences, especially between Calich and Porto Pino lagoons against Coghinas, Pramaera, and Tirso rivers (Z tests, p < 0.05).



Figure 5.2.4. - Boxplot for the form factor index for rivers (grey) and for lagoons (white). The horizontal line within the box = median; ends of the box = 25th and 75th percentiles; ends of whiskers = 10th and 90th

2735 percentiles; circles = outliers.

2736**Table 5.2.5.** - In grey cell, median values \pm standard deviation (st. dev.) of form factor shape index are2737described. In the lower box, the *p* values are reported, in bold the significant values (p < 0.05) and in the2738upper box, asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ****; p < 0.001 = ***; p < 0.01 = **; p < 0.01 = **; p < 0.05 = *; p > 0.05 = ns (non-significant).

	Pramaera	Tirso	UMannu	Barca	Coghinas	Calich	Porto Pino	Sa Praia
Pramaera	0.767 ± 0.063	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns
Tirso	1	0.777 ± 0.044	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns
UMannu	1	1	0.794 ± 0.041	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Barca	1	1	1	0.763 ± 0.067	ns	ns	ns	ns
Coghinas	1	1	1	1	0.794 ± 0.038	*	*	ns
Calich	0.14	0.08	0.19	1	0.04	0.704 ± 0.055	ns	ns
Porto Pino	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.90	0.01	1	0.695 ± 0.055	ns
Sa Praia	0.44	0.24	0.49	1	0.14	1	1	0.720 ± 0.071

A similar outline was obtained for the roundness index (Figure 5.2.5), highlighting statistical differences between sites (K-W = 42.11, p < 0.0001), with greater values of roundness in rivers than in lagoons, especially the Coghinas river (Table 5.2.6). These differences concerned all three lagoons compared to Pramaera, Tirso, and Coghinas rivers (Z tests, p < 0.05).



Figure 5.2.5. - Boxplot for the roundness index of otoliths for rivers (grey) and for lagoons) (white). The
horizontal line within the box = median; ends of the box = 25th and 75th percentiles; ends of whiskers =
10th and 90th percentiles; circles = outliers.

Table 5.2.6. - In grey cell, median values \pm standard deviation (st. dev.) of roundness shape index are2750described. In the lower box, the *p* values are reported, in bold the significant values (p < 0.05) and in the2751upper box, asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ****; p < 0.001 = ***; p < 0.01 = **; p < 0.05 = *; p > 0.05 = ns (non-significant).

	Pramaera	Tirso	UMannu	Barca	Coghinas	Calich	Porto Pino	Sa Praia
Pramaera	0.642 ± 0.073	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	*
Tirso	1	0.660 ± 0.032	ns	ns	ns	*	*	**
UMannu	1	1	0.639 ± 0.040	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Barca	1	1	1	0.616 ± 0.051	ns	ns	ns	ns
Coghinas	1	1	1	0.30	0.705 ± 0.035	**	***	***
Calich	0.07	0.03	0.39	1	0.002	$\begin{array}{c} 0.580 \pm \\ 0.034 \end{array}$	ns	ns
Porto Pino	0.03	0.01	0.19	1	< 0.001	1	0.573 ± 0.015	ns
Sa Praia	0.01	0. 007	0.12	1	< 0.001	1	1	0.574 ± 0.041

Last, the rectangularity index (Figure 5.2.6) instead did not show significant differences in medians between the sites (Table 5.2.7) (K-W = 3.88, p = 0.79).



Figure 5.2.6. - Boxplot for the rectangularity index of otoliths for rivers (grey) and for lagoons) (white). 2757 The horizontal line within the box = median; ends of the box = 25th and 75th percentiles; ends of whiskers = 10th and 90th percentiles; circles = outliers. 2758

2759 Table 5.2.7. - In grey cell, median values ± standard deviation (st. dev.) of rectangularity shape index are 2760 described. In the lower box, the p values are reported, in bold the significant values (p < 0.05) and in the upper box, asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ****; p < 0.001 = ***; p < 0.01 = **; p < 02761 2762 0.05 = *; p > 0.05 = ns (non-significant).

	Pramaera	Tirso	UMannu	Barca	Coghinas	Calich	Porto Pino	Sa Praia
Pramaera	0.744 ± 0.018	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Tirso	1	0.742 ± 0.012	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
UMannu	1	1	0.741 ± 0.012	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Barca	1	1	1	0.745 ± 0.016	ns	ns	ns	ns
Coghinas	1	1	1	1	0.739 ± 0.013	ns	ns	ns
Calich	1	1	1	1	1	0.733 ± 0.024	ns	ns
Porto Pino	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.745 ± 0.044	ns
Sa Praia	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.750 ± 0.021

2763 Ring distances of the first three years were analysed to determine differences in otolith dimension between rivers and lagoons. For the first and the second ring distances 2764 (Figures 5.2.7 and 5.2.8), no evident significant differences were found although median 2765 values result higher in lagoons than in rivers (Tables 5.2.8 and 5.2.9) (K-W = 22.57, p =2766 0.0019; K-W = 19.30, p = 0.0073), with pairwise significant differences only between 2767 Calich and Sa Praia lagoons against UMannu river (Z tests, p < 0.05). 2768



Figure 5.2.7. - Boxplot for the first ring distance from the otolith core for rivers (grey) and for lagoons) 2771 (white). The horizontal line within the box = median; ends of the box = 25th and 75th percentiles; ends of 2772 whiskers = 10th and 90th percentiles; circles = outliers



2774 Figure 5.2.8. - Boxplot for the second ring distance from the otolith core for rivers (grey) and for lagoons) 2775 (white). The horizontal line within the box = median; ends of the box = 25th and 75th percentiles; ends of 2776 whiskers = 10th and 90th percentiles; circles = outliers



	Pramaera	Tirso	UMannu	Barca	Coghinas	Calich	Porto Pino	Sa Praia
Pramaera	0.326 ± 0.088	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*
Tirso	1	0.347 ± 0.069	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
UMannu	1	1	0.298 ± 0.061	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Barca	0.45	1	0.15	0.424 ± 0.060	ns	ns	ns	ns
Coghinas	1	1	1	1	0.347 ± 0.68	ns	ns	ns
Calich	1	1	0.73	1	1	0.414 ± 0.166	ns	ns
Porto Pino	0.39	1	0.07	1	1	1	0.439 ± 0.116	ns

So Droio	0.07	0.61	0.02	1	1	1	1	$0.460 \pm$
Sa Fraia	0.07	0.01	0.05	1	1	1	1	0.086

2781**Table 5.2.9** - In grey cell, median values \pm standard deviation (st. dev.) of the second ring distance from2782the otolith core are described. In the lower box, the *p* values are reported, in bold the significant values (*p*2783< 0.05) and in the upper box, asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ***; p < 0.001 = ***; p < 0.001 = **; p > 0.05 = ns (non-significant).

	Pramaera	Tirso	UMannu	Barca	Coghinas	Calich	Porto Pino	Sa Praia
Pramaera	0.496 ± 0.126	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Tirso	1	0.469 ± 0.099	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
UMannu	1	1	0.390 ± 0.184	ns	ns	*	ns	ns
Barca	1	1	0.49	0.549 ± 0.088	ns	ns	ns	ns
Coghinas	1	1	1	1	0.471 ± 0.088	ns	ns	ns
Calich	1	0.36	0.04	1	0.89	0.694 ± 0.223	ns	ns
Porto Pino	1	1	0.31	1	1	1	0.556 ± 0.145	ns
Sa Praia	1	0.51	0.07	1	1	1	1	0.587 ± 0.188

In the third ring (K-W = 26.33, p < 0.001), the differences in the distances seem site-specific manner regardless of the distinction between rivers or lagoons (Figure 5.2.9 and Table 5.2.10). The only significant differences are between the Pramaera versus Tirso, and Pramaera versus UMannu rivers, respectively (Z tests, p < 0.05).



Figure 5.2.9. - Boxplot for the third ring distance from the otolith core for rivers (grey) and for lagoons)
(white). The horizontal line within the box = median; ends of the box = 25th and 75th percentiles; ends of
whiskers = 10th and 90th percentiles; circles = outliers

2793**Table 5.2.10.** - In grey cell, median values \pm standard deviation (st. dev.) of the third ring distance from the2794otolith core are described. In the lower box, the *p* values are reported, in bold the significant values (p <27950.05) and in the upper box, asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ****; p < 0.001 = ***; p < 0.001 = **; p > 0.05 = ns (non-significant).

	Pramaera	Tirso	UMannu	Barca	Coghinas	Calich	Porto Pino	Sa Praia
Pramaera	0.693 ± 0.154	**	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Tirso	0.007	0.476 ± 0.095	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
UMannu	0.01	1	0.421 ± 0.180	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Barca	1	1	1	0.581 ± 0.100	ns	ns	ns	ns
Coghinas	0.10	1	1	1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.488 \pm \\ 0.094 \end{array}$	ns	ns	ns
Calich	1	0.08	0.13	1	0.46	0.729 ± 0.234	ns	ns
Porto Pino	1	0.45	0.66	1	1	1	0.605 ± 0.140	ns
Sa Praia	1	0.52	0.75	1	1	1	1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.546 \pm \\ 0.168 \end{array}$

The annual growth differed between rivers and lagoons (Figure 5.2.10) (K-W = 58.27, p < 0.0001), showing greater median values in lagoons except for the Pramaera which shows values close to the lagoons, and the Coghinas river who presents median values which are the smallest ones (Table 5.2.11) (Z tests, p < 0.05).



Figure 5.2.10. - Boxplot for the annual growth (cm year-1) for rivers (grey) and for lagoons) (white). The
 horizontal line within the box = median; ends of the box = 25th and 75th percentiles; ends of whiskers =
 10th and 90th percentiles; circles = outliers

2805Table 5.2.11. - In grey cell, median values \pm standard deviation (st. dev.) of the annual growth (cm year⁻¹)**2806**are described. In the lower box, the *p* values are reported, in bold the significant values (p < 0.05) and in**2807**the upper box, asterisks indicate the significance code: p < 0.0001 = ***; p < 0.001 = ***; p < 0.01 = ***; p < 0.01 = ***; p < 0.05 = *; p > 0.05 = ns (non-significant).

	Pramaera	Tirso	UMannu	Barca	Coghinas	Calich	Porto Pino	Sa Praia
Pramaera	6.00 ± 3.50	*	ns	ns	****	ns	ns	ns
Tirso	0.01	3.58 ± 0.84	ns	ns	ns	****	**	ns
UMannu	0.33	1	3.70 ± 1.33	ns	ns	**	ns	ns
Barca	1	0.87	1	5.29 ± 1.03	*	ns	ns	ns
Coghinas	< 0.0001	1	1	0.03	7.60 ± 2.86	****	****	***
Calich	0.72	< 0.0001	0.002	0.31	< 0.0001	9.15 ± 1.77	ns	ns
Porto Pino	1	0.002	0.57	1	< 0.0001	1	6.81 ± 2.16	ns
Sa Praia	1	0.57	0.65	1	< 0.001	1	1	5.90 ± 2.64

The numerous differences found in the Coghinas could be connected to the small size of the individuals that it was possible to collect from this river (TL \leq 20.5 cm).

2811 5.2.3.2. The Von Bertalanffy growth model

For the Von Bertalanffy growth models, since I do not have a differentiation of the sexes that allows an analysis of growth models for all sites, models (separately for females and males) were developed only for the Pramaera river as a case study (Figures 5.2.11 and 5.2.12). In the Pramaera river, female eels (5 eels, mean TL = 57.28 ± 6.10 cm) TL ranged from 49.5 to 65.0 cm, male eels (11 eels, mean TL = 36.40 ± 3.44 cm) TL ranged from 31.1 to 41.9 cm, while undifferentiated eels (10 eels, mean TL = 15.92 ± 9.17 cm) had a TL ranging from 6.80 cm to 31.6 cm.





Figure 5.2.11. - Back-calculated Von Bertalanffy growth curves of female eels from the Pramaera river



Age (years)
 Figure 5.2.12. - Back-calculated Von Bertalanffy growth curves of male eels from the Pramaera river

The Von Bertalanffy growth parameters (L_{∞} , k, and t_0) for female and male eels from the Pramaera river were modelized separately (Figures 5.2.11 and 5.2.12). The growth model of female eels in the Pramaera river is described as the following equation $L_t = 847(1 - e^{-0.12(t + 0.73)})$. In males, the Von Bertalanffy growth model was fitted as follows $L_t = 736(1 - e^{-0.09(t + 1.32)})$.

2828 **5.2.4. Discussions**

2829 5.2.4.1. The otoliths shape analysis

In this study, for the first time, I described populations of A. anguilla in Sardinian 2830 2831 continental waters through otolith shape analysis, to assess the possible role of different aquatic environments on otolith shape. I applied the canonical discriminant analysis to 2832 2833 the right otoliths obtaining a high value of reclassification (75.7%) at the regional spatial scale of the studied area. The classification analysis and shape indexes comparisons can 2834 2835 represent useful tools in discriminating eels' populations that grow in different habitats 2836 (rivers and lagoons) at the local level as already observed in other regional studies on 2837 some eel Mediterranean stocks (Capoccioni et al., 2011; Milošević et al., 2021).

In the present study, I found that otoliths of riverine eels were rounder and less irregular, with a higher form factor than in lagoons, confirming what was obtained in other shape otoliths studies (Capoccioni et al., 2011; Moura et al., 2022). This result highlights also different growth patterns between the lagoon and river habitats, where, in the latter, the initial circular shape of juvenile eels remains for their entire life. The only exception is represented by otoliths of eels from the Coghinas river, which showed a shape differentiation resulting in a more circular shape than all otoliths of the other rivers. This result can be considered as a bias in the collected sample, consisting only of small specimens ($TL \le 20.5$ cm) but that, despite the reduced TL values, showed an age ranging from two to five years.

2848 Besides this, several environmental abiotic characteristics (e.g., temperature, salinity, depth, food availability, and ecological niche) could determine variability in fish 2849 2850 development, that can be reflected in the otoliths' shape (Moura et al., 2022; Campana and Thorrold, 2001; Wilson, 1985; Campana and Neilson, 1985; Morales-Nin, 2000; 2851 2852 Aguirre and Lombarte 1999; Mérigot et al. 2007; Gonzales-Salas and Lenfant 2007; Gagliano and McCormick 2004). Furthermore, different eels' development strategies, in 2853 2854 a variety of aquatic habitats located in different geographic areas, can reflect the complexity of these environments, helping in the understanding of habitat suitability, the 2855 2856 success of recruitment, and the eel productivity (Schiavina et al., 2015; Bevacqua et al., 2019). These results, however, allowed me to discriminate local eels' populations, 2857 2858 corroborating the hypothesis that ecological and morphological differences in otolith shape depend on the used environments (Morat et al., 2012). Eels with rounder otoliths 2859 were found in freshwater rivers. These areas tend to be less susceptible to variations in 2860 2861 salinity or temperature and depth than brackish estuaries or lagoons (Whigham et al., 2019). However, it remains unknown how environmental abiotic variables could be 2862 2863 acting together, influencing, or limiting the development of the species (Daverat et al., 2012). 2864

2865 5.2.4.2. The Von Bertalanffy growth model

The European eel shows sex-specific life-history strategies. Females take long 2866 2867 maturation periods to produce eggs, requiring higher energetic demands than males. This leads to a sexual dimorphism based on differences in body length at maturity to reach a 2868 2869 higher fecundity (females about 130 cm, males about 60 cm, respectively) (Costa, 1991; Vøllestad, 1992; Tesch, 2003; Durif et al., 2009a, b). This sex differentiation strategy, is 2870 2871 also reflected in the otoliths' growth and formation, depends by the growing habitat, and by the indirect effects of environmental conditions (Poole and Reynolds, 1996; Poole and 2872 2873 Reynolds, 1998; Oliveira, 1999; Melià et al., 2006; Geffroy and Bardonnet, 2016; Simon, 2015). Thus, I modeled the eel's growth, differentiated by sex considering the sexual 2874 dimorphism in growth, in the Pramaera river as a case study. Indeed, the analysis of Von 2875 Bertalanffy's growth curves showed sex-specific changes in growth, with females' 2876

growth curve towards an L_{∞} of 847 mm and k of 0.12, and the curve for males tending toward an L_{∞} of 736 mm and k of 0.09. These results provided a first preliminary vision of the eel's growth in Sardinia, through models defined with the certainty of the sex at the histological level, with the purpose of further deepen the investigation with a greater number of specimens and on other sites.

Moreover, it is known that parameters from the von Bertalanffy growth model are 2882 2883 highly variable throughout the eel distribution range (Correia et al., 2021). This is consistent with the results obtained by other authors in other Mediterranean and European 2884 2885 areas. In Sardinia, only another dated study was carried out in Porto Pino lagoon (Rossi 2886 and Cannas, 1984), showing asymptotic lengths of growth shorter than in my present 2887 study, consistent with the fastest known eel body developments, for lagoon systems (Melià et al. 2006; Daverat et al. 2012; Simon et al., 2013; Correia et al., 2021). These 2888 2889 site-specific differences, in local variations in eel populations' growth, comparing other Mediterranean riverine systems and lagoons, might be due to environmental factors and 2890 latitudinal variations (Melià et al., 2006; De Leo and Gatto, 1995). For instance, it is 2891 known that habitats with higher temperatures show faster growth, in southern Europe, 2892 compared to the populations in the north (Morais and Bellwood 2018). 2893

2894 **5.2.5.** Conclusions

Although the European eel is protected according to regional, national, and 2895 2896 international regulations, and despite its commercial importance, little has been published 2897 on the ecology of this species in Sardinia (Rossi and Cannas, 1984; Podda et al., 2020a, 2898 2021, 2022; Porceddu et al., 2022). No studies have analysed the shape of eels' otoliths 2899 for Sardinian continental waters (rivers and lagoons), and only one paper examined the 2900 relationship between otoliths development and the growth of the European eel in the Porto 2901 Pino lagoon (Rossi and Cannas, 1984). Considering this, the mean growth of the stock 2902 should be estimated by sorting eels by size classes at capture to obtain an unbiased reflection of the age structure and growth of eels' stocks in Sardinia. 2903

All differences that I found in otolith shape among studied sites could lead to changes between different local stocks and they could be related to environmental peculiarities. It is difficult to find a direct correlation between environmental factors and variations in otolith morphology, and further studies would be necessary to relate the type of habitat and environmental variability with the eel growth and body characteristics. Therefore, because otolith shape has been studied for the European eel in some European

areas successfully discriminating eels that grow in different habitat types (Capoccioni et 2910 al., 2011; Moura et al., 2022), I also support that this method can be considered a valuable 2911 tool to study the species' phenology. Furthermore, most of the studies conducted on eel 2912 2913 growth were developed in freshwater habitats and at higher latitudes (Moriarty 1983; 2914 Vøllestad 1985; Aprahamian et al. 2007), while have been poorly investigated in southwest Europe, where rivers, estuaries, and coastal lagoons are the most important 2915 habitats for the species (Domingos 2003; Costa et al. 2008). Therefore, finding the links 2916 between phenotypic attributes, and habitat features would be crucial to estimate the eel's 2917 growth for a more precise stock assessment. 2918
2919 **6. Chapter 6**

Threats that are contributing to imperiling the European eel in freshwaters: the impact of larger dams in riverine systems

2922 6.1. <u>When the Eel meets dams: Larger dams' long-term impacts</u> 2923 <u>on Anguilla anguilla (L., 1758)</u>

Published as: Podda C., Palmas F., Pusceddu A., Sabatini A. (2022). When the eel meets dams: larger
dams' long-term impacts on *Anguilla anguilla* (L., 1758). *Front. Environ. Sci.*, 10: 876369. doi:
10.3389/fenvs.2022.876369.

2927 *Abstract*

Diadromous fish, like the European eel Anguilla anguilla (L., 1758), are highly 2928 2929 threatened by dams that disrupt river connectivity, consequently impeding fish 2930 movements to reach feeding and spawning habitats. In this study, I assessed variations in 2931 eel occurrence between a historical period (1940–1970) and recent data (2016–2020) 2932 throughout the Sardinian rivers' network (more than 450 sites). Using Boosted Regression Trees (BRT), I investigated relationships between eel's occurrence and a set 2933 2934 of spatial and temporal environmental variables, including a set of dams' construction features for each period. I noticed an overall decrease by 65% of eel's occurrence during 2935 2936 the ca. 80-years period under scrutiny. Considering a subset (105 and 88 sites for the 2937 historical and the recent period, respectively) characterized by the presence of larger dams (height >15 m), eel's occurrence dropped by 85%. Conversely, eel's occurrence 2938 dropped only by ca. 44% in dam-free sites. During the historical period, eel's occurrence 2939 2940 was mostly affected by time since the initial habitat fragmentation, flow, distance to dams, connectivity, and dams' height. In the most recent period, eel's occurrence is mostly 2941 affected by dams' building year, dam-to-sea distance, and, again, dams' height. Results 2942 pinpoint that dams' construction features and the time from their construction have 2943 significant negative effects on eel's occurrence. Addition of future effective eel restoration 2944 2945 practices, apart any other adverse environmental stressor, must consider dams' removal, wherever socially sustainable or alternatively, the modification of construction features 2946 of dams (like excessive height) and the addition of fish ladders. 2947

2948 **6.1.1.** Introduction

Freshwaters are biodiversity hotspots with 13,000 fish species inhabiting rivers and lakes 2949 (Lévêque et al., 2008). Free-flowing rivers provide migration routes for aquatic and 2950 riparian species, allow the transportation of sediments and nutrients, enable groundwater 2951 2952 recharge, and mitigate flooding (Poff et al., 1997; Tickner et al., 2020). At the same time, 2953 rivers are essential elements for biodiversity and umans' wellbeing (Addams et al., 2009; 2954 Russi et al., 2013). Rivers are among the most threatened ecosystems by anthropogenic 2955 disturbances (Vörösmarty et al., 2010) including a large variety of obstacles (e.g., dams and weirs, road crossings, hydroelectric power plants, water abstraction for irrigation, 2956 2957 flood control systems for municipal water security) (Welcomme, 1995; Jungwirth et al., 2958 2000; Nilsson et al., 2005). Dams' construction has seen an acceleration worldwide during 1950/1960s (Dynesius and Nilsson, 1994; Postel and Richter, 2003; MacGregor 2959 2960 et al., 2009), and more than two thirds of larger rivers have been fragmented (Grill et al., 2015). At present, ca. 2.8 million dams are operating and roughly 3,700 major dams are 2961 2962 being constructed or planned (Zarfl et al., 2015; Grill et al., 2019).

Environmental alterations caused by anthropogenic obstacles affect the natural 2963 hydrology and connectivity of stream networks, and their ability to transport sediments 2964 (Bednarek 2001; Fullerton et al., 2010; Grill et al., 2015; Rincón et al., 2017). Modifying 2965 2966 the river flow (Grill et al., 2015), dams pose increasing threats to freshwater ecosystems and mobile biota, particularly fish (Arthington et al., 2016). These barriers play a role in 2967 2968 the degradation of water quality (Chowdhury and Kipgen, 2013; Galipeau et al., 2013; Opperman et al., 2019; Barbarossa et al., 2020), cause variations in temperature and water 2969 2970 flows (Poff et al., 1997; Richter et al., 2010; Opperman et al., 2017), impair biodiversity, 2971 and in particular impede migration, threat the spawning habitats and alter the natural gene flows (Silva et al., 2018; Wilkes et al., 2018; Birnie-Gauvin and Aarestrup, 2019), 2972 ultimately increasing the risk of extinction of fish (Lucas and Baras, 2001; Ding et al., 2973 2974 2018). In addition, to adequate habitat for spawning and development, fish require connectivity to migrate freely between different areas of the river and to lakes, and to the 2975 2976 sea. High connectivity between freshwater and marine habitats facilitates the exchange of matter, energy, and nutrients, with species contributing to the longitudinal transfer and 2977 2978 supporting important ecosystem services (Holmlund and Hammer, 1999). For instance, anthropogenic intervention in river discharge result in reduced flushing up to unnaturally 2979 2980 extended periods of estuary mouth closure and reduce the connectivity between

freshwater habitats and the sea (Potter et al., 2010; Lloyd et al., 2012; Podda et al., 2020). 2981 2982 In many estuarine systems, especially those with a Mediterranean climate, sand bars are 2983 deposited during low flow periods at the river mouth, resulting in truncation of the connection between freshwater and marine habitats (Potter et al., 2010; Suari et al., 2019; 2984 Podda et al., 2020). These interruptions and the river flow alteration can have severe 2985 2986 implications, especially for diadromous species (Gillanders et al., 2003), like the European eel Anguilla anguilla (L., 1758). This diadromous species demonstrates high 2987 plasticity in habitat use (Daverat et al., 2006), and is currently exposed to numerous 2988 2989 threats along the migratory routes in both marine and freshwater realms (Culurgioni et 2990 al., 2014, 2015; Bevacqua et al., 2015; Aalto et al., 2016; Baltazar-Soares et al., 2014; 2991 Dekker and Beaulaton, 2016a; Drouineau et al., 2018b; Podda et al., 2021). Because of 2992 these hazards, A. anguilla is progressively declining since the 1970s (ICES, 2021), and is 2993 currently classified as Critically Endangered (CR) (IUCN, 2014; Pike et al., 2020) and protected according to the European Council regulation 1100/2007 (EC, 2007). 2994

2995 One of the major threats to the European eel is the presence of large instream 2996 barriers restricting access to juveniles leaving the sea after recruitment (Dekker, 2003b; 2997 Piper et al., 2013; Tamario et al., 2019). The more so as the natural recruitment is the only source of supply of the species (Pedersen and Rasmussen, 2016). Despite their 2998 2999 extraordinary climbing ability, only a minor proportion of eels can successfully overcome 3000 large dams (White and Knights, 1997). Furthermore, direct mortality and sublethal 3001 injuries can occur during obstacle passages because of impingements on hard structures, 3002 even in the absence of turbines (Bruijs and Durif, 2009). Moreover, increased costs of 3003 metabolic energy caused by obstacle passage may have a delayed impact on eel's migration success and fecundity (Van Ginneken and van den Thillart, 2000). Delays 3004 3005 induced by dams can impair escapement, especially when the migration suitability window is limited (Verbiest et al., 2012; Drouineau et al., 2017). 3006

In this study, I investigated the impacts of large dams on the occurrence of the European eel *A. anguilla* in the Sardinian hydrographic district (Central-Western Mediterranean), considering how the pre and post dams building can affect the long-term presence of eels. I studied relationships among current and historical occurrences of the European eel, hypothesizing potential negative effects of a set of temporal, spatial, and dams' related descriptors using a multivariate approach.

3013 6.1.2. Material and Methods

3014 6.1.2.1. Study area

3015 Sardinia (Italy) is the second largest island in the Mediterranean (area = $24,106 \text{ km}^2$). Its

3016 hydrographic district coincides with the entire regional territory (Figure 6.1.1).



3017
3018 Figure 6.1.1. - Study area, location of rivers and larger dams (height > 15 m) in the Sardinian hydrographic district

3020 The Sardinian hydrographic network is characterized by a reduced number of perennial rivers and the prevalence of intermittent streams (Palmas et al., 2022). 3021 3022 Mediterranean climate is typically bi-seasonal with severe drought summer and rainy 3023 autumn/winter that determine irregular flow and strong seasonal hydrological fluctuations 3024 (Sabatini et al., 2011, 2018; Palmas et al., 2020; Podda et al., 2020). Average annual 3025 precipitations range from 500 to 900 mm (De Waele et al., 2010; Moccia et al., 2020). 3026 Artificial interruptions of longitudinal river continuity, like dams, strongly influence the annual hydrological cycle of these streams (Naselli-Flores and Lugliè, 2014). Increasing 3027

3028 construction of artificial barriers has been observed since the end of the 19th century to
3029 provide water for human use (Marchetto et al., 2009; Montaldo and Sarigu, 2017).

3030 6.1.2.2. Eels' data

3031 Two datasets were collected in the frame of the monitoring program for the official Fish3032 Inventory of the Sardinian region (V.V. A.A., 2022).

The point-to-point historical occurrence data available from 1940 (1940-1970, hereafter historical period), derive from scientific and informative publications of regional origin for a total of 238 sites (Supplementary Table S6.1.1) and were georeferenced in a Geographical Information System (GIS) through the Open-Source Software Quantum Gis 2.18.3 (QGIS) (http://www.qgis.org/).

3038 Recent occurrence data (2016-2020; hereafter current period) were collected for 214 sites. Surveys were conducted mainly during the dry season using electrofishing (0-3039 3040 4 Ampere, 0- 600 Volt) in habitats ranging from sea level to 1,262 m a.s.l. For comparisons, current data were then integrated into the GIS layer including historical 3041 3042 data. Sites have been selected in proportion to the amplitude of the hydrographic basin 3043 and in order to have a historical continuity with the data deriving from the previous 3044 historical period allowing an appropriate spatial coverage in relation to the extension of 3045 the whole hydrographic network of Sardinia (V.V. A.A., 2022).

3046 Datasets were analyzed separately, and sites influenced by at least one 3047 downstream dam were identified. In each dataset, sites located on free-flow rivers or 3048 under dams have been analyzed separately from those located above dams.

3049 6.1.2.3. Data analysis

Twelve variables, ten continuous and two dummies, have been investigated as potential factors explaining the eel's occurrence related to dams' effects. The variables were subdivided into four categories: temporal, spatial, dams' features, and site-specific, respectively (Table 6.1.1). Geospatial information on dams were acquired from the Regional Land Information System of Sardinia (RAS, 2021).

(ABBREVIATION)	Description	Туре	Unit
Year of fragmentation (FRAGMENTATION)	Number of years since dam construction compared to sampling year or the year of construction of the oldest dam in cases with multiple dams	Temporal	
Year of dam building (YEAR)	Year of construction of the nearest dam downstream the site	Temporal	
Height (HEIGHT)	Dam height	Dam feature	m
Volume (VOL)	Dam volume	Dam feature	m ³
Flow (FLOW)	Dam flow	Dam feature	m ³ s- ¹
Elevation (ELEV)	Elevation above sea level	Dam feature	m
Distance of the dam from the site (D_STA)	The distance of the dam from the site	Spatial	km
Distance of the dam from the sea (D_SEA)	The distance of the dam from the sea	Spatial	km
Dendritic Connectivity Index (DCI) (Cote et al., 2009)	Proxy of the fragmentation of the longitudinal river connectivity caused by dams in relation to the presence of eels. $DCI = 100 \ 1 \ L-1$ where l is the current length of the river from the sea to the first barrier without fish passage, and L is the maximum historical eel migration distance for each site	Spatial	%
Number of dams (DAM)	Number of downstream dams in each site	Site- specific	1 or 2 in historica dataset From 1 t in the current dataset
River mouth condition (MOUTH)		Site- specific	0 = close for river, which do flow into lagoon. 1 = open rivers which fl into a lagoon.
Fishing pressure (FISH)	Presence of fishing pressure along the river to its river mouth, (http://www.sardegnaagricoltura.it)	Site- specific	0 = abse of fishin activities 1 = presence fishing activitie

3056 Differences between the percentage of eel occurrence during the historical and 3057 recent periods were evaluated using the X² test (*p*-value < 0.05). Pairwise collinearity in 3058 explanatory variables was examined by scatter plots to exclude redundancy between 3059 paired variables disregarding combinations with Spearman's $\rho > 0.7$. Variables were discarded from the pairwise combination based on the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)
discarding observation with VIF > 3 (Zuur et al., 2010).

3062 The set of dams' descriptors for the two datasets was fitted using Boosted 3063 Regression Tree models (BRT) (Friedman 2001; Elith et al., 2008). BRT is a machine-3064 learning method for data exploration and analysis recently introduced into the fields of 3065 ecology and conservation biology (Déath, 2007; Elith et al., 2008). BRTs are suited to select the most relevant predictors from a large set of candidate variables, do not depend 3066 on the normality and homoscedasticity of the data, integrate nonlinear responses, and 3067 reduce the problem of 'overfitting' (Elith et al., 2008). BRTs allow to calculate multiple 3068 regression models (regression trees) and include an adaptive method to combine many 3069 3070 simple models to give improbe predictive performance (boosting). Within the BRT 3071 model, terms that are used to optimize predictive performance are represented by the 3072 learning rate, tree complexity, and bagging factor (Friedman, 2001; Elith et al., 2008). 3073 BRTs were adjusted with a learning rate to return an optimal number of regression trees (1,000–1,500), which has been associated with data overfitting. Trees' complexity of two 3074 3075 refers to the number of nodes in a tree that has been selected (Elith et al., 2008). We use 3076 tree complexity to control the number of nodes, to set the maximum number of interactions between predictor variables that are possible, and we used a bagging factor 3077 3078 of 0.5 (Friedman, 2001). Variable selection is not necessary for constructing BRTs 3079 because they generally ignore non-informative predictors (Elith et al., 2008). The BRT 3080 analysis was conducted using the Bernoulli family of occurrence. For visualizing the 3081 results, we calculated the partial dependencies that depict the relationships between the 3082 response and each predictor variable while controlling for the average effects of the 3083 remaining predictors (Friedman, 2001; Friedman and Meulman, 2003).

3084 This approach did not allow to assess confidence intervals for BRTs, used when a 3085 large number of BRT sub-models are fitted. The partial dependence plots for parameters with a contribution >10% were used to visualize the effect of each variable on the eel's 3086 3087 occurrence. As this method does not deliver p-values but uses internal validation 3088 processes, BTRs performances were evaluated using the amount of total deviance explained (%) and cross-validated correlation between model prediction and observed 3089 data (CV correlation) (Derville et al., 2016; Nieto and Mélin, 2017; Ju et al., 2021; Saha 3090 3091 et al., 2021). Statistical analyses were conducted using open-source R software (R Core Team, 2021). Specifically, *gbm* (Greenwell et al., 2020), and *dismo* (Hijmans et al., 2011)
packages for BRT analyses, and partial dependence plots for each variable.

3094 **6.1.3. Results**

3095 6.1.3.1. Dams in the Sardinian hydrographic district

Although the first dam in Sardinia date back to the end of the 19th century, a rapid 3096 3097 increase in dam's construction has been observed from the late 1960s to the late 1990s 3098 (Figure 6.1.2A). More than 50% of the 54 larger dams (>15 m height, mean height \pm 3099 Standard Deviation-SD, 42.5 ± 22.3 m) were built between 1940 and 1960 (Figure 3100 6.1.2B), with two of the most recent dams not completely built yet. Furthermore, Sardinia 3101 is currently lacking river flow regulations, and fishways or fish ladders are mainly absent or not working with one single exception (i.e., Casteldoria dam, height = 26.6 m, 3102 3103 40°53.3843 N-08°53.7534 E, recently built, 2015).



Figure 6.1.2. - (A) Cumulative frequency in dam building in Sardinia (%). (B) Number of dams in Sardinia.

3106 6.1.3.2. Eel's occurrence in Sardinian Rivers

Comparing sites' correspondence (one observation per site for each dataset) between the historical and the current datasets, a total of 54 sites matched (23% and 25% of sites, respectively). The analysis of the historical period (1940-1970) revealed that eel occurrence amounted to ca. 95% (Figure 6.1.3A). In the current period (2016-2020), I observed a strong contraction (ca. 65%, X^2 , p < 0.001) of eel occurrence, which decreased to only 30% (Figure 6.1.3B).



3113
3114 Figure 6.1.3. - (A) Historical European eel's occurrence (1940-1970). (B) Current European eel occurrence
3115 (2016-2020)

Considering only sites with downstream dams (88 and 105 sites for the historical and current period, respectively), eel occurrence dropped from 92% to 7% respectively, with a total (statistically significant) decrease of ca. 85% (X^2 , p < 0.001). In this subset, the proportion of sites influenced by downstream dams was ca. 37% and 49% for the two periods, respectively.

