

TITLE:

Effectiveness of Dance/Movement Therapy Intervention for Children with Intellectual Disability at an Early Childhood Special Education Preschool

AUTHOR(S):

Takahashi, Hideki; An, Mi; Matsumura, Tamako; Seki, Mihoko; Ogawa, Yuuya; Sasai, Takehiro; Matsushima, Kanae; Tabata, Ami; Kato, Toshihiro

# CITATION:

Takahashi, Hideki ...[et al]. Effectiveness of Dance/Movement Therapy Intervention for Children with Intellectual Disability at an Early Childhood Special Education Preschool. American Journal of Dance Therapy 2023, 45(1): 20-40

**ISSUE DATE:** 2023-06

URL: http://hdl.handle.net/2433/283233

## RIGHT:

This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature's AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10465-022-09356-3; The full-text file will be made open to the public on 16 May 2023 in accordance with publisher's 'Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving'; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version. この論文は出版 社版でありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。





#### A Self-archived copy in Kyoto University Research Information Repository https://repository.kulib.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DMT FOR ID

1

Effectiveness of dance/movement therapy intervention for children with intellectual disability at an early childhood special education preschool

### Abstract

Children with intellectual disability (ID) often have deficits in gross motor skills and static and dynamic balance abilities, poor lower muscle strength, and an increased risk of serious falls. They also face difficulty in continuing physical activity programs due to cognitive impairment and easy loss of motivation. However, dance/movement therapy (DMT) has been found to help children with ID perform static and dynamic movements.

This study aimed to assess the effectiveness of DMT group sessions for children with ID as part of an early childhood special education preschool program. The outcome measures involved employing a hand-held dynamometer to assess knee extensor muscles, the one-leg stand test for static balance, and the timed "up and go" test for dynamic balance, and administering the Child Behavior Checklist and Caregiver-Teacher Report Form for children's adaptive functions and behavioral problems, as reported by parents or relatives and teachers respectively. Twenty-one children with ID aged 36 to 72 months participated in the study. Ten 60-minute DMT group sessions were conducted as manualized intervention, once a week. The measurements were done before and after the



10 DMT group sessions, and then compared.

The results showed statistically significant changes in both knee extensor muscles, the standing time for both legs in the one-leg stand test, attention problems and affective problems in the Checklist, and total score, internalizing problems (including emotionally reactive and somatic complaints), externalizing problems (including attention problems and aggressive behavior), affective problems, anxiety problems, and attention deficit/hyperactivity problems in the Report Form.

This study found that the DMT group sessions as part of an early childhood special education preschool program for children with ID aged 36 to 72 months helped improve their knee extensor muscles and static balance while reducing maladaptive behaviors, enabling them to enjoy the sessions for the full study period.



### Background

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) (American Phychiatric Association, 2013) reported that intellectual disability (ID) entails deficits in both intellectual and adaptive functions. It reported three major deficits: 1) "deficits in intellectual function such as reasoning, problem solving, planning, abstract thinking, judgement, academic learning and learning from experience, confirmed by both clinical assessment and individualized, standardized intelligence testing," 2) "deficits in adaptive functioning that result in failure to meet developmental socio-cultural standards for personal independence and social responsibility," and 3) "onset of intellectual and adaptive deficits during the developmental period."

According to the DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), the most common co-occurring comorbidity for individuals with ID is attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder, and more severe ID may manifest via more maladaptive behaviors such as aggression and disruptive behaviors, including property destruction or harms of others.

Because of the difficulties in physical functions, individuals with ID have deficits in gross motor skills (Dalvand, 2012) and static and dynamic balance abilities (Enkelaar et al, 2012; Blomqvist et al, 2013), and poor lower muscle strength (Borji et al, 2014; Wuang et al, 2013). A previous study reported that knee extensor muscles are a significant determinant of performance of static and dynamic balance (Carter, 2002). Therefore, such impairments lead to a risk of serious falls and injuries (Enkelaar et al, 2012; Kachouri et



al, 2016; Rintala & Loovis, 2013; Hsieh et al, 2012; Lee et al, 2014).

Early intervention for individuals with ID is extremely important. Early intervention would be defined as that carried out right after birth till age five for children at biological risk of developmental disorders and developmental disabilities (Blauw-Hospers & Hadders-Algra, 2005). It helps promote their health and well-being and emerging abilities, minimize developmental delays, remediate existing or emerging disabilities, and prevent functional delays (Blauw-Hospers & Hadders-Algra, 2005). The goal of early intervention is achieved by providing individualized developmental, educational, and therapeutic services to children (Shonkoff & Meisels, 2000; Blauw-Hospers & Hadders-Algra, 2005). Moreover, early intervention targeted at improving physical activity helps increase motor function in individuals with ID (Bossink et al, 2019; Bondár et al, 2020; Houwen et al, 2014).

Thus, it can be said that early intervention is extremely important for supporting individuals with ID. However, a previous study on intervention targeting physical activity for individuals with ID reported several barriers in its continuation—for instance, little or no familiarity with physical activity (Carmeli et al, 2008), dislike of an activity, high difficulty level of the activity, physical discomfort, lack of awareness of program options (Bodde & Seo, 2009; Temple et al, 2017), and lack of interest (Temple & Walkley, 2007).

Michalsen et al. (2020) reported that individuals with ID were more likely to actively participate in physical activity when the activity was fun. Baun et al. (1986) reported that enjoyable physical activity helped reduce the rate of absenteeism of individuals with ID



in the activity and increase their motivation for it. To maximize the positive effect of physical activity for such individuals, techniques to increase their motivation to participate in it are needed (Lin et al, 2010). Temple et al. (2017) also reported enjoyment in physical activity as its most common facilitator.

Dance/movement therapy (DMT) is defined as "the psychotherapeutic use of movement to promote emotional, social, cognitive, and physical integration of the individual for the purpose of improving health and well-being" (American Dance Therapy Association, 2020). DMT is effective because it can provide physical and behavioral treatments simultaneously (Levy, 2005).

According to De Tord and Bräuninger (2015), a case series of DMT interventions for individuals with ID increased their motor coordination and grounding, which is the vertical movement to the ground, and improved their walking ability after the sessions. Moreover, Barnet-López et al (2015) reported that DMT intervention significantly increased the emotional well-being of participants in the experimental group after the sessions, while those in the control group did not show any change. Barnet-Lopez et al (2016) also reported that DMT intervention significantly increased participants' body knowledge after the sessions.

Moreover, because it does not require cognitive functions such as language, the activities under DMT involving music and non-verbal communication can be carried out to improve the difficulties of individuals with ID while enabling them to enjoy the intervention (Barnet-López et al, 2015; Barnet-Lopez et al, 2016; Tsimaras et al, 2012).

KURENAI 🎦

This study suggests that using DMT intervention as part of play at an early childhood special education (ECSE) preschool will contribute to it becoming an early intervention tool for improving the motor skills and muscle strength of, and maladaptive behaviors and attention deficit in children with ID while enabling them to enjoy the intervention. It aimed to assess the effectiveness of DMT group sessions for children with ID aged 36 to 72 months as part of an ECSE preschool program.

