

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI RICERCA METROLOGICA Repository Istituzionale

Extension of the JCGM 106:2012 - Conformity assessment of multicomponent items and finite statistical

Original

Extension of the JCGM 106:2012 - Conformity assessment of multicomponent items and finite statistical samples / Pennecchi, FRANCESCA ROMANA; Kuselman, Ilya. - (2022), pp. 153-159. (Intervento presentato al convegno IES 2022 - Innovation and Society 5.0: Statistical and Economic Methodologies for Quality Assessment (IASC Endorsed Event) tenutosi a Capua, Italia nel 27-28/1/2022).

Availability:

This version is available at: 11696/75560 since: 2023-02-12T12:55:19Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

IES 2022 Innovation & Society 5.0: Statistical and Economic Methodologies for Quality Assessment

BOOK OF SHORT PAPERS

Editors: Rosaria Lombardo, Ida Camminatiello and Violetta Simonacci

Book of Short papers 10th International Conference **IES 2022** Innovation and Society 5.0: Statistical and Economic Methodologies for Quality Assessment

Department of Economics, University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli", January 27th - 28th 2022





Scientific Committee of the group of the Italian Statistical Society on Statistics for the Evaluation and Quality of Services – SVQS

Pietro Amenta -University of Sannio Matilde Bini -European University of Roma Luigi D'Ambra -University of Naples "Federico II" Maurizio Carpita -University of Brescia Paolo Mariani -University of Milan "Bicocca" Marica Manisera -University of Brescia Monica Palma -University of Salento Pasquale Sarnacchiaro -University of Rome Unitelma Sapienza

Program Committee of the conference IES 2022

Chair: Rosaria Lombardo - University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Fabio Bacchini -ISTAT Laura Baraldi -University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Eric Beh -University of Newcastle, Australia Wicher Bergsma - The London School of Economic and Political Science, UK Enrico Bonetti - University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Eugenio Brentari - University of Brescia Clelia Buccico - University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Rosalia Castellano -University of Naples "Parthenope" Ida Camminatiello -University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Carlo Cavicchia -University of Rotterdam, The Netherlands Enrico Ciavolino -University of Salento Corrado Crocetta - University of Foggia Claudio Conversano -University of Cagliari Antonello D'Ambra -University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Antonio D'Ambrosio - University of Naples "Federico II" Alfonso Iodice D'Enza - University of Naples "Federico II" Tonio Di Battista -University of Chieti "G. D'Annunzio" Michele Gallo - University of Naples "L'Orientale" Francesco Gangi -University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Michele La Rocca -University of Salerno Amedeo Lepore - University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Riccardo Macchioni - University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Filomena Maggino - University of Rome "La Sapienza" Angelos Markos -Demokritus University of Thrace, Greece Lucio Masserini -University of Pisa Stefania Mignani - University of Bologna Nicola Moscariello -University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Francesco Palumbo - University of Naples "Federico II" Alessandra Petrucci - University of Florence Alessio Pollice - University of Bari

Donato Posa -University of Salento Luca Secondi -University of Tuscia Amalia Vanacore -University of Naples "Federico II" Michel van de Velden -University of Rotterdam, The Netherlands Rosanna Verde -University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Donatella Vicari -University of Rome "La Sapienza" Grazia Vicario -Polytechnic University of Turin Maurizio Vichi -University of Rome "La Sapienza"

Organizing Committee

Ida Camminatiello -University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Antonello D'Ambra -University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Rosaria Lombardo -University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Elvira Romano -University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" Luca Rossi -University Cusano Violetta Simonacci -University of Naples "L'Orientale"





Editors

Rosaria Lombardo - University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli", Italy Ida Camminatiello - University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli", Italy Violetta Simonacci - University of Naples "L'Orientale", Italy



PKE - Professional Knowledge Empowerment s.r.l.
Sede legale: Villa Marelli - Viale Thomas Alva Edison, 45 - 20099 Sesto San Giovanni (MI)
Sede operativa: Villa Marelli - Viale Thomas Alva Edison, 45 - 20099 Sesto San Giovanni (MI)
Ufficio Di Rappresentanza: Via Giacomo Peroni, 400 - 00131 Roma (RM)
CF / P.I. 03167830920 — www.pke.it; e-mail info@pke.it — Privacy

February 2022 PKE s.r.l. ISBN 978-88-94593-35-8 on print ISBN 978-88-94593-36-5 online

All rights reserved.