3121 During the historical period, 96% of free-flow sites (i.e., sites without dams or 3122 sites without downstream dams) had eels, whereas in the current period this value dropped 3123 to 52%, with a decreasing rate between the two periods of ca. 44% (X^2 , p < 0.001).

3124 6.1.3.3. Effect of Larger Dams on Eel's Occurrence

After analyzing dams' descriptors through Spearman correlation (Supplementary Figures 3125 S6.1.1 and S6.1.2) and using VIF score > 3 as a threshold (Supplementary Tables S6.1.2) 3126 3127 and S6.1.3), only eight descriptors were included for the modeling of the data from the historical period, and seven for the current one. Five descriptors were common between 3128 the datasets: dendritic connectivity index (DCI), height (HEIGHT) and flow (FLOW) of 3129 3130 dams, river mouth condition (MOUTH), and fishing pressure (FISH). The predictors in 3131 the modeling of the historical period also included the temporal fragmentation (FRAGMENTATION), the distance of dams from each site (D STA), and the dams' 3132 elevation (ELEV), while the year of dams' building (YEAR) and the distance of dams 3133 3134 from the sea (D_SEA) were included in the modeling of the current period.

The historical BRT model shows that five descriptors cumulatively explain 88.4% 3135 3136 of the model: FRAGMENTATION (relative contribution = 22.3%), FLOW (22.2%), D_STA (14.7%), DCI (14.6%), HEIGHT (14.6%). Explained deviance (51%) and CV 3137 correlation (0.40) suggest that the relationships between dams' characteristics and the 3138 eel's occurrence are quite robust. The partial dependence plots obtained after BRT models 3139 (Figure 6.1.4) show that the contribution of FRAGMENTATION to eel's occurrence is 3140 greatest 20 years after the dams' building. The difference across that threshold is very 3141 3142 narrow, with a value of 91% and 94% occurrence, below and above downstream dams, respectively. The contribution of FLOW to the eel's occurrence is maximum over a 3143 threshold of ca. 1,300 $\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$, with a value of 87% below and 100% above the threshold. 3144 The highest effect of D_STA is observed more than 5 km far from dams, where mean eel 3145 3146 occurrence is 75%, whereas at closer sites this value is 96%. The HEIGHT effect was 3147 highest above ca. 60 m, where eel's occurrence (100%) was higher than that (90%) in sites with downstream dams < 60 m height. The index of river connectivity (DCI) has the 3148 highest effect on the eel's occurrence within the interval of 60%-80% DCI with mean 3149 eel's occurrence of 86% below 60% of DCI, and 100% above this threshold. 3150



Figure 6.1.4. - Partial dependence plots of the five variables (FRAGMENTATION, FLOW, D_STA, DCI, and HEIGHT) affecting eels 'occurrence in Sardinian rivers during the historical period (1940-70). The relative contribution of each variable is reported within parentheses. Black lines refer to the raw model output

The BRT model for the most recent period reveals that three descriptors, YEAR 3156 (54.6% of relative contribution), D_SEA (21.3%), and HEIGHT (13.4%), explain 3157 cumulatively 91% of the model. There is well goodness of fit of the BRT with an 3158 explained deviance of 62% and a CV correlation of 0.67. The partial dependence plots 3159 obtained after BRT models (Figure 6.1.5) show that the effect of dams' age is highest 3160 (25%) after 1980 (i.e., dams with less than ca. 40 years) and drops to 2% in more recent 3161 3162 years. The contribution of D SEA to eel's occurrence is highest below 20 km, with the 3163 highest mean occurrence (13%) in sites close to the sea and the lowest (2%) in the farthest ones. The highest contribution of HEIGHT is observed for dams between 30 and 80 m, 3164 3165 which have a mean eel occurrence of 5%. In sites with dams <30 m occurrence is 10%, whereas in sites with dams >80 m (some of which are still not completed) the mean eel's 3166 3167 occurrence is 7%.



Figure 6.1.5. - Partial dependence plots of the three variables (YEAR, D_SEA, and HEIGHT) affecting
eels 'occurrence in Sardinian rivers during the recent period (2016-20). The relative contribution of each
variable is reported within parentheses. Black lines refer to the raw model output

3172 **6.1.4. Discussions**

3173 6.1.4.1. Impacts of large dams on eel's occurrence

A. anguilla is worldwide ranked as a critically endangered species due to an ample array
of often synergistic, anthropogenic threats (Jacoby et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2016;
Drouineau et al., 2018b). Moreover, the presence of dams, causing a physical interruption
of river connectivity, river flow reduction or modification, and loss and deterioration of
habitats, may also critically affect eel's survival during their freshwater life stage
(Feunteun, 2002; Tamario et al., 2019; Watz et al., 2019).

The dramatic decline of the European eel's recruitment reported worldwide 3180 (Dekker, 2003b, 2004; ICES, 2021) also affects the Sardinian rivers' network, where I 3181 3182 observed a huge decrease in eel occurrence. Indeed, considering only free-flow sites (i.e., sites in dam-free rivers or sites without downstream dams), the European eel occupied 3183 3184 most of the main Sardinian rivers until the 1970s (ca. 95%). The overall 44% reduction in species' occurrence between the two periods in these sites suggests that a relevant 3185 3186 proportion of such a decline is independent by dams. Nevertheless, the decline of eel 3187 occurrence in Sardinian rivers is also severely affected by damming with eel occurrence 3188 in sites above dams dropping by 85% between the two periods. Results, ultimately, confirm that dams have a severe impact on eels due to the fragmentation of migration 3189 3190 habitats and the alteration of river flow (Poff and Schmidt, 2016; Dias et al., 2017).

I hypothesized that dams' height (Larinier, 2001b; Merg et al., 2020), dams age 3191 3192 (Atkinson et al., 2020) and the decreased river flow due to the oldest dams (Legault et al., 3193 2003) could negatively affect eel's migration. In both periods under scrutiny, as expected, the height of dams could have had a negative effect on eel occurrence and such an impact 3194 was lower during the old period but severely exacerbated in recent years. In the most 3195 3196 recent period, the eel's occurrence above dams >80 m high is, counterintuitively, higher than that in lower dams. This apparent discrepancy can be ascribed to the fact that 3197 especially some of the very tall dams (> 80 m) were built only very recently, thus the 3198 3199 presence of eels above the dam stems from times before the dam was finalized or filled. 3200 For catadromous fish, including eels, climbing out of the water to surpass a barrier is 3201 challenging and linked to high metabolic costs (Edeline et al., 2004; Briand et al., 2005; 3202 Bult and Dekker 2007).

The age of the dams (estimated using either year of construction in the most recent 3203 period, or temporal fragmentation in the old one) negatively influences eel's occurrence. 3204 3205 I contend that the oldest dams installed in Sardinia, possibly due to technical and financial 3206 limitations, have been built in rivers of lower order or in the upper part of the rivers, thus 3207 having a minor negative effect on the overall river connectivity (Segurado et al., 2013). In the most recent period, the strongest impact of fragmentation by dams could be 3208 3209 attributable to the technological progress and modernization that allowed building dams with more effective water retention and, thus, the stronger capacity of interrupting the 3210 3211 river flow in more downstream river sections (Haidvogl, 2018; Merg et al., 2020). 3212 Nevertheless, the effect of river flow is a major factor influencing eel's occurrence only 3213 in the old period. The minimum or nihil effect of river flow and the DCI index on eel's 3214 occurrence in the most recent period could be attributable to the very low river flow in 3215 the last 30 years, caused by prolonged drought, which, most probably impaired eels' ability to migrate upstream. The negative effect of dams appears more evident during the 3216 old period when either river flow or the DCI index explains significant proportions of eel 3217 occurrence variations. The major role of river flow and the DCI index, in the old period 3218 only, and their ininfluence in the most recent one, is corroborated by the intensity of water 3219 flow involved in the route choice (Legault et al., 2003), causing the silencing of 3220 3221 environmental stimuli when it is too low (Trancart et al., 2018, 2020) and, in the most 3222 extreme cases, interrupting downstream (Durif, 2003) and upstream migration (Podda et al., 2020a). 3223

In both periods, the distance from the sea explains significant proportions of eel occurrence. The more distant from the sea the more probable is the presence of natural (and artificial) obstacles to be crossed by fish (Merg et al., 2020), which, indeed, becomes a severe impediment to migration, especially when river connectivity is limited due to low river flow.

3229 6.1.4.2. Giving future to the European eel

This study reveals that different dams' attributes can explain the current decline of A. 3230 3231 anguilla. Along with damming, other factors, associated with excessive human pressure but also with climate change, are severely impairing eel occurrence. To foster the 3232 3233 conservation of the European eel, many authors have pushed to mitigate its commercial exploitation (Nielsen and Prouzet, 2008; Henderson et al., 2011; Aalto et al., 2016; ICES, 3234 2021). In addition, I contend that a strong effort should be paid to eliminate highly 3235 3236 impacting artificial barriers or, at least, to mitigate their impacts with more sustainable actions, tools, and devices. While creating unobstructed migration routes between the 3237 spawning area in the sea and freshwater growth habitats could be preferable (Feunteun, 3238 2002; Drouineau et al., 2018b; Rodeles et al., 2021), the removal of artificial barriers 3239 could be, locally, socially, and economically impracticable. Some attempts have been 3240 made and succeeded with other catadromous endangered species (Graf, 2003; Stanley 3241 3242 and Doyle, 2003; Harris et al., 2016), including the American eel Anguilla rostrata (Lesueur, 1817) (Hitt et al., 2012; Turner et al., 2018). The removal of artificial barriers 3243 3244 could be not exempt from collateral negative effects, including, for instance, the facilitation of biological invasions (Rahel, 2013; Milt et al., 2018), the spill of toxic 3245 3246 sediments, and sudden changes in hydromorphology (Stanley and Doyle, 2003; Gangloff, 2013). 3247

3248 Except for these general suggestions, in this context, it could be crucial to control 3249 and reduce the uptake of water for human use above dams and, at the same time, ensure a Minimum Vital Flow (MVF; Moccia et al., 2020) that guarantees the morphological, 3250 hydrological, physical-chemical, and biological integrity downstream will enable the 3251 protection of eel's habitats in the short and long term (Dudgeon et al., 2006). A potentially 3252 3253 compensative solution for partial restoration of the dammed river connectivity, without 3254 eliminating dams, could also profit from the construction of artificial fishways to allow 3255 eels' passage beyond dams (Seliger and Zeiringer, 2018), management measures both still lacking in Sardinia. Moreover, it would be advisable that any management, operation, 3256

and maintenance of large dams in Sardinia would be implemented within a regional 3257 control system aimed at harmonizing and standardizing information to support the correct 3258 use and management of these structures. Although this study was conducted on a purely 3259 regional scale, results highlighted the major impact of dams on the European eel's 3260 3261 occurrence in Sardinian rivers and its apparent worsening in the last 10 years. I conclude that, based on the general current crisis of this species, urgent actions are needed 3262 worldwide to restore their habitats by reducing human pressure, ensuring a minimum vital 3263 flow, abating, wherever possible, artificial barriers to river connectivity, or, at least, 3264 3265 implementing natural migration routes with artificial fishways.

3266 6.1.5. Supplementary Materials

Bibliographic source	Title	Sites (n)	Sampling method
Pomini, 1940	Ricerche sul Salmo macrostigma	9	Fishing Nets
Manca, 1965	Contributo alla conoscenza di Salmo macrostigma	1	Fishing Nets
Cottiglia, 1968	La distribuzione dell'ittiofauna dulciacquicola in Sardegna	228	Fishing Nets

Table S6.1.1. - Bibliographic sources for the historical data collection

3268

Table S6.1.2. - Variance inflation factors for historical dataset

Variable	VIF score
DCI	1.456380
FRAGMENTATION	1.682986
HEIGHT	1.444884
FLOW	1.752856
D_STA	1.824285
ELEVATION	1.109053

3271 Table S6.1.3. - Variance inflation factors for current dataset

Variable	VIF score
DCI	1.463288
YEAR	1.867565
HEIGHT	1.165867
FLOW	1.656202
D_SEA	1.114161

-



Figure S6.1.1. - Spearman rank correlation for historical dataset



Figure S6.1.2. - Spearman rank correlation for current dataset

3277 **7. Chapter 7**

3278 Summary and perspectives

3279 7.1. Summary of the most relevant results

My Ph.D. research allowed me to obtain new results and knowledge on the bio-ecology and anatomy of the European eel *A. anguilla*, in relation to the complexity of the species and its response to various environmental factors and anthropogenic threats. Obtained results, if on the one hand have led to the implementation of knowledge, on the other they have highlighted and/or corroborated important critical issues that affect the species.

Starting from a narrative review (Chapter 2) on the state of the knowledge and main
threats affecting the European eel, I identified gaps of knowledge from which I developed
the objectives of my Ph.D. thesis.

Starting from the complexity of the whole life cycle of the species, I investigated the role 3288 3289 of olfaction in the orientation and migratory behavior of the European eel in the different 3290 continental stages (Chapter 3). I classified, for the first time, the morphology of dendritic 3291 spines of granule cells in the olfactory bulb and examined their density. Results showed the presence of synaptic development according to a pattern linked to the migratory 3292 3293 behavior of the species. I described that immature spines are mainly present in the juvenile glass eels' stages and that instead the greatest density of spines is produced mainly in the 3294 3295 two migratory phases of the eel life cycle (glass eels and silver eels). These findings 3296 suggest that olfaction plays a critical role in the migratory behavior and orientation of the 3297 eel and raise concerns that climatic and hydrological environmental changes could affect 3298 the ability to recognize migratory routes, making it difficult or impossible to cover wide reproductive migratory routes and constituting a cofactor that threaten this species. 3299

3300 I presented new insight into the recruitment dynamics of migratory juvenile eels in the western Mediterranean (Chapter 4). Specifically, I described the glass eels' migration 3301 3302 peak for the first time in a Sardinian estuary and developed a model to assess the main environmental factors affecting glass eels 'recruitment. I identified a strong intra- and 3303 3304 inter-annual and spatial variability at a large scale (four sites in the western 3305 Mediterranean), both for the peak of recruitment and the biometric and pigmentation 3306 patterns of glass eels. I also tested new floating traps as an alternative sampling method to study glass eels' recruitment in three Sardinian estuaries. These results highlighted the 3307 3308 need to further investigations on the role of hydrological and climatic factors that determine this temporal and spatial heterogeneity, as well as the need to standardizesampling protocols.

3311 After the recruitment and colonization phase, eels grow spending many years in continental waters or, otherwise, are caught farming or restocking. Considering this, I 3312 3313 investigated eels' growth performances in captivity and in nature using farmed wildcaught glass eels in Sardinia (Chaper 5). I described the total survival and the growth 3314 3315 performances in terms of total length and total weight during the rearing experiment in an intensive eel farm. The recapture and the growth rates were estimated on marked and 3316 3317 unmarked farmed eels after restocking in a Sardinian stream in a four-year study. Findings suggested a good readjustment to the wild environment of restocked eels. Considering 3318 3319 this, restocking with farmed eels obtained in captivity from wild glass eels may represent a valid alternative restocking approach to the common direct release of glass eels. 3320 3321 Furthermore, I also analysed the eels' growth in terms of otoliths' shape differences between several rivers and lagoons of Sardinia. Otolith shape clustered rivers and lagoons 3322 3323 separately, revealing more rounded shapes in otoliths of eels from rivers than lagoons. On the contrary, annual body growth resulted quicker in lagoons than in rivers. Here I 3324 demonstrated that eel's growth can differ according to habitat types, and I contend that 3325 the use of otoliths can be considered a valuable method to study this species' ontogeny. I 3326 also fitted Von Bertalanffy's growth curves for female and male eels from a Sardinian 3327 stream as a case study that exhibited the already known sexual dimorphism in growth 3328 parameters of the model, with higher values in females. 3329

3330 Last, considering the long period of life that eels spend in freshwater habitats, I analysed the negative impact of dams on the variations in eel occurrence between a historical 3331 period and recent data throughout the Sardinian rivers' network (Chapter 6). Using 3332 multivariate models, I investigated relationships between eels' occurrence and spatio-3333 temporal environmental variables including a set of dams' construction features pointing 3334 out an overall decrease in eels' occurrence during the 80-years period under scrutiny. 3335 Results pinpointed that dams' construction features and the time from their construction 3336 negatively affect eels' occurrence. Future eel restoration practices must consider dams' 3337 removal, wherever socially sustainable, or, alternatively, their mitigations through the 3338 3339 modification of dams' construction features (e.g., excessive height) or the addition of fish ladders. 3340

3341 Although Chapters have been developed separately for a structural organization, all the topics analysed and discussed can be considered strictly connected to each other by the 3342 general decline that the European eel has been experiencing throughout its life cycle. This 3343 is the cumulative result of several natural and anthropogenic impacts despite management 3344 plans and protective measures for its recovery have been in place for over fifteen years. 3345 Although studied since very ancient times, and although there is an increasing amount of 3346 data that allows a better evaluation of the status of this species, there is still much to study 3347 3348 and understand to reverse the decline of the European eel.

3349 7.2. Future perspectives

To protect the European eel and to counter at its decline, more knowledge about its bioecology and anatomy are still to be accumulated. This is even more necessary especially in some areas of its little-studied distribution range, such as Sardinia, the central position of which in the Mediterranean makes it an area of strategic investigation but lacks punctual and extended long-term data.

3355 Specifically, my Ph.D. thesis made it possible to clarify some issues relating to the bio-3356 ecology and the anatomical development of the European eel. Some of my results have 3357 already been published, while others are being submitted for publication or are only 3358 preliminary studies that require more data to conduct further analyses and insights. My 3359 results have also raised new questions and new research perspectives for future studies.

3360 Starting from gaps of knowledge identified in the review on the state of the art of the 3361 European eel (Chapter 2), I set the objectives of my thesis with particular attention to the 3362 bio-ecological and anatomical aspects in relation to various environmental factors and 3363 anthropogenic stressors that affect the species.

From the study of the development of the olfactory system in the different continental life stages of the eel to identify the role of the olfaction in the migratory behavior of the species (Chapter 3) it would be interesting identifying the neural network involved in olfaction and olfactory memory up to the upper nervous centers in the telencephalon. This could be achieved by continuing the neuroanatomical studies by means of neuroimaging and the use of neuronal tracers.

The discovery of spatio-temporal heterogeneity in the recruitment dynamics at several scales in the western Mediterranean basin (Chapter 4) highlighted the need to deepen the results obtained through a correlation with hydrological models (ocean currents, marine

thermal gradients, river plume) for a complete and in-depth understanding of the 3373 variability in recruitment phenomena. In my study, I used floating traps as an alternative 3374 monitoring tool. I tried to identify some of the potential conditions that a site should have 3375 to be monitored with fyke nets or floating traps, in relation with advantages and 3376 limitations by the environmental point of view (e.g., flow, deep), the surveillance, and the 3377 security for operators and tools. Further studies involving the use of floating traps as an 3378 3379 alternative tool for investigating the phenomenon of settlement of continental waters (e.g., 3380 estuaries, rivers, lagoons) along the longitudinal gradient (from the sea towards the inland) and for the study of the dynamics of glass eels' metamorphosis to juvenile yellow 3381 eel would be opportune. Future appropriate research programs need to be conducted to 3382 3383 increase the longer time series, together with the standardization of sampling protocols and data analysis methods of glass eels. 3384

To study the eel's growth to implement demographic information for the assessment of 3385 stocks in Sardinia (Chapter 5), it would be useful to create growth models in other 3386 3387 representative rivers and lagoons of Sardinia. This will help to understand how the growth differs over time and in several habitats on a fine scale. Since the effectiveness of 3388 repopulation has not yet been cleared, especially in those sites where the species is locally 3389 3390 extinct, and considering the encouraging results obtained, it would be interesting to test a 3391 new restocking experiment, in the same release site, using reared eels (marked and 3392 unmarked), with particular attention not only to growth performance and recapture rates, 3393 but also to the characterization of the habitats selected by the released eels.

Regarding the anthropogenic impacts that affected the eel in freshwater (Chapter 6), considering the damming issue in Sardinia, the need to implement bypass systems that allow the eels to overcome these barriers, and the need for water regulation measures have emerged. Sampling programs above and below the dams would also be needed using different possible methodologies (marking, environmental DNA) to evaluate the migration success of the species, upstream and downstream of dams.

In this context, the achieved results in my thesis have several implications beyond the regional interest and add new points from which to start further investigations that, over specific actions, may guide the implementation of new studies and appropriate monitoring programs.

3404 Since the European eel is a critically endangered species, the implementation of 3405 knowledge is not the only element to take into consideration. To this, I would like to add

a reflection on the need to use increasingly less lethal and invasive approaches for the 3406 study of endangered species such as eels, such as indirect methods (environmental DNA), 3407 3408 less invasive trapping tools (such as floating traps), by minimizing the number of animals in case of sacrifice of the same, and implementing protocols that use external 3409 morphometric information without resorting to low-cost procedures (e.g. silvering index), 3410 3411 but capable of providing useful and valid information for the study of the species, without prejudice it being understood that in some areas of study, it is still necessary the sacrifice 3412 of the animal (e.g., sex determination, age estimation, gonadosomatic and hepatosomatic 3413 3414 indices, etc.).

- 3415 In conclusion, what I presented in this thesis highlights the urgency of close collaboration
- 3416 and dialogue between the various stakeholders, researchers, decision-makers, authorities,
- 3417 professionals, and common people as an essential step to create a sense of awareness on
- 3418 the critical issues that impact this species and the need to mitigate and remedy them.

3419 **8. References**

Aalto E., Capoccioni F., Terradez Mas J., Schiavina M., Leone C., De Leo G., Ciccotti
E. (2015). Quantifying 60 years of declining European eel (*Anguilla anguilla* L.,
1758) fishery yields in Mediterranean coastal lagoons. *ICES J.Mar. Sci*, 73: 101110. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsv084.

- Aarestrup K., Økland F., Hansen M. M., Righton D., Gargan P., Castonguay M.,
 Bernatchez L., Howey P., Sparholt H., Pedersen M. I., McKinley R. S. (2009).
 Oceanic spawning migration of the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*). Science,
 3427 325: 1660. doi: 10.1126/science.1178120.
- Acou A. (2006). [Bases biologiques d'un modéle pour estimer la biomasse féconde de l'anguille europénne en fonction des recrues fluviales et du contexte de croissance: approche comparative à l'échelle de petits bassins versants, Ph.D.
 thesis.].[Article in French]. France: University of Rennes 1: 315 pp. http://www.diadfish.org/francais/documents_f.htm.
- Adam G., Feunteun E., Prouzet P., Rigaud C. (2008). [L'anguille européenne: indicateurs
 d'abondance et de colonisation.].[Article in French]. Coll. Savoir-faire, Editions
 Quae, Versailles: 400 pp.
- Addams L., Boccaletti G., Kerlin M., Stuchtey M. (2009). Charting Our Water Future.
 Economic Frameworks to Inform Decision Making.
 https://www.mckinsey.com/~/media/mckinsey/dotcom/client_service/sustainabil
 ity/pdfs/charting%20our%20water%20future/charting_our_water_future_full_re
 port_.ashx.
- Aguirre H. and Lombarte A. (1999). Ecomorphological comparisons of sagittae in *Mullus barbatus* and *M. surmuletus*. J. Fish Biol., 55: 105-114. doi: 10.1111/j.10958649.1999.tb00660.x.
- Akaike H. (1973). Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood
 principle. IN B. N. Petrov and F. Csaki (Eds.). Proceedings of the Second
 International Symposium on Information Theory. (pp. 267-281). Budapest:
 Akademiai Kiado.
- Alexander J., Wilson R., Green W. (2012). A brief history and summary of the effects of
 river engineering and dams on the Mississippi River system and delta. Circular 1;
 US. Geological Survey Circular 1375: Reston, Virginia: 43 pp.
- Alonso J. R., Lara J., Miguel J. J., On J. (1986). A Golgi study of the granule cells in the
 olfactory bulb of *Cyprinus carpio* L. and *Barbus meridionalis* Risso. Z. mikrosk.-*anat. Forsch*, 100: 224-232.
- Als T., Maes G., Castonguay M., Riemann L., Aarestrup K., Munk P., Sparholt H., Hanel
 R., Bernatchez L. (2011). All roads lead to home: Panmixia of European eel in the
 Sargasso Sea. *Mol. Ecol.*, 20: 1333-1346. doi: 10.1111/j.1365294X.2011.05011.x.
- Alvarez V. A., and Sabatini B. L. (2007). Anatomical and physiological plasticity of
 dendritic spines. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.*, 30(1): 79-97. doi:
 10.1146/annurev.neuro.30.051606.094222.

3461

- Alvito A., Bellodi A., Cau A., Moccia D., Mulas A., Palmas F., Pesci P., Follesa M. C.
 (2018). Amount and distribution of benthic marine litter along Sardinian fishing
 grounds (CW Mediterranean Sea). *Waste Manag.*, 75: 131-140. doi:
 10.1016/j.wasman.2018.02.026.
- Amara R., Selleslagh J., Billon G., Minier C. (2009). Growth and condition of 0-group
 European flounder, *Platichthys flesus* as indicator of estuarine habitat quality. *Hydrobiologia*, 627:87-98. doi: 10.1007/s10750-009-9717-9.
- Amilhat E., Aarestrup K., Faliex E., Simon G., Westerberg H., Righton D. (2016). First
 evidence of European eels exiting the Mediterranean Sea during their spawning
 migration. *Sci. Rep.*, 6: 21817. doi: 10.1038/srep21817.
- Anderson M. J. (1991). A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of
 variance. *Austral. Ecol.*, 26: 32-46. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.01070.pp.x.
- Andersson J., Sandström O., Hansen H. J. M. (1991). Elver (*Anguilla anguilla* L.)
 restockings in a Swedish thermal effluent recaptures, growth and body
 conditions. J. Appl. Ichthyol., 7: 78-89.
- Andrade M. C., Winemiller K. O., Barbosa P. S., Fortunati A., Chelazzi D., Cincinelli A., 3477 Giarrizzo T. (2019). First account of plastic pollution impacting freshwater fishes 3478 in the Amazon: ingestion of plastic debris by piranhas and other serrasalmids with 3479 diverse feeding habits. Environ. Pollut. 766e773. 3480 244: doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.10.088. 3481
- Andrady A. L. (2011). Microplastics in the marine environment. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 62(8):
 1596-1605. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.05.030.
- Andrello M., Bevacqua D., Maes G. E., De Leo G. A. (2009). An integrated geneticdemographic model to unravel the origin of genetic structure in European eel
 (*Anguilla anguilla* L.). *Evol. Appl.*, 4: 517-533. doi: 10.1111/j.17524571.2010.00167.x.
- Antunes C. and Tesch F. W. A critical consideration of the metamorphosis zone when
 identifying daily rings in otoliths of European eel, *Anguilla anguilla* (L.). *Ecol. Freshw. Fish*, 6: 102-107. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0633.1997.tb00150.x.
- Anzai S., and Satou M. (1996). Long-term and short-term plasticity in the dendrodendritic mitral-to-granule cell synapse of the teleost olfactory bulb. *Neurosci. Res.*, 25(S1): S223-S223. doi: 10.1016/0168-0102(96)89187-5.
- Aprahamian M. W., Walker A. M., Williams B., Bark A., Knights B. (2007). On the
 application of models of European eel (Anguilla anguilla) production and
 escapement to the development of Eel Management Plans: the River Severn. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 64: 1472-1482. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsm131.
- Arai T., Daniel L., Otake T., Tsukamoto K. (1999). Metamorphosis and inshore migration
 of tropical eels, *Anguilla* spp., in the Indo-Pacific. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 182:
 283-293.
- Arai, T. Otake, T., Tsukamoto K. (2000). Timing of metamorphosis and larval segregation of the Atlantic eels *Anguilla rostrata* and *A. anguilla*, as revealed by otolith microstructure and microchemistry. *Mar. Biol.*, 137, 39-45. doi: 10.1007/s002270000326.

Arai T., Aoyama J., Ishikawa S., Miller M. J., Otake T., Inagaki T., Tsukamoto K. (2001). 3505 3506 Early life history of tropical Anguilla leptocephali in the western Pacific Ocean. 3507 Mar. Biol., 138: 887-895. doi: 10.1007/s002270000532. 3508 Arai T., Miller M. J., Tsukamoto K. (2003). Larval duration of the tropical eel Anguilla celebesensis from Indonesian and Philippine coasts. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 251: 3509 255-261. doi: 10.3354/meps251255. 3510 Arai T., Kotake A., McCarthy T. K. (2006). Habitat use by the European eel Anguilla 3511 3512 anguilla in Irish waters. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 67: 569-578. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2006.01.001. 3513 Arai T., Kotake A., Harrod C., Morrissey M., McCarthy T. K. (2019). Ecological 3514 3515 plasticity of the European eel Anguilla anguilla in a tidal Atlantic lake system in Ireland. J. Mar. Biolog. Assoc. U.K., 99: 1189-1195. 3516 Arai T. (2022). Migration ecology in the freshwater eels of the genus Anguilla Schrank, 3517 3518 1798. Trop. Ecol., 63: 155-170. doi: 10.1007/s42965-021-00217-7. 3519 Aranburu A., Estibaliz D., Briand C. (2016). Glass eel recruitment and exploitation in a South European estuary (Oria, Bay of Biscay). ICES J. Mar. Sci., 73: 111-121. 3520 doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsv116. 3521 Arribas C., Fernández-Delgado C., Oliva-Paterna F. J., Drake P. (2012). Oceanic and 3522 local environmental conditions as forcing mechanisms of the glass eel recruitment 3523 to the southernmost European estuary. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 107: 46-57. doi: 3524 10.1016/j.ecss.2012.04.024. 3525 3526 Arthington A. H., Dulvy N. K., Gladstone W., Winfield I. J. (2016). Fish Conservation in Freshwater and Marine Realms: Status, Threats and Management. Aquat. 3527 Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst., 26: 838-857. doi: 10.1002/aqc.2712. 3528 Aschonitis V. G., Castaldelli G., Lanzoni M., Merighi M., Gelli F., Giari L., Rossi R., 3529 Fano E. A. (2017). A size-age model based on bootstrapping and Bayesian 3530 approaches to assess population dynamics of Anguilla anguilla L. in semi-closed 3531 lagoons. Ecol. Freshw. Fish, 26: 217-232. doi: 10.1111/eff.12269. 3532 Åström M. and Dekker W. (2007). When will the eel recover? A full life-cycle model. 3533 ICES J. Mar. Sci., 64: 1491-1498. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsm122. 3534 Atema J., Jacobson S., Todd J., Baylan D. (1973). The importance of chemical signals in 3535 stimulating behaviour of marine organisms: effects of altered environmental 3536 chemistry on animal communication. In Bioassay Techniques and Environmental 3537 Chemistry, pp. 177-197. F.d. G. E. Glass. Ann Arbor Science Publishers: Ann 3538 Arbor. New York. 3539 Atkinson S., Carlsson J. E. L., Ball B., Egan D., Kelly-Quinn M., Whelan K., Carlsson J. 3540 (2018). A quantitative PCR based environmental DNA assay for detecting 3541 Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshwat. Ecosyst., 28: 3542 1238-1243. doi: 10.1101/226829. 3543 3544 Atkinson S., Bruen M., O' Sullivan J. J., Turner J. N., Ball B., Carlsson J., Bullock C., 3545 Casserly C. M., Quinna M. C. (2020). An Inspection-Based Assessment of Obstacles to Salmon, Trout, Eel and Lamprey Migration and River Channel 3546 3547 Connectivity in Ireland. Sci. Total Environ., 719: 137215. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137215. 3548

- Atta K.I. (2013). Morphological, anatomical and histological studies on the olfactory organs and eyes of teleost fish: *Anguilla anguilla* in relation to its feeding habits.
 JOBAZ, 66: 101-108. doi: 10.1016/j.jobaz.2013.10.002.
- Aubrun L. (1986). [Inventaire de l'exploitation de l'anguille sur le littoral de la Bretagne.]
 [Article in French]. ENSAR, Rennes: 107 pp.
- Aubrun L. (1987). [Inventaire de l'exploitation de l'anguille sur le littoral sud
 Gascogne.][Article in French]. Laboratoire de Biologie Halieutique, ENSA
 Rennes: 150 pp.
- Augustin N. H., Trenkel V. M., Wood S. N., Lorance P. (2013). Space-time modelling of
 blue ling for fisheries stock management. *Environmetrics*, 24: 109-119. doi:
 10.1108/S1479-3660(2012)0000016013.
- Avio C. G., Gorbi S., Regoli F. (2015). Experimental Development of a New Protocol for
 Extraction and Characterization of Microplastics in Fish Tissues: First
 Observations in Commercial Species from Adriatic Sea. *Mar. Environ. Res.*, 111:
 18-26. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2015.06.014.
- Ayala D. J., Munk P., Lundgreen R. B. C., Traving S. J., Jaspers C., Jørgensen T. S.,
 Hansen L. H., Riemann L. (2018). Gelatinous plankton is important in the diet of
 European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) larvae in the Sargasso Sea. *Sci. Rep.*, 8: 6156.
 doi: 10.1038/s4159 8-018-24388-x.
- Aydın İ. (2006). Balık Larvalarında Otolit, Makale, Sümae Yunus Araştırma Bülteni, 6,
 2. Trabzon.
- Aoyama J. (2009). Life history and evolution of migration in catadromous eels (genus
 Anguilla). *Aquat. Bio Sci. Monogr.*, 2: 1-42. doi: 10.5047/absm.2009.00201.0001.
- Bailey C. H., Kandel E. R., Harris, K. M. (2015). Structural components of synaptic plasticity and memory consolidation. Cold Spring Harb. *Perspect. Biol.*, 7(7): a021758. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a021758.
- Baltazar-Soares M., Biastoch A., Harrod C., Hanel R., Marohn L., Prigge E., Evans D.,
 Bodles K., Behrens E., Böning W. K., Eizaguirre C. (2014). Recruitment collapse
 and population structure of the European eel shaped by local ocean current
 dynamics. *Curr. Biol.*, 24: 104-108. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.11.031.
- Baltazar-Soares M. and Eizaguirre C. (2017). Animal navigation: the eel's magnetic
 guide to the Gulf Stream. *Curr. Biol.*, 27: 604-606. doi:
 10.1016/j.cub.2017.04.042.
- Barbarossa V., Schmitt R. J. P., Huijbregts M. A. J., Zarfl C., King H., Schipper A. M.
 (2020). Impacts of Current and Future Large Dams on the Geographic Range
 Connectivity of Freshwater Fish Worldwide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 117:
 3648-3655. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1912776117.
- Barboza L. G. A., Vieira L. R., Guilhermino L. (2018a). Single and Combined Effects of 3586 Microplastics and Mercury on Juveniles of the European Seabass (Dicentrarchus 3587 3588 labrax): Changes in Behavioural Responses and Reduction of Swimming Velocity Resistance 3589 and Time. Environ. Pollut., 236: 1014-1019. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.12.082. 3590

- Barboza L. G. A., Vethaak A. D., Lavorante B. R. B. O., Lundebye A. K., Guilhermino
 L. (2018b). Marine Microplastic Debris: An Emerging Issue for Food Security,
 Food Safety and Human Health. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 133: 336-348. doi:
 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.05.047.
- Bardonnet A. and Riera P. (2005). Feeding of glass eels (*Anguilla anguilla*) in the course
 of their estuarine migration: New insights from stable isotope analysis. *Estuar*. *Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 63: 201-209. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2004.11.009.
- Barry J., Newton M., Dodd J. A., Hooker O. E., Boylan P., Lucas M. C., Adams C.E.
 (2015). Foraging specialisms influence space use and movement patterns of the
 European eel Anguilla anguilla. Hydrobiologia, 766: 333-348. doi:
 10.1007/s10750-015-2466-z.
- Başusta N. and Khan U. (2021). Sexual dimorphism in the otolith shape of shidrum,
 Umbrina cirrosa (L.), in the eastern Mediterranean Sea: Fish size-otolith size
 relationships. J. Fish Biol., 99: 164-174. doi: 10.1111/jfb.14708.
- Batel A., Linti F., Scherer M., Erdinger I., Braunbeck T. (2016). Tranfer of
 Benzo[a]pyrene from Microplastics to *Artemia* nauplii and Further to Zebrafish
 via a Trophic Food Web Experiment: CYP1A Induction and Visual Tracking of
 Persistent Organic Pollutions. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 35(7), 1656-1666. doi:
 10.1002/etc.3361.
- Battulga B., Kawahigashi M., Oyuntsetseg B. (2019). Distribution and composition of
 plastic debris along the river shore in the Selenga River basin in Mongolia.
 Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 26: 14059-14072. doi: 10.1007/s11356-019-04632-1.
- Beaulaton L. and Castelnaud G. (2005). The efficiency of selective tidal stream transport
 in glass eel entering the Gironde (France). *Bull. fr. pêche piscic.*, 5: 378-379. doi:
 10.1051/kmae:2005001.
- Beaulaton L. and Briand C. (2007). Effect of management measures on glass eel
 escapement. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 64: 1402-1413. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsm071.
- Beaulaton L., Lambert P., Briand C., Antunes C., Belpaire C., Ciccotti E., Diaz E.,
 Diserud O., Doherty D., Domingos I., Evans D., de Graaf M., O'Leary C.,
 Pedersen M., Poole R., Walker A., Wickström H., Beaulaton L., Drouineau H.
 (2018). Modelling the recruitment of European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) throughout
 its European range. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 75: 541-552. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsx180.
- Bednarek A. T. (2001). Undamming Rivers: a Review of the Ecological Impacts of Dam
 Removal. *Environ. Manag.*, 27: 803-814. doi: 10.1007/s002670010189.
- Begg G. A., Campana S. E., Fowler A. J., Suthers I. M. (2005). Otolith research and
 application: current directions in innovation and implementation. *Mar. Freshw. Res.*, 56: 477-483. doi: 10.1071/MF05111.
- Béguer-Pon M., Castonguay M., Shan S., Benchetrit J., Dodson J. J. (2015). Direct
 observations of American eels migrating across the continental shelf to the
 Sargasso Sea. *Nat. Commun.*, 6: 8705. doi: 10.1038/ncomms9705.
- Béguer-Pon M., Ohashi K., Sheng J., Castonguay M., Dodson J. J. (2016). Modeling the
 migration of the American eel in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*,
 549: 183-198. doi: 10.3354/meps11706.

