Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Editorial

## Forest Ecology and Management

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foreco

## /locate/foreco



## Advances and perspectives on the ecology and management of Castanea species

Species of chestnut (Castanea spp.) are naturally widespread throughout temperate forests of the northern hemisphere in Asia, Europe, and North America. Populations have been naturalized outside of species' native ranges in Europe, North America, South America and Oceania. The wide diffusion on a planetary level over tens of millions of years has resulted in high genetic variability within the genus and species adaptations to disparate environmental conditions (Dane et al., 2003; Mellano et al., 2012; Krebs et al., 2019). Perhaps more than many other tree species, the history of chestnut has been closely linked to human civilizations who utilized chestnut as an agricultural and forest resource over millennia. Chestnut species have had important cultural significance for Indigenous communities, although much Traditional Ecological Knowledge has been lost (Barnhill-Dilling and Delborne, 2019), and chestnut species have been subjected to challenges of the contemporary Anthropocene, from globalization to climate change. Alterations to disturbance regimes, particularly related to drought and fire, and the introduction of nonnative pests and pathogens, have reduced genetic diversity and population densities, particularly for species in North America, Europe, and western Asia (Mellano et al., 2012; Dalgleish et al., 2016). Forest management practices, genomic tools, tree breeding, and prediction models have been developed and tested to meet these challenges (Jacobs et al., 2013; Fernandes et al., 2022). Most strategies, however, are underdeveloped and species specific, including for American chestnut (Burnham et al., 1986; Anagnostakis, 2012; Fei et al., 2012) and sweet chestnut (Conedera et al., 2016; Manetti et al., 2019; Marcolin et al., 2020; Patrício et al., 2020). A global perspective for chestnut sustainability, conservation, and management has largely been missing in the literature, excluding proceedings from International Chestnut Symposia (e.g., Double and MacDonald, 2014).

These considerations contributed to formation of a chestnut working party within the Silviculture Division of the International Union of Forest Research Organizations (IUFRO) (Working Party 1.01.13, https://www.iufro.org/science/divisions/division-1/10000/10100/1 0113/). One of the Working Party's first objectives was to provide a platform for sharing emerging research topics and results for a better understanding of the strategies necessary for the sustainability and restoration of chestnut forests and related agroforestry ecosystems. The Special Issue on the Ecology and Management of Castanea Species brought together diverse research from around the globe, highlighting the importance of chestnut forests and their cultural and ecological significance. The papers covered a wide range of topics, from pathology and physiological research to silvicultural and management practices. The research presented here suggests that while there are commonalities across species, there is no 'one-size-fits-all' approach to managing

Available online 25 May 2023 0378-1127/Published by Elsevier B.V. chestnut forests. Successful strategies are tailored to specific management goals within particular geographic regions or stand conditions.

Authors with affiliations from twelve different countries contributed to twenty-two papers accepted for publication, of which eleven focused on American chestnut [C. dentata (Marsh.) Borkh.], nine on sweet chestnut (C. sativa Mill.), and one on Chinese chinkapin [C. henryi (Skan) Rehder & E.H. Wilson]; one paper integrated two chestnut species (American and sweet chestnuts). Although this Special Issue was open to topics for all chestnut species, the majority of the contributions were focused on sweet and American chestnut. Three studies from North America utilized Chinese chestnut (C. mollissima) as a control treatment in experiments testing Chinese-American chestnut backcross hybrids (Brown et al., 2022, 2023 this issue; Clark et al., 2023a this issue), but studies using Asian chestnut species as the primary species of interest were limited to one paper (Chen et al., 2023 this issue). It is probable that the importance of non-forestry goals, in addition to linguistic restrictions, were significant obstacles to producing technical-scientific literature for Asian species.