### Methodology

This study compared outcome measures before and after the 10 weekly DMT group sessions. Before commencement, the study was approved by the Kyoto University Graduate School and Faculty of Medicine, Ethics Committee (C-1359).

#### Participants

The preschoolers and their parents or relatives were given detailed information regarding the study and informed about their right to withdraw at any time. Owing to the children's age, informed consent was provided by the parent or guardian.

Participants were recruited from an ECSE preschool in Japan. Only children aged between 36 and 72 months and diagnosed with ID by a doctor were included in the study. The main purpose of conducting an intelligence test is to measure intellectual function. The Wechsler Intelligence Scale is generally used as an IQ test (Japanese WISC-IV Publication Committee, 2010; Japanese WPPSI-III Publication Committee, 2017). However, in Japan, the Kyoto Scale of Psychological Development, 2001 (KSPD), which is a standardized assessment for measuring respondents' developmental level, is more



 $\overline{7}$ 

### DMT FOR ID

common than standardized intellectual function test (Kinjo et al, 2011; Tamaru et al, 2011; Kono, 2008; Aoki et al, 2018; Aoki et al, 2016; Koyama, 2009; Tatsuta, 2013).

Thus, we too used the KSPD, which assesses three areas: Postural-Motor (P-M; fine and gross motor functions), Cognitive-Adaptive (C-A; non-verbal reasoning or visuospatial perceptions assessed using materials), and Language-Social (L-S; interpersonal relationships, socialization, and verbal abilities).

In each of the three areas, a sum score is converted to a developmental age (DA), and an overall DA is also obtained. The three area DAs and the overall DA are divided by the child's chronological age and multiplied by 100 to yield four developmental quotients (DQ). In this study, total DQ was used.

The exclusion criteria were diagnosis of epilepsy, serious hearing or vision problems, or restrictions in engaging in physical activities, as recommended by a doctor. Twenty-three children with ID were initially enrolled in this study; later, two exhibiting epilepsy symptoms were excluded. Thus, the study had a total of 21 participants—16 boys and five girls, aged between 36 and 72 months (mean age  $46.1 \pm 10.8$  months). Mean DQ was  $48.45 \pm 14.33$ . Two participants had a DQ of over 70.

#### **Outcome Measurement**

The outcome measures involved employing a hand-held dynamometer (HHD) to assess knee extensor muscles, the one-leg stand test (OLST) for static balance, and the "timed up and go" test (TUG test) for dynamic balance, and administering the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) and Caregiver-Teacher Report Form (C-TRF) for the



participants' adaptive functions and behavioral problems, as reported by parents or relatives and teachers respectively.

### HHD

Muscle strength was provided for isometric knee extensor muscles measurement using HHD. This device has been widely used as an objective measurement tool in research and clinical fields (Stark et al, 2011; Bandinelli, 1999).

The participants were instructed to sit with their hips and knees at a 90-degree angle, and their thighs were held together by a belt (Matsumura, 2012; Yamasaki & Hasegawa, 2001). Then, they were instructed to hold their arms in front of their chest. The HHD sensor pad was set anteriorly to the malleolus and switched from left to right in each measurement (Shiota et al, 2008; Miura et al, 2015; Beenakker et al 2001). The participants had to apply force for approximately three seconds in each measurement, thrice on each leg, with a break of approximately one minute between measurements (Kelln et al, 2008; Bohannon, 1997). The best result was used as the participant's maximum strength, and the results were recorded in kilogram force (kgf). Those who failed two out of the three trials were excluded from the final data set to maintain the validity and reliability of the records.

#### OLST

The OLST is used worldwide for static balance measurement (Hardcastle & Nade, 1985; Maribo et al, 2009; Maribo et al, 2011; Kristensen et al, 2014; Lee et al, 2016; Jung et al, 2017). The present study was conducted with reference to a previous study which



used the OLST in preschoolers with ID (Jung et al, 2017). The participants were instructed to stand barefoot on one leg (using both alternatively) with eyes open and hands on hips. They were then asked to look at a target at eye level (1.20 meters away).

Three main measurements were taken, and the maximum standing time for each leg was used as the best score. There was concern that the participants would experience difficulties in switching legs between each measurement due to cognitive impairment. Therefore, three right-leg measurements were taken first, followed by three left-leg measurements.

The participants were given the following instructions: a) raise one leg, b) look at the target on the wall, and c) place hands on hips (Okuzumi et al, 2009; Jung et al, 2017; Hardcastle & Nade, 1985; Maribo et al, 2009; Maribo et al, 2011; Kristensen et al, 2014; Lee et al, 2016). Measurement was started at the shout of "Hai," with a stopwatch used to record the time, and stopped when a participant's sole, toe, or heel touched the floor, or when the pivot foot was moved. Behaviors such as jumping, running, or not responding after "Hai" were regarded as failed measurements. As participants who failed two out of the three trials could reduce the validity and reliability of the records, they were excluded from the final data set.

### TUG Test

The TUG test uses an individual's functional mobility to evaluate dynamic balance, such as sitting, standing, and walking (Shumway-Cook et al, 2000; Marchese, 2004; Nakatani, 2008). It has been used as a reliable evaluation method for infants and elderly



10

people in both clinical practice and research (Shumway-Cook et al, 2000; Podsiadlo & Richardson, 1991; Nocplini-Panisson & Donadio, 2013a; Williams, 2005; Nicolini-Panisson & Donadio, 2013b; Habib & Westcott, 1998; Katz-Leurer, 2008). The participants sat on chairs with their knees and hips at a 90-degree angle, feet firmly on the floor, backs against the backrest, and both hands on the lap. The following instructions were given to them: a) stand up, b) walk three meters forward, c) turn 180 degrees, d) walk back, and e) sit back in the chair. The participants were asked to walk as fast as possible after standing up (William, 2005; Nicolini-Panisson & Donadio, 2013b; Habib & Westcott, 1998). After they stood up at the signal of "Hai," walking time was recorded using a stopwatch. The measurement ended when the participants sat back in the chair.

Three main measurements were taken after the explanation and demonstration for each participant. The shortest walking time was used as the best result. Those who failed two out of the three trials were considered to reduce the validity and reliability of the study and were excluded from the final data set.

### Questionnaires

The Japanese version of the CBCL was used to assess participants' adaptive functions and behavioral problems over the past two months through parents' or relatives' reports (Achenbach, 2015; Itani et al, 2001; Katagiri, 2014; Funabiki, 2017).

The total CBCL score assesses internalizing problems, externalizing problems, sleep problems, and a DSM-oriented scale (Achenbach, 2015; Itani et al, 2001). Internalizing problems include anxiety/depression, social withdrawal, and somatic complaints;



externalizing problems include aggressive behavior and attention problems; and the DSM-oriented scale includes affective problems, anxiety problems, pervasive developmental problems, attention deficit/hyperactivity problems, and oppositional defiance symptoms.

Parents or relatives answered 100 questions using the following rating scale: 0=not true, 1=somewhat or sometimes true, and 2=very true or often true. The results were shown as a T-score. The normal range was defined as 64 points or less, 65–69 points as borderline, and 70 or higher as clinical. Teachers first distributed the CBCL among the participants' parents or relatives, and then collected and returned the responses to the researchers. Incomplete responses were excluded. Thus, 19 completed questionnaires were used as the final CBCL data.