3634 Béguer-Pon M., Dodson J. J., Castonguay M., Jellyman D., Aarestrup K., Tsukamoto K. (2018). Tracking anguillid eels: five decades of telemetry-based research. Mar. 3635 3636 Freshw. Res., 69: 199. Doi: 10.1071/MF17137. 3637 Behrmann-Godel J. and Eckmann R. (2003). A preliminary telemetry study of the migration of silver European eel (Anguilla anguilla L.) in the River Mosel, 3638 Germany. Ecol. Freshw. Fish, 12: 196-202. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-3639 3640 0633.2003.00015.x. Belpaire C. and Goemans G. (2007). The European eel Anguilla anguilla, a rapporteur of 3641 the chemical status for the Water Framework Directive? Vie et milieu - life and 3642 environment, 57: 235-252. 3643 3644 Belpaire C. G. J., Goemans G., Geeraerts C., Quataert P., Parmentier K., Hagel P., De Boer J. (2009). Decreasing eel stocks: survival of the fattest? Ecol. Freshw. Fish, 3645 3646 18: 197-214. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0633.2008.00337.x. 3647 Benchetrit J., Béguer-Pon M., Sirois P., Castonguay M., Fitzsimons J, Dodson JJ, 2017. Using otolith microchemistry to reconstruct habitat use of American eels Anguilla 3648 rostrata in the St. Lawrence river-lake Ontario system. Ecol. Freshw. Fish, 26: 3649 19-33. doi: 10.1111/eff.12246. 3650 Benson T., de Bie J., Gaskell J., Vezza P., Kerr J. R., Lumbroso D., Owen M. R., Kemp 3651 P. S. (2021). Agent-based modelling of juvenile eel migration via selective tidal 3652 transport. Ecological Modelling, 443: 109448. 3653 stream doi: 3654 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2021.109448. 3655 Berard D. R., Burgess J. W., Coss R. G. (1981). Plasticity of dendritic spine formation: A state-dependent stochastic process. Int. J. Neurosci., 13: 93-98. doi: 3656 10.3109/00207458109043306. 3657 3658 Bernardini G., McConville A. J., Castillo A. (2020). Macro-plastic pollution in the tidal Thames: an analysis of composition and trends for the optimization of data 3659 collection. Mar. Pol., 119: 104064. doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104064. 3660 Bernotas P., Öğlü B., Nõges P. (2020). How do environmental factors affect the yield of 3661 3662 European eel (Anguilla anguilla) in a restocked population? Fish. Res., 230: 105649. doi: 10.1016/j.fishres.2020.105649. 3663 Berry K. P., Nedivi E. (2017). Spine dynamics: Are they all the same? Neuron, 96: 43-3664 3665 55. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2017.08.008. Bertin L. (1956). Eels, a biological study. Cleaver-Hume Press Ltd, London. 3666 Besson M. L., Trancart T., Acou A., Charrier F., Mazel V., Legault A., Feunteun E. 3667 (2016). Disrupted downstream migration behaviour of European silver eels 3668 (Anguilla anguilla, L.) in an obstructed river. Environ. Biol. Fishes, 99: 779-791. 3669 doi: 10.1007/s10641-016-0522-9. 3670 Bevacqua D., Melià P., Gatto M., De Leo G. A. (2015). A global viability assessment of 3671 the European eel. Glob. Chang. Biol., 21: 3323-3335. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12972. 3672 Bevacqua D., Melià P., Schiavina M., Crivelli A. J., De Leo G. A., Gatto M. (2019). A 3673 demographic model for the conservation and management of the European eel: an 3674 application to a Mediterranean coastal lagoon. ICES J. Mar. Sci., 76: 2164-2178. 3675 3676 doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsz118.

- Birnie-Gauvin K., Tummers J. S., Lucas M. C., Aarestrup K. (2017). Adaptive
 management in the context of barriers in European freshwater ecosystems. J.
 Environ. Manag., 204: 436-441. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.09.023.
- Birnie-Gauvin K. and Aarestrup K. (2019). A Call for a Paradigm Shift: Assumed to be
 Premature Migrants Actually Yield Good Returns. *Ecol. Freshw. Fish.*, 28: 6268. doi: 10.1111/eff.12431.
- Birrel L., Cramb G., Hazon N. (2000). Osmoregulation during the development of glass
 eels and elvers. J. Fish Biol., 56: 1450-1459. doi: 10.1006/jfbi.2000.1271.
- Bisgaard J. and Pedersen M. I. (1991). Mortality and growth of wild and introduced
 cultured eels (*Anguilla anguilla* (L)) in a Danish stream, with special reference to
 a new tagging technique. *Dana*, 9: 57-69.
- Blair R. M., Waldron S., Gauchotte-Lindsay C. (2019). Microscopy and elemental analysis characterisation of microplastics in sediment of a freshwater urban river in Scotland, UK. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 26: 12491-12504 doi: 10.1007/s11356-019-04678-1.
- Blettler M. C. M., Abrial E., Khan F. R., Sivri N., Espinola L. A. (2018). Freshwater
 plastic pollution: recognizing research biases and identifying knowledge gaps. *Water Res.*, 143: 416-424, doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2018.06.015.
- Boerger C. M., Lattin G. L., Moore S. L., Moore C. J. (2010). Plastic ingestion by
 planktivorous fishes in the North Pacific Central Gyre. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 60:
 2275-2278. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.08.007.
- Boëtius J. and Harding E. F. (1985). A re-examination of Johannes Schmidt's Atlantic
 eel investigations. *Dana*, 4: 129-162.
- Boëtius I. and Boëtius J. (1989). Ascending dyers, *Anguilla anguilla*, from five European
 localities. Analyses of pigmentation stages, condition, chemical composition and
 energy reserves. *Dana*, 7: 1-12.
- Bolliet V., Lambert P., Rives J., Bardonnet A. (2007). Rhythmic swimming activity in 3703 3704 Anguilla anguilla glass eels: synchronization to water current reversal under 3705 laboratory conditions. J. Exp. Mar. Biol., 344: 54-66. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2006.12.027. 3706
- Bonhommeau S., Chassot E., Rivot E. (2008). Fluctuations in European eel (*Anguilla* anguilla) recruitment resulting from environmental changes in the Sargasso Sea. *Fish. Oceanogr.*, 17: 32-44. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2419.2007.00453.x.
- Bonhommeau S., Castonguay M., Rivot E., Sabatié R., Le Pape O. (2010). The duration
 of migration of Atlantic Anguilla larvae. Fish. Fish., 11: 289-306. doi:
 10.1111/j.1467-2979.2010.00362.x.
- 3713 Bornarel V., Lambert P., Briand C., Antunes C., Belpaire C., Ciccotti E., Diaz E., Diserud O., Doherty D., Domingos I., Evans D., de Graaf M., O'Leary C., Pedersen M., 3714 Poole R., Walker A., Wickström H., Bouvet J., Prouzet P., Bru N., de Casamajor 3715 M., Lissardy M., Sanchez F. (2006). [Quantification de la biomasse saisoniére de 3716 civelles (Anguilla anguilla) dans l'estuaire de l'Adour et estimation du taux 3717 d'exploitation saisonnier de la pêche professionnelle au tamis poussé.].[Article in 3718 Ifremer. 3719 French]. Technical report, http://www.ifremer.fr/indicang/sitesthematiques/pdf/flux-saison.pdf. 3720

- Bornarel V., Lambert P., Briand C., Antunes C., Belpaire C., Ciccotti E., Diaz E., Diserud
 O., Doherty D., Domingos I., Evans D., de Graaf M., O'Leary C., Pedersen M.,
 Poole R., Walker A., Wickström A., Beaulaton L., Drouineau H. (2018).
 Modelling the recruitment of European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) throughout its
 European range. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 75: 541-552. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsx180.
- Boubee J. A., Mitchell C. P., Chisnall B. L., West D. W., Bowman E. J., Haro A. (2001).
 Factors regulating the downstream migration of mature eels (*Anguilla* spp.) at
 Aniwhenua Dam, Bay of Plenty, N. Z. J. Mar. Freshwater Res., 35: 121-134. doi:
 10.1080/00288330.2001.9516982.
- Bouchard C., Drouineau H., Lambert P., Boutron O., Nicolas D. (2022). Spatio-temporal
 variations in glass eel recruitment at the entrance pathways of a Mediterranean
 delta. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 79: 1874-1887. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsac122.
- Bour A., Avio C. G., Gorbi S., Regoli F., Hylland K. (2018). Presence of microplastics
 in benthic and epibenthic organisms: influence of habitat, feeding mode and
 trophic level. *Environ. Pollut.*, 243: 1217e1225. doi: 10.1016/
 j.envpol.2018.09.115.
- Bourillon B., Acou A., Trancart T., Belpaire C., Covaci A., Bustamante P., Faliex E.,
 Amilhat E., Malarvannan G., Virag L., Aarestrup K., Bervoets L., Boisneau C.,
 Boulenger C., Gargan P., Becerra-Jurado G., Lobón-Cerviá J., Maes G. E.,
 Pedersen M. I., Poole R., Sjöberg N., Wickström H., Walker A., Righton D.,
 Feunteun E. (2020). Assessment of the quality of European silver eels and
 tentative approach to trace the origin of contaminants a European overview. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 743: 140675. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140675.
- Bourillon B., Feunteun E., Acou A., Trancart T., Teichert N., Belpaire C., Dufour S.,
 Bustamante P., Aarestrup K., Walker A., Righton D. (2022). Anthropogenic
 Contaminants Shape the Fitness of the Endangered European Eel: A Machine
 Learning Approach. *Fishes*, 7: 274. doi: 10.3390/fishes7050274.
- 3748Bourne J. and Harris K. M. (2007). Do thin spines learn to be mushroom spines that3749remember? Curr. Opin. Neurobiol., 17(3): 381-386. doi:375010.1016/j.conb.2007.04.009.
- Bracken F. S. A., Rooney S. M., Kelly-Quinn M., King J. J., Carlsson J. (2019).
 Identifying spawning sites and other critical habitat in lotic systems using eDNA
 "snapshots": a case study using the sea lamprey *Petromyzon marinus* L. *Ecol. Evol.*, 9: 553-567. doi: 10.1002/ece3.4777.
- Bradford R. G., Carr J. W., Page F. H., Whoriskey F. (2009). Migration of silver
 American eels through a macrotidal estuary and bay, p.275-292. In: Challenges
 for diadromous fishes in a dynamic global environment. Haro A, Smith KL,
 Rulifson RA, Moffitt CM, Klauda RJ, Dadswell MJ, Cunjak RA, Cooper JE, Beal
 KL, Avery TS (eds.). American Fisheries Society Symposium, Bethesda, Md.
- Brämick U., Fladung E., Simon J. (2016). Stocking is essential to meet the silver eel
 escapement target in a river system with currently low natural recruitment. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 73: 91-100. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsv113.
- Breukelaar A. W., Ingendahl D., Vriese F. T., de Laak G., Staas S., Klein Breteler J. G.P.
 (2009). Route choices, migration speeds and daily migration activity of European

3765 3766		silver eels <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> in the River Rhine, north-west Europe. J. Fish. Biol., 74: 2139-2157.
3767 3768 3769	Briand	C., Fatin D., Fontenelle G., Feunteun E. (2003). Estuarine and fluvial recruitment of the European glass eel, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> , in an exploited Atlantic estuary. <i>Fish. Manag. Ecol.</i> , 10: 377-384. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2400.2003.00354.x.
3770 3771 3772	Briand	C., Fatin D., Lambert P. (2004). Effets de la température et de la salinité sur le développement de la pigmentation des civelles (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>). <i>Cybium</i> , 28: 247-254. doi: 10.26028/cybium/2004-283-007.
3773 3774 3775	Briand	C., Fatin D., Feunteun E., Fontenelle G. (2005). Estimating the Stock of Glass Eels in an Estuary by Mark-Recapture Experiments Using Vital Dyes. <i>Bull. Fr. Pêche Piscic.</i> , 378: 23-46. doi: 10.1051/kmae:2005002.
3776 3777	Briand	C. (2009). Dynamique de population et de migration des civelles en estuaire de Vilaine. Thesis Agrocampus Ouest, Rennes. 209 pp.
3778 3779 3780	Briand	C., Chapon P. M., Beaulaton L., Drouineau H., Lambert, P. (2018). Eel density analysis (EDA 2.2.1). Escapement of silver eels (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>) from French rivers. 2018 report. EPTB-Vilaine, AFB-Inra, Irstea, 97 pp.
3781 3782 3783 3784 3785	Briand	C., Fernández-Delgado C., Zamora Hernandez L. M., Jiménez F., Diaz E. (2019). Does a bigger glass eel mean better recruitment? In Eels biology, Monitoring, Management, Culture and Exploitation: Proceedings of the First International Eel Science Symposium, pp. 119-143. Paul Coulson and Andy Don 5m Books Ltd.Sheffield, S35 1QN, UK.
3786 3787 3788	Brink K	K., Gough P., Royte J., Schollema P. P., Wanningen H. (2018). 'From sea to source 2.0. Protection and restoration of fish migration in rivers worldwide.' (World Fish Migration Foundation: Groningen, Netherlands.): 364 pp.
3789 3790 3791	Brocco	M. A., Fernández M. E., Frasch A. C. (2010). Filopodial protrusions induced by glycoprotein M6a exhibit high motility and aids synapse formation. <i>Eur. J. Neurosci.</i> , 31(2): 195-202. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.07064.x.
3792 3793	Brown	J. H., Gillooly J. F., Allen A. P., Savage V. M., West G. B. (2004). Toward a Metabolic Theory of Ecology. <i>Ecology</i> , 85: 1771-1789. doi: 10.1890/03-9000.
3794 3795 3796	Brown	P., Green C., Sivakumaran K. P., Giles A., Stoessel D. (2004). Validating otolith annuli for use in age-determination of carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i> L.) from Victoria, Australia. <i>Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.</i> , 133: 190-196. doi: 10.1007/s11160-018-9514-5.
3797 3798 3799	Browne	e M. A., Galloway T. S., Thompson R. C. (2010a). Spatial patterns of plastic debris along estuarine shorelines. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 44: 3404-3409. doi: 10.1021/es903784e.
3800 3801 3802	Browne	e M. A., Crump P., Niven S. J., Teuten E., Tonkin A., Galloway T., Thompson R. (2010b). Accumulation of microplastic on shorelines woldwide: sources and sinks. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 45: 9175-9179. doi: 10.1021/es201811s.
3803 3804 3805 3806	Bru N.,	, Prouzet P., Lejeune M. (2009). Daily and seasonal estimates of the recruitment and biomass of glass eels runs (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>) and exploitation rates in the Adour open estuary (Southwestern France). <i>Aquat. Living Resour.</i> , 22: 509-523. doi: 10.1051/alr/2009050.

Bruge A., Barreau C., Carlot J., Collin H., Moreno C., Maison P. (2018). Monitoring litter 3807 3808 inputs from the Adour River (Southwest France) to the marine environment J. 3809 Mar. Sci. Eng., 6: 1-12, doi: 10.3390/jmse6010024. 3810 Bruijs M. and Durif C. (2009). Silver eel migration and behaviour, p.65-95. In: Thillart G., Dufour S., Rankin J. C. (eds.), Spawning migration of the European eel. 3811 Bult T. P. and Dekker W. (2007). Experimental Field Study on the Migratory Behaviour 3812 of Glass Eels (Anguilla anguilla) at the Interface of Fresh and Salt Water. ICES J. 3813 3814 Mar. Sci., 64(7): 1396-1401. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsm105. 3815 Bunn S. E. and Arthington A. H. (2002). Basic principles and ecological consequences of altered flow regimes for aquatic biodiversity. Environ. Manage., 30: 492-507. 3816 3817 doi: 10.1007/s00267-002-2737-0. Bureau Du Colombier S., Bolliet V., Lambert P., Bardonnet A. (2007). Energy and 3818 migratory behaviour in glass eels (Anguilla anguilla). Physiol. Behav., 92: 684-3819 690. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2007.05.013. 3820 3821 Bureau du Colombier S., Bolliet V., Bardonnet A. (2009). Swimming activity and behavior of European Anguilla anguilla glass eels in response to photoperiod and 3822 flow reversal and the role of energy status. J. Fish Biol., 74: 2002- 2013. doi: 3823 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02269.x. 3824 3825 Burgess J. W. and Coss R. G. (1980). Crowded jewel fish show changes in dendritic spine density and spine morphology. Neurosci. Lett., 17: 277-281. doi: 10.1016/0304-3826 3940(80)90036-1. 3827 3828 Burkhead N. M. (2012). Extinction rates in North American freshwater fishes, 1900-2010. Bioscience, 62: 798-808. doi: 10.1525/bio.2012.62.9.5. 3829 Burns E. E. and Boxall A. B. A. (2018). Microplastics in the aquatic environment: 3830 3831 Evidence for or against adverse impacts and major knowledge gaps. Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 37: 2776-2796, doi: 10.1002/etc.4268. 3832 Byrne D. and Beckett B. (2012). Wicklow Bridges Project. Assessment of the risk of 3833 barriers to migration of fish species in County Wicklow. Retrieved from. 3834 http://www.countywicklowheritage.org/documents/Wicklow_Bridges_Project_F 3835 3836 ish_Passage_report.pdf. Calles O., Olsson I. C., Comoglio C., Kemp P. S., Blunden L., Schmitz M., Greenberg L. 3837 A. (2010). Size-dependent mortality of migratory silver eels at a hydropower 3838 plant, and implications for escapement to the sea. Freshw. Biol., 55: 2167-2180. 3839 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2010.02459.x. 3840 Calvo-Ochoa E., Byrd-Jacobs C. A. (2019). The Olfactory System of Zebrafish as a 3841 Model for the Study of Neurotoxicity and Injury: Implications for Neuroplasticity 3842 and Disease. Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2;20(7): 1639. doi: 10.3390/ijms20071639. 3843 Campana S. E. and Neilson J. D. (1985). Microstructure of fish otoliths. Can. J. Fish. 3844 Aquat. Sci., 42(5): 1014-1032. doi: 10.1139/f85-127. 3845 Campana S. E. and Thorrold S. R. (2001). Otoliths, increment and elements: Keys to a 3846 comprehensive understanding of fish populations? Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 58: 3847 3848 30-38. doi: 10.1139/f00-177.

- Campana S. E. (2004). Photographic atlas of fish otoliths of the Northwest Atlantic
 Ocean. Ottawa: NRC Research Press.
- Campana S. E. (2005). Otolith science entering the 21st century. *Mar. Freshw. Res.*, 56:
 485-495. doi: 10.1071/MF04147.
- 3853 Campani T., Baini M., Giannetti M., Cancelli F., Mancusi C., Serena F., Marsili L., Casini S., Fossi M. C. (2013). Presence of Plastic Debris in Loggerhead Turtle Standed 3854 along the Tuscany Coasts of the Pelagos Sanctuary for Mediterranean Marine 3855 Mammals (Italy). Mar. Pollut. Bull., 74: doi: 3856 225-230. 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.06.053. 3857
- 3858 Cantrelle I. (1984). Les populations de civelles d'*Anguilla anguilla* L. en migration dans
 3859 l'estuaire de la Gironde. *Vie Milieu*, 34: 109-116.
- Capaldo A., Gay F., Maddaloni M., Valiante S., De Falco M., Lenzi M., Laforgia V.
 (2012). Presence of cocaine in the tissues of the European Eel, *Anguilla anguilla*,
 exposed to environmental cocaine concentrations. *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 223:
 2137-2143. doi: 10.1007/s11270-011-1010-7.
- Capoccioni F., Costa C., Aguzzi J., Menesatti P., Lombarte A., Ciccotti E. (2011).
 Ontogenetic and environmental effects on otolith shape variability in three
 Mediterranean European eel (*Anguilla anguilla* L.) local stocks. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, 397: 1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2010.11.011.
- Capoccioni F., Ciccotti E., Scalchi E., Leone C., Cataudella S. (2017). *Anguilla anguilla*.
 In: Sartor P., Mannini A., Carlucci R., Massaro E., Queirolo S., Sabatini A.,
 Scarcella G., Simoni R. (eds), Synthesis of the knowledge on biology, ecology
 and fishery of the halieutic resources of the Italian seas. *Biol. Mar. Mediterr.*,
 24(Suppl. 1): 165-176.
- Capoccioni F., Leone C., Belpaire C., Malarvannan G., Poma G., De Matteis G., Tancioni
 L., Contò M., Failla S., Covaci A., Ciccotti E. (2020). Quality assessment of
 escaping silver eel (*Anguilla anguilla* L.) to support management and
 conservation strategies in Mediterranean coastal lagoons. *Environ. Monit. Assess.*,
 192: 570. doi: 10.1007/s10661-020-08533-6.
- Carlsson J., Aarestrup K., Nordwall F., Näslund I., Eriksson T., Carlsson J. E. L. (2004).
 Migration of landlocked brown trout in two Scandinavian streams as revealed
 from trap data. *Ecol. Freshw. Fish*, 13: 161-167. doi: 10.1111/j.16000633.2004.00058.x.
- Carpenter E. and Wolverton S. (2017). Plastic litter in streams: the behavioral archaeology of a pervasive environmental problem. *Appl. Geogr.*, 84: 93-101. doi: 10.1016/j.apgeog.2017.04.010.
- Carpentier A., Marion L., Paillisson J. M., Acou A., Feunteun E. (2009). Effects of commercial fishing and predation by cormorants on the *Anguilla anguilla* stock of a shallow eutrophic lake. *J. Fish. Biol.*, 74: 2132-2138. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02286.x.
- 3889 Carr S. A., Liu J., Tesoro A. G. (2016). Transport and fate of microplastic particles in
 3890 wastewater treatment plants. *Water Res.*, 91: 174-182, doi:
 3891 10.1016/j.watres.2016.01.002.
| 3892
3893
3894 | Carvajal-Quintero, J. D., Januchowski-Hartley S. R., Maldonado-Ocampo J. A., Jézéquel
C., Delgado J., Tedesco P. A. (2017). Damming fragments species ranges and
heightens extinction risk. <i>Conserv. Lett.</i> , 10: 708-716. doi: 10.1111/conl.12336. |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3895
3896
3897
3898 | Castonguay M., Dutil J. D., Audet C., Miller R. (1990). Locomotor activity and concentration of thyroid hormones in migratory and sedentary juvenile American eels. <i>T. Am. Fish. Soc.</i> , 6: 946-956. doi: 10.1577/1548-8659(1990)119<0946:LAACOT>2.3.CO;2. |
| 3899
3900
3901
3902 | Castonguay M., Hodson P. V., Couillard C. M., Eckersley M. J., Dutil J. D., Verreault G. (1994). Why Is Recruitment of the American Eel, <i>Anguilla rostrata</i> , Declining in the St. Lawrence River and Gulf? <i>Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.</i> , 51: 479-488.doi : 10.1139/f94-050. |
| 3903
3904
3905
3906 | Cau Al., Avio C. G., Dessì C., Follesa M. C., Moccia D., Regoli F., Pusceddu A. (2019). Microplastics in the Crustaceans <i>Nephrops norvegicus</i> and <i>Aristeus antennatus</i>:
Flagship Species for Deep-Sea Environments? <i>Environ. Pollut.</i>, 255: 113107. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113107. |
| 3907
3908
3909
3910 | Cau Al., Avio C. G., Dessì C., Moccia D., Pusceddu A., Regoli F., Cannas R., Follesa M. C. (2020). Benthic crustacean digestion can modulate environmental fate of microplastics in the deep sea. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i>, 54(8), 4886-4892. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.9b07705. |
| 3911
3912
3913
3914
3915 | Cau Al., Franceschini S., Moccia D., Gorule P. A., Agus B., Bellodi A., Cannas R.,
Carugati L., Cuccu D., Dessì C., Marongiu M. F., Melis R., Mulas A., Porceddu
R., Porcu C., Russo T., Follesa M. C. (2022). Scattered accumulation hotspots of
macro-litter on the seafloor: insights for mitigation actions. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i>, 292:
118338. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118338. |
| 3916
3917
3918 | Cau An., Cannas A., Gandolfi G., Rossi R. (1982). Prime indagini sulla montata delle ceche sulle coste della Sardegna. <i>Acta Naturalia de l'Ateneo-Parmense</i> , 18: 157-162. |
| 3919
3920
3921
3922 | Cellule Migrateurs Charente et Seudre (2014). Programme d'actions 2012-2015 pour la sauvegarde et la restauration des poissons migrateurs amphihalins sur les bassins charente et seudre. EPTB charente, Groupement des fédérations de pêche du Poitou-Charentes, CREAA. Saintes. 117pp. |
| 3923
3924
3925 | Chang Y. L. K., Feunteun E., Miyazawa Y., Tsukamoto K. (2020). New clues on the Atlantic eels spawning behavior and area: the Mid-Atlantic Ridge hypothesis. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> , 10:15981. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-72916-5. |
| 3926
3927
3928
3929 | Chapman B. B., Skov C., Hulthen K., Brodersen J., Nilsson P. A., Hansson L. A.,
Brönmark C. (2012). Partial migration in fishes: definitions, methodologies and
taxonomic distribution. <i>J. Fish. Biol.</i> , 81: 479-499. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-
8649.2012.03349.x. |
| 3930
3931
3932
3933
3934 | Chasco B. E., Kaplan I. C., Thomas A. C., Acevedo-Gutiérrez A., Noren D. P., Ford M. J., Bradley Hanson M., Scordino J. J., Jeffries S. J., Marshall K. N., Shelton A. O., Matkin C., Burke B.J., Ward E. J. (2017). Competing tradeoffs between increasing marine mammal predation and fisheries harvest of Chinook salmon. <i>Sci. Rep.</i>, 7: 15439. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-14984-8. |

- Cheng P. W. and Tzeng W. N. (1996). Timing of metamorphosis and estuarine arrival across the dispersal range of the Japanese eel *Anguilla japonica*. *Mar. Ecol. Progr.*Ser., 131: 87-96.
- Chowdhury A. R. and Kipgen N. (2013). Deluge amidst Conflict: Hydropower
 Development and Displacement in the North-east Region of India. *Prog. Dev. Stud.*, 13: 195-208. doi: 10.1177/1464993413486545.
- Churcher A. M., Hubbard P. C., Marques J. P., Canário A. V., Huertas, M. (2015). Deep
 sequencing of the olfactory epithelium reveals specific chemosensory receptors
 are expressed at sexual maturity in the European eel *Anguilla anguilla*. *Mol. Ecol.*,
 24(4): 822-834. doi: 10.1111/mec.13065.
- Ciccotti E., Macchi E., Rossi A., Cataldi E., Cataudella S. (1993). Glass eel (*Anguilla* anguilla) acclimation to freshwater and seawater: Morphological changes of the digestive tract. J. Appl. Ichthyol., 9: 74-81. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0426.1993.tb00528.x.
- Ciccotti E., Ricci T., Scardi M., Fresi E., Cataudella S. (1995). Intraseasonal
 characterization of glass eel migration in the River Tiber: Space and time
 dynamics. J. Fish Biol., 47: 248-255. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1995.tb01892.x.
- Ciccotti E., Busilacchi S., Cataudella S. (2000). Eel, *Anguilla anguilla* (L.), in Italy:
 recruitment, fisheries and aquaculture. *Dana*, 12: 7-15.
- Ciccotti E. (2005). Interactions between capture fisheries and aquaculture: the case of the
 eel (*Anguilla anguilla* L., 1758). In: S. Cataudella, D. Crosetti, & F. Massa (eds.),
 Interactions between capture fisheries and aquaculture: a methodological
 perspective. Rome: GFCM Studies and Reviews 78: 190-203.
- Ciccotti E., Leone C., Bevacqua D., De Leo G., Tancioni L., Capoccioni F. (2014).
 Integrating river restoration and sustainable management of eel fishery in a lakeriver system in the mediterranean region: a smallscale case-study to support eel
 conservation at global scale. Italian *J. Freshw. Ichthyol.*, 1: 39-54.
- CITES (2020a). Conservation status of *Anguilla anguilla*. https://www.speciesplus.
 net/#/taxon_conce pts/3973/legal.
- CITES (2020b). Convention of Migratory Species (CMS) listing of *Anguilla anguilla*.
 https://www.speciesplus.net/#/taxon_concepts/66526/legal.
- Clavero M. and Hermoso V. (2010). Reservoirs promote the taxonomic homogenization
 of fish communities within river basins. *Biodivers. Conserv.*, 20: 41-57. doi:
 10.1007/s10531-010-9945-3.
- Clément M., Chiasson A. G., Veinott G., Cairns D. K. (2014). What otolith
 microchemistry and stable isotope analysis reveal and conceal about anguillid eel
 movements across salinity boundaries. *Oecologia*, 175: 1143-1153. doi:
 10.1007/s00442-014-2969-8.
- Close D. A., Fitzpatrick M. S., Li H. W. (2002). The ecological and cultural importance
 of a species at risk of extinction, Pacific lamprey. *Fisheries*, 27: 19-25. doi:
 10.1577/1548-8446.

- Cole M., Lindeque P., Fileman E., Halsband C., Goodhead R., Moger J., Galloway S.
 (2013). Microplastic Ingestion by Zooplankton. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47(12):
 6646-6655. doi: 10.1021/es400663f.
- Collas F. P., Buijse A. D., van den Heuvel L., van Kessel N., Schoor M. M., Eerden H.,
 Leuven R. S. (2018). Longitudinal training dams mitigate effects of shipping on
 environmental conditions and fish density in the littoral zones of the river Rhine. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 619-620: 1183-1193. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.299.
- Colombo G., Grandi G., Rossi R. (1984). Gonad differentiation and body growth in *Anguilla anguilla*, L. J. Fish Biol., 24: 215-228. doi: 10.1111/j.10958649.1984.tb04792.x.
- Comte L., Hugueny B., Grenouillet G. (2016). Climate interacts with anthropogenic
 drivers to determine extirpation dynamics. *Ecography*, 39: 1008-1016.
 doi:10.5061/dryad.55758.
- Cone R. S. (1989). The need to reconsider the use of condition indices in fishery science.
 Trans. Am. Fish. Soc., 118: 510-514.
- Cooke S. J., Midwood J. D., Thiem J. D., Klimley P., Lucas M. C., Thorstad E. B., Eiler
 J., Holbrook C., Ebner B. C. (2013). Tracking animals in freshwater with
 electronic tags: past, present and future. *Anim. Biotelemetry*, 1: 5-19. doi:
 10.1186/2050-3385-1-5.
- Correia M. J., Domingos I., De Leo G., Costa J. L. (2021). A comparative analysis of
 European eel's somatic growth in the coastal lagoon Santo André (Portugal) with
 growth in other estuaries and freshwater habitats. *Environ. Biol. Fish*, 104(7): 837850. doi: 10.1007/s10641-021-01123-6.
- Coss R. G. and Globus A. (1978). Spine stems on tectal interneurons in jewel fish are
 shortened by social stimulation. *Science*, 200: 787-789. doi:
 10.1126/science.64432.
- Coss R. G. (1979). Delayed plasticity of an instinct: Recognition and avoidance of 2
 facing eyes by the jewel fish. *Dev. Psychobiol.*, 12: 335-345. doi: 10.1002/dev.420120408.
- Coss R. G. and Globus A. (1979). Social experience affects the development of dendritic
 spines and branches on tectal interneurons in the jewel fish. *Dev. Psychobiol.*, 12:
 347-358. doi: 10.1002/dev.420120409.
- Coss R. G. and Burgess J. W. (1981). Jewel fish retain juvenile schooling pattern after
 crowded development. *Dev. Psychobiol.*, 14: 451-457. doi:
 10.1002/dev.420140507.
- 4011 Costa J. L. (1991). Estudo da biologia e ecologia da enguia europeia Anguilla anguilla
 4012 (Linnaeus, 1758) no estuário do Tejo e tributários. [Study of the biology and
 4013 ecology of the European eel Anguilla anguilla (Linnaeus, 1758) in the Tagus
 4014 estuary and tributaries]. Final degree in Biology, Faculdade de Ciências da
 4015 Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal.
- 4016 Costa J. L., Domingos I., Assis C. A., Almeida P. R., Moreira F., Feunteun E., Costa M.
 4017 J. (2008). Comparative ecology of the European eel, *Anguilla anguilla* (L., 1758),
 4018 in a large Iberian River. Environ. *Biol. Fish*, 81: 421-434. doi: 10.1007/s106414019 007-9229-2.

