

TITLE:

Association between intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide and postoperative nausea and vomiting in gynecologic laparoscopic surgery

AUTHOR(S):

Dong, Li; Takeda, Chikashi; Yamazaki, Hajime; Hamada, Miho; Hirotsu, Akiko; Yamamoto, Yosuke; Mizota, Toshiyuki

## CITATION:

Dong, Li ...[et al]. Association between intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide and postoperative nausea and vomiting in gynecologic laparoscopic surgery. Scientific Reports 2022, 12: 6865.

ISSUE DATE: 2022

URL: http://hdl.handle.net/2433/282089

### RIGHT:

© The Author(s) 2022; This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.



# scientific reports



# **OPEN** Association between intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide and postoperative nausea and vomiting in gynecologic laparoscopic surgery

Li Dong<sup>1,2</sup>, Chikashi Takeda<sup>2</sup>, Hajime Yamazaki<sup>3</sup>, Miho Hamada<sup>2</sup>, Akiko Hirotsu<sup>2</sup>, Yosuke Yamamoto<sup>1</sup> & Toshiyuki Mizota<sup>2</sup>

Gynecologic laparoscopic surgery has a high incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV). Studies suggest that low intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide (EtCO<sub>2</sub>) is associated with an increased incidence of PONV, but the results have not been consistent among studies. This study investigated the association between intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub> and PONV in patients undergoing gynecologic laparoscopic surgeries under general anesthesia. This retrospective cohort study involved patients who underwent gynecologic laparoscopic surgeries under general anesthesia at Kyoto University Hospital. We defined low EtCO<sub>2</sub> as a mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> of < 35 mmHg. Multivariable modified Poisson regression analysis examined the association between low EtCO<sub>2</sub> and PONV during postoperative two days and the postoperative length of hospital stay (PLOS). Of the 739 patients, 120 (16%) had low EtCO<sub>2</sub>, and 430 (58%) developed PONV during postoperative two days. There was no substantial association between low EtCO<sub>2</sub> and increased incidence of PONV (adjusted risk ratio: 0.96; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.80–1.14; p = 0.658). Furthermore, there was no substantial association between low EtCO<sub>2</sub> and prolonged PLOS (adjusted difference in PLOS: 0.13; 95% CI – 1.00 to 1.28; p=0.816). Intraoperative low EtCO<sub>2</sub>, specifically a mean intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub> below 35 mmHg, was not substantially associated with either increased incidence of PONV or prolonged PLOS.

The incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) remains high despite considerable improvements in treatment over the past few decades. PONV is nausea or vomiting in the first 24-48 h after surgery<sup>1</sup>. Wellestablished risk factors for PONV include female gender, history of PONV or motion sickness, nonsmoking, and postoperative opioid use<sup>2</sup>. The risk of PONV is up to 80% in high-risk patients with all four risk factors<sup>3</sup>. The incidence of PONV is particularly high among patients undergoing gynecologic laparoscopic surgery<sup>4</sup>. PONV is associated with decreased patient satisfaction<sup>5</sup>, increased postoperative complications<sup>6</sup>, and longer postoperative length of hospital stay (PLOS) 7.

Hypocapnia may be associated with decreased systemic vasodilation<sup>8</sup> and may cause tissue ischemia<sup>9</sup>, intestinal ischemia<sup>10</sup>, and cerebral ischemia<sup>11,12</sup>. Animal studies have reported that serotonin levels in the brain, a highly emetogenic substance, increase with intestinal <sup>13,14</sup> and cerebral ischemia<sup>15</sup>. Based on the hypothesis associating hypocapnia with increased serotonin levels due to intestinal and cerebral ischemia, studies associate intraoperative hypocapnia with increased incidence of PONV<sup>16,17</sup>. However, the relationship between hypocapnia and PONV remains unclear because some studies had conflicting results<sup>18,19</sup>.

Therefore, we examined the association between intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide (EtCO<sub>2</sub>) and the incidence of PONV in patients undergoing gynecologic laparoscopic surgery. We adjusted for important confounding factors and assessed the effects of the duration and severity of low EtCO<sub>2</sub> exposure.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Healthcare Epidemiology, Graduate School of Medicine and Public Health, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan. <sup>2</sup>Department of Anaesthesia, Kyoto University Hospital, 54 Shoqoin-Kawahara-cho, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan. <sup>3</sup>Section of Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Community Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Yoshida-honmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto-shi, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan. 🖾 email: mizota@ kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp

### Methods

**Ethics.** The Certified Review Board of Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan (Chairperson Prof. Shinji Kosugi) approved the protocol for this study (approval no.: R1272-3, January 23, 2020). Additionally, the informed consent requirement was waived due to this study's retrospective nature.