The Japanese version of the C-TRF was administered to evaluate participants' adaptive functions and behavioral problems at the preschool over the past two months (Katagiri, 2014; Funabiki, 2017; Kawauchi et al, 2013). The researchers distributed the 100-question C-TRF among expert teachers working with children with ID, and later, collected the completed forms.

The measurements were taken before and after the DMT group sessions, which were then compared (Figure 1).





### Intervention

Referencing the discussion of previous studies, this study originally planned 10 DMT group sessions of 60 min each twice a week (Mastrominico, 2018). However, due to the preschool's schedule, it was difficult to hold sessions twice a week. Therefore, these were carried out weekly.

The 21 participants were divided into two groups according to the development stages of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Child Development (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Child Development, 2019).

A dance/movement therapist certified by the American Dance Therapy Association and Japanese Dance Therapy Association was the group leader, and three to four teachers from the preschool provided support to the group as co-group leaders. The intervention was conducted with four manualized intervention tasks (warm-up, main activity-I, main activity-II, and cool down) using DMT, such as mirroring the therapist's and others' movements (Koch et al, 2015; Hildebrandt et al, 2016); synchronizing movements with the therapist, co-leaders, and other participants (Bräuninger, 2014); moving their own



#### DMT FOR ID

inner image as an authentic movement (Levy, 2015; Chaiklin & Wengrower, 2009), and applying effort involved in Laban Movement Analysis (LMA) (Levy, 2015; Chaiklin & Wengrower, 2009). The effort of LMA, spacing, weighting, timing, and flowing was used to change the quality of movements.

#### **Data Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 27. The Wilcoxon onetailed signed rank test was carried out to analyze the final data set. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### Results

In the measurement of HHD, OLST, and TUG, there were participants who had difficulty in understanding the instructions, and approximately half of the participants were able to undergo the measurement before the intervention. However, since it was possible to collect data from the CBCL for 19 participants and C-TRF for all participants, and some of the participants could undergo the three motor function measurements, all 21 participants were included in this study. The post-test was conducted after all interventions were completed. Similar to the pre-test, approximately half of the participants were able to measure HHD, OLST, and TUG, and the same number of questionnaires in the pre-test were collected in the post-test.

#### **Knee Extensor Muscles**

knee extensor muscles measurements for both legs of the 11 participants who passed two out of the three trials were reported—the left (Z=2.85, p=0.002) as well as the right



### DMT FOR ID

(Z=1.87, p=0.031) leg showed a significant increase in knee extensor muscles. Ten of the 11 participants showed increased knee extensor muscles in either or both legs. Nine of the 11 participants displayed increased knee extensor muscles in both legs, while only one exhibited a decrease in both (Figure 2).



Figure 2. The results of 11 participants at the knee extensors on left and right

### OLST

Nine participants passed two out of the three trials for both legs. There was a statistically significant difference for both left (Z=1.96, p=0.026) and the right (Z=1.84, p=0.033) leg. Seven of the nine participants increased their standing time for both legs; however, five of the nine increased their standing time by less than one second for either or both legs (Figure 3).







### **TUG Test**

Data were recorded for the eight participants who passed two of the three TUG test trials. Time increased for three participants and decreased for five in the post-test. The TUG test results were not statistically significant (Z=-0.42, p=0.34) (Figure 4).









The CBCL data were collected for 19 participants. The following two categories were statistically significant: attention problems—a part of externalizing problems—and affective problems—a part of the DSM-oriented scale (Table 1).



Table 1. The result of CBCL.