This work is protected by copyright law.

All rights, in particular those relating to translation, citation, reproduction in any form, to the use of illustrations, tables and the software material accompanying the radio or television broadcast, the analogue or digital recording, to publication and dissemination through the internet are reserved, even in the case of partial use. The reproduction of this work, even if partial or in digital copy, is admitted only and exclusively within the limits of the law and is subject with the authorization of the publisher. Violation of the rules involves the penalties provided for by the law. PKE Publisher

Extension of the JCGM 106:2012 - Conformity assessment of multicomponent items and finite statistical samples

Estensione del JCGM 106:2012 - Valutazione di conformità di oggetti multicomponente e campioni di numerosità finita

Francesca Pennecchi and Ilya Kuselman

Abstract The JCGM 106:2012 document provides guidelines on how to perform conformity assessment of a (scalar) property of interest of a single item (a product, material, object, etc.). In particular, based on a Bayesian approach, it indicates how to model and calculate specific and global risks of the consumer and the producer. In the present work, the JCGM 106 approach is generalized to items that are multicomponent materials (each component having its own property that should undergo conformity assessment with respect to its own requirements), and to a set of N items drawn from a common population (the probability of having a certain number of conforming items within this sample needs to be calculated).

Abstract Il documento JCGM 106:2012 fornisce indicazioni su come effettuare la valutazione di conformità di una grandezza (scalare) di interesse, relativa ad una singola entità (un prodotto, materiale, oggetto, ecc.). In particolare, basandosi su un'impostazione bayesiana, il documento spiega come modellizzare e calcolare i rischi specifici e globali del consumatore e del produttore. In questo lavoro, la metodologia viene generalizzata ad oggetti multicomponente (in cui, per ciascuna componente, la relativa grandezza di interesse deve essere valutata se conforme o meno ai rispettivi requisiti), e per un insieme di N oggetti estratti da una stessa popolazione (occorre calcolare la probabilità che in quel campione ci sia un certo numero desiderato di oggetti conformi).

Key words: conformity assessment, consumer's and producer's risk, multicomponent items, finite sample.

¹ Francesca Pennecchi, Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica (INRIM); email: f.pennecchi@inrim.it

Ilya Kuselman, Independent Consultant on Metrology, 4/6 Yarehim St., 7176419 Modiin, Israel; email: ilya.kuselman@bezeqint.net

Francesca Pennecchi and Ilya Kuselman **1 The JCGM 106:2012 approach for conformity assessment**

According to the definition of the JCGM 106:2012 document [5] "conformity assessment is any activity undertaken to determine, directly or indirectly, whether a product, process, system, person or body meets relevant standards and fulfils specified requirements". The document provides guidance and procedures for assessing the conformity of an item (entity, object or system).

The conformity assessment (CA) of an item of interest, such as a gauge block of an industrial production or a sample of air from an environment under air quality control, requires to check whether a certain property of interest of the item, i.e., the measurand [6, Sec. 2.3] (e.g., the length of the gauge block or the concentration of a specific pollutant within the air sample), lies within a prescribed tolerance interval (TI). In general, however, the true value η of the measurand is never completely known but it needs to be measured. Hence, CA decisions such as "the item is conforming" or "the item is rejected" rely on a measured value η_m , which has always a measurement uncertainty (MU) [4] associated with it. The accept/reject decision is based on the evidence of η_m falling or not, respectively, in an acceptance interval (AI) of permissible measured values. AI can differ from TI, in a way to favour either the consumer's or the producer's interests, and is typically established by taking into account the value of MU associated with η_m .

In order to use all available knowledge on the measurand, a Bayesian modelling is considered for the measurable quantity *Y*: the pre-measurement information is represented by a prior pdf $g_0(\eta)$, whereas the post-measurement state of knowledge is modelled by the posterior pdf $g(\eta | \eta_m)$, which is given by the following expression:

$$g(\eta | \eta_{\rm m}) = C g_0(\eta) h(\eta_{\rm m} | \eta), \tag{1}$$

where *C* is a normalizing constant and $h(\eta_m | \eta)$ is the likelihood function of η given η_m , that is the pdf of possible η_m values of the measuring system output quantity Y_m , at the true value $Y = \eta$ of the measurand.