**Study design, setting, and population.** In this single-center retrospective cohort study, we used data from the Kyoto University Hospital IMProve Anaesthesia Care and ouTcomes (Kyoto-IMPACT) database. The Kyoto-IMPACT database aims to clarify the relationship between intraoperative respiratory and cardiovascular parameters and postoperative outcomes. We consecutively selected patients who underwent surgery under the care of anesthesiologists at Kyoto University Hospital (1121 beds). We have published several studies using the Kyoto-IMPACT database<sup>20,21</sup>. We included adult female patients aged 18 years or older who underwent gynecologic laparoscopic surgery (i.e., adnexal surgery and/or hysterectomy) at Kyoto University Hospital between January 2012 and December 2017. The gynecologic laparoscopic surgery population was selected because the predicted incidence rate of PONV in this population is 30-40%, assumed to be a medium risk of PONV<sup>4</sup>. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients with postoperative intensive care unit admission; (2) those who underwent multiple surgeries within one week during the study period; (3) those who received epidural anesthesia; (4) those with missing smoking data, and (5) those with missing intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub> data.

**Data collection.** We collected data from the anesthesia information management and electronic medical record systems and constructed the Kyoto-IMPACT database.  $EtCO_2$  was continuously measured using a side-stream gas analyzer (GF-220R Multigas/Flow Unit, Nihon Kohden<sup>\*</sup>, Japan) that was automatically uploaded to the anesthesia information management system every 1960s. Intraoperative  $EtCO_2$  was the mean  $EtCO_2$  level from skin incision to skin closure. We removed  $EtCO_2$  levels lower than 20 mmHg as artifacts ( $EtCO_2$  during aspiration or position change). The definitions of variables, including the minimum and maximum  $EtCO_2$  levels, can be found in Supplementary Data Table S1. We collected data on PONV by reviewing all clinical data contained in the electronic medical records. Ward nurses assessed the presence of nausea and vomiting at least twice daily. We defined PONV as one or more episodes of nausea or vomiting during the first 2 days after surgery and vomiting as one or more episodes of vomiting the same period.

**Exposure.** To determine how EtCO<sub>2</sub> affects PONV, we defined exposure by calculating the dose, time, and cumulative effects of EtCO<sub>2</sub>. First, we evaluated the dose effects of EtCO<sub>2</sub> using the mean EtCO<sub>2</sub>. Next, we divided the patients into two groups based on the cutoff EtCO<sub>2</sub> level of 35 mmHg proposed by Way and Hill<sup>22</sup>. We defined low EtCO<sub>2</sub> as a mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> lower than 35 mmHg and normal EtCO<sub>2</sub> as a mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> greater than or equal to 35 mmHg. We classified the patients in either of these groups and used them as the primary exposure for further analysis. Additionally, we categorized the mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> levels into quartiles (i.e., < 35, 35–37, 37–40, and  $\geq$ 40 mmHg) because the relationship between EtCO<sub>2</sub> and PONV might not be linear. To assess the effects of the duration and severity of low EtCO<sub>2</sub> exposure, we determined the time effect based on the minutes when the EtCO<sub>2</sub> level was below 35 mmHg and measured the cumulative effect as the area with EtCO<sub>2</sub> levels below the threshold of 35 mmHg for each patient. Furthermore, we categorized the minutes and area under the threshold of an EtCO<sub>2</sub> level of 35 mmHg into quartiles; the lowest quartile was the reference category.

**Outcomes.** The primary outcome in this study was PONV, defined as PONV for two days postoperatively. The secondary outcomes were nausea for two days postoperatively, vomiting for two days postoperatively, PONV for 3–7 days postoperatively, and PLOS. We defined PLOS as the duration of hospital stay after surgery for patients who survived until discharge.

**Statistical analysis.** We analyzed the relationship between intraoperative  $EtCO_2$  and PONV before data collection. We used the Mann–Whitney test for group comparisons, and continuous variables were expressed as the median and interquartile range (IQR), and categorical variables were expressed as counts and percentages (%).

First, we performed modified Poisson regression analysis with robust variance to calculate the risk ratio for low EtCO<sub>2</sub> (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> of less than 35 mmHg) and PONV, with the reference category of normal EtCO<sub>2</sub> (mean  $EtCO_2 \ge 35 \text{ mmHg})^{23}$ . Additionally, we calculated the risk ratios of the mean  $EtCO_2$  level in the first quartile (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> of less than 35 mmHg), third quartile (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> of 37-40 mmHg), and fourth quartile (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> of more than or equal to 40 mmHg). The second quartile (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> of 35–37 mmHg) was the reference category because it was considered normocapnia. Furthermore, we examined the time and cumulative effects of EtCO<sub>2</sub> by evaluating how each quartile affected PONV, with the first quartile (with minutes under an EtCO<sub>2</sub> of 35 mmHg and the area below the threshold of 35 mmHg) being the reference category. We created a model using the covariates previously used to demonstrate the relationship between intraoperative  $EtCO_2$  and PONV. The model included age, smoking history, surgery duration, body mass index (BMI), total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA), mean arterial pressure (MAP), intraoperative fentanyl use, postoperative fentanyl dose for intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (IVPCA), the use of prophylactic antiemetics, the addition of droperidol to postoperative IVPCA, American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status (ASAPS), malignancy, and emergency surgery. Additionally, a modified Poisson regression model investigated whether the dose, time, or cumulative effect of EtCO<sub>2</sub> affects postoperative nausea two days, vomiting two days, and PONV 3-7 days postoperatively, adjusting for the aforementioned models. To further evaluate the relationship between  $EtCO_2$  and PLOS, we performed a linear regression analysis adjusting for the possible covariates in the aforementioned models.