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					T-score Range	Z-score	p-value	The	The	The
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				Tracero				number of	number of	number of
Intend (SD)   Range   In Normal   in Boarder   in Clinical Range     Total Score   Pre   56.26   (9.76)   37.73 Post   -1.06   0.145   15   2   2     Internalizing Problems   Pre   56.11   (10.43)   39-73   -1.06   0.145   16   1   2     Internalizing Problems   Pre   56.11   (10.43)   33-70 Post   0.00   0.500   14   4   1     Problems   Post   55.26   (7.00)   50-70 Post   0.20   0.419   15   3   1     Anxious/Depressed   Pre   56.68   (8.18)   50-79 Post   0.20   0.417   15   3   1     Somatic Complaints   Pre   53.32   (6.02)   50-70 Post   0.00   0.500   17   1   1     Withdrawn   Pre   55.76   (9.79)   51-85   0.13   0.450   10   1   8     Problems   Pre   53.11   (11.07)   28-73				1-score				participants	participants	participants
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				Mean (SD)				in Normal	in Boarder	in Clinical
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $								Range	Range	Range
Total ScorePost54.26 $(10.41)$ $39.73$ $-1.06$ $0.145$ $16$ $1$ $2$ Internalizing ProblemsPre $56.11$ $(10.43)$ $33.70$ Post $0.00$ $0.500$ $14$ $4$ $1$ ProblemsPre $55.38$ $(10.42)$ $37.73$ $0.00$ $0.500$ $14$ $4$ $1$ ProblemsPre $55.26$ $(7.00)$ $50.70$ Post $0.20$ $0.419$ $15$ $3$ $1$ Anxious/DepressedPre $55.26$ $(5.60)$ $50.70$ Post $0.20$ $0.417$ $15$ $3$ $1$ Anxious/DepressedPre $53.32$ $(6.02)$ $50.70$ Post $0.00$ $0.500$ $17$ $1$ $1$ Somatic ComplaintsPre $53.32$ $(6.02)$ $50.70$ Post $0.00$ $0.500$ $17$ $1$ $1$ WithdrawnPre $65.58$ $(9.79)$ $51.85$ Post $0.13$ $0.450$ $10$ $1$ $8$ ProblemsPre $53.11$ $(11.07)$ $28.73$ Post $-1.23$ $0.114$ $8$ $1$ $10$ ProblemsPre $59.84$ $(7.96)$ $50.80$ Post $-1.26$ $0.025$ $*$ $14$ $3$ $2$ Attention ProblemsPre $59.71$ $(6.39)$ $50.72$ Post $-1.40$ $0.080$ $17$ $1$ $1$ Aggressive BehaviorPre $55.37$ $(6.39)$ $50.72$ Post $-1.40$ $0.080$ $17$ $1$ $1$ <	<b>m</b> . 1 a		Pre	56.26 ( 9.76)	37-73			15	2	2
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total Score		Post	54.26 (10.41)	39-73	-1.06	0.145	16	1	2
ProblemsPost53.58 $(10.42)$ $37.73$ $0.00$ $0.500$ $1.1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ ProblemsPre $55.26$ $(7.00)$ $50.70$ Post $0.20$ $0.419$ $15$ $16$ $3$ $16$ $1$ Anxious/DepressedPre $55.68$ $(6.64)$ $50.73$ Post $0.20$ $0.419$ $15$ $16$ $3$ $16$ $1$ Anxious/DepressedPre $55.68$ $(6.64)$ $50.73$ Post $0.20$ $0.417$ $15$ $18$ $3$ $16$ $1$ Somatic ComplaintsPre $53.32$ $(6.02)$ Post $50.70$ $52.84$ $0.00$ $0.500$ $17$ $17$ $1$ $18$ $1$ $0$ WithdrawnPre $65.58$ $(9.79)$ Post $51.85$ $51.85$ $0.13$ $0.13$ $0.450$ $10$ $12$ $1$ $8$ $10$ $12$ Externalizing ProblemsPre $53.11$ $(11.07)$ Post $28.73$ $51.79$ $-1.23$ $0.114$ $8$ $17$ $1$ $17$ $10$ Attention ProblemsPre Post $59.84$ $(7.96)$ $50.80$ Post $50.73$ $-1.96$ $0.025$ $0.25$ $14$ $17$ $3$ $2$ $2$ $17$ Aggressive BehaviorPre Post $53.37$ $63.37$ $50.68$ $50.73$ $-1.40$ $0.080$ $17$ $18$ $1$ $1$	Internalizing		Pre	56.11 (10.43)	33-70			14	4	1
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Problems		Post	53.58 (10.42)	37-73	0.00	0.500	16	1	2
Emotionally ReactiveInc 50.25 ( $1.00$ ) $0.00$ $0.20$ $0.419$ $10$		Emotionally Reactive	Pre	55.26 (7.00)	50-70	0.20 0.419		15	3	1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Post	55.68 ( 6.64)	50-73		0.419	16	2	1
Anxious/DepressedInc 5036 ( $0.10$ ) $50.70$ Post $54.63 (5.50) 50-69-0.210.4171551Post 54.63 (5.50) 50-69Pre 53.32 (6.02) 50-70Post 52.84 (5.66) 50-700.000.5001711WithdrawnPre 53.32 (6.02) 50-70Post 65.74 (10.38) 51-850.130.4501018ExternalizingProblemsPre 53.11 (11.07) 28-73Post 51.79 (9.68) 32-67-1.230.1148110Attention ProblemsPre 59.84 (7.96) 50-80Post 55.74 (9.68) 50-73-1.960.025 *1432Aggressive BehaviorPre 55.37 (6.39) 50-72Post 54.37 (5.83) 50-68-1.400.0801711$			Pre	56.68 (8.18)	50-79			15	3	1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Anxious/Depressed	Post	54.63 ( 5.50)	50-69	-0.21 0.417	0.417	18	1	0
Somatic ComplaintsInc $53.52$ $(0.02)$ $50.70$ $0.00$ $0.500$ $17$ $1$ $1$ $1$ Withdrawn $Pre$ $52.84$ $(5.66)$ $50-70$ $0.00$ $0.500$ $17$ $1$ $1$ Withdrawn $Pre$ $65.58$ $(9.79)$ $51-85$ $0.13$ $0.450$ $10$ $1$ $8$ Externalizing $Pre$ $53.11$ $(11.07)$ $28-73$ $0.13$ $0.450$ $12$ $0$ $7$ Externalizing $Pre$ $53.11$ $(11.07)$ $28-73$ $-1.23$ $0.114$ $8$ $1$ $10$ Problems $Post$ $51.79$ $(9.68)$ $32-67$ $-1.23$ $0.114$ $8$ $1$ $10$ Attention Problems $Pre$ $59.84$ $(7.96)$ $50-80$ $-1.96$ $0.025$ $14$ $3$ $2$ Aggressive Behavior $Pre$ $55.37$ $(6.39)$ $50-72$ $-1.40$ $0.080$ $17$ $1$ $1$ Aggressive Behavior $Pre$ $54.37$ $(5.83)$ $50-68$ $-1.40$ $0.080$ $17$ $1$ $1$			Pre	53.32 (6.02)	50-70			17	1	1
WithdrawnPre Post $65.58$ $(9.79)$ $51-85$ $51-85$ $0.13$ $0.450$ $10$ $12$ $1$ $0$ $8$ $7$ Externalizing ProblemsPre $53.11$ $51-85$ $0.13$ $0.450$ $10$ $12$ $1$ $0$ $8$ $7$ Externalizing ProblemsPre $53.11$ $51.79$ $28-73$ $9.68$ $-1.23$ $32-67$ $0.114$ $8$ $17$ $1$ $2$ $10$ $17$ Attention ProblemsPre Post $55.74$ $9.68$ $9.68$ $50-73$ $50-73$ $-1.96$ $-1.96$ $0.025$ $14$ $17$ $3$ $17$ $2$ $0$ Aggressive BehaviorPre Post $54.37$ $50-72$ $58.3$ $-1.40$ $0.080$ $17$ $18$ $1$ $1$ $0$		Somatic Complaints	Post	52.84 ( 5.66)	50-70	0.00	0.500	17	1	1
WithdrawnProcession (2.177) $51.05$ $0.13$ $0.450$ $10$ $1$ $0$ Post $65.74$ $(10.38)$ $51.85$ $0.13$ $0.450$ $12$ $0$ $7$ Externalizing ProblemsPre $53.11$ $(11.07)$ $28.73$ Post $51.79$ $-1.23$ $0.114$ $8$ $1$ $10$ ProblemsPre $59.84$ $(7.96)$ $50-80$ Post $-1.96$ $0.025$ $14$ $3$ $2$ Attention ProblemsPre $59.84$ $(7.96)$ $50-73$ $-1.96$ $0.025$ $14$ $3$ $2$ Aggressive BehaviorPre $55.37$ $(6.39)$ $50-72$ Post $-1.40$ $0.080$ $17$ $1$ $1$ Pre $54.37$ $(5.83)$ $50-68$ $-1.40$ $0.080$ $17$ $1$ $1$			Dre	65 58 (979)	51-85			10	1	8
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Withdrawn	Post	65.74 (10.38)	51-85	0.13	0.450	10	0	7
ProblemsPost 51.79 (9.68) $32.67$ $-1.23$ $0.114$ $17$ $2$ $0$ Attention ProblemsPre 59.84 (7.96) $50.80$ Post 55.74 (9.68) $50.73$ $-1.96$ $0.025 *$ $14$ $3$ $2$ Aggressive BehaviorPre 55.37 (6.39) $50.72$ Post 54.37 (5.83) $-1.40$ $0.080$ $17$ $1$ $1$	Externalizing		Pre	53 11 (11 07)	28-73			8	1	10
Attention Problems Pre 59.84 (7.96) 50-80 -1.96 0.025 14 3 2   Aggressive Behavior Pre 55.74 (9.68) 50-73 -1.96 0.025 17 0 2   Pre 55.37 (6.39) 50-72 -1.40 0.080 17 1 1   Post 54.37 (5.83) 50-68 -1.40 0.080 18 1 0	Problems		Post	51.79 ( 9.68)	32-67	-1.23	0.114	17	2	0
Attention Problems Post $55.74$ (9.68) $50-73$ -1.96 $0.025$ * 17 0 2   Aggressive Behavior Pre $55.37$ ( $6.39$ ) $50-72$ -1.40 $0.080$ 17 1 1   Post $54.37$ ( $5.83$ ) $50-68$ -1.40 $0.080$ 18 1 0			Pre	59.84 (7.96)	50-80			14	3	2
Aggressive Behavior   Pre 55.37 (6.39)   50-72 -1.40   17   1   1     Post 54.37 (5.83)   50-68   -1.40   0.080   18   1   0		Attention Problems	Post	55.74 ( 9.68)	50-73	-1.96	0.025 *	17	0	2
Aggressive Behavior -1.40 0.080 -1.40 1000 -1.40 -1.40 0.080		A	Pre	55.37 ( 6.39)	50-72	1.40	0.000	17	1	1
		Aggressive Behavior	Post	54.37 ( 5.83)	50-68	-1.40	0.080	18	1	0
Sleep Problems Pre 54.00 (5.79) 50-67 17 2 0	Sleep Problems Pre		Pre	54.00 ( 5.79)	50-67			17	2	0
Post 53.21 (4.67) 50-64 -1.27 0.103 19 0 0	Po		Post	53.21 ( 4.67)	50-64	-1.27	0.103	19	0	0
DSM- Pre 57.95 (6.77) 50-70 16 1 2	DSM- Oriented	Affective Problems	Pre	57.95 ( 6.77)	50-70	-1.72	0.043 *	16	1	2
Oriented Affective Problems Post 55.74 (7.17) 50-79 -1.72 0.043 * 18 0 1			Post	55.74 (7.17)	50-79			18	0	1
Score Pre 58.37 (9.91) 50-81 13 3 3	Score	Anxiety Problems	Pre	58.37 ( 9.91)	50-81	-0.77	0.221	13	3	3
Anxiety Problems Post 56.32 (8.10) 50-78 -0.77 0.221 15 3 1			Post	56.32 ( 8.10)	50-78			15	3	1
Pervasive Developmental Pre 66.63 (9.15) 50-79 7 4 8		Pervasive Developmental Problems	Pre	66.63 (9.15)	50-79	-0.07	0.472	7	4	8
Problems Post 65.58 (11.09) 50-79 -0.07 0.472 7 3 9			Post	65.58 (11.09)	50-79			7	3	9
Attention Deficit/ Pre 55.05 (6.24) 50-71 100 000 1		Attention Deficit/	Pre	55.05 ( 6.24)	50-71		<b>-</b>	18	0	1
Hyperactive Problems Post 53.47 (4.23) 50-67 -1.38 0.085 18 1 0		Hyperactive Problems	Post	53.47 ( 4.23)	50-67	-1.38	0.085	18	1	0
Oppositional Defiant Pre 54.79 (7.99) 50-77 16 1 2		Oppositional Defiant	Pre	54.79 (7.99)	50-77			16	1	2
Problems Post 54.26 (7.59) 50-73 -1.35 0.089 16 0 3		Problems	Post	54.26 (7.59)	50-73	-1.35	0.089	16	0	3

