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#### Abstract

This thesis explores new approaches, including a new control method, a new power factor correction (PFC) front-end topology, and two power architectures to improve the performance of AC-DC power converters. - Control method: A modulation and control method for an active-clamp flyback (ACF) converter is developed. It is first found that the internal clamping capacitor in the ACF converter can be used as an active buffer without any modifications on topology, by adopting the proposed modulation method. The clamping capacitor of the ACF converter can tolerate a much greater voltage swing than the buffer capacitor in a two-stage architecture, allowing for a single-stage adapter design with reduced capacitance requirements. A 100-W universal-input laboratory prototype is built, which achieves $94 \%$ peak efficiency and up to 10x size reduction of the buffer capacitor. - Circuit topology: A new topology for the PFC front end is developed, which enables simultaneous size reduction of both the inductor and the buffer capacitor by achieving zero-voltage-switching (ZVS) of all switches and active power buffering. An apple-to-apple experimental comparison is made between a typical critical-mode boost PFC and the proposed PFC front end. The prototype achieves a $47 \%$ size reduction of the magnetics, a $21 \%$ size reduction of the buffer capacitor, and an almost constant DC-link voltage while maintaining comparable efficiency performance. - Power architecture (1): A stacked-switch PFC architecture is developed. The stackedswitch architecture allows all switches to achieve full-load-range ZVS at a universal input and under a constant switching frequency, which has not yet been demonstrated in any PFC applications before. This valuable property is achieved by simply removing the highfrequency diode in the two-stage architecture. Hence, higher power density can be achieved via high-frequency operations while requiring lower costs as the total component counts are


reduced. A 150-W universal-input prototype is built, which measures 92.9 \% peak efficiency and $53.9 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ power density by box volume.

- Power architecture (2): A star PFC architecture is developed. It is first discovered that the current ripple in the second stage of a two-stage architecture can be used to 1) reduce the current ripple of the first boost PFC stage and to 2) assist full-range ZVS of the first PFC stage under a constant switching frequency. The star architecture thereby enables higher efficiency and smaller magnetics in the boost PFC front end while maintaining the performance of the second DC-DC stage, leading to a high-power-density and high-efficiency AC-DC converter. A $300-\mathrm{kHz}, 240-\mathrm{W}, 48-\mathrm{V}$-output, and universal input prototype is built, showcasing a high power factor, constant output voltage, $97.1 \%$ full-load efficiency, and 55.6 W/in ${ }^{3}$ power density by box volume. The star architecture is experimentally verified to achieve a $40 \%$ loss reduction given the same power density compared to the two-stage architecture.
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## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

AC-DC converters are widely used to transfer power from the utility grid to various DC load such as batteries, LEDs, computing devices, and so on [1]. The future trend of ACDC converters is toward higher efficiency, higher power density, and lower cost to tackle the energy crisis, enable new applications, and reduce electronic waste. Among many solutions, a two-stage PFC architecture has been a dominant solution for AC-DC converters in commercial products over 75 W where power factor correction (PFC) is mandatory. The two-stage architecture generally consists of a boost PFC front end for sinusoidal input current and an isolated DC-DC back end for output voltage regulation, as shown in Fig. 1.1. The wide adoption of the two-stage architecture is mainly because multiple tasks in PFC applications can be divided and optimally addressed by each of the two stages, leading to high overall performance in efficiency, power density, operating range, design simplicity, and cost. Nevertheless, the performance of the two-stage architecture is increasingly challenged by the demand for lower cost, higher efficiency, and higher power density.


Figure 1.1: Two-stage PFC architecture.

This thesis takes the two-stage architecture as a benchmark and investigates new control methods, circuit topology, and power architectures to improve the performance of AC-DC converters. The key contributions are summarized as follows:

1. Control method:

Single-stage active-clamp flyback (ACF) converter has been abandoned in PFC application due to the requirement of excessive output capacitance to reduce the double-line frequency ripple. This thesis finds a new method to control the ACF converter, enabling the clamping capacitor to be utilized as an active energy buffer without any modifications to the topology. As a result, lower buffer capacitance can be used without affecting the output voltage's ripple performance. With this new control method, it is demonstrated that up to $92 \%$ size reduction of the buffer capacitor can be realized while achieving higher efficiency and lower component count than the two-stage solution [2].
2. Circuit topology:

The boost PFC front end of the two-stage architecture suffers from hard switching, making it hard to reduce the size of inductor through high-frequency operations. In addition, high capacitance is required in the boost PFC front end to reduce the low-frequency ripple, leading to bulky buffer capacitors. This thesis introduces a new circuit topology for PFC front end applications. The new topology features ZVS across a universal input, ripple-free DC-link voltage, and reduced buffer capacitance, allowing for size reduction of both the inductor and the buffer capacitor. A $100-\mathrm{W}$ universal input prototype is built, measuring a $96.7 \%$ peak efficiency and $50.8 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ power density. Compared to a typical critical-mode (CRM) boost PFC front end, the prototype achieves a $47 \%$ size reduction of the magnetics, a $21 \%$ size reduction of the buffer capacitor, and an almost constant DC-link voltage while maintaining comparable efficiency performance [3].
3. Stacked-switch architecture:

The author found that the above solutions have some issues that may hinder their practical applications, including losing ZVS at light-load conditions, requiring additional passive components, and varying switching frequencies. Motivated by
these issues, a stacked-switch PFC architecture is developed. The stacked-switch architecture has lower component counts and, thus, lower cost than the two-stage architecture. In addition, it is first demonstrated that full-range ZVS of all active switches can be achieved under a constant switching frequency in PFC applications, enabling higher power density than the two-stage architecture and simplifying the hardware design and implementation. It is also demonstrated that the stacked-switch architecture can directly take advantage of the existing control circuits for the twostage architecture and a simple analog circuit is enough. A $150-\mathrm{W}$-universal-input prototype is built, measuring $92.9 \%$ peak efficiency and $53.9 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ power density by box volume [4].
4. Star architecture:

While high-frequency operations enable smaller magnetic components, the achievable power density is also constrained by the temperature rise, calling for solutions that can operate at a higher frequency while having higher efficiency. The author, therefore, tried to find a scaling law in magnetic components that may lead to higher efficiency at a higher frequency. Interestingly, one such scaling law is found - the inductor features lower root-mean-square (RMS) current and lower peak-to-peak flux density with the increase of switching frequency in continuous-conduction-mode (CCM) operations of the boost converter. However, it is hard to take advantage of this scaling law because the conventional CCM boost PFC converter is hard-switched, resulting in high switching loss if the switching frequency is increased. Targeting at 1 ) achieving CCM operations to take advantage of the scaling law and 2) achieving soft switching to unlock higher switching frequency, a star PFC architecture is developed, which only has one additional transistor compared to the two-stage architecture. With the star architecture, it is first found that the second stage's ripple current allows reduced current ripple in the first PFC stage and can assist full-range ZVS of the PFC stage even under CCM operations. The high performance of star architecture is enabled by realizing (i) continuous-conduction-mode (CCM) operation of the boost PFC stage and (ii) full-range zero-voltage-switching (ZVS) of all active switches. In addition, the star architecture can (i) operate at a
constant frequency via a proper selection of the circuit topology and modulation method and (ii) be controlled based on simple and low-cost analog electronic circuits. A $300-\mathrm{kHz}, 240-\mathrm{W}, 48-\mathrm{V}$-output, and universal-input prototype is built to verify the performance of the star architecture, showcasing high power factor, constant output voltage, $97.1 \%$ full-load efficiency, $55.6 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ power density by box volume [5].

This thesis is organized as follows. Chapter two introduces the new control method for ACF converter. Chapter three introduces the new circuit topology for PFC front end. Chapter four introduces the stacked-switch architecture, and the star architecture is introduced in Chapter five. Finally, chapter six concludes this thesis.

## Chapter 2

## A Modulation Method for Capacitance Reduction in Active-clamp Flyback Converter

### 2.1 Background

In an effort to promote consumer convenience and reduce electronic waste, the Universal Series Bus (USB), originally created in 1996 as a communication interface with only limited power delivery capabilities, has now become a primary method for powering and charging a wide range of electronics products [6]. The recently released USB Power Delivery 3.1 (USB-PD 3.1) has just pushed the power delivery profile to $240-\mathrm{W}$ power range, making it possible to extend its applicability to an even greater number of application scenarios [7].

The increased power demand, however, poses great challenges to existing adapter design regarding system cost and power density, especially as the power level exceeds 75 W when power factor correction (PFC) becomes mandatory [8]. Conventional solutions in the above75 W range usually adopt a two-stage topology [9], consisting of an AC-DC stage for PFC followed by a DC-DC stage for output regulation and galvanic isolation, with a twice-line frequency buffer capacitor in between (see Fig. 2.1 (a)) [9]-[12]. On the one hand, a two-stage topology requires multiple switches, drivers, sensors, magnetics, auxiliary power supplies and control circuits, generally leading to complex and costly design. On the other hand, the buffer capacitor is a well-known bottleneck in realizing a high-density adapter design: its size is generally large as its energy storage requirement depends on the line frequency, thereby cannot be reduced by increasing the switching frequency [13]. Thus, to lower system cost and increase power density, it is highly desirable to develop single-stage isolated solutions with


Figure 2.1: Architectures of adapters: (A) two-stage architecture typically used when high power factor is required (B) single-stage architecture.
reduced buffer capacitance requirement while being able to retain the conventional two-stage solutions' efficiency, PFC and galvanic isolation function (see Fig. 2.1 (b)).

Targeting the limitations of the two-stage solution, significant research efforts have focused either on single-stage isolated AC-DC power conversion [14]-[16] or buffer capacitance reduction [17]-[20] or both, through the development of advanced topology, modulation method and/or power devices. For those focusing only on single-stage isolated (or buffer capacitance reduction), the issues of large buffer capacitor (or high component count) remain unsolved. On the other hand, those focusing on both targets, in general, cannot outperform the conventional two-stage solutions. For example, [21] presents a hybrid converter that merges a two-stage converter into one stage and utilizes the intermediate capacitor as an active power buffer for capacitance reduction. However, the solution is based on hard switching and the leakage energy is lost per switching cycle, presenting high switching and leakage energy losses at the high operating frequency. A modified single-stage active-clamped flyback (ACF) converter is proposed in [22], effectively integrating the active power buffer function into the clamping capacitor. Buffer capacitance can be reduced, but the cost and losses are increased, as a tertiary winding and two extras secondary MOSFETs are needed and the two MOSFETs and output diodes are hard switched. A modified flyback-based solution is presented in [23].


Figure 2.2: The topology of ACF converter.
Capacitance requirement is reduced by integrating the active power buffer into the system, but two additional switches and one tertiary winding are required while all switches are hard switched.

Focusing on single-stage power conversion and buffer capacitance reduction, this thesis discusses a new modulation method for ACF converter [24]. Without the need for hardware modifications, this modulation method enables ACF converter to achieve (i) PFC, (ii) active power buffering, (iii) zero-voltage-switching (ZVS) of active switches, (iv) zero-currentswitching (ZCS) of output rectifier diode or synchronous rectifier (SR), (v) galvanic isolation, and (vi) leakage recycling, all in a single power-conversion stage. Although this approach requires a more elaborate control strategy than the conventional ACF control method, it provides an economically viable and technologically elegant solution to the problem of costly and bulky adapter design for high-power delivery.

### 2.2 Topology and Operating Principle

Fig. 2.2 illustrates the basic circuit of an ACF-based AC-DC adapter, consisting of an EMI filter, a diode bridge rectifier, a high frequency filter $C_{i n}$, a half-bridge switch leg $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, a clamping and energy buffer capacitor $C_{b}$, a transformer $T$ with a magnetizing inductance of $L_{m}$ and a turns ratio of $N_{1}: N_{2}$, a resonant inductor $L$, an output rectifier $D$, and an output filter $C_{o}$. Here, $L$ may be further integrated with $T$, and $D$ replaced with an SR. To explain the proposed modulation method, the operation of the conventional continuous conduction mode ACF converter is briefly reviewed [25].


Figure 2.3: Operating states. (A) State I:[ $\left.T_{o}-T_{1}\right]$. (B) State II:[ $\left.T_{1}-T_{2}\right]$. (C) State III:[ $\left.T_{2}-T_{3}\right]$. (D) State IV:[ $\left.T_{3}-T_{4}\right]$. (E) State V:[ $\left.T_{4}-T_{5}\right]$. (F) State VI:[ $T_{5}-$ $T_{6}$ ].

### 2.2.1 Conventional Operating Method

The key operating waveforms of the conventional ACF converter are shown Fig. 2.4. Neglecting the deadtime, 6 operating states can be identified over one switching cycle.

State I $\left[T_{0}-T_{1}\right]: i_{L}$ and $i_{L m}$ are linearly charged by $v_{i n}$ as shown in Fig. 2.3 (a) with a rising slope $k_{1}$ expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=\frac{v_{i n}}{L+L_{m}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The diode $D$ is reverse-biased as the voltage across the secondary side of $T$, annotated as $v_{\text {sec }}$ in Fig. 2.2, is negative. State I ends when $S_{1}$ is turned off at $T_{1}$.

State II [ $T_{1}-T_{2}$ ]: $L$ and $L_{m}$ begin to resonate with the total output capacitances of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. The resonance ends when the body diode of $S_{2}$ starts to conduct. State II ends when $S_{2}$ is


Figure 2.4: Operating waveforms.
turned on with ZVS at $T_{2}$. The condition, $v_{b} \geq N_{1} v_{o} / N_{2}$, is essential to ensure a sufficient voltage across the secondary winding to turn on $D$ so that energy can be transferred from the primary side to the secondary side.

State III [ $\left.T_{2}-T_{3}\right]: S_{1}$ 's drain-source voltage is clamped at $\left(v_{b}+v_{C i n}\right)$ while $i_{L}$ and $i_{L m}$ start to decrease. During this period, $i_{L}$ and $i_{L m}$ become

$$
\begin{gather*}
i_{L}=i_{L . \min }+k_{2}\left(t-T_{3}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
i_{L m}=i_{L . \min }+k_{3}\left(t-T_{3}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i_{L . \min }$ is the minimum $i_{L}$ within each switching period and the expression of $i_{L . \min }$ is derived in Appendix, $k_{2}$ is the slope of $i_{L}$ in State III, and $k_{3}$ is the slope of $i_{L m}$ in State III. $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ can be derived as

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{2}=\frac{N_{1} v_{o}-N_{2} v_{b}}{N_{2} L}  \tag{2.4}\\
k_{3}=-\frac{N_{1} v_{o}}{N_{2} L_{m}} \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

In State III, $C_{b}$ is first charged and then discharged by $i_{L}$ as the polarity of $i_{L}$ reverses (see Fig. 2.4). The amount of charge absorbed by and released from $C_{b}$ are highlighted as red and blue areas in Fig. 2.4, respectively. With the conventional operation method, $S_{2}$ needs to be controlled to ensure the charges are balanced in $C_{b}$ per switching period at a steady state. State III ends when $S_{2}$ is turned off at $T_{3}$.

State IV [ $T_{3}-T_{4}$ ]: The reversed $i_{L}$ discharges the output capacitance of $S_{1}$. The body diode of $S_{1}$ starts to conduct, and $S_{1}$ is ready for ZVS turn-on as shown in Fig. 2.3 (d). Since $i_{L}<i_{L m}$, $D$ continues to conduct, clamping the voltage of $L_{m}$ at $\left(N_{1} v_{o} / N_{2}\right)$. Based on Kirchhoff's Voltage Law, the voltage across $L$ is $\left(v_{\text {Cin }}+N_{1} v_{o} / N_{2}\right) . i_{L}$ thus increases with a slope of

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{4}=\frac{N_{1} v_{o}+N_{2} v_{C i n}}{N_{2} L_{m}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The current slope of $i_{L m}$ remain the same as $k_{3}$. State IV ends when $S_{1}$ is turned on with ZVS at $T_{4}$.

State $\mathrm{V}\left[T_{4}-T_{5}\right]$ : During this state, $L$ resonates with $C_{i n}$, causing $v_{\text {Cin }}>\left|v_{i n}\right|$. Hence, the front-end bridge rectifier is reverse-biased. $i_{L m}$ continues to decrease with a slope of $k_{3}$, while $i_{L}$ increases. Therefore, $i_{D}$ gradually decreases. State V ends when $i_{D}$ reaches zero, and $D$ is turned off with ZCS at $T_{5}$.

State VI $\left[T_{5}-T_{6}\right]: L$ continues to resonate with $C_{i n}$ as shown in Fig. 2.3 (f). The resonance ends at $T_{6}$ when $v_{\text {Cin }}$ drops to $\left|v_{i n}\right|$ and the front-end bridge rectifier starts to conduct and clamps $v_{\text {Cin }}$ at $\left|v_{i n}\right|$.

### 2.2.2 Proposed Operation

The proposed operating method is identical to the conventional one except for State III. According to the operating principles mentioned above, $C_{b}$ is first charged and then discharged in State III when $S_{2}$ is maintained 'on'. As a result, the net charge per switching period can be controlled by the duration of State III (or $S_{2}$ 's on time). In other words, one may turn $C_{b}$ into a twice-line frequency energy storage buffer (or an active power buffer) by actively controlling $S_{2}$ 's on time properly. In particular, when $p_{i n}>p_{o}$, as shown in Fig. 2.5 (a), the turn on time of $S_{2}, T_{\text {on.S2 }}$, needs to be reduced such that $C_{b}$ is in effect absorbing power, as shown in Fig. 2.5 (b) where the red area is larger than the blue one. Similarly, when $p_{i n}<p_{o}$, the turn on time of $S_{2}$ needs to be increased such that $C_{b}$ is in effect releasing power, as shown in Fig. 2.5 (c) where the blue area is greater than the red one. The proposed converter operation is thus different from the conventional approach, which aims to achieve zero net charges in $C_{b}$ per switching period. Thereby, $C_{b}$ cannot offer low-frequency energy storage capability. This new approach indicates that one can integrate the active power buffering function into ACF circuit topology shown in Fig. 2.2 without modifying the hardware. Furthermore, all features of ACF are retained, including soft switching of $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $D$, leakage inductor energy recycling, galvanic isolation, and active voltage clamping for $S_{1}$.

Table. 2.1 summarises the key differences between the conventional and the proposed operating methods of ACF converter. Although the PFC function can be achieved with ACF converters, most existing works only utilise the ACF converter for DC/DC conversions without performing the PFC function. The reason is that the conventional method of AC-DC ACF requires high capacitance of $C_{o}$ to mitigate twice-line frequency ripples and maintain a low voltage ripple of the DC side.

### 2.3 Modulation and Control Method

The modulation and control schemes are newly developed and discussed in this section to support the proposed operation in Section 2.2.2.


Figure 2.5: Charging and discharging phases of $C_{b}$ : (A) power flows of input power $p_{i n}$ and output power $p_{o}(\mathrm{~B})$ charging phase (C) discharging phase.

TABLE 2.1: Comparison between the conventional operating methods and the proposed operating method.

|  | Conventional Method <br> of DC-DC ACF | Conventional Method <br> of AC-DC ACF | Proposed Method <br> of AC-DC ACF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soft switching | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Leakage recycling | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| PFC | $\times$ | $\sqrt{c}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Active power buffering | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Extra PFC Stage | Require | Not require | Not require |
| $100 / 120$ Hz buffer | $C_{\text {in }}$ | $C_{o}$ | $C_{b}$ |
| Bias of buffer voltage | High $\left(>\left\|v_{i n}\right\|\right)$ | Small $\left(=v_{o}\right)$ | Medium $\left(>N_{1} v_{o} / N_{2}\right)$ |
| Voltage swing of buffer | Small | Highly restricted | Large |
| Size of buffer capacitor | Medium | Large | Small |

### 2.3.1 Dual On-time Modulation

According to Fig. 2.4, the current (or equivalently power) at the ac-port of ACF over one switching period is determined by the duration of the State I. Therefore, the ac-port current (or power), $i_{i n}$, scales with the on-time of $S_{1}$, denoted as $T_{o n . S 1}$, which can be utilized as a control variable for ac-port current (power) regulation. On the other hand, according to Fig. 2.4, the current (power) at the dc-port, $i_{o}$, is determined by the duration of State III. In particular, the longer State III is, the more energy is to be released from $C_{b}$ and the deeper $L$ will be negatively charged, leading to an increased peak of secondary diode current and average dc current. Therefore, the dc-port current (power) scales with the on-time of $S_{2}$, denoted as $T_{o n . S 2}$, which can be utilized as a control variable for dc-port voltage (power) regulation. According to the automatic power decoupling control theory [26], and based on the two reasons mentioned above, $T_{o n . S 1}$ and $T_{o n . S 2}$ are chosen as modulation signals. Such a control method is named dual on-time modulation thereafter.

The architecture of the proposed dual on-time modulation is shown in Fig. 2.6 (a). The control scheme consists of an ac-port power loop and a dc-port power loop. For the ac-port, a PFC controller regulates $i_{\text {rec }}$ to follow a rectified sinusoidal reference $i_{\text {ref }}$ to achieve PFC function by adjusting $T_{\text {on.S1 }}$, which is the on-state time of $S_{1}$. The amplitude of $i_{\text {ref }}$ is obtained from the outer buffer voltage loop, which regulates the DC value of $v_{b}$ at a predefined reference signal $v_{r e f}$. The value of $v_{r e f}$ shall be properly specified to balance the current stress of $C_{b}$

## Proposed control architecture:



## Conventional control architecture:



Figure 2.6: (A) Proposed control architecture for the single-stage ACF PFC converter. (B) Conventional control architecture for the single-stage ACF PFC converter [14].
and the voltage stresses on $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. The value shall support a practical implementation using commonly rated power FETs and capacitors. The DC value of $v_{b}$ can be extracted via a filter component. Depending on the application, either the output voltage ( $v_{o}$ ) or current ( $i_{o}$ ) can be regulated by the dc-port power loop, as shown in Fig. 2.6 (a). Ref is the reference for $v_{o}$ or $i_{o}$. which shall be regulated by adjusting $T_{o n . S 2}$, which is the on-state time interval for $S_{2}$. When both ac-port and dc-port power are regulated at their respective reference values, their instantaneous power difference, which is pulsating at twice-line frequency shall be automatically balanced by the ripple-port without the need for a dedicated ripple-port controller.

For comparison, the conventional control architecture of AC-DC ACF converter is shown in Fig. 2.6 (b). In the control diagram, $D_{o n . S 1}$ is the duty cycle of $S_{1}, v_{r e f}$ is the reference for buffer's average voltage, $i_{r e f}$ is the reference for $i_{r e c}$, and $\omega_{l}$ is the angular line frequency. Ref is the reference for $v_{o}$ or $i_{o}$. Different from the proposed control architecture, the conventional control architecture directly regulates $v_{o}$ or $i_{o}$ through changing the amplitude of $i_{i n}$ via the duty cycle of $S_{1}$ [14]. Consequently, $v_{b}$ is passively determined by the circuit parameters and the double-line frequency ripple is mainly buffered by $C_{o}$. Due to stringent ripple requirement on $v_{o}$, excessive $C_{o}$ is mandatory with the conventional ACF control method, leading to the need for bulky buffer capacitors in the system and, thus, low power density.

### 2.3.2 Control Circuit Implementation



Figure 2.7: Analog circuit implementation of the ac-port power loop.


Figure 2.8: Analog circuit implementation of the dc-port power loop.
An analog control circuit is designed to execute the proposed dual on-time modulation control. Fig. 2.7 shows the control circuit for the ac-port power loop. The voltage across $C_{b}$ is sensed by the voltage divider and differential amplifier. A band-stop filter is used to remove the double-line frequency ripple of the sensed signal to obtain the dc component of $v_{b}$. The buffer voltage controller, implemented as an error amplifier, compares the average value of $v_{b}$ with $v_{r e f}$ to adjust the amplitude of $i_{r e f}$, which is further multiplied by a rectified sinusoidal signal
to generate $i_{r e f}$. A one-cycle controller, consisting of an error integrator and a comparator, is used as the PFC controller to adjust $T_{\text {on. } S 1}$ for tracking $i_{r e f}$. The output from the error integrator int is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i n t=\int\left(i_{r e f}-i_{r e c}\right) d t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2.9: Detailed signals of the proposed control circuits.
A comparator resets the RS flip flop to turn off $S_{1}$ once int in (2.7) equals to zero, as shown in Fig. 2.9. This is when the average ac-port current over $T_{s}$ equals to $i_{\text {ref }}$ (assuming that $v_{i n}$ is piece-wise constant within $T_{s}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\text {StateIV }}^{\text {StateVI }} i_{L} d t=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the control scheme ensures fast and accurate ac reference current tracking in a cycle-bycycle manner.

