

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study forms part of the projects GEIROV, funded by Universitat Jaume I (UJI-B2021-61, and JELLYFISH-towards a sustainable precision aquaculture based on robotics, AI and low-cost sensors”,

included in the Aquaculture programme supported by MICINN with funding from European Union NextGenerationEU (PRTR-C17.11) and by Generalitat Valenciana (ThinkInAzul/2021/037, 2021-25).

REFERENCES

- [1] Blackstock JM, Covington MD, Perne M, Myre JM. *Monitoring Atmospheric, Soil, and Dissolved CO₂ Using a Low-Cost, Arduino Monitoring Platform (CO₂-LAMP): Theory, Fabrication, and Operation*. *Front Earth Sci (Lausanne)*. 2019;7. doi:10.3389/feart.2019.00313
- [2] Heger A, Kleinschmidt V, Gröngröft A, Kutzbach L, Eschenbach A. *Application of a low-cost NDIR sensor module for continuous measurements of in situ soil CO₂ concentration*. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*. 2020;183(5):557-561. doi:10.1002/JPLN.201900493
- [3] Bastviken D, Sundgren I, Natchimuthu S, Reyier H, Gålfalk M. *Technical Note: Cost-efficient approaches to measure carbon dioxide (CO₂) fluxes and concentrations in terrestrial and aquatic environments using mini loggers*. *Biogeosciences*. 2015;12(12). doi:10.5194/bg-12-3849-2015
- [4] Martin C, Zeng N, Karion A, et al. *Evaluation and enhancement of a low-cost NDIR CO₂ sensor*. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions*. Published online 2017. doi:10.5194/amt-2016-396
- [5] Keimel A. *Comparison of Low-Cost CO₂ Non-Dispersive Infrared (NDIR) Sensors for Ambient Greenhouse Gas Monitoring*. UVM Honors College Senior Theses. Published online January 1, 2019. Accessed June 23, 2022. <https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/hcoltheses/282>
- [6] Tokoro T, Watanabe K, Tada K, Kuwae T. *Air–Water CO₂ Flux in Shallow Coastal Waters: Theory, Methods, and Empirical Studies*. In: *Blue Carbon in Shallow Coastal Ecosystems*. ; 2019. doi:10.1007/978-981-13-1295-3_6
- [7] Hunt CW, Snyder L, Salisbury JE, Vandemark D, McDowell WH. *SIPCO₂: A simple, inexpensive surface water pCO₂ sensor*. *Limnol Oceanogr Methods*. 2017;15(3):291-301. doi:10.1002/lom3.10157

ID33 LONG BASELINE (LBL) POSITIONING SYSTEM FOR THE CRAWLER TELE-OPERATED UNDERWATER VEHICLE IN OBSEA OBSERVATORY

AINHOA IDIONDO MOLINA¹²⁸, DANIEL M. TOMA²⁴

Keywords: *Tele-operated Underwater Vehicle; Acoustic Communications; Hydrophone Array; Long Baseline (LBL) Positioning*

ABSTRACT

Due to the increased interest in maritime exploration, underwater robots, or remotely operated underwater vehicles, are essential to marine research projects like monitoring ocean pollution. [1], marine biology exploration [2], [3], and industry applications [4], [5]. Reliable navigation data is necessary for effective navigation and control of the tele-operated underwater vehicle, and precise placement is required. [6]. A long-baseline system (LBL) is an acoustic positioning technology for underwater vehicles, affording high accuracy and a broad operational spectrum [7], [8]. But in a low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and reverberation environment, biological noise, multipath fading channels, and other environmental noise commonly affect an LBL positioning system, reducing the underwater vehicle distance measurement accuracy and

ultimately affecting the vehicle positioning accuracy.

Designing a special configuration of an LBL system in the OBSEA cabled observatory[9] environment, we will be able to detect with more precision the objects that are under the water and incorporate them to improve the navigation and, therefore, the mapping of the robots. This work is based on the OBSEA cabled observatory, which is part of the EMSO (European Multidisciplinary Seafloor and water column Observatory), and the underwater Crawler [10], a Remote Observation Vehicle (ROV), which is a modified version of the “Wally” platform series. The Crawler is easily deployable for monitoring to depths up to 50 m. In this work we use an acoustic system of uWave modems [11] with the NeXOS hydrophones [12] for getting a LBL position system. Later, we used this system to determine the position of the Crawler using triangulation around the OBSEA platform.