\*p<0.05



# C-TRF

The C-TRF data were collected for all 21 participants. The following 10 categories were statistically significant: total score, internalizing problems (including emotionally reactive and somatic complaints), externalizing problems (including attention problems and aggressive behavior), affective problems, anxiety problems, and attention deficit/hyperactivity problems as part of DSM-oriented scale (Table 2).



Table 2. The result of C-TRF.

			T-score	Z-score	p-value	The	The	The
		T-score Mean				number of	number of	number of
		(SD)	Range			participants	participants	participants
		(52)	runge			in Normal	in Boarder	in Clinical
						Range	Range	Range
Total Score		Pre 56.95 ( 9.78)	44-85	-2.76	0.003 **	19	0	2
Total Score		Post 51.00 (7.54)	40 <b>-</b> 69	-2.70	0.005	20	1	0
Internalizing		Pre 54.90 ( 8.95)	41-76	2 12	0.017 *	18	2	1
Problems		Post 50.61 ( 7.97)	40 <b>-</b> 68	-2.13	0.017	19	2	0
	Emotionally Depotion	Pre 57.00 (7.75)	50-79	-1.92	0.020 *	18	1	2
	Emotionally Reactive	Post 53.95 ( 5.31)	50-66		0.028 *	19	2	0
	Anxious/	Pre 55.28 ( 7.20)	50-74	1.55	0.0(1	18	1	2
	Depressed	Post 53.52 ( 5.69)	50-70	-1.55	0.061	19	1	1
		Pre 53.38 ( 6.68)	50-79	1.50	0.042 *	20	0	1
	Somatic Complaints	Post 51.90 ( 5.90)	50-76	-1.72	0.043 *	20	0	1
	W'4 1	Pre 56.57 ( 6.20)	50-68	-1.50	0.077	17	4	0
	Withdrawn	Post 54.57 ( 4.16)	51-64		0.067	21	0	0
Externalizing		Pre 55.38 ( 8.70)	36-75	2.40	0.007 *	<b>,</b> 19	1	1
Problems		Post 50.14 (7.39)	36-66	-2.49	0.00/ *	19	2	0
	Attention Problems	Pre 57.90 ( 6.30)	50-70	-2.86	0.000 **	18	2	1
		Post 53.85 ( 4.45)	50-65		0.002 **	20	1	0
	Aggressive Behavior	Pre 56.33 ( 6.35)	50-76	-2.45	0.007 **	19	1	1
		Post 52.66 (4.86)	50-65		0.00/ **	20	1	0
DSM- Oriented	Affective Problems	Pre 54.66 ( 5.97)	50-70	-2.07	0.030 *	20	0	1
		Post 52.95 ( 4.71)	50-79		0.020 *	20	1	0
Score	Anxiety Problems	Pre 56.85 ( 8.07)	50-81	-1.74	0.044 *	18	0	3
		Post 54.71 ( 6.73)	50-78		0.044 *	19	0	2
	Pervasive Developmental Problems	Pre 60.04 (8.69)	50-79	-1.57		15	2	4
		Post 56.52 ( 5.04)	50-79		0.058	20	1	0
	Attention Deficit/	Pre 56.61 (7.22)	50-71			17	2	2
	Hyperactive Problems	Post 52.47 ( 4.57)	50-67	-2.56	0.005 **	20	1	0
	Oppositional Defiant	Pre 54.95 (5.87)	50-77			19	1	1
	Problems	Post 52.76 ( 4.25)	50-73	-1.61	0.053	21	0	0

\*\*p<0.01 \*p<0.05



### Discussion

In the present study, 10 DMT group sessions for children with ID as part of an ECSE preschool program encouraged the participants to continue the sessions without losing motivation; as a result, there were no dropouts during the program. This study found that the sessions helped increase knee extensor muscles and static balance while decreasing attention problems and affective problems, as reported in the CBCL and C-TRF, and maladaptive behaviors and problems at the preschool.

The reason for the improvement in physical function was that DMT intervention through music led to continuous weight shifting and movement of the center of gravity in different directions such as up and down, left and right, and back and forth; this led to a high level of knee muscle contraction and put sufficient load on participants' knee extensor muscles (Richardson et al, 1999; Yanagisawa, 2011). In addition, continuous jumping to the music and twisting of the body beyond the midline also added to the load on knee extensor muscles. In the intervention, music at different speeds was played, and the participants had to adjust the speed of their movements to stay in sync with it. Synchronous movement to music may increase the physical load on knee extensor muscles by controlling body movements at different speeds. Improvement in knee extensor muscles may help improve balance (Carter, 2002). According to a previous study, knee extensor muscles contribute significantly to balance.

