

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI RICERCA METROLOGICA Repository Istituzionale

Intercomparison of Vaisala RS92 and RS41 radiosonde temperature sensors under controlled laboratory conditions

Original

Intercomparison of Vaisala RS92 and RS41 radiosonde temperature sensors under controlled laboratory conditions / Coppa, Graziano; Musacchio, Chiara; Merlone, Andrea; Rosoldi, Marco; Madonna, Fabio. - (2022). (Intervento presentato al convegno TECO-2022 tenutosi a Parigi, Francia nel 10-13 ottobre 2022).

Availability:

This version is available at: 11696/75100 since: 2022-12-21T12:41:37Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

Intercomparison of Vaisala RS92 and RS41 radiosonde temperature sensors under controlled laboratory conditions

G. Coppa¹, M. Rosoldi², C. Musacchio¹, A. Merlone¹,

F. Madonna²

METEOME **Metrology for Meteorology**

¹Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica, Torino, IT

²Istituto di Metodologie per l'Analisi Ambientale – Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Tito Scalo, IT

Abstract

Radiosoundings are essential for weather and climate applications, as well as for calibration and validation of remote sensing observations. In the fall of 2013, Vaisala introduced the **RS41 model to progressively replace the RS92**. To ensure the highest quality of measurements following the transition from RS92 to RS41, a methodology was introduced to simultaneously test and compare the two radiosonde models inside climatic chambers, in terms of noise, calibration accuracy, and bias in temperature measurements. A pair of RS41 and RS92 radiosondes has been tested at ambient pressure under very different temperature and humidity conditions, reproducing the atmospheric conditions that a radiosonde can meet at the ground before launch. The radiosondes have also been tested before and after fast temperature changes of about ±20 °C, simulating a scenario similar to steep thermal changes that radiosondes can meet when passing from indoor to outdoor environment during the pre-launch phase. The results show that the temperature sensor of RS41 is less affected by noise and more accurate than that of RS92, with noise values less than 0.06 °C for RS41 and less than 0.1 °C for RS92. The deviation from the reference value, referred to as calibration error, is within ±0.1 °C for RS41 and the related uncertainty is less than 0.06 °C, while RS92 is affected by a cold bias in the calibration, which ranges up to a few 0.1 °C, with a calibration uncertainty less than 0.1 °C.

The temperature bias between RS41 and RS92 is within ±0.1 °C, while its uncertainty is less than 0.1 °C. The thermal changes that radiosondes can meet during the pre-launch phase might lead to a noise increase in temperature sensors during radiosoundings, up to 0.1 °C for RS41 and up to 0.3 °C for RS92, with a similar increase in their calibration uncertainty, as well as an increase in the uncertainty of their bias up to 0.3 °C.



Climatic chamber Kambic MeteoCal KK-105 in operation at INRiM.

Climatic chambers for the RS41-RS92 comparison






Photo of the measurement layout inside the Kambic chamber.



Climatic chamber Weiss Technik in operation at INRiM and used, coupled with the Kambic chamber, to test RS92 and RS41 before and after fast temperature changes.


Comparison in climatic chamber






T=40°C, RH

Temperature step-change comparison





Example step-change in temperature, from 0 °C to 20 °C, perfomed by moving the sensors' frame from one chamber to the other. Solid lines refer to the radiosondes, dashed lines refer to the reference thermometers, dotted lines refer to the chambers' thermometers.



Paper with details







Temperature absolute bias before and after the temperature change

Intercomparison of Vaisala RS92 and RS41 Radiosonde Temperature Sensors under Controlled Laboratory Conditions

Atmosphere 2022,13, 773. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13050773

Mail to g.coppa@inrim.it