The implementation of the dc-port power loop is shown in Fig. 2.8. A filtered and amplified $i_{o}$ (or $v_{o}$ ) signal is fed to the output controller, which is implemented by a second error amplifier to produce a control signal $v_{c o m p}$. An on-time controller then translates $v_{\text {comp }}$ into $T_{o n . S 2}$ to
achieve on time modulation, where a higher $v_{\text {comp }}$ means a longer $T_{o n . S 2}$. In particular, $v_{o n}$, as defined in Fig. 2.9, starts to ramp up with a constant slew rate when $S_{2}$ is on and $S_{2}$ is turned off when $v_{o n}$ reaches $v_{\text {comp }}$, as shown in Fig. 2.9. The response of the dc-port loop depends on the second error amplifier design.

The switching deadtime should be selected short enough to minimize the deadtime loss and long enough to fully discharge the output capacitance of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. In this study, the optimal value is fine-tuned following simulation and experiments and considering different operating conditions. The final value is determined by experimental tests to ensure full ZVS of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ over the whole line cycle and the lowest switching loss.

The controller synthesis is based on the following procedure using the computer-aided design:
i. The small-signal model of the system is derived via the AC-sweeping method in the simulation environment.
ii. The control parameters are tuned to ensure sufficient stability margin and control bandwidth via the frequency-domain analysis.
iii. Iterative tuning is conducted to balance the system robustness and control performance, which is based on both simulation and experimental tests.

### 2.4 Design Consideration

This section describes the derivation of the circuit parameters to achieve practical voltage stress, full ZVS under the universal input, and high utilization of the buffer capacitor.

### 2.4.1 Design of Winding Turns Ratio $n$

The design principles of the winding turn ratio, $n$, are to comply with the circuit operating constraint mentioned in (2.9) and ensure secondary diode $D$ 's voltage stress is below a specified value $V_{D . \max }$ (see (2.10)). The minimum value of $v_{b}$ is defined as $V_{b . m i n}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{b . \min }>\frac{n\left(L+L_{m}\right) v_{o}}{L_{m}} \approx n v_{o} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

should hold to make $D$ forward-biased to deliver power. On the other hand, the voltage stress of $D, v_{D}$, can be calculated as below and should be smaller than $V_{D . \max }$.

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{D} & =\frac{V_{\text {Cin. } \max }\left(L+L_{m}\right)}{n L_{m}}+v_{o} \\
& \approx \frac{V_{\text {Cin. } \max }}{n}+v_{o}<V_{\text {D.max }} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{\text {Cin.max }}$ is the maximum value of $v_{\text {Cin }}$. From (2.9) and (2.10), the constraints of $n$ is known by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{\text {Cin.max }}+n v_{o}}{V_{D . \max }}<n<\frac{V_{b . \min }}{v_{o}} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4.2 Design of $v_{b}$ and $C_{b}$

The design principles of $C_{b}$ are to ensure the voltage stress of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ below a specified value $V_{S . \max }$ and reduce the energy storage requirements for $C_{b}$ while leaving a sufficient operating margin. According to energy conservation, the power flow to $C_{b}$ can be derived as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{b}=v_{b} C_{b} \frac{d v_{b}}{d t}=p_{i n}-p_{o} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (2.12), the instantaneous $v_{b}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{b}=\sqrt{V_{b}^{2}-\frac{p_{o}}{\omega_{l} C_{b}} \sin \left(2 \omega_{l} t\right)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{b}$ is a constant value representing the DC component in $v_{b} . C_{b}$ can be calculated by rearranging (2.13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{b}=\frac{2 P_{o}}{\omega_{l} \Delta V_{b} V_{b}^{2} \sqrt{\left(4-\Delta V_{b}\right)^{2}}}, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta V_{b}$ is the peak-to-peak ripple percentage of $v_{b}$.
According to (2.14), there are two design variables, $\Delta V_{b}$ and $V_{b}$, to determine $C_{b}$. To reduce the size of $C_{b}, \Delta V_{b}$ should be intentionally increased. Fig. 2.10 plots the waveforms of $v_{b}$ under different $C_{b}$ using (2.13). It can be seen that smaller $C_{b}$ can be used if a higher voltage fluctuation of $v_{b}$ is allowed. Hence, $\Delta V_{b}$, can be selected first to properly size $C_{b}$. Then, $V_{b}$


Figure 2.10: Effects of $C_{b}$ on $v_{b} . p_{o}=100 \mathrm{~W}$ and $V_{b}=170 \mathrm{~V}$.
can be determined based on the voltage stress requirements for $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. Finally, $C_{b}$ can be determined by substituting the values of $\Delta V_{b}$ and $V_{b}$ into (2.14).

### 2.4.3 Design of $L_{m}$ and $L$

The design principles of $L_{m}$ and $L$ are to guarantee ZVS of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ under the entire operating conditions, and to restrict the maximum $f_{s w}$. Further, the inductance of $L$ shall be low to minimize the system cost or directly use the leakage inductance in the flyback transformer. Fig. 2.11 shows the equivalent circuit of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ when the capacitances are discharged. Assuming the turn-on transient of $S_{1}$ is sufficiently fast such that $v_{b}$ and $v_{C i n}$ are regarded constant, the drain-source voltage of $S_{1}$ can be and $S_{2}$ can be expressed by (2.15) and (2.16), respectively. To ensure the ZVS of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, the condition in (2.17) should be held.

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{d s . S 1}= & v_{\text {Cin }}+v_{b}-i_{L . \min } \sqrt{\frac{L}{2 C_{o s s}}} \sin \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 L C_{o s s}}} t\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& +n v_{o}-n v_{o} \cos \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 L C_{o s s}}} t\right)
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2.11: Equivalent resonant circuit during the ZVS of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. (A) $i_{L}$ is discharging the output capacitance of $S_{1}$. (B) $i_{L}$ is discharging the output capacitance of $S_{2}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{d s . S 2}= & -\left(i_{\text {L.min }}-k_{2} t_{1}\right) \sqrt{\frac{L+L_{m}}{2 C_{o s s}}} \sin \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\left(L+L_{m}\right) C_{o s s}}} t\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
+ & v_{\text {Cin }}+v_{b} \\
& \min \left(v_{d s . S 1}\right)<0 \quad \& \quad \min \left(v_{d s . S 2}\right)<0 \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

The switching frequency, $f_{s w}$, should be accordingly specified in the design stage. The detailed derivation of $f_{s w}$ is provided in the Appendix. The expression of $f_{s w}$ in terms of $L$ and $L_{m}$ is derived in (.7).

### 2.4.4 Concept Design

The circuit design follows a practical system, of which the specifications are shown in Table. 2.2. Following the design process in Section 2.4.1, the winding turns ratio should be defined by $3<n<7.5$. Hence, $n=5$ is specified for the prototype design. A $20 \%$ peak-to-peak ripple is selected for $v_{b}$ and $V_{b . \max }$ is chosen to be 180 V to maintain a 90 V margin from the breakdown voltage of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. Based on the proposed design in Section 2.4.2, the values of $V_{b}$ and $C_{b}$ are calculated to be 170 V and $47 \mu \mathrm{~F}$, respectively. Therefore, an off-the-shelf 47 $\mu \mathrm{F} 250 \mathrm{~V}$ capacitor is selected. Table. 2.2 shows that the maximum $f_{s w}$ shall be limited by 300 kHz . Following the design constraints in (2.17) and the maximum switching frequency requirement, the inductance values are determined as $L=60 \mu \mathrm{H}$ and $L_{m}=260 \mu \mathrm{H}$. The system parameters are summarized in Table 2.3 for the prototype construction.

Table 2.2: Specifications of the prototype.

| Specifications | Values |
| :---: | :---: |
| Input Voltage $\left(v_{i n}\right)$ | $100 \sim 240 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC} 60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| Output Rating $\left(p_{o}, v_{o}, i_{o}\right)$ | $100 \mathrm{~W}(20 \mathrm{~V}, 5 \mathrm{~A})$ |
| Buffer Voltage $\left(V_{b}\right)$ | 170 V |
| Switching Frequency | $60 \sim 300 \mathrm{kHz}$ |
| Voltage Stress of D $\left(V_{D . \max }\right)$ | 150 V |
| Voltage Stress of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ | 650 V |
| Voltage Ripple of $C_{b}\left(\Delta V_{b}\right)$ | $20 \%$ |

TABLE 2.3: Designed parameters

| Parameters | values |
| :---: | :---: |
| Magnetizing Inductance $L_{m}$ | $260 \mu \mathrm{H}$ |
| Leakage Inductance $L$ | $60 \mu \mathrm{H}$ |
| Winding Turns Ratio $n$ | 5 |
| Buffer capacitance $C_{b}$ | $47 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ |

TABLE 2.4: Bill of materials.

| Components | Descriptions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Diode Bridge | RTBS40M M2G, 1000 V, 4 A |
| $S_{1}, S_{2}$ | IPL60R285P7AUMA1,600 V |
| Synchronous Rectifier | BSC093N15NS5ATMA1, 150 V |
| $C_{b}$ | UCS2E470MHD1TO, 250 V, 47 $\mu \mathrm{F}$ |
| $C_{o}$ | 25SEPF330M $* 2,25 \mathrm{~V}, 330 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ |
| $C_{i n}$ | ECQ-E6123JF, 630 V,12 nF |
| Transformer | RM 12, 35:7 |

### 2.5 Experimental Results and Evaluation

Following the concept design in Section 2.4.4, a prototype is built. The bill of materials are summarized in Table. 2.4. The buffer capacitor is the model, UCS2E470MHD1TO, rated as $47 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ and 250 V , which is small in size.

### 2.5.1 Verification

Fig. 2.12 shows the measured waveforms of $v_{i n}, i_{i n}$, $v_{b}$, and $i_{o}$ when the AC power supply is rated for 110 V . The operating waveforms under 230 V AC are measured and shown in Fig. 2.13. The voltage ripple of $v_{b}$ is measured to be $20 \%$, which proves the design in 2.4.4.


Figure 2.12: Operating waveforms of $v_{i n}, i_{i n}, v_{b}$, and $i_{o}$ at 110 V AC .


Figure 2.13: Operating waveforms of $v_{i n}, i_{i n}, v_{o}$, and $i_{o}$ at 230 V AC .

Fig. 2.14 shows the waveform of $v_{\text {comp }}$, which is proportional to $T_{o n . S 2}$. As shown in Fig. 2.14, $v_{\text {comp }}$ periodically varies to buffer the double-line frequency ripple while maintaining a constant output voltage. Particularly, a high level of $v_{\text {comp }}$ is observed near the zero-crossing of $v_{i n}$ indicating that more power is released from $C_{b}$ to the output. Meanwhile, a low level of $v_{\text {comp }}$ is noticed near the peak of $v_{i n}$ indicating that more power is stored into $C_{b}$.

Fig. 2.15 demonstrates the resonating waveform of $v_{\text {Cin }}$. The amplitude of the resonance is well below the peak of $v_{i n}$. The ZCS turn-off of the secondary synchronous rectifier is verified under 110 V and 230 V AC , as shown in Fig. 2.16 and Fig. 2.17, respectively. The ZVS turn-on operation of $S_{1}$ under 110 V and 230 V AC is verified and indicated in Fig. 2.18 and Fig. 2.19, respectively. The ZVS switching moment of $S_{1}$ is intentionally measured


Figure 2.14: Operating waveform of $v_{i n}, v_{c o m p}, v_{o}$, and $i_{o}$ under 230 V AC


Figure 2.15: Operating waveform of $v_{i n}$ and $v_{C_{i n}}$ under 230 V AC


FIGURE 2.16: Operating waveform of $i_{D}, i_{L}$, logic signal to $S_{1}$, and logic signal to $S_{2}$ under 110 V AC


Figure 2.17: Operating waveform of $i_{D}, i_{L}$, logic signal to $S_{1}$, and logic signal to $S_{2}$ under 230 V AC


Figure 2.18: ZVS waveforms of $S_{1}$ under $110 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC}$.
during the peak of $v_{i n}$ because the power released from $C_{b}$ to achieve ZVS of $S_{1}$ is minimal at this interval. Similarly, the ZVS waveforms of $S_{2}$ are measured during the zero-crossing of $v_{i n}$ because the power from $v_{i n}$ to achieve ZVS of $S_{2}$ is minimal at this interval. Fig. 2.20 and Fig. 2.21 shows the ZVS switching-on operation of $S_{2}$ at the voltage levels of 110 V and 230 V , respectively. The experimental results show that the voltage stress of the proposed operation is identical to that of the conventional ACF converter. All measured waveforms match well with the previous analysis.

The experimental results demonstrate the proposed modulation method can achieve high power factor and constant output current with reduced buffer capacitance across a wide range of AC voltage in a single ACF stage. Fig. 2.22 shows that $v_{o}$ can be regulated at 20 V under a


Figure 2.19: ZVS waveforms of $S_{1}$ under 230 V AC.


Figure 2.20: ZVS waveforms of $S_{2}$ under 110 V AC.


Figure 2.21: ZVS waveforms of $S_{2}$ under 230 V AC .


Figure 2.22: Transient waveforms with a load change from 100 W to 50 W .


Figure 2.23: Plot to check the peak-to-peak ripple of $v_{o}$.
load change from 100 W to 50 W , which verifies the effectiveness of the proposed control circuit. The voltage ripple of $v_{o}$ can be checked by the zoom-in plot, as shown Fig. 2.23. The peak-to-peak voltage ripple of $v_{o}$ is measured to be $0.4 \%$, much lower than the $10 \%$ requirements mandated by the standard of USB PD 3.1. Fig. 2.24 shows the plot of the total harmonic distortion of $i_{i n}$. The experimental test reveals a lower THD value at higher input voltage, as shown in Fig. 2.24, which can be explained by (.7). The expression shows that the switching frequency becomes higher when the input voltage is increased. Thus, high-frequency harmonics are effectively suppressed by the existing filters. The power quality meets the IEC 61000-3-2 Class C standard, which is demonstrated in the spectrum plot, as shown in Fig. 2.25. A picture of the prototype is given in Fig. 2.26.


Figure 2.24: Total harmonic distortion of $i_{i n}$ versus input voltage.


Figure 2.25: Harmonic spectrum of the prototype.
A thermal image was captured during a steady-state operation, as shown in Fig. 2.27. The test is based on natural ventilation without any active cooling means. The transformer temperature in the prototype is $62.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and is lower than that of the conventional two-stage solution, which is tested to be higher than $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ presented in [27].

### 2.5.2 Comparison

The conventional single-stage and two-stage solutions are taken as benchmarks to compare the performance with the proposed solution. A recent reference design from the industry, a2-010047 [27], is used as the benchmark to represent the conventional two-stage solution.


Figure 2.26: Hardware implementation. Dimensions: $90 \times 63 \times 26 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$.


Figure 2.27: Thermal image under the steady-state condition of 110 V AC input, $20 \mathrm{~V} / 5 \mathrm{~A}$ output, and $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ room temperature.

Another prototype is constructed to represent the conventional single-stage solution. The prototype is reconfigured into the conventional single-stage solution by replacing $C_{b}$ with a 470 nF film capacitor and increasing $C_{o}$ by $13200 \mu \mathrm{~F}$. The conventional and the proposed single-stage ACF solutions are designed for the same average switching frequency. All prototypes follow the same specifications for a fair comparison.

Fig. 2.28 demonstrates the side-by-side comparison of the buffer capacitors used in different solutions, showcasing the significant size reduction of buffer capacitors with the proposed


Figure 2.28: Comparison of buffer capacitors between the conventional single-stage ACF solution, the proposed solution, and the two-stage solution. Left: buffer capacitor used in the 100 W conventional single-stage ACF solution. Middle: buffer capacitor used in the proposed single-stage ACF solution. Right: buffer capacitor used in a typical 100 W two-stage solution[27].
solution. It should be noted that the output capacitor, $C_{o}$, is rated as 13.2 mF in the conventional single-stage solution, which is significantly higher than the capacitance for $C_{b}$ used in the proposed topology. Fig. 2.29 measures the steady-state waveforms of the conventional single-stage ACF solution and shows that $v_{o}$ in the conventional single-stage ACF solution contains higher double-line frequency ripple than the proposed solution even though larger buffer capacitors are employed. $C_{o}$ can be further increased to reduce the double-line frequency ripple in $v_{o}$, but the volume of the buffer capacitors may be unpractical for adapter applications. Although the peak of $i_{D}$ in the proposed ACF solution shows a slight twice-line-frequency ripple, the average value of $i_{D}$ is constant in steady states.

The conversion efficiency is measured and shown in Fig. 2.30 for the three different solutions. It is shown that the proposed solution can achieve higher efficiency than the conventional two-stage and single-stage solutions over a wide load range. The proposed solution achieves full load efficiency of $92.9 \%$ and $94 \%$ under 110 V and 230 V , respectively. The proposed single-stage solution can achieve higher efficiency than the conventional single-stage topology because the double-line frequency ripple is effectively eliminated from the secondary side. It shows a 25.6 \% reduction of RMS current of $i_{D}$ at the secondary side, as shown in Fig. 2.31,


Figure 2.29: Steady state waveforms of the conventional single-stage ACF solution ( $C_{o}=13860 \mu \mathrm{~F}, C_{b}=470 \mathrm{nF}$ ).


Figure 2.30: Efficiency curves.
which explains the efficiency improvement. Table 2.5 summarizes the comparison among the three solutions.

Compared to the conventional two-stage solution, both the proposed single-stage and twostage solutions achieve the functions of ZCS switching for all diodes, PFC, and galvanic isolation with similar control complexity. However, the proposed solution shows the advantages of ZVS for all MOSFETs, fewer magnetic components, reduced magnetics' volume by $13 \%$, fewer power semiconductors, reduced buffer capacitor's voltage rating by $44 \%$, reduced buffer capacitor's energy storage requirement by $79 \%$, reduced buffer capacitor's


Figure 2.31: $i_{D}$ in (A) the conventional single-stage ACF solution and (B) the proposed single-stage ACF solution.
volume by $57 \%$, and higher full-load efficiency at 110 V (by $0.8 \%$ ). Compared to the conventional single-stage solution, the proposed solution requires one extra controller and voltage sensor, but the proposed solution demonstrates the advantages of lower double-line frequency ripple in $v_{o}$, reduced buffer capacitor's energy storage requirement by $64 \%$, reduced buffer capacitor's volume by $92 \%$, and higher full-load efficiency at 110 V by $1.8 \%$.

TABLE 2.5: Comparison between the conventional two-stage solution (boost $+\mathrm{ACF})$, the conventional single-stage solution, and the proposed single-stage ACF solution.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Two-stage } \\ \text { Boost + ACF [27] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conventional } \\ \text { single-stage ACF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposed } \\ \text { single-stage ACF } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input voltage | $100 \sim 240 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC}$ | $100 \sim 240 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC}$ | $100 \sim 240 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC}$ |
| Output power | $100 \mathrm{~W}(20 \mathrm{~V}, 5 \mathrm{~A})$ | $100 \mathrm{~W}(20 \mathrm{~V}, 5 \mathrm{~A})$ | $100 \mathrm{~W}(20 \mathrm{~V}, 5 \mathrm{~A})$ |
| PFC | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Elimination of 100/120 Hz ripple | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Isolation | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| ZCS for all diodes or SRs | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| ZVS for all FETs | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Number of controllers | 3 | 2 | , |
| Number of sensed signals | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Number of 650 V FET | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of secondary diode or SR | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of magnetics | RM $10 \times 2$ | RM $12 \times 1$ | RM $12 \times 1$ |
| Volume of magnetics | $16472 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $14297 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $14297 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Total power component count ${ }^{a}$ | 10 | 7 | 7 |
| Voltage rating of buffer capacitor | 450 V | 25 V | 250 V |
| Volatge ripple of buffer capacitor | 2.5 \% | 7.5 \% | 20 \% |
| Energy storage of buffer capacitor | 6.885 J | 4.125 J | 1.469 J |
| Volume of buffer capacitor | $6109 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $33946 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $2638 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Full-load efficiency at 110 V | 92.1 \% | 91.1 \% | 92.9 \% |
| Full-load efficiency at 230 V | 93.1\% | 92.1 \% | 94 \% |

${ }^{a}$ The EMI filters and the diode bridge are excluded.

### 2.6 Summary

In this chapter, a new modulation method is proposed for ACF converters in AC-DC adaptor applications. By actively controlling the on-time of both active switches, it has been found that both PFC and active power buffering function can be simultaneously realized without any modification on the topology, which leads to a new single-stage AC-DC solution with significant size reduction of buffer capacitor. The experimental evaluation demonstrates that the new single-stage solution can outperform the conventional two-stage and single-stage solutions in terms of cost, conversion efficiency, and power density.

## ChAPTER 3

## A High-density PFC Front End

### 3.1 Background

PFC front end is widely adopted in AC-DC applications to improve power quality. In many of these applications, high power density is desirable [28]-[30]. One of the most widely employed PFC front ends is the boost PFC front end shown in Fig. 3.1. Despite its low cost and high efficiency, the boost PFC front-end is increasingly challenged by the demand for higher power density. Most of its size is occupied by the boost inductor and the buffer capacitor.

To reduce the size of the boost inductor, a critical conduction mode (CRM) of operation is adopted. However, ZVS turn-on cannot be achieved across the universal range of AC voltage [31]. Without soft-switching capability, the efficiency of CRM boost converter can decrease significantly at high-frequency operation even with GaN devices [32]. Consequently, the conventional CRM boost front end typically switches at below 100 kHz , and the size of the boost inductor cannot be further reduced without compromising the efficiency. On the other hand, the buffer capacitor of the boost PFC front end functions as both the DC link and the double-line-frequency energy buffer. The size of the buffer capacitor is typically large due to the need to ensure a low DC-link voltage ripple for the cascading power-processing stage [33].

The totem-pole boost converter is an alternative solution to the PFC front end. Besides reducing the conduction loss of the diode bridge [34], a totem-pole PFC converter can operate efficiently at high frequency across a universal input by adopting ZVS extension control,


Energy buffer and DC link (high capacitance and small voltage ripple)

Figure 3.1: Conventional boost-based PFC front end
allowing for a much smaller boost inductor design [35]. However, the converter requires a high number of active switches. Extra sensing and control circuits are also needed to detect the zero-crossing of the inductor current and calculate the extended on-time, requiring a relatively expensive micro-controller [36]. Also, a large DC-link capacitor is still mandatory in the totem-pole PFC front end. As a result, the totem-pole PFC converter is usually used in high-power applications ( kW -level), where efficiency is of primary concern.

Multilevel boost PFC front end can also reduce the size of the boost inductor by transferring the energy storage burden to the capacitors which usually have much higher energy density than inductors [37], [38]. But the number of switches in the multilevel converters increases proportionally with the voltage levels, leading to high costs. Hence, the multilevel boost PFC front end may not be suitable for low-power and cost-sensitive applications.

Besides reducing the size of the boost inductor, reducing the size of the buffer capacitor is also critical for higher power density in AC-DC systems. Active power buffering is one of the most widely used techniques to reduce the size of the buffer capacitor in an AC-DC system [21], [26], [39]. The idea is to employ a power buffering circuit to decouple the double-linefrequency power from the DC link while directing it to an external energy buffer that can tolerate a greater voltage ripple [40]-[44]. However, existing active power buffering solutions generally focus on capacitance reduction solely and, very often, require the augmentation of extra magnetic energy storage to the original PFC circuits [13]. The size of buffer capacitors
is reduced but at the expense of bigger magnetic components in total. Also, additional active switches are generally required to formulate an active buffer with these switches typically hard-switched, making it even harder to reduce the size of magnetics through high-frequency operation due to the increased power losses. Therefore, it is challenging to achieve simultaneous size reduction of both the magnetics and the buffer capacitor. For example, an extra bidirectional DC-DC converter is connected to the PFC circuit to reduce the buffer capacitance, but an additional inductor is required in the bidirectional power buffering converter, and the original PFC converter remains hard-switched [17], [18]. Similarly, the capacitance in a full-bridge PFC front end can be reduced by inserting an extra inductor and a capacitor into the circuit, adding additional size and cost to the original circuit [45].

In this chapter, a new PFC front-end converter is proposed to improve the power density of the boost PFC front end and achieve simultaneous size reductions of both the magnetics and the buffer capacitors. This is achieved via integrating an active buffering circuit into a conventional boost converter in a way that also allows the original circuit to be soft-switched, creating mutual benefits between the active buffering circuit and the original circuit. The advantages of the proposed converter are summarized as follows:
(1) All switches can achieve ZVS turn-on across the universal input range, allowing for a higher switching frequency operation and thus low magnetic demand in the system.
(2) Active power buffering is achieved, allowing for a smaller energy buffer and an almost constant DC-link voltage, which eases the design of the following power processing stages and mitigates the propagation of the double-line frequency ripple.
(3) Neither expensive MCU nor DSP is needed, and a low-cost analog control is sufficient to operate the proposed topology.