Much of the research in the Special Issue was directed towards artificially regenerated forests in Europe, South America, and North America, but primary goals of planting chestnut differed among the continents. For European forests and naturalized forests in South America, the goals were related primarily to timber or improvements on wood production (Benedetti-Ruiz et al., 2023 this issue; Loewe-Muñoz et al., 2023 this issue; Manetti et al., 2022 this issue; Patrício et al., 2022 this issue), while planting chestnut in North America was related primarily to fulfill ecological restoration goals (Brown et al., 2022, 2023 this issue; Clark, et al., 2022 this issue; Pinchot et al., 2022 this issue; Schaberg et al., 2022 this issue; Clark, et al., 2023 this issue; Evans et al., 2023 this issue). Reinvigorating abandoned coppice forests was an important research topic for sweet chestnut in Europe (Vericat et al., 2022 this issue; Manetti et al., 2022 this issue).

For American chestnut, the research was often field based to answer novel questions, such as temporal dynamics of non-structural carbohydrates (Montague et al., 2022 this issue), soil mycology (Brown et al., 2023 this issue), and species comparisons of growth and soil fungal effects between two species within the Fagaceae family (Brown et al., 2022 this issue). For the first time, assisted migration using backcross hybrid chestnuts (Clark et al., 2022 this issue) and physiology of transgenic chestnut (Evans et al., 2023 this issue) were studied in a silvicultural context. Two studies examined provenance or genetic differences in field performance (Schaberg et al., 2022 this issue; Clark et al., 2023a this issue), revealing that pure American chestnut and backcross American chestnut hybrids have exceptional growth, even when limited by cold temperatures, but hybrids do not yet have durable



blight resistance.

There were themes that spanned species and continents: browse effects on planted seedlings and resprouting shoots (Bottero et al., 2022 this issue; Pinchot et al., 2022 this issue), co-occurring species effects on population dynamics (Campagnaro et al., 2023 this issue; Dalgleish et al., 2023 this issue), and modelling tools to improve management in a changing climate (Patrício et al., 2022 this issue; Henderson et al., 2023 this issue; Menéndez-Miguélez et al., 2023 this issue). For the first time, a synthesis paper was published that summarizes silvicultural histories and current strategies and examined potential synergies for two chestnut species (American and sweet) (Clark et al., 2023b this issue). Some studies were conducted at relatively small scales of the single organism, such as nursery production of Chinese chinkapin (Chen et al., 2023 this issue) and factors affecting hypovirulent blight control of sweet chestnut in England (Romon-Ochoa et al., 2023 this issue). Only one paper had a wildlife component, reporting mast preference comparisons among oaks (Quercus), American chestnut, and chestnut hybrids (Wright et al., 2022 this issue), a research topic that has gone virtually unstudied (but see Minser et al., 1995).

Based on the contributions found in this Special Issue, chestnut ecology and silvicultural research has evolved towards refining management for production of myriad resources and cultural values. Management goals appear to be largely based on economic returns for European, Asian, and naturalized populations in South America, but ecological restoration of an extirpated species is the primary goal for North American populations. Research, regardless of primary objectives, will need to include climate-forward approaches as well as mitigation for forest abandonment and nonnative pests. Notably, Phytophthora root rot (as known in North America) or ink disease (as known in Europe) [causal organisms Phytophthora cinnamomi Rands and P. cambivora (Petri) Buisman], chestnut blight [causal organism Cryphonectria parasitica (Murrill) Barr.], and Asian gall wasp (Dryocosmus kuriphilus Yasumatsu) represent significant threats. Additionally, ecological and silvicultural strategies will increasingly rely on modeling for population distributions, growth and yield, and/or stand dynamics to better predict responses to specific management actions within a changing climate.

Looking to the future, continued research and collaboration will be necessary to ensure the sustainability of chestnut forests in the face of challenges such as climate change, nonnative pests and pathogens, and changing disturbance regimes. Despite the research advancements and synthesis presented in the papers of this Special Issue, knowledge gaps still exist (Clark et al., 2023b this issue). Some examples include testing close-to-nature silvicultural approaches, responses to prescribed fire or wildfire, and developing economic markets for emerging products. Greater emphasis on global collaboration and knowledge sharing, as well as the development and testing of new strategies and technologies, will be key to the success of filling knowledge gaps and future research efforts. With continued collaboration and knowledge synthesis, we hope to ensure the survival and continued importance of chestnut species for generations to come.