**Figure 1.** Flowchart of this study. We consecutively included patients aged 18 years or older who underwent laparoscopic gynecologic surgery under general anesthesia at Kyoto University Hospital from 2012 to 2017. Subsequently, cases that met the eligibility criteria were selected and analyzed as complete cases.

The relationship between intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub> and PONV may depend on patient and surgical characteristics. Therefore, we performed a subgroup analysis to assess this potential heterogeneity. We used the modified Poisson regression model for the following subgroups: (1) age ( $\geq$  50/<50 years), (2) malignancy (yes/no), (3) smoking history (ever smoked/never smoked), (4) duration of surgery ( $\geq$  4/<4 h), (5) TIVA (yes/no), (6) the use of intraoperative prophylactic antiemetics (yes/no), (7) postoperative fentanyl dose for IVPCA ( $\geq$  20/<20 µg/h) and (8) addition of droperidol in IVPCA (yes/no). We calculated the crude risk ratio of PONV in each subgroup and examined the interaction between subgroups and the mean of intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub>.

To maximize statistical power, all eligible patients enrolled in the Kyoto-IMPACT database since 2012, when postoperative nausea and vomiting began to be recorded in their current form, were included in the analysis. To determine the study power, we estimated that approximately 120 laparoscopic gynecologic surgeries were performed annually at Kyoto University Hospital, with 720 surgeries performed over six years. The risk ratio was 1.53, the incidence of PONV was  $40\%^4$ , and the proportion of low EtCO<sub>2</sub> was  $50\%^{24}$ , giving an estimated power of 80%. The rate of missing data was 0.04%, so we conducted a complete case analysis. All statistical tests were two-tailed. We used Stata/SE 15.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas, USA) to conduct all statistical analyses.

**Ethics approval.** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The Certified Review Board of Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan (Chairperson Prof. Shinji Kosugi) approved the protocol for this study (approval no.: R1272-3, January 23, 2020). Additionally, the informed consent requirement was waived due to this study's retrospective nature.

#### Results

**Baseline patient characteristics.** Of the 790 patients who underwent laparoscopic gynecologic surgery between 2008 and 2017, 774 met our inclusion criteria, and we included 739 in the complete case analysis (Fig. 1). Low EtCO<sub>2</sub> (defined as the mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> level of less than 35 mmHg) occurred in 120 patients (16%), whereas PONV occurred in 430 patients (58%). Table 1 shows the overall baseline characteristics of the study participants. The median EtCO<sub>2</sub> values were 37 mmHg (IQR, 35–40 mmHg) overall, 33 mmHg (IQR, 32–34 mmHg) in patients with low EtCO<sub>2</sub>, and 38 mmHg (IQR, 36–40 mmHg) in patients with normal EtCO<sub>2</sub>.

**Association between low EtCO<sub>2</sub> and PONV.** Table 2 shows the study's main results. PONV occurred in 67 (55.83%) of the 120 patients in the low EtCO<sub>2</sub> group, whereas 363 (58.64%) of the 619 patients were in the normal EtCO<sub>2</sub> group. We could not find a substantial association between low EtCO<sub>2</sub> and PONV (crude risk ratio, 0.95; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.80–1.13; p=0.577) (adjusted risk ratio, 0.96; 95% CI 0.80–1.14; p=0.658). For further analysis, we divided EtCO<sub>2</sub> into quartiles. The second quartile (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> 35–37 mmHg) was the reference, and the definition of low EtCO<sub>2</sub> was the lowest quartile of mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> of less than 35 mmHg). The second (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> of 35–37 mmHg), third (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> 37–40 mmHg), and fourth (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> ≥40 mmHg) quartiles of mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> of less than 35 mmHg) as the reference category.

For the time effects of  $EtCO_2$ , compared with short-term exposure (first quartile of exposure time to  $EtCO_2$  of less than 35 mmHg, 0–11 min), long-term exposure to  $EtCO_2$  levels of less than 35 mmHg (fourth quartile of exposure time to  $EtCO_2$  of less than 35 mmHg, 67–613 min) was not substantially associated with increased incidence of PONV (crude risk ratio, 1.09; 95% CI 0.91–1.30; p = 0.323) (adjusted risk ratio, 1.03; 95% CI 0.87–1.22; p = 0.700).