A 100-W universal input prototype is built, which achieves a $96.7 \%$ peak efficiency and a power density of $50.8 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$. Compared to a typical CRM boost PFC front end, the prototype achieves a $47 \%$ size reduction of the magnetics and a $21 \%$ size reduction of the buffer capacitor with a comparable efficiency performance.


Figure 3.2: Proposed topology for PFC front end.

### 3.2 Topology and Operating Principle

This section presents the proposed topology and analyzes the steady-state operations.

### 3.2.1 Development of the Proposed Topology

The bulky buffer capacitor in the conventional boost PFC solution is the main constraint for size reduction. Another is the lack of soft switching to increase switching frequency. Thus, the proposed PFC converter is developed and shown in Fig. 3.2.

The proposed PFC converter consists of two inductors, $L_{a}$ and $L_{b}$. $S_{1}$ provides input current shaping capability (i.e., input power regulation) similar to the low-side switch in a boost PFC converter. $S_{2}$ provides additional control freedom to regulate the DC-link voltage $v_{d c}$ (i.e., output power regulation). Hence, the proposed topology can regulate both its input power and output power independently by controlling the switching actions of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. As a result, $C_{b}$ can be operated as an active energy buffer while $C_{d c}$ only receives constant power without any double-line-frequency ripple power. Such a feature allows for an increased voltage ripple over $v_{b}$, leading to a reduced twice-line energy buffer's size while achieving a constant voltage for $v_{d c}$. It should be noted that this is impossible in the conventional boost


Figure 3.3: Operating states of the proposed converter.
PFC converter, where reducing buffer capacitance will compromise the DC-link voltage ripple. Meanwhile, the energy stored in $L_{b}$ can be used to discharge the output capacitance of $S_{1}$, allowing universal-input-range ZVS and size reductions of magnetics through high-frequency operations. This is also impossible in the conventional CRM boost PFC converter, where ZVS is lost at high-line conditions. The output diode, $D_{o}$, is used to block the reversed power flow from $C_{d c}$. The auxiliary diode $D_{1}$ is included for inrush current bypassing and voltage clamping. Another auxiliary diode $D_{2}$ is adopted for voltage clamping.

### 3.2.2 Operating Principle

The steady-state operation includes 11 states, which can be identified by the equivalent circuit, as shown in Fig. 3.3. The definition follows the steady-state waveform in Fig. 3.4. The operation is elaborated as follows.


Figure 3.4: Detailed waveforms of the proposed converter. $v_{d s . S 1}$ and $v_{d s . S 2}$ are the drain-source voltage of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$.

State I: $L_{a}$ is charged by $\left|v_{a c}\right|$ via the conduction of $S_{1}$ and a pair of diodes.
State II: $S_{1}$ is turned off and causes $i_{L a}$ to discharge the output capacitance of $S_{2}$ and to charge the output capacitance of $S_{1}$.

State III: The body diode of $S_{2}$ is forward-biased. $S_{2}$ is ready for the ZVS turn-on. $L_{b}$ is charged by $v_{b}$, causing $i_{L b}$ to increase with a slope of $\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right) / L_{b}$. Although the blue current is passing through the body diode of $S_{2}$ in a reversed direction, the net current passing through the body diode is still along its forward biasing direction because $i_{L a}$ is greater than $i_{L b}$ at this state.

State IV: $S_{2}$ turns on with ZVS. $i_{L a}$ decreases while $i_{L b}$ increases, and they cancel each other in $S_{2}$, which reduces the current stress of $S_{2}$.

State V: $i_{L a}$ reaches zero, and the diode bridge turns off with ZCS. $i_{L a}$ starts to flow reversely and charges $C_{i n}$, resulting in a rising $v_{C i n}$.

State VI: The forward bias of $D_{1}$ clamps $v_{\text {Cin }}$ at the level of $v_{b}$. The energy stored in $C_{i n}$, $0.5 C_{i n} v_{b}^{2}$, can be controlled by designing $C_{i n}$, and is released back to $L_{a}$ to realize the ZVS turn-on of $S_{2}$ in State II. $i_{L a}$ circulates within the loop formed by $L_{a}, D_{1}$, and $S_{2}$. The minimum value of $i_{L a}$ in each switching state can be calculated by (3.1). Since the energy to achieve ZVS turn-on of $S_{1}$ is mainly from $L_{b}$ rather than $L_{a}$, there is no need to have a dedicated controller to sense and control $i_{\text {La.min }}$, which allows a simple and cost-effective control scheme. It should be noted that many existing soft-switching PFC converters usually need expensive digital controllers and high-speed sensing circuits to adjust the switch timing and detect the zero-crossing of inductor current to achieve ZVS, which increases cost and complexity. Moreover, the energy to achieve ZVS turn-on of $S_{1}$ is mainly sourced from $L_{b}$ rather than $L_{a}$. As a result, ZVS of $S_{1}$ can still be achieved at the peak of $v_{a c}$ under the high-line condition as shown in the experimental section.

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{\text {La.min }}=-\sqrt{\frac{C_{i n}\left(v_{b}^{2}-2 v_{b}\left|v_{a c}\right|\right)}{L_{a}}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

State VII: $S_{2}$ is off. $i_{L a}$ and $i_{L b}$ discharge the output capacitance of $S_{1}$ together while charging the output capacitance of $S_{2}$.

State VIII: the body diode of $S_{1}$ conducts after the output capacitance of $S_{1}$ is fully discharged. $S_{1}$ is ready for ZVS turn-on.

State IX: $S_{1}$ turns on with ZVS. $i_{L a}$ starts to increase with a slope of $\left(v_{b} / L_{a}\right)$ to approach the zero level. $i_{L b}$ decreases with a slope of $\left(-v_{d c} / L_{b}\right)$.

State X: When $i_{L a}$ reaches zero and turns positive, $D_{1}$ turns off with ZCS. $C_{i n}$ starts to charge $L_{a}$. Thus, the level of $i_{L a}$ continues to increase with a slope of $\left(v_{C i n} / L_{a}\right)$. The diode bridge remains off until $v_{C i n}$ is discharged to the level of $\left|v_{a c}\right|$. On the other hand, $i_{L b}$ decreases to approach the zero current level.

State XI: $i_{L b}$ reaches zero and $D_{o}$ is off with ZCS. The DC-link voltage is maintained by $C_{d c}$. The bridge rectifier remains off until $v_{\text {Cin }}$ reduces to $\left|v_{a c}\right|$. It marks the last state of the switching cycle. Then, the operation enters State I and repeats the 9 states.

### 3.2.3 Circuit Analysis

Mathematical expressions of key circuit parameters such the switching period $T_{s w}, T_{o n . S 2}$, $T_{\text {on.S1 }}$, and peak inductor currents are derived to assist the design of the proposed converter. The dead-time and the parasitic capacitance are neglected to simplify the derivation. Assuming that $C_{i n}$ is zero, the peak inductor current of $L_{a}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{L a . p k}=\frac{\left|v_{a c}\right| T_{o n . S 1}}{L_{a}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{o n . S 1}$ refers to the on-time of $S_{1}$. Similarly, the peak inductor current of $L_{b}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{L b . p k}=\frac{\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right) T_{o n . S 2}}{L_{b}}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{\text {on.S2 }}$ denotes the on-time of $S_{2}$. The time required to reset $i_{L a}$ and $i_{L b}$ from their peak values to zero can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\text {down.La }}=\frac{i_{\text {La.pk }} L_{a}}{v_{b}-\left|v_{a c}\right|} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\text {down.Lb }}=\frac{i_{L b . p k} L_{b}}{v_{d c}}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. The average values of $i_{L a}$ and $i_{L b}$ are the input and output current respectively, so we have the following two equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|i_{a c}\right|=\frac{i_{\text {La.pk }}\left(T_{\text {on.S1 }}+T_{\text {down.La }}\right)}{2 T_{s w}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{d c}=\frac{i_{L b . p k}\left(T_{o n . S 2}+T_{\text {down.Lb }}\right)}{2 T_{s w}}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{d c}$ is the output current.

Combining (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), and (3.7), the analytical expressions of key circuit variables are derived as summarized as follows.

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{s w}=\frac{4 \sqrt{\left|i_{a c}\right| L_{a} L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-\left|v_{a c}\right|\right)\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)}}{\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)}  \tag{3.8}\\
-\frac{2\left|i_{a c}\right| L_{a} v_{b}\left(\left|v_{a c}\right|-v_{b}\right)\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)+2 L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}}{\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)} \\
T_{\text {on.S1 }}=\frac{2\left(\sqrt{\left|i_{a c}\right| L_{a} L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-\left|v_{a c}\right|\right)\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)}-\left|i_{a c}\right| L_{a} v_{b}\left(\left|v_{a c}\right|-v_{b}\right)\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)\right)}{\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)}  \tag{3.9}\\
T_{o n . S 2}=\frac{2\left(\sqrt{\left|i_{a c}\right| L_{a} L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-\left|v_{a c}\right|\right)\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)}+L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}\right)}{\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)}  \tag{3.10}\\
\left.T_{\text {down.La }}=\frac{2\left(v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-\left|v_{a c}\right|\right)\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)\right.}{\left|i_{a c}\right| L_{a} L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-\left|v_{a c}\right|\right)\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)}-\left|i_{a c}\right| L_{a} v_{b}\left(\left|v_{a c}\right|-v_{b}\right)\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
T_{\text {down.Lb }}=\frac{2\left(\sqrt{\left|i_{a c}\right| L_{a} L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-\left|v_{a c}\right|\right)\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)}+L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}\right)}{\mid v_{a c}^{2} v_{d c}}  \tag{3.12}\\
i_{\text {Lb.pk }}=\frac{2\left(\sqrt{\left|i_{a c}\right| L_{a} L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-\left|v_{a c}\right|\right)\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)}+L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}\right)}{L_{b}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}}  \tag{3.13}\\
i_{\text {La.pk }}=\frac{2\left|i_{a c}\right| L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right|\left(v_{b}-\left|v_{a c}\right|\right)}{\sqrt{\left|i_{a c}\right| L_{a} L_{b} P_{o}\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}^{2}\left(v_{b}-\left|v_{a c}\right|\right)\left(v_{b}-v_{d c}\right)}+\left|i_{a c}\right|\left(2-\frac{2\left|v_{a c}\right|}{v_{b}}\right)}  \tag{3.14}\\
\text { (3.12) } \\
2.13)
\end{gather*}
$$

### 3.2.4 Impact of $L_{b}$ on Power Density

While the proposed PFC front end can achieve soft switching across the universal range, the possible size reduction of magnetic components through high-frequency operation may be hindered by $L_{b}$, which is not presented in the conventional boost PFC front end. This section analyzes the impact of $L_{b}$ on power density.
$L_{a}$ works the same way as the inductor of the CRM/DCM boost converter and the magnetic energy storage of $L_{a}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\text {boost }} & =\frac{1}{2} L_{a} i_{\text {La.pk }}^{2} \\
& =P_{\text {boost }} T_{\text {sw }}\left(1-\frac{v_{\text {in.boost }}}{v_{\text {o.boost }}}\right), \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i_{\text {La.pk }}$ is the peak $i_{L a}$ per switching cycle, $T_{s w}$ is the switching period, $P_{\text {boost }}$ is the power handled by the boost converter, $v_{\text {in.boost }}$ is the input voltage of the boost converter (i.e., $\left|v_{a c}\right|$ in the proposed converter), and $v_{o . b o o s t}$ is the output voltage of the boost converter (i.e., $v_{b}$ in the proposed converter). In a universal AC-DC application, the maximum energy storage of $L_{a}$ happens under the low-line condition and is calculated to be $1.39 P_{o} T_{s w}$.

The function of $L_{b}$ in the proposed topology is equivalent to the conventional buck inductor operated by the DCM/CRM. Thus, its magnetic energy storage can be calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{b u c k} & =\frac{1}{2} L_{b} i_{L b . p k}^{2}  \tag{3.16}\\
& =P_{b u c k} T_{s w}\left(1-\frac{v_{o . b u c k}}{v_{i n . b u c k}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $i_{L b . p k}$ is the peak $i_{L b}$ within each switching cycle, $P_{\text {buck }}$ is the power handled by the buck converter, $v_{\text {in.buck }}$ is the input voltage of the buck converter (i.e., $v_{b}$ in the proposed converter), and $v_{\text {o.buck }}$ is the output voltage of the buck converter (i.e., $v_{d c}$ in the proposed converter and $v_{d c}$ is designed at constant 380 V in this work). Detailed derivations of the maximum magnetic energy storage of boost and buck converters are in the Appendix.

The maximum energy storage of $L_{b}, E_{b u c k . m a x}$, occurs when $v_{b}$ reaches the peak, which is around 410 V in our design, so $E_{\text {buck.max }}$ is calculated to be $0.07 P_{o} T_{s w}$, which is low. The main reason for the low energy storage requirement of $L_{b}$ is that $v_{b}$ and $v_{d c}$ are purposely designed at similar voltage levels. The ratio between the magnetic energy storage in the proposed PFC front end and the magnetic energy storage in the conventional boost PFC front end can therefore be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {mag }}=\frac{E_{\text {boost.max }}+E_{\text {buck. } \max }}{E_{\text {boost.max }}} \approx 1.05 . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.17), the proposed PFC front end requires $5 \%$ more magnetic energy storage than the conventional boost PFC front end if both are working at the same frequency. This disadvantage can be easily offset by the universal-range ZVS feature of the proposed topology, which allows a much higher switching frequency than the convention boost PFC front end without compromising the switching loss. As a result, $L_{b}$ will not be a barrier towards higher power density.

### 3.2.5 Comparison of Electrical Energy Storage

This subsection compares the electrical energy storage between the proposed converter and the conventional boost PFC converter to show the advantages of the proposed converter, including smaller DC-link voltage ripple and lower required electrical energy storage. The electrical energy storage of the proposed converter can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{C . \text { proposed }}=E_{C_{d c}}+E_{C_{b}}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{C_{d c}}$ is the electrical energy storage of $C_{d c}$, and $E_{C_{b}}$ is the electrical energy storage of $C_{b}$. The function of $C_{d c}$ of the proposed topology is to perform high-frequency filtering, limiting the high-frequency ripple to a given level. The required electrical energy storage of $C_{d c}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{C_{d c}} & =\frac{1}{2} C_{d c} v_{d c}^{2} \\
& =\frac{v_{d c} P_{o} T_{s w}}{2 \triangle v_{d c}} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{s w}$ is the switching period, $P_{o}$ is the output power, and $\Delta v_{d c}$ is the voltage ripple on $C_{d c} . C_{b}$ buffers the double-line-frequency ripple power, and the electrical energy storage of $C_{b}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{C_{b}} & =\frac{1}{2} C_{b} V_{b \cdot \max }^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} C_{b} V_{b}^{2}+\frac{P_{o} T_{l}}{4 \pi}, \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{b . \max }$ is the maximum value of $v_{b}, V_{b}$ is the average voltage of $v_{b}$, and $T_{l}$ is the line period.

The electrical energy storage of the conventional boost PFC converter can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{C . b o o s t}=\frac{1}{2} C_{b}^{*} V_{b}^{* 2}+\frac{P_{o} T_{l}}{4 \pi}, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{b}{ }^{*}$ is the buffer capacitance of the conventional boost PFC converter, and $V_{b}{ }^{*}$ is the average buffer voltage or DC-link voltage of the conventional boost PFC converter.

Assuming $v_{d c}=V_{b}^{*}=380 \mathrm{~V}, V_{b}=400 \mathrm{~V}, C_{b}=33 \mu \mathrm{~F}, C_{b}^{*}=68 \mu \mathrm{~F}, T_{s w}=2.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}, P_{o}=100 \mathrm{~W}$, and $\Delta v_{d c}=2 \mathrm{~V}, E_{C \text {.proposed }}$ is calculated to be 2.79 J , and $E_{\text {C.boost }}$ is calculated to be 5.04 J. Thus, the ratio of electrical energy storage $k_{\text {cap }}$ between the proposed converter and the conventional boost converter is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {cap }}=\frac{E_{C . p r o p o s e d}}{E_{C . b o o s t}} \approx 0.55 . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3.22), the proposed PFC converter reduces the electrical energy storage by $45 \%$ via reducing the buffer capacitance from $68 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ to $33 \mu \mathrm{~F}$. Meanwhile, the proposed converter can also achieve 80 \% less DC-link voltage ripple as shown in Fig. 3.5 despite the electrical energy storage is reduced in the proposed converter. The above analysis demonstrates that the


Figure 3.5: Waveforms of DC-link voltage in the proposed converter and the conventional boost PFC converter ( $C_{b}=33 \mu \mathrm{~F}, C_{b}^{*}=68 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ ). The proposed converter can achieve a lower DC-link voltage ripple than the conventional boost PFC converter while requiring lower capacitance.
proposed converter can achieve lower DC-link ripple while requiring less electrical energy storage than the conventional boost PFC converter.

### 3.3 Design Considerations

This section discusses the practical design considering the effects of parasitics, circuit parameters design, ZVS range discussion, controller implementation, and common-mode noise.

### 3.3.1 Effects of Parasitic Capacitance and $D_{2}$

The auxiliary diode $D_{2}$ is ignored in the previous analysis, but $D_{2}$ is essential to clamp the voltage stress of $D_{o}$ when the parasitic capacitance of $D_{o}$ is considered. Fig. 3.6 illustrates the operating waveforms of $i_{L b}$ and $v_{d o}$ when the parasitic capacitance of $D_{o}$ is 10 pF . Oscillations between the parasitic capacitance and $L_{b}$ can be observed when $D_{2}$ is reverse-biased. The oscillations increase the voltage stress of $D_{o}$ to over 600 V and introduce EMI noises. The feature of $D_{2}$ is to clamp the voltage stress of $D_{o}$ at $v_{d c}$. Fig. 3.6 indicates that the oscillation is eliminated by $D_{2}$. It is noted that $i_{L b}$ can go negative due to the parasitic capacitance, and


Figure 3.6: Operating waveforms with and without $D_{2}$ considering 10 pF parasitic capacitance of Do.
the minimum $i_{L b}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{L b . \min }=-v_{d c} \sqrt{\frac{C_{D_{o}}+C_{D_{2}}}{L_{b}}} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{D_{o}}$ and $C_{D_{2}}$ are the parasitic capacitance of $D_{o}$ and $D_{2}$. From (3.23), $i_{L b . m i n}$ does not scale with the power level, which is not a barrier for higher power applications. The negative $i_{L b}$ will circulate within the loop formed by $L_{b}, S_{1}$, and $D_{2}$, leading to slightly increased conduction loss in $D_{2}$. Assuming 20 pF for $\left(C_{D_{o}}+C_{D_{2}}\right), 380 \mathrm{~V}$ for $v_{d c}, 100 \mu \mathrm{H}$ for $L_{b}, 1 \mathrm{~V}$ forward voltage for $D_{2}$, and $i_{L b . \min }$ continuously flows through $D_{2}$, the conduction loss of $D_{2}$ in the worst case is estimated to be 0.17 W based on (3.23), corresponding to a $0.17 \%$ efficiency reduction for a 100 W PFC converter. Thus, the effects of $D_{2}$ and parasitic capacitance on the system efficiency are negligible.

### 3.3.2 Design of $C_{b}$

The design target of the buffer capacitor is to reduce its size but maintain sufficient hold-up time. The average value of $v_{b}$ is designed at 400 V to enable the converter to work across the universal input range. The minimum capacitance required can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{b . \min }=\frac{2 P_{o} T_{\text {hold }}}{V_{b}^{2}-V_{\min }^{2}}, \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.7: Volume of magnetics and energy buffer versus $C_{b}$ for a $100-\mathrm{W}$ prototype. Lower $C_{b}$ leads to higher voltage stress, smaller energy buffer, and bigger magnetics. The volume of the energy buffer capacitor is calculated based on existing electrolytic capacitors from Rubycon. The volume of magnetics is calculated assuming $0.065 \mu \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ energy density and 400 kHz switching frequency.
where $T_{\text {hold }}$ is the hold-up time, $V_{b}$ is the average voltage of $C_{b}$, and $V_{\min }$ is the minimum buffer voltage without interrupting the next stage. Furthermore, the minimum $v_{b}, V_{b . m i n}$, must be higher than $v_{d c}$ to ensure the proper operation of the proposed circuit. $V_{b . \text { min }}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{b . \min }=\sqrt{V_{b}^{2}-\frac{P_{o}}{\omega_{l} C_{b}}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{l}$ is the angular frequency of the AC voltage, which is $100 \pi \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ for 50 Hz input and $120 \pi \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ for 60 Hz input. For $10-\mathrm{ms} T_{\text {hold }}, 100-\mathrm{W} P_{o}, 400-\mathrm{V} V_{b}, 380-\mathrm{V} v_{d c}$, and $300-\mathrm{V}$ $V_{\text {min }}, C_{b . \min }$ is calculated to be $28 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ and an off-the-shelf $33 \mu \mathrm{~F} 450 \mathrm{~V}$ electrolytic capacitor is selected in the prototype.

It is noticed that $C_{d c}$ can also be increased to provide the hold-up time. However, doing so will increase the volume of the overall system. Since $C_{b}$ is the key energy storage component in the proposed system, $C_{b}$ can be directly used to provide the hold-up energy required without increasing the system's volume.

If the hold-up time is not required, the buffer capacitor can be further shrunk by reducing $C_{b}$ and increasing its voltage ripple. However, reducing $C_{b}$ also leads to higher voltage stress of $C_{b}$ and thus larger energy storage requirement of magnetics as per (3.15) and (3.16).

Therefore, there exists an optimal value of $C_{b}$ that results in the highest power density. Fig. 3.7 estimates the size of magnetics and energy buffer under different $C_{b}$ for a 100-W design, and the optimal $C_{b}$ is found to be around $10 \mu \mathrm{~F}$, corresponding to $V_{b}=423 \mathrm{~V}$.

### 3.3.3 Design of $L_{a}$ and $L_{b}$

The design targets of $L_{a}$ and $L_{b}$ are: 1 . to reduce the size of magnetics by switching at a much higher frequency than the conventional CRM boost converter and 2. to improve the energy storage utilization of magnetics by ensuring $L_{a}$ to work in DCM.

The design process starts with determining the switching frequency $f_{s w}$ when $v_{a c}$ reaches its peak under the low-line condition, and the maximum energy storage occurs. Then, $L_{a}$ is designed to ensure its DCM operation at $f_{s w}$. The critical inductance to ensure DCM operation of $L_{a}$ at $f_{s w}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{a . c r i t}=\frac{V_{a c . m i n}^{2}\left(V_{b}-\left|V_{a c . m i n}\right|\right)}{4 P_{o} f_{s w} V_{b}} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{a c . \text { min }}$ is the minimum amplitude of $v_{a c} . L_{a}$ should be designed smaller than $L_{a . c r i t}$ to guarantee DCM operation. After that, $L_{b}$ can be calculated by substituting $f_{s w}$ and $L_{a}$ into (3.8). It should be noted that (3.8) is derived without considering the parasitics and power losses, and the design procedure above only leads to a preliminary design. Finally, $L_{b}$ and $L_{a}$ should be finely tuned based on simulations and experiments to match the design targets.