Lastly, the varied research activities of the genus *Castanea* at a global level corresponds to a relatively small community of researchers. Therefore, we have to especially thank all of the authors who submitted papers for consideration and the reviewers who provided their valuable input (even for multiple submissions). We greatly appreciate Dan Binkley, the handling editor, who provided invaluable assistance for production of this Special Issue.

## References

- Anagnostakis, S.L., 2012. Chestnut breeding in the United States for disease and insect resistance. Plant Dis. 96 (10), 1392–1403.
- Barnhill-Dilling, S.K., Delborne, J.A., 2019. The genetically engineered American chestnut tree as opportunity for reciprocal restoration in Haudenosaunee communities. Biol. Conserv. 232, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.01.018.

- Benedetti-Ruiz, S., Loewe-Muñoz, V., Del Río, R., Delard, C., Barrales, L., Balzarini, M., 2023. Effect of thinning on growth and shape of Castanea sativa adult tree plantations for timber production in Chile. For. Ecol. Manage. 530, 120762 https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120762.
- Bottero, A., Meloni, F., Garbarino, M., Motta, R., 2022. Temperate coppice forests in north-western Italy are resilient to wild ungulate browsing in the short to medium term. For. Ecol. Manage. 523, 120484 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foreco.2022.120484.
- Brown, S.P., Clark, S.L., Ford, E., Jumpponen, A., Saxton, A.M., Schlarbaum, S.E., Baird, R., 2022. Comparisons of interspecies field performance of Fagaceae (*Casta-nea and Quercus*) planted in the southeastern United States with attention to soil fungal impacts on plant performance. For. Ecol. Manage. 525, 120569 https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120569.
- Brown, S.P., Clark, S.L., Ford, E., Mirza, N., Odeh, A., Schlarbaum, S.E., Jumpponen, A., Baird, R., 2023. Convergent shifts in soil fungal communities associated with Fagaceae reforestation in the Southern Appalachian Mountains. For. Ecol. Manage. 531, 120805 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2023.120805.
- Burnham, C.R., Rutter, P.A., French, D.W., 1986. Breeding blight-resistant chestnuts. Plant Breed. Rev. 4, 347–397.
- Campagnaro, T., Trentanovi, G., Iacopino, S., Squartini, A., Simonelli, F.G., Alterio, E., Bertoldo, G., Concheri, G., Grigolato, S., Portaccio, A., Rizzi, A., Rizzieri Masin, R., Stevanato, P., Tasinazzo, S., Sitzia, T., 2023. Sweet chestnut forests under black locust invasion threat and different management: An assessment of stand structure and biodiversity. For. Ecol. Manage. 537 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foreco.2023.120907.
- Chen, W., He, L., Tian, S., Yuan, D., Masabni, J., Xiong, H., Zou, F., 2023. The role of ectomycorrhization with Scleroderma sp. in promoting substrate nutrients mobilization under phosphorus-enriched compost amendment: A case study with *Castanea henryi* seedlings. For. Ecol. Manage. 532, 120823 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foreco.2023.120823.
- Clark, P.W., Freeman, A.J., D'Amato, A.W., Schaberg, P.G., Hawley, G.J., Evans, K.S., Woodall, C.W., 2022. Restoring a keystone tree species for the future: American chestnut assisted migration plantings in an adaptive silviculture experiment. For. Ecol. Manage. 523, 120505 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120505.
- Clark, S.L., Schlarbaum, S.E., Saxton, A.M., Jeffers, S.N., Baird, R.E., 2023a. Eight-year field performance of backcross American chestnut (*Castanea dentata*) seedlings planted in the southern Appalachians, USA. For. Ecol. Manage. 532, 120820 https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2023.120820.
- Clark, S.L., Marcolin, E., Patrício, M.S., Loewe-Muñoz, V., 2023b. A silvicultural synthesis of sweet (*Castanea sativa*) and American (*C. dentata*) chestnuts. For. Ecol. Manage, 539, 121041 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2023.121041.
- Conedera, M., Tinner, W., Krebs, P., De Rigo, D., Caudullo, G., 2016. Castanea sativa in Europe: distribution, habitat, usage and threats. In: San-Miguel-Ayanz, J., de Rigo, D., Caudullo, G., Houston, Durrant, T., Mauri, A. (Eds.), European Atlas of Forest Tree Species. Publ. Off. EU, Luxembourg.
- Dalgleish, H.J., Nelson, C.D., Scrivani, J.A., Jacobs, D.F., 2016. Consequences of shifts in abundance and distribution of American Chestnut for restoration of a foundation forest tree. Forests 7 (4), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.3390/f7010004.
- Dalgleish, H.J., Monteith, L., Collins, E., 2023. Age, size and neighbors influence the survival and growth of understory trees in a naturally reproducing population of American chestnut, *Castanea dentata*. For. Ecol. Manage. 532, 120824 https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foreco.2023.120824.