4020 4021 4022	Costa-Dias S., Sousa R., Lobón-Cerviá J., Laffaille P. (2009). The decline of diadromous fish in Western Europe inland waters: mains causes and consequence, p.67-92. In: Fisheries: Management, economics and perspectives. Nova Science Publishers.
4023 4024 4025	Cote D., Kehler D. G., Bourne C., Wiersma Y. F. (2009). A New Measure of Longitudinal Connectivity for Stream Networks. <i>Landsc. Ecol.</i> , 24(1): 101-113. doi: 10.1007/s10980-008-9283-y.
4026 4027	Cottiglia M. (1968). La Distribuzione Dell'ittiofauna Dulciacquicola in Sardegna. <i>Riv. Idrob.</i> , 7(1): 64-115.
4028 4029 4030 4031	Courtene-Jones W., Quinn B., Gary S. F., Mogg A. O. M., Narayanaswamy B. E. (2017). Microplastic pollution identified in deep-sea water and ingested by benthic invertebrates in the Rockall Trough, North Atlantic Ocean. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> 231: 271e280. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.08.026.
4032 4033	Cox W. H. (1891). Imprägnation des centralen Nervensystemsmit Quecksilbersalzen. Arch Mikrosk Anat, 37(1): 16-21. doi: 10.1007/BF02954290.
4034 4035 4036	Cózar A., Sanz-Martín M., Martí E., González-Gordillo J. I., Ubeda B., Ágálvez J., Irigoien X., Duarte C. M. (2015). Plastic accumulation in the Mediterranean Sea. <i>Plos One</i> , 10: e0121762. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0121762.
4037 4038	Crampton J. S. (1995). Elliptic Fourier shape analysis of fossil bivalves: Some practical considerations. <i>Lethaia</i> , 28: 179-186. doi: 10.1111/j.1502-3931.1995.tb01611.x.
4039 4040 4041	Cresci A., Paris C. B., Durif C. M., Shema S., Bjelland R. M., Skiftesvik A. B., Browman H. I. (2017). Glass eels (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>) have a magnetic compass linked to the tidal cycle. <i>Sci. Adv.</i> , 3: e1602007. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602007.
4042 4043 4044 4045	 Cresci A., Paris C. B., Foretich M. A., Durif C. M., Shema S., O'Brien C. E., Vikebø F. B., Skiftesvik A. B., Browman H. I. (2019a). Atlantic haddock (<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>) larvae have a magnetic compass that guides their orientation. <i>iScience</i>, 19: 1173-1178. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2019.09.001.
4046 4047 4048	Cresci A., Durif C. M., Paris C. B., Shema S. D., Skiftesvik A. B., Browman H. I. (2019b). Glass eels (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>) imprint the magnetic direction of tidal currents from their juvenile estuaries. <i>Commun. Biol.</i> , 2: 366. doi: 10.1038/s42003-019-0619-8.
4049 4050	Cresci A. (2020). A comprehensive hypothesis on the migration of European glass eels (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>). <i>Biol. Rev.</i> , 95: 1273-1286. doi: 10.1111/brv.12609.
4051 4052	Creutzberg F. (1961). On the orientation of migrating elvers (<i>Anguilla vulgaris</i> Tert.) in a tidal area. <i>Neth. J. Sea Res.</i> , 1: 257-338.
4053 4054 4055 4056	Crivelli A. J., Ximenes M. C., Gout B., Lasserre G., Freon P., Do C. T. (1995). Causes and effects of terrestrial runoff and riverine outflow onbrackish/coastal marine fisheries ecosistems in the northern Mediterranean region. FAO Fishery Technical Paper, 349, 59–87. http://www.documentation.ird.fr/hor/fdi:010008046.
4057 4058 4059 4060	Crivelli A., Auphan N., Chauvelon P., Sanzoz A., Menella J., Poizat G. (2008). Glass eel recruitment, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (L.), in a Mediterranean lagoon assessed by a glass eel trap: factors explaining the catches. <i>Hydrobiologia</i> , 602: 79-86. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-8548-2_6.
4061 4062	Crnjar R., Slcalera G., Bigiani A., Tomassini Barbarossa I., Magherini P. C., Pietra P. (1992). Olfactory sensitivity to amino acids in the juvenile stages of the European

4063 4064	eel Anguilla anguilla (L.). J. Fish Biol., 40: 567-576. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1992.tb02606.x.
4065	Cullen P. and McCarthy T. K. (2003). Hydrometric and meteorological factors affecting
4066	the seaward migration of silver eels (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i> , L.) in the lower River
4067	Shannon. <i>Environ. Biol. Fishes</i> , 67: 349-357. doi: 10.1023/A:1025878830457.
4068	 Culurgioni J., Figus V., Cabiddu S., De Murtas R., Cau A., Sabatini A. (2015). Larval
4069	Helminth parasites of fishes and shellfishes from Santa Gilla Lagoon (Sardinia,
4070	Western Mediterranean), and their use as bioecological indicators. <i>Estuaries</i>
4071	<i>Coasts</i> , 38: 1505-1519. doi: 10.1007/s12237-014-9894-2.
4072	Culurgioni J., Sabatini A., De Murtas R., Mattiucci S., Figus V. (2014). Helminth
4073	parasites of fish and shellfish from the Santa Gilla Lagoon in southern Sardinia,
4074	Italy. J. Helminthol., 88: 489-498. doi: 10.1017/S0022149X13000461.
4075 4076 4077	Cushing D. H. (1990). Plankton production and year-class strength in fish populations: an update of the match/mismatch hypothesis. <i>Adv. Mar. Biol.</i> , 26: 249-293. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60202-3.
4078	Dainys J., Gorfine H., Šidagytė E., Jakubavičiūtė E., Kirka M., Pūtys Ž., Ložys L. (2017).
4079	Do young on-grown eels, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) outperform glass
4080	eels after transition to a natural prey diet? <i>J. Appl. Ichthyol.</i> , 33: 361-365. doi:
4081	10.1111/jai.13347.
4082	Dantas D. V., Barletta M., da Costa M. F. (2012). The seasonal and spatial patterns of
4083	ingestion of polyfilament nylon fragments by estuarine drums (Sciaenidae).
4084	<i>Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.</i> , 19: 600-606. doi: 10.1007/s11356-011-0579-0.
4085	Davey A. J. H. and Jellyman, D. J. (2005). Sex determination in freshwater eels and
4086	management options for manipulation of sex. <i>Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.</i> , 15(1-2): 37-
4087	52. doi: 10.1007/s11160-005-7431-x.
4088	Daverat F., Limburg K. E., Thibault I., Shiao J. C., Dodson J. J., Caron F., Tzeng W. N.,
4089	Iizuka Y., Wickström H. (2006). Phenotypic plasticity of habitat use by three
4090	temperate eel species, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> , <i>A. japonica</i> and <i>A. rostrata. Mar. Ecol.</i>
4091	<i>Progr. Ser.</i> , 308: 231-241. doi: 10.3354/meps308231.
4092 4093 4094 4095	Daverat F., Beaulaton L., Poole R., Lambert P., Wickström H., Andersson J., Aprahamian M., Hizem B., Elie P., Yalçın-Özdilek S., Gumus A. (2012). One century of eel growth: changes and implications. <i>Ecol. Fresh. Fish</i> , 21: 325-336. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0633.2011.00541.x.
4096	De Casamajor M. N., Bru N., Prouzet P. (1999). [Influence de la luminosité nocturne et
4097	de la turbidité sur le comportement vertical de migration de la civelle d'anguille
4098	(Anguilla anguilla L.) dans l'estuaire de l'Adour.].[Article in French]. Bull. fr.
4099	pêche piscic., 355: 327-347. doi: 10.1051/kmae:1999002.
4100 4101 4102	De Leo G. A. and Gatto M. (1995) A size and age-structured model of the European eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i> L.). <i>Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.</i> , 52: 1351-1367. doi: 10.1139/f95-131.
4103 4104 4105 4106	De Leo G. A., Melià P., Gatto M., Crivelli A. J. (2009). Eel population modeling and its application to conservation management. In: Casselman JM and Cairns DK (eds.), Eels at the edge: science, status, and conservation concerns. Proceedings of the 2003 international eel symposium. Am. Fish Soc. Symp., 58: 327-345.

4107 4108 4109	De Waele J., Martina M. L. V., Sanna L., Cabras S., Cossu Q. A. (2010). Flash Flood Hydrology in Karstic Terrain: Flumineddu Canyon, Central-East Sardinia. <i>Geomorphology</i> , 120: 162–173. doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.03.021.
4110 4111	Déath, G. (2007)., 88. 0.CO, 2432–251. doi: 10.1890/0012-9658(2007)88[243Boosted Trees for Ecological Modeling and PredictionEcologyBTFEMA]2.
4112 4113	Deelder C. L. (1952). On the migration of the elver (Anguilla vulgaris Turt.) at sea. J. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer., 20: 187-218. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/18.2.187.
4114 4115 4116	Deiner K., Walser J. C., Mächler E., Altermatt F. (2015). Choice of capture and extraction methods affect detection of freshwater biodiversity from environmental DNA. <i>Biol. Conserv.</i> , 183: 53-63. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.018.
4117 4118 4119	 Dejean T., Valentini A., Duparc A., Pellier-Cuit S., Pompanon F., Taberlet P., Miaud C. (2011). Persistence of environmental DNA in freshwater ecosystems. <i>PLoS One</i>, 6: 8-11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023398.
4120 4121	Dekker W. (1998). Long-term trends in the glass eels immigrating at Den Oever, The Netherlands. <i>Bull. fr. pêche piscic.</i> , 349: 199-214. doi: 10.1051/kmae:1998045.
4122 4123	Dekker W. (2000). The fractal geometry of the European eel stock. <i>ICES J. Mar. Sci.</i> , 57: 109-121. doi: 10.1006/jmsc.1999.0562.
4124 4125 4126	Dekker W. (2001). Status of the European eel stock and fisheries. In: Proceedings of the International Symposium, Advances in Eel Biology. University of Tokyo, pp. 50- 52. 28-30 September 2001.
4127 4128 4129	Dekker W. (2003a). Did lack of spawners cause the collapse of the European eel, <i>Anguilla anguilla? Fish. Manag. Ecol.</i> , 10: 365-376. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2400.2003.00352.x.
4130 4131	Dekker W. (2003b). Status of the European eel stock and fisheries, p.237-254. In: Aida K., Tsukamoto K., Yamauchi K. (eds), Eel Biology. Springer, Tokyo.
4132 4133 4134	Dekker W., Casselman J. M., Cairns D. K., Tsukamoto K., Jellyman D., Lickers H. (2003). Worldwide decline of eel resources necessitates immediate action: Québec Declaration of Concern. Fisheries, 28: 28-30.
4135 4136 4137	Dekker W. (2004). Slipping through our hands – population dynamics of the European eel. (PhD thesis). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: University of Amsterdam: 186 pp. http://www.diadfish.org/doc/these_2004/dekker_thesis_eel.pdf.
4138 4139 4140	Dekker W. and Casselman J. M. (2014). The 2003 Québec Declaration of concern about eel declines - 11 years later: are eels climbing back up the slippery slope? <i>Fisheries</i> , 39: 613-614. doi: 10.1080/03632415.2014.979342.
4141 4142 4143	Dekker W. (2016). Management of the eel is slipping through our hands! Distribute control and orchestrate national protection. <i>ICES J. Mar. Sci.</i> , 73: 2442-2452. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsw094.
4144 4145 4146	Dekker W. and Beaulaton L. (2016a). Climbing back up what slippery slope? Dynamics of the European eel stock ad its management in historical perspective. <i>ICES J.</i> <i>Mar. Sci.</i> , 73: 5-13. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsv132.

4147 4148 4149	Dekker W. and Beaulaton L. (2016b). Faire mieux que la nature – the history of eel restocking in Europe. <i>Environ. Hist.</i> , 22: 255-300. doi: 10.3197/096734016X14574329314407.
4150 4151	Dekker W. (2019). The history of commercial fisheries for European eel commenced only a century ago. <i>Fish. Manag. Ecol.</i> , 6: 6-19. doi: 10.1111/fme.12302.
4152 4153 4154 4155	Delpech C., Courrat A., Pasquaud S., Lobry J., Le Pape O., Nicolas D., Boët P., Girardin M., Lepage M. (2010). Development of a fish-based index to assess the ecological quality of transitional waters: the case of French estuaries. <i>Mar. Pollut. Bull.</i> , 60: 908-918. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.01.001.
4156 4157 4158 4159 4160	Delrez N., Zhang H., Lieffrig F., Mélard C., Farnir F., Boutier M., Donohoe O., Vanderplasschen A. (2021). European eel restocking programs based on wild- caught glass eels: feasibility of quarantine stage compatible with implementation of prophylactic measures prior to scheduled reintroduction to the wild. J. Nat. Conserv., 59: 125933. doi: 10.1016/j.jnc.2020.125933.
4161 4162 4163	Derville S., Constantine R., Baker C., Oremus M., Torres L. (2016). Environmental Correlates of Nearshore Habitat Distribution by the Critically Endangered Maūi Dolphin. <i>Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.</i> , 551: 261-275. doi: 10.3354/meps11736.
4164 4165 4166 4167	Desaunay Y. and Guerault D. (1997). Seasonal and long-term changes in biometrics of eel larvae: a possible relationship between recruitment variation and North Atlantic ecosystem productivity. <i>J. Fish Biol.</i> , 51: 317-339. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1997.tb06106.x.
4168 4169 4170	Desprez M., Crivelli A. J., Lebel I., Massez G., Gimenez O. (2013). Demographic assessment of a stocking experiment in European Eels. <i>Ecol. Freshw. Fish</i> , 22: 412-420. doi: 10.1111/eff.12035.
4171 4172 4173	Dias M. S., Tedesco P. A., Hugueny B., Jézéquel C., Beauchard O., Brosse S., Oberdorff T. (2017). Anthropogenic Stressors and Riverine Fish Extinctions. <i>Ecol. Indic.</i> , 79: 37-46. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.03.053.
4174 4175 4176	Dickstein D. L., Weaver C. M., Luebke J. I., Hof P. R. (2013). Dendritic spine changes associated with normal aging. <i>Neuroscience</i> , 251: 21-32. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.09.077.
4177 4178 4179	Ding L., Chen L., Ding C., Tao J. (2018). Global Trends in Dam Removal and Related Research: A Systematic Review Based on Associated Datasets and Bibliometric Analysis. <i>Chin. Geogr. Sci.</i> , 29: 1-12. doi: 10.1007/s11769-018-1009-8.
4180 4181	Domingos I. (1992). Fluctuation of glass eel migration in the Mondego estuary (Portugal) in 1988 and 1989. <i>Irish Fish. Investigat. Series A (Freshwater) (Ireland)</i> , 36: 1-4.
4182 4183 4184	Domingos I. (2003). A Enguia Europeia, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (L., 1758), na bacia hidrográfica do rio Mondego. [The European eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (L. 1758) in the Mondego River catchment]. PhD Thesis. University of Lisbon, Portugal.
4185 4186 4187	Dorow M., Schulz S., Frankowski J., Ubl C. (2019). Using a telemetry study to assess the boundary net efficiency of an enclosure system used for yellow eel density monitoring. <i>Fish. Manag. Ecol.</i> , 26: 70-75. doi: 10.1111/fme.12304.
4188 4189	Dos Santos T., Bastian R., Felden J., Rauber A. M., Reynalte-Tataye D. A., Teixeira de Mello F. (2020). First record of microplastics in two freshwater fish species

- (Iheringhthys labrosus and Astyanax lacustris) from the middle section of the
 Uruguay River, Brazil. *Acta Limnol. Bras.*, 32: e26. doi: 10.1590/S2179975X3020.
- Døving K. B. (Functional Properties of the Fish Olfactory System. In Progress in Sensory
 Physiology 6. Progress in Sensory Physiology; Autrum, H., Ottoson, D., Perl,
 E.R., Schmidt, R.F., Shimazu, H.,Willis,W.D., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg,
 Germany, 1986; Volume 6. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-70411-6_2.
- 4197 Dris R., Gasperi J., Rocher V., Saad M., Renault N., Tassin B. (2015). Microplastic
 4198 contamination in an urban area: a case study in Greater Paris. *Environ. Chem.*, 12,
 4199 592-599. doi: ff10.1071/EN14167.
- Drouineau H., Briand C., Lambert P., Beaulaton P. (2016). GEREM (Glass Eel
 Recruitment Estimation Model): A model to estimate glass eel recruitment at
 different spatial scales. *Fish Res.*, 174: 68-80. doi: 10.1016/j.fishres.2015.09.003.
- Drouineau H., Bau F., Alric A., Deligne N., Gomes P., Sagnes P. (2017). Silver eel downstream migration in fragmented rivers: use of a bayesian model to track movements triggering and duration. *Aquat. Living Resour.*, 30: 1-9. doi : 10.1051/alr/2017003.
- Drouineau H., Durif C., Castonguay M., Mateo M., Rochard E., Verreault G., Yokouchi
 K., Lambert P. (2018a). Freshwater eels: a symbol of the effects of global change. *Fish Fish.*, 19: 903-930. doi : 10.1111/faf.12300.
- Drouineau H., Carter C., Rambonilaza M., Beaufaron G., Bouleau G., Gassiat A.,
 Lambert P., le Floch S., Tétard S., de Oliveira E. (2018b). River continuity
 restoration and diadromous fishes: much more than an ecological issue. *Environ. Manage*. 61:671-686. doi: 10.1007/s00267-017-0992-3.
- 4214 Dudgeon D., Arthington A. H., Gessner M. O., Kawabata Z. I., Knowler D. J., Lévêque,
 4215 C., Naiman R. J., Prieur-Richard A. H., Soto D., Stiassny M. L. J., Sullivan C. A.
 4216 (2006). Freshwater Biodiversity: Importance, Threats, Status and Conservation
 4217 Challenges. *Biol. Rev.*, 81: 163-182. doi: 10.1017/S1464793105006950.
- 4218 Durant J. M., Hjermann D. O., Ottersen G., Stenseth N. C. (2007). Climate and the match
 4219 or mismatch between predator requirements and resource availability *Clim. Res.*,
 4220 33: 271-283. doi: 10.3354/cr033271.
- 4221 Durif C. M. F. (2003). [La migration d'avalaison de l'anguille européenne Anguilla
 4222 anguilla: Caractérisation des fractions dévalantes, phénoméne de migration et
 4223 franchissement d'obstacles.] [Article in French]. Thèse de doctorat, Université
 4224 Paul Sabatier, Toulouse: 350 pp.
- 4225 Durif C. M. F. and Elie P. (2008). Predicting downstream migration of silver eels in a
 4226 large river catchment based on commercial fishery data. *Fish Manag. Ecol.*, 15:
 4227 127-137. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2400.2008.00593.x.
- 4228 Durif C. M. F., Van Ginneken V., Dufour S., Müller T., Elie P. (2009a). Seasonal
 4229 evolution and individual differences in silvering eels from different locations. In
 4230 Spawning Migration of the European Eel; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands,
 4231 pp. 13-38.
- 4232 Durif C., Guibert A., Elie P. (2009b). Morphological discrimination of the silvering stages
 4233 of the European eel. *Am. Fish. Soc. Symp.*, 58: 103-111.

- Durif C. M. F., Gjosaeter J., Vollestad L. A. (2011). Influence of oceanic factors on *Anguilla anguilla* (L.) over the twentieth century in coastal habitats of the
 Skagerrak, Southern Norway. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Series B*, 278: 464-473. doi:
 10.1098/rspb.2010.1547.
- Durif C. M. F., Bonhommeau S., Briand C., Browman H. I., Castonguay M., Daverat F.,
 Dekker W., Diaz E., Hanel R., Miller M. J., Moore A., Paris C. B., Skiftesvik A.
 B., Westerberg H., Wickström H. (2017). Whether European eel leptocephali use
 the Earth's magnetic field to guide their migration remains an open question. *Curr. Biol.*, 27(18): 998-1000. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.08.045.
- 4243 Dynesiu M. and Nilsson C. (1994). Fragmentation and Flow Regulation of River Systems
 4244 in the Northern Third of the World. *Science*, 266(5186): 753-762. doi:
 4245 10.1126/science.266.5186.753.
- 4246 EC (2007). Council Regulation (EC) No. 1100/2007 of 18 September 2007, establishing
 4247 measures for the recovery of the stock of European eel. Official Journal of the
 4248 European Union L. 248: 17-23.
- Edeline E., Dufour S., Briand C., Fatin D., Elie P. (2004). Thyroid status is related to
 migratory behavior in *Anguilla anguilla* glass eels. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 282:
 161-270. doi: 10.3354/meps282261.
- Edeline E., Dufour S., Elie P. (2005). Role of glass eel salinity preference in the control
 of habitat selection and growth plasticity in *Anguilla anguilla*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 304: 191-199. doi: 10.3354/meps304191.
- Edeline E., Lambert P., Rigaud C., Elie P. (2006). Effects of body condition and water
 temperature on *Anguilla anguilla* glass eel migratory behavior. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, 331: 217-225. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2005.10.011.
- Edeline E., Beaulaton L., Le Barh R., Elie P. (2007). Dispersal in metamorphosing *Anguilla anguilla* juvenile eel. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 344: 213-218. doi:
 10.3354/meps06988.
- Edeline E., Dufour S., Elie P. (2009). Proximate and ultimate control of eel continental
 dispersal. In: Van Den Thillart G., Dufour S., Rankin J. C. (eds.) Spawning
 migration of the European eel: reproduction index, a useful tool for conservation
 management. *Fish Fish. Ser.*, 30: 433-461. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-9095-0_18.
- Eerkes-Medrano D., Thompson R. C., Aldridge D. C. (2015). Microplastics in freshwater 4265 systems: a review of the emerging threats, identification of knowledge gaps and 4266 prioritization of research needs. Water 75: 63e82. doi: 4267 Res., 10.1016/j.watres.2015.02.01. 4268
- Eldrogi N., Luthon F., Larroque B., Alqaddafi S., Bolliet V. (2018). Motion estimation
 of glass eels by differential methods. ISTJ: pp. 299-315.
- Elie P. and Rochard E. (1994). [Migration des civelles d'anguilles (*Anguilla anguilla* L.)
 dans les estuaires, modalités du phénomène et caractéristiques des individus.]
 [Article in FrenchBull. fr. pêche piscic., 335: 81-98. doi: 10.1051/kmae:1994006.
- 4274 Elith J., Leathwick J. R., Hastie T. (2008). A Working Guide to Boosted Regression
 4275 Trees. J. Anim. Ecol., 77: 802-813. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01390.x.

- Elliott M., Whitfield A. K., Potter I. C., Blaber S. J. M., Cyrus D. P., Nordlie F. G.,
 Harrison T. D. (2007). The guild approach to categorizing estuarine fish
 assemblages: a global review. *Fish Fish.*, 8: 241-268. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-2679.2007.00253.x.
- Elsdon T. S. and Gillanders B. M. (2002). Interactive effects of temperature and salinity
 on otolith chemistry: challenges for determining environmental histories of fish. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 59: 1796-1808. doi: 10.1139/f02-154.
- Enbody E. D., Pettersson M. E., Sprehn C. G., Palm S., Wickström H., Andersson L.
 (2021). Ecological adaptation in European eels is based on phenotypic plasticity. *PNAS*, 118: e2022620118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2022620118.
- Eriksen M., Mason S., Wilson S., Box C., Zellers A., Edwards W., Farley H., Amato S.
 (2013). Microplastic pollution in the surface waters of the Laurentian great lakes. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 77(1-2): 177-182.doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.10.007.
- Evans N. T., Li Y., Renshaw M. A., Olds B. P., Deiner K., Turner C. R., Jerde C. R.,
 Lodge D. M., Lamberti G. A., Pfrender M. E. (2016). Fish community assessment
 with eDNA metabarcoding: effects of sampling design and bioinformatic filtering. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 74: 1362-1374. doi: 10.1139/cjfas-2016-0306.
- Ezzat A. and El-Serafy S. (1977). The migration of elvers of *Anguilla anguilla* L. in the
 Mex canal, Alexandria, Egypt. J. Fish Biol., 11: 249-256. doi: 10.1111/j.10958649.1977.tb04117.x.
- 4296 FAO (2003). Inland Fisheries.
- FAO (2007). Report of the second FAO Ad Hoc Expert Advisory Panel for the
 Assessment of Proposals to Amend Appendices I and II of CITES Concerning
 Commercially exploited Aquatic Species. Rome, 26–30 March 2007. FAO
 Fisheries Report. No. 833. Rome: 133 pp.
- 4301 FAO (2021). Assessment of agricultural plastics and their sustainability. A call for action.
 4302 Rome. DOI: 10.4060/cb7856en.
- Farrell P. and Nelson K. (2013). Trophic level transfer of microplastic: *Mytilus edulis* (L.)
 to *Carcinus maenas* (L.). *Environ. Pollut.*, 177: 1-3. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2013.01.046.
- Faure F., Demars C., Wieser O., Kunz M., de Alencastro L. F. (2015). Plastic pollution
 in Swiss surface waters: nature and concentrations, interaction with pollutants. *Environ. Chem.*, 12(5): 582-591. doi: 10.1071/EN14218.
- Félix P. M., Costa J. L., Monteiro R., Castro N., Quintella B. R., Almeida P. R., Domingos
 I. (2020a). Can a restocking event with European (glass) eels cause early changes
 in local biological communities and its ecological status? *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.*,
 21: e00884. doi: 10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00884.
- Félix P. M., Costa J. L., Quintella B. R., Almeida P. R., Monteiro R., Santos J., Portela
 T., Domingos I. (2020b). Early settlement and growth of stocked European glass
 eels in a fragmented watercourse. *Fish. Manag. Ecol.*, 28(1): 91-100. doi:
 10.1111/fme.12461.

4317 Feunteun E., Acou A., Laffaille P., Legault A. (2000). European eel (Anguilla anguilla): 4318 prediction of spawner escapement from continental population parameters. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 57: 1627-1635. 4319 4320 Feunteun E. (2002). Management and restoration of the European eel population (Anguilla anguilla): An impossible bargain? Ecol. Eng., 18: 575-591. doi: 4321 4322 10.1016/S0925-8574(02)00021-6. Feunteun E., Laffaille P., Robinet T., Briand C., Baisez A., Olivier J. M., Acou A. (2003). 4323 4324 A review of upstream migration and movements in inland waters by anguillid eels: towards a general theory, p.181-190. In: Aida K., Tsukamoto K., Yamauchi K. 4325 (eds.). Eel biology. Springer, Tokyo. 4326 4327 Feunteun E. and Laffaille P. (2011). Commercially important catadromous fish. Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS): 27 pp. 4328 4329 Fiala J. C., Feinberg M., Popov V., Harris K. M. (1998). Synaptogenesis via dendritic 4330 filopodia in developing hippocampal area CA1. J. Neurosci., 18(21): 8900-8911. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-21-08900.1998. 4331 Fiala J. C., Allwardt B., Harris K. M. (2002). Dendritic spines do not split during 4332 hippocampal LTP or maturation. Nat. Neurosci., 5(4): 297-298. 4333 doi: doi.org/10.1038/nn830. 4334 4335 Fischer E. S., Blackburn S. E., Liss S. A., Hughes J. S., Li H., Deng Z. D. (2019). How small can we go? Evaluating survival, tag retention, and growth of juvenile 4336 4337 Chinook salmon implanted with a new acoustic microtag. N. Am. J. Fish. Manag., 39: 1329-1336. doi: 10.1002/nafm.10367. 4338 Foekema E. M., de Gruijter C., Mergia M. T., van Franeker J. A., Murk A. T. J., 4339 Koelmans, A. A. (2013). Plastic in North Sea fish. Environ. Sci. Technol., 47: 4340 4341 8818-8824. doi: 10.1021/es400931b. Forward R. B. and Tankersley R. A. (2001). Selective tidal-stream transport of marine 4342 animals Oceanogr. Mar. Biol.: Ann. Rev., 39: 201-213. 4343 4344 Fossi M. C., Romeo, T., Baini, M., Panti, C., Marsili, L., Campan, T., Canese, S., Galgani, 4345 F., Druon, J. N., Airoldi, S., Taddei, S., Fattorini, M., Brandini, C., Lapucci, C. (2017). Plastic debris occurrence, convergence areas and fin whales feeding 4346 ground in the Mediterranean marine protected area Pelagos Sanctuary: a modeling 4347 4348 approach. Front. Mar. Sci., 4: 1-15. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00167. Free C. M., Jensen O. P., Mason S. A., Eriksen M., Williamson N. J., Boldgiv B. (2014). 4349 4350 High-levels of microplastic pollution in a large, remote, mountain lake. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 85(1): 156-163. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.06.001. 4351 Friedland K. D., Miller M. J., Knights B. (2007). Oceanic changes in the Sargasso Sea 4352 4353 and declines in recruitment of the European eel. ICES J. Mar. Sci., 64: 519-530. 4354 doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsm022. Friedman J. H. (2001). Greedy Function Approximation: A Gradient Boosting Machine. 4355 4356 Ann. Stat., 29(5): 1189-1232. doi: 10.1214/aos/1013203451. Friedman J. H., Meulman, J. J. (2003). Multiple Additive Regression Trees with 4357 4358 Application in Epidemiology. Stat. Med., 22: 1365-1381. doi: 10.1002/sim.1501.

4359 4360	Fu M. and Zuo Y. (2011). Experience-dependent structural plasticity in the cortex. <i>TINS</i> , 34(4): 177-187. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2011.02.001.
4361 4362 4363	Fujita I., Satou M., Ueda K. (1984). A field-potential study of centripetal and centrifugal connections of the olfactory bulb in the carp, <i>Cyprinus carpio</i> (L.). <i>Brain Res.</i> , 321(1): 33-44. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(84)90678-4.
4364 4365 4366 4367	Fullerton A. H., Burnett K. M., Steel E. A., Flitcroft R. L., Pess G. R., Feist B. E., Torgersen C. E., Miller D. J., Sanderson B. L. (2010). Hydrological Connectivity for Riverine Fish: Measurement Challenges and Research Opportunities. <i>Freshw.</i> <i>Biol.</i> , 55: 2215-2237. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2010.02448.x.
4368 4369	Gagliano M., and McCormick M. I. (2004). Feeding history influences otolith shape in tropical fish. <i>Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.</i> , 278: 291-296. doi:10.3354/meps278291.
4370 4371 4372 4373	 Galafassi S., Sighicelli M., Pusceddu A., Bettinetti R., Cau A., Temperini M. E., Gillibert R., Ortolani M., Pietrelli L., Zaupa S., Volta P. (2021). Microplastic pollution in perch (<i>Perca fluviatilis</i>, Linnaeus 1758) from Italian south-alpine lakes. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i>, 1; 288: 117782. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117782.
4374 4375 4376 4377	Galbraith H. S., Blakeslee C. J., Schmucker A. K., Johnson N. S., Hansen M. J., Li W. (2017). Donor life stage influences juvenile American eel Anguilla rostrata attraction to conspecific chemical cues. J. Fish Biol., 90: 384-395. doi: 10.1111/jfb.13190.
4378 4379 4380 4381	Galipeau, B. A., Ingman, M., and Tilt, B. (2013). Dam-Induced Displacement and Galipeau, B. A., Ingman, M., and Tilt, B. (2013). Dam-Induced Displacement and Agricultural Livelihoods in China's Mekong Basin. <i>Hum. Ecol.</i> 41, 437–446. doi:10.1007/s10745-013-9575-y.
4382 4383 4384	Gandolfi G., Pesaro M., Tongiorgi P. (1984). Environmental factors affecting the ascent of elvers, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (L.), into the Arno River. <i>Oebalia</i> , 126:17-35. doi: 10.1080/11250008009438693.
4385 4386 4387	Gangloff M. M. (2013). Taxonomic and Ecological Tradeoffs Associated with Small Dam Removals. <i>Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.</i> , 23: 475-480. doi: 10.1002/aqc.2383.
4388 4389	Garcia de Leániz C. (2008). Weir removal in salmonid streams: implications, challenges and practicalities. <i>Hydrobiologia</i> , 609: 83-96. doi: 10.1007/s10750-008-9397-x.
4390 4391	Garibaldi A. and Turner N. (2004). Cultural keystone species: implications for ecological conservation and restoration. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> , 9:1. doi: 10.5751/ES-00669-090301.
4392 4393	Garman G. C. (1992). Fate and potential significance of postspawning anadromous fish carcasses in an Atlantic coastal river. <i>Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.</i> , 121: 390-394.
4394 4395 4396 4397	Gascuel D. (1986). Flow-carried and active swimming migration of the glass eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>) in the tidal area of a small estuary on the French Atlantic coast. <i>Helgoländer Wissenschaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen</i> , 40: 321-326. doi: 10.1007/BF01983739.
4398 4399 4400	Gascuel D., Feunteun E., Fontenelle G. (1995). Seasonal dynamics of estuarine migration in glass eels (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>). <i>Aquat. Liv. Res.</i> , 8: 123-133. doi: 10.1051/alr:1995009.

- Geffroy B., Bardonnet A. (2015). Sex differentiation and sex determination in eels:
 consequences for management. *Fish Fish.*, 17: 375-398. doi: 10.1111/faf.12113.
- Geyer R., Jambeck J.R., Law K. L. (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever
 made. *Sci. Adv.*, 3(7): e1700782. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1700782.
- Ghani M.U., Mesadi F., Kanık S.D., Argunşah A.Ö., Hobbiss A.F., Israely I., Ünay D.,
 Taşdizen T., Çetin M. (2017). Dendritic spine classification using shape and
 appearance features based on two-photon microscopy. *J. Neurosci. Methods*, 279:
 13-21. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2016.12.006.
- 4409 Gilderhus P. A. and Marking L. L. (1987). Comparative efficacy of 16 anesthetic
 4410 chemicals on rainbow trout. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manag.*, 7: 288-292. doi:
 4411 10.1577/1548-8659(1987)7<288:CEOACO>2.0.CO;2.
- Glaser E. M. and Van der Loos H. (1981). Analysis of thick brain sections by obverse—
 Reverse computer microscopy: Application of a new, high clarity Golgi—Nissl
 stain. J. Neurosci. Methods, 4(2): 117-125. doi: 10.1016/0165-0270(81)90045-5.
- González-Fernández D., Cózar A., Hanke G., Viejo J., Morales-Caselles C., Bakiu R.,
 Barceló D., Bessa F., Bruge A., Cabrera M., Castro-Jiménez J., Constant M.,
 Crosti R., Galletti Y. (2021). Floating macrolitter leaked from Europe into the
 ocean. *Nat. Sustain.*, 4: 474-483. doi: 10.1038/s41893-021-00722-6.
- Gonzalez-Salas C. and Lenfant P. (2007). Interannual variability and intra-annual stability of the otolith shape in european anchovy *Engraulis encrasicolus* (L.) in the Bay of Biscay. *J. Fish Biol.*, 70: 35-49. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-4422 8649.2006.01243.x.
- Graf W. L. (2003). "Dam Removal Research Status and Prospects," in Proceedings of
 the Heinz Center's Dam Removal Research Workshop (Pennsylvania Avenue,
 Washington D.C: The H. John Heinz III Center for Science, Economics and the
 Environment), 23-24.
- 4427 Greenwell B., Boehmke B., Cunningham J. (2020). Gbm: Generalized Boosted
 4428 Regression Models. R Package Version 2.1.5. Available online:
 4429 https://CRAN.Rproject. org/package=gbm.
- Grill, G., Lehner, B., Lehner, B., Lumsdon, A. E., Zarfl, C., MacDonald, G. K., Zarfl C.,
 Liermann C. R. (2015). An Index-Based Framework for Assessing Patterns and
 Trends in River Fragmentation and Flow Regulation by Global Dams at Multiple
 Scales. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 10(1): 015001. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/1/015001.
- Grill, G., Lehner, B., Thieme, M., Geenen, B., Tickner, D., Antonelli, F., Babu S., Borrelli
 P., Cheng L., Crochetiere H., Ehalt Macedo H., Filgueiras R., Goichot M., Higgins
 J., Hogan Z., Lip B., McClain M. E., Meng J., Mulligan M., Nilsson C., Olden J.
 D., Opperman J. J., Petry P., Reidy Liermann C., Saénz L., Salinas-Rodrìguez S.,
 Schelle P., Schmitt R. J. P., Snider J., Tan F., Tockner K., Valdujo P. H., van
 Soesbergen A., Zarfl C. (2019). Mapping the World's Free-Flowing Rivers. *Nature*, 569: 215-221. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1111-9.
- Guarniero I., Cariani A., Ferrari A., Sulliotti V., Emmanuele P., Casalini A., Tinti F.,
 Mordenti O. (2020). Sexual behaviour and reproductive performance of the
 endangered European eel *Anguilla anguilla* (Linnaeus, 1758) based on direct
 observations and paternity assignment in semi-natural conditions. *Aquac. Rep.*,
 16: 100258. doi: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2019.100258.