### 3.3.4 Design of $C_{i n}$

The capacitance of $C_{i n}$ should be determined to allow $S_{2}$ to achieve ZVS even at the zerocrossing of $v_{a c}$. As analyzed above, $i_{L a}$ should be sufficiently high to achieve the ZVS turn-on of $S_{2}$. However, during the zero-crossing of $v_{a c}, i_{L a}$ is near zero since there is no power from $v_{a c}$, and $S_{2}$ will lose the ZVS turn-on. The capacitance of $C_{i n}$ should be designed to be sufficient to assist the ZVS turn-on of $S_{2}$ during the zero-crossing of $v_{a c}$. The energy stored in $C_{i n}$ is calculated as $0.5 C_{i n} v_{b}^{2}$, to charge $L_{a}$ when $S_{1}$ is on and $i_{L a}$ should be high enough
to fully discharge the output capacitance of $S_{2}$. Assuming that all of the energy stored in $C_{i n}$ is transferred to $L_{a}$ when $S_{1}$ is on and $v_{a c}=0 \mathrm{~V}$, the energy balance is expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} C_{i n} v_{b}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} L_{a} i_{\text {La.max }}^{2}, \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{\text {La.max }}$ denotes the maximum $i_{L a}$ per switching cycle. A state-plane trajectory analysis may provide more accurate results than (3.27) [46], [47]. The minimum $v_{d s . S_{2}}$ should be lower than zero to guarantee the ZVS turn-on of $S_{2}$, so combining (3.30) and (3.27), the minimum capacitance of $C_{i n}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i n . \min }=2 C_{o s s} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3.5 ZVS Range Analysis

This subsection analyzes the range of ZVS. The equivalent resonant circuits of ZVS transitions of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ can be approximated as shown in Fig. 3.8 (a) and (b). The drain-source voltage of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ can be derived accordingly as (3.29) and (3.30), respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{d s . S_{1}}= & -i_{L b . p k} \sqrt{\frac{L_{b}}{2 C_{o s s}}} \sin \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 L_{b} C_{o s s}}} t\right)+v_{b}  \tag{3.29}\\
& +v_{d c}-v_{d c} \cos \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 L_{b} C_{o s s}}} t\right) \\
v_{d s . S_{2}}= & \left|v_{a c}\right| \cos \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 L_{a} C_{o s s}}} t\right)-\left|v_{a c}\right|+v_{b} \\
& -i_{\text {La.pk }} \sqrt{\frac{L_{a}}{2 C_{o s s}}} \sin \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 L_{a} C_{o s s}}} t\right) \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

$C_{\text {oss }}$ is the output capacitance of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. The ZVS range can therefore be derived by checking the minimum values of $v_{d s . S 1}$ and $v_{d s . S 2}$ under different $v_{a c}, v_{b}$, and power level. A key fact from (3.29) and (3.30) is that the minimum drain-source voltages are mainly


Figure 3.8: Approximate resonant circuit during the ZVS transition of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. (A) $i_{L b}$ is discharging the output capacitance of $S_{1}$ while charging the output capacitance of $S_{2}$. (B) $i_{L a}$ is discharging the output capacitance of $S_{2}$ while charging the output capacitance of $S_{1}$.
determined by the peak inductor currents, which reduce at a lighter load. Hence, ZVS can be lost under light-load operations, reducing the efficiency at lower power levels.

The ZVS ranges under different input voltage and load power are plotted in Fig. 3.9. Fig. 3.9 (a) shows that the proposed topology can achieve full-range ZVS at a universal input under the full-load condition. Particularly, the topology can achieve full-range ZVS at 100 W , as the peak currents of $i_{L a}$ and $i_{L b}$ are both greater than the minimum required current (i.e., around 0.4 A ) to realize ZVS of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ throughout the complete line period under both 110 V and 220 V AC input. However, $S_{1}$ starts to lose ZVS at around 70 W as there exists an interval where the peak of $i_{L b}$ becomes less than 0.4 A as shown in Fig. 3.9 (b), resulting in reduced efficiency at lower power levels. Another observation from Fig. 3.9 (b) shows that the proposed topology is prone to lose ZVS at high line conditions as the red region is larger under 220 V AC , which is similar to many other PFC converters, such as CRM boost converter or Totem-pole converters.

Possible solutions to extend the ZVS range of the proposed converter include (1) redesigning of the circuit parameters to ensure ZVS operation throughout the desired operating range and (2) burst mode operation. Fig. 3.10 presents the relationship between $L_{b}$ and the ZVS range, showing that increasing $L_{b}$ can extend the ZVS range. However, the trade-off between power density and the ZVS range should be considered. For example, to extend the ZVS boundary


Figure 3.9: ZVS range under different AC voltages and power levels. The regions where ZVS is lost are highlighted in red. $L_{a}$ is $50 \mu \mathrm{H}$ and $L_{b}$ is 100 $\mu \mathrm{H}$. (A) Simulated waveforms of $i_{L a}$ and $i_{L b}$ under 110 V and 220 V inputs at 100 W . ZVS of switches can be achieved as $i_{\text {La.pk }}$ and $i_{\text {Lb.pk }}$ are higher than the ZVS boundaries plotted in dashed lines. (B) Simulated waveforms of $i_{L a}$ and $i_{L b}$ under 110 V and 220 V inputs at 70 W . The ZVS of $S_{1}$ is lost when $i_{\text {Lb.pk }}$ is lower than the ZVS boundary of $S_{1}$.
from around 50 W to 20 W , a 5 times increase of the effective core area of $L_{b}$ is required given the same window area, current density, and flux density, which may not be worthwhile considering its system-level benefits.


Figure 3.10: The relationship between $L_{b}$ and ZVS range. The figure is plotted using the same specifications and circuit parameters as in Table. 3.1 and 3.2.


Figure 3.11: ZVS extension through the burst-mode operations. Left: simulated waveforms under the normal-mode operations. Right: simulated waveforms under the burst-mode operations. Both cases are measured at 220-V AC input and $70-\mathrm{W}$ output, and the circuit parameters are the same as the hardware prototype in the experimental section.

For high power density, the design of circuit parameters should focus on optimizing the efficiency around the full-load condition at which the maximum power losses usually occur rather than solely focusing on the ZVS range under normal-mode operations, given that there exist many other approaches to improve light-load efficiency without greatly compromising power density. For example, burst-mode operations can also improve the light-load efficiency performance. Fig. 3.11 compares the operating waveforms of the proposed topology under normal-mode and burst-mode operations (where additional blank time is inserted between


Figure 3.12: Comparison of waveforms between the normal-mode operations and burst-mode operations ( 30 W output, 110 V AC ). The parameters used to simulate the waveforms are the same as the hardware prototype in the experimental section. The average switching frequency is reduced after using the burst mode operations. Higher ripple occurs as a trade-off for lower switching frequency and the corresponding switching-related losses.


Figure 3.13: Comparison of $v_{b}$ between the burst-mode operations and normal-mode operations ( 30 W output, 110 V AC ). The ripple of $v_{b}$ under burstmode operations is lower than that under normal-mode operations because the burst-mode operations improve efficiency and reduce the 120 Hz ripple power.
every two groups of pulses), given identical circuit parameters and operating conditions. It can be seen that burst-mode operations can reduce the switching frequency and increase $i_{L b . p k}$, effectively extending the ZVS range of the proposed system.

It should be noted that burst-mode operation may increase the output ripple and input current distortion due to reduced operating frequency, as shown in Fig. 3.12, which should be


Figure 3.14: Implementation of the control circuit.
considered when sizing the filters [48], [49]. However, the ripples are still relatively low in the burst-mode operations even though the switching frequency is reduced by more than 10 times in Fig. 3.12. The reason is that the ripple power is inherently lower at light-load conditions, compensating for the increase of ripple due to the burst-mode operations. Also, it is found that burst-mode operations can even decrease the ripple of $v_{b}$ as shown in Fig. 3.13 because the burst-mode operations improve efficiency and hence reduce the $100 / 120 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ripple power.

### 3.3.6 Control Circuit

Fig. 3.14 illustrates the control circuit, which is specially designed for the proposed topology. $T_{o n . S 1}$ is used to shape the AC current $i_{\text {rec }}$ to follow a sinusoidal reference $i_{r e f}$. The regulation of $v_{b}$ is done by a dedicated error amplifier which adjusts the amplitude of $i_{\text {ref }}$. The voltage regulation of $v_{d c}$ is achieved by modulating $T_{o n . S 2}$ so that $v_{d c}$ follows the reference signal, $v_{d c . r e f}$. The on-state of $S_{2}$ increases the level of $v_{d c}$ while the off-state of $S_{2}$ prevents $C_{b}$ from charging $L_{b}$ and $C_{d c}$, so $T_{o n . S 2}$ can be used to regulate $v_{d c}$. The on/off switching of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ is realized by their respective modulators, which ensure the complementary operations of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ with enough dead-time.


Figure 3.15: The effects of 100 ns perturbation of $T_{o n . S 1}$ on $i_{a c}$.


Figure 3.16: The effects of 200 ns perturbation of $T_{o n . S 2}$ on $v_{d c}$.
The on-time modulator is built with a comparator, a switch, a constant current source, and a capacitor. The capacitor is charged when either power switch is on until its voltage is higher than the voltage from the error amplifier. A higher voltage from the error amplifier leads to
longer on-time because it takes a longer time for the capacitor to be charged up to reset the SR latch and vice versa. In this way, the on-time modulator translates the voltage signal from the error amplifier to the amount of on-time for $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$.

Three PI controllers are implemented as the error amplifiers in the proposed control circuits. The PI parameters are designed according to the frequency response analysis, where the open-loop frequency responses of the system are obtained through AC sweep method. The controllers are then designed accordingly to provide sufficient crossover frequency and phase margin. The crossover frequencies of the AC current loop and DC output voltage loop should be much higher than 120 Hz to compensate for the low-frequency harmonics caused by the AC input and are designed to be an order of magnitude lower than the switching frequency to reduce the sensitivity to the high-frequency switching noises. The crossover frequency of the buffer voltage loop is selected at around 10 Hz to regulate the DC voltage of $C_{b}$ while avoiding the low-frequency noise of $v_{b}$ to reduce the harmonic distortion of $i_{a c}$. Finally, the PI parameters are finely tuned based on simulation and experimental results to achieve desirable transient and steady-state performances.

A 100-ns perturbation, which is around $20 \%$ of $T_{o n . S 1}$, is added into $T_{o n . S 1}$ to examine its effect on $i_{a c}$. The perturbation is intentionally added at the peak of $v_{a c}$ and under full power to evaluate the proposed control strategy in the worst scenario. Fig. 3.15 shows that an increase of $T_{o n . S 1}$ leads to an increase of $i_{r e c}$, testifying that $T_{o n . S 1}$ is a suitable control input for regulating $i_{a c}$. The proposed control circuit effectively regulates $i_{a c}$ as the error amplifier quickly compensates the perturbation via reducing its output, and the steady state of $i_{a c}$ is reached again in 0.27 ms with low undershoot.

Similarly, a 200-ns perturbation, which is around $20 \%$ of $T_{o n . S 2}$, is added in to $T_{o n . S 2}$, as shown in Fig. 3.16, to verify the effects of $T_{o n . S 2}$ on $v_{d c}$. Fig. 3.16 confirms that $v_{d c}$ increases with the increase of $T_{o n . S 2}$ and the perturbation in $T_{o n . S 2}$ can be compensated by the proposed control circuit with 0.53 ms settling time and 0.42 V undershoot.


Figure 3.17: (A) Proposed filtering method to reduce the common-mode noise. $C_{p}$ is the junction capacitance of the diodes. $C_{f 1}$ and $C_{f 2}$ are the added filter capacitors. (B) $v_{n g}$ before and after adding the filter capacitors.

### 3.3.7 Common-mode Noise Reduction

In the proposed converter, $v_{\text {Cin }}$ rises to $v_{b}$ in each switching cycle. The parasitic capacitors, $C_{p}$, appear in the bridge rectifier as shown in Fig. 3.17 (a). Thus, $v_{\text {Cin }}$ is divided by the parasitic capacitance of the bridge rectifier. Based on the voltage-dividing rules, the voltage between the neutral and ground is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n g}=\frac{C_{p} v_{C i n}}{2 C_{p}} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the filter capacitors, $C_{f 1}$ and $C_{f 2}$, are not added. From (3.31), half of the high-frequency components in $v_{C i n}$ will appear in $v_{n g}$. The resulting waveform of pulsating $v_{n g}$ is demonstrated in Fig. 3.17 (b). The phenomenon is undesirable from the EMI perspective because

Table 3.1: Specifications of the prototype.

| Specifications | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Input Voltage $\left(v_{a c}\right)$ | Universal |
| Output Power | 100 W |
| Buffer Voltage $\left(V_{b}\right)$ | 400 V |
| DC-link Voltage $\left(v_{d c}\right)$ | 380 V |
| $f_{s w}$ | 400 kHz |

the circuit ground usually shows higher parasitic capacitance to the earth than other nodes in the circuit.

A common-mode choke can suppress the common mode noise from $v_{n g}$. To improve the performance, a bigger choke is preferable, which is undesirable for high power density and cost-effectiveness. The proposed topology adds two small filter capacitors, $C_{f 1}$ and $C_{f 2}$, as highlighted in Fig. 3.17 (a), to ease the issue. After adding the filter capacitors, $v_{n g}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n g}=\frac{C_{p} v_{C i n}}{2 C_{p}+C_{f 1}} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The denominator of (3.32) is higher than that of (3.31). Therefore, the high-frequency components of $v_{n g}$ can be effectively reduced by adding $C_{f 1}$, as shown by the comparison in Fig. 3.17 (b). Hence, the size of the common-mode choke will not impede the improvements in power density.

### 3.4 Experimental Verification

A prototype rated for 100 W is constructed to evaluate the proposed topology and control scheme. The specifications and bill of materials are summarized in Table. 3.1 and Table. 3.2, respectively. The box volume of major passive components is also included in Table. 3.2. The system is designed and tested by the universal input. The prototype is shown in Fig. 3.18. The prototype achieves a power density of $50.8 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ by box volume. The size is measured to be $77 \times 28 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$.

The steady-state waveforms of $v_{a c}, i_{a c}, v_{b}$, and $v_{o}$ at 110 V AC and 220 V AC are measured and shown in Fig. 3.19 and Fig. 3.20, respectively. The waveforms verify that the proposed

Table 3.2: Bill of materials.

| Components | Descriptions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Diode Bridge | IDDD04G65C6, 650 V, 4 A |
| $S_{1}, S_{2}$ | NV6115, 650 V, 170 $\Omega \Omega$ |
| $D_{o}$ | C3D02065E, 650 V, 4A |
| $C_{b}$ | $450 \mathrm{BXW} 33 \mathrm{MEFR} 12.5 \mathrm{X} 25,450 \mathrm{~V}, 33 \mu \mathrm{~F}, 3906 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $C_{d c}$ | ECW-FD2W474Q1, 450 V, $470 \mathrm{nF}, 917 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $C_{i n}$ | $\mathrm{C} 1206 \mathrm{C} 560 \mathrm{JBGACTU}, 630 \mathrm{~V}, 56 \mathrm{pF}$ |
| $L_{a}$ | RM 7,52 $\mu \mathrm{H}, 3994 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}, \mathrm{~N} 49,175 / 46$ litz wire |
| $L_{b}$ | RM 5, 100 $\mu \mathrm{H}, 1588 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}, \mathrm{~N} 49,100 / 46$ litz wire |
| Op-amps | MCP6022 |
| Nor gates | SN74HCS02QPWRQ1 |
| Not gates | SN74HCS04PWR |
| And gates | SN74HCS08PWR |
| Multiplier | AD633JRZ |
| Analog switch | BSD235N |
| Comparator | TLV3202AIDR |

PFC front end can achieve a high power factor and ripple-free DC-link voltage across a wide input voltage range. The waveform of $v_{b}$ can accommodate a larger voltage ripple to improve $C_{b}$ 's utilization without affecting $v_{d c}$. Overviews of other key operating waveforms at different AC inputs are shown in Fig. 3.21 and Fig. 3.22. Detailed waveforms are illustrated in Fig. 3.23 and Fig. 3.24. All match well with the analysis and expectations above. The negative


Figure 3.18: Photo of the 100 W-rated prototype measured as $77 \times 28 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$.


Figure 3.19: Waveforms of $v_{a c}, i_{a c}, v_{b}$, and $v_{d c}$ at 110 V AC .


Figure 3.20: Waveforms of $v_{a c}, i_{a c}, v_{b}$, and $v_{d c}$ at 220 V AC .
value of $i_{L b}$ reaches - 0.2 A and lasts for merely around $10 \%$ of a switching period. Thus, the loss caused by the negative current is small.

The ZVS waveforms of $S_{2}$ and $S_{1}$ are tested under different AC voltage and operating points as shown from Fig. 3.25 to Fig. 3.32, verifying the universal-range ZVS capability of the proposed topology. Fig. 3.33 shows the effectiveness of the proposed common-mode noise reduction method, as there is no high-frequency ripple in $v_{n g}$.


Figure 3.21: Waveforms of $v_{a c}, v_{C i n}, i_{L a}$, and $i_{L b}$ at 110 V AC .


Figure 3.22: Waveforms of $v_{a c}, v_{C i n}, i_{L a}$, and $i_{L b}$ at 220 V AC .

The waveforms under a shutdown process are shown in Fig. 3.34, and the prototype can hold $v_{d c}$ above 300 V for 15.4 ms , which meets the design target and the 3 ms hold-up time requirement in USB PD 3.1. A start-up test is also performed to evaluate the transient performance of the proposed control method as shown in Fig. 3.35. Fig. 3.35 verifies that the circuit can reach the steady state with small overshoots on $v_{d c}$ and $v_{b}$. Like the conventional boost converter, an inrush current occurs at the beginning to charge $C_{b}$ via $D_{1}$. The dynamic waveforms under load step-down and step-up processes are shown in Fig. 3.36 and Fig. 3.37,


Figure 3.23: Detailed waveforms of $v_{a c}, v_{C i n}, i_{L a}$, and $i_{L b}$ at 220 V AC .


Figure 3.24: Detailed waveforms of $i_{L a}, i_{L b}$, logic signal to $S_{1}$, and logic signal to $S_{2}$ at 220 V AC .
respectively. The dynamic waveforms verify that the proposed control method can maintain nearly constant DC-link voltage and high-quality AC current under large load transient events. Also, the buffer voltage is well regulated below the $450-\mathrm{V}$ rated voltage with around a $30-\mathrm{V}$ safety margin during the step-down process.

The prototype is tested down to $20 \%$ load power, and the operating waveforms at $20 \%$ load condition are shown in Fig. 3.38, demonstrating a high power factor and constant DC-link voltage at light-load condition. The efficiency curves at different input voltages and power


Figure 3.25: ZVS waveforms of $S_{2}$ at the zero-crossing of $v_{a c}(220 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC})$.


Figure 3.26: ZVS waveforms of $S_{1}$ at the peak of $v_{a c}(220 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC})$.
levels are measured and illustrated in Fig. 3.39. The prototype achieves a peak efficiency of $96.7 \%$ at 220 V AC and maintains above $90 \%$ efficiency for load power greater than $40 \%$. The prototype has higher peak efficiency at 220 V AC than 110 V AC because of the reduced conduction loss, especially in the diode bridge rectifier. However, the light-load efficiency at 220 V AC is slightly lower than that at 110 V AC because the converter loses ZVS earlier at 220 V AC and the switching frequency at 220 V AC is higher. The efficiency drops significantly below 40 W due to the loss of ZVS and increased switching frequency at


Figure 3.27: ZVS waveforms of $S_{1}$ at the zero-crossing of $v_{a c}(220 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC})$.


Figure 3.28: ZVS waveforms of $S_{2}$ at the peak of $v_{a c}(220 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC})$.
lighter load conditions. Existing light-load operating methods, such as burst-mode control, can be adopted to improve the light-load efficiency by reducing the switching frequency and extending the ZVS region. The loss breakdown of the prototype is shown in Fig. 3.40 and Fig. 3.41, evaluated under the full-load conditions of 110 V and 220 V AC , respectively. In both cases, the diode bridge and magnetics dominate the losses. The analysis indicates future work to improve the efficiency further. The power factor and total harmonic distortion under different AC voltage and power levels are measured in Fig. 3.42. The prototype achieves


Figure 3.29: ZVS waveforms of $S_{1}$ at the zero-crossing of $v_{a c}(110 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC})$.


Figure 3.30: ZVS waveforms of $S_{1}$ at the peak of $v_{a c}(110 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC})$.
above 0.95 power factor across a wide range of AC voltage and under different load conditions. The prototype shows a higher power factor at low-line conditions because the reactive power caused by the input filter capacitor is reduced at lower AC voltage. The THD values are lower under the high-line condition because the converter works at a higher switching frequency at 220 V AC , and the high-frequency harmonics of $i_{L a}$ are better suppressed by the input filter.

A comparison between the proposed solution and the recent publications is summarized in Table. 3.3. The magnetic energy storage of the CRM boost PFC is taken as a benchmark


Figure 3.31: ZVS waveforms of $S_{2}$ at the zero-crossing of $v_{a c}(110 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC})$.


Figure 3.32: ZVS waveforms of $S_{2}$ at the peak of $v_{a c}(110 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC})$.
for comparison. By comparison, it is shown that the proposed solution demonstrates lower magnetic energy storage and requires fewer active switches than many other works. Also, the proposed solution is the only one that can achieve both universal-range ZVS of all switches and ripple-decoupled DC-link voltage, allowing for smaller magnetics through high-frequency operation and a smaller energy buffer through increasing its voltage ripple. In Table. 3.3, five solutions rely on digital control techniques. The proposed converter is based on the analog circuit, reducing the overall cost.


Figure 3.33: The voltage potential between the circuit ground and neutral.


Figure 3.34: Waveforms of a shutdown process.

A comparison to the conventional 100 W CRM boost PFC front end is compared in detail and summarized in Table. 3.4. The volume of energy buffer takes both $C_{b}$ and $C_{d c}$ into account, and both $L_{a}$ and $L_{b}$ are considered in the volume of magnetics. The two designs follow similar specifications used in [27]. Although the proposed topology increases the component counts, it maintains a similar bill of material cost as the conventional CRM boost PFC. The proposed topology greatly reduces the size of the PFC front end at the power level, including a $51 \%$ reduction in buffer capacitance, $21 \%$ size reduction in energy buffer, and $47 \%$ size


Figure 3.35: Waveforms of a startup process.


Figure 3.36: Waveforms of a load step-down process from $100 \%$ to $20 \%$ load power.
reduction in magnetics. The proposed topology also presents a ripple-free DC-link voltage while maintaining competitive efficiency. The size of magnetics in [27] may be reduced without compromising efficiency by using GaN devices and increasing switching frequency, but the possible switching frequency and, hence, the room for size reduction will still be limited by the switching loss due to hard-switching operations. Also, the trade-off between the size of the buffer capacitor and DC-link voltage ripple in the conventional CRM boost


Figure 3.37: Waveforms of a load step-up process from $20 \%$ to $100 \%$ load power.


Figure 3.38: Waveforms of $v_{a c}, i_{a c}, v_{b}$, and $v_{d c}$ at 20 W output.
converter remains unsolved, making it hard to shrink the buffer capacitor in [27] without compromising the following stages' performance.


Figure 3.39: Efficiency curves of the prototype.



Figure 3.40: Loss breakdown under full-load output and 110 V AC input.
TABLE 3.3: Comparison between recently published PFC solutions.

| Features | CRM <br> Boost | Totem-pole <br> Boost | $[50]$ | $[51]$ | $[52]$ | $[53]$ | $[54]$ | This |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Magnetic storage (pu) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0.79 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.05 |
| Number of FETs | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| Bridgeless topology | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ |
| Ripple-free DC link | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| ZVS of FETs | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Controller type | Analog | Digital | Digital | Digital Analog Digital | Digital | Analog |  |  |



Figure 3.41: Loss breakdown under full-load output and 220 V AC input.


Figure 3.42: Measured power factor and total harmonic distortion under high-line and low-line conditions.

### 3.5 Summary

This chapter presents a new topology as the PFC front end, showing improvements regarding constant DC-link voltage, reduced buffer capacitance, and universal-input-range ZVS. A 100W universal prototype is designed and constructed for performance evaluation. It achieves a $96.7 \%$ peak efficiency and a power density of $50.8 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$. Compared to a typical CRM boost PFC front end at the same power level, the prototype achieves a $47 \%$ size reduction of the

Table 3.4: Comparison between the conventional CRM boost PFC front end and this work.

| Performance | CRM Boost [27] | This work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power factor | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Controller type | Analog | Analog |
| Power level | 100 W | 100 W |
| Buffer voltage | 400 V | 400 V |
| Semiconductor technology | Si | $\mathrm{GaN}, \mathrm{SiC}$ |
| Universal-range ZVS | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Minimum $f_{s w}$ | 45 kHz | 400 kHz |
| Number of passive components | 2 | 4 |
| Rating of energy buffer | $450 \mathrm{~V}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ | $450 \mathrm{~V}, 33 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ |
| Volume of energy buffer | $6109 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $4823 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Peak voltage of energy buffer | 405 V | $410 \mathrm{~V}^{2}$ |
| Ripple of the energy buffer | $2.5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Volume of magnetics | $10518 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $5582 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Cost of buffer capacitors | $\$ 1.52$ | $\$ 0.86$ |
| Cost of magnetics | $\$ 2.13$ | $\$ 3.16$ |
| Cost of FETs | $\$ 3.59$ | $\$ 3.92$ |
| Total cost ${ }^{a}$ | $\$ 7.24$ | $\$ 7.94$ |
| DC-link low-frequency ripple | 10 V | 0 V |
| Peak efficiency | $96.9 \%$ | $96.7 \%$ |

${ }^{a}$ Calculated based on the unit price of 1000 pieces from DigiKey.
magnetic components and a $21 \%$ size reduction of the buffer capacitor with a comparable efficiency performance. The proposed solution is also compared with the latest publications to show the advantages, including lower magnetic energy storage, fewer active switches, simpler control implementations, and a smaller energy buffer.