| Characteristics                             | All patients (n=739) | Low $EtCO_2$ (n = 120) | Normal EtCO <sub>2</sub> (n=619) |  |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Age (years)                                 | 45 (36–56)           | 47 (34–58)             | 44 (36–55)                       |  |
| ASA-PS                                      |                      |                        |                                  |  |
| I   | 402 (54.55%)         | 60 (50.42%)            | 342 (55.34%)                     |  |
| II  | 322 (43.69%)         | 58 (48.74%)            | 264 (42.72%)                     |  |
| III   | 13 (1.76%)           | 1 (0.84%)              | 12 (1.94%)                       |  |
| BMI   | 21.28 (19.35-23.62)  | 21.73 (19.38-24.45)    | 21.16 (19.35-23.52)              |  |
| Malignant                                   | 205 (27.74%)         | 25 (20.83%)            | 180 (29.08%)                     |  |
| Never smoker                                | 567 (76.73%)         | 87 (72.50%)            | 480 (77.54)                      |  |
| Emergency surgery                           | 42 (5.70%)           | 6 (5.04%)              | 36 (5.83%)                       |  |
| Duration of surgery (min)                   | 186 (125–270)        | 156 (110-233)          | 195 (129–276)                    |  |
| Blood loss (ml)                             | 10 (0–100)           | 0 (0–75)               | 17 (0–100)                       |  |
| Transfusion volume (ml)                     | 0 (0)                | 0 (0)                  | 0 (0)                            |  |
| Infusion volume (ml)                        | 1400 (1000-2040)     | 1265 (920–1920)        | 1450 (1000-2060)                 |  |
| TIVA  | 135 (18.27%)         | 25 (20.83%)            | 110 (17.77%)                     |  |
| Mean MAP (mmHg)                             | 73 (68–80)           | 73 (68–81)             | 73 (68–80)                       |  |
| Intraoperative antiemetics use              | 284 (38.43%)         | 37 (30.83%)            | 247 (39.90%)                     |  |
| Addition of droperidol in IVPCA             | 321 (43.44%)         | 38 (31.67%)            | 283 (45.72%)                     |  |
| Total intraoperative fentanyl dose (µg)     | 200 (150-250)        | 200 (100-250)          | 200 (150-250)                    |  |
| Postoperative fentanyl dose in IVPCA (µg/h) | 20 (0-25)            | 20 (0-25)              | 20 (0-25)                        |  |
| Mean EtCO <sub>2</sub>                      | 37 (35–40)           | 33 (32-34)             | 38 (36-40)                       |  |
| Minimum EtCO <sub>2</sub>                   | 31 (29–33)           | 28 (26-30)             | 32 (30-34)                       |  |
| Maximum EtCO <sub>2</sub>                   | 42 (40-46)           | 37 (36–39)             | 43 (41-47)                       |  |

**Table 1.** Patient characteristics (n = 739). Values are given as median (interquartile range) or count (%).ASAPS American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status, BMI body mass index, TIVA total intravenousanesthesia, MAP mean arterial pressure, IVPCA intravenous patient-controlled analgesia,  $EtCO_2$  end-tidalcarbon dioxide.

|   | N   | POD2-PONV    | Crude risk ratio (95% CI) | P-value | Adjusted risk ratio (95% CI) | P-value |  |  |  |
|---|-----|--------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Mean EtCO <sub>2</sub>                                |     |              |                           |         |                              |         |  |  |  |
| Normal EtCO <sub>2</sub>                              |     | 363 (58.64%) | 1                         | -       | 1                            | -       |  |  |  |
| Low EtCO <sub>2</sub> 12                              |     | 67 (55.83%)  | 0.95 (0.80-1.13)          | 0.577   | 0.96 (0.80-1.14)             | 0.658   |  |  |  |
| Mean EtCO <sub>2</sub>                                |     |              |                           |         |                              |         |  |  |  |
| <35 mmHg  | 120 | 67 (55.83%)  | 1.01 (0.82–1.24)          | 0.906   | 1.04 (0.85–1.28)             | 0.650   |  |  |  |
| 35–37 mmHg  |     | 101 (59.06%) | 1.07 (0.89–1.27)          | 0.451   | 1.09 (0.92–1.30)             | 0.284   |  |  |  |
| 37-40 mmHg  | 254 | 155 (61.02%) | 1.10 (0.94–1.29)          | 0.217   | 1.15 (0.98–1.34)             | 0.079   |  |  |  |
| ≥40 mmHg  | 194 | 107 (55.15%) | 1                         | -       | 1                            | -       |  |  |  |
| Minutes below EtCO <sub>2</sub> 35 mmH                | Ig  |              | ·                         |         |                              |         |  |  |  |
| Quartile value 1 (0–11 min)                           | 185 | 102 (55.14%) | 1                         | -       | 1                            | -       |  |  |  |
| Quartile value 2 (12-25 min)                          |     | 106 (56.68%) | 1.02 (0.85-1.23)          | 0.764   | 1.04 (0.87–1.24)             | 0.653   |  |  |  |
| Quartile value 3 (26–66 min)                          | 181 | 110 (60.77%) | 1.10 (0.92–1.31)          | 0.276   | 1.10 (0.93–1.30)             | 0.222   |  |  |  |
| Quartile value 4 (67–613 min)                         | 186 | 112 (60.22%) | 1.09 (0.91–1.30)          | 0.323   | 1.03 (0.87–1.22)             | 0.700   |  |  |  |
| Area under the threshold of EtCO <sub>2</sub> 35 mmHg |     |              |                           |         |                              |         |  |  |  |
| Quartile value 1 (0–7)                                |     | 98 (53.55%)  | 1                         | -       | 1                            | -       |  |  |  |
| Quartile value 2 (8–36) 18                            |     | 104 (57.14%) | 1.03 (0.86–1.23)          | 0.744   | 1.01 (0.85–1.21)             | 0.825   |  |  |  |
| Quartile value 3 (37–107) 18                          |     | 113 (60.75%) | 1.08 (0.91–1.29)          | 0.358   | 1.10 (0.93–1.30)             | 0.232   |  |  |  |
| Quartile value 4 (108–2213)                           | 188 | 115 (61.17%) | 1.08 (0.91-1.29)          | 0.346   | 1.03 (0.87–1.23)             | 0.654   |  |  |  |

**Table 2.** Multivariable analysis of the relationship between EtCO<sub>2</sub> and POD2-PONV. *EtCO*<sub>2</sub> end-tidal carbon dioxide, *POD 2* postoperative day 2, *PONV* postoperative nausea and vomiting, *CI* confidence interval.