- 4446 Gunning G. E. (1959). The sensory basis for homing in the longear sunfish. Lepomis megalotis megalotis (Rafinesque). Invest. Indiana Lakes Streams, 5: 103-130. 4447 4448 Gutiérrez-Estrada J. C., Pulido-Calvo I. (2015). Is the Atlantic surface temperature a good 4449 proxy for forecasting the recruitment of European eel in the Guadalquivir estuary? 4450 Prog. Oceanogr., 130: 112-124. DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2014.10.007. Ha J. and Yeo M.K. (2018). The Environmental Effects of Microplastics on Aquatic 4451 Ecosystems. MCT, 14: 353-359. doi: 10.1007/s13273-018-0039-8. 4452 4453 Hahladakis J. N., Velis C. A., Weber R., Lacovidou E., Purnell P. (2018). An Overview of Chemical additives Present in Plastics: Migration, Release, Fate and 4454 Environmental Impact During Their Use, Disposal and Recycling. J. Hazard. 4455 4456 Mater., 344: 179-199. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.10.014. 4457 Haidvogl G. (2018). "Historic Milestones of Human River Uses and Ecological Impacts," 4458 in Riverine Ecosystem Management. Editors S. Schmutz and J. Sendzimir (Cham: 4459 Springer), 8: 19-39. Aquatic Ecology Series. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-73250-3_2. 4460 Han Y. S., Hsiung K. M., Zhang H., Chow L. Y., Tzeng W. N., Shinoda A., Yoshinaga T., Hur S. P., Hwang S. D., Iizuka Y., Kimura S. (2019). Dispersal characteristics 4461 and pathways of Japanese glass eel in the East Asian continental shelf. 4462 Sustainability, 11: 2572. doi: 10.3390/su11092572. 4463 4464 Han Y. S., Lin Y. F., Wu C. R., Iizuka Y., Castillo T. R., Yambot I. U., Mamalangkap M. D., Yambot A. V. (2016). Biogeographic distribution of the eel Anguilla 4465 4466 luzonensis: dependence upon larval duration and oceanic currents. Mar. Ecol. 4467 Prog. Ser., 551: 227-238. doi: 10.3354/MEPS11728. Hanel R., Briand C., Diaz E., Döring R., Sapounidis A., Warmerdam W., Andrés M., 4468 Freese M., Marcelis A., Marohn L., Pohlmann J. D., van Scharrenburg M., 4469 Waidmann N., Walstra J., Werkman M., de Wilde J., Wysujack K. (2019). 4470 Research for PECH Committee - environmental, social and economic 4471 sustainability of European eel management. European Parliament, Policy 4472 Department for Structural and Cohesion Policies, Brussel: 192 pp. 4473 4474 Hanel R., Stepputtis D., Bonhommeau S., Castonguay M., Schaber M., Wysujack K., 4475 Vobach M., Miller M. J. (2014). Low larval abundance in the Sargasso Sea: new 4476 evidence about reduced recruitment of the Atlantic eels. Naturwissenschaften, 4477 101: 1041-1054. doi: 10.1007/s00114-014-1243-6. Harris J. H., Kingsford R. T., Peirson W., Baumgartner L. J. (2017). Mitigating the Effects 4478 of Barriers to Freshwater Fish Migrations: The Australian Experience. Mar. 4479 Freshw. Res., 68: 614-696. doi: 10.1071/MF15284.
- 4481 Harris K. M. (1999). Structure, development, and plasticity of dendritic spines. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol., 9(3): 343-348. doi: 10.1016/S0959-4388(99)80050-6. 4482

4480

4483 Harris K. M., Jensen F. E., Tsao B. (1992). Three-dimensional structure of dendritic spines and synapses in rat hippocampus (CA1) at postnatal day 15 and adult ages: 4484 4485 implications for the maturation of synaptic physiology and long-term potentiation [published erratum appears in J Neurosci 1992 Aug; 12 (8): following table of 4486 contents]. J. Neurosci., 12(7): 2685-2705. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.12-07-4487 02685.1992. 4488

4489	Harrison A. J., Walker A. M., Pinder A. C., Briand C., Aprahamian M. W. (2014). A
4490	review of glass eel migratory behaviour, sampling techniques and abundance
4491	estimates in estuaries: implications for assessing recruitment, local production and
4492	exploitation. <i>Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.</i> , 24: 967-983. doi: 10.1007/s11160-014-9356-
4493	8.
4494 4495	Hastie T. and Tibshirani R. (1990). Generalized additive models (p. 335). New York: Chapman and Hall.
4496 4497	Hayashi Y. and Majewska A. K. (2005). Dendritic spine geometry: functional implication and regulation. <i>Neuron.</i> , 46(4): 529-532. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.05.006.
4498 4499	Helfman G. S., Facey D. E, Hales L. S., Bozeman E. L. (1987). Reproductive ecology of the American eel. <i>Am. Fish. Soc. Symp.</i> , 1: 42-56.
4500	Henderson P. A., Plenty S. J., Newton L. C., Bird D. J. (2011). Evidence for a Population
4501	Collapse of European Eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>) in the Bristol Channel. J. Mar. Biol.
4502	Ass., 92(4): 843-851. doi: 10.1017/s002531541100124x.
4503 4504	Hering H. and Sheng M. (2001). Dentritic spines: structure, dynamics and regulation. <i>Nat. Rev. Neurosci.</i> , 2(12): 880-888. doi: 10.1038/35104061.
4505 4506 4507 4508	 Hewavitharane C. A., Pickering T. D., Rico C., Mochioka N. (2020). Early life history of tropical freshwater eels (<i>Anguilla</i> spp.) recruiting to Viti Levu, Fiji Islands, in the western South Pacific. <i>Mar. Freshw. Res.</i>, 71: 452-460. doi: 10.3390/biology11060803.
4509	Hidalgo-Ruz V., Gutow L., Thompson R. C., Thiel M. (2012). Microplastics in the marine
4510	environment: a review of the methods used for identification and quantification.
4511	<i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 46(6): 3060-3075. doi: 10.1021/es2031505.
4512	Higuchi T., Watanabe S., Manabe R., Kaku T., Okamura A., Yamada Y., Miller M. J.,
4513	Tsukamoto K. (2018). Tracking Anguilla japonica silver eels along the West
4514	Marina Ridge using popup archival transmitting tags. <i>Zool. Stud.</i> , 57: 24. doi:
4515	10.6620/ZS.2018.57-24.
4516	Hijmans R. J., Phillips S., Leathwick J., Elith J. (2011). Dismo: Species Distribution
4517	Modeling. R Package Version 1.1-4. Available online: https://CRAN.R-
4518	project.org/package=dismo.
4519	Hitt N. P., Eyler S., Wofford J. E. B. (2012). Dam Removal Increases American Eel
4520	Abundance in Distant Headwater Streams. <i>Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.</i> , 141: 1171-1179.
4521	doi: 10.1080/00028487.2012.675918.
4522	Hoellein T., Rojas M., Pink A., Gasior J., Kelly J. (2014). Anthropogenic litter in urban
4523	freshwater ecosystems: distribution and microbial interactions. <i>PLoS One</i> , 9:
4524	e98485. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098485.
4525	Holland E. R., Mallory M. L., Shutler D. (2016). Plastics and other anthropogenic debris
4526	in freshwater birds from Canada. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> , 571: 251-258. doi:
4527	10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.158.
4528 4529	Holmlund C. M. and Hammer M. (1999). Ecosystem Services Generated by Fish Populations. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> , 29(2): 253-268. doi: 10.1016/S0921-8009(99)00015-4.
4530 4531	Horton A. A., Walton A., Spurgeon D. J., Lahive E., Svendsen C. (2017). Microplastics in freshwater and terrestrial environments: Evaluating the current understanding

4532 4533	to identify the knowledge gaps and future research priorities. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> , 15; 586: 127-141. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.190.
4534 4535 4536	Huang Y. B., Hu C. R., Zhang L., Yin W., Hu B. (2015). In vivo study of dynamics and stability of dendritic spines on olfactory bulb interneurons in <i>Xenopus laevis</i> tadpoles. <i>Plos One</i> , 10(10): e0140752. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0140752.
4537 4538 4539	Huertas M., Canàrio A. V. M., Hubbard P. C. (2008). Chemical communication in the genus <i>Anguilla</i> : a minireview. <i>Behaviour</i> , 145: 1389-1407. doi: 10.1163/156853908785765926.
4540 4541 4542	Hurley R. R., Woodward J. C., Rothwell J. J. (2017). Ingestion of microplastics by freshwater tubifex worms. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 51: 12844-12851. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.7b03567.
4543 4544 4545	Hurley R. R., Woodward J., Rothwell J. J. (2018). Microplastic Contamination of River Beds Significantly Reduced by Catchment-wide Flooding. <i>Nat. Geosci.</i> , 11: 251- 257. doi: 10.1038/s41561-018-0080-1.
4546	ICES (2002). ICES Annual Report for 2002. doi: 10.1017/S0047404598004035.
4547 4548	ICES (2009). Workshop on Age Reading of European and American Eel (WKAREA), 20–24 April 2009, Bordeaux, France. ICES CM 2009\ACOM 48. p. 66.
4549 4550	ICES (2011). Report of the 2011 session of the joint EIFAAC/ICES working group on eels (WGEEL), 5-9 September 2011, Lisbon, Portugal: 244 pp.
4551 4552	ICES (2013). Report of the joint eifaac/ices working group on eels (WGEEL). 8-22 March 2013 in Spain, 4–10 September 2013 in Denmark. ICES CM 2013/ACOM:18.
4553 4554	ICES (2015). Report of the Joint EIFAAC/ICES/GFCM Working Group on Eel (WGEEL); ICES Document CM 2015/ACOM: 18; ICES: Antalya, Turkey, 2015.
4555 4556	ICES (2016a). Report of the Working Group on Eels (WGEEL), 15-22 September 2016, Cordoba, Spain.
4557 4558	ICES (2016b). Report of the Workshop on Eel Stocking (WKSTOCKEEL), 20–24 June 2016, Toomebridge, Northern Ireland, UK. ICES CM 2016/SSGEPD:21. 75 pp.
4559 4560 4561	ICES (2018a). Report of the Joint EIFAAC/ICES/GFCM Working Group on Eels (WGEEL), 5-12 September 2018, Gdańsk, Poland. ICES CM 2018/ACOM:15, 152 pp.
4562 4563 4564	ICES (2018b). Report of the Joint EIFAAC/ICES/GFCM Working Group on Eels (WGEEL), 3 - 10 October 2017, Kavala, Greece. ICES CM 2017/ACOM:15. 99 pp.
4565 4566	ICES (2020a). Report of the Joint EIFAAC/ICES/GFCM Working Group on Eels (WGEEL). ICES Scientific Reports. 2: 85, 223 pp.
4567	ICES (2020b). Workshop on Temporal Migration Patterns of European Eel. ICES.
4568 4569	ICES (2021). Joint EIFAAC/ICES/GFCM Working Group on Eels (WGEEL). ICES Scientific Reports. 3: 85. 205 pp. doi: 10.17895/ices.pub.8143.
4570 4571	ICES (2022). ICES Advice 2022 – ele.2737.nea – https://doi.org/10.17895/ ices.advice.19772374.

- Iglesias T., Lobón-Cerviá J., Costa Dias S., Antunes C. (2010). Variation of life traits of glass eels of *Anguilla anguilla* (L.) during the colonization of Ríos Nalón and Minho estuaries (northwestern Iberian Peninsula). *Hydrobiologia*, 651: 213-223. doi: 10.1007/s10750-010-0300-1.
 Imbert H., Labonne J., Rigaud C., Lambert P. (2010). Resident and migratory tactics in freshwater European eels are size dependent. *Freshw. Biol.*, 55: 1483-1493. doi:
- 4578 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02360.x.
- Imhof H. K., Sigl R., Brauer E., Feyl S., Giesemann P., Klink S., Leupolz K., Loder M.
 G. J., Loschel L. A., Missun J., Muszynski S., Ramsperger A. F. R. M., Schrank
 I., Speck S., Steibl S., Trotter B., Winter I., Loforsch C. (2017). Spatial and
 Temporal Variation of Macro-, Meso- and Microplastic Abundance on a remote
 coral island of Maldives, Indian Ocean. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 116: 340-347. doi:
 10.21660/2020.67.9110.
- 4585 IUCN (2014). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species: Anguilla anguilla.
 4586 http://www.iucnredlist.org.
- 4587 IUCN (2019). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. http://www.iucnredlist.org.
- 4588 Iwata H. (2006). Tutorial for SHAPE v.1.3, 21 pp. (http://lbm.ab.a.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~iwata/shape/tutorial.pdf)
- Jabeen K., Su L., Li J., Yang D., Tong C., Mu J. (2017). Microplastics and Mesoplastics
 in Fish from Coastal and Fresh Waters of China. *Environ. Pollut.*, 221: 141-149.
 doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.055.

4593 Jacoby D. M. P., Casselman J. M., Crook V., DeLucia M. B., Ahn H., Kaifu K., Kurwie T., Sasal P., Silfvergrip A. M. C., Smith K. G., Uchida K., Walker A. M., Gollock 4594 M. J. (2015). Synergistic patterns of threat and the challenges facing global 4595 4596 anguillid eel conservation. GECCO, 4: 321-333. doi: 4597 10.1016/j.gecco.2015.07.009.

- Jacobsen M. W., Pujolar J. M., Gilbert M. T. P., Moreno-Mayar J. V., Bernatchez L., Als
 T. D., Lobon-Cervia J., Hansen M. M. (2014). Speciation and demographic
 history of Atlantic eels (*Anguilla anguilla* and *A. rostrata*) revealed by
 mitogenome sequencing. *Heredity*, 113(5): 432-442. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2014.44.
- Jambeck J. R., Geyer R., Wilcox C., Siegler T. R., Perryman M., Andrady A., Narayan
 R., Law K. L. (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science*, 347:
 (6223), 768-771. doi: 10.1126/science.1260352.
- Januchowski-Hartley S. R., McIntyre P. B., Diebel M., Doran P. J., Infante D.M., Joseph
 C., Allan J. D. (2013). Restoring aquatic ecosystem connectivity requires
 expanding inventories of both dams and road crossings. *Front. Ecol. Environ.*, 11:
 211-217. doi: 10.1890/120168.
- Jegstrup I. M., Rosenkilde P. (2003). Regulation of post-larval development in the
 European eel: thyroid hormone level, progress of pigmentation and changes in
 behaviour. J. Fish Biol., 63: 168-175. doi: 10.1046/j.1095-8649.2003.00138.x.
- Jellyman D. J., Glova G. J., Todd P. R. (1996). Movements of shortfinned eels, *Anguilla australis*, in Lake Ellesmere, New Zealand: results from mark-recapture studies and sonic tracking. N.Z. *J. Mar. Freshw. Res.*, 30: 371-381. doi: 10.1080/00288330.1996.9516724.

- Jellyman, D. J. and Chisnall B. L. (1999). Habitat preferences of shortfinned eels
 (*Anguilla australis*), in two New Zealand lowland lakes. N. Z. J. Mar. Freshwater *Res.*, 33: 233-248. doi: 10.1080/00288330.1999.9516873.
- Jellyman D. J. and Tsukamoto K. (2002). First use of archival transmitters to track
 migrating freshwater eels *Anguilla dieffenbachii* at sea. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*,
 233: 207-215. doi: 10.3354/meps233207.
- Jellyman D. J. and Lambert P. W. (2003). Factors affecting recruitment of glass eels into
 the Grey River, New Zealand. *Fish Biol.*, 63: 1067-1079. doi: 10.1046/j.10958649.2003.00220.x.
- Jellyman D. J., Booker D. J., Watene E. (2009). Recruitment of *Anguilla* spp. glass eels
 in the Waikato River, New Zealand. Evidence of declining migrations? *J. Fish Biol.*, 74: 2014-2033. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02241.x.
- Jellyman D. J. and Arai T. (2016). Juvenile eels: upstream migration and habitat use,
 p.171-191. In: Arai T., (ed.), Biology and ecology of anguillid eels.
- Jessop B. M., Shiao J. C., Iizuka Y., Tzeng W. N. (2004). Variation in the annual growth,
 by sex and migration history, of silver American eels *Anguilla rostrata*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 272: 231-244. doi: 10.3354/meps272231.
- Jones J., Börger L., Tummers J., Jones P., Lucas M., Kerr J., Kemp P., Bizzi S.,
 Consuegra S., Marcello L., Vowles A., Belletti B., Verspoor E., Bund de Gough
 P., Garcia de Leániz C. (2019). A comprehensive assessment of stream
 fragmentation in Great Britain. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 673: 756-762. doi:
 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.125.
- Jonsson B., Jonsson N. (1993). Partial migration: Niche shift versus sexual maturation in
 fishes. *Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.*, 3: 348-365. doi: 10.1007/BF00043384.
- Josset Q., Trancart T., Mazel V., Charrier F., Frotté L., Acou A., Feunteun E. (2015). Prerelease processes influencing short-term mortality of glass eels in the French eel
 (*Anguilla anguilla*, Linnaeus 1758) stocking programme. ICES J. Mar. Sci., 73:
 150-157. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv074.
- Ju M. C., Zhang T. L., Li X. K., Li B. Y., Li Y. P., Liu Q. Y., Wang Q. X., Bu C. F.
 (2021). Large Scale Environmental Drivers of Biocrust Distribution and
 Development across a Sandy Desert in China. *Catena*, 200: 105137. doi:
 10.1016/j.catena.2020.105137.
- Jungwirth M., Muhar S., Schmutz S. (2000). Fundamentals of Fish Ecological Integrity
 and Their Relation to the Extended Serial Discontinuity Concept. *Hydrobiologia*,
 4650 422: 85-97. doi: 10.1023/A:101704552723310.1007/978-94-011-4164-2_7.
- Kasai H., Fukuda M., Watanabe S., Hayashi-Takagi A., Noguchi J. (2010). Structural
 dynamics of dendritic spines in memory and cognition. *TINS*, 33(3): 121-129. doi:
 10.1016/j.tins.2010.01.001.

Katz J., Moyle P. B., Quinous R. M., Israel J., Purdy S. (2013). Impending extinction of salmon, steelhead, and trout (Salmonidae) in California. *Environ. Biol. Fish.*, 96: 1169-1186. doi: 10.1007/s10641-012-9974-8.

Kemp P. S. and O'Hanley J. R. (2010). Procedures for evaluating and prioritising the 4657 removal of fish passage barriers: a synthesis. Fish. Manag. Ecol., 17: 297-322. 4658 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2400.2010.00751.x. 4659 4660 Kettle A. J. and Haines K. (2006). How does the European eel (Anguilla anguilla) retain its population structure during its larval migration across the North Atlantic 4661 Ocean? Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 63: 90-106. doi: 10.1139/f05-198. 4662 Kettle A. J., Bakker D. C. E., Haines K. (2008). Impact of the North Atlantic Oscillation 4663 on the trans-Atlantic migrations of the European eel (Anguilla anguilla). J. 4664 Geophys. Res., 113: 26. doi: 10.1029/2007JG000589. 4665 Kettle A. J., Vøllestad L. A., Wibig J. (2011). Where once the eel and the elephant were 4666 4667 together: decline of the European eel because of changing hydrology in southwest Europe and northwest Africa? Fish Fish., 12: 380-411. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-4668 4669 2979.2010.00400.x. Kiessling T., Knickmeier K., Kruse K., Brennecke D., Nauendorf A., Thiel M. (2019). 4670 Plastic Pirates sample litter at rivers in Germany - riverside litter and litter sources 4671 estimated by schoolchildren. Environ. 245: 545-557. 4672 Pollut., doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.11.025. 4673 Kirk R. S. (2003). The impact of Anguillicola crassus on European eels. Fish. Manag. 4674 Ecol., 10: 385-394. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2400.2003.00355.x. 4675 4676 Kleckner R. and McCleave, J. D. (1982). Entry of migrating American eel leptocephali system. Helgoländer 4677 into the gulf stream Wissenschaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen, 35: 329-339. doi: 10.1007/BF02006141. 4678 Kleerekoper H. (1967). Some Aspects of Olfaction in Fishes, with Special Reference to 4679 Orientation. Am. Zool., 7(3): 385-395. 4680 Knights B. (2003). A review of the possible impacts of long-term oceanic and climate 4681 changes and fishing mortality on recruitment of anguillid eels of the Northern 4682 Hemisphere. Sci. Total Environ., 310: 237-244. doi: 10.1016/S0048-4683 9697(02)00644-7. 4684 Knudsen S. W., Ebert R. B., Hesselsøe M., Kuntke F., Hassingboe J., Bondgaard 4685 Mortensen P., Thomsen P. F., Sigsgaard E. E., Klitgaard Hansen B., Nielsen E. E. 4686 G., Møller P. R. (2019). Species specific detection and quantification of 4687 environmental DNA from marine fishes in the Baltic Sea. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 4688 510: 31-45. doi: 10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00644-7. 4689 Kolb B. and McClimans J. (1986). Cryostat sectioning of Golgi-Cox tissue. Stain 4690 Technol., 61(6): 379-380. doi: 10.3109/10520298609113588. 4691 Kroon F. J. and Phillips S. (2016). Identification of human-made physical barriers to fish 4692 passage in the Wet Tropics region, Australia. Mar. Freshw. Res., 67: 677-681. 4693 doi: 10.1071/MF14397. 4694 Krueger W. H. and Oliveira K. (1982). Evidence for environmental sex determination in 4695 4696 the American eel, Anguilla rostrata. Environ. Biol. Fishes, 55: 381-389. doi: 10.1023/A:1007575600789. 4697

4698 4699 4700	Kuhl F. P. and Giardina C. R. (1982). Elliptic Fourier features of a closed contour. <i>Comput. graph. image process.</i> , 18: 236-258. doi: 10.1016/0146-664X(82)90034- X.
4701 4702 4703 4704	Kullmann B., Adamek M., Steinhagen D., Thiel R. (2017). Anthropogenic spreading of anguillid herpesvirus 1 by stocking of infected farmed European eels, <i>Anguilla</i> <i>anguilla</i> (L.), in the Schlei fjord in northern Germany. J. Fish Dis., 40: 1695-1706. doi: 10.1111/jfd.12637.
4705 4706 4707 4708	Kullmann B. and Thiel R. (2018). Bigger is better in eel stocking measures? Comparison of growth performance, body condition, and benefit-cost ratio of simultaneously stocked glass and farmed eels in a brackish fjord. <i>Fish. Res.</i> , 205: 132-140. doi : 10.1016/j.fishres.2018.04.009.
4709 4710 4711	Lacerda A. L. D. F., Rodrigues L. D. S., van Sebille E., Rodrigues F. L., Ribeiro L., Secchi E. R., Kessler F, Proietti M. C. (2019). Plastics in sea surface waters around the Antarctic Peninsula. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> , 9: 3977. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40311-4.
4712 4713 4714	Laffaille P., Feunteun E., Baisez A., Robinet T., Acou A., Legault T., Lek S. (2003). Spatial organisation of European eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i> L.) in a small catchment. <i>Ecol. Freshw. Fish</i> , 12: 254-264. doi: 10.1046/j.1600-0633.2003.00021.x.
4715 4716 4717 4718	Laffaille P., Baisez A., Rigaud C., Feunteun E. (2004). Habitat preferences of different European eel size classes in a reclaimed marsh: a contribution to species and ecosystem conservation. <i>Wetlands</i> , 24: 642-651. doi: 10.1672/0277-5212(2004)024[0642:HPODEE]2.0.CO;2.
4719 4720 4721	Laffaille P., Acou A., Guillouët J., Legault A. (2005a). Temporal changes in European eel, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> , stocks in a small catchment after installation of fish passes. <i>Fish. Manag. Ecol.</i> , 12: 123-129. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2400.2004.00433.x.
4722 4723 4724	 Laffaille P., Acou A., Guillouet J. (2005b). The yellow European eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i> L.) may adopt a sedentary lifestyle in inland freshwaters. <i>Ecol. Fresh. Fish</i>, 14: 191-196. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0633.2005.00092.x.
4725 4726 4727	Laffaille P., Caraguel J. M., Legault A. (2007). Temporal patterns in the upstream migration of European glass eels (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>) at the Couesnon estuarine dam. <i>Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.</i> , 73: 81-90. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2006.12.011.
4728 4729 4730 4731	Laffaille P. and Rigaud C. (2008). [Indicateurs de colonisation et de sédentarisation, p.230-275.] [Article in French]. In: Adam G., Feunteun E., Prouzet P., Rigaud C. (eds.), L'anguille européenne, Indicateurs d'abondance et de colonisation, QUAE, Paris.
4732 4733 4734	Lagarde R., Peyre J., Amilhat E., Bourrin F., Prellwitz F., Simon G., Faliex E. (2021). Movements of non-migrant European eels in an urbanised channel linking a Mediterranean lagoon to the sea. <i>Water</i> , 13: 839. doi: 10.3390/w13060839.
4735 4736 4737 4738	Lagarde R., Peyre J., Amilhat E., Bourrin F., Prellwitz F., Perrault A., Simon G., Faliex E. (2022). Phenology and environmental drivers of glass eel entrance in a Mediterranean lagoon. <i>ICES J. Mar. Sci.</i> , 79, 2107-2116. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsac144.
4739	Lambert S. and Wagner M. (2018). Freshwater Microplastics. Springer, 23 pp.

Lambert P., Lassalle G., Acolas M. L., Bau F., Castelnaud G., Daverat F., Jatteau P., 4740 Rigaud C., Rochard E., Roqueplo C., de Jouvenel F. (2019). A foresight analysis 4741 4742 in fisheries science: the case study of migratory fish research. Futures, 111: 90-103. 4743 Lanzoni M., Gavioli A., Castaldelli G., Aschonitis V., Milardi M. (2021). Swoon over 4744 4745 the moon: the influence of environmental factors on glass eels entering 4746 Mediterranean coastal lagoons. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 264: 107668. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2021.107668. 4747 Larinier M. (2000). Dams in fish migration, p.1-23. In: Berkamp McCartney M., Dugan 4748 4749 P., McNeely J., Acreman M. G. (eds.). Dams, Ecosystem Functions and Environmental Restoration. Cape Town. 4750 Larinier M. (2001a). Environmental issues, dams and fish migration, p.45-90. In: 4751 4752 Marmulla G. (ed.), Dams, Fish and Fisheries. Opportunities, challenges and 4753 conflict resolution. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. No. 419. FAO, Rome. Larinier M. (2001b). Dams, Fish and Fisheries: Opportunities, Challenges and Conflict 4754 Resolution. FAO fisheries technical paper.Environmental Issues, Dams and Fish 4755 Migration. 4756 Larinier M and Travade F. (2002). Downstream migration: problems and facilities. Bull. 4757 fr. pêche piscic., 364: 181-207. doi: 10.1051/kmae/2002102. 4758 Lasne E. and Laffaille P. (2009). Assessing the freshwater distribution of yellow eel. 4759 4760 Knowl. Manag. Aquatic Ecosyst., 4: 390-391. doi: 10.1051/kmae/2009004. 4761 Lassalle G. and Rochard E. (2009). Impact of twenty-first century climate change on diadromous fish spread over Europe, North Africa and the Middle East. Glob. 4762 Chang. Biol., 15: 1072-1089. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01794.x. 4763 Lauder G. V. and Liem K. F. (1983). Patterns of diversity and evolution in ray-finned 4764 4765 fishes. In Northcutt, R. G. and R. E. Davis (eds), Fish Neurobiology, Vol. I. Brain Stem and Sense Organs. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 2-24. 4766 Le Clerc J. (1935). Le repeuplement de la Loire en anguilles. Bull Fra. Pisc., 9: 87. 4767 Lechner A., Keckeis H., Lumesberger-Loisl F., Zens B., Krusch R., Tritthart M., Glas 4768 M., Schludermann E. (2014). The Danube so colourful: A potpourri of plastic litter 4769 outnumbers fish larvae in Europe's second largest river. Env. Poll., 188: 177-181. 4770 doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2014.02.006. 4771 4772 Lecomte-Finiger R. (1992). Growth history and age at recruitment of European glass eels (Anguilla anguilla) as revealed by otolith microstructure. Mar. Biol., 114: 205-4773 210. doi: 10.1007/BF00349520. 4774 Lecomte-Finiger R. and Razouls C. (1981). Influence des facteurs hydrologiques et 4775 4776 météorologiques sur la migration anadrome des civelles dans le Golfe du Lion. Cah. Lab. Hydrobiol. Montereau, 12: 13-16. 4777 Lecomte-Finiger R., Desaunay Y., Guerault D., Grellier P. (1993). The immigration of A. 4778 4779 anguilla in coastal waters: questions about the determinism of the otolith structures. EIFAC, Working Party on eel, Olsztyn, Poland. 4780 Lefebvre F., Sergent E., Acou A., Lecomte-Finiger R., Crivelli A. J. (2003). Recrutement 4781 des civelles (Anguilla anguilla) sur la côte Méditerranéenne française: analyse 4782

4783 4784	comparée des caractéristiques biométriques et pigmentaires des saison 1974-75 et 2000-01. <i>Bull. Fr. Pêche Piscic.</i> , 368: 85-96.doi: 10.1051/kmae:2003038.
4785 4786 4787 4788	Lefebvre F., Contournet P., Crivelli A. J. (2007). Interaction between the severity of the infection by the nematode Anguillicola crassus and the tolerance to hypoxia in the European eel <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> . <i>Acta Parasitol.</i> , 52: 171-175. doi: 10.2478/s11686-007-0013-4.
4789	 Legault A., Acou A., Guillouët J., Feunteun E. (2003). Suivi De La Migration D'avalaison
4790	Des Anguilles Par Une Conduite De Debit Reserve. Bull. Fr. Pêche Piscic., 368:
4791	43-54. doi: 10.1051/kmae:2003035.
4792	Legrand M. and Briand C. (2022). StacomiR- A package for fish migration monitoring.
4793	https://cran.r-project.org/web//packages//stacomiR/vignettes/stacomir.html.
4794	Lendvai B., Stern E. A., Chen B., Svoboda K. (2000). Experience-dependent plasticity of
4795	dendritic spines in the developing rat barrel cortex in vivo. <i>Nature</i> , 404(6780):
4796	876-881. doi: 10.1038/35009107.
4797	Leone C., Zucchetta M., Capoccioni F., Gravina M. F., Franzoi P., Ciccotti E. (2016).
4798	Stage-specific distribution models can predict eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>) occurrence
4799	during settlement in coastal lagoons. <i>Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.</i> , 170: 123-133. doi:
4800	10.1016/j.ecss.2016.01.005.
4801	Lévêque C., Oberdorff T., Paugy D., Stiassny M. L. J., Tedesco P. A. (2008). Global
4802	Diversity of Fish (Pisces) in Freshwater. <i>Hydrobiologia</i> , 595: 545-567. doi:
4803	10.1007/s10750-007-9034-0.
4804 4805 4806	Li J., Liu H., Chen J. P. (2018). Microplastics in freshwater systems: A review on occurrence, environmental effects, and methods for microplastics detection. <i>Water Res.</i> , 137: 362-374. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.056.
4807	Liboiron M., Melvin J., Richárd N., Saturno J., Ammendolia J., Charron L., Mather C.
4808	(2019). Low incidence of plastic ingestion among three fish species significant for
4809	human consumption on the island of Newfoundland, Canada. <i>Mar. Pollut. Bull.</i> ,
4810	141: 224-248. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.02.057.
4811	Limburg K. E., Wickstrom H., Svedang H., Elfman M., Kristiansson P. (2003). Do
4812	stocked freshwater eels migrate? Evidence from the Baltic suggests "yes". Am.
4813	Fish. Soc. Symp., 275-284.
4814	Lin Y. J., Lozys L., Shiao J. C., Lizukaand Y., Tzeng W. N. (2007). Growth differences
4815	between naturally recruited and stocked European eel Anguilla anguilla from
4816	different habitats in Lithuania. J. Fish Biol., 71: 1773-1787. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-
4817	8649.2007.01642.x.
4818	Lin Y. J., Yalçin-Özdilek S., Iizuka Y., Gümüş A., Tzeng W. N. (2011). Migratory life
4819	history of European eel Anguilla anguilla from freshwater regions of the River
4820	Asi, southern Turkey and their high otolith Sr:Ca ratios. J. Fish Biol., 78: 860-
4821	868. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2011.02903.x.
4822	Liss S. A., Znotinas K. R., Blackburn S. E., Fischer E. S., Hughes J. S., Harnish R. A., Li
4823	H., Deng Z. D. (2021). From 95 to 59 millime tres: a new active acoustic tag size
4824	guideline for salmon. <i>Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.</i> , 78: 943-957. doi: 10.1139/cjfas-
4825	2020-022.

4826 4827 4828 4829	Lloyd L. N., Anderson B. G., Cooling M., Gippel C. J., Pope A. J., Sherwood J. E. (2012). Estuary Environmental Flows Assessment Methodology for Victoria. Melbourne, Victoria, Australia: Victorian Government Department of Sustainability and Environment.
4830 4831	Lucas M. C. and Baras E. (2001). Migration of freshwater fishes. Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford: 412 pp.
4832 4833 4834	Lusher A. L., McHugh M., Thompson R. C. (2013). Occurrence of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. <i>Mar. Pollut. Bull.</i> , 67, 94-99. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.11.028.
4835 4836 4837 4838 4839 4840	 Mac Gregor R., Casselman J., Allen W., Haxton T., Dettmers J., Mathers A., LaPan S., Pratt T. C., Thompson P., Stanfield M., Marcogliese L., Dutil J. D. (2009). Natural Heritage, Anthropogenic Impacts, and Biopolitical Issues Related to the Status and Sustainable Management of American Eel: a Retrospective Analysis and Management Perspective at the Population Level. <i>Am. Fish. Soc. Symp.</i>, 69: 713- 740. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.sabcs-09-2053.
4841 4842 4843 4844 4845	 Maes G. E., Raeymaekers J. A. M., Pampoulie C., Seynaeve A., Goemans G., Belpaire C., Volckaert F. A. M. (2005). The catadromous European eel <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (L.) as a model for freshwater evolutionary ecotoxicology: relationship between heavy metal bioaccumulation, condition and genetic variability. <i>Aquat. Toxicol.</i>, 73: 99-114. doi: 10.1016/j.aquatox.2005.01.010.
4846 4847	Maitland P. Ss (2003). Ecology of the river, brook and sea lamprey. Conserving Natura 2000. Rivers Ecology Series No. 5. English Nature, Peterborough: 54 pp.
4848 4849 4850	Manabe R., Aoyama J., Watanabe K., Kawai M., Miller M. J., Tsukamoto K. (2011). First observations of the oceanic migration of Japanese eel, from pop-up archival transmitting tags. <i>Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.</i> , 437: 229-240. doi: 10.3354/meps09266.
4851 4852	Manca G. (1965). "Contributo Alla Conoscenza Della Salmo trutta Macrostigma Dum," in Sardegna (University of Cagliari), 92.
4853 4854 4855 4856	Mandairon N., Kuczewski N., Kermen F., Forest J., Midroit M., Richard M., Thevenet, M., Sacquet J., Linster C., Didier A. (2018). Opposite regulation of inhibition by adult-born granule cells during implicit versus explicit olfactory learning. <i>Elife</i> , 7: e34976. doi: 10.7554/eLife.34976.001.
4857 4858 4859 4860	 Marchetto A., Padedda B. M., Mariani M. A., Lugliè A., Sechi N. (2009). A Numerical Index for Evaluating Phytoplankton Response to Changes in Nutrient Levels in Deep Mediterranean Reservoirs. J. Limnol., 68(1): 106-121. doi: 10.4081/jlimnol.2009.106.
4861 4862	Martin M. H. (1995). Validation of daily growth increments in otoliths of Anguilla rostrata (Lesueur) elvers. Can. J. Zool., 73: 208-211
4863 4864 4865 4866	Marui M., Arai T., Miller M. J., Jellyman D. J., Tsukamoto K. (2001). Comparison of early life history between New Zealand temperate eels and Pacific tropical eels revealed by otolith microstructure and microchemistry. <i>Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.</i> , 213: 273-284.
4867 4868 4869	Matsutani S. and Yamamoto N. (2004a). Postnatal development of dendritic spines on olfactory bulb granule cells in rats. <i>J. Comp. Neurol.</i> , 473(4): 553-561. doi: 10.1002/cne.20107.