## Chapter 4

## Stacked-switch Power Factor Correction Architecture

### 4.1 Background

High power density is increasingly demanded in many AC-DC applications [21]. A two-stage power-factor-correction (PFC) architecture is widely adopted for AC-DC applications above 75 W . Fig. 4.1 shows a typical implementation of such an architecture, consisting of a boost PFC front end and a half-bridge isolated converter [55]. However, the conventional critical mode (CRM) boost PFC front end loses zero-voltage-switching (ZVS) at high-line conditions. Thus, its switching frequency is usually limited to below 100 kHz to reduce switching losses. Therefore, the size of the boost inductor and input EMI filters of the conventional two-stage PFC architecture are generally large, hindering a further improvement of the power density performance [32].

Recent research focusing on power density improvements of the two-stage architecture usually leads to higher cost and complexity [51]. The power density of the boost PFC front end can be greatly improved by replacing $D$ with an active switch to assist the ZVS turn-on of $S_{B}$ and operating the converter at a higher switching frequency [35], [36], [56]. As trade-offs, the cost increases, the switching frequency varies in a wide range, and complicated sensing and control circuits are usually required for high-speed zero-crossing detection and on-time calculations [57]. Multilevel PFC front ends are also effective in reducing the size of the boost inductor and EMI filters [37], [38], [58], but more switches are needed for the increased voltage levels, inevitably adding cost and complexity. Single-stage conversion has been explored. However, existing single-stage solutions have issues such as bulky buffer capacitor [59] and narrow softswitching range [60], and further improvements are still necessary to achieve a comparative


Figure 4.1: Two-stage PFC architecture.
full-load range efficiency with the two-stage solutions. Therefore, it would be highly desirable to find a solution that allows the boost PFC stage in the two-stage architecture to operate at a much higher switching frequency without causing troubles such as wide switching frequency range, increased component counts, high switching loss, complicated sensing and control, and narrow soft-switching range.

Recently, a stacked-switch structure has been developed in DC-DC applications to enable power transfer among multiple ports [61]. It is reported that the stacked-switch structure can improve the efficiency of LLC converter in applications requiring wide operating ranges [62]. Also, the stacked-switch structure can lower the component counts and realize ZVS by integrating a boost converter with a buck converter [63]. Nevertheless, using the stacked-switch structure in AC-DC applications has unique challenges compared to DC-DC applications mentioned above, including output regulation over a universal input, active current shaping, $100 / 120 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ripple buffering, and buffer voltage regulation, and its feasibility and performance in AC-DC applications have not been demonstrated yet.

This chapter investigates the use of the stacked-switch structure in AC-DC applications and proposes a stacked-switch PFC architecture to reduce the size and cost of the conventional two-stage PFC architecture. It is first demonstrated that the stacked-switch architecture can realize 1) full-load-range ZVS of all active switches, 2) unity power factor, 3) constant switching frequency, and 4) universal-input operations. This is achieved by simply removing $D$ and re-positioning the three switches in the two-stage architecture. As a result, the size of passive components can be reduced by increasing the switching frequency, and higher power density can be achieved with lower component counts. It is also demonstrated that the


Figure 4.2: Stacked-switch PFC architecture.
stacked-switch PFC architecture can directly take advantage of the well-developed control methods in the conventional two-stage PFC architecture, allowing for a simple control design and reducing time to market. An analog control circuit is newly developed to facilitate a low-cost control implementation. A 150-W-universal-input prototype is built, which measures 92.9 \% peak efficiency and $53.9 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ power density.

### 4.2 Stacked-switch PFC Architecture

### 4.2.1 Operating Principle

The proposed stacked-switched PFC architecture is shown in Fig. 4.2. The stacked-switch architecture merges the boost PFC stage and the isolated DC-DC stage into a stacked-switch switching network. Compared to the two-stage architecture, the stacked-switch architecture doesn't require $D$ and reduces the component count. Similar to the two-stage architecture, the stacked-switch architecture uses three active switches, but the three switches are connected in a series-stacked manner, creating two switching nodes, i.e., $A$ and $B$, and a ground node $G N D$. Each of the two stages uses either two of the three nodes, resulting in different configurations. Configuration $I$ is used to illustrate the fundamental operating principle.

In Configuration I, the boost stage is connected between nodes $A$ and $G N D$, and the isolated DC-DC stage is connected between nodes $B$ and $G N D$. Configuration I has three operating states, as shown in Fig. 4.3, and each state is elaborated as follows:


Stacked-switch PFC Architecture (Configuration I)


Conventional Two-stage PFC Architecture


Figure 4.3: Operating principle of the stacked-switch PFC architecture.

## Sequence I:



## Sequence II:



Figure 4.4: Two possible switching sequences in Configuration I of stackedswitch PFC architecture.

- State I: $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ are on, which is equivalent to turning off $S_{B}$ and turning on $S_{H}$ in the two-stage PFC architecture. $L$ discharges its stored energy to the buffer capacitor $C_{b}$. Meanwhile, $C_{b}$ charges the energy storage elements in the isolated DC-DC stage. This operating state is equivalent to the discharging state of boost PFC stage and the charging state of the isolated DC-DC stage.
- State II: $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are on, equivalent to turning on $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ in the two-stage PFC architecture. The boost inductor $L$ is charged by the AC source. The energy storage elements in the isolated stage release their stored energy to the load through the transformer and secondary rectifiers. This operating state is equivalent to the charging state of boost PFC stage and the discharging state of the isolated DC-DC stage.
- State III: $S_{1}$ and $S_{3}$ are on, equivalent to turning off $S_{B}$ and turning on $S_{L}$ in the two-stage PFC architecture. $L$ releases it stored energy to $C_{b}$ through $S_{3}$. The energy storage elements in the isolated stage continue discharging their stored energy. It is worth mentioning that the secondary side may not continuously receive energy in State II and III as some isolated topologies are designed to operate at resonant mode where the secondary current can reach zero before $S_{L}$ is turned off. This operating state is equivalent to the discharging state of boost PFC stage and the discharging state of the isolated DC-DC stage.

In summary, the stacked-switch PFC architecture is capable of performing all necessary switching states in the two-stage PFC architecture, including the charging and discharging states of the boost PFC stage as well as the isolated DC-DC stage. The energy transfer mechanism of the stacked-switch architecture is identical to the two-stage architecture, except that the stacked-switch architecture saves one high-frequency diode and can achieve soft switching of all active switches. The ZVS realization of all switches is discussed in the following subsection.

TABLE 4.1: Comparison between the two-stage architecture and the stackedswitch PFC architecture.

| Features | Two-stage PFC | Stacked-switch PFC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of FETs | 3 | 3 |
| Number of diodes | 2 | 1 |
| isolation | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| PFC | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| ZVS of all switches | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |

### 4.2.2 Switching Sequence and ZVS Realization

Configuration I of the stacked-switch architecture contains three switching states, leading to two possible switching sequences shown in Fig. 4.4. This section analyzes and compares how ZVS turn-on of the three switches is realized under different switching sequences, and a recommendation is made.

The ZVS realizations in Sequence I are analyzed as follows:

- State I to State II: $S_{3}$ is turned off, and the output capacitance of $S_{1}$ is being discharged by the transformer current, as shown in Fig. 4.5. The energy to realize the ZVS turn-on of $S_{1}$ is from resonant inductance, or leakage inductance, or magnetizing inductance, or their combinations of the isolated DC-DC stage depending on the topology selection. The turn-on process of $S_{1}$ is similar to that of $S_{H}$ in the isolated DC-DC converters. It has been verified that many existing isolated DC-DC converters, such as LLC converters, can achieve full-load-range zero-voltage-switching. Therefore, ZVS turn-on of $S_{1}$ can also be achieved across the full-load range, as later proved in the experimental results.
- State II to State III: $S_{2}$ is turned off, and $S_{3}$ 's output capacitance is discharged by $i_{L}$, as shown in Fig. 4.6. It should be noted that $i_{L}$ may not be high enough to fully discharge the output capacitance of $S_{3}$ at very light-load conditions because the input current is close to zero. However, converters don't usually operate at the normal mode under light-load conditions, and dedicated power-saving modes, such as pulse skipping or burst modes, are well developed to improve efficiency at light-load conditions. In fact, the ZVS turn-on of $S_{3}$ can be achieved across a nearly
full operating range, which is the same as the turn-on of $D$ in the boost converter. It will also be demonstrated later that full-range ZVS of $S_{3}$ can be achieved via properly desiging $C_{i n}$.
- State III to State I: $S_{1}$ is turned off, and the transformer current is discharging $S_{2}$ 's output capacitance as shown in Fig. 4.7. The turn-on process of $S_{2}$ is identical to that of $S_{H}$ in the conventional isolated DC-DC converters, so $S_{2}$ can also achieve full-load-range ZVS turn-on.

The analysis shows that full-load-range ZVS turn-on of all switches can be achieved under Sequence I. However, Sequence II is hard to guarantee full-load-range soft switching for the following reasons. In Sequence II, when the operating state switches from State III to State II, the energy to discharge the output capacitance of $S_{2}$ is from $L$ as shown in Fig. 4.8, and sufficient negative $i_{L}$ is required to fully discharge $S_{2}$ 's output capacitance. Although extending the on-time of $S_{3}$ helps to increase the negative current of $i_{L}$, complicated sensing circuits and control algorithms are mandatory to avoid excessive conduction losses due to the increased current ripple on $L$. In addition, the switching frequency can vary widely throughout a line period, as in the critical-mode boost PFC converter. Thus, Sequence I is recommended. Table. 4.1 concludes the differences between the two-stage PFC architecture and the stackedswitch PFC architecture. The stacked-switch architecture has a lower component count than the two-stage architecture while being able to switch at a higher frequency thanks to the ZVS capability. Hence, the stacked-switch architecture is suitable for applications where cost-effectiveness and power density are of primary concern, such as power adapters, battery chargers, and LED drivers.

### 4.2.3 Comparison to Existing Single-stage PFC Solutions

Many single-stage solutions have been developed in the literature, generally focusing on reducing the number of active switches. One typical single-stage solution is shown in Fig. 4.9 [64], where $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ are merged into a single switch $S_{a}$ so that the total number of switches can be reduced from 3 to 2 compared to the two-stage architecture. Reducing the number of switches sacrifices operating freedom. In the two-stage architecture, there are three control


Figure 4.5: ZVS transition from State I to State II. $S_{1}$ 's output capacitance is discharged by the transformer current highlighted in blue. The charging/discharging effects of $i_{L}$ on the output capacitance of $S_{1}$ is negligible because $L$ is designed to work at discontinuous-conduction mode (DCM) in this thesis.


Figure 4.6: ZVS transition from State II to State III. $S_{3}$ 's output capacitance is discharged by the boost inductor current highlighted in red.


Figure 4.7: ZVS transition from State III to State I. $S_{2}$ 's output capacitance is discharged by the transformer current highlighted in blue.


Figure 4.8: ZVS transition from State III to State II. $S_{2}$ 's output capacitance is discharged by the boost inductor current highlighted in red. Complicated digital calculations and high-speed current sensing are required to precisely regulate the negative current of $i_{L}$ to guarantee ZVS without incurring excessive circulating power.

TABLE 4.2: Comparison with recently published single-stage PFC solutions

|  | [64] [65] |  |  |  |  |  |  | Two-stage PFC | This work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active input current shaping | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Universal input | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Regulated bus voltage | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Low output ripple and buffer capacitance | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Active ripple power buffering | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Constant switching frequency | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| ZVS of all active switches | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |

variables (i.e., the on-duration of $S_{B}, S_{L}$, and $S_{H}$ ). However, in the single-stage solution in Fig. 4.9, $S_{a}$ and $S_{b}$ must be switched complementarily to realize ZVS, leading to only two control variables (i.e., the on-duration of $S_{a}$ and the on-duration of $S_{b}$ ). As per the minimum active switch theory [21], with two control variables, it is impossible to simultaneously realize active ripple power buffering and active current shaping at a constant switching frequency, making it hard for the single-stage solutions to replace the two-stage solutions in applications greater than 75 W .

For example, to achieve active current shaping and bus voltage regulation, the on-duration of $S_{a}$ in Fig. 4.9 must be actively controlled and adjusted. Meanwhile, the on-duration of $S_{b}$ must also be controlled to regulate the output voltage. Hence, if one wants to realize active current shaping, bus voltage regulation, and output voltage regulation simultaneously, the switching
period (i.e., the sum of the on-duration of $S_{a}$ and $S_{b}$ ) must vary. The varying switching frequency imposes great challenges on the design of the isolated stage as the magnetizing inductance must be low enough to support ZVS under the highest switching frequency, resulting in excessive magnetic energy storage and bulky magnetics. Moreover, the switching frequency of single-stage solutions often increases significantly at light-load conditions because the required on-duration generally reduces at a lighter load. The significantly increased switching frequency at light-load conditions limits the frequency increase at the fullload condition due to challenges in control implementations and hinders the improvements of power density. Alternatively, if $S_{a}$ is used to achieve output voltage regulation and $S_{b}$ is used to maintain constant switching frequency, the circuit in Fig. 4.9 will lose active current shaping and bus voltage regulation, causing high harmonic distortion in the input current and high voltage stress on the buffer capacitor under some operating conditions.

These issues are also widely reported in other similar single-stage solutions that use only one or two switches. The lack of control freedom makes them hard to realize all the necessary functions mandated in PFC applications. Unlike the single-stage solutions focusing on reducing switches, the stacked-switch architecture aims at better utilizing the existing three switches in the two-stage architecture, enabling more functions without compromising the cost and complexity. In particular, there also exist three control variables (i.e., the on-duration of State I, State II, and State III) in the stacked-switch PFC architecture. While two of the three variables must be used to achieve active current shaping, buffer voltage regulation, and output voltage regulation, there still remains one free control variable, which enables constant switching frequency or other auxiliary functions, such as frequency dithering and circulating energy minimization, to ease the circuit design or optimize other performance merits. Table. 4.2 compares the stacked-switch architecture with recently published single-stage solutions. It is shown that the stacked-switch architecture is the only one that can achieve all the functions at the same time.


Figure 4.9: A single-stage PFC converter based on integration of boost and flyback converters [64].


Figure 4.10: Schematic diagram of an implementation of the stacked-switch PFC architecture, where the asymmetrical half-bridge flyback converter is adopted as the isolated DC-DC stage and Configuration I is used. A synchronous rectifier is used to implement the secondary diode $D_{o}$.

### 4.3 Design Considerations

### 4.3.1 Modulation and Control

Fundamentally, the stacked-switch PFC architecture operates the same way as the two-stage architecture except that the switching realization is slightly different. Hence, the stackedswitch PFC architecture can take advantage of the well-developed control methods for the two-stage PFC architecture. The detailed modulation and control method can vary depending on the isolated topology. For example, pulse-width modulation is used for flyback converters, frequency modulation is used for LLC converters, and phase-shift modulation is used for dual-active-bridge converters. In this thesis, the author investigate the modulation and control method of the stacked-switch PFC architecture when the asymmetrical half-bridge (AHB) flyback converter is adopted as the isolated stage as shown in Fig. 4.10. The AHB flyback

## Control Block Diagram for Two-stage Architecture:



Control Block Diagram for Stacked-switch Architecture:


Figure 4.11: Control block diagrams for the two-stage PFC architecture and the stacked-switch PFC architecture. $T_{S B}$ and $T_{S H}$ refer to the on-time of $S_{B}$ and $S_{H}$, respectively. $T_{S 1 \& S 2}$ refers to the time duration when both $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned on. $T_{S 2 \& S 3}$ refers to the time duration when both $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ are turned on. $\omega_{l}$ is the angular line frequency.


Figure 4.12: Control circuit implementation.
converter is chosen for its capabilities to achieve wide output voltage range, full-load-range ZVS, constant-frequency operation, and lower voltage stress than the active-clamp flyback converter.

The control block diagrams for the two-stage and stacked-switch PFC architectures are shown in Fig. 4.11. The stacked-switch architecture is controlled in a similar way as the two-stage architecture, and the main differences are the modulation variables. In the control diagram of the two-stage architecture, the on duration of $S_{B}, T_{S B}$, is modulated to shape the input current, and the off duration of $S_{B}$ may be determined by the switching frequency or the zero-crossing of $i_{L}$. To realize the equivalent switching state, the stacked-switch architecture uses the time duration when both $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are on, $T_{S 1 \& S 2}$, as the modulation variable to shape the input current. The boost stage of the stacked-switch architecture is designed to operate in discontinuous-conduction mode (DCM) in this work, and the duty cycle of the boost stage can be calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
D & =\frac{T_{S 1 \& S 2}}{T_{s}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{4 P_{o} L\left(v_{b}-\left|v_{i n}\right|\right)}{v_{i n}^{2} v_{b} T_{s}}} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{o}$ is the output power and $T_{s}$ is the switching period. From (4.1), $D$ should vary with $v_{\text {in }}$ to achieve sinusoidal input current, which is done by the input current controller in Fig. 4.11.

The two-stage architecture uses the on-time of $S_{H}, T_{S H}$, to control $v_{o}$, while the on-time of $S_{L}$ is passively determined by the zero-crossing of $i_{L k}$ or the selected switching frequency. Similarly, the stacked-switch architecture uses the time duration when both $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ are on, $T_{S 2 \& S 3}$, to emulate $T_{S H}$, and the time duration of State III is passively determined by the switching frequency. The duty cycle of the isolated stage (i.e., $\frac{T_{S 28 S 3}}{T_{s}}$ ) is adjusted by the output voltage controller in Fig. 4.11 such that $v_{o}$ remains constant at its reference value $v_{\text {oref }}$ regardless of the low-frequency ripple in $v_{b}$.

An analog circuit shown in Fig. 4.12 is designed to implement the control method for the stacked-switch architecture. The proposed control circuit is constructed with low-cost components such as logic gates, operational amplifiers, resistors, and capacitors, and no expensive micro-controller is needed. The switching frequency is determined by the frequency of the clock signal CLK. Detailed operating waveforms under the proposed modulation and


Figure 4.13: Operating waveforms under the proposed modulation and control method when the boost stage works in continuous-conduction mode and the AHB flyback stage works in resonant mode. $v_{A G}$ is the switching node voltage of the boost stage and $v_{B G}$ is the switching node voltage of the isolated stage.
control method are shown in Fig. 4.13. In the $T_{S 2}$ modulator, $S_{3}$ is used to reset the analog switch so that the $C_{S 2}$ only charges up when the circuits enter State II, allowing the output voltage controller to control $T_{S 1 \& S 2}$. Similarly, $S_{1}$ is used to reset the $T_{S 3}$ modulator and $C_{S 1}$ is only charged when the circuit enters State I, allowing the input current controller to control $T_{S 2 \& S 3}$.

### 4.3.2 Clamping State and Design of $C_{i n}$

As with the existing ZVS boost PFC design, the $C_{i n}$ of the PFC front-end in the proposed architecture can be in the range of nF to provide a steady rectified sine-wave $v_{\text {Cin }}$. In this


Figure 4.14: Operating waveforms of $i_{L}$ under different $C_{i n}$ values. The waveforms are measured at 500 kHz switching frequency and 0.5 A average current.


Figure 4.15: Clamping state. $v_{\text {Cin }}$ is clamped at $v_{b}$ and $i_{L}$ remains unchanged during the clamping state. The current flow of $i_{L}$ is highlighted in red and that of $i_{L k}$ is highlighted in blue.
thesis, it is found that reducing $C_{i n}$ can lead to several benefits including reduced inductor current ripple, reduced switching frequency, and minimized reversed energy to realize the ZVS turn-on of $S_{3}$. The waveforms of $i_{L}$ under different $C_{i n}$ are compared in Fig. 4.14. A new operating state, which is termed clamping state as shown in Fig. 4.15, appears on top of the three operating states in Fig. 4.3 when $C_{i n}$ is reduced. The peak-to-peak ripple of $i_{L}$ reduces from 3 A to 2 A when $C_{i n}$ is reduced from 100 nF to 100 pF . By comparison, it can be seen that smaller $C_{i n}$ enables the circuit to enter the clamping state earlier, leading to reduced current ripple under the same switching frequency or reduced switching frequency under the same current ripple. In the stacked-switch architecture, the energy to assist ZVS turn-on of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ is from the isolated stage, and there is no need to control the negative


FIGURE 4.16: $L_{\text {crit }}$ versus switching frequency under different AC voltage $\left(V_{b . \text { max }}=400 \mathrm{~V}, P_{o}=150 \mathrm{~W}\right)$.


Figure 4.17: $i_{\text {Lm.min }}$ versus $L_{m}$ under different power levels. The circuit parameters used to plot the figure are the same as Table. 4.3. There is more negative $i_{L m . \min }$ under lower $L_{m}$ and lower power level.
current of $i_{L}$ for ZVS , so reducing $C_{i n}$ to make the circuit enter the clamping state becomes a preferable design option. This is not possible with conventional ZVS boost converters, where the negative current must be adjusted to achieve ZVS, leading to variable switching frequency if the ZVS energy is minimized or high RMS current of $i_{L}$ if the switching frequency is constant. Moreover, high-speed sensors and complicated calculations are not required in the stacked-switch architecture because the negative $i_{L}$ is no longer a variable to be controlled.

During the clamping state, the maximum reversed energy stored in $C_{i n}$ is $0.5 C_{i n} v_{b}^{2}$, which only depends on $C_{i n}$ given that $v_{b}$ is already designed. The energy will later be released back to $L$ to discharge the output capacitance of $S_{3}$. Hence, one can easily minimize the reversed


Figure 4.18: Waveforms of $i_{k}$ under different power levels. The circuit parameters used to plot the figure are the same as Table. 4.3.
energy to $C_{i n}$ by minimizing $C_{i n}$, and the minimal reversed energy should support the ZVS turn-on of $S_{3}$. The worst condition for the ZVS turn-on of $S_{3}$ is during the zero-crossing of $v_{i n}$ because $i_{L}$ is theoretically zero, and the minimum $C_{i n}$ to guarantee the ZVS turn-on of $S_{3}$ during the zero-crossing of $v_{i n}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i n . \min }=2 C_{o s s}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{o s s}$ is the output capacitance of $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$.

### 4.3.3 Design of $L$

$L$ works the same way as the boost inductor under constant-frequency operations. Higher $L$ leads to lower ripple at the expense of larger core volume. The design target of $L$ is to achieve high utilization of its energy storage while maintaining its ripple current as low as possible to maximise the efficiency performance. This can be done by designing $L$ slightly lower than the critical inductance when $L$ operates at continuous conduction mode. The critical inductance $L_{\text {crit }}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{c r i t}=\frac{V_{a c . \min }^{2}\left(V_{b . \max }-\left|V_{a c . \min }\right|\right)}{4 P_{o} f_{s w} V_{b . \max }} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{a c . m i n}$ is the minimum amplitude of AC voltage, $V_{b . \max }$ is the maximum buffer voltage, $P_{o}$ is the output power, and $f_{s w}$ is the switching frequency. The effects of switching frequency and AC voltage on $L_{\text {crit }}$ are shown in Fig. 4.16. It is revealed that increasing switching frequency can effectively reduce $L_{\text {crit }}$ so as the size of $L$.

### 4.3.4 Design of $L_{m}$

The design target of $L_{m}$ is to have sufficient magnetizing current to fully discharge the output capacitance of $S_{2}$ when $S_{1}$ is turned off. Neglecting $L_{k}$, the minimum magnetizing current in each switching cycle, $i_{\text {Lm.min }}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{L m . \min }=\frac{P_{o}}{n v_{o}}-\frac{\left(v_{b}-n v_{o}\right) n v_{o}}{2 L_{m} v_{b} f_{s w}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the winding turns ratio. From (4.4), reducing $L_{m}$ leads to more negative magnetizing current to discharge the output capacitance and, hence, more margin to realize the ZVS turn-on of $S_{2}$, as shown in Fig. 4.17. Another observation from Fig. 4.17 is that $i_{\text {Lm.min }}$ is even lower when $P_{o}$ is reduced, indicating that the worst-case scenario to realize ZVS of $S_{2}$ is at full-load rather than light-load conditions. This fact is also confirmed by the time-domain waveforms of $i_{L k}$ under different power levels, as plotted in Fig. 4.18. Therefore, the worst ZVS condition for $S_{1}$ is at the lightest load condition, and the worst ZVS condition for $S_{2}$ is at the full-load condition. In summary, our analysis shows that the AHB flyback converter can have full-range ZVS (i.e., $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ can achieve ZVS at their respective worst ZVS conditions) by designing a sufficiently small $L_{m}$ under a constant switching frequency. To optimally design $L_{m}$, the switching frequency can be selected first. Then, $L_{m}$ is gradually reduced until the ZVS turn-on of $S_{2}$ can be achieved at full-load conditions. Finally, $L_{m}$ should be further slightly reduced to guarantee more ZVS margin to ensure more reliable operations with relatively low circulating power.