Finally, for the cumulative effects of  $EtCO_2$ , the fourth quartile of the area under the  $EtCO_2$  threshold of 35 mmHg (108–2213) was not substantially associated with increased incidence of PONV compared with the first quartile (0–7) (crude risk ratio, 1.08; 95% CI 0.91–1.29; p = 0.346) (adjusted risk ratio, 1.03; 95% CI 0.87–1.23; p = 0.654).

|                              | Number of events (%) | Crude risk ratio (95% CI) | P-value | Adjusted risk ratio (95% CI) | P-value |  |  |
|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| POD2: postoperative nausea   |                      |                           |         |                              |         |  |  |
| Normal EtCO <sub>2</sub>     | 346/619 (55.90%)     | 1                         | -       | 1                            | -       |  |  |
| Low EtCO <sub>2</sub>        | 66/120 (55.00%)      | 0.98 (0.82–1.17)          | 0.857   | 0.99 (0.82–1.18)             | 0.916   |  |  |
| POD2: postoperative vomiting |                      |                           |         |                              |         |  |  |
| Normal EtCO <sub>2</sub>     | 184/619 (29.73%)     | 1                         | -       | 1                            | -       |  |  |
| Low EtCO <sub>2</sub>        | 37/120 (30.83%)      | 1.03 (0.77-1.39)          | 0.807   | 1.17 (0.88–1.55)             | 0.264   |  |  |
| POD 3-7: PONV                |                      |                           |         |                              |         |  |  |
| Normal EtCO <sub>2</sub>     | 383/619 (61.87%)     | 1                         | -       | 1                            | -       |  |  |
| Low EtCO <sub>2</sub>        | 70/120 (58.33%)      | 0.94 (0.80-1.11)          | 0.480   | 0.95 (0.81-1.12)             | 0.583   |  |  |

**Table 3.** Multivariable analysis of the relationship between EtCO<sub>2</sub> and secondary outcomes. *EtCO*<sub>2</sub> end-tidal carbon dioxide, *POD 2* postoperative day 2, *POD 3–7* postoperative days 3 to 7, *PONV* postoperative nausea and vomiting, *CI* confidence interval.

.....

|                          | Median (IQR) | P value | Crude difference in PLOS (95%<br>CI) | P-value | Adjusted difference in PLOS<br>(95% CI) | P-value |  |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|---|---------|--|
| Length of stay(day)      |              |         |                                      |         |   |         |  |
| Normal EtCO <sub>2</sub> | 6 (5-8)      | 0.782   | 1                                    | -       | 1                                       | -       |  |
| Low EtCO <sub>2</sub>    | 6 (5-7)      |         | -0.15 (-1.29 to 0.97)                | 0.783   | 0.13 (-1.00 to 1.28)                    | 0.816   |  |

**Table 4.** Multivariable analysis of the relationship between  $EtCO_2$  and PLOS.  $EtCO_2$  end-tidal carbon dioxide, *PLOS* postoperative length of stay, *IQR* interquartile range, *CI* confidence interval.

Association between low  $EtCO_2$  and nausea and vomiting 2 days postoperatively and PONV 3–7 day postoperatively. The adjusted risk ratio for the low  $EtCO_2$  group (mean  $EtCO_2$  of less than 35 mmHg) did not indicate an association between low  $EtCO_2$  and nausea and vomiting two days postoperatively or PONV 3–7 days postoperatively (Table 3), with normal  $EtCO_2$  being the reference category.

**Association between low EtCO**<sub>2</sub> and **PLOS**. The median PLOS was 6 days (IQR, 5–8 days) (Table 4). The median PLOS in patients with low EtCO<sub>2</sub> was not different from that in patients with normal EtCO<sub>2</sub> (6 days [IQR, 5–8 days] vs. 6 days (IQR, 5–7 days); p=0.782). Linear regression analysis showed that low EtCO<sub>2</sub> was not likely to be associated with PLOS (crude adjusted difference in PLOS, -0.15; 95% CI -1.29 to 0.97; p=0.783) (adjusted difference in PLOS, -0.13; 95% CI -1.00 to 1.28; p=0.816).

**Subgroup analysis.** Subgroup analyses included age ( $\geq 50/<50$  years), malignancy, smoking history, duration of surgery ( $\geq 4$  h/<4 h), TIVA, the use of intraoperative prophylactic antiemetics, postoperative fentanyl dose for IVPCA ( $\geq 20 \mu g/h/<20 \mu g/h$ ) and addition of droperidol in IVPCA. There was no interaction between these variables and PONV (Table 5).