- Matsutani S. and Yamamoto N. (2004b). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor induces rapid
 morphological changes in dendritic spines of olfactory bulb granule cells in
 cultured slices through the modulation of glutamatergic signaling. *Neuroscience*,
 123(3): 695-702. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2003.10.030.
- 4874 Matsuzaki M., Ellis-Davies G. C., Nemoto T., Miyashita Y., Iino M., Kasai H. (2001).
 4875 Dendritic spine geometry is critical for AMPA receptor expression in 4876 hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.*, 4(11): 1086-1092. doi: 4877 10.1038/nn736.
- 4878 Matsuzaki M., Honkura N., Ellis-Davies G. C., Kasai H. (2004). Structural basis of long-term potentiation in single dendritic spines. *Nature*, 429(6993): 761-766. doi: 10.1038/nature02617.
- 4881 Maunder M. and Punt A. E. (2004). Standardizing catch and effort data: A review of 4882 recent approaches. *Fish. Res.*, 70: 141-159. doi: 10.1016/j.fishres.2004.08.002.
- Mazel V., Charrier F., Legault A., Laffaille P. (2013). Long-term effects of passive integrated transponder tagging (PIT tags) on the growth of the yellow eel (*Anguilla anguilla* (Linnaeus, 1758)). J. Appl. Ichthyol., 29: 906-908. doi: 10.1111/jai.12111.
- 4887 McCleave J. D. and Kleckner R. C. (1982). Selective Tidal Stream Transport in the
 4888 Estuarine Migration of Glass Eels of the American Eel (*Anguilla rostrata*). *ICES*4889 *J. Mar. Sci.*, 40: 262-271. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/40.3.262.
- 4890 McCleave J. D. and Kleckner R. C. (1985). Oceanic migrations of Atlantic eels (*Anguilla*4891 spp.): adults and their offspring. *Contr. Mar. Sci.*, 27: 316-337.
- 4892 McCleave J. D. (1993). Physical and behavioural controls on the oceanic distribution and
 4893 migration of leptocephali. *J. Fish Biol.*, 43: 243-273.
- 4894 McCleave J. D. (2001a). Fish: eels, p.800-809. In: Steele J. and Mc-Neil C. (eds.).
 4895 Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences. Academic Press, London.
- 4896 McCleave, J. D. (2001b). Simulation of the Impact of Dams and Fishing Weirs on
 4897 Reproductive Potential of Silver-Phase American Eels in the Kennebec River
 4898 Basin, Maine. N. Am. J. Fish. Manag., 21: 592-605. doi: 10.1577/15484899 8675(2001)021<0592:SOTIOD>2.0.CO;2.
- McCormick A., Hoellein T., Mason S. A., Schluep J., Kelly J. J. (2014). Microplastic is
 an abundant and distinct microbial habitat in an urban river. Environ. *Sci. Technol.*, 48, 11863-11871. doi: 10.1021/es503610r.
- McDole B., Isgor C., Pare C., Guthrie K. (2015). BDNF over-expression increases
 olfactory bulb granule cell dendritic spine density in vivo. *Neuroscience*, 304:
 146-160. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.07.056.
- 4906 McDowall R. M. (1988). Diadromy in fishes: migration between freshwater and marine
 4907 environments. Croom Helm, London: 308 pp.
- McDowall R. M. (1992). Diadromy-origins and definitions of terminology. *Copeia*, 248251.
- McIntyre P. B., Reidy Liermann C., Childress E., Hamann E. J., Hogan J. D.,
 Januchowski-Hartley S. R., Koning A. A., Neeson T. M., Oele D. L., Pracheil B.
 M. (2016). Conservation of migratory fishes in freshwater ecosystems, p.324-360.

- 4913 In: Conservation of Freshwater Fishes, Closs G. P., Krkosek M., Olden J. D. (eds).
 4914 Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Medina M., Reperant J., Dufour S., Ward R., Le Belle N., Miceli D. (1994). The
 distribution of GABA-immunoreactive neurons in the brain of the silver eel
 (Anguilla anguilla L.). Anat. Embryol., 189(1): 25-39. doi: 10.1007/BF00193127.
- Meijer L. J. J., Van Emmerik T., van der Ent R., Schmidt C., Lebreton L. (2021). More
 than 1000 rivers account for 80 % of global riverine plastic emissions into the
 ocean. *Sci. Adv.*, 7: 2375-2548. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aaz5803.
- Melià P., Bevacqua D., Crivelli A. J., De Leo G. A., Panfili J., Gatto M. (2006). Sex differentiation of the European eel in brackish and freshwater environments: A comparative analysis. *J. Fish Biol.*, 69: 1228-1235. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2006.01170.x.
- Menéndez D., Álvarez A., Acle S., Peón P., Ardura A., Garcia-Vazquez E. (2022).
 Microplastics across biomes in diadromous species. Insights from the critically
 endangered Anguilla Anguilla. Environ. Pollut., 305: 119277. doi:
 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119277.
- Merg M. L., Dézerald O., Kreutzenberger K., Demski S., Reyjol Y., Usseglio-Polatera
 P., Belliard J. (2020). Modeling Diadromous Fish Loss from Historical Data: Identification of Anthropogenic Drivers and Testing of Mitigation Scenarios. *Plos One*, 15(7): e0236575. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0236575.
- Mérigot B., Letourneur Y., Lecomte-Finiger, R. (2007). Characterization of local
 populations of the common sole *Solea solea* (Pisces, Soleidae) in the NW
 Mediterranean through otolith morphometrics and shape analysis. *Mar. Biol.*, 151:
 997-1008. doi: 10.1007/s00227-006-0549-0.
- Methling C., Tudorache C., Skov P. V., Steffensen J. F. (2011). Pop-up satellite tags
 impair swimming performance and energetics of the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*). *Plos One*, 6(6): e20797. doi:1 0.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0020797.
- Meybeck M. (2003). Global analysis of river systems: From Earth system controls to
 Anthropocene syndromes. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., Series B, Biological Sciences*,
 358: 1935-1955. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2003.1379.
- Milardi M., Lanzoni M., Gavioli A., Fano E. A., Castaldelli G. (2018). Tides and moon
 drive fish movements in a brackish lagoon. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 215: 207214. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2018.09.016.
- Miles S. G. (1968a). Rheotaxis of elvers of the American eel (*Anguilla rostrata*) in the
 laboratory to water from different streams in Nova Scotia. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can.,
 25: 1591-1601.
- Miles S. G. (1968b). Laboratory experiments on the orientation of the adult American eel,
 Anguilla rostrata. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can., 25: 2143-2155.

Mille T., Mahe K., Villanueva M.C., De Pontual H., Ernande B. (2015). Sagittal otolith morphogenesis asymmetry in marine fishes. J. Fish Biol., 87(3): 646-663. doi:10.1111./jfb.12746.

- Mille T., Mahé K., Cachera M., Villanueva M. C., De Pontual H., Ernande B. (2016).
 Diet is correlated with otolith shape in marine fish. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 555: 167-184. doi: 10.3354/meps11784.
- Miller M. J., Kimura S., Friedland K. D., Knights B., Kim H., Jellyman D. J., Tsukamoto
 K. (2009). Review of ocean-atmospheric factors in the Atlantic and Pacific
 Oceans influencing spawning and recruitment of anguillid eels. *Am. Fish. Soc. Symp.*, 69: 231-249.
- Miller M. J., Bonhommeau S., Munk P., Castonguay M., Hanel R., McCleave J. D.
 (2015). A century of research on the larval distributions of the Atlantic eels: a reexamination of the data. *Biol. Rev.*, 90: 1035-1064. doi: 10.1111/brv.12144.

4964 Miller M. J., Feunteun E., Tzukamoto K. (2016). Did a "perfect storm" of oceanic changes and continental anthropogenic impacts cause northern hemisphere anguillid 4965 ICES 4966 recruitment reductions? J. Mar. Sci., 73: 43-56. doi: 4967 10.1093/icesjms/fsv063.

- Miller M. J., Westerberg H., Sparholt H., Wysujack K., Sørensen S. R., Marohn L.,
 Jacobsen M. W., Freese M., Ayala D. J., Pohlmann J.D., Svendsen J. C., Watanabe
 S., Andersen L., Møller P. R., Tsukamoto K., Munk P., Hanel R. (2019).
 Spawning by the European eel across 2000 km of the Sargasso Sea. *Biol. Lett.*,
 15: 20180835. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2018.0835.
- Milošević D., Bigović M., Mrdak D., Milašević I., Piria M. (2021). Otolith morphology
 and microchemistry fingerprints of European eel, *Anguilla anguilla* (Linnaeus,
 1758) stocks from the Adriatic Basin in Croatia and Montenegro. *Sci. Tot. Env.*,
 786: 147478. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147478.

Milt A. W., Diebel M. W., Doran P. J., Ferris M.C., Herbert M., Khoury M. L., Moody
A. T., Neeson T. M., Ross J., Treska T., O'Hanley J. R., Walter L., Wangen S. R.,
Yacobson E., McIntyre P. B. (2018). Minimizing Opportunity Costs to Aquatic
Connectivity Restoration while Controlling an Invasive Species. *Conserv. Biol.*,
32: 894-904. doi: 10.1111/cobi.13105.

- Mintenig S. M., Int-Veen I., Primpke S., Gerdts G. (2017). Identification of microplastic
 in effluents of wastewater treatment plants using focal plane array-based microFourier-transform infrared imaging. *Water Res.*, 108: 365-372. doi:
 10.1016/j.watres.2016.11.015.
- 4986 Mizrahi A. (2007). Dendritic development and plasticity of adult-born neurons in the
 4987 mouse olfactory bulb. *Nat. Neurosci.*, 10(4): 444-452. doi: 10.1038/nn1875.
- Moccia D., Salvadori L., Ferrari S., Carucci A., Pusceddu A. (2020). Implementation of
 the EU ecological flow policy in Italy with a focus on Sardinia. *Adv. Oceanogr. Limnol.*, 11. doi: 10.4081/aiol.2020.8781.
- Montaldo N. and Sarigu A. (2017). Potential Links between the North Atlantic Oscillation
 and Decreasing Precipitation and Runoff on a Mediterranean Area. J. Hydrology,
 553: 419-437. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.08.018.

Montgomery D. R. (2003). King of fish: the thousand-year run of salmon. Westview Press. Boulder, Colo., USA: 304 pp.

4996 Moore K. A. and Jarvis J. C. (2008). Environmental factors affecting recent summertime eelgrass diebacks in the lower Chesapeake Bay: implications for long-term 4997 4998 persistence. J. Coast. Res., Spl. Issue, 55: 135e147. doi: 10.2112/SI55-014. 4999 Morais P. and Daverat F. (2016). An introduction to fish migration. Boca Raton, Florida (USA): CRC Press: 315 pp. 5000 Morais R. A. and Bellwood D. R. (2018). Global drivers of reef fish growth. Fish Fish, 5001 19: 1-16. doi: 10.1111/faf.12297. 5002 5003 Morales-Nin B. (1987). Influence of environmental factors on microstructure of otoliths of threedemersal fish species caught off Namibia. In: Payne A.I.L., Gulland J.A., 5004 Brinkd K. H. (Eds.), The Benguela and Comparable Ecosystems: S. Afr. J. Mar. 5005 5006 Sci., 5: 255-262. 5007 Morat F., Letourneur Y., Nérini D., Banaru D., Batjakas I. E. (2012). Discrimination of red mullet populations (Teleostean, Mullidae) along multi-spatial and ontogenetic 5008 5009 scales within the Mediterranean basin on the basis of otolith shape analysis. Aquat. Liv. Res., 25: 27-39. doi: 10.1051/alr/2011151. 5010 Morat F., Gibert P., Reynaud N., Testi B., Favriou P., Raymond V., Carrel G., Maire A. 5011 (2018). Spatial Distribution, Total Length Frequencies and Otolith Morphometry 5012 as Tools to Analyse the Effects of a Flash Flood on Populations of Roach (Rutilus 5013 rutilus). Ecol. Freshw. Fish., 27: 421-432. doi:10.1111/eff.12357. 5014 Morat F., Wicquart J., Schiettekatte N. M. D., de Sinéty G., Bienvenu J., Casey J. M., 5015 5016 Brandl S. J., Vii J., Carlot J., Degregori S., Mercière A., Fey P., Galzin R., Letourneur Y., Sasal P., Parravicini V. (2020). Individual back-calculated size-at-5017 age based on otoliths from Pacific coral reef fish species. Sci. Data, 7: 370. doi: 5018 10.1038/s41597-020-00711-y. 5019 5020 Moriarty C. and McCarthy D. (1982). Eel, p.3-6. In: EIFAC Technical Paper No. 42 European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission (ed.), Report of the Symposium 5021 on Stock Enhancement in the Management of Freshwater Fisheries. 5022 Moriarty C. (1983). Age determination and growth rate of eels, Anguilla anguilla (L). J. 5023 5024 Fish Biol., 23: 257-264. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1983.tb02903.x. Moriarty C. and Dekker W. (1997). Management of the European eel. Fish. Bull., 15: 1-5025 110. 5026 Morrison W. E., Secor D. H. (2003). Demographic attributes of yellow-phase American 5027 eels (Anguilla rostrata) in the Hudson River estuary. Can. J Fish. Aquat. Sci., 60: 5028 1487-1501. doi:10.1139/ f03-129%23.XEybf Xd2vIU. 5029 Morritt D., Stefanoudis P. V., Pearce D., Crimmen O. A., Clark P. F. (2014): Plastic in 5030 the Thames: A river runs through it. Mar. Poll. Bull., 78: 196-200. doi: 5031 5032 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.10.035. Moura A., Muniz A. A., Mullis E., Wilson J. M., Vieira R. P., Almeida A. A., Pinto E., 5033 Brummer G. J. A., Gaever P. V., Gonçalves J. M. S., Correia A. T. (2020). 5034 5035 Population structure and dynamics of the Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus) 5036 in the North Atlantic inferred from otolith chemical and shape signatures. Fish. Res., 230: 105621. doi: 10.1016/j.fishres.2020.105621. 5037

- Mueller R., Liss S., Deng Z. D. (2019). Implantation of a new micro acoustic tag in
 juvenile Pacific lamprey and American eel. J. Vis. Exp., 145: e59274. doi:
 10.3791/59274.
- Muller D. and Nikonenko I. (2013). Dendritic spines. Neural Circuit Development and
 Function in the Healthy and Diseased Brain: Comprehensive Developmental
 Neuroscience, 3: 95.
- Murakami T., Morita Y., Ito H. (1983). Extrinsic and intrinsic fiber connections of the
 telencephalon in a teleost, *Sebastiscus marmoratus*. J. Comp. Neurol., 216(2):
 115-131. doi: 10.1002/cne.902160202.
- 5047 Myers G. A. (1949). Usage of anadromous, catadromous and allied terms for migratory
 5048 fishes. *Copeia*, 1949: 89-97.
- Naisbett-Jones L. C., Putman N. F., Stephenson J. F., Ladak S., Young K. A. (2017). A
 magnetic map leads juvenile European eels to the Gulf Stream. *Curr. Biol.*, 27:
 1236-1240. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.03.015.
- Naismith I. A. and Knights B. (1988). Migrations of elvers and juvenile European eels,
 Anguilla anguilla L., in the River Thames. J. Fish Biol., 33: 161-175. doi:
 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1988.tb05570.x.
- Naismith I. A. and Knights B. (1990). Studies of sampling methods and of techniques for
 estimating populations of eels, *Anguilla anguilla* L. *Aquac. Fish. Manag.*, 21:
 357–367. doi: 10.1051/kmae/2009004.
- Naismith I. and Knights B. (1993). The distribution, density and growth of the European
 eel in the freshwater catchment of the River Thames. *J. Fish Biol.*, 42: 217-226.
 doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1993.tb00323.x.
- Naselli-Flores L. and Lugliè A. (2014). Laghi artificiali dell'Italia meridionale e delle
 isole maggiori. *Biol. Ambient.*, 28: 1-8.
- Neves D., Sobral P., Ferreira J. L., Pereira T. (2015). Ingestion of microplastics by commercial fish off the Portuguese coast. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 15; 101(1): 119-126. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.11.008.
- Nielsen T. and Prouzet P. (2008). "Capture-based Aquaculture of the Wild European Eel
 (*Anguilla anguilla*)," in Capture-based Aquaculture. Global Overview. Editors A.
 Lovatelli and P. F. Holthus (Rome: FAO Fisheries Technical Paper).
- Nieto K. and Mélin F. (2017). Variability of Chlorophyll-A Concentration in the Gulf of
 Guinea and its Relation to Physical Oceanographic Variables. *Prog. Oceanogr.*,
 151: 97-115. doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2016.11.009.
- Nilsson C., Reidy C. A., Dynesius M., Revenga C. (2005). Fragmentation and Flow
 Regulation of the World's Large River Systems. *Science*, 308(5720): 405-408.
 doi: 10.1126/science.1107887.
- Nizzetto L., Futter M., Langaas S. (2016). Are Agricultural Soils Dumps for
 Microplastics of Urban Origin? *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 50: 10777-10779. doi:
 10.1021/acs.est.6b04140.
- 5078NOAA (2017). Fisheries economics of the United States: 169 pp.5079https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/feature-story/fisheries-economicsunited-states-50802015.

- Nunn A. D. and Cowx I. G. (2012). Restoring river connectivity: prioritizing passage
 improvements for diadromous fishes and lampreys. *Ambio*, 41: 402–409. doi:
 10.1007/s13280-012-0281-6.
- Nzau Matondo B., Séleck E., Dierckx A., Benitez J. P., Rollin X., Ovidio M. (2019).
 What happens to glass eels after restocking in upland rivers? A long-term study
 on their dispersal and behavioural traits. *Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.*,
 29: 374-388. doi: 10.1002/aqc.3062.
- Nzau Matondo B., Benitez J. P., Dierckx A., Rollin X., Ovidio M. (2020). An evaluation
 of restocking practice and demographic stock assessment methods for cryptic
 juvenile European eel in upland rivers. *Sustainability*, 12: 1124. doi:
 10.3390/su12031124.
- Nzau Matondo B., Benitez J.P., Dierckx A., Renardy S., Rollin X., Colson D., Baltus L.,
 Rose V., Romain M., Ovidio M (2021). What are the best upland river
 characteristics for glass eel restocking practice? *Sci. Total Environ.*, 784: 147042.
 doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147042.
- 5096 O'Leary S., Healy S., Cruikshanks R., Kelly K., Gargan P. (2022). Assessment of the
 5097 environmental drivers of European glass eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) recruitment in
 5098 transitional waters. *Environ. Biol. Fish.*, 105: 1203-1217. doi: 10.1007/s106415099 022-01340-7.
- Oka Y. (1980). The origin of the centrifugal fibers to the olfactory bulb in the goldfish, *Carassius auratus*: an experimental study using the fluorescent dye primuline as
 a retrograde tracer. *Brain Res.*, 185(2): 215-225. doi: 10.1016/00068993(80)91063-X.
- 5104 Okabe S., Kim H. D., Miwa A., Kuriu T., Okado H. (1999) Continual remodeling of
 5105 postsynaptic density and its regulation by synaptic activity. *Nat. Neurosci.*, 2: 8045106 811.doi: 10.1038/12175.
- 5107 Olita A., Ribotti A., Fazioli L., Perilli A., Sorgente R. (2013). Surface circulation and
 5108 upwelling in the Western Sardinia Sea: a numerical study. *Continent. Shelf Res.*,
 5109 71: 95-108. doi: 10.1016/j.csr.2013.10.011.
- Oliveira K. (1997). Movements and growth rates of yellow-phase American eels in the
 Annaquatucket River, Rhode Island. *Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.*, 126: 638-646. doi:
 10.1577/1548-8659(1997)126%3C0638:MAGROY%3E2.3.CO;2.
- Oliveira K. (1999). Life history characteristics and strategies of the American eel,
 Anguilla rostrata. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 56: 795-802. doi: 10.1139/cjfas-56-5 795.
- 5116 Opperman J. J., Moyle P. B., Larsen E. W., Florsheim J. L., Manfree A. D. (2017).
 5117 Floodplains: Processes and Management for Ecosystem Services. Berkeley, CA, 5118 USA: University of California Press.
- 5119 Opperman J., Baruch-Mordo S., Carvallo J., Kammen D., Kiesecker J., Weber C. (2019).
 5120 Sustaining the Last Rivers. *Amer. Sci.*, 107: 302. doi: 10.1511/2019.107.5.302.
- 5121 OSPAR (2010). Background Document for European eel Anguilla anguilla. OSPAR
 5122 Commission: 29 pp.

- 5123 Ovidio M., Seredynski A. L., Philippart J. C., Nzau Matondo B. (2013). A bit of quiet
 5124 between the migrations: the resting life of the European eel during their freshwater
 5125 growth phase in a small stream. *Aquat. Ecol.*, 47: 291-301. doi: 10.1007/s104525126 013-9444-1.
- 5127 Ovidio M., Tarrago-Bès F., Nzau Matondo B. (2015). Short-term responses of glass eels
 5128 transported from UK to small Belgian streams. *Ann. Limnol. Int. J. Limnol.*, 51:
 5129 219-226. doi: 10.1051/limn/2015016.
- Ozpicak M., Saygin S., Aydın A., Hancer E., Yilmaz S., Polat N. (2018). Otolith shape
 analyses of *Squalius cephalus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Actinopterygii: Cyprinidae)
 inhabiting four inland water bodies of the middle Black Sea region, Turkey. *Iran. J. Ichthyol.*, 5: 293-302. doi: 10.22034/iji.v5i4.311.
- Pacariz S., Westerberg H., Björk G. (2014). Climate change and passive transport of
 European eel larvae. *Ecol. Freshw. Fish*, 23: 86–94. Doi: 10.1111/eff.12048.
- Padgett T. E., Thomas R. E., Borman D. J., Mould D. C. (2020). Individual-based model
 of juvenile eel movement parametrized with computational fluid dynamicsderived flow fields informs improved fish pass design. *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 7:
 191505. doi: 10.1098/rsos.191505.
- Palm S., Dannewitz J., Prestegaard T., Wickstrom H. (2009). Panmixia in European eel
 revisited: no genetic difference between maturing adults from southern and
 northern Europe. *Heredity*, 103: 82-89. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2009.51.
- Palmas F., Olita A., Addis P., Sorgente R., Sabatini A. (2017). Modelling giant red shrimp
 larval dispersal in the Sardinian seas: density and connectivity scenarios. *Fish. Oceanogr.*, 26: 364-378. doi: 10.1111/fog.12199.
- Palmas F., Righi T., Musu A., Frongia C., Podda C., Serra M., Splendiani A., Caputo
 Barucchi V., Sabatini A. (2020). Pug-Headedness Anomaly in a Wild and Isolated
 Population of Native Mediterranean Trout *Salmo trutta* L., 1758 Complex
 (Osteichthyes: Salmonidae). *Diversity*, 12(9): 353. doi: 10.3390/d12090353.
- Palmas F., Cau A., Podda C., Musu A., Serra M., Pusceddu A., Sabatini A. (2022). Rivers
 of Waste: Anthropogenic Litter in Intermittent Sardinian Rivers, Italy (Central
 Mediterranean). *Environ. Pollut.*, 302: 119073. doi:
 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119073.
- Palstra A. P., Heppener D. F. M., van Ginneken V. J. T., Székely C., van den Thillart G.
 E. E. J. M. (2007). Swimming performance of silver eels is severely impaired by
 the swim-bladder parasite *Anguillicola crassus*. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 352: 244256. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2007.08.003.
- Panfili J., Ximénès M. C., Crivelli A. J. (1994). Sources of variation in growth of the
 European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) estimates from otoliths. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*,
 5160 51: 506-515. doi: 10.1139/f94-053.
- 5161 Panfili J., de Pontual H., Troadec H., Wright P. J. (2002). Manuel de sclérochronologie
 5162 des poissons. Coédition Ifremer-IRD, Panfili, J., de Pontual, H., Troadec, H. &
 5163 Wright, P. J. (eds), France, 464 pp.
- Parker S. J. (1995). Homing ability and home range of yellow-phase American eels in a tidally dominated estuary. J Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK, 75: 127-140. doi: 10.1017/S0025315400015241.

- Paul K., Oeberst R., Hammer C. (2013). Evaluation of otolith shape analysis as a tool for
 discriminating adults of Baltic cod stocks. J. Appl. Icht., 29: 743-750. doi:
 10.1111/jai.12145.
- Pazos R. S., Maiztegui T., Colautti D. C., Paracampo A. H., Gómez N. (2017).
 Microplastics in gut contents of coastal freshwater fish from Río de la Plata estuary. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 122: 85-90. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.06.007.
- 5173 Pchitskaya E. and Bezprozvanny I. (2020). Dendritic spines shape analysis—
 5174 Classification or clusterization? Perspective. *Front. Synaptic Neurosci.*, 12: 31.
 5175 doi: 10.3389/fnsyn.2020.00031.
- 5176 Pedersen M. I. (1998). Recapture rate, growth and sex of stocked cultured eels Anguilla
 5177 anguilla (L.). Bull. français pêche piscic., 349: 153-162. doi:
 5178 10.1051/kmae:1998040.
- 5179 Pedersen M. I. (2000). Long-term survival and growth of stocked eel, *Anguilla anguilla*5180 (L.), in a small eutrophic lake. *Dana*, 12: 71-76.
- 5181 Pedersen M. I. (2009). Does stocking of Danish lowland streams with elvers increase
 5182 European eel populations? *Am. Fish. Soc. Symp.*, 58: 149-156.
- Pedersen M. I. and Rasmussen G. H. (2016). Yield per recruit from stocking two different
 sizes of eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) in the brackish Roskilde Fjord. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*,
 73: 158-164. doi: doi: 10.1093/ICESJMS/FSV167.
- Pedersen M. I., Jepsen N., Rasmussen G. (2017). Survival and growth compared between
 wild and farmed eel stocked in freshwater ponds. *Fish. Res.*, 194: 112-116. doi:
 10.1016/j.fishres.2017.05.013.
- Peng J., Wang J., Cai L. (2017). Current understanding of microplastics in the
 environment: occurrence, fate, risks, and what we should do. *Integrated Environ.*Assess. Manag., 13(3): 476-482. doi: 10.1002/ieam.1912.
- 5192 Perkin J. S. and Gido K. B. (2012). Fragmentation alters stream fish community structure
 5193 in dendritic ecological networks. *Ecol. Appl.*, 22: 2176-2187. doi:
 5194 10.2307/41723010.
- Peters A. and Kaiserman-Abramof I. R. (1970). The small pyramidal neuron of the rat cerebral cortex. The perikaryon, dendrites and spines. *Am. J. Anat.*, 127(4): 321-355. doi: 10.1002/aja.1001270402.
- Pham C. K., Ramirez-Llodra E., Alt C. H. S., Amaro T., Bergmann M., Canals M.,
 Company J. B., Davies J., Duineveld G., Galgani F., Howell K. L., Huvenne V.
 A. I., Isidro E., Jones D. O. B., Lastras G., Morato T., Gomes-Pereira J. N., Purser
 A., Stewart H., Tojeira I., Tubau X., Van Rooij D., Tyler P. A. (2014). Marine
 litter distribution and density in European seas, from the shelves to deep basins. *Plos One*, 9(4): e95839. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095839.
- Phillips M. B. and Bonner T. H. (2015). Occurrence and amount of microplastic ingested
 by fishes in watersheds of the Gulf of Mexico. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 100: 264e269.
 doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.08.041.
- Pike C., Crook V., Gollock M. (2020). Anguilla anguilla. In: The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species: e.T60344A152845178. doi: 10.2305/IUCN.UK.2020-2.RLTS.T60344A152845178.en.

Piper A. T., Wright R. M., Walker A. M., Kemp P. S. (2013). Escapement, Route Choice,
Barrier Passage and Entrainment of Seaward Migrating European Eel, *Anguilla anguilla*, within a Highly Regulated Lowland River. *Ecol. Eng.*, 57: 88-96. doi:
10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.04.030.

Piper A. T., Svendsen J. C., Wright R. M., Kemp P. S. (2017). Movement patterns of seaward migrating European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) at a complex of riverine barriers: implications for conservation. *Ecol. Freshw. Fish*, 26: 87-98. doi: 10.1111/eff.12257.

- Piper A. T., White P. R., Wright R. M., Leighton T. G., Kemp P. S. (2019). Response of seaward-migrating European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) to an infrasound deterrent. *Ecol. Eng.*, 127: 480-486. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.12.001.
- 5221 Plastics Europe (2021). Plastics The Facts. Available online:
 5222 https://plasticseurope.org/knowledge-hub/plastics-the-facts-2021.

Plata A. L. D., Robles E. (2022). NMDA Receptor Antagonist MK801 Reduces Dendritic Spine Density and Stability in Zebrafish Pyramidal Neurons. *Neuroscience*, 498: 50-63.doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2022.06.017.

- Podda C., Palmas F., Frau G., Chessa G., Culurgioni J., Diciotti R., Fois N., Sabatini A.
 (2020a). Environmental influences on the recruitment dynamics of juvenile
 European eels, *Anguilla anguilla*, in a small estuary of the Tyrrhenian Sea,
 Sardinia, Italy. *Aquatic Conserv.: Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.*, 30: 1638-1648. doi:
 10.1002/aqc.3362.
- Podda C., Palmas F., Cabiddu S., Pesci P., Sabatini A. (2020b). Exploring relationships
 between the distribution of giant red shrimp *Aristaeomorpha foliacea* (Risso,
 1827) and environmental factors in the Central-Western Mediterranean Sea:
 Relationships between distribution of giant red shrimp and environmental factors. *Adv. Oceanogr. Limnol.*, 11(2). doi: 10.4081/aiol.2020.9471.
- Podda C., Palmas F., Pusceddu A., Sabatini A. (2021). Hard times for catadromous fish:
 the case of the European eel *Anguilla anguilla* (L. 1758). *Adv. Oceanogr. Limnol.*,
 12(2): 9997. doi: 10.4081/aiol.2021.9997.
- Podda C., Palmas F., Pusceddu A., Sabatini A. (2022). When the eel meets dams: larger
 dams' long-term impacts on *Anguilla anguilla* (L., 1758). *Front. Environ. Sci.*,
 10: 876369. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2022.876369.
- Podgorniak T., Blanchet S., De Oliveira E., Daverat F., Pierron F. (2016). To boldly
 climb: behavioural and cognitive differences in migrating European glass eels. *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 3: 150665. Doi: 10.1098/rsos.150665.
- Poff N. L., Allan J. D., Bain M. B., Karr J. R., Prestegaard K. L., Richter B. D., Sparks
 R. E., Strombergs J. (1997). The Natural Flow Regime. *BioScience*, 47: 769-784.
 doi: 10.2307/1313099.
- Poff N. L. and Schmidt J. C. (2016). How Dams Can Go with the Flow. *Science*, 353:
 1099-1100. doi: 10.1126/science.aah557110.1126/science.aah4926.

Polyakov I. V., Bhatt U. S., Simmons H. L., Walsh D., Walsh J. E., Zhang X. (2005). Multidecadal variability of North Atlantic temperature and salinity during the twentieth century. J. Clim., 18: 4562-4581. doi: 10.1175/JCLI3548.1.

- Pomini F. P. (1940). Ricerche su Salmo macrostigma Dum. Boll. Pesca Pisc. Idrob.,
 16(3): 3-63.
- Poole W. R. and Reynolds J. D. (1996). Age and growth of yellow eel *Anguilla anguilla*(L.), determined by two different methods. *Ecol. Freshw. Fish*, 5: 86-95.doi:
 10.1111/j.1600-0633.1996.tb00040.x.
- Poole W. R. and Reynolds J. D. (1998). Variability in growth rate in European eel *Anguilla anguilla* (L.) in a western Irish catchment. *Biol. Environ.: Proc. R. Ir. Acad.*, 98B: 141-145.
- Porceddu R., Podda C., Mulas G., Palmas F., Picci L., Scano C., Spiga S., Sabatini A. 5261 (2022). Changes in dendritic spine morphology and density of granule cells in the 5262 olfactory bulb of Anguilla anguilla (L., 1758): a possible way to understand 5263 orientation and migratory behavior. Biology, 11: 1244. doi: 5264 5265 10.3390/biology11081244.
- Possatto F. E., Barletta M., Costa M. F., Ivar do Sul J. A., Dantas D. V. (2011). Plastic
 debris ingestion by marine catfish: an unexpected fisheries impact. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 62: 1098-1102. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.01.036.
- Postel S. and Richter B. D. (2004). Rivers for Life: Managing Water for People and Nature, 41. Washington DC: Island Press, 41–4059. doi: 10.5860/choice.41-4059.
 Rivers for Life: Managing Water for People and Nature Choice Rev. Online.
- Potter I. C., Chuwen B. M., Hoeksema S. D., Elliott M. (2010). The Concept of an Estuary: a Definition that Incorporates Systems Which Can Become Closed to the Ocean and Hypersaline. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 87: 497-500. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2010.01.021.
- 5276 Prigge E., Marohn L., Hanel R. (2013). Tracking the migratory success of stocked
 5277 European eels *Anguilla anguilla* in the Baltic Sea. *J. of Fish Biol.*, 82: 686-699.
 5278 doi: 10.1111/jfb.12032.
- 5279 Prouzet P. (2002). Historique des captures de civelles, intensité actuelle de leur
 5280 exploitation, variation de leur capturabilité par la pêche professionnelle maritime
 5281 et indices de colonization sur le bassin versant de l'Adour. Technical report,
 5282 Ifremer. http://www.ifremer.fr/indicang/boite-bassins-versants/pdf/historique5283 capture-civelle.pdf.
- Psuty I. and Draganik B. (2008). The effectiveness of glass eel stocking in the Vistula
 lagoon, Poland. *AIeP*, 2: 103-111. doi: 10.3750/AIP2008.38.2.04.
- R Core Team. (2018). A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- R Core Team (2021). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [WWW
 Document]. R Found. Stat. Comput. Vienna. https://www.r-project.org.
- Radinger J., Hölker F., Horky P., Slavik O., Dendoncker N., Wolter C. (2016). Synergistic
 and antagonistic interactions of future land use and climate change on river fish
 assemblages. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 22: 1505-1522. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13183.
- Raeymaekers J. A., Maes G. E., Geldof S., Hontis I., Nackaerts K., Volckaert F. A.
 (2008). Modeling genetic connectivity in sticklebacks as a guideline for river restoration. *Evol. Appl.*, 1: 475-488. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-4571.2008.00019.x.