For future research, DMT equipment such as para-balloons and balance balls may be more effective in increasing the physical load, and subsequently strengthening the leg



21

muscles of children with ID (Demers & Mckinley, 2015). DMT does not use iron equipment such as dumbbells or barbells, so the risk of injury is low; thus, children with ID can enjoy their activity while also experiencing some physical load.

This study also found that DMT improved the participants' daily life in and out of the preschool. In particular, there were significant reductions in attention problems, as reported in the CBCL and C-TRF, and attention deficit/hyperactive problems, as reported in the C-TRF.

Movement synchronization, which is a part of DMT approaches, may help reduce attention problems and attention deficit/hyperactive problems (Scharoun rt al, 2014); in this study, it occurred when the participants danced or moved with their friends and the therapist to the music played as part of the DMT intervention. The participants acquired the ability to learn the rules of play through movement synchronization with music, and adapted to each situation accordingly (Scharoun et al, 2014). This, consequently, was believed to reduce their attention problems and attention deficit/hyperactivity problems.

Even though the CBCL showed the effects on maladaptive functions and behavioral problems, the C-TRF results showed better effects on it. A reason for this might be that teachers may compare students with those classmates having roughly the same level of intellectual function, while parents may compare their child with ID with those without ID, including his/her siblings or neighborhood children (Dekker et al, 2002). Another reason may be that through the DMT group sessions at the preschool, the participants began to perceive pre-school as a better place to learn rules and manners than their homes.



### Limitations

One of the limitations of this study is its design. It was originally planned as a single blind randomized controlled trial; however, since it took place in an ECSE preschool, it was difficult to divide and mix the classes of different age groups. Therefore, the beforeafter comparisons were conducted.

Motor function measurement, such as HHD, may not be an appropriate method to evaluate the participants with severe ID because approximately half of the participants could not pass two of the three trials for motor function measurements. Consequently, only 11 of the 21 participants could be included in the HHD trials: eight in the TUG test and nine in the OLST. For future studies on DMT and children with ID using motor function measurements, it is necessary to investigate a method for evaluating the motor function of individuals who have difficulty understanding instructions.

### Conclusion

Children with ID have poor spatial orientation, which decreases static and dynamic balance and increases the risk of falling. This study aimed to assess the effectiveness of a 10-session DMT intervention once a week for 21 children with ID at an ECSE preschool, aged between 36 and 72 months, by employing the HHD for knee extensor muscles, OLST for static balance, and TUG test for dynamic balance, and administering the CBCL and C-TRF for maladaptive functions and behavioral problems. The results showed a statistically significant increase in knee extensor muscles and static balance and decreases in attention problems and affective problems in the CBCL, and total score, internalizing



problems (including emotionally reactive and somatic complaints), externalizing problems (including attention problems and aggressive behavior), affective problems, anxiety problems, and attention deficit/hyperactivity problems in the C-TRF. This study found that carrying out DMT intervention as part of an ECSE preschool program helped children with ID between the ages of 36 and 72 months improve their knee extensor muscles and static balance while reducing their maladaptive behaviors and motivating them to participate in and enjoy the sessions.



DMT FOR ID

### Reference

- Achenbach, T.M. (2015). Multicultural evidence-based assessment using the Achenbach system of empirically based assessment (ASEBA) for age 1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>- 90 +. Psychologia: Avances de la Disciplina. 9(2): 13-23.
- American Dance Therapy Association. (2020). https://www.adta.org/ accessed 11 December 2020.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorder: DSM-5 (5th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association
- Aoki, S., Hashimoto, K., Ikeda, N., Takekoh, M., Fujiwara, T., Morisaki, N., Tachibana, Y., & Ohya, Y. (2016). Comparison of the kyoto scale of psychological development 2001 with the parent-rated kinder infant developmet scale (KIDS). Brain & Development, 38, 481-490.
- Aoki, S., Yamauchi, Y., & Hashimoto, K. (2018). Developmental trend of children with down's syndrome -How do sex and neonatal conditions influence their developmental patterns?. Brain & Development, 40: 181-187.
- Bandinelli, S., Benvenuti, E., Lungo, D., Baccini, M., Benvenuti, F., Di Lorio, A., & Ferrucci, L. (1999). Measuring musclar strength of the lower limbs by hand-held dynamometer: A standard protocol. Aging Clinical Experimental Research. 11(5) 287-293.
- Barnet-Lopez, S., Pérez-Testor, S., Cabedo-Sanromà, J., Oviedo, G. R., & Guerra-Balic, M. (2016). Dance/movement therapy and emotional well-being for adults with intellectual disabilities. The Arts in Psychotherapy, 51 10–16.
- Barnet-López1, S., Pérez-Testor, S., Cabedo-Sanromà, J., Gozzoli, C., Oviedo, G. R., & Guerra-Balic, M. (2015). Developmental items of human figure drawing: dance/movement therapy for adults with intellectual disabilities. American Journal of Dance Therapy, 37,135–149.
- Baun, W., Bernacki, E. J., & Tsai, S. P. (1986). A preliminary investigation: Effect of a corporate fitness program on absenteeism and health care cost. Journal of Occupational Medicine, 28 (1).
- Beenakker, E. A. C., van der Hoeve. J. H., Fock, J. M., & Maurits, N. M. (2001). Reference value of maximum isometric muscle force obtained in 270 children aged 4-16 years by hand-held dynamometry. Neuromuscular Disorder. 11. 441-446.
- Blauw-Hospers, C. H., & Hadders-Algra, M. (2005). A systematic review of the effects of early intervention on motor development. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology, 47, 421-432.
- Blomqvist, S., Olsson, J., Wallin, L., Wester, A., & Rehn, B. (2013). Adolescents with intellectual disability have reduced postural balance muscle performance in trunk and lower limbs compared to peers without intellectual disability. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 34, 198-206.
- Bodde, A. E., & Seo, D. C. (2009). A review of social and environmental barriers to physical activity for adults with intellectual disabilities. Disability and Health



Journal, 2, 57-66.