#### Discussion

In this retrospective cohort study, mean of intraoperative  $EtCO_2$  was not substantially associated with increased incidence of PONV and prolonged PLOS in patients undergoing gynecologic laparoscopic surgery. Furthermore, we examined the effects of the duration and severity of low  $EtCO_2$  exposure using the time and cumulative effects of  $EtCO_2$  but found no clear association.

Two small studies have studied whether there is an association between low  $EtCO_2$  and  $PONV^{17,18}$ , but the results have been inconsistent. A randomized controlled trial (RCT) involving 75 patients who underwent percutaneous nephrolithotripsy reported that the hypercapnia management group had less  $PONV^{17}$ . However, a prospective observational study involving 90 pediatric patients who underwent inguinal surgery has reported that elevated levels of  $EtCO_2$  were an independent predictor of  $PONV^{18}$ . As the aforementioned studies have different types of surgery and patient backgrounds, their results might not be directly applicable to patients undergoing gynecologic laparoscopic surgery.

Furthermore, three studies on patients who had undergone gynecologic surgery have shown inconsistent results. An RCT involving 387 patients who underwent gynecologic laparoscopic surgery reported mild hyper-capnia management did not reduce PONV<sup>19</sup>. That study did not evaluate the effects of low EtCO<sub>2</sub> (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> level of less than 35 mmHg). Alternatively, a retrospective cohort study involving 146 patients undergoing open gynecologic surgery has reported that the minimum EtCO<sub>2</sub> level of  $\leq$  31 mmHg lasting longer than 10 min was associated with an increased incidence of PONV<sup>16</sup>. Still, that study only evaluated the effects of extremely low EtCO2 levels (mean EtCO2 of  $\leq$  31 mmHg). It did not evaluate the dose and time effects of low EtCO2 below the commonly defined EtCO<sub>2</sub> level of 35 mmHg. Furthermore, an RCT involving 60 patients undergoing gynecologic laparoscopic surgery reported that low EtCO<sub>2</sub> management reduced the incidence of nausea, PONV score, and the use of rescue antiemetics<sup>25</sup>; these results differed from the two aforementioned studies. Management to keep

|            | N      | POD2-PONV    | Crude risk ratio (95% CI) of low EtCO <sub>2</sub> | P-value | P for interaction |
|------------|--------|--------------|--|---------|-------------------|
| Overall    | 739    | 430 (58.19%) | 0.95 (0.80–1.13)                                   | 0.577   |                   |
| Age (year) | 0.837  |              |  |         |                   |
| < 50       | 454    | 246 (54.19%) | 0.96 (0.76–1.20)                                   | 0.725   |                   |
| ≥50        | 285    | 184 (64.56%) | 0.91 (0.70–1.18)                                   | 0.486   |                   |
| Malignan   | 0.594  |              |  |         |                   |
| Yes        | 205    | 135 (65.85%) | 0.90 (0.64–1.26)                                   | 0.540   |                   |
| No         | 534    | 295 (55.24%) | 0.98 (0.80–1.20)                                   | 0.913   |                   |
| Smoking l  | istory |              | ·  |         | 0.640             |
| Ever       | 172    | 92 (53.49%)  | 1.02 (0.72–1.45)                                   | 0.892   |                   |
| Never      | 567    | 338 (59.61%) | 0.93 (0.76–1.14)                                   | 0.511   |                   |
| Duration   | 0.491  |              |  |         |                   |
| ≥4         | 238    | 148 (62.18%) | 0.87 (0.61–1.23)                                   | 0.442   |                   |
| <4         | 501    | 282 (56.29%) | 0.99 (0.51–1.21)                                   | 0.959   |                   |
| TIVA       | 0.274  |              |  |         |                   |
| Yes        | 604    | 369 (61.09%) | 0.91 (0.76–1.10)                                   | 0.376   |                   |
| No         | 135    | 61 (45.19%)  | 1.19 (0.77–1.83)                                   | 0.428   |                   |
| Intraoper  | 0.990  |              |  |         |                   |
| Yes        | 284    | 168 (59.15%) | 0.95 (0.70–1.28)                                   | 0.757   |                   |
| No         | 455    | 262 (57.58%) | 0.95 (0.77–1.17)                                   | 0.666   |                   |
| Postopera  | 0.921  |              |  |         |                   |
| < 20       | 246    | 121 (49.19%) | 0.99 (0.73–1.35)                                   | < 20    |                   |
| ≥20        | 493    | 309 (62.68%) | 0.98 (0.80–1.21)                                   | ≥20     |                   |
| Addition   | 0.502  |              |  |         |                   |
| Yes        | 321    | 175 (54.52%) | 1.01 (0.75–1.37)                                   | Yes     | 321               |
| No         | 418    | 255 (61.00%) | 0.90 (0.73–1.11)                                   | No      | 418               |

**Table 5.** Subgroup analyses stratified by patient and operative variable. *POD 2* postoperative day 2, *PONV* postoperative nausea and vomiting, *CI* confidence interval, *TIVA* total intravenous anesthesia, *IVPCA* intravenous patient-controlled analgesia.