- Rahel F. J. (2013). Intentional Fragmentation as a Management Strategy in Aquatic
 Systems. *Bioscience*, 63: 362-372. doi: 10.1525/bio.2013.63.5.9.
- Ramenofsky M., Wingfield J. C. (2007). Regulation of migration. *Bioscience*, 57: 135 143. doi: 10.1641/B570208.
- Ramsay J. O. and Silveman B. W. (2005). Functional data analysis, 2nd ed., New York,
 NY, USA: Springer. 310 pp.
- Rech S., Macaya-Caquilpán V., Pantoja J.F., Rivadeneira M.M., Jofre D., Madariaga M.
 (2014). Thiel Rivers as a source of marine litter a study from the SE Pacific. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 82: 66-75. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.03.019.
- 5305 Regione Autonoma della Sardegna (2021). Sardegna Geo-Portale. Available at:
 5306 http://www.sardegnageoportale.it/index.html.
- Rehn B., Breipohl W., Mendoza A. S., Apfelbach R. (1986). Changes in granule cells of
 the ferret olfactory bulb associated with imprinting on prey odours. *Brain Res.*,
 373(1-2): 114-125. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(86)90321-5.
- Réveillac É., Feunteun E., Berrebi P., Gagnaire P. A., Lecomte-Finiger R., Bosc P.,
 Robinet T. (2008). *Anguilla marmorata* larval migration plasticity as revealed by
 otolith microstructural analysis. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 65: 2127-2137. doi:
 10.1139/F08-122.
- Réveillac É., Robinet T., Rabenevanana M.W., Valade P., Feunteun E. (2009). Clues to
 the location of the spawning area and larval migration characteristics of *Anguilla mossambica* as inferred from otolith microstructural analyses. J. Fish. Biol., 74:
 1866-1877. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02285.x.
- Richter B. D., Postel, S., Revenga, C., Scudder, T., Lehner, B., Churchill, A., Chow M.
 (2010). Lost in Development's Shadow: The Downstream Human Consequences
 of Dams. *Water Altern.*, 3(2): 14-42.
- 5321 Ricker W. E. (1973). Linear regressions in fishery research. J. Fish. Res. Board Can., 30:
 5322 409-434. doi: 10.1139/f73-072.
- Ricker W. E. (1975). Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish
 populations. Bulletin of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Bulletin 191,
 Ottawa. http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/Library/1485.pdf.
- Righton D., Westerberg H., Feunteun E., Okland F., Gargan P., Amilhat E., Metcalfe J.,
 Lobon-Cervia J., Sjoberg N., Simon J., Acou A., Vedor M., Walker A., Trancart
 T., Brämick U., Aarestrup K. (2016). Empirical observations of the spawning
 migration of European eels: the long and dangerous road to the Sargasso Sea. *Sci.*Adv., 2: e1501694. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1501694.
- Righton D., Piper A., Aarestrup K., Amilhat E., Belpaire C., Casselman J., Castonguay
 M., Díaz E., Dörner H., Faliex E., Feunteun E., Fukuda N., Hanel R., Hanzen C.,
 Jellyman D., Kaifu K., McCarthy K., Miller M. J., Pratt T., Sasal P.,
 Schabetsberger R., Shiraishi H., Simon G., Sjöberg N., Steele K., Tsukamoto K.,
 Walker A., Westerberg H., Yokouchi K., Gollock M. (2021). Important questions
 to progress science and sustainable management of anguillid eels. *Fish Fish.*, 22:
 762-788. doi: 10.1111/faf.12549.

Rincón G., Solana-Gutiérrez J., Alonso C., Saura S., García de Jalón D. (2017). 5338 Longitudinal Connectivity Loss in a Riverine Network: Accounting for the 5339 Likelihood of Upstream and Downstream Movement across Dams. Aquat. Sci., 5340 79: 573-585. doi: 10.1007/s00027-017-0518-3. 5341 Ringuet S., Muto F., Raymakers C. (2002). Eels: Their Harvest and Trade in Europe and 5342 5343 Asia. TRAFFIC Bulletin, 19: 2-27. Rink E., and Wullimann M. F. (2004). Connections of the ventral telencephalon 5344 (subpallium) in the zebrafish (Danio rerio). Brain Res., 1011(2): 206-220. doi: 5345 10.1016/j.brainres.2004.03.027. 5346 Robinet T., Lecomte-Finiger R., Escoubeyrou K., Feunteun E. (2003). Tropical eels 5347 5348 Anguilla spp. recruiting to Réunion Island in the Indian Ocean: taxonomy, patterns of recruitment and early life histories. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 259: 263-272. doi: 5349 5350 10.3354/meps259263. Robinet T., Réveillac E., Kuroki M., Aoyama J., Tsukamoto K., Rabenevanana M. W., 5351 Valade P., Gagnaire P. A., Berrebi P., Feunteun E. (2008). New clues for 5352 freshwater eels (Anguilla spp.) migration routes to eastern Madagascar and 5353 surrounding islands. Mar. Biol., 154: 453-463. doi: 10.1007/s00227-008-0938-7. 5354 Rochard E., Pellegrini P., Marchal J., Béguer M., Ombredane D., Lassalle G., Menvielle 5355 E., Bagliniére J. L. (2009). Identification of diadromous fish species on which to 5356 focus river restoration: an example using an eco-anthropological approach (the 5357 Seine basin, France). Challenges for diadromous fishes in a dynamic global 5358 environment. Am. Fish. Soc. Symp., 69: 691-711. 5359 Rochman C. M., Hoh E., Kurobe T., Teh S. J. (2013). Ingested plastic transfers hazardous 5360 chemicals to fish and induces hepatic stress. Sci. Rep., 3: 3263. doi: 5361 5362 10.1038/srep03263. Rodeles A. A., Galicia D., Miranda R. (2021). A Simple Method to Assess the 5363 Fragmentation of Freshwater Fish Meta-Populations: Implications for River 5364 Management and Conservation. Ecol. Indic., 125: 107557. 5365 doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107557. 5366 Rosell R., Evans D., Allen M. (2005). The eel fishery in Lough Neagh, Northern Ireland 5367 - an example of sustainable management? Fish. Manag. Ecol., 12: 377-385. doi: 5368 5369 10.1111/j.1365-2400.2005.00464.x. 5370 Rossi R. and Cannas A. (1984). Eel fishing management in a hypersaline lagoon of southern Sardinia. Fish. Res., 2(4): 285-298. doi: 10.1016/0165-7836(84)90031-5371 5372 6. 5373 Rossi V., Ser-Giacomi E., López C., Hernández-García E. (2014). Hydrodynamic provinces and oceanic connectivity from a transport network help designing 5374 2883-2891. 5375 marine reserves. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41: doi: 5376 10.1002/2014GL059540. 5377 Rossier O. (1997). Comparison of gillnet sampling and night visual census of fish communities in the littoral zone of Lake Geneva, Switzerland. Arch. Hydrobiol., 5378 139: 223-233. doi: 10.1127/archiv-hydrobiol/139/1997/223. 5379 5380 Rummel C. D., Löder M. G. J., Fricke N. F., Lang T., Griebeler E., Janke M., Gerdts G. (2016). Plastic ingestion by pelagic and demersal fish from the North sea and 5381

- 5382Baltic sea.Mar.Pollut.Bull.,102:134e141.doi:538310.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.11.043.
- Russi D., Ten Brink P., Farmer A., Badura T., Coates D., Förster J., Kumar R., Davidson
 N. (2013). The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity for Water and
 Wetlands. Available at: https://www.cbd.int/financial/values/gecowaterwetlands-teeb.pdf.
- Sabatini A., Cannas R., Follesa M. C., Palmas F., Manunza A., Matta G., Pendugiu A.
 A., Serra P., Cau A. (2011). Genetic Characterization and Artificial Reproduction
 Attempt of Endemic Sardinian trout *Salmo trutta* L., 1758 (Osteichthyes,
 Salmonidae): Experiences in Captivity. *Italian J. Zoology*, 78: 20-26. doi:
 10.1080/11250003.2010.497171.
- Sabatini A., Podda C., Frau G., Cani M.V., Musu A., Serra M., Palmas F. (2018).
 Restoration of native Mediterranean brown trout *Salmo cettii* Rafinesque, 1810
 (Actinopterygii: Salmonidae) populations using an electric barrier as a mitigation tool. *Eur. Zool. J.*, 85: 138-150. doi: 10.1080/24750263.2018.1453554.
- Saha S., Arabameri A., Saha A., Blaschke T., Ngo P. T. T., Nhu V.H., Band S. S. (2021). 5397 Prediction of Landslide Susceptibility in Rudraprayag, India Using Novel 5398 Ensemble of Conditional Probability and Boosted Regression Tree-Based on 5399 **Cross-Validation** Method. Sci. Total Environ., 764: 5400 142928. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142928. 5401
- Sanchez W., Bender C., Porcher J. M. (2014). Wild gudgeons (*Gobio gobio*) from French
 rivers are contaminated by microplastics: preliminary study and first evidence. *Environ. Res.*, 128, 98-100. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2013.11.004.
- Sanderson F. J., Donald P. F., Pain D. J., Burfield I. J., van Bommel F. P. J. (2006). Long-term population declines in Afro-Palearctic migrant birds. *Biol. Conserv.*, 131:
 93-105. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2006.02.008.
- Sandlund O. T., Diserud Poole O. H., Bergesen K., Dillane M., Rogan G., Durif C.,
 Thorstad E. B., Vøllestad L. A. (2017). Timing and pattern of annual silver eel
 migration in two European watersheds are determined by similar cues. *Ecol. Evol.*,
 7: 5956-5966. doi: 10.1002/ece3.3099.
- Satou M., Anzai S., Huruno M. (2005). Long-term potentiation and olfactory memory
 formation in the carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) olfactory bulb. *J. Comp. Physiol. A*,
 191(5): 421-434. doi: 10.1007/s00359-005-0600-5.
- Schabetsberger R., Økland F., Aarestrup K., Kalfatak D., Sichrowsky U., Tambets M.,
 Dall'Oimo G., Kaiser R., Miller P. (2013). Oceanic migration behaviour of
 tropical Pacific eels from Vanuatu. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 475: 177-190. doi:
 10.1111/fme.12298.
- Schabetsberger R., Økland F., Kalfatak D., Sichrowsky U., Meelis T., Aarestrup K.,
 Gubili C., Sarginson J., Boufana B., Jehle R., Dall'Olmo G., Miller M. J., Scheck
 A., Kaiser R., Quartly G. (2015). Genetic and migratory evidence for sympatric
 spawning of tropical Pacific eels from Vanuatu. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 521: 171187. doi: 10.3354/meps11138.
- Schabetsberger R., Scheck A., Kaiser R., Leaana R., Gubili C., Økland F. (2019). Oceanic
 migration behaviour of Pacific eels from Samoa. *Fish. Manag. Ecol.*, 26: 53-56.
 doi: 10.1111/fme.12298.
- 5427 Schäperclaus W. (1990). Fischkrankheiten, 5th edn. Akademie Verlag Berlin, Berlin,
 5428 Germany.
- Schiavina M., Bevacqua D., Melià P., Crivelli A. J., Gatto M., De Leo G. A. (2015). A
 user-friendly tool to assess management plans for European eel fishery and
 conservation. *Environ. Model.* Softw., 64: 9-17. doi:
 10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.10.008.
- 5433 Schiettekatte N.M.D. (2021). fishgrowbot: Fish Growth Curves Through Back5434 Calculation of Otoliths Rings in a Bayesian Framework. R package version 0.1.0.
 5435 https://nschiett.github.io/fishgrowbot.
- Schmidt C., Krauth T., Wagner S. (2017). Export of Plastic Debris by Rivers into the Sea. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51(21): 12246-12253. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.7b02368.
- 5438 Schmidt J. (1922). The breeding places of the eel. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc.*, 211: 179-208.
- 5439 Schmidt J. (1923). Breeding Places and Migrations of the Eel. *Nature*, 111: 51-54. doi: 10.1038/111051a0.
- Schmucker A. K., Johnson N. S., Galbraith H. S., Li W. (2016). Glass-eel-stage American
 eels respond to conspecific odor as a function of concentration. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.*, 145, 712-722. doi: 10.1080/00028487.2016.1146164.
- Schneebauer G., Dirks R. P., Pelster B. (2017). *Anguillicola crassus* infection affects
 mRNA expression levels in gas gland tissue of European yellow and silver eel. *PLoS ONE*, 12(8): 1-26. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0183128.
- Schöneich-Argent R. I., Dau K., Freund H. (2020). Wasting the North Sea? a field-based assessment of anthropogenic macrolitter loads and emission rates of three
 German tributaries. *Environ. Pollut.*, 263: 114367. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114367.
- Schulze T., Kahl U., Radke R. J., Benndorf J. (2004). Consumption, abundance and habitat use of *Anguilla anguilla* in a mesotrophic reservoir. *J. Fish Biol.*, 65: 1543-1562. doi: 10.1111/j.0022-1112.2004.00565.x.
- 5454 Secor D. H. (2015). Migration ecology of fishes. Baltimore, USA: John Hopkins
 5455 University Press: 304 pp.
- Segurado P., Branco P., Ferreira M. T. (2013). Prioritizing Restoration of Structural
 Connectivity in Rivers: a Graph Based Approach. *Landsc. Ecol.*, 28(7): 12311238. doi: 10.1007/s10980-013-9883-z.
- Seliger C. and Zeiringer B. (2018). "Riverine Ecosystem Management," in Riverine
 Ecosystem Management. Science for Governing towards a Sustainable Future.
 Editors S. Schmutz and J. Sendzimir (Amsterdam: Springer). doi: 10.1007/978-3319-73250-3.
- Shiao J. C., Ložys L., Iizuka Y., Tzeng W. N. (2006). Migratory patterns and contribution
 of stocking to the population of European eel in Lithuanian waters as indicated by
 otolith Sr:Ca ratios. J. *Fish Biol.*, 69: 749-769. doi: 10.1111/j.10958649.2006.01147.x.
- Shields F. D., Knight S. S., Cooper M. (1995). Incised stream physical habitat restoration
 with stone weirs. *Regul. Rivers Res. Manag.*, 10: 181-198. doi:
 10.1002/rrr.3450100213.

5470 5471	Shono H. (2008). Application of the Tweedie distribution to zero-catch data in CPUE analysis. <i>Fish. Res.</i> , 93: 154-162. doi: 10.1016/j.fishres.2008.03.006.
5472 5473 5474	Silm M., Bernotas P., Haldna M., Järvalt A., Nõges T. (2017). Age and growth of European eel, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (Linnaeus, 1758), in Estonian lakes. <i>J. Appl. Ichthyol.</i> , 33: 236-241. doi: 10.1111/jai.13314.
5475 5476 5477 5478 5479	 Silva A. T., Lucas M. C., Castro-Santos T., Katopodis C., Baumgartner L. J., Thiem J. D., Aarestrup K., Pompeu P. S., O'Brien G. C., Braun D. C., Burnett N. J., Zhu D. Z., Fjeldstad H. P., Forseth T., Rajaratnam N., Williams J. G., Cooke S. (2018). The Future of Fish Passage Science, Engineering, and Practice. <i>Fish. Fish.</i>, 19: 340-362. doi: 10.1111/faf.12258.
5480 5481 5482	Silva-Cavalcanti J. S., Silva J. D. B., de França E. J., de Araújo M. C. B., Gusmão F. (2017). Microplastics ingestion by a common tropical freshwater fishing resource. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> , 221: 218-226. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.068.
5483 5484 5485 5486	Simon J., Dörner H., Scott R. D., Schreckenbach K., Knösche R. (2013). Comparison of growth and condition of European eels stocked as glass and farm sourced eels in lakes in the first four years after stocking. J. Appl. Ichthyol., 29: 323-330. doi: 10.1111/jai.12078.
5487 5488 5489	Simon J. and Dörner H. (2014). Survival and growth of European eels stocked as glass- and farm-sourced eels in five lakes in the first years after stocking Ecol. <i>Freshw</i> . <i>Fish</i> , 23: 40-48. doi: 10.1111/eff.12050.
5490 5491	Simon J. (2015). Age and growth of European eels (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>) in the Elbe River system in Germany. <i>Fish. Res.</i> , 164: 278-285. doi: 10.1016/j.fishres.2014.12.005.
5492 5493	Sinha V. R. P. and Jones J. W. (1975) The European Freshwater Eel. Liverpool University Press, Liverpool, 146 pp.
5494 5495 5496	Sjöberg N. B., Wickström H., Asp A., Petersson E. (2017). Migration of eels tagged in the Baltic Sea and Lake Mälaren in the context of the stocking question. <i>Ecol. Freshw. Fish</i> , 26: 517-532. doi: 10.1111/eff.12296.
5497 5498 5499	Smirnov B. P., Chebanova V. V., Vvedenskaya T. V. (1994). Adaptation of hatchery- raised chum salmon, <i>Oncorhynchus keta</i> , and Chinook salmon, <i>O. tshawytscha</i> , to natural feeding and effects of starvation. <i>J. Ichthyol.</i> , 34: 96-106.
5500 5501 5502	Smith K. M., Byron C. J., Sulikowski J. A. (2016). Modeling predator-prey linkages of diadromous fishes in an estuarine food web. <i>Mar. Coast. Fish.</i> , 8: 476-491. doi: 10.1080/19425120.2016.1194920.
5503 5504 5505	Sola C. and Tosi L. (1993). Bile salts and taurine as chemical stimuli for glass eels, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> : A behavioural study. <i>Environ. Biol. Fishes</i> , 37: 197–204. doi: 10.1007/BF00000595.
5506 5507 5508	Sola C. (1995). Chemoattraction of upstream migrating glass eels <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> to earthy and green odorants. <i>Environ. Biol. Fishes</i> , 43: 179-185. doi: 10.1007/BF00002489.
5509 5510 5511	Sola C. and Tongiorgi P. (1996). The effects of salinity on the chemotaxis of glass eels, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> , to organic earthy and green odorants. <i>Env. Biol. Fishes</i> , 47: 213-218. doi: 10.1007/BF00005045.

5512 5513 5514 5515 5516 5517	 Song C., Liu B. P., Zhang Y. P., Peng Z., Wang J., Collier A. D., Echevarria D. J., Savelieva K. V., Lawrence R. F., Rex C. S., Meshalkina D. A., Kalueff A. V. (2018). Modeling consequences of prolonged strong unpredictable stress in zebrafish: Complex effects on behavior and physiology. <i>Prog.</i> <i>Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry.</i>, 81: 384-394. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.08.021.
5518 5519 5520	Sørensen P. W. (1986). Origins of the freshwater attractant(s) of migrating elvers of the American eel, <i>Anguilla rostrata. Env. Biol. Fishes</i> , 17: 185-200. doi: 10.1007/BF00698197.
5521 5522 5523	Sørensen P. W. and Bianchini M. L. (1986). Environmental Correlates of the Freshwater Migration of Elvers of the American Eel in a Rhode Island Brook. <i>Trans. Am.</i> <i>Fish. Soc.</i> , 115: 596-609. doi: 10.1577/1548-8659(1986)115.
5524 5525 5526	Sørensen S. R., Tomkiewicz J., Munk P., Butts I. A. E., Nielsen A., Lauesen P., Graver C. (2016). Ontogeny and growth of early life stages of captive-bred European eel. <i>Aquaculture</i> , 456: 50-61. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.01.015.
5527 5528 5529 5530	Sorra K. E. and Harris K. M. (2000). Overview on the structure, composition, function, development, and plasticity of hippocampal dendritic spines. <i>Hippocampus</i> , 10(5): 501-511. doi: 10.1002/1098-1063(2000)10:5<501::AID-HIPO1>3.0.CO;2-T.
5531 5532 5533 5534	Spiga S., Talani G., Mulas G., Licheri V., Fois G. R., Muggironi G., Masala N., Cannizzaro C., Biggio G., Sanna E., Diana M. M. (2014). Hampered long-term depression and thin spine loss in the nucleus accumbens of ethanol-dependent rats. <i>PNAS</i> , 111(35): E3745-E3754. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1406768111.
5535 5536 5537	Stacey J. A., Pratt T. C., Verreault G., Fox M. G. (2015). A caution for conservation stocking as an approach for recovering Atlantic eels. Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst., 25: 569-580. doi: 10.1002/aqc.2498.
5538 5539 5540	Stanley E. H. and Doyle M. W. (2003). Trading off: the Ecological Effects of Dam Removal. <i>Front. Ecol. Environ.</i> , 1: 15-22. doi: 10.1890/1540-9295(2003)001[0015:toteeo]2.0.co;2.
5541 5542	Starkie A. (2003). Management issues relating to the European eel, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> . <i>Fish. Manag. Ecol.</i> , 10: 361-364.doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2400.2003.00351.x.
5543 5544 5545	Steer M., Cole M., Thompson R. C., Lindeque P. K. (2017). Microplastic ingestion in fish larvae in the western English Channel. <i>Env. Poll.</i> , 226: 250-259. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.03.062.
5546 5547 5548 5549 5550 5551	Stewart M. G., Medvedev N. I., Popov V. I., Schoepfer R., Davies H. A., Murphy K., Dallérac G. M., Kraev I. V., Rodríguez J. J. (2005). Chemically induced long-term potentiation increases the number of perforated and complex postsynaptic densities but does not alter dendritic spine volume in CA1 of adult mouse hippocampal slices. <i>Eur. J. Neurosci.</i> , 21(12): 3368-3378. doi: 10.1111/j.1460- 9568.2005.04174.x.
5552 5553 5554	Stransky C. and MacLellan S. E. (2005). Species separation and zoogeography of redfish and rockfish (genus <i>Sebastes</i>) by otolith shape analysis. <i>Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.</i> , 62: 2265-2276. doi: 10.1139/f05-143.

- Suari Y., Amit T., Gilboa M., Sade T., Krom M. D. Gafny, S., Topaz T., Yahel G. (2019).
 Sandbar Breaches Control of the Biogeochemistry of a Micro-estuary. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 6: 1-15. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00224.
- Taberlet P., Coissac E., Pompanon F., Brochmann C., Willerslev E. (2012). Towards
 next-generation biodiversity assessment using DNA metabarcoding. *Mol. Ecol.*,
 21: 2045-2050. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05470.x.
- Tamario C., Calles O., Watz J., Nilsson P. A., Degerman E. (2019). Coastal River
 Connectivity and the Distribution of Ascending Juvenile European Eel (*Anguilla anguilla* L.): Implications for Conservation Strategies Regarding Fishpassage
 Solutions. *Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.*, 29: 612-622. doi:
 10.1002/aqc.3064.
- Tanaka K. and Takada H. (2016). Microplastic fragments and microbeads in digestive
 tracts of planktivorous fish from urban coastal waters. *Nat. Publ. Gr.*, 34351. doi:
 10.1038/srep34351.
- Teichert N., Tetard S., Trancart T., Feunteun E., Acou A., de Oliveira E. (2020).
 Resolving the trade-off between silver eel escapement and hydropower generation
 with simple decision rules for turbine shutdown. *J. Env. Manag.*, 261: 110212.
 doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110212.
- 5573 Tesch F. W. (1977). The eel. Biology and management of anguillid eels. Chapman &
 5574 Hall, London: 370 pp.
- Tesch F. W. (1980). Occurrence of Eel Anguilla anguilla LarvaeWest of the European
 Continental Shelf, 1971–1977. Environ. Biol. Fishes, 5: 185-190. doi:
 10.1007/BF00005354.
- 5578 Tesch F. W. (2003). The eel. Blackwell Science: 408 pp.
- Thorrold S. R., Campana S. E., Jones C. M., Swart P. K. (1997). Factors determining
 d13C and d18O fractionation in aragonitic otoliths of marine fish. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 61: 2909-2919. doi: 10.1016/S0016-7037(97)00141-5.
- Thorstad E. B., Rikardsen A. H., Alp A., Økland F. (2013). The use of electronic tags in
 fish research an Overview of Fish Telemetry Methods. *Turkish J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 13: 881-896. doi: 10.4194/1303-2712-v13_5_13.
- 5585 Thunberg B. E. (1971). Olfaction in parent stream selection by the alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*). *Anim. Behav.*, 19: 211-225.
- Tickner D., Opperman J. J., Abell R., Acreman M., Arthington A. H., Bunn S. E., Cooke
 S. J., Dalton J., Darwall W., Edwards G., Harrison I., Hughes K., Jones T., Leclère
 D., Lynch A. J., Leonard P., McClain M. E., Muruven D., Olden J. D., Ormerod
 S. J., Robinson J., Tharme R. E., Thieme M., Tockner K., Wright M., Young L.
 (2020). Bending the Curve of Global Freshwater Biodiversity Loss: an Emergency
 Recovery Plan. *BioScience*, 70: 330-342. doi: 10.1093/biosci/biaa002.
- Titus K., Mosher J. A., Williams B. K. (1984). Chance-corrected classification for use in
 discriminant analysis: Ecological applications. *Am. Midl. Nat.*, 111: 1-7.
- Tosi L., Sala L., Sola C., Spampanato A., Tongiorgi P. (1988). Experimental analysis of
 the thermal and salinity preferences of glass-eels, *Anguilla anguilla* (L.), before

8649.1988.tb05517.x. 5598 5599 Tosi L., Spampanato A., Sola C., Tongiorgi P. (1989). Relation of water odour, salinity and temperature to ascent of glass-eels, Anguilla anguilla (L.): a laboratory study. 5600 J. Fish Biol., 36: 327-340. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1990.tb05613.x. 5601 Tosi L. and Sola C. (1993). Role of geosmin, a typical inland water odour, in guiding 5602 5603 glass eel Anguilla anguilla (L.) migration. Ethology, 95: 177-185. doi: 5604 10.1111/j.1439-0310.1993.tb00468.x. 5605 Trancart T., Acou A., De Oliveira E., Feunteun E. (2013). Forecasting animal migration using SARIMAX: an efficient means of reducing silver eel mortality caused by 5606 turbines. Endanger. Species Res., 21: 181-190. doi: 10.3354/esr00517. 5607 Trancart T., Lambert P., Daverat F., Rochard E. (2014). From selective tidal transport to 5608 counter-current swimming during watershed colonisation: An impossible step for 5609 5610 young-of-theyear catadromous fish? Knowl. Manag. Aquat. Ecosyst., 2014: 412. doi: 10.1051/kmae/2013086. 5611 Trancart T., Tudorache C., van den Thillart G. E. E. J. M., Acou A., Carpentier A., Boinet 5612 C., Gouchet G., Feunteun E. (2015). The effect of thermal shock during diel 5613 vertical migration on the energy required for oceanic migration of the European 5614 silver eel. Mar. Biol. 463: doi: 5615 J. Exp. Ecol., 168-172. 10.1016/j.jembe.2014.12.004. 5616 Trancart T., Tétard S., Acou A., Feunteun E., Schaeffer F., de Oliveira E. (2018). Silver 5617 eel downstream migration in the River Rhine, route choice, and its impacts on 5618 escapement: A 6-year telemetry study in a highly anthropized system. Ecol. Eng., 5619 123: 202-211. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.09.002. 5620

and during the upstream migration. Fish Biol., 33: 721-733. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-

5597

- 5621 Trancart T., Carpentier A., Acou A., Charrier F., Mazel V., Danet V., Feunteun E. (2020). When "safe" dams kill: analyzing combination of impacts of overflow dams on 5622 5623 the migration of silver eels. Ecol. Eng., 145: 105741. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2020.105741. 5624
- Trischitta F., Takei Y., Sébert P. (2014). Eel Physiology. (378 pp.) CRC Press, Taylor &
 Francis Group.
- Tsukamoto K. (1990). Recruitment mechanism of the eel, Anguilla japonica, to the
 Japanese coast. J. Fish. Biol., 36: 659-671. doi: 10.1111/j.10958649.1990.tb04320.x.
- Tsukamoto K. and Aoyama J. (1998). Evolution of the freshwater eels of the genus *Anguilla*: a probable scenario. *Environ. Biol. Fish.*, 52:139-148. doi:
 10.1023/A:1007427724175.
- Tsukamoto K. and Nakai I. (1998). Do all freshwater eels migrate? *Nature*, 396: 635-636.
 doi: 10.1038/25264.
- Tsukamoto K., Aoyama J., Miller M. J. (2002). Migration, speciation, and the evolution
 of diadromy in anguillid eels. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 59: 1989-1998. doi:
 10.1139/f02-165.

5638	Turner S. M., Chase B. C., Bednarski M. S. (2018). Evaluating the Effect of Dam
5639	removals on Yellow-Phase American Eel Abundance in a Northeastern U.S.
5640	Watershed. North Am. J. Fish. Manage., 38: 424-431. doi: 10.1002/nafm.10040.
5641	Tuset M., Lazano I. J., Gonzalez J. A., Pertusa J. F., Garcia-Diaz M. M. (2003). Shape
5642	indices to identify regional differences in otolith morphology of comber, <i>Serranus</i>
5643	<i>cabrilla</i> (L., 1758). J. Appl. Icht., 19: 88-93.
5644	Tzeng W. N., Wang C. H., Wickström M. H., Reizenstein M. (2000). Occurrence of the
5645	semi-catadromous European eel Anguilla anguilla in the Baltic Sea. Mar. Biol.,
5646	137: 93-98. doi: 10.1007/s002270000330.
5647	V.V. A.A. (2010). Piano di Salvaguardia e Valorizzazione dei Laghi Salsi. Relazione
5648	Finale. Indagini concernenti le componenti animali e la pesca. 317 pp.
5649	V.V. A.A. (2014). Le foci del Coghinas: percorso naturalistico di connessione mare-
5650	interno con aree di servizio per pesca turismo, pesca sportiva e monitoraggio
5651	specie ittiche. FEP 2007-2013 – ASSE 4 – Misura 4.1. Gruppo di Azione Costiera
5652	e Nord Sardegna PSL Pesca e sviluppo sostenibile del Nord-Sardegna. Azione
5653	2.3.4 bis, 60 pp.
5654	V.V. A.A. (2022). Carta Ittica Della Sardegna—D.G.R. N. 2/28, 428. del 20/01/2022;
5655	Università degli Studi di Cagliari (DISVA): Sardinia, Italy, 2022. Available
5656	online:
5657	https://delibere.regione.sardegna.it/protected/58916/0/def/ref/DBR58914/.
5658 5659 5660	Van den Thillart G.V., Van Ginneken F., Körner R., Heijmans R., Van der Linden R., Gluvers A. (2004). Endurance swimming of European eel. <i>J. Fish Biol.</i> , 65: 312-318. doi: 10.1111/j.0022-1112.2004.00447.x.
5661	van Emmerik T., Roebroek C. T. J., de Winter W., Vriend P., Boonstra M., Hougee M.
5662	(2020a). Riverbank macrolitter in the Dutch Rhine-Meuse delta. <i>Environ. Res.</i>
5663	<i>Lett.</i> , 15: 104087. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/abb2c6.
5664 5665 5666	van Emmerik T., Vriend P., Roebroek J. (2020b). An evaluation of the River-OSPAR method for quantifying macrolitter on Dutch riverbanks. <i>Wageneingen</i> , 86 pp. doi: 10.18174/519776.
5667 5668	Van Ginneken V. J. T. and Van den Thillart G. E. E. J. M. (2000). Eel Fat Stores Are Enough to Reach the Sargasso. <i>Nature</i> , 403: 156-157. doi: 10.1038/35003110.
5669 5670 5671	Van Ginneken V. J. T., Maes G. E. (2005). The European eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i> , Linnaeus), its lifecycle, evolution and reproduction: a literature review. <i>Rev. Fish. Biol. Fish</i> , 15: 367-398. doi: 10.1007/s11160-006-0005-8.
5672	Van Ginneken V., Palstra A., Leonards P., Nieveen M., van den Berg H., Flik G.,
5673	Spanings T., Niemantsverdriet P., van den Thillart G., Murk A. (2009). PCBs and
5674	the energy cost of migration in the European eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i> L.). <i>Aquat.</i>
5675	<i>Toxicol.</i> , 92: 213-220. doi: 10.1016/j.aquatox.2009.01.004.
5676	Van Puijenbroek P. J. T. M., Buijse A. D., Kraak M. H. S., Verdonschot P. F. M. (2019).
5677	Species and river specific effects of river fragmentation on European anadromous
5678	fish species. <i>River Res. Appl.</i> , 35: 68-77. doi: 10.1002/rra.3386.
5679 5680	Van Sebille E., Wilcox C., Lebreton L., Maximenko N., Hardesty B. D., van Franeker J. A., Eriksen M., Siegel D., Galgani F., Law K. L. (2015). A global inventory of

5681 5682	small floating plastic debris. Environ. Res. Lett., 10: 124006. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/12/124006.
5683 5684 5685 5686	Vandermeersch G., Van Cauwenberghe L., Janssen C. R., Marques A., Granby K., Fait G., Kotterman M. J. J., Diogène J., Bekaert K., Robbens J., Devriese L. (2015). A critical view on microplastic quantification in aquatic organisms, <i>Env. Res.</i> , 143: 46-55. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2015.07.016.
5687	Vendel A. L., Bessa F., Alves V. E. N., Amorim A. L. A., Patrício J., Palma A. R. T.
5688	(2017). Wide-spread microplastic ingestion by fish assemblages in tropical
5689	estuaries subjected to anthropogenic pressures. <i>Mar. Pollut. Bull.</i> , 117: 448-455.
5690	doi: 10.1016/j. marpolbul.2017.01.081.
5691	Verbiest H., Breukelaar A., Ovidio M., Philippart J.C., Belpaire, C. (2012). Escapement
5692	Success and Patterns of Downstream Migration of Female Silver Eel Anguilla
5693	anguilla in the River Meuse. Ecol. Freshw. Fish. 21: 395-403. doi:
5694	10.1111/j.1600-0633.2012.00559.x.
5695	Verreault G., Mingelbier M., Dumont P. (2012). Spawning migration of American eel
5696	Anguilla rostrata from pristine (1843–1872) to contemporary (1963–1990)
5697	periods in the St Lawrence Estuary, Canada. J. Fish. Biol., 81: 387-407. doi:
5698	10.1111/j.1095-8649.2012.03366.x.
5699	Vigliola L., Harmelin-Vivien M., Meekan M. G. (2000). Comparison of techniques of
5700	back-calculation of growth and settlement marks from the otoliths of three species
5701	of <i>Diplodus</i> from the Mediterranean Sea. <i>Can. J. Fish. Aq. Sci.</i> , 57: 1291-1299.
5702	doi: 10.1139/f00-05.
5703 5704 5705 5706	Vigliola L. and Meekan M. G. (2009). In Tropical fish otoliths: information for assessment, management and ecology Methods and technologies in fish biology and fisheries Ch. The back-calculation of fish growth from otoliths. Springer, 174-211.
5707 5708 5709	Vøllestad L. A. (1985). Age determination and growth of yellow eels, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (L.), from a brackish water. <i>Norway J. Fish Biol.</i> , 26: 521-525. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1985.tb04292.x.
5710	Vøllestad L. A. (1992). Geographic Variation in Age and Length at Metamorphosis of
5711	Maturing European Eel: Environmental Effects and Phenotypic Plasticity. J.
5712	Anim. Ecol., 61(1): 41-48. doi: 10.2307/5507.
5713 5714 5715 5716	 Vörösmarty C. J., McIntyre P. B., Gessner M. O., Dudgeon D., Prusevich A., Green P., Glidden S., Bunn S. E., Sullivan C. A., Liermann C. R., Davies P. M. (2010). Global Threats to Human Water Security and River Biodiversity. <i>Nature</i>, 467: 7315555-561. doi: 10.1038/nature09440.
5717	Vörösmarty C. J., McIntyre P. B., Gessner M. O., Dudgeon D., Prusevich A., Green P.,
5718	Glidden S., Bunn S. E., Sullivan C. A., Reidy Liermann C., Davies P. M. (2010).
5719	Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. <i>Nature</i> , 467: 555-
5720	561.
5721	 Walker A. M., Andonegi E., Apostolaki P., Aprahamian M., Beaulaton L., Bevacqua D.,
5722	Bevacqua P., Briand C., Cannas A., De Eyto E., Dekker W., De Leo G., Diaz E.,
5723	Doering-Arjes P., Fladung E., Jouanin C., Lambert P., Poole R., Oeberst R.,
5724	Schiavina M. (2013). Lot 2: Pilot project to estimate potential and actual
5725	escapement of silver eel. Final project report, service contract \$12.539598,

5726	Studies and pilot projects for carrying out the common fisheries policy. Brussels,
5727	European Commission, Directorate - General for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries
5728	(DG Mare): 358 pp.
5729	Walmsley S., Bremner J., Walker A., Barry J., Maxwell D. (2018). Challenges to
5730	quantifying glass eel abundance from large and dynamic estuaries. <i>ICES J. Mar.</i>
5731	<i>Sci.</i> , 75: 727-737. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsx182.
5732	Walsh C. T. and Pease B. C. (2002). The use of clove oil as an anaesthetic for the
5733	longfinned eel, <i>Anguilla reinhardtii</i> (Steindachner). <i>Aquac. Res.</i> , 33: 627-635.
5734	doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2109.2002.00701.x.
5735	Walter E. (1910). Der Flußaal, eine biologische und fischwirtschaftliche Monographie.
5736	Verlag J. Neumann, Neudamm, Germany.
5737	Wang C. H. and Tzeng W. N. (2000). The timing of metamorphosis and growth rates of
5738	American and European eel leptocephali: a mechanism of larval segregative
5739	migration. <i>Fish. Res.</i> , 46: 191-205.doi: 10.1016/S0165-7836(00)00146-6.
5740	Watz J., Elghagen J., Nilsson P. A., Calles O. (2017). Evaluation of a novel mobile
5741	floating trap for collecting migrating juvenile eels, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> , in rivers.
5742	<i>Fish Manag. Ecol.</i> , 24: 512-514. doi: 10.1111/fme.12248.
5743	Watz J., Nilsson P. A., Degerman E., Tamario C., Calles O. (2019). Climbing the Ladder:
5744	an Evaluation of Three Different Anguillid Eel Climbing Substrata and Placement
5745	of Upstream Passage Solutions at Migration Barriers. <i>Anim. Conserv.</i> , 22(5): 452-
5746	462. doi: 10.1111/acv.12485.
5747 5748 5749	Weber M, 1986. Fishing method and seasonal occurrence of glass eels (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>, L.) in the Rio Minho, west coast of the Iberian peninsula. <i>Vie Milieu</i>, 366: 243-250.
5750 5751	Welcomme R. L. (1995). Relationships between Fisheries and the Integrity of River Systems. <i>Regul. Rivers Res. Mgmt.</i> , 11: 121-136. doi: 10.1002/rrr.3450110110.
5752	Westerberg H., Sjöberg N., Lagenfelt I., Aarestrup K., Righton D. (2014). Behaviour of
5753	stocked and naturally recruited European eels during migration. <i>Mar. Ecol. Progr.</i>
5754	<i>Ser.</i> , 496: 145-157. doi: 10.3354/meps10646.
5755	Westerberg I. K., Wagener T., Coxon G., McMillan H. K., Castellarin A., Montanari A.,
5756	Freer J. (2016). Uncertainty in hydrological signatures for gauged and ungauged
5757	catchments. <i>Water Resour. Res.</i> , 52: 1847-1865. doi: 10.1002/2015WR017635.
5758	Westerberg H., Miller M. J., Wysujack K., Marohn L., Freese M., Pohlmann J. D.,
5759	Watanabe S., Tsukaoto K., Hanel R. (2018). Larval abundance across the
5760	European eel spawning area: an analysis of recent and historic data. <i>Fish Fish.</i> ,
5761	19: 890-902. doi: 10.1111/faf.12298.
5762 5763	Westin L. (1990). Orientation mechanisms in migrating European silver eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>): temperature and olfaction. <i>Mar. Biol.</i> , 106: 175-179.
5764 5765	Westin L. (1998). The spawning migration of European silver eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i> L.) with particular reference to stocked eel in the Baltic. <i>Fish. Res.</i> , 38: 257-270.
5766 5767	Westin L. (2003). Migration failure in stocked eels <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> . <i>Mar. Ecol. Pr. Ser.</i> , 254: 307-311. doi: 10.3354/MEPS254307.