Based on eq. (1), the following risks of erroneous decisions can be defined and calculated for both the consumer (probability of accepting the item, when it should have been rejected) and the producer (probability of falsely rejecting the item), respectively:

- Specific risks (for a specific item)

$$R_{\rm c}^* = \int_{\rm TI'} g(\eta | \eta_{\rm m}) \, \mathrm{d}\eta \text{ for a specific } \eta_{\rm m} \text{ in AI}, \tag{2}$$

- $R_{\rm p}^* = \int_{\rm TI} g(\eta | \eta_{\rm m}) \, d\eta \text{ for a specific } \eta_{\rm m} \text{ in AI'}. \tag{3}$
- Global risks (for an item to be chosen at random from the production process) $R_{n} = \int_{TU} \int_{VU} g_{0}(n) h(n+n) dn dn \qquad (4)$

$$R_{\rm c} = \int_{\rm TI'} \int_{\rm AI} g_0(\eta) h(\eta_{\rm m}|\eta) \, \mathrm{d}\eta_{\rm m} \, \mathrm{d}\eta, \tag{4}$$

$$R_{\rm p} = J_{\rm TI} J_{\rm AI'} g_0(\eta) h(\eta_{\rm m}|\eta) d\eta_{\rm m} d\eta.$$
(5)

In eqs. (2-5), TI' and AI' indicate the set of true and measured values which lies outside TI and AI, respectively. Eqs. (2-3) involve integration of the posterior pdf (1),

Extension of the JCGM 106:2012 - Conformity assessment of multicomponent items and finite statistical samples

whereas eqs. (4-5) are double integrals of the joint pdf $f(\eta, \eta_m) = g_0(\eta) h(\eta_m | \eta)$ of variables *Y* and *Y*_m.

2 Generalization to multicomponent items

The JCGM 106 "deals with items having a single scalar property with a requirement given by one or two tolerance limits" and states: "the concepts presented can be extended to more general decision problems". For example, when, for each item, more than one measurable quantity should undergo CA (like in the case of several properties of a blood sample in a routine blood analysis), the CA would be performed separately for every parameter of interest. However, when CA for each particular component is successful and particular consumer and producer's risks (2-5) are acceptable, the total probability of a false decision on the conformity of the material as a whole might still be significant.

The IUPAC projects [1, 2] and corresponding IUPAC/CITAC Guide [7], addressed this topic by defining and modelling total consumer's risks and producer's risks (both specific and global):

- $R^*_{\text{total(c)}}$ is the probability that a specific accepted item¹ does not conform, as a whole, i.e., the true value of at least one component is not conforming;
- *R**_{total(p)} is the probability that the true values of all components in a specific rejected item² are conforming;
- *R*_{total(c)} is the probability that an item with a non-conforming true value of one or more components will be accepted based on a statistical analysis of performed measurement results;
- $R_{\text{total}(p)}$ is the probability that an item with conforming true values of all the components will be rejected based on a statistical analysis of performed measurement results.

The current project [3] "Influence of a mass balance constraint on uncertainty of test results of a substance or material and risks in its conformity assessment", is tackling the CA of compositional (multicomponent) items, whose components are linked by a mass balance constraint.

2.1 Total risks for independent variables

¹ A multicomponent item is accepted if the measured value of each component lays in its own acceptance interval.

² A multicomponent item is rejected when the measured value of at least one of the components lays outside its own acceptance interval.

Francesca Pennecchi and Ilya Kuselman

When the measurable quantities Y_i and the measuring system output quantities Y_{im} are independent, component by component *i*, it can be demonstrated, based on the law of total probability, that the total specific risks $R^*_{\text{total(c,p)}}$ are a combination of particular specific ones (2) or (3), respectively [7]. For example, for just two components under CA, $R^*_{\text{total(c)}} = R_{1c}^* + R_{2c}^* - R_{1c}^* R_{2c}^*$. Total global risks $R_{\text{total(c,p)}}$ result instead in a combination of particular global risks (4) or (5), weighted by probabilities $P(C_i) = P(Y_{im} \text{ in AI}_i)$ [7]. For two components under CA, for example, one has $R_{\text{total(c)}} = P(C_2) R_{1c} + P(C_1) R_{2c} - R_{1c} R_{2c}$.