.

EtCO2 at a low level may avoid PONV by inhibiting cerebral vasodilation, preventing increased intracranial pressure caused by the pneumoperitoneum and Trendelenburg position, which would not affect the ischemiasensitive vestibular system. However, this study may have an internal validity problem in which it was not blinded. Furthermore, it had a generalizability problem because it excluded patients with severe systemic diseases, ASAPS-III patients, those with a history of PONV motion sickness, and smokers.

Considering that the results of previous studies are inconsistent, the evidence on the association between intraoperative low  $EtCO_2$  and PONV remains limited. Therefore, we conducted this study, which involved the largest cohort from real-world data, which provided a sufficient sample size, resulting in a statistical power of 80% to detect a risk ratio of 1.53. Furthermore, adjusting for important confounders, such as blood pressure, age, and intraoperative fentanyl use, and assessing the dose–effect of low  $EtCO_2$  (mean  $EtCO_2$  of less than 35 mmHg) and the effects of the duration and severity of low  $EtCO_2$  exposure, we could not demonstrate an association between low  $EtCO_2$  and PONV. Even extremely low  $EtCO_2$ , defined as  $EtCO_2$  of less than 31 mmHg sustained for more than 10 min<sup>16</sup>, failed to show an association with PONV (Supplemental Data Table S2).

This study has several strengths. First, it investigated the association between the effects of  $EtCO_2$  and PONV and PLOS, the dose effects of  $EtCO_2$  (mean level of less than 35 mmHg) and the effects of the duration (time effects, long-term exposure to  $EtCO_2$  of less than 35 mmHg) and severity (cumulative effects, area under the threshold of  $EtCO_2$  of less than 35 mmHg). Among the three previous studies that examined the association between intraoperative low  $EtCO_2$  and PONV, which only evaluated the dose effects <sup>17–19</sup>, only one study evaluated the association between the time effects of low  $EtCO_2$  and PONV<sup>16</sup>. Second, this study adjusted for potential confounding factors that were not adjusted in previous studies, such as blood pressure, age, and intraoperative fentanyl use, using a modified Poisson regression model. Third, this was a large study with sufficient sample size. All previous studies had small sample sizes, so the number of confounding factors that can be adjusted is limited.

This study has several limitations. First, we extracted information on the presence of nausea and vomiting from the records of assessments performed by the ward nurses at least twice a day, so PONV occurring at other times may have been overlooked. However, we thought that moderate to severe PONV reported voluntarily by patients or required treatment was fully measured. Second, we did not consider the  $PaCO_2$ -EtCO<sub>2</sub> gap to calibrate EtCO<sub>2</sub> levels using  $PaCO_2$  levels. Thus, we underestimated the effects of low EtCO<sub>2</sub> and overestimated the effects of hypercapnia. However, since  $PaCO_2$  is usually 2–5 mmHg higher than EtCO<sub>2</sub> in healthy populations, this was considered a limited effect. Last, there may be unknown and unmeasured confounding factors, such as potential reasons for anesthesiologists to target a specific EtCO<sub>2</sub> level, missing data on intraoperative ventilation parameters, and PONV risk factors among patient factors is, history of PONV and motion sickness.

#### Conclusion

Intraoperative low EtCO<sub>2</sub> (mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> level less than 35 mmHg) was not substantially associated with either increased incidence of PONV or prolonged PLOS in patients undergoing gynecologic laparoscopic surgery.

Received: 31 January 2022; Accepted: 6 April 2022 Published online: 27 April 2022