Dane, F., Lang, P., Huang, H., Fu, Y., 2003. Intercontinental genetic divergence of Castanea species in eastern Asia and eastern North America. Heredity 91 (3), 314–321.

- Double, M.L., MacDonald, W.L., 2014. Proceedings of the International Chestnut Symposium. Acta Hortic. 1019. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2014.1019.
- Evans, G.R., Burton, J.I., Powell, W.A., Drake, J.E., 2023. Comparative growth and physiological performance of American Chestnuts, Oaks, Hickories, and sugar maple across a silvicultural gradient in overstory retention. For. Ecol. Manage. 536, 120908 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2023.120908.
- Fei, S., Liang, L., Paillet, F.L., Steiner, K.C., Fang, J., Shen, Z., Wang, Z., Hebard, F.V., 2012. Modelling chestnut biogeography for American chestnut restoration. Diversity Distrib. 18, 754–768. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2012.00886.x.
- Fernandes, P., Colavolpe, M.B., Serrazina, S., Costa, R.L., 2022. European and American chestnuts: An overview of the main threats and control efforts. Front. Plant Sci. 13, 951844 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.951844.
- Henderson, A.F., Santoro, J.A., Kremer, P., 2023. Impacts of spatial scale and resolution on species distribution models of American chestnut (*Castanea dentata*) in Pennsylvania, USA. For. Ecol. Manage. 529, 120741 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foreco.2022.120741.
- Jacobs, D.F., Dalgleish, H.J., Nelson, C.D., 2013. A conceptual framework for restoration of threatened plants: the effective model of American Chestnut (*Castanea dentata*) reintroduction. New Phytol. 197 (2), 378–393.
- Krebs, P., Pezzatti, G.B., Beffa, G., Tinner, W., Conedera, M., 2019. Revising the sweet chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill.) refugia history of the last glacial period with extended pollen and macrofossil evidence. Quat. Sci. Rev. 206, 111–128. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.01.002.
- Loewe-Muñoz, V., Delard, C., del Río, R., Barrales, L., Balzarini, M., 2023. Mixed Castanea sativa plantations including arboreal companion species enhance chestnut growth and high-quality timber production. For. Ecol. Manage. 529, 120742 https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120742.
- Manetti, M.C., Conedera, M., Pelleri, F., Montini, P., Maltoni, A., Mariotti, B., Pividori, M., Marcolin, E., 2022. Optimizing quality wood production in chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill.) coppices. For. Ecol. Manage. 523, 120490 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120490.

Manetti, M.C., Marcolin, E., Pividori, M., Zanuttini, R., Conedera, M., 2019. Coppice woodlands and chestnut wood technology. In: Beccaro G., Alma A., Bounous G, Gomes-Larajo J. (Eds.), The Chestnut Handbook, pp. 275-295. Doi:10.1201/ 9780429445606-10.

Marcolin, E., Manetti, M.C., Pelleri, F., Conedera, M., Pezzatti, G.B., Lingua, E., Pividori, M., 2020. Seed regeneration of sweet chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Miller) under different coppicing approaches. For. Ecol. Manage. 472, 118273 https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118273.

Mellano, M., Beccaro, G., Donno, D., Marinoni, D.T., Boccacci, P., Canterino, S., Cerutti, A.K., Bounous, G., 2012. *Castanea* spp. biodiversity conservation: collection and characterization of the genetic diversity of an endangered species. Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. 59 (8), 1727–1741.