A case study on the monitoring total suspended particulate matter (TSPM) in ambient air, where pollutant concentrations caused by three stone quarries were taken as independent, showed a total global risk higher than the three particular ones.

2.2 Total risks for correlated variables

When correlations are present among measurable quantities Y_i and/or measuring system output quantities Y_{im} , for i = 1, ..., n, a multivariate Bayesian approach is adopted, involving multivariate pdfs and likelihood functions:

$$g(\boldsymbol{\eta} \mid \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathrm{m}}) = C g_0(\boldsymbol{\eta}) h(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathrm{m}} \mid \boldsymbol{\eta}), \tag{6}$$

where η and η_m are the vectors of true and measured values of the components, respectively. In this case, the total specific risks are [7]:

 $R^*_{\text{total(c)}} = 1 - \int_{\mathbf{T}} g(\boldsymbol{\eta} | \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text{m}}) \, d\boldsymbol{\eta} \text{ for a specific } \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text{m}} \text{ in AI},$ (7)

 $R^*_{\text{total}(p)} = \int_{\text{TI1}} \dots \int_{\text{TIv}} \int_{\text{R}} \dots \int_{\text{R}} g(\boldsymbol{\eta} | \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text{m}}) \, d\boldsymbol{\eta} \text{ for a specific } \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text{m}} \text{ outside } \mathbf{AI}, \quad (8)$ where $\mathbf{AI} = [\text{AI}_1 \times \dots \times \text{AI}_n], \mathbf{TI} = [\text{TI}_1 \times \dots \times \text{TI}_n]$, the integral in eq. (7) is a multiple one, and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text{m}}$ in eq. (8) is outside \mathbf{AI} at the first $v \leq n$ components.

The total global risks are [7]:

$$R_{\text{total}(c)} = \int_{\Pi} \int_{\Lambda} g_0(\boldsymbol{\eta}) h(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text{m}} | \boldsymbol{\eta}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text{m}} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\eta}, \tag{9}$$

 $R_{\text{total}(p)} = \int_{\mathbf{TI}} \int_{\mathbf{AI}'} g_0(\boldsymbol{\eta}) h(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text{m}} | \boldsymbol{\eta}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text{m}} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\eta}, \tag{10}$

where the multiple integration with respect to η on **TI**' in eq. (9) addresses all those cases in which at least one true value η_i is outside its **TI**_{*i*}, whereas the multiple integration with respect to η_m on **AI**' in eq. (10) addresses all those cases in which at least one measured value η_{im} is outside its **AI**_{*i*}.

A case study on CA of a four-component alloy showed the impact of correlation among the components on the total risk: neglecting correlations would lead to an overestimation of the global consumer risk.

2.3 Total risks for compositional data

Extension of the JCGM 106:2012 - Conformity assessment of multicomponent items and finite statistical samples

When the components of a multicomponent item are subject to a mass balance constraint, e.g. $\Sigma \eta_i = 100$ %, they are intrinsically correlated. A so-called 'spurious' correlation is then observed in addition to other possible natural and/or technological correlations. Moreover, when choosing an appropriate prior pdf, the constraint for the true values of each component to lay in the domain [0, 100] % has to be taken into account. An approach based on Monte Carlo simulations from a multivariate truncated normal pdf followed by a closure operation was applied to a case study on the CA of a specific sausage product, made of four components (fat, protein, moisture, salt) [8].

3 Extension to a finite sample of items

A further direction toward which the JCGM 106 framework could be extended is the CA of a finite sample of N items drawn from a common population ("The concepts presented can be extended to more general conformity assessment problems based on measurements of a set of scalar measurands" [5]). The idea is related to CA of a sample of N units from a population, e.g., a batch of N items from a population of batches at a factory, producing such batches continuously, where each item should be tested (the item parameters are to be measured). This may be necessary in an aircraft, military or car industry, in clinical analysis of a group from a population (schoolers of a specific school, bus drivers of a specific company, chemists of a laboratory, etc), in assessing the results of air monitoring in a specific region, etc.