### 4.3.5 Design of Magnetics

The main purpose of magnetic design is to pursue high power density. The area product $A_{p}$ mainly constrains the size of cores, and $A_{p}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{p} & =A_{w} A_{e} \\
& =\frac{L I_{\max }^{2}}{B_{\max } J_{\max } k_{c}}, \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{w}$ is the core's window area, $A_{e}$ is the effective area of the core, $L$ is the inductance, $I_{\max }$ is the maximum inductor current, $B_{\max }$ is the maximum magnetic flux density, $J_{\max }$ is the maximum current density of the wire, and $k_{c}$ is the copper fill factor. From (4.5), increasing switching frequency can reduce $L$ and $A_{p}$, enabling the use of a smaller core without sacrificing $I_{\max }, I_{r m s}, B_{\max }, J_{\max }$ and $k_{c}$. In this work, $k_{c}$ is assumed to be 0.4 , $B_{\max }$ is selected to be 200 mT , and $J_{\max }$ is $1000 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. The design starts with selecting the magnetic core. Then, the switching frequency is increased to reduce $L$ until the required $A_{p}$ is lower than the area product of the selected core. After that, the number of turns is adjusted to reduce the magnetic flux density below $B_{\max }$. Finally, the wire gauge is designed to occupy the core's window area as much as possible to improve the copper fill factor.

In addition to $A_{p}$, the dimensions of cores also affect the achievable power density. In this work, the dimensions of the cores are purposely designed to match other components' dimensions to enable high utilization of PCB space. In particular, the height of $L$ and flyback transformer are similar for high utilization of the vertical space. The sum of the length of the two cores is designed similar to that of the electrolytic buffer capacitor, which eases the layout design and improves the power density. For better heat dissipation, planar cores are used due to their high area-to-volume ratios. After several iterations, EQ 20 and EQ-I 30 are selected for $L$ and transformer, and the required switching frequency is calculated to be 450 kHz .


Figure 4.19: Comparison of boost inductor current waveforms between the two-stage architecture under CRM operations and the stacked-switch architecture under DCM operations ( $110 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC}, 150 \mathrm{~W}$ ). The top figure shows the waveforms over a line period, and the bottom plot is a zoomed-in version of the top one.

### 4.3.6 Case Study

This subsection presents a case study to compare the performance between the stacked-switch architecture (Case I: DCM boost PFC converter and stacked-switch architecture with constant switching frequency) and the two-stage architecture (Case II: CRM boost PFC converter and two-stage architecture with variable switching frequency). The case study is on a $150-\mathrm{W}$, 28- V-output, and universal-input AC-DC application, and has the same specifications and components' parameters as the hardware prototype in the experimental verification. Both cases use GaN switch, NV6117, to implement all the switches at the primary side. Despite the varying switching frequency, CRM boost converter is selected for the two-stage architecture


Figure 4.20: Comparison of power losses in the intermediate semiconductors between the two cases. ( $220 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC}, 150 \mathrm{~W}$ )


Figure 4.21: Breakdown of the conduction loss at 220 V AC and $150-\mathrm{W}$ output.
because it achieves the lowest switching loss compared to DCM and CCM operations, which is essential for high-frequency operations. As the operating waveforms and power losses of the isolated transformer and secondary-side rectifier are the same in both cases, they are excluded from the comparison.

The comparison starts with the current waveforms of the boost inductor. The waveforms are measured at low-line conditions, where the maximum energy storage of $L$ occurs, to compare the current ripple between the two cases in their worst conditions. The two cases are purposely designed to have the same peak current (at around 4 A ) and maximum magnetic energy storage (i.e., $0.5 L i_{L}^{2}$ ), as shown in Fig. 4.19. Although Case I has a higher inductor current ripple than Case II due to the constant frequency operations, the power losses of the


FIGURE 4.22: Comparison of the switching frequency of the boost PFC front end between the two-stage architecture and the stacked-switch architecture. The switching frequency of the CRM boost converter in the two-stage architecture increases at high-line conditions, and the maximum switching frequency is around 1.7 MHz . The stacked-switch architecture maintains a constant $450-\mathrm{kHz}$ switching frequency under different AC voltages and power levels.


Figure 4.23: Comparison of power losses in the intermediate semiconductors between the two cases. ( $110 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC}, 150 \mathrm{~W}$ )
two cases are nearly the same because the RMS current of the stacked-switch architecture $(1.66 \mathrm{~A})$ is only $3 \%$ higher than that of two-stage architecture ( 1.61 A ).

The main difference in power losses between the two cases is in the intermediate semiconductors (i.e., $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ in Case I, and $S_{B}, S_{H}, S_{L}$, and $D$ in Case II). The conduction loss and switching loss of these semiconductors are calculated in Fig. 4.20. It is found that the stacked-switch architecture has lower conduction loss and switching loss than the two-stage architecture at 220 V AC . In high-line conditions, the conduction loss is higher with


Figure 4.24: Proposed method of connecting $S_{4}$ in parallel with $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ to reduce the conduction loss. $S_{4}$ is turned on together with $S_{1}$ and turned off together with $S_{2}$ to reduce the current stress on $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. Similar to $S_{1}$, $S_{4}$ can realize ZVS by utilizing the transformer current highlighted in red to discharge its output capacitance.


Figure 4.25: Breakdown of the conduction loss at 110 V AC and $150-\mathrm{W}$ output. Paralleling $S_{4}$ helps Case I to achieve lower conduction loss than Case II using two switches in parallel to implement $S_{L}$.
the two-stage architecture as the two-stage architecture uses a $D$ while the stacked-switch architecture replaces it with an active switch $S_{3}$, as demonstrated in Fig. 4.21. Meanwhile, the switching loss is higher with the two-stage architecture as (i) a CRM boost converter can only achieve valley switching of $S_{B}$ in high-line conditions, while the stacked-switch architecture can realize full-range ZVS for all active switches and (ii) the switching frequency of a CRM boost converter increases in high-line conditions, which further increases the switching loss. For example, the maximum switching frequency can increase from around 550 kHz to around 1.7 MHz to realize the valley switching of $S_{B}$ in Case II when the input voltage changes from

110 V to 220 V , as shown in Fig. 4.22. In comparison, the stacked-switch architecture utilizes $i_{L k}$, whose value doesn't change with AC voltages, to discharge the output capacitance of $S_{1}$, enabling constant switching frequency under different AC voltages. It should be noted that the above analysis assumes that ideal valley switching is achieved in Case II, which is generally difficult in practical implementations due to the requirements of ultra-fast valley detection (e.g., reliable valley detection at 1.7 MHz ).

However, in low-line conditions, Case I is shown to have higher losses than Case II, assuming both cases can achieve full-range ZVS of all active switches (i.e., zero switching losses), as shown in Fig. 4.23. The main reason is that both $i_{L}$ and $i_{L k}$ flow through $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ in Case I, resulting in higher conduction loss than Case II in high current situations. Here, a method is proposed to reduce the conduction loss, as illustrated in Fig. 4.24, where a switch $S_{4}$ is connected in parallel with $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. The use of $S_{4}$ can reduce the current stress of two switches (i.e., $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ ), and $S_{4}$ itself can realize ZVS by utilizing the transformer current highlighted in Fig. 4.24. In contrast, directly paralleling a switch in the conventional two-stage architecture can only reduce the conduction loss of one switch and can increase switching loss if the switch is paralleled with $S_{B}$ due to increased output capacitance and the potential hard-switching operations. Fig. 4.25 compares the conduction loss of both cases with and without the paralleled switch. It is found that Case I has lower conduction loss than Case II when both cases adopt a paralleled switch, which has been a standard engineering practice in high-current situations [70].

In summary, the case study shows that the stacked-switch architecture 1) has similar worstcase losses on $L$ to the two-stage architecture (only $3 \%$ higher RMS current), 2) is more efficient in high-frequency applications due to the full-range ZVS feature (55 \% loss reduction at 220 V AC ), and 3) is easier for practical implementations thanks to the constant-frequency operations (the maximum switching frequency is suppressed from 1.7 MHz to 450 kHz ). The case study also finds that the stacked-switch architecture may have higher conduction loss than the two-stage architecture in high-current situations. However, it is demonstrated that this issue can be resolved with a paralleled switch and that paralleling a switch in the stacked-switch architecture can achieve more loss reduction than in the two-stage architecture.

Table 4.3: Parameters of the prototype.

| Parameters | Values |
| :---: | :---: |
| Input voltage $\left(v_{i n}\right)$ | Universal |
| Output rating $\left(p_{o}, v_{o}\right)$ | $150 \mathrm{~W}, 28 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| Buffer voltage $\left(V_{b}\right)$ | 400 V |
| Switching frequency | 450 kHz |
| Winding turns ratio | $17: 3$ |
| Leakage inductance $L_{k}$ | $7 \mu \mathrm{H}$ |
| Magnetizing inductance $L_{m}$ | $50 \mu \mathrm{H}$ |
| Boost inductance $L$ | $45 \mu \mathrm{H}$ |
| Resonant capacitance $C_{r}$ | 12 nF |
| Buffer capacitance $C_{b}$ | $68 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ |
| Input capacitance $C_{i n}$ | 102 pF |
| Output capacitance $C_{o}$ | $660 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ |

Table 4.4: Components of the prototype.

| Components | Part number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Buffer capacitor | 450BXW68MEFR12.5X45 |
| EMI filter inductors | XGL4040-472 |
| EMI filter capacitors | C2225C474MBRACTU |
| Diode bridge | C6D06065Q-TR |
| $S_{1} \sim S_{3}$ | NV6117 |
| Synchrnous rectifier | EPC2034 |
| Boost inductor $L$ | EQ20 |
| Transformer | EQ30 + I30 |
| Output capacitor $C_{o}$ | EEH-AZS1V331B |

### 4.4 Experimental Results

A 150-W-universal-input prototype based on Fig. 4.10 is built to verify the stacked-switch architecture. The design parameters and components of the prototype are summarized in Table. 4.3 and 4.4, respectively. The switching frequency is designed at a constant 450 kHz to use smaller boost inductor and EMI filters than the two-stage architecture. $D_{o}$ is replaced with a synchronous rectifier to reduce conduction loss. The winding ratio is designed to reduce the voltage stress of the synchronous rectifier below $150 \mathrm{~V} . L_{k}$ is measured after the transformer is built. Then, $C_{r}$ is designed to resonate with $L_{k}$ at the switching frequency to achieve zero-current turn-off of the synchronous rectifier. $C_{b}$ is designed to buffer the twice-line ripple power with around $5 \%$ voltage ripple, whose effects can be easily compensated by the AHB


Figure 4.26: Hardware prototype. The prototype measures $53.9 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ power density by box volume.


Figure 4.27: Operating waveforms of $v_{i n}, i_{i n}, v_{o}$, and $i_{o}$ at 110 V AC and 150 W output.
flyback stage. The average voltage of $C_{b}$ is designed at 400 V to cover the universal input voltage range. The prototype achieves $53.9 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ power density, as shown in Fig. 4.26.

The stacked-switch architecture, together with the proposed control scheme, can achieve high-quality-sinusoidal input current and constant output voltage under both high-line and low-line conditions, as demonstrated in Fig. 4.27 and 4.28. The ripple of $v_{o}$ is measured to be $2 \%$ as shown in Fig. 4.29 and there is nearly no double-line frequency component in $v_{o}$, meaning that the 120 Hz ripple is actively buffered into $C_{b}$. An overview of other waveforms,


Figure 4.28: Operating waveforms of $v_{i n}, i_{i n}, v_{o}$, and $i_{o}$ at 220 V AC and 150 W output.


Figure 4.29: Measured output voltage ripple at full-load condition. The output voltage ripple is around $2 \%$.
including $i_{L}, i_{L k}$, and $v_{b}$, is measured in Fig. 4.30. In particular, $i_{L}$ operates at DCM to achieve high utilization of energy storage, and its minimum value is well clamped above -1 A by minimizing $C_{i n}$. There is negligible double-line frequency ripple in $i_{L k}$, which also verifies that most of the low-frequency ripple power is buffered into $C_{b}$ and does not propagate to the load side under the proposed control scheme. $v_{b}$ is well regulated at the designed reference value and contains a slight double-line frequency ripple, as expected.


Figure 4.30: Overview of operating waveforms of $v_{i n}, i_{L}, i_{L k}$, and $v_{b}$ at 110 V AC.


Figure 4.31: Detailed operating waveforms of $i_{L}, S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$.
Detailed operating waveforms are also measured to verify the operating principle. According to Fig. 4.31 and 4.32, $i_{L}$ increases when both $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned on, and the transformer is charged when both $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ are on, which complies with the operating principle. Fig, 4.33 demonstrates that $i_{D o}$ resonates to zero before $S_{1}$ is off, enabling zero-current turn-off of the synchronous rectifier.

All switches can achieve ZVS at their worst ZVS conditions, as shown from Fig. 4.34 to Fig. 4.36, demonstrating full-range ZVS capability. The prototype achieves 92.9 \% peak


FIGURE 4.32: Detailed operating waveforms of $i_{L k}, S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$.


FIGURE 4.33: Detailed operating waveforms of $i_{D o}, S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$.
efficiency under 220 V AC and above 86 \% efficiency at $30 \%$ load as shown in Fig. 4.37. The magnetics are found to contribute to the majority of the losses, as shown in Fig. 4.40, which will be a barrier towards higher switching frequency. Another barrier toward higher frequency is delay and other constraints in the control circuit. At high switching frequencies, the on-duration of switches reduces and becomes close to the minimum on-time of the logic circuits, leading to challenges in designing a reliable control circuit. The deadtime loss will also be a problem as the duration of body diode conduction increases at higher switching


Figure 4.34: ZVS waveforms of $S_{1}$ under $20 \%$ load condition ( 220 V AC ). This is the worst condition for ZVS of $S_{1}$ as $i_{L \operatorname{m.max}}$ is minimal.


Figure 4.35: ZVS waveforms of $S_{2}$ under full load condition ( 220 V AC ). This is the worst condition for ZVS of $S_{2}$ as $i_{L m . \min }$ is closest to zero.
frequency, especially for GaN devices due to their higher voltage drop (around 3 V ) at reverse conduction than the Si counterparts (around 1 V ).
$C_{\text {in }}$ is increased from 102 pF to 1 nF to verify its effects, and it is found that increasing $C_{\text {in }}$ does result in a higher ripple current on $L$ as shown in Fig. 4.38 and Fig. 4.39, leading to reduced efficiency, especially at the light load conditions. There is more reversed energy back to $C_{i n}$ when $C_{i n}$ increases, and the reversed energy stored in $C_{i n}$ must be released such that


Figure 4.36: ZVS waveforms of $S_{3}$ under $20 \%$ load condition and at the zero-crossing of $v_{\text {in }}(220 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC})$. This is the worst condition for ZVS of $S_{3}$ as the energy stored in $i_{L}$ is minimal.


Figure 4.37: Measured efficiency curves under different AC voltages and output power.
the converter can drain power from the AC source to achieve sinusoidal input current. Hence, increasing $C_{i n}$ can result in increased circulating energy, causing higher current ripple in $i_{L}$ and higher distortion in $i_{i n}$. The increase of $C_{i n}$ may also cause a dead angle when $C_{i n}$ is so high that the reversed energy back to $C_{i n}$ cannot be fully released in a switching period. The total harmonic distortion (THD) of $i_{i n}$ and power factor are measured in Fig. 4.41. The prototype has above 0.9 power factor and below $7 \%$ THD of $i_{i n}$ across a wide load range and input range, verifying that the input current is actively controlled and shaped to be sinusoidal.

TABLE 4.5: Comparison to recent publications with similar specifications
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccccc}\hline \hline & {[71]} & {[28]} & {[72]} & {[59]} & {[73]} & {[74]} & \text { This } \\ \text { work }\end{array} \begin{array}{ccccccc} \\ \text { Year } & 2018 & 2019 & 2021 & 2021 & 2022 & 2022\end{array} 2^{2022}\right)$


Figure 4.38: Operating waveform of $i_{\text {Lin }}$ at the zero-crossing of $v_{i n}$ with $102 \mathrm{pF} C_{\text {in }}$

A comparison with other recently published PFC converters at similar power levels is summarized in Table. VI. The comparison shows the proposed solution has the highest power density and is the only one that achieves all the beneficial functions (i.e., ZVS of all switches, galvanic isolation, constant switching frequency, and active 100/120-Hz buffering). Meanwhile, the proposed solution uses the fewest number of magnetics and active switches and can be controlled with simple analog circuits. Although the proposed solution does not achieve


Figure 4.39: Operating waveform of $i_{\text {Lin }}$ at the zero-crossing of $v_{i n}$ with $1000 \mathrm{pF} C_{\text {in }}$


Figure 4.40: Loss distribution under 110 V AC and full-load output.
the highest efficiency among all solutions in Table. VI, its efficiency is comparable to (i.e., only $1.3 \%$ lower than) the best-in-class solution in the industry [74], which is based on a bridge-less PFC converter and has been comprehensively optimized. To improve efficiency, future work may focus on 1) developing bridgeless versions of the stacked-switch architecture, 2) considering better magnetic design including material selection, winding layout, core geometry, and the number of turns, 3) optimizing the switching frequency and other circuit


Figure 4.41: THD and power factor of the prototype under different input voltage and load conditions. The curves under 110 V and 220 V are plotted in solid lines and dashed lines, respectively.
parameters to achieve a better trade-off between efficiency and power density, and 4) using more efficient topologies, such as LLC converter, as the second stage.

### 4.5 Summary

A new PFC architecture is investigated in this chapter. The proposed stacked-switch PFC architecture requires fewer components than the conventional two-stage architecture while realizing full-load-range ZVS of all switches, leading to higher power density and lower cost. The stacked-switch architecture can directly utilize the well-developed control methods for the two-stage architecture, enabling simple control implementation with low-cost analog circuits and constant switching frequency. The performance of the stacked-switch PFC architecture is verified by a 150-W prototype, demonstrating a high power factor, constant output voltage, and full-range ZVS with $92.9 \%$ peak efficiency and $53.9 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ power density.

## Chapter 5

## Star Power Factor Correction Architecture

### 5.1 Background

Single-phase universal-input AC-DC converters are widely adopted in many applications such as wall adapters and LED lighting. In these applications, it is highly desirable to achieve high efficiency, power density, and cost-effectiveness. A two-stage power-processing architecture is generally the de-facto solution in these applications where the power level is greater than 75 W (in which case power-factor-correction (PFC) is mandatory), with the first stage being a PFC converter (typically a boost converter) and the second stage an isolated DC-DC converter (such as a half-bridge based isolated converter), as shown in Fig. 5.1 [9]-[12].

Elevating the switching frequency is an effective method for reducing the volume of passive components and thereby increasing the overall system's power density. While a switching frequency from multi- kHz up to multi-MHz for the second $\mathrm{DC}-\mathrm{DC}$ stage has been widely reported [75]-[77], that of the first boost PFC stage is generally limited to around 100 kHz range even when GaN devices are utilized [32], [78]. The challenge with increasing the switching frequency in the boost PFC stage is mainly threefold:

1) Challenges in full-range soft switching: Most boost PFC front end operates in the continuous-conduction-mode (CCM), critical-mode (CRM) or discontinuous-conductionmode (DCM), or their combinations [79]. However, all three modes of operation cannot realize full-range ZVS [27], [73], leading to increased switching loss at high frequency;
2) Challenges in control electronics: CRM boost PFC converter generally requires peak current and zero-crossing detection circuits to realize valley switching [80], [81]. However,


Figure 5.1: Two-stage PFC architecture based on boost PFC front end and half-bridge isolated DC-DC stage.


Figure 5.2: Inductor current waveforms of CRM operation at different switching frequencies. The current waveforms have the same average value. The current ripple doesn't change with the increase of switching frequency.
high-frequency operation demands high-bandwidth electronics (e.g., controllers, sensors, comparators), which are generally expensive and even challenging to design [82];
3) Challenges in magnetics: The inductor current in CRM or DCM boost PFC converters contains a high portion of high-frequency components, and the peak-to-peak inductor current ripple must be at least twice higher than the average inductor current. Given that (i) the amplitude of the high-frequency current components is basically invariant and that (ii) the rate of change of current increases at higher frequency (see Fig. 5.2), increasing the frequency will lead to higher AC losses in PFC inductor [83]. The high current ripple and the increased AC losses impose significant challenges on the inductor design at a higher switching frequency.

Extensive efforts have been made to solve the three challenges above. Among them, quasi-square-wave (QSW) boost converters are the best known solutions [34], [84]-[87]. While the challenges with full-range soft switching can be tackled through QSW operation and its variations [35], [36], [56], [88], those with the control electronics and magnetics remain unsolved. The reason is that high-speed peak/valley current control and additional digital calculations are needed for minimizing the root-mean-square (RMS) current to improve efficiency and that the inductor current ripple is even greater than that in CRM boost PFC converter.

Motivated by these challenges, this thesis presents a star PFC architecture to improve the power density and efficiency performance of single-phase universal input AC-DC converters. Instead of focusing on each stage of a PFC system independently, this architecture merges the two stages in a way that can overcome the challenges of the PFC stage while maintaining the performance of the second stage. In particular, by actively integrating the second stage's high-frequency transformer current into the first PFC stage, the star architecture can realize (i) CCM operation in the PFC inductor, leading to reduced current ripple and associated core and conduction losses, (ii) full-range ZVS of all active switches, leading to reduced switching losses, with (iii) simple and low-cost analog control circuits. With an appropriate selection of circuit topology, the star architecture can be further operated at a constant switching frequency, which is convenient for hardware design and implementation. These features of the star architecture, as summarized in Table. 5.1, fundamentally enable simultaneous performance improvement in efficiency and power density over existing two-stage architecture at high switching frequency ranges.

### 5.2 Operating Principle of Star Architecture

The star architecture is derived by adding an auxiliary $S_{A}$ to the conventional two-stage architecture, as shown in Fig. 5.3. $S_{H}$ in the star architecture has the same function as $S_{H}$ in the two-stage architecture (i.e., controlling the amount of charge flowing out of $C_{b}$ ), so they have the same name despite that their positions are different. It is worth mentioning that the

TABLE 5.1: Comparison of boost PFC stage's performance between the star architecture and the two-stage architecture.

| Architecture | Two-stage architecture |  |  | Star architecture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating mode | CCM | CRM | QSW | CCM |
| Current ripple on $L$ | Small | Large | Largest | Small |
| Control complexity | Simple | Medium | Very complicated | Simple |
| Analog control | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| Expensive micro-controller | No | No | Yes | No |
| Switching frequency range | Constant | Wide variation | Wide variation | Constant |
| ZVS range | No ZVS | Partial ZVS | Full ZVS | Full ZVS |
| Possible switching frequency | Low | Medium | High | High |
| Power density | Low | Medium | High | High |

total number of active switches is the same as that of the two-stage architecture based on the QSW boost PFC front end. The two stages in the star architecture feature a star connection of $S_{A}, S_{H}$, and $D$. This architecture is therefore named star PFC architecture.

Compared to the conventional two-stage solution where the operations of the first and the second stage are fundamentally decoupled, the star architecture provides the opportunity to integrate the two stages in a way that makes it possible to leverage the second stage's current to assist with the soft-switching of the first PFC stage. As shown in Fig. 5.4, by including $S_{A}$, the star architecture features a unique operating state where the second stage's primary transformer current, $i_{p r i}$, can directly flow into the first stage and actively participate in the commutation process of $S_{B}$, i.e., the current through $S_{B}, i_{S B}=i_{L}-i_{\text {pri }}$. Due to the two degrees of freedom (i.e., $i_{L}$ and $i_{p r i}$ ) in determining $i_{S B}, i_{L}$ does not needs to be bi-polar as CRM and QSW boost PFC do to realize the ZVS turn-on of $S_{B}$. In fact, so long as $i_{p r i}>i_{L}$, $i_{S B}<0$, resulting in ZVS condition, even if $i_{L}$ is uni-polar. Therefore, simultaneous CCM operation of the boost inductor (with a uni-polar current profile) featuring reduced current ripple and ZVS of $S_{B}$ are possible. Here, it should be highlighted that (i) the operation of the boost PFC stage is identical to the conventional CCM boost converter except for a short $S_{B}$ 's ZVS transition process, (ii) the second stage's operations remain unchanged, and (iii) constant operating frequency can be achieved by selecting a proper circuit topology and modulation method for the second stage.


Figure 5.3: Star PFC architecture.