5768 5769 5770 5771	Whigham D. F., Baldwin A. H., Barendregt A. (2019). Chapter 18 - Tidal Freshwater Wetlands. Coastal Wetlands (Second Edition) Eds. Perillo G. M. E., Wolanski E., Cahoon D. R., Hopkinson C. S. pp. 619-640. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-63893- 9.00018-6.
5772 5773	White E. M. and Knights B. (1994). Elver and eel stock assessment in the Severn and Avon. Bristol: National Rivers Authority.
5774 5775 5776	White E. M. and Knights B. (1997). Environmental Factors Affecting Migration of the European Eel in the Rivers Severn and Avon, England. <i>J. Fish Biol.</i> , 50: 1104-1116. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1997.tb01634.x.
5777 5778	Wickström, H. 1984. The Swedish eel stocking programme. EIFAC Technical Paper, 42(Suppl. 1): 68–83.
5779 5780 5781	Wickström H., Westin L., Clevestam P. (1996). The biological and economic yield from a long-term eel-stocking experiment. <i>Ecol. Freshw. Fish</i> , 5: 140-147. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0633.1996.tb00046.x.
5782 5783	Wickström H. and Sjöberg N. H. (2014). Traceability of stocked eels—the Swedish approach. <i>Ecol. Freshw. Fish</i> , 23: 33-39. doi: 10.1111/eff.12053.
5784 5785	Wilcove D. S. and Wikelski M. (2008). Going, going, gone: is animal migration disappearing. <i>Plos Biol.</i> , 6: e188. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0060188.
5786 5787 5788	Wilkes M. A., Mckenzie M., Webb J. A. (2018). Fish Passage Design for Sustainable Hydropower in the Temperate Southern Hemisphere: An Evidence Review. <i>Rev.</i> <i>Fish. Biol. Fish.</i> , 28(1): 117-135. doi: 10.1007/s11160-017-9496-8.
5789 5790	Wilson J. R. R. (1985). Depth-related changes in sagitta morphology in six macrourid fishes of the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans. <i>Copeia</i> , 4: 1011–1017.
5791 5792 5793	Winter H., Jansen H., Bruijs M. (2006). Assessing the impact of hydropower and fisheries on downstream migrating silver eel, <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> , by telemetry in the River Meuse. <i>Ecol. Freshw. Fish</i> , 15: 221-228. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0633.2006.00154.x.
5794 5795 5796	Winton D. J., Anderson L. G., Rocliffe S., Loiselle S. (2020). Macroplastic pollution in freshwater environments: focusing public and policy action. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> , 704: 135242. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135242.
5797 5798 5799	Wofford J. E., Gresswell R. E., Banks M. A. (2005). Influence of barriers to movement on within watershed genetic variation of coastal cutthroat trout. <i>Ecol. Appl.</i> , 15: 628-637. doi: 10.1890/04-0095.
5800 5801 5802	Wolter C. (2015). Historic catches, abundance, and decline of Atlantic salmon Salmo salar in the River Elbe. Aquat. Sci., 77: 367-380. doi: 10.1007/s00027-014-0372- 5.
5803 5804 5805	 Wood P., Partridge J. C., Grip W. J. (1992). Rod visual pigment changes in the elver of the eel <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> L. measured by microspectrophotometry. <i>J. Fish Biol.</i>, 41: 601-611. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1992.tb02686.x.
5806 5807 5808	Wood S. N. and Augustin N. H. (2002). GAMs with integrated model selection using penalized regression splines and applications to environmental modelling. <i>Ecol. Modell.</i> , 157: 157-177. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3800(02)00193-X.

- 5809 Wood S. N. (2006). Generalized additive models: an introduction with R (pp. 410). Boca
 5810 Raton: Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Woodall L. C., Sanchez-Vidal A., Canals M., Paterson G. L. J., Coppock R., Sleight V.,
 Calafat A., Rogers A. D., Narayanaswamy B. E., Thompson R. C. (2014). The
 Deep Sea Is a Major Sink for Microplastic Debris. *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 1(4): 140317.
 doi: 10.1098/rsos.140317.
- Wright R. M., Piper A. T., Aarestrup K., Azevedo J. M. N., Cowan G., Don A., Gollock
 M., Rodriguez Ramallo S., Velterop R., Walker A., Westerberg H., Righton D.
 (2022). First direct evidence of adult European eels migrating to their breeding
 place in the Sargasso Sea. *Sci. Rep.*, 12: 15362. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-192488.
- 5820 Wright S. L., Thompson, R. C. Galloway T. S. (2013). The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: a review. *Environ. Pollut.*, 178: 483-492. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2013.02.031.
- Wu F., Wang Y., Leung J. Y. S., Huang W., Zeng J., Tang Y., Chen J., Shi A., Yu X., Xu
 X., Zhang H., Cao L. (2020). Accumulation of microplastics in typical
 commercial aquatic species: A case study at a productive aquaculture site in
 China. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 708: 135432. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135432.
- 5827 Wullimann M., Rupp B., Reichert H. (1996). Neuroanatomy of the Zebrafish Brain. A
 5828 Topological Atlas, 1st ed.; Birkhäuser: Basel, Switzerland, pp. 144.
- 5829 Wysujack K., Westerberg H., Aarestrup K., Trautner J., Kurwie T., Nagel F., Hanel R.
 5830 (2015). The migration behaviour of European silver eels (*Anguilla anguilla*)
 5831 released in open ocean conditions. *Mar. Freshw. Res.*, 66: 145-157. doi:
 5832 10.1071/MF14023.
- 5833 Xu S., Ma J., Ji R., Pan K., Miao A. J. (2020). Microplastics in aquatic environments:
 5834 Occurrence, accumulation, and biological effects. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 10; 703:
 5835 134699. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134699.
- Yang G., Pan F., Gan W. B. (2009). Stably maintained dendritic spines are associated
 with lifelong memories. *Nature*, 462(7275): 920-924. doi: 10.1038/nature08577.
- Yilmaz S., Yazicioglu O., Saygin S., Polat N. (2014). Relationships of otolith dimensions
 with body length of European perch, *Perca fluviatilis* L., 1758 from Lake Ladik,
 Turkey. *Pak. J. Zool.*, 46: 1231-1238.
- Yoshihara Y., De Roo M., Muller D. (2009). Dendritic spine formation and stabilization.
 Curr. Opin. Neurobiol., 19(2): 146-153. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2009.05.013.
- Zamora L. and Costarrosa A. (2019). SERVEI: Caracterització de la població d'anguila
 Europea (*Anguilla anguilla*) i seguiment del seu reclutament al riu Ter (Girona) informe de tasques realitzades i resultats. Universitat de Girona, Girona.
- Zaqout S. and Kaindl A. M. (2016). Golgi-Cox staining step by step. *Front. Neuroanat.*,
 10: 38. doi: 10.3389/fnana.2016.00038.
- Zarfl C., Lumsdon A. E., Berlekamp J., Tydecks L., Tockner K. (2015). A global boom
 in hydropower dam construction. *Aquat. Sci.*, 77: 161-170. doi: 10.1007/s00027 014-0377-0.

- Zenimoto K., Sasai Y., Sasaki H., Kimura S. (2011). Estimation of larval duration in
 Anguilla spp., based on cohort analysis, otolith microstructure, and Lagrangian
 simulations. *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.*, 438: 219-228. doi: 10.3354/meps09255.
- Zhang L., Huang Y., Hu B. (2016). Olfactory experiences dynamically regulate plasticity
 of dendritic spines in granule cells of *Xenopus* tadpoles in vivo. *Sci. Rep.*, 6(1): 110. doi: 10.1038/srep35009 (2016).
- Zhao B., Liu J., Song J., Cao L., Dou S. (2018). Otolith shape analysis for stock
 discrimination of two *Collichthys* genus croaker (Pieces: Sciaenidae,) from the
 northern Chinese coast. *J. Oceanol. Lim.*, 36: 981-989. doi: 10.1007/s00343-0187082-0.
- Zhou Q., Homma K. J., Poo M. M. (2004). Shrinkage of dendritic spines associated with
 long-term depression of hippocampal synapses. *Neuron*, 44(5): 749-757. doi:
 10.1016/j.neuron.2004.11.011.
- Ziv N. E. and Smith S. J. (1996). Evidence for a role of dendritic filopodia in synaptogenesis and spine formation. *Neuron*, 17(1): 91-102. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80283-4.
- Zompola S., Katselis G., Koutsikopoulos C., Cladas Y. (2008). Temporal patterns of glass
 eel migration (*Anguilla anguilla* L. 1758) in relation to environmental factors in
 the Western Greek inland waters. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 80: 330-338. doi:
 10.1016/j.ecss.2008.08.007.
- Zuur A. F., Ieno E. N., Elphick C. S. (2010). A Protocol for Data Exploration to Avoid
 Common Statistical Problems. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*, 1: 3-14. doi: 10. 1111/j.2041210X.2009.00001.x.

9. Appendix: Bibliography of the Ph.D. student

9.1. Publications on topics pertinent to the Ph.D. thesis

Porceddu R.[†], Podda C.[†], Mulas G., Palmas F., Picci L., Scano C., Spiga S., Sabatini A. (2022).

Changes in Dendritic Spine Morphology and Density of Granule Cells in the Olfactory Bulb of *Anguilla anguilla* (L., 1758): A Possible Way to Understand Orientation and Migratory Behavior. *Biology*, 8: 1244. doi: 10.3390/biology11081244.





Artide

Changes in Dendritic Spine Morphology and Density of Granule Cells in the Olfactory Bulb of *Anguilla anguilla* (L., 1758): A Possible Way to Understand Orientation and Migratory Behavior

Riccardo Porceddu ^{1,2,†}⁽¹⁾, Cinzia Podda ^{1,8,†}⁽¹⁾, Giovanna Mulas ¹, Francesco Palmas ¹⁽²⁾, Luca Picci ¹, Claudia Scano ¹, Saturnino Spiga ¹ and Andrea Sabatini ¹

- ¹ Department of Life and Environmental Sciences (DiSVA), University of Cagliari, Via T. Fiorelli 1, 09126 Cagliari, Italy
- ² Consorzio Nazionale Interuniversitario per le Scienze Mare (CoNISMa), Piazzale Flaminio 9, 00196 Roma, Italy
- Conespondence: cpodda@unica.it
- + These authors contributed equally to this work

Simple Summary: The olfactory bulb can process odour cues through granular cells (GCs) and dendritic spines, changing their synaptic plasticity properties and their morphology. The GCs' dendritic spines density and morphology were analysed in *Anguilla mguilla*, considering the olfaction as a driver involved in fish orientation and migration. For the head and neck morphology, spines were classified as mushroom, long thin, stubby, and filopodia. Spines' density decreased from juvenile migrants to no-migrant stages and increased in the adult migrants. Spines' density was comparable between glass and silver eels as an adaptation to migration, while at non-migrating phases, spines' density decreased. For its phylogenetic Elopomorph attribution and its complex life cycle, *A mguilla* could be recommended as a model species to study the development of dendritic spines in GCs of the olfactory bulb. Considering the role of olfaction in the orientation and migration of *A. mguilla*, the modification of environmental stimuli (ocean alterations and climate change) could represent contributing factors that threaten this critically endangered species.

Abstract: Olfaction could represent a pivotal process involved in fish orientation and migration. The olfactory bulb can manage olfactive signals at the granular œll (GC) and dendritic spine levels for their synaptic plasticity properties and changing their morphology and structural stability after environmental odour cues. The GCs' dendritic spine density and morphology were analysed across the life stages of the catadromous Anguilla anguilla. According to the head and neck morphology, spines were classified as mushroom (M), long thin (LT), stubby (S), and filopodia (F). Total spines density decreased from juvenile migrants to no-migrant stages, to increase again in the adult migrant stage. Mean spines' density was comparable between glass and silver eels as an adaptation to migration. At non-migrating phases, spines' density decreased for M and LT, while M, LT, and S density increased in silver eels. A great dendritic spine development was found in the two migratory phases, regressing in trophic phases, but that could be recreated in adults, tracing the migratory memory of the routes travelled in juvenile phases. For its phylogenetic Elopomorph attribution and its complex life cycle, A. anguilla could be recommended as a model species to study the development of dendritic spines in GCs of the olfactory bulb as an index of synaptic plasticity involved in the modulation of olfactory stimuli. If olfaction is involved in the orientation and migration of A. anguilla and if eels possess a memory, these processes could be influenced by the modification of environmental stimuli (ocean alterations and rapid climate change) contributing to threatening this critically endangered species.

Keywords: catadromous fish olfaction; olfactory bulb; olfactory granule cell; dendritic spine development; European cel; orientation; migratory behaviour

C check for updates

Citation: Porcedda, R.; Podda, C.; Mulas, G.; Palmas, F.; Picci, L.; Scano, C.; Spiga, S.; Sabatini, A. Ohanges in Dendritic Spine Morphology and Density of Granule Cells in the Olfactory Bull of Argeillar argeilla (L., 1758): A Possible Way to Understand Orientation and Migratory Behavior. Biology 2022, 11, 1344. https://doi.org/10.3390/ biology11081244

Received: 21 July 2022 Accepted: 18 August 2022 Published: 21 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland 'This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Cavative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// cavative.commons.org/liarnses/by/ 4.0/).

Biology 2022, 11, 1244. https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11081244

https://www.mdpi.com/journal/biology

Podda C., Palmas F., Pusceddu A., Sabatini, A. (2022). When the eel meets dams: Larger dams' long-term impacts on Anguilla anguilla (L., 1758). Front. Environ. Sci., 10, 876369. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2022.876369.

Frontiers | Frontiers in Environmental Science

ORIGINAL RESEARCH published: 18 July 2022 doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2022.876369



When the Eel Meets Dams: Larger Dams' Long-Term Impacts on Anguilla anguilla (L., 1758)

Cinzia Podda*, Francesco Palmas, Antonio Pusceddu and Andrea Sabatini

Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Cadiari, Cadiari, Italy

Diadromous fish, like the European eel Anguilla anguilla (L., 1758), are highly threatened by dams that disrupt river connectivity, consequently impeding fish movements to reach feeding and spawning habitats. In this study, variation in eel occurrence between a historical period (1940-1970) and recent data (2016-2020) was assessed throughout the Sardinian rivers' network (more than 450 sites). Using Boosted Regression Trees (BRT) we investigated relationships between eel's occurrence and a set of spatial and temporal environmental variables including a set of dams' construction features for each period. An overall decrease by 65% of eel's occurrence was noticed during the ca. 80-years period under scrutiny. Considering a subset (105 and 88 sites for the historical and the recent period, respectively) characterized by the presence of larger dams (height >15 m), eel's occurrence dropped by 85%. Conversely, eel's occurrence dropped only by ca. 44% in dam-free sites. During the historical period, eel's occurrence was mostly affected by time since the initial habitat fragmentation, flow, distance to dams, connectivity, and dams' height. In the most recent period, eel's occurrence is mostly affected by dams' building year, dam-to-sea distance, and, again, dams' height. Results pinpoint that dams' construction features and the time from their construction have significant negative effects on eel's occurrence. Addition of future effective eel restoration practices, apart any other adverse environmental stressor, must consider dams' removal, wherever socially sustainable or alternatively, the modification of construction features of dams (like excessive height) and the addition of fish ladders.

Keywords: Diadromous species, European eel, freshwater ecosystems, damming, river fragmentation

INTRODUCTION

Specialty section: This article was submitted to Freshwater Science. a section of the journal Frontiers in Environmental Science

> Received: 15 February 2022 Accepted: 23 June 2022 Published: 18 July 2022

Podda C, Palmas F, Pusceddu A and Sabatini A (2022) When the Eel Meets Dams: Larger Dams' Long-Term Impacts on Anguita anguita (L., 1758). Front. Environ. Sci. 10:876369. dbi: 10.3389/feavs.2022.876369 et al., 2008). Free-flowing rivers provide migration routes for aquatic and riparian species, allow the transportation of sediments and nutrients, enable groundwater recharge, and mitigate flooding (Poff et al., 1997; Tickner et al., 2020). At the same time, rivers are essential elements for biodiversity and humans' wellbeing (Addams et al., 2009; Russi et al., 2013). Rivers are among the most threatened ecosystems by anthropogenic disturbances (Vörösmarty et al., 2010) including a large variety of obstacles (e.g., dams and weirs, road crossings, hydroelectric power plants, water abstraction for irrigation, flood control systems for municipal water security) (Welcomme, 1995; Jungwirth et al., 2000; Nilsson et al., 2005). Dams' construction has seen an acceleration worldwide during 1950/ 1960s (Dynesius and Nilsson, 1994; Postel and Richter, 2003; MacGregor et al., 2009), and more than two thirds of larger rivers have been fragmented (Grill et al., 2015). At present, ca. 2.8 million dams

Frontiers in Environmental Science | www.frontiersin.org

1

July 2022 | Volume 10 | Article 876369

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by: Robert Placnik,

Wasser Cluster Lunz, Austria Reviewed by: Jeyaraj Antony Johnson,

Wildlife Institute of India, India Maria Filomena Magalhães, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon, Portugal

> *Correspondence: Cirzia Podda cpodda@unica.it

> > Freshwaters are biodiversity hotspots with 13,000 fish species inhabiting rivers and lakes (Lévêque

Citation:

Podda C., Palmas, F., Pusceddu, A., Sabatini, A. (2021). Hard times for catadromous fish: The case of the European eel Anguilla anguilla (L. 1758). Adv. Oc. Limn., 12(2), 9997. doi: 10.4081/aiol.2021.9997.

REVIEW

Hard times for catadromous fish: the case of the European eel Anguilla anguilla (L. 1758)

Cinzia Podda,*1 Francesco Palmas,1 Antonio Pusceddu,1 Andrea Sabatini1

Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Cagliari, Via Fiorelli 1, 09126 Cagliari, Italy

ABSTRACT

Catadromous fish species can be defined important organisms for their ecological, economical, and cultural value. Because of a complex life cycle, catadromous fish species are exhibited to the cumulative effect of multiple anthropogenic threats that resulted in worldwide decline since the beginning of the 20th century. Among the most iconic catadromous species, the European ed *Anguilla anguilla maguilla has* aroused considerable interest, and to date, many aspects of its life cycle remain relatively unknown. Although conspicuous efforts by the research to ensure the perpetuation of the species were conducted, the identification of the best tools to reduce the threats that affect eels remains challenging. In this narrative review, the state of the knowledge and main threats about the life cycle, the habitat occupancy, the recruitment, and migration patterns of the European eel have been reported.

INTRODUCTION

The term diadromy describes migrations between freshwater and marine environments (Myers, 1949; Mc-Dowall, 1988; McDowall, 1992). Diadromous species include less than 3% of the world fish fauna (Eschmeyer and Fong, 2016), among which several ones are economically and culturally important, such as freshwater anguillid eels and salmons (Chapman et al., 2012).

Catadromous fish are characterized by a complex life cycle where fish breed in the ocean and growth in continental coastal and/or inland waters (McCleave, 2001), as seen in anguillids (Tesch, 2003; Elliot et al., 2007). Main ecological services provided by catadromous fish consist for example in the provision of food, and in the regulation of ecosystem functions by transporting nutrients and linking different biomes (Druineau et al., 2018a). Globally, these animals have been appreciated for human consumption showing a relevant economic interest (Costa-Dias et al., 2009; Feunteun and Laffaille, 2011). Catadromous fish can be also used as indicators of environmental quality and functionality (Smith et al., 2016). For instance, they are also commonly used as a metric in the assessment of water bodies ecological status in the European Water Framework Directive (Delpech et al., 2010) or as bio-indicators of water quality (Amara et al., 2009), reflecting both habitat longitudinal connectivity and habitat quality. In this context, an exiguous number of catadromous fish species are identified as 'umbrella species' in order to ensure the protection of these species and their habitats (Rochard et al., 2009). They are also magnified by many cultures, foster a belonging sense, and support million-dollar fishing (Garman, 1992; Close et al., 2002; Montgomery, 2003; Chasco et al. 2017; NOAA, 2017). Because of this general interest, catadromous fish are object of studies in all their dimensions (Drouineau et al., 2018b) and strongly linked to research questions associated with animal migration (Secor, 2015; Morais and Daverat, 2016).



Catadromous fish use along their migration pathways a variety of habitats and face many diverse environmental threats (McIntyre et al., 2016). In Europe, as observed for most migratory animals (Sanderson et al., 2006; Wilcove and Wikelski, 2008), a worldwide decline of migratory fish has been recorded at least since the beginning of the 20th century (Béguer et al., 2007; Wolter, 2015; Lambert et al., 2019). The causes are numerous and likely cumulative (e.g., obstacles to migration, deterioration in essential habitat and water quality, unsustainable fisheries, parasite introductions), although quantitative evidence has been rarely demonstrated (Dekker and Casselman, 2014). As a result, many catadromous species are now classified as rare, endangered, or extinct, in the IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2019). There is, therefore, an urgent need to develop approaches that provide reliable quantification of the specific impacts of the different anthropogenic pressures acting on catadromous species. This would help support the implementation of effective mitigation measures and provide adequate tools for national and international regulation around the world. Among the most iconic catadromous species, the European eel Anguilla anguilla (Linnaeus, 1758) has been the focus of many studies (e.g., Dekker, 2003a: Bonhommeau et al., 2008: Kettle et al., 2011; Baltazar-Soares et al., 2014; Schiavina et al., 2015; Aalto et al., 2016; Righton et al., 2016; Bomarel et al., 2018; Bevacqua et al., 2019; Dekker, 2019). The life cycle of the European eel A. anguilla has stimulated great curiosity and interest since at least the 4th century BC. where already some important Greek philosophers like Aristotle hypothesized on the origin of this species, which remained enshrouded in mystery for millennia. The recent interest increase in eel biology is primarily linked to conservation issues. Therefore, to implement our knowledge about the main natural and anthropogenic threats to its survivorship and identify possible solutions to preserve it, there is an urgent need to gain further insights into A. anguilla life-history

Podda C., Palmas F., Frau G., Chessa G., Culurgioni J., Diciotti R., Fois N., Sabatini A. (2020). Environmental influences on the recruitment dynamics of juvenile European eels, *Anguilla anguilla* (L.), in a small estuary of the Tyrrhenian Sea (Sardinia, Italy). *Aquat. Conserv.: Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.*, 30(8): 1638-1648. doi: 10.1002/aqc.3362.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

DOI: 10.1002/agc.3362

WILEY

Environmental influences on the recruitment dynamics of juvenile European eels, *Anguilla anguilla*, in a small estuary of the Tyrrhenian Sea, Sardinia, Italy

Cinzia Podda¹ | Francesco Palmas¹ | Giacomo Frau¹ | Giovanna Chessa² | Jacopo Culurgioni² | Riccardo Diciotti² | Nicola Fois² | Andrea Sabatini¹

¹Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy ²Ichthyle Phoducts Research Service, Agris-Agricultural Research Agency of Sardinia, Bonasai, Italy

Correspondence

Francesco Palmas, Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Cagliari, Via Florelli 1, 09126 Cagliari, Italy. Emait (palmas@unica.it

Funding information Councilor for Agriculture and Agro-Pastoral Reform, Sardinia, Italy, Grant/Award Number: 972/DecA/55 (06.08.2013)

Abstract

- The European eel, Anguilla anguilla, is a catadromous and migratory species of commercial importance. Its complex life cycle results in its exposure to many risk factors, which have resulted in stock declines across all life stages since the 1970s.
- 2. The temporal recruitment dynamics of juvenile eels (glass eels and elvers) were investigated in a small Mediterranean estuary (Sardinia, Italy). The composition of the population and the monthly and seasonal variations in the abundances of juvenile eels was assessed over 78 sampling events (from February 2017 to February 2018). Furthermore, the effects of abiotic variables on the abundances of glass eels and elvers were investigated using generalized additive models (GAMs).
- 3. Glass eels had the greatest abundance during the winter months, whereas elvers had the greatest abundance during spring. Modelling revealed that the abundance of glass eels was mostly explained by the combined effects of water temperature (12.3–14.5 °C), tidal coefficient (40–110 cm), moon phase, season, and river mouth condition, whereas the abundance of elvers was associated with water temperature (14–21 °C), dissolved oxygen content (>7 mg/L), and season. These results suggest that the annual recruitment of juvenile eels occurs throughout the year, with clear seasonal migration dynamics.
- 4. The use of multiple statistical approaches allowed us to identify the importance of several environmental variables in regulating the recruitment dynamics, providing useful information for conserving eel stocks through the restoration of the natural flow regime and the connectivity between freshwater habitats and the sea.

KEYWORDS

Arguilla arguilla, environmental factors, GAM, juvenile recruitment, Mediterranean Sea, small estuary

Cinzia Podda and Francesco Palmas contributed equally to this work.

Aquatic Conserv: Mar Freshw Ecosyst. 2020;1-11.

wileyoninelibrary.com/journal/aqc

© 2020 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

9.2. Other publications on topics not pertinent to the Ph.D. thesis

- Palmas, F., Cau, A., Podda, C., Musu, A., Serra, M., Pusceddu, A., et al. (2022). Rivers of waste: Anthropogenic litter in intermittent Sardinian rivers, Italy (Central Mediterranean), Env. Poll. 302, 119073. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119073.
- AA.VV. (2022). Carta Ittica della Sardegna D.G.R. n. 2/28 del 20/01/2022. Regione Autonoma della Sardegna (ADA/STNPF)/Università degli Studi di Cagliari (DISVA), pp. 428. https://delibere.regione.sardegna.it/protected/58916/0/def/ref/DBR58914/.
- Podda C., Palmas F., Cabiddu S., Pesci P., Sabatini A. (2020). Exploring relationships between the distribution of giant red shrimp *Aristaeomorpha foliacea* (Risso, 1827) and environmental factors in the Central-Western Mediterranean Sea. *Adv. Oc. Limn.*, 11(2). doi: 10.4081/aiol.2020.9471.
- Podda C., Palmas F., Musu A., Frau G., Serra M., Sabatini A. (2020). Management and Conservation of a trout population in a Fish Management Area (FMA). *Italian Journal* of Freshwater Ichthyology, 6(1): 21-30.
- Sabatini A., Cappai L., Careddu M.B., Frau G., Ledda M., Musu A., Podda C., Serra M., Palmas F. (2020). La distribuzione dei Salmonidi in Sardegna (Italia): i risultati della Carta Ittica Regionale. *Italian Journal of Freshwater Ichthyology*, 6(1): 1-12.
- Palmas F., Righi T., Musu A., Frongia C., Podda C., Serra M., Splendiani A., Caputo Barucchi V., Sabatini A. (2020). Pug-Headedness Anomaly in a Wild and Isolated Population of Native Mediterranean Trout *Salmo trutta* L., 1758 Complex (Osteichthyes: Salmonidae). Diversity,12: 353. doi: 10.3390/d12090353.
- Peddio S., Sollai G., Podda C., Frau G., Palmas F., Sabatini A., Crnjar R. (2019). The success in the short-distance mating behaviour of the decapod crustacean *Procambarus clarkii* does not depend on chemical signals. Adv. Oc. Limn., 10: 8617.doi: 10.4081/aiol.2019.8617.

9.3. Congress participation

- **Podda C.** Mechanisms driving juvenile eels' recruitment in a small estuary of the Tyrrhenian Sea. 13-15 April 2021: Incontro dei dottorandi e dei giovani ricercatori in Ecologia e Scienze dei Sistemi Acquatici - online.
- Dessì C., **Podda C.**, Pittura L., Cau A. Preliminary data on European eel skin mucus as trapper of microplastics in riverine ecosystems. 13-15 April 2021: Incontro dei dottorandi e dei giovani ricercatori in Ecologia e Scienze dei Sistemi Acquatici - online.

- Podda C., Musu A., Palmas F., Serra M., Pusceddu A., Sabatini A. Impact of larger dams on freshwater distribution of *Anguilla anguilla* (L., 1758). 30 June - 2 July 2021: XXV Congresso AIOL - online.
- Podda C., Culurgioni J., Chessa G., Diciotti R., Maxia M., Alessio M., Palmas F., Serra G., Serra M., Fois N., Sabatini A. Glass eels (*Anguilla anguilla*, L. 1758) recruitment evaluation through a new sampling method. 30 June - 2 July 2021: XXV Congresso AIOL - online.
- Diciotti R., Chessa G., Culurgioni J., Manca S., **Podda C.**, Palmas F., Fois N., Sabatini A. Farming wild-caught glass eels for habitat restocking in Sardinia. 21-24 September 2021: XXIV Congresso ASPA – Padova.
- Chessa F., Mara L., Casula P., Gallus M., Palmas F., Podda C., Sabatini A., Dattena M. Freezing semen of wild trout with the use of commercial medium. Preliminary study. 21-24 September 2021: XXIV Congresso ASPA – Padova.
- Podda C., Musu A., Palmas F., Serra M., Pusceddu A., Sabatini A. Effects of larger dams on the occurrence of the catadromous European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*, L. 1758). 25-27 October 2021: XXX Congresso SITE - online.
- Podda C., Pusceddu A., Sabatini A. Effects of larger dams on the occurrence of the catadromous European eel Anguilla anguilla (L. 1758). 19 November 2021: X PhD Congress, UPVDOC, University of Perpignan (France).
- **Podda C.** Restocking with wild-caught farmed European eels. 25-26 May 2022: Incontro Giovani Ricercatori in Ecologia e Scienze dei Sistemi Acquatici online.
- Podda C., Palmas F., Chessa G., Culurgioni J., Diciotti R., Fois N., Sabatini A. Restocking with wild caught farmed European eels: an alternative approach. 27 June - 1 July 2022: XXVI Congresso AIOL.
- Podda C., Porceddu R., Mulas G., Palmas F., Picci L., Scano C., Spiga S., Sabatini A. Dendritic spine morphology and density of granule cells in the olfactory bulb of *Anguilla anguilla* (L. 1758). 20 23 September 2022: 81° Congresso UZI, Trieste.
- Palmas F., Casula P., Podda C., Curreli F., Sabatini A. Detenction of endangered mediterranean trout Salmo trutta L.,1758 complex (osteichthyes: salmonidae) using non-harmful visual methods. 20 – 23 September 2022: 81° Congresso UZI, Trieste.

- Podda C., Palmas F., Cau A., Pittura L., Pusceddu A., Sabatini A. Ingestione di microplastiche nell'anguilla Europea Anguilla anguilla (L. 1758) in un corso d'acqua della Sardegna (Mediterraneo centro-occidentale): studio preliminare. 29 September - 2 October 2022: XVIII Congresso AIIAD, Sulmona.
- Sabatini A., Cappai L., Careddu M. B., Frau G., Musu A., **Podda C.**, Serra M., Palmas F. La carta ittica della Sardegna (Italia): risultati e indicazioni gestionali. 29 September 2 October 2022: XVIII Congresso AIIAD, Sulmona.

9.4. Awards

- "AIOL Giuseppe Morabito" Award for the best oral presentation at the XXV AIOL (Italian Association of Oceanography and Limnology) Congress (online) with the contribution Impact of larger dams on freshwater distribution of *Anguilla anguilla* (L., 1758). 30 June - 2 July 2021.
- "Riccardo Cattaneo Vietti" Award for the best oral presentation at the XXX SItE (Italian Society of Ecology) Congress (online) with the contribution Effects of larger dams on the occurrence of the catadromous European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*, L. 1758). 25-27 October 2021.
- "Subsidy application" Award for the scientific relevance of the contribution presented concerning topics related to Zoology at the 81° UZI (Italian Zoologic Union) Congress with the contribution Dendritic spine morphology and density of granule cells in the olfactory bulb of *Anguilla anguilla* (l. 1758). 20-23 September 2022.