Menéndez-Miguélez, M., Álvarez-Álvarez, P., Pardos, M., Madrigal, G., Ruiz-Peinado, R., López-Senespleda, E., Del Rio, M., Calama, R., 2023. Development of tools to estimate the contribution of young sweet chestnut plantations to climate-change mitigation. For. Ecol. Manage. 530, 120761 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foreco.2022.120761.

Minser, W.G., Allen, T., Ellsperman, B., Schlarbaum, S.E., 1995. Feeding response of wild turkeys to chestnuts and other hard mast. In: Proceedings of the Annual Conference of Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies, pp. 488-497.

Montague, M.S., Landhäusser, S.M., McNickle, G.G., Jacobs, D.F., 2022. Preferential allocation of carbohydrate reserves belowground supports disturbance-based management of American chestnut (*Castanea dentata*). For. Ecol. Manage. 509, 120078 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120078.

Patrício, M.S., Nunes, L., Monteiro, M.L., 2020. Does the application of silvicultural management models drive the growth and stem quality of sweet chestnut coppices towards sustainability? New For. 51, 615–630. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-019-09748-3.

Patrício, M.S., Dias, C.R.G., Nunes, L., 2022. Mixed-effects generalized height-diameter model: A tool for forestry management of young sweet chestnut stands. For. Ecol. Manage. 514, 120209 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120209.

Pinchot, C.C., Royo, A.A., Stanovick, J.S., Schlarbaum, S.E., Sharp, A.M., Anagnostakis, S.L., 2022. Deer browse susceptibility limits chestnut restoration success in northern hardwood forests. For. Ecol. Manage. 523, 120481 https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120481.

Romon-Ochoa, P., Lewis, A., Gorton, C., van der Linde, S., Pérez-Sierra, A., 2023. Effects of growth Medium, temperature and mycelium age on CHV-1 accumulation and transmission. For. Ecol. Manage. 529, 120705 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foreco.2022.120705.

Schaberg, P.G., Murakami, P.F., Collins, K.M., Hansen, C.F., Hawley, G.J., 2022. Phenology, cold injury and growth of American chestnut in a Range-Wide provenance test. For. Ecol. Manage. 513, 120178 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foreco.2022.120178.

Vericat, P., Coello, J., Beltrán, M., Piqué, M., 2022. Effectiveness of chemical and physical methods for stump sprout control in Castanea sativa Mill. For. Ecol. Manage. 525, 120537 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120537.

Wright, J.R., Matthews, S.N., Pinchot, C.C., Tonra, C.M., 2022. Preferences of avian seedhoarders in advance of potential American chestnut reintroduction. For. Ecol. Manage. 511, 120133 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120133.

Enrico Marcolin<sup>a</sup>, Stacy L. Clark<sup>b,\*</sup>, Maria Sameiro Patrício<sup>c,d</sup> Verónica Loewe-Muñoz<sup>e,</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Department of Land, Environment, Agriculture and Forestry (TESAF), University of Padova, Via dell'Università 16, 35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy
<sup>b</sup> Southern Research Station, U.S.D.A. Forest Service, 2505 E. J. Chapman Drive, Rm 427 Plant Biotech Bldg, Knoxville, TN 37996-4563, United States

<sup>c</sup> Centro de Investigação de Montanha (CIMO), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia, 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal <sup>d</sup> Laboratório Associado para a Sustentabilidade e Tecnologia em Regiões de Montanha (SusTEC), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia, 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal

<sup>e</sup> Chilean Forest Institute (INFOR), Metropolitan Office, Sucre 2397, Santiago, Chile

<sup>f</sup> Centro Nacional de Excelencia para la Industria de la Madera (CENAMAD), Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile

\* Corresponding author at: Southern Research Station, U.S.D.A. Forest Service, 2505 E. J. Chapman Drive, Rm 427 Plant Biotech Bldg, Knoxville, TN 37996-4563, United States (S.L. Clark). *E-mail addresses*: enrico.marcolin@unipd.it (E. Marcolin), stacy.l. clark@usda.gov (S.L. Clark), sampat@ipb.pt (M.S. Patrício), vloewe@infor.cl (V. Loewe-Muñoz).