#### References

- Pierre, S. & Whelan, R. Nausea and vomiting after surgery. Continuing Educ. Anaesth. Crit. Care Pain 13, 28–32. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bjaceaccp/mks046 (2012).
- Gan, T. J. et al. Fourth consensus guidelines for the management of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anesth. Analg. 131, 411–448. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.00000000004833 (2020).
- Apfel, C. C., Läärä, E., Koivuranta, M., Greim, C. A. & Roewer, N. A simplified risk score for predicting postoperative nausea and vomiting: Conclusions from cross-validations between two centers. *Anesthesiology* 91, 693–700. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000 542-199909000-00022 (1999).
- 4. Apfel, C. C. *et al.* Evidence-based analysis of risk factors for postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Br. J. Anaesth.* **109**, 742–753. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aes276 (2012).
- Macario, A., Weinger, M., Truong, P. & Lee, M. Which clinical anesthesia outcomes are both common and important to avoid? The perspective of a panel of expert anesthesiologists. *Anesth. Analg.* 88, 1085–1091. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000539-19990 5000-00023 (1999).
- Watcha, M. F. & White, P. F. Postoperative nausea and vomiting. Its etiology, treatment, and prevention. Anesthesiology 77, 162–184. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-199207000-00023 (1992).
- Hannon, J. D. et al. Antinausea protocol reduces hospital length of stay for laparoscopic Nissen Fundoplication. J. Cardiothorac. Vasc. Anesth. 34, 1853–1857. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jvca.2020.02.032 (2020).
- Burnum, J. F., Hickam, J. B. & Mc, I. H. The effect of hypocapnia on arterial blood pressure. *Circulation* 9, 89–95. https://doi.org/ 10.1161/01.cir.9.1.89 (1954).
- Pinsky, M. R. Cardiovascular effects of ventilatory support and withdrawal. Anesth. Analg. 79, 567–576. https://doi.org/10.1213/ 00000539-199409000-00029 (1994).
- Guzman, J. A. & Kruse, J. A. Splanchnic hemodynamics and gut mucosal-arterial PCO(2) gradient during systemic hypocapnia. J. Appl. Physiol. 1985(87), 1102–1106. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1999.87.3.1102 (1999).
- 11. Burykh, E. A. Interaction of hypocapnia, hypoxia, brain blood flow, and brain electrical activity in voluntary hyperventilation in humans. *Neurosci. Behav. Physiol.* **38**, 647–659. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11055-008-9029-y (2008).
- Takahashi, C. E. et al. Association of intraprocedural blood pressure and end tidal carbon dioxide with outcome after acute stroke intervention. Neurocrit. Care 20, 202–208. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12028-013-9921-3 (2014).
- 13. Yuzo Teramoto, T. U., Nagai, N., Takada, Y., Ikeda, K. & Takada, A. Plasma levels of 5-HT and 5-HIAA increased after intestinal ischemia/reperfusion in rats. *Jpn. J. Physiol.* 48, 9 (1998).
- Marston, A. Responses of the splanchnic circulation to ischaemia. J. Clin. Pathol. Suppl. (R. Coll. Pathol.) 11, 59–67. https://doi. org/10.1136/jcp.s3-11.1.59 (1977).
- Sarna, G. S., Obrenovitch, T. P., Matsumoto, T., Symon, L. & Curzon, G. Effect of transient cerebral ischaemia and cardiac arrest on brain extracellular dopamine and serotonin as determined by in vivo dialysis in the rat. *J. Neurochem.* 55, 937–940. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.1990.tb04581.x (1990).
- Fujimoto, D., Egi, M., Makino, S. & Mizobuchi, S. The association of intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide with the risk of postoperative nausea and vomiting. J. Anesth. 34, 195–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00540-019-02715-4 (2020).
- Saghaei, M., Matin, G. & Golparvar, M. Effects of intra-operative end-tidal carbon dioxide levels on the rates of post-operative complications in adults undergoing general anesthesia for percutaneous nephrolithotomy: A clinical trial. Adv. Biomed. Res. 3, 84. https://doi.org/10.4103/2277-9175.127997 (2014).
- Altay, N., Yalçın, S., Aydoğan, H., Küçük, A. & Yüce, H. H. Effects of end tidal CO2 and venous CO2 levels on postoperative nausea and vomiting in paediatric patients. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 19, 4254–4260 (2015).
- Son, J. S., Oh, J. Y. & Ko, S. Effects of hypercapnia on postoperative nausea and vomiting after laparoscopic surgery: A double-blind randomized controlled study. Surg. Endosc. 31, 4576–4582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-017-5519-8 (2017).
- Mizota, T. *et al.* Invasive respiratory or vasopressor support and/or death as a proposed composite outcome measure for perioperative care research. *Anesth. Analg.* 129, 679–685. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.000000000003921 (2019).
- Mizota, T. *et al.* Transient acute kidney injury after major abdominal surgery increases chronic kidney disease risk and 1-year mortality. *J. Crit. Care* 50, 17–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2018.11.008 (2019).
- 22. Way, M. & Hill, G. E. Intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide concentrations: What is the target?. *Anesthesiol. Res. Pract.* 2011, 271539. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/271539 (2011).
- Zou, G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. Am. J. Epidemiol. 159, 702–706. https:// doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwh090 (2004).
- Akkermans, A. et al. An observational study of end-tidal carbon dioxide trends in general anesthesia. Can. J. Anaesth. 66, 149–160. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-018-1249-1 (2019).
- Besir, A. & Tugcugil, E. Comparison of different end-tidal carbon dioxide levels in preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting in gynaecological patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery. J. Obstetr. Gynaecol. https://doi.org/10.1080/01443615.2020.1789961 (2020).

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Mr. Yoshihiro Kinoshita, Ms. Tomoko Hosoya, and Mr. Yohei Taniguchi (Medical Information Systems Section, Management Division, Kyoto University Hospital, Kyoto, Japan) for their assistance in data collection for this study.

#### Author contributions

Conceptualization: L.D. Methodology: L.D., T.M., Y.Y., C.T., H.Y., M.H., A.H. Formal analysis and investigation: L.D., C.T., H.Y., M.H., A.H., Y.Y., T.M. Writing—original draft preparation: L.D. Writing—review and editing: L.D., C.T., H.Y., M.H., A.H., Y.Y., T.M. Editing and approval of the manuscript: L.D., C.T., H.Y., M.H., A.H., Y.Y., T.M. Funding acquisition: T.M. Resources: L.D., T.M. Supervision: T.M.

### Funding

This work was supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI program (Grant number: 20K09242, principal investigator: Toshiyuki Mizota) and the 2019 Kyoto University ISHIZUE Research Development Program (principal investigator: Toshiyuki Mizota).

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-022-10727-6.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022