- Bohannon, R. W. (1997). Reference value for extremity muscle strength obtained by hand-held dynamometry from adults age from 20 to 79 years. Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 78.
- Bondár. R.Z., Di Fronso, S., Bortoli, L., Robazza, C., Metsios, G. S., Bertollo, M. (2020). The effects of physical activity or sport-based interventions on psychological factors in adults with intellectual disabilities: a systematic review. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 64 (2), 69-92.
- Borji, R., Zghal, F., Zarrouk, N., Sahli, S., & Rebai, H. (2014). Individuals with intellectual disability have lower voluntary muscle activation level. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 35, 3574-3581.
- Bossink, L. W. M., Ven Der Putten, A. A., Papp, M. C. S., & Vlaskamp, C. (2019). Factors associated with dorect support professionals' behaviour in the physical activity support provided to people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Intellectual Disability, 63 (8), 981-991.
- Bräuninger, I. (2014). Specific dance movement therapy interventions-Which are successful? An intervention and correlation study. *The Arts in Psychotherapy*. 41, 445-457.
- Carmeli, E., Orbach, I., Zinger-Vaknin, T., Morad, M., & Merrick J. (2008). Physical training and well-being in older adults with mild intellectual disability: a residential care study. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities, 21, 257-265.
- Carter, N. D., Khan, K. M., Mallinson, A., Janssen, P. A., Heinonen, A., Petit, M. A., & Mckay, H. A. (2002). Knee extension strength is a significant determinant of static and dynamic balance as well as quality of life in older community-dwelling women with osteoporosis. Gerontology, 48, 360-368.
- Center for disease control and prevention Child development. (2019) Learn The Signs. Act Early. https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/actearly/milestones/index.html (review on 4.10. 2020).
- Chaiklin, S., & Wengrower, H. (2009). *The art and science of dance/movement therapy: Life is dance*. New York, London: Routledge, Taylor and Francis Group.
- Dalvand, H., Dehghan, L., Hadian, M. R. Feizy, A. F., & Hosseini, S. A. (2012). Relationship between gross motor and intellectual function in children with cerebral palsy: A cross-sectional study. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 93, 480-4.
- Dekker, M. C., Koot, H. M., Ende, J. V. D., & Verhulst, F. C. (2002). Emotional and behavioral problems in children and adolescents with and without intellectual disability. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 4 (3), 8, 1087-1098.
- Demers, M. & Mckinley, P. (2015). Feasibility of delivering a dance intervention for subacute stroke in rehabilitation hospital setting. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 12,3120-2132.
- De Tord, P., & Bräuninger, I. (2015). Grounding: Theoretical application and practice in dance movement therapy. The Arts in Psychitherapy. 43, 16-22.



- Enkelaar, L.,Smulders, E., Lantman-de Valk, H. V.S., Geurts, A. C. H., & Weerdesteyn, V. (2012). A review of balance and gait capacities in relation to falls in persons with intellectual disabilities. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 33, 291-306.
- Funabiki,, Y. (2017). Standarsization of the Japanese version of the teacher's report form. Japanese Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 58(1): 185-196.
- Habib, Z., & Westcott, S. (1998). Assessment of anthropometric factors on balance tests in children. Pediatric Physical therapy. 10: 101-109.
- Hardcastle, P., & Nade, S. (1985). The significance of the trendelenburg test. The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, 67B, 5.
- Hildebrandt, M. K., Koch, S. C, & Fuchs, T. (2016). "We Dance and Find Each Other": Effects of dance/movement therapy on negative symptoms in autism spectrum disorder. Behavioral Science, 6: 24.
- Houwen, S., Van Der Putten, A., & Vlaskamp, C. (2014). A systematic review of the effects of motor interventions to improve motor, cognitive, and/or social functioning in people with severe or profound intellectual disabilities.
- Hsieh, K., Rimmer, J., & Heller, T. (2012). Prevalence of falls and risk factors in adults with intellectual disability. American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 117, 442–454.
- Itani, T., Kanbayashi, Y., Nakata, Y., Kita, M., Fujii, H., Kuramoto, H., Negishi, T., Tezyuka, M., & Okada, A. (2001). Standardization of the Japanese version of the child behavior checklist/4-18. Psychiatria et Neurologia Paediatrica Japonica, 41(4). 243-252
- Japanese WISC-IV Publication Committee. (2010). Nihonban WISC-IV chinou kensahou (Japanese Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Fourth Edition). Tokyo: Nihon Bunka Kagakusha.
- Japanese WPPSI-III Publication Committee. (2017). Nihonban WPPSI<sup>TM</sup>-III chinou kensahou (Japanese Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence-Third Edition). Tokyo: Nihon Bunka Kagakusha.
- Jung, H. K, Chung, E., & Lee, B. H. (2017). A comparison of the balance and gait function between children with down syndrome and typically developing children. The Journal of Physical Therapy Science, 29: 123-127.
- Kachouri, H., Borji, R., Baccouch, R., Laatar, R., Rebai, H., & Sahli, S. (2016). The effect of a combined strength and proprioceptive training on muscle strength and postural balance in boys with intellectual disability: An exploratory study. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 53, 367- 376.
- Katagiri, Y. (2014). The relationship between emotion, behavior, and clinical states in children with trichotillomania-An evaluation using the teacher's report form-. Japanese Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 55(1): 45-54.
- Katz-Leurer, M., Rotem, H., Lewitus, H., Keren, O., and Meyer, S. (2008). Functional balance tests for children with traumatic brain injury: within-session reliability. Pediatric Physical therapy. 20. 254-258.



- Kawauchi M et al. (2013). Standardization of teacher's report form for ages 6-18 (TRF/6-18) Japanese version. Japanese Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry,53(3), 211-223.
- Kelln, B, M., Mckeon, P, O., Gontkof, L, M., Hertel, J. (2008). Hand-held dynamometry: reliability of lower extremity muscle testing in healthy, physically active, young adults. Journal of Sport Rehabilitation, 17, 160-170.
- Kinjo, T., Ohga, S., Ochiai, M., Honjo, S., Tanaka, T., Takahata, Y., Ihara, K., &Hra, T. (2011). Serum chemokine levels and developmental outcome in preterm infants. Early Human Development, 87, 439-443.
- Koch, S.C. & Hervey, S. (2012). Dance/movement therapy with traumatized dissociative patients. Body Memory, Metaphor and Movement; Koch, S.C., Fuchs, T., Summa, M., Müller, C., Eds.; John Benjamins Publishing Company: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, pp. 369–385. ISBN 978 90 272 1350 1.
- Koch, S. C., Mehl, L., Sobanski, E., & Sieber, M. (2015). Fixing the mirrors: A feasibility study of the effects of dance movement therapy on young adults with autism spectrum disorder. Autism, Vol.9, 3, 338-350.
- Kono, Y., Mishina, J., Sato, N., Watanabe, T., & Honma, Y. (2008). Developmental characteristics of very low-birthweight infants at 18 months' corrected age according to birthweight. Pediatrics International, 50, 23-28.
- Koyama, T., Osada, H., Tsujii, H., & Kurita, H. (2009). Utility of the kyoto scale of psychological development in cognitive assessment of children with pervasive developmental disorders. Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, 63, 241-243.
- Kristensen, M. T., Nielse, A. Ø., Topp, U. M., Jakobsen, B., Nielsen, K. J., Juul-Larsen, H. G., & Jakobsen, T. L. (2014). Number of test trials needed for performance stability and interrater reliability of the one leg stand test in patients with a major non-traumatic lower limb amputation. Gait & Posture, 39, 424-429.
- Lee, J. K., Lee, M. M., Shin, D. C., Shin, S, H., & Song, C. H. (2014). The effects of a balance exercise program for enhancement of gait function on temporal and spatial gait parameters in young people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Physical Therapy Science, 26, 513-516.
- Lee, K., Lee, M., & Song, C. (2016). Balance training improves postural balance, gait and functional strength in adolescents with intellectual disabilities: Single-blinded, randomized clinical trial. Disability and Health Journal, 9, 416-422.
- Lin, J. D., Lin, P. Y., Lin, L. P., Chang, Y. Y., Wu, S. R., & Wu, J. L. (2010). Physical activity and its determinants among adolescents with intellectual disabilities. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 31, 263-269.
- Levy, F. J. (2005). Dance movement therapy: A healing art. 2nd revised edition. Reston, VA: American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance. 1.
- Marchese, V. G., Ogle, S., Womer, R. B., Dormans J, & Ginsberg J P. (2004). An Examination of outcome measures to assess functional mobility in childhood survivors of osteosarcoma. Pediatric Blood and Cancer. 42.41-45.