Figure 5.4: Key operating state of the star architecture enabling CCM operation and ZVS of $S_{B}$. The blue current path refers to the high-frequency transformer current in the isolated stage and the red path refers to the current flow of the boost inductor. The drain-source voltages of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ can be discharged to zero if the blue current flow is greater than the red one.

Besides the advantages of CCM operations and ZVS of $S_{B}$, the star architecture also has the advantage of a low-cost control implementation over the two-stage architecture. The fundamental reason is that the ZVS of $S_{B}$ is achieved through utilizing the transformer current of the second stage in the star architecture and the second stage is simply a DC-DC converter having a nearly constant input voltage rather than the wide varying sine-wave input seen by the boost stage, allowing full-range ZVS via simply designing its circuit parameters properly and without any active control. In contrast, the ZVS condition of $S_{B}$ in the QSW boost converter varies in a wide range under different input voltages, making it hard to ensure ZVS via solely designing the circuit parameters properly and mandating the use of expensive digital controllers and high-speed current sensor to minimize the RMS current.

An example of constant-frequency-operated star architecture is discussed in more detail below. It is worth mentioning that conventional variable switching frequency modulation techniques


Figure 5.5: Operating states of the star architecture when the second stage is the asymmetrical half-bridge flyback and $T_{\text {on.SB }} \geq T_{\text {on.SL }}$. The current flows of $i_{L}$ and $i_{L k}$ are highlighted in red and blue, respectively.
to improve the efficiency and/or EMI performances, as proven in commercial products, can also be applied [89], [90].

### 5.2.1 Examples of Star PFC Operations

The asymmetrical half-bridge (AHB) flyback converter is taken as an example for the isolated stage to illustrate the detailed operations of the star architecture. The operating states and detailed waveforms are shown from Fig. 5.5 to Fig. 5.8. In particular, when $T_{o n . S B} \geq T_{o n . S L}$, the system operates as Fig. 5.5 and Fig. 5.6; when $T_{o n . S B}<T_{o n . S L}$, the system operates as Fig. 5.7 and Fig. 5.8, where $T_{\text {on.SB }}$ and $T_{o n . S L}$ denote the on-time of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$. Generally, the operations of both the Boost PFC stage and the AHB stage in the proposed star architecture are basically identical to those in the conventional two-stage architecture, i.e., power transfer is done through changing the switching node voltages of the two stages (i.e., $v_{s w 1}$ and $v_{s w 2}$ ) to switch between 0 and $v_{b}$ independently to charge and discharge the energy storage elements to move energy from AC to DC end. For example, the boost inductor $L$ is charged by the rectified AC source when $S_{B}$ is on, and the transformer is charged by the buffer capacitor $C_{b}$ when $S_{H}$ and $S_{A}$ are on. For this reason, the detailed circuit operation for each state is not exhausted. Interested readers are referred to [91] and [75] regarding the operation of boost and AHB converters.




FIGURE 5.6: Operating waveforms when $T_{\text {on.SB }} \geq T_{\text {on.SL }} . S_{A}$ is always on.


Figure 5.7: Operating states of the star architecture when the second stage is the asymmetrical half-bridge flyback and $T_{\text {on.SB }}<T_{\text {on.SL }}$. The current flows of $i_{L}$ and $i_{L k}$ are highlighted in red and blue, respectively.


Figure 5.8: Operating waveforms when $T_{\text {on.SB }}<T_{\text {on.SL }}$. $S_{A}$ is turned off when $S_{B}$ is turned off, and $S_{A}$ is turned on after $S_{L}$ is turned off.


Figure 5.9: Equivalent circuit of the resonant process in State VIII and State (9. $C_{o s s}$ is the output capacitance of $S_{B}, S_{L}$, and $S_{A}$. The red and blue paths represent the current flows of $i_{L}$ and $i_{L k}$, respectively. The net current between $i_{L}$ and $i_{L k}$ discharges the output capacitances of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ to achieve ZVS under CCM.

The only difference in terms of operation between the proposed system and the conventional two-stage system based on a boost PFC stage and an AHB stage is an extra resonant process (State VIII for $T_{o n . S B} \geq T_{o n . S L}$ case, and State © for $T_{o n . S B}<T_{o n . S L}$ case) to assist softswitching of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$. $S_{A}$ are controlled to integrate the second stage's transformer current into the boost PFC stage to enable the above resonant process.

To realize the above-mentioned operations, the switching logic needs a slight variation from the conventional two-stage architecture. In the conventional two-stage architecture, the control of $i_{L}$ can be solely achieved by $S_{B}$ and that of the output voltage $v_{o}$ by the on duration of $S_{H}$. In the star architecture, however, the control of $i_{L}$ are achieved by switching $S_{A}$ and $S_{B}$ collectively, and the control of $v_{o}$ by the overlapping on duration of $S_{A}$ and $S_{H}$. For example, $L$ can be charged when $S_{B}$ is turned on, but can only be discharged when both $S_{A}$ and $S_{B}$ are turned off in the $T_{o n . S B}<T_{o n . S L}$ case. Otherwise, if $S_{B}$ is turned off and $S_{A}$ remains on, $i_{L}$ will be continuously charged by the rectified AC source through $D, S_{A}$, and $S_{L}$, losing the regulation capability of $i_{L} . S_{A}$ can be constantly on in the $T_{o n . S B} \geq T_{o n . S L}$ case because solely turning off $S_{B}$ can control $i_{L}$ in this case. While there are some flexibility with the switching logic realization that can result in the same operating waveforms, here it is decided to operate $S_{A}$ only when $T_{o n . S B}<T_{o n . S L}$ while keeping it constantly on when $T_{\text {on.SB }} \geq T_{\text {on.SL }}$ to enable the ZVS of $S_{B}$, simplify the controller implementation, and reduce the associated losses.

### 5.2.2 ZVS Realizations

As mentioned, the ZVS realization of $S_{B}$ with $i_{L}>0$ is attributed to a new resonant state (i.e., State VIII in Fig. 5.5 and State (9) in Fig. 5.7, both states have identical equivalent circuits) after $S_{H}$ 's turning off. In the new resonance state, $i_{L}$ starts to charge the output capacitances of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ while discharging the output capacitance of $S_{H}$. Meanwhile, $i_{L k}$ discharges the output capacitances of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ and charges the output capacitance of $S_{H}$. The equivalent circuit during the resonance is shown in Fig. 5.9. Here, $i_{L}$ and $i_{L k}$ are modelled as two constant current sources whose amplitudes are $i_{\text {L.min }}$ and $i_{\text {Lk.max }}$ as defined in Fig. 5.6 and Fig. 5.8. $i_{\text {L.min }}$ refers to the turn-on current of the boost front end. $i_{\text {Lk.max }}$ is the turn-off current of the isolated stage. During the resonance, the drain-source voltages of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ are equal and can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{d s . S B}=v_{d s . S L}=v_{b}-\int \frac{i_{L k . \max }-i_{L . \min }}{3 C_{o s s}} d t \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{o s s}$ is the output capacitance of $S_{A}, S_{B}$, and $S_{L}$, and $v_{d s . S B}$ and $v_{d s . S L}$ are the drainsource voltages of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$, respectively. (5.1) shows that, in an ideal case, ZVS of $S_{B}$ can be achieved as long as $i_{\text {Lk.max }}>i_{\text {L.min }}$. Practically, there are losses during the resonance process, and the resonant period may not be too long to approximate $i_{L}$ and $i_{L k}$ as ideal current sources. Therefore, $i_{L k . \max }>i_{L . \min }$ should be held with sufficient margin to ensure full-range ZVS of $S_{B}$. In universal-input applications, the minimal mismatch between $i_{L k . m a x}$ and $i_{L . m i n}$, i.e., the most stringent condition for ZVS of $S_{B}$, is under the peak AC voltage of the low-line condition because $i_{L . \min }$ is maximum in this condition while $i_{L k . \max }$ doesn't change with the AC inputs. The optimal design, i.e., the design with a minimal current ripple on $L$, can be found by reducing $L$ until the ZVS of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ can be marginally achieved in the most stringent condition. Another observation from (5.1) is that higher $i_{L k . m a x}$ allows higher $i_{\text {L.min }}$ given the same ZVS margin. In other words, the higher the turn-off current of the isolated stage, the lower the current ripple of the boost inductor, bringing in a new opportunity to reduce the current ripple of $L$ by leveraging the existing isolated stage with a relatively high turn-off current such as flyback converter and dual-active-bridge converter.

The resonant process ends when the body diodes of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ conduct, and both $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ are ready for ZVS turn-on. Although the theoretical analysis shown in Fig. 5.5 and Fig. 5.7 assumes $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ are turned on simultaneously, it is recommended to turn on $S_{B}$ slightly earlier than $S_{L}$ by adjusting the dead-time in actual implementations. Otherwise, the turn-on of $S_{L}$ may short the path of $i_{L k}$, and there is no current to maintain the body diode conduction of $S_{B}$, leading to increased $v_{d s . S B}$ and loss of ZVS .

It is worth mentioning that the new resonance state also enables power transfer utilizing the output capacitance of $S_{B}$, which is not possible with the existing soft-switching boost converters. In star architecture, the energy stored within the output capacitance of $S_{B}$ is charged by $i_{L}$ in State VI or State (2), and is eventually discharged to the load rather than flowing back to $L$ and the AC source as in the case of QSW operation, enabling lower circulating energy than QSW operation.

### 5.3 Comparison Between Star Architecture and Two-stage Architecture

### 5.3.1 Comparison of Power Loss

While including the additional switch $S_{A}$ can reduce the switching loss of $S_{B}$ and conduction loss of $L$ by realizing ZVS and CCM operations thanks to the extra operating freedom provided by $S_{A}, S_{A}$ itself, however, has additional conduction losses. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze whether the star architecture can eventually achieve higher overall efficiency than the conventional two-stage architecture. In this section, the following three case studies are conducted to compare the power loss performance:

- Case I: CCM boost and star architecture.
- Case II: CCM boost and two-stage architecture.
- Case III: QSW boost and two-stage architecture.


Figure 5.10: Loss comparison between star architecture and two-stage architecture under different modes of operations ( 110 V AC ). The magnetic loss includes conduction loss and core loss of the boost inductor.

All three case studies are on a $240-\mathrm{W}$ ( $48 \mathrm{~V} / 5 \mathrm{~A}$ ) adapter design. 240 W is selected because it is the maximum output power in the latest USB PD 3.1 fast charging standard. For fair comparisons, circuit parameters and components for all three cases are identical except for


Figure 5.11: Comparison of inductor current ripple between CCM and QSW operations ( 110 V AC ). The star architecture allows four times smaller current ripple than the two-stage architecture under QSW operations when the magnetic energy storage of both cases are the same.
the switching frequencies and the inductance used in the boost PFC stage. Particularly, the switching frequency of the CCM boost converter in Case I and II is selected as 300 kHz to keep up with the trend toward higher switching frequency and higher power density, while that of the QSW boost converter is from 118 to 242 kHz to maintain the same magnetic energy storage requirement (i.e., $0.5 L i_{\text {L.max }}^{2}$ ) as the CCM boost converter. The boost inductors used in all three cases have the same core geometry, the number of turns, and wire gauge. Since $S_{B}$ should handle the high current stress in low-line conditions to cover universal input, a device with lower on-state resistance and higher output capacitance is selected for $S_{B}$. Here, a GaN switch GS-065-030-2-L is used for $S_{B}$. On the other hand, the current stresses for $S_{H}$,
$S_{L}$, and $S_{A}$ are relatively low, so devices with slightly higher on-state resistance and lower output capacitance are used for them to reduce the circulating energy. Here, GS-065-011-2-L is used for $S_{H}, S_{L}$, and $S_{A}$. The conduction loss and switching loss of the active switches are calculated using (5.2) and (5.3) below

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{\text {cond }}=I_{r m s}^{2} R_{d s . o n}  \tag{5.2}\\
P_{\text {switch }}=\frac{C_{o s s} v_{b}^{2} f_{s w}+v_{b} I_{o n} T_{f} f_{s w}}{2} \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $I_{r m s}$ is the RMS current flowing through the switch in a line period, $R_{d s . o n}$ is the on-state resistance of the switch, $I_{o n}$ is the average turn-on current of the switch over a line period, $T_{f}$ is the falling time of the drain-source voltage during the turn-on process, and $f_{s w}$ is the switching frequency. The core loss of magnetics is estimated using the Steinmetz equation, and the conduction loss of magnetics is approximated by the DC conduction loss. The transformer loss is excluded from the magnetic loss analysis because both architectures have the same loss on their transformers. Similarly, the power losses on the diode bridge, secondary side rectifier, and voltage sensors are also excluded because they are identical in all cases. The boost inductor used in the comparison follows the same design as specified in Table. 5.3 except that its air gap is reduced in the case of QSW boost converter until the magnetic energy storage of QSW boost converter becomes the same as that of the CCM cases.

The results of the comparison are shown in Fig. 5.10. Compared with Case II, the star architecture shows slightly higher conduction loss due to the additional loss on $S_{A}$, but has much lower switching loss thanks to the ZVS of $S_{B}$, eventually leading to higher overall efficiency than that of Case II. Compared with Case III, the star architecture also shows slightly higher conduction loss because $D$ is replaced with an active switch in the QSW-based two-stage architecture. Nevertheless, the star architecture still has higher overall efficiency than that of Case III because of the substantially reduced inductor current ripple (by $75 \%$ in the case study) and thus the corresponding magnetic loss (by around $40 \%$ ) thanks to the CCM operation as illustrated in Fig. 5.11. It should also be noted that the star architecture has a lower implementation cost than Case III, as the expensive micro-controller and high-speed zero-crossing detection mandated in Case III are not required in the star architecture.

The above analysis demonstrates that the star architecture can achieve higher overall efficiency than the two-stage architecture, especially in high-frequency designs. Also, it is concluded that ZVS is still necessary for higher efficiency even if better devices, such as GaN devices, are available.

### 5.3.2 Comparison of Current Stress on $C_{b}$

In addition to magnetics, $C_{b}$ also occupies a significant amount of the system's size. $C_{b}$ 's size mainly depends on (i) the energy storage requirements for buffering the double-line frequency ripple power (i.e., $C_{b}=P_{o} /\left(2 \pi f_{l} V_{b} \triangle V_{b}\right)$, where $P_{o}$ is the output power, $f_{l}$ is the line frequency, $V_{b}$ is the average buffer voltage, and $\triangle V_{b}$ is the low-frequency voltage ripple) and (ii) the amount of ripple current passing through $C_{b}$. Regarding the energy storage requirement aspect, the star architecture and the conventional two-stage architecture will lead to identical $C_{b}$ provided that $f_{l}, V_{b}$ and $\triangle V_{b}$ are the same. Regarding the ripple current aspect, the star architecture has a lower or at least equal current stress on $C_{b}$ compared with the two-stage architecture. A comparative study is conducted below to evaluate the ripple current of $C_{b}$ in both architectures. In the conventional two-stage architecture, the PFC stage and the second $\mathrm{DC}-\mathrm{DC}$ stage can be operated independently. The ripple current in $C_{b}$ is thus a function of the relative phase shift between the two stages (i.e., the ON-instant of $S_{B}$ and $\left.S_{L}\right)$. With the proposed star architecture, the operation of the two stages is coupled, resulting in the in-phase operation of the two stages (or synchronized turn-on of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ ). Thus, the ripple current of $C_{b}$ with the star architecture is a special case of the conventional two-stage one. The RMS current of $C_{b}$ under different phase shifts between $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ are measured in Fig. 5.12. It is found that $C_{b}$ has the lowest current stress when the phase shift is zero, which is inherently achieved with the star architecture. The fundamental reason for the reduced current stress is that $i_{L}$ and $i_{L k}$ cancel each other on $C_{b}$ better when the two stages are perfectly synchronized. Ensuring zero phase shift between $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ can lead to up to $45 \%$ reduction of RMS current in $C_{b}$, as shown in Fig. 5.13. The star architecture can thus achieve lower or at least equal RMS current into $C_{b}$ compared with the two-stage architecture.

In summary, $C_{b}$ 's energy storage requirement and current stress are the same or smaller with the star architectures, leading to the same or smaller $C_{b}$ 's size.


Figure 5.12: RMS current of $C_{b}$ under different phase shift between $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ in the two-stage architecture ( $115 \mathrm{~V}, 240 \mathrm{~W}$ ). The circuit parameters used to plot the figure are the same as the hardware prototype. The two stages have the same switching frequency. It is found that synchronously turning on $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ leads to the lowest RMS current in $C_{b}$, which is an inherent feature with the star architecture.


Figure 5.13: Comparsion of current flows in $C_{b}, i_{C b}$, under different phase shift between $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}(115 \mathrm{~V}, 240 \mathrm{~W})$. The star architecture has $0^{\circ}$ phase shift between $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ by turning on $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ synchronously, enabling up to $45 \%$ reductions of RMS current in $C_{b}$.

### 5.4 Control Circuit

An exemplary implementation of the control circuits for the star architecture is shown in Fig. 5.14. The added components to control $S_{A}$ are highlighted in red. It is shown that the star architecture only requires two additional components (i.e., a delay block for the dead-time and a SR latch for the control logic) than the two-stage architecture, making the implementation very simple and low-cost. The added control circuit synchronously turns $S_{A}$ off when $S_{B}$ turns off, and turns $S_{A}$ on when $S_{L}$ is off, which executes the operations when $T_{o n . S B}<T_{\text {on.SL }}$. The SR latch is configured to be set-prioritized so that $S_{A}$ remains on when $S_{L}$ is already off, effectively executing the operations when $T_{o n . S B} \geq T_{o n . S L}$. The other parts of the control circuit follow a standard control design for the two-stage architecture. Three controllers are used to perform input current shaping, buffer voltage regulation, and output voltage regulation. The output voltage $v_{o}$ is sensed and compared with the reference $v_{o . r e f}$ to determine the on-time of $S_{H}$, i.e, the duty cycle of the isolated stage, to achieve output voltage regulation. The buffer's voltage $v_{b}$ is also compared with its reference signal $v_{b . r e f}$ to adjust the amplitude of input current and the amplitude is multiplied with a rectified sinusoidal signal in phase with the AC input to generate the reference signal $i_{r e f}$ for the input current controller. The input current controller compares the errors between $i_{\text {ref }}$ and filtered $i_{L}$ and adjusts the on-time of $S_{B}$, i.e, the duty cycle of the boost stage, to shape the input current and achieve PFC. A clock signal CLK resets $S_{L}$ periodically to determine the switching frequency.

It is worth mentioning that the control circuit is only based on simple analog circuits and basic logic gates. A key difference between the star architecture and existing ZVS boost converters is that the energy for ZVS of $S_{B}$ is from the isolated stage rather than $i_{L}$. Therefore, precisely regulating $i_{L}$ to ensure ZVS and low circulating energy is not needed in the star architecture, so the expensive high-speed sensors and complicated digital calculations in many existing QSW-based PFC solutions are not required.

The feasibility of the proposed control circuit is verified by a simulation study under a step change of load from $10 \%$ to $100 \%$. The proposed control circuit allows $i_{i n}, v_{b}$, and $v_{o}$ to reach a steady state quickly with low undershoots after the step change of load, as shown in


Figure 5.14: Control circuit. The control circuit in the two-stage architecture is blue-shaded, and the added control circuit is red-shaded. The star architecture only adds a delay block and an SR latch compared with the twostage architecture. All of the SR latches are configured to be set-prioritized.


Figure 5.15: Transient waveforms under a step change of load from $10 \%$ to $100 \% . T_{\text {on.SB }}, i_{r e f}$, and $T_{o n . S H}$ are actively controlled and increased during the step change to achieve sinusoidal $i_{i n}$, balanced $v_{b}$, and constant $v_{o}$. The proposed control circuit allows $i_{i n}, v_{b}$, and $v_{o}$ to reach steady states with small undershoots after the transient event.

Fig. 5.15. During the transient event, $T_{o n . S B}, i_{r e f}$, and $T_{o n . S H}$ are increased to regulate $i_{i n}$, $v_{b}$, and $v_{o}$, which testifies to the operating principle. At the steady state, $T_{o n . S B}$ is actively
varied according to $v_{i n}$ to achieve sinusoidal $i_{i n}$, and $T_{\text {on.SH }}$ contains a double-line frequency component to compensate for the low-frequency ripple in $v_{b}$ such that $v_{o}$ remains constant.

### 5.5 Design

The main difference in the design of the star architecture is that the design should ensure the ZVS of $S_{B}$ in the worst condition while keeping the current ripple on $i_{L}$ as low as possible to optimally utilize the CCM capability. In the previous analysis, (5.1) is derived in an ideal case to help the readers quickly understand the key idea and illustrate the capability of ZVS and CCM operations leveraging $i_{L k}$. More accurate modelling is conducted in this section to assist the actual design.

### 5.5.1 Design of The Isolated Stage

The design process starts from the isolated stage. The main design target of the isolated stage is to achieve i). full-range ZVS of $S_{A}$ and $S_{H}$ and ii.) practical voltage and current stresses on both sides of the transformer. The winding turns ratio $n$ is designed first. $n$ is designed such that the AHB flyback works at around 0.5 duty cycle to balance the current stress on the primary and secondary sides while having sufficient leeway to perform voltage regulation. $n$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{D v_{b}}{v_{o}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the duty cycle selected for the isolated stage. After determining $n$, the switching frequency $f_{s w}$ should be designed. While there is negligible switching loss with the star architecture, the main factor limiting $f_{s w}$ is the trade-off between the size and power losses of the transformer. Fig. 5.16 compares the core loss and the size of the transformer under different switching frequencies. As with many other high-frequency converters, such as totem-pole PFC converters, the switching frequency can be further increased with the star architecture to use smaller magnetics (e.g., RM 8 core can be used if the switching frequency is pushed to 640 kHz ), but, in general, this will increase the core losses, as shown in Fig. 5.16. Therefore, the determination of the optimal switching frequency of the system requires
further engineering efforts. In this work, $f_{s w}$ is selected at 300 kHz , which is around 5 times higher than the conventional design of a CCM boost converter, to prove the concept of high-frequency and efficient operation of the first PFC stage and showcase the performance improvements with the proposed architecture.


Figure 5.16: Trade-off between the size of transformer and core loss. All cases follow the same specifications as the hardware prototype in experimental verification and are designed to have the same flux density and current density. Higher switching frequency enables a smaller transformer at the expense of higher core loss. The loss penalty increases while the benefit of size reduction becomes marginal with the increase of switching frequency.

Then, $L_{m}$ is designed to have sufficient negative magnetizing current to achieve ZVS of $S_{A}$ and $S_{H}$. The maximum $L_{m}$ to marginally achieve ZVS can be found by solving

$$
\begin{align*}
\min \left(v_{b}\right. & -D v_{b}+D v_{b} \cos \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2 L_{m} C_{o s s}}} t\right) \\
& \left.-\sqrt{\frac{L_{m}}{2 C_{o s s}}} i_{L k \cdot m i n} \sin \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2 L_{m} C_{o s s}}}\right)\right)=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\min (\cdot)$ is the minimum operator and $i_{L k . \min }$ is the minimum $i_{L k}$ is a switching cycle. $i_{\text {Lk.min }}$ is derived to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{L k . \min }=\frac{P_{o}}{n v_{o}}-\frac{\left(v_{b}-n v_{o}\right) n v_{o}}{2 L_{m} v_{b} f_{s w}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{o}$ is the output power. $L_{m}$ should be designed smaller than its maximum value calculated from (5.5) to ensure full-range ZVS of the second stage. The leakage inductance $L_{k}$ should be designed as small as possible to reduce the voltage stress on the secondary diode or synchronous rectifier (SR).


Figure 5.17: Waveforms of $i_{L k}$ and $i_{L m}$ under different $C_{r}$ (240-W output). The parameters used to plot the figure are the same as the hardware prototype in experimental verification. Varying $C_{r}$ changes the resonant frequency of the AHB flyback converter, leading to three operating modes (i.e., at, above, and below resonance). Reducing $C_{r}$ helps to achieve a lower turn-off current of $S_{L}$ and a lower RMS current on the primary side of the transformer.
$L_{k}$ may be measured after the transformer is built. After $L_{k}$ is determined, $C_{r}$ is designed to resonate with $L_{k}$ at the switching frequency so that the secondary-side diode can realize zero-current turn-off and $S_{L}$ can be turned off with low current stress. Reducing $C_{r}$ shifts the operations from "above resonance" to "below resonance", leading to a lower turn-off loss on $S_{L}$ and lower conduction loss on the primary side, as shown in Fig. 5.17. On the secondary side of the transformer, reducing $C_{r}$ reduces the reversed recovery loss of $D_{o}$ at the expense of higher conduction loss, as shown in Fig. 5.18. Hence, it is desirable to tune $C_{r}$ such that the converter operates near the "at resonance" region to achieve low switching and conduction losses.