Therefore, a recent research activity aims at generalization of specific and global risks (2-5) for a sample of items, that is, at answering the following two questions, respectively:

- Given a sample of N measured items (each characterized by specific risks (2-3)), among which K have been measured within their AI (good measured values GMV), which is the probability that at least J of the N corresponding true values were actually conforming or, equivalently, the probability that less than J true values were non-conforming (bad true values BTV)?
- 2) Considering to randomly drawing a sample of *N* items from a population already characterized by global risks (4-5), which is the probability to have, among them, exactly K_1 that are GMV&BTV, K_2 that are BMV>V, K_3 that are GMV>V and K_4 that are BMV&BTV? Notice that K1 + $K_2 + K_3 + K_4 = N$.

3.1 Specific risk

Francesca Pennecchi and Ilya Kuselman

In order to answer question 1) above, we can resort to a discrete random variable (r.v.) *V* counting, among *N*, how many of the measured values η_{im} (where i = 1, ..., N is now the index enumerating the items in the sample) actually come from a corresponding good true value η_i . *V* is then the sum of *N* independent Bernoulli r.v., each with its own success probability P(Y_i in TI | η_{im}), which is equal to $1 - R_{ic}^*$, if η_{im} is a GMV, or to R_{ip}^* , if η_{im} is a BMV.

Therefore, considering question 1):

- $V \sim \text{Poisson binomial}(1 R_{1c}^*, ..., 1 R_{Kc}^*, R_{(K+1)p}^*, ..., R_{Np}^*),$
- and the answer is given by $P(V \ge J)$ (which is also equal to 1 P(V < J)).

Setting the desired¹ probability $P(V \ge J)$ leads to the solution of the inverse problem "which is the maximum *J* value allowing to reach the desired probability to actually have at least *J* good true values in that sample?".

3.2 Global risk

In order to answer question 2) in Sec. 3, let us consider that $P(GMV\&BTV) = R_c$ and $P(BMV\>V) = R_p$, by definition, whereas

 $P(GMV\>V) = \int_{TI} \int_{AI} g_0(\eta) h(\eta_m | \eta) d\eta_m d\eta, \qquad (11)$

$$P(BMV\&BTV) = \int_{TI'} \int_{AI'} g_0(\eta) h(\eta_m | \eta) d\eta_m d\eta.$$
(12)

Expressions (11) and (12) provide, in terms of a confusion matrix notation, the (probability of) true positives (p_{TP}) and true negatives (p_{TN}), respectively. Therefore, the discrete r.v. *W* able to answer question 2) has a multinomial pmf with parameters *N* and the probabilities provided by eqs. (4-5) and (11-12):

- W ~ multinomial(N; R_c , R_p , p_{TP} , p_{TN}) (Note that $R_c + R_p + p_{TP} + p_{TN} = 1$),
- and the answer is given by $P(W = [K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4])$.

References

- 1. IUPAC Project 2016-007-1-500 (https://iupac.org/project/2016-007-1-500)
- IUPAC Project 2018-004-1-500 (<u>https://iupac.org/project/2018-004-1-500</u>)
- 3. IUPAC Project 2019-012-1-500 (https://iupac.org/project/2019-012-1-500)
- JCGM-WG1: JCGM 100:2008 Evaluation of measurement data Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (<u>https://www.bipm.org/en/committees/jc/jcgm/publications</u>)
- JCGM-WG1: JCGM 106:2012 Evaluation of measurement data The role of measurement uncertainty in conformity assessment (https://www.bipm.org/en/committees/jc/jcgm/publications)
- JCGM-WG2: JCGM 200:2012 International vocabulary of metrology Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM) (<u>https://www.bipm.org/en/committees/jc/jcgm/publications</u>)
- Kuselman, I., Pennecchi, F. R., da Silva, R. B., Hibbert, D. B.: IUPAC/CITAC Guide: Evaluation of risks of false decisions in conformity assessment of a multicomponent material or object due to measurement uncertainty (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure Appl. Chem. 93(1), 113–154 (2021)

¹ A requirement might be, for example, to reach at least 90 % probability of having at least 90 % of conforming items in the sample.

Extension of the JCGM 106:2012 - Conformity assessment of multicomponent items and finite statistical

Samples
Pennecchi, F. R., Kuselman I., Di Rocco, A., Brynn Hibbert, D. B., Semenova, A. A.: Risks in a sausage conformity assessment due to measurement uncertainty, correlation and mass balance constraint. Food Control 125, 107949 (2021)