京都大学

- Maribo, T., Iversen, E., Andersen, N. T., Stengaard-Pedersen, K., & Schiøttz-Christensen, B. (2009). Intra-observer and interobserver reliability of one leg stand test as a measure of postural balance in low back pain patients. International Musculoskeletal Medicine, 31, 4.
- Maribo, T., Stengaard-Pedersen, K., Jensen, L. D., Andersen, N. T., & Schisøttz-Christensen, B. (2011). Postural balance in low back pain patients: Intra-session reliability of center of pressure on a portable force platform and of the one leg stand test. Gait & Posture, 34, 213-217.
- Mastrominico, A., Fuchs, T., Manders, E., Steffinger, L., Hirjak, D., Sieber, M., Thomas, E., Holzinger, A., Konrad, A., Bopp, N., & Koch, S. (2018). Effects of dance movement therapy on adult patients with autism spectrum disorder: A randomized controlled trial. *Behavioral Science*, 29(7), 61
- Matsumura M, Takei H, Ichikawa K, Ogawa D, Usa H, and Hata M. (2012). The interand intra-rater reliability of the isometric muscle strength measurement by belt fixed hand-held dynamometer -the measurements of knee flexors, ankle dorsi flexors, ankle plantar flexors, ankle evertors, ankle invertors-. The Journal of Japan Academy of Health sciences. 15(1), 2012.
- Michalsen, H., Wangberg, S. C., Anke, A., Hartvigsen, G., Jaccheri, L., & Arntzen, C. (2020). Family members and health care workers' perspectives on motivation factors of participation in physical activity for people with intellectual disability: A qualitative study. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 64 (4), 259-270.
- Miura S, Seko T, Kumamoto T, Takahashi Y, Kaneko R, Tanaka M, Shida M, and Ito T. (2015). Examination of a manual fixing method in the measurement of cervical flexor and externsor muscle strengths in the setting position using a hand-held dynamometer. Rigakuryoho Kagaku. 30(4). 589-593.
- Nakatani, T., Haga, S., Okamoto, N., & Kurumatani, N. (2008). Validity of the timed up and go test in community-dwelling elderly people. Journal of exercise and sports physiology, 15(1), 1-10.
- Nicolini-Panisson, R. D, & Donadio, M. V. F. (2013a). Normative values for the timed up and go test in children and adolescents and validation for individuals with down syndrome. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 56. 490-497.
- Nicolini-Panisson, R. D., & Donadio, M. V. F. (2013b). Timed "up & go" test in children and adolescents. Revista Paulista de Pediatria.31(3). 377-383.
- Okuzumi, H., Kokubun, M., Hirata, S., Tanaka, A., Haishi, K., and Kitajima, Y. (2009). Factors of one-leg balancing and beam-walking in persons with intellectual disabilities. Equilibrium Research. 68(2). 62-67.
- Podsiadlo, D., & Richardson S. (1991). The timed "up & go": a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. Journal of American Geriatric Society. 39.142-148.
- Richardson, C., Jull, G., Hodges, P., & Hides, J. (1999). Therapeutic exercise for spinal segmental stabilization in low back pain: Scientific basis and clinical approach. Edinburgh, NY: Churchill. Livingstone.



KURENAI 沿

- Rintala. P., & Loovis, E. M. (2013). Measuring motor skills in Finnish children with intellectual disabilities. Perceptual and Motor Skills: SAGE Journals, 116, 294–303.
- Scharoun, S., M Reinders, N. J., Bryden, P. J., & Fletcher, P. C. (2014). Dance/movement therapy as an intervention for children with autism spectrum disorders. American Journal of Dance therapy, 36, 209-228.
- Shiota K, Hosoda M, Takahashi A, Matsuda T, Miyajima S, Aizawa J, and Ikeda M. (2008). The relationship between muscle power and balance in postural control. Rigakuryoho Kagaku. 23,6, 817-821.
- Shumway-Cook, A. &, Brauer, S., & Woollacott, M. (2000). Predicting the probability for falls in community-dwelling older adults using the timed up and go test. Physical Therapy, 80(9).
- Shonkoff, J. P., & Meisels, S. J. (2000). Hand book of early childhood intervention. 2nd edition. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Stark, T., Walker, B., Phillips, J. K., Fejer. R., and Beck, R. (2011). Hand-held dynamometry correlation with the gold standard isokinetic dynamometry: a systematic review. American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. Vol.3. 472-479.
- Tamaru, S., Kikuchi, A., Takagi, K., Wakamatsu, M., Ono, K., Horikoshi, T., Kihara, H., & Nakamura, T. (2011). Neurodevelopmental outcomes of very low birth weight and extremely low birth weight infants at 18 months of corrected age associated with prenatal risk factors. Early Human Development, 87, 55-59.
- Tatsuta, N., Suzuki, K., Sugawara, T., Nakai, K., Hosokawa, T., & Satoh, H. (2013). Comparison of kyoto scale of psychological development and bayley scales of infant development second edition among japanese infants. The Japanese association of Special Education, 2(1), 17-24.
- Temple, V. A., Frey, G. C., & Stanish, H. (2017). Interventions to promote physical activity for adults with intellectual disabilities. salud pública de méxico, 59 (4).
- Temple, V. A., & Walkley, J. W. (2007). Perspectives of constraining and enabling factors for health-promoting physical activity by adults with intellectual disability. Journal of Intellectual & Developmental Disability, 32 (1), 28-38.
- Tsimaras, V. K., Giamouridou, G. A., Kokaridas, D. G., Sidiropoulou, M. P. & Patsiaouras, A. I. (2012). The effect of a traditional dance training program on dynamic balance of individuals with mental retardation. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 26(1)/192–198.
- Williams, E. N, Carroll, S. G., Reddihough D S, Philips B A, and Galea M P. (2005). Investigation of the timed 'up & go' test in children. Developmental Medicine & child Neurology. 47. 518-524.
- Wuang, Y. P., Chang, J. J., Wang, M. H., & Lin, H. C. (2013). Test-retest reliabilities of hand-held dynamometer for lower-limb muscle strength in intellectual disabilities. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 34, 2281-2290.
- Yamasaki, H & Hasegawa, T. (2001). The measurement of the isometric knee extension



muscle strength by hand-dynamometer using the belt for the fixation-Intrarater reproducibility of hand-held dynamometer-. Journal of Kochi Rehabilitation Institute, 3.

Yanagisawa, K. (2011). *Text book of therapeutic exercises* (2nd ed.). Tokyo: Kanehara and Co Ltd.