Figure 5.18: Waveforms of $i_{D o}$ under different $C_{r}$ (240-W output). The parameters used to plot the figure are the same as the hardware prototype in the experimental verification. All waveforms have the same average value at 5 A . Operating above resonance leads to lower current stress on the secondary side of the transformer but results in higher reversed recovery loss due to higher $\mathrm{di} / \mathrm{dt}$ of $i_{D o}$.


Figure 5.19: $i_{\text {Lk. } \max }$ and $\max \left(i_{\text {L. } \min }\right)$ under different AC input and load power. The circuit parameters used to plot the figure are the same as the hardware prototype in the experimental verification. The worst condition for ZVS, which is when the mismatch between $i_{\text {Lk. } \max }$ and $\max \left(i_{L \cdot \min }\right)$ is minimal, is highlighted by the double arrow.

### 5.5.2 Design of The PFC Stage

The main design target of the PFC stage is to achieve i). full-range ZVS of $S_{L}$ and $S_{B}$ and ii.) minimized inductor current ripple.

After the design of the isolated stage, its turn-off current $i_{\text {Lk.max }}$ is determined and can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{L k . \max }=\frac{P_{o}}{n v_{o}}-\frac{\left(v_{b}-n v_{o}\right) n v_{o}}{2 L_{m} v_{b} f_{s w}}+\frac{D\left(v_{b}-D v_{b}\right)}{f_{s w} L_{m}} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$i_{L k . \max }$ determines how high $i_{L . \min }$ can be and, hence, how low the current ripple of $L$ can be. Lower current ripple indicates higher $i_{L . \min }$ given the same average current of $i_{L} \cdot i_{L \cdot \min }$ is calculated to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{L . \min }=\frac{\left|v_{a c}\right|^{3}+2 f_{s w} L p_{i n} v_{b}-v_{a c}^{2} v_{b}}{2 f_{s w} L\left|v_{a c}\right| v_{b}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i n}$ is the instantaneous input power and $v_{a c}$ is the AC input voltage. The maximum $L$ to ensure ZVS of $S_{B}$ in the worst case can be determined by solving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(i_{L \cdot \min }\right)=i_{\text {Lk. } \max }, \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\max (\cdot)$ is the maximum operator. $L$ should be selected slightly lower than its maximum value and further tuned based on hardware experiments for optimal performance. Fig. 5.19 shows $i_{\text {Lk.max }}$ and $\max \left(i_{\text {L.min }}\right)$ under different input voltage and power level. The most stringent condition for the ZVS of $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ is found to be at full-load and low-line conditions, and there will be more ZVS margin in high-line and light-load conditions.

### 5.6 Verification

A $300-\mathrm{kHz}, 240-\mathrm{W}(48 \mathrm{~V} / 5 \mathrm{~A}$ ), and universal-input hardware prototype, as shown in Fig. 5.20 , is built to verify the performance of the star architecture. The prototype has a power density of $55.6 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$, and its bottom side is shown in Fig. 5.21. The specifications, circuit parameters, and components used are summarized in Table. 5.2 and Table. 5.3. The current stress and voltage stress of components are summarized in Table. 5.4 and Table. 5.5.

The experimental verification is to verify 1) the key functions required in the PFC applications, including universal-input PFC and output voltage regulation, 2) the operating principle of the star architecture, 3) the full-range ZVS capability of all switches, and 4) improvements over the two-stage architecture.


Figure 5.20: Hardware prototype. The size is $3.6 \times 1.5 \times 0.8$ in $^{3}$ (length $\times$ width $\times$ height). The power density is $55.6 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$.


Figure 5.21: Bottom side of the prototype.
Table 5.2: Specifications of the prototype.

| Specifications | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Input Voltage $\left(v_{a c}\right)$ | Universal |
| Output Power | 240 W |
| Buffer Voltage $\left(v_{b}\right)$ | 400 V |
| Output Voltage $\left(v_{o}\right)$ | 48 V |
| $f_{s w}$ | 300 kHz |

The experimental verification starts with the key functions of the star architecture. The star architecture can achieve high-quality sinusoidal input current in phase with the AC input and constant output voltage under both low-line and high-line conditions, as verified in Fig. 5.22 and Fig. 5.23. The operating waveforms at $20 \%$ load condition are shown in Fig. 5.24,

TABLE 5.3: Bill of materials.

| Components | Descriptions |
| :---: | :---: |
| EMI filter inductor | XGL4040-223MEC $(22 \mu \mathrm{H}) * 4$ |
| EMI filter capacitor | C2220X664KBRLCAUTO $(630 \mathrm{~V}, 0.66 \mu \mathrm{~F})$ |
| Bridge rectifier | IPL60R105P7AUMA1 $(650 \mathrm{~V}, 105 \mathrm{~m} \Omega) * 4$ |
| $S_{A}, S_{H}$ | GS-065-011-2-L $(650 \mathrm{~V}, 150 \mathrm{~m} \Omega)$ |
| $S_{B}, S_{L}$ | GS-065-030-2-L $(650 \mathrm{~V}, 50 \mathrm{~m} \Omega)$ |
| $D$ | C6D06065Q $(650 \mathrm{~V}, 6 \mathrm{~A})$ |
| $C_{b}$ | 450TXW68MEFR18X25 $(450 \mathrm{~V}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~F}) * 2$ |
| $C_{o}$ | C3225X7R2A106K250AC $(100 \mathrm{~V}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~F}) * 32$ |
| $C_{r}$ | CKC21C823FWGACAUTO $(650 \mathrm{~V}, 82 \mathrm{nF})$ |
| Synchronous rectifier | EPC2034 $(200 \mathrm{~V}, 10 \mathrm{~m} \Omega)$ |
| Boost inductor L | RM 10 $(160 \mu \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 49)$ |
| Transformer | RM 10 $\left(74-\mu \mathrm{H} L_{m}, 2-\mu \mathrm{H} L_{k}, \mathrm{n}=23: 6, \mathrm{~N} 49\right)$ |

Table 5.4: Steady-state Current Stress of Components (115-V AC)

| Components | Peak current (A) | RMS current (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{B}$ | 4.3 | 2 |
| $S_{A}$ | 3.7 | 1.35 |
| $S_{H}$ | 4.3 | 1.05 |
| $S_{L}$ | 3.7 | 1.59 |
| $D$ | 4.3 | 1.38 |
| $C_{b}$ | 4.3 | 1.05 |
| $C_{o}$ | 13.3 | 6.71 |
| Bridge rectifier | 4.3 | 1.19 |
| Synchronous rectifier | 18.3 | 8.37 |
| $L$ | 4.3 | 2.38 |
| Primary side of transformer | 3.7 | 2.14 |
| Secondary side of transformer | 18.3 | 8.37 |

Table 5.5: Steady-state Voltage Stress of Components

| Components | Voltage stress (V) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $S_{B}, S_{A}, S_{H}, S_{L}, D$ and $C_{b}$ | 405 |
| $C_{o}$ | 48 |
| $C_{r}$ | 210 |
| Bridge rectifier | 340 |
| Synchronous rectifier | 150 |

demonstrating a high power factor and the regulated output voltage at light-load conditions. The zero-crossing distortion of $i_{i n}$ may be reduced by increasing the controller bandwidth or using feed-forward control [92].


Figure 5.22: Operating waveforms of $v_{i n}, i_{i n}, v_{o}$, and $i_{o}$ at $115-\mathrm{V}$ input and 240-W output.


Figure 5.23: Operating waveforms of $v_{i n}, i_{i n}, v_{o}$, and $i_{o}$ at 230-V input and 240-W output.

Then, the operating principle is verified by checking detailed switching waveforms. The detailed waveforms of $i_{L}, S_{B}, S_{L}$, and $S_{A}$ when $T_{o n . S B}>T_{o n . S L}$ and when $T_{o n . S B}<T_{o n . S L}$ are recorded in Fig. 5.25 and Fig. 5.26, respectively. Fig. 5.25 verifies that the proposed control circuit functions properly as $S_{A}$ is always on when $T_{o n \cdot S B}>T_{o n \cdot S L}$, and the charging and discharging of $i_{L}$ can be effectively controlled by the on-off actions of $S_{B}$. Fig. 5.26 shows that $S_{A}$ is synchronously turned off with $S_{B}$ when $T_{o n . S B}<T_{o n . S L}$, which complies with the theoretical analysis. Fig. 5.27 shows that the $S_{H}$ and $S_{L}$ switch complementarily to charge and discharge the transformer, verifying the isolated stage in the star architecture works the same way as how it works independently. In particular, low circulating energy and ZCS turn-off of the SR are successfully achieved. Fig. 5.27 also shows that $i_{L k}$ increases


Figure 5.24: Operating waveforms of $v_{i n}, i_{i n}, v_{o}$, and $i_{o}$ at $115-\mathrm{V}$ input and 48-W output.
linearly at the full-load condition, verifying the transformer is not saturated at its worst operating condition. The CCM operations of $i_{L}$ are verified under both low-line and high-line conditions, as shown in Fig. 5.28 and Fig. 5.29. It can be seen that CCM operations are achieved under both low-line and high-line conditions. Fig. 5.29 shows that the mismatch between $i_{L . \min }$ and $i_{L k . \max }$ is larger under 230-V AC, so there is more ZVS margin for $S_{B}$ under 230-V AC than 115-V AC, which coincides with the analysis carried out in Fig. 5.19. Fig. 5.28 and Fig. 5.29 also demonstrates that the average buffer voltage is well regulated at a constant 400 V across a wide range of AC input.


Figure 5.25: Operating waveforms of $i_{L}, S_{B}, S_{L}$, and $S_{A}$ when $T_{o n . S B}>$ $T_{o n . S L} . S_{A}$ is always on in a switching period as designed. The switching frequency is constant at 300 kHz , as expected.


Figure 5.26: Operating waveforms of $i_{L}, S_{B}, S_{L}$, and $S_{A}$ when $T_{o n . S B}<$ $T_{o n . S L}$. Both $S_{A}$ and $S_{B}$ switch off to reduce $i_{L}$. The switching frequency is constant at 300 kHz , as expected.


Figure 5.27: Operating waveforms of $i_{L k}, i_{D o}, S_{L}$, and $S_{H}$ at $240-\mathrm{W}$ output. The circuit is finely tuned to achieve near zero circulating energy.

The ZVS waveforms of all switches are measured under their most stringent conditions to verify the full-range ZVS capability of the star architecture. For $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$, their most stringent ZVS condition is at the peak of AC input under low-line and full-load conditions, under which the mismatch between $i_{\text {Lk.max }}$ and $i_{\text {L.min }}$ is minimal as shown in Fig. 5.28. Fig. 5.30 proves that $S_{B}$ and $S_{L}$ can achieve ZVS under their most stringent condition, verifying that they can achieve full-range ZVS. During the resonance process, $v_{d s . S B}$ and $v_{d s . S L}$ are equal and slowly drop to zero even when $i_{L}$ is still in CCM operation. The worst ZVS condition of $S_{A}$ is when $i_{\text {Lk.min }}$ is closest to zero, which happens under the full-load condition. Fig. 5.31 verifies that $S_{A}$ can achieve ZVS under the worst condition. The worst


Figure 5.28: Operating waveforms of $v_{i n}, i_{L}, i_{L k}$, and $v_{b}$ at $115-\mathrm{V}$ input and $240-\mathrm{W}$ output. $i_{\text {L.min }}$ is lower than $i_{L k . p k}$ across the whole line period, enabling ZVS of $S_{L}$ and $S_{B}$.


Figure 5.29: Operating waveforms of $v_{i n}, i_{L}, i_{L k}$, and $v_{b}$ at $230-\mathrm{V}$ input and 240-W output. There is more ZVS margin for $S_{L}$ and $S_{B}$ compared with that of $115-\mathrm{V}$ input because the difference between $i_{\text {L.min }}$ and $i_{L . p k}$ is larger.

ZVS condition of $S_{H}$ is when both $i_{L k . \min }$ and $i_{L . \max }$ are closest to zero, which happens near the zero-crossing of AC input under the full-load condition. Fig. 5.32 demonstrates that $S_{H}$ can also achieve ZVS under its worst condition.

The above verification confirms that the star architecture can perform the key functions required by a typical PFC rectifier and can achieve CCM operation and full-range ZVS of all switches simultaneously. Then, the prototype is re-configured into the two-stage architecture to make a fair comparison between the two architectures and verify the star architecture's performance improvements. The reconfiguration is done by directly soldering the drain and


Figure 5.30: ZVS waveforms of $S_{L}$ and $S_{B}$ at the peak of 115-V input and $240-\mathrm{W}$ output. This is the most stringent condition for the ZVS realizations of $S_{L}$ and $S_{B}$ as the mismatch between $i_{L . m i n}$ and $i_{L k . p k}$ is minimal. ZVS of $S_{L}$ and $S_{B}$ as well as CCM operation of $i_{L}$ are achieved.


Figure 5.31: ZVS waveforms of $S_{A}$ and $S_{H}$ at 240-W output. This is the most stringent condition for the ZVS realization of $S_{A}$ as $i_{L k . \min }$ is closest to zero.
source of $S_{H}$ together and uses the logic signal of $S_{H}$ to drive $S_{A}$. Other components in the prototype, including the inductor and transformer, remain the same. Fig. 5.33 shows the waveforms of losing ZVS in the two-stage architecture. In the two-stage architecture, $v_{d s . S L}$ and $v_{d s . S H}$ are no longer equal during their turn-on processes, and $S_{B}$ is hard switched, causing a sharp falling edge of $v_{d s . S B}$ after the logic signal is turned high. Fig. 5.34 measures the efficiency of the two architectures at different AC voltage levels. The star architecture can maintain an efficiency greater than $96 \%$ and achieve more than $2 \%$ efficiency improvements than the two-stage architecture thanks to the ZVS capability, which testifies to the previous loss


Figure 5.32: ZVS waveforms of $S_{H}$ near the zero-crossing of $115-\mathrm{V}$ input and at 240-W output. This is the most stringent condition for the ZVS realization of $S_{H}$ as both $i_{L . \max }$ and $i_{L k . \min }$ are closest to zero.


Figure 5.33: Loss of ZVS in the conventional two-stage architecture under CCM operation. $S_{L}$ can still realize ZVS but $S_{B}$ cannot. $S_{B}$ (not shown in the figure) is turned on simultaneously with $S_{L}$ to reduce the current stress of $C_{b}$.
analysis. The efficiency is also measured at different power levels in Fig. 5.35, which shows that the star architecture can have more efficiency improvements in light-load conditions because the switching loss, which is saved in the star architecture, is more dominant in lightload conditions. The prototype measures $97.1 \%$ peak efficiency in full-load conditions and under 230-V input. The efficiency drops faster at light-load conditions due to the increased circulating power and AC losses in the AHB flyback stage. The above results conclude that the star architecture has higher efficiency than the two-stage architecture, given the same power density in high-frequency applications.


Figure 5.34: Efficiency curves of the star architecture and the two-stage architecture at 240-W output across different input voltage. The efficiency of the two-stage architecture is measured at the same prototype as the star architecture.


Figure 5.35: Efficiency curves of the star architecture and the two-stage architecture at different power levels.


Figure 5.36: Comparison of efficiency and power density with recent works.

TABLE 5.6: Comparison between recent publications

|  | $[28]$ | $[55]$ | $[93]$ | $[71]$ | $[94]$ | $[73]$ | $[72]$ | $[79]$ | This <br> work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2019 | 2016 | 2019 | 2019 | 2018 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 |
| Number of magnetics | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of FETs | 10 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| ZVS of all FETs | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Control | Digital | Digital | Digital | Analog | Digital | Digital | Digital | Analog | Analog |
| Output voltage (V) | 12 | 20 | 48 | 20 | 24 | $28-42$ | 100 | 19 | 48 |
| Maximum $f_{s w}(\mathrm{kHz})$ | 2000 | 1000 | 50 | 100 | 140 | 60 | 50 | 120 | 300 |
| Power $(\mathrm{W})$ | 150 | 65 | 48 | 100 | 600 | 170 | 100 | 250 | 240 |
| Full-load <br> efficiency $(\%)$ | 92 | 94 | 95.5 | 93.4 | 90 | 94.2 | 92.5 | 95.8 | 97.1 |
| Power density $\left(\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}\right)$ | 50 | 44.22 | 40 | 30 | 28 | 26.2 | 18.6 | 16 | 55.6 |

The proposed architecture is also compared with other recent publications at similar power levels, as summarized in Table. 5.6. The comparison shows that the star architecture can outperform recently published solutions in efficiency, power density, and control simplicity.

### 5.7 Summary

This chapter presents a star architecture to achieve better trade-offs between power density and efficiency than the two-stage architecture by realizing CCM operations and full-range ZVS simultaneously. A $300-\mathrm{kHz}, 240-\mathrm{W}, 48-\mathrm{V}$-output, and universal-input prototype is built to verify the performance of the star architecture, demonstrating high power factor, constant output voltage, 97.1 \% full-load efficiency, 55.6 W/in ${ }^{3}$ power density by box volume, and more than $2 \%$ efficiency improvements over the two-stage architecture. Compared to other recently published PFC solutions, the proposed solution shows improvements in control simplicity, conversion efficiency, and power density. The star architecture also implies that there can be more benefits by considering the operations and topologies of the two stages holistically rather than focusing on optimizing the performance of each stage.

## Chapter 6

## Conclusion

This thesis investigates new approaches, including a new control method, a new PFC front end, and two new power architectures, to improve the performance of single-phase ACDC converters. It has been demonstrated that there exists plenty of room for performance improvements compared with state-of-the-art commercial practices. The improvements include (I) a $92 \%$ size reduction of buffer capacitors and a reduced number of active switches and magnetics in the newly developed control method for the ACF converter, (II) a $47 \%$ size reduction of magnetics and a $21 \%$ size reduction of buffer capacitors in the new PFC front end, (III) full-range ZVS of all active switches under a constant switching frequency while saving one high-frequency diode as demonstrated in the stacked-switch architecture, and (IV) best-in-class power density ( $55.6 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ ) and efficiency ( $97.1 \%$ ) in a $240-\mathrm{W}$, universal-input, 48-V output PFC application as demonstrated in the star architecture.

## 1 Appendix

### 1.1 Derivations of $f_{s w}$

Neglecting the switching deadtime and assuming $v_{\text {Cin }}$ is constant within $T_{s}$, the operating waveform of $i_{L}$ can be approximated by a three-segment piecewise linear waveform per switching period shown in Fig. .1. Suppose that $f_{s w}$ is sufficiently fast such that the average $i_{L}$ and $i_{L m}$ over $T_{s}$ can be regarded as constant, then voltage-second balance principle applies to $L$ and $L_{m}$. Accordingly, we have (.1) and (.2). Meanwhile, by applying the charge balance principle to $C_{o}$, we have (.3).


FIGURE .1: Detailed waveforms of $i_{L}$ and $i_{L m}$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{2} t_{1}+k_{4}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)+k_{1}\left(t_{3}-t_{2}\right)=0  \tag{.1}\\
k_{3} t_{2}+k_{1}\left(t_{3}-t_{2}\right)=0  \tag{.2}\\
\int_{0}^{t_{2}} n v_{o}\left(i_{L m}-i_{L}\right) d x=p_{o} t_{3} \tag{.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $t_{1}-t_{3}$ are defined in Fig. .1. Solving (.1) $-(.3)$ for $t_{1}-t_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{1}=-\frac{2 L p_{o}\left(n v_{o}\left(L+L_{m}\right)+L_{m} v_{\text {Cin }}\right)}{n v_{C i n} v_{o}\left(L_{m} v_{b}-n v_{o}\left(L+L_{m}\right)\right)}  \tag{.4}\\
t_{2}=\frac{2 L L_{m} p_{o}\left(v_{b}+v_{\text {Cin }}\right)}{n v_{\text {Cin }} v_{o}\left(L_{m} v_{b}-n v_{o}\left(L+L_{m}\right)\right)}  \tag{.5}\\
t_{3}=\frac{2 L p_{o}\left(v_{b}+v_{\text {Cin }}\right)\left(n v_{o}\left(L+L_{m}\right)+L_{m} v_{\text {Cin }}\right)}{n v_{o} v_{\text {Cin }}^{2}\left(L_{m} v_{b}-n v_{o}\left(L+L_{m}\right)\right)} . \tag{.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

$f_{s w}$ is thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s w}=\frac{1}{t_{3}}=\frac{n v_{o} v_{\text {Cin }}^{2}\left(L_{m} v_{b}-n v_{o}\left(L+L_{m}\right)\right)}{2 L p_{o}\left(v_{b}+v_{\text {Cin }}\right)\left(n v_{o}\left(L+L_{m}\right)+L_{m} v_{\text {Cin }}\right)} . \tag{.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2 Derivations of $i_{L . \min }$

The energy to $C_{b}$ in each switching period can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{b} t_{3}=\int_{0}^{t_{1}} i_{L} v_{b} d t=\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left(i_{L . \min }-k_{2} t_{1}+k_{1} t\right) v_{b} d t \tag{.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{b}$ refers to the instantaneous power to $C_{b}$. Solving (.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{L . \min }=\frac{p_{b} T_{3}}{T_{1} v_{b}}-\frac{T_{1}\left(v_{b}-n v_{o}\right)}{2 L} . \tag{.9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure .2: Topology and operating waveform of a buck converter.

### 1.3 Maximum Magnetic Energy Storage in Buck and Boost Converters

This section derives the maximum magnetic energy storage in DCM buck and boost converter and analyzes how it relates to the power level, switching period, and voltage ratio. Fig. . 2 shows the buck topology and its typical waveforms under DCM operation. The maximum energy storage of $L$ occurs when the inductor current reaches its peak, so $E_{\text {buck }}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{b u c k}=\frac{L i_{p k}^{2}}{2} \tag{.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{p k}$ is the peak $i_{L}$ in a switching cycle.
$T_{u p}$ and $T_{\text {down }}$ denote the rise time and fall time of $i_{L}$, respectively. $T_{u p}$ and $T_{\text {down }}$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{u p}=\frac{i_{p k} L}{v_{i n . b u c k}-v_{\text {o.buck }}} \tag{.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\text {down }}=\frac{i_{p k} L}{v_{\text {o.buck }}} \tag{.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a switching cycle, the average $i_{L}$ is the load current and can be calculated as the triangle area divided by the switching period, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{\text {buck }}}{v_{\text {o.buck }}}=\frac{\left(T_{u p}+T_{\text {down }}\right) i_{p k}}{T_{s}} \tag{.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{\text {buck }}$ is the output power of the buck converter. The four equations, (.10) $\sim(.13)$, are a system of equations with four variables, $T_{u p}, T_{\text {down }}, i_{p k}$, and $E_{b u c k}$. After solving the four equations, $E_{b u c k}$ is calculate as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {buck }}=P_{\text {buck }} T_{s}\left(1-\frac{v_{o . b u c k}}{v_{\text {in.buck }}}\right) . \tag{.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boost converter operated in DCM has the same inductor current waveform as in Fig. .2. The maximum magnetic energy storage in the DCM boost converter, $E_{\text {boost }}$, can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {boost }}=\frac{L_{\text {boost }} t_{\text {pk.boost }}^{2}}{2} \tag{.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{p k \text {.boost }}$ is the peak inductor current in the boost converter and $L_{\text {boost }}$ is the inductance of the boost inductor. The rise time and fall time of inductor current in a boost converter can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{u p . b o o s t}=\frac{i_{\text {pk.boost }} L_{\text {boost }}}{v_{\text {in.boost }}} \tag{.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\text {down.boost }}=\frac{i_{\text {pk.boost }} L_{\text {boost }}}{v_{\text {o.boost }}-v_{\text {in.boost }}}, \tag{.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{\text {o.boost }}$ is the output voltage of the boost converter, and $v_{\text {in.boost }}$ is the input voltage of the boost converter. The average inductor current in the boost converter is essentially the input current, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{\text {boost }}}{v_{\text {in.boost }}}=\frac{\left(T_{u p}+T_{\text {down }}\right) i_{\text {pk.boost }}}{T_{s}} \tag{.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{\text {boost }}$ is the output power of the boost converter. $E_{\text {boost }}$ can be calculated by solving (.15) $\sim(.18)$ and is derived as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {boost }}=P_{\text {boost }} T_{s}\left(1-\frac{v_{\text {in.boost }}}{v_{\text {o.boost }}}\right) . \tag{.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above analysis shows that the magnetic energy storage of DCM buck and boost converters are proportional to the power and switching period, indicating that increasing switching frequency can effectively reduce the magnetic energy storage and size of inductors. Also, it is noticed that the voltage ratio determines the magnetic energy storage and the magnetic energy storage can be greatly reduced if the voltage ratio is close to 1 .
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