As it is illustrated in the Figure 1 we position the four hydrophones and a modem on the top of the artificial reef, at 3 meters high from the seafloor, close to the OBSEA, in order to avoid interferences in

the acoustic link between the modem from LBL assembly and the crawler. The modem sends a ping request that is received by the modem that is located in the Crawler, and responds with a ping. During this time, each hydrophone is recording the acoustic communication in wav format. When we have the audio file, we start processing it and after denoising we extract the transmission and reception time, by detecting the exact time between the transmission and reception of the two modems in each hydrophone. With

this information we determine the time difference between the four hydrophones and we triangulate and obtain the angle with respect to the modem located on the Crawler. The distance is given by the modem and the time difference of arrival (TDOA) give us the direction. With this information we can know the position of the Crawler around the OBSEA. Moreover, we detail the results obtained for the acoustic acquisition system calibration test done in air, small aquarium, pool and sea scenarios.




Figure 1 Architecture of Crawler LBL at OBSEA observatory

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work has been financially supported by the European Commission's Horizon 2020 programme under the Jerico-S3 project (grant agreement 871153).

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Ioakeimidis, G. Papatheodorou, G. Fermeli, N. Streftaris, and E. Papathanassiou, "Use of ROV for assessing marine litter on the seafloor of Saronikos Gulf (Greece): a way to fill data gaps and deliver environmental education," *Springerplus*, vol. 4, no. 1, Dec. 2015, doi: 10.1186/s40064-015-1248-4.
- [2] D. Chatzievangelou, L. Thomsen, C. Doya, A. Purser, and J. Aguzzi, "Transects in the deep: Opportunities with tele-operated resident seafloor robots," *Front Mar Sci*, vol. 9, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2022.833617.
- [3] J. Zhou et al., "AUH, a New Technology for Ocean Exploration," *Engineering*, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.eng.2022.09.007.
- [4] S. M. Yoon, S. Hong, S. J. Park, J. S. Choi, H. W. Kim, and T. K. Yeu, "Track velocity control of crawler type underwater mining robot through shallow-water test," *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 3291–3298, Oct. 2012, doi: 10.1007/s12206-012-0810-2.
- [5] D. L. McLean et al., "Enhancing the Scientific Value of Industry Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROVs) in Our Oceans," *Front Mar Sci*, vol. 7, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.00220.
- [6] Y. Wu, X. Ta, R. Xiao, Y. Wei, D. An, and D. Li, "Survey of underwater robot positioning navigation," *Applied Ocean Research*, vol. 90. Elsevier Ltd, Sep. 01, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.apor.2019.06.002.
- [7] J. Zhang, Y. Han, C. Zheng, and D. Sun, "Underwater target localization using long baseline positioning system," *Applied Acoustics*, vol. 111, pp. 129–134, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.apacoust.2016.04.009.
- [8] E. Martínez, I. Masmitjà, A. García-Benadí, D. M. Toma, S. Gomáriz, and J. Del Río, "OBSEA: AN ACOUSTIC-ENABLED OBSERVATORY FOR UNDERWATER NOISE MONITORING, SOUND SOURCE LOCALIZATION AND TRACKING," 2020. Accessed: Apr. 20, 2023. [Online]. Available: <http://hdl.handle.net/2117/335896>
- [9] J. Del-Rio et al., "Obsea: A Decadal Balance for a Cabled Observatory Deployment," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 33163–33177, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2973771.
- [10] A. Falahzadeh et al., "A New Coastal Crawler Prototype to Expand the Ecological Monitoring Radius of OBSEA Cabled Observatory," *J Mar Sci Eng*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 857, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.3390/jmse11040857.
- [11] Unavlab, "uWave devices family."
- [12] D. M. Toma et al., "Smart embedded passive acoustic devices for real-time hydroacoustic surveys," *Measurement (Lond)*, vol. 125, pp. 592–605, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.measurement.2018.05.030.