

TITLE:

The Skew Network Difference Equation for the Orthotropic Parallelogram Plate and Its Application to the Experimental Study on the Model Skew Composite Grillage Girder Bridge

AUTHOR(S):

NARUOKA, Masao; ŌMURA, Hiroshi

CITATION:

NARUOKA, Masao ...[et al]. The Skew Network Difference Equation for the Orthotropic Parallelogram Plate and Its Application to the Experimental Study on the Model Skew Composite Grillage Girder Bridge. Memoirs of the Faculty of Engineering, Kyoto University 1958, 20(3): 139-148

ISSUE DATE: 1958-08-15

URL: http://hdl.handle.net/2433/280412





The Skew Network Difference Equation for the Orthotropic Parallelogram Plate and Its Application to the Experimental Study on the Model Skew Composite Grillage Girder Bridge

By

Masao NARUOKA and Hiroshi OMURA

Department of Civil Engineering

(Received April 30, 1958)

Abstract

The skew network difference equation for the differential equation of the defiction surface of the orthotropic parallelogram plate

$$B_{x}\frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial x^{4}}+2H\frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}+B_{y}\frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial y^{4}}=p$$

were proposed for the special case $H/(B_x \cdot B_y)^{1/2} = 1$ and for the special boundary condition that the plate is supported simply at the opposite two skew sides and supported by flexible edge girders at the other two sides. These difference equations were applied to the theoretical analysis of the experimental study on the model skew composite grillage girder bridge, and it was found that this numerical analysis was very effective. To calculate the influence coefficients of the deflection and bending moment of the girders, the electronic digital automatic computer UNIVAC-120 was used.

1. Introduction

The number of researches on the skew girder bridge seems to be less than that on the skew isotropic plate. That is, the experimental study on the skew I-beam bridge with five main girders made by N. M. Newmark, C. P. Siess and W. H. Peckham can be pointed out as the first study in this field¹). In this study, the values calculated by the right girder bridge theory in which the load distributing action of the slab is taken into consideration were used as the theoretical values which correspond to the measured values, and the theoretical values calculated taking the skew angle into consideration are not used.

Next, there are two theoretical researches on the skew girder bridge. The first

is that by N. M. Newmark, C. P. Siess and T. Y. Chen²⁾ and the second that by the first of the authors and H. Yonezawa³⁾. The former research is based on the theory of the isotropic parallelogram plate supported by flexible girders and gives the influence coefficients of the bending moment and deflection which are calculated by the difference equation method, using the skew network. The latter research is based on the theory of the orthotropic parallelogram plate and the calculation is made by the difference equation method using the rectangular network, and also the applicability of this calculation method to the skew girder bridge is made clear by the experimental study of the cast iron skew model girder bridge, but this research does not give the influence coefficients. So far as the authors know, there are the above three researches based on the plate theory on the skew girder bridge.

The authors have developed the skew network difference equation for the orthotropic parallelogram plate which is simply supported at the opposite two sides and is free or supported by flexible girders at the other two sides, and as the continuation of the previous research on the model right composite grillage girder bridge⁴), an experimental study of the skew composite grillage girder bridge was planned in order to check the applicability of the authors' method to the analysis of the skew girder bridge.

2. Outline of the Model Composite Grillage Girder Bridge

The general plan and section of the model skew composite grillage girder bridge are shown in Fig. 1 and the details of the model bridge are as follows:



Fig. 1. General plan and section of model skew bridge

1) skew angle: 60 degree, 2) number of main girder: 5, 3) span: 312 cm, 4) spacing of main girder: 30 cm, 5) spacing of cross girder: 104 cm, 6) mortar slab is 4 cm thick and is connected by shear connectors recommended by G. Wastlund, 7) section: main girder, 2-flange plates 60×8 , 1-web plate 120×6 ; cross girder, 2-flange plates 50×8 , 1-web plate 80×6 .

The moments of inertia of the main and cross girders are all the same and are 10374 cm⁴ and 4820 cm⁴ respectively, when converted to the moment of inertia of mortar

The Skew Network Difference Equation for the Orthotropic Parallelogram Plate and Its 141 Application to the Experimental Study on the Model Skew Composite Grillage Girder Bridge

section. The effective width of the flange of the main and cross girders are all assumed as 30cm (spacing of main girder) and the ratio of the modulus of elasticity of steel to that of mortar is assumed as $10 (E_s=2,100,000 \text{ kg/cm}^2 \text{ and } E_c=210,000 \text{ kg/cm}^2)$.

The model is the same as the previously tested model girder bridges⁴) except the span and number of the cross girders. Because the cross girder was expected to be arranged to pass through the end of the edge girders, the span inevitably became 312 cm and the arrangement of the cross girders became as shown in Fig. 1.

3. Loading and Measurement

The load was applied to the fifteen points shown in Fig. 2, and these points correspond to the quarter- and mid-span points of the girders. The load consists of two or three steel ingots which are about 1.01 or 1.02 tons. In order to apply this load, the 300×520 mm steel plate and many sheets of newspaper were used.



Fig. 2. Loading point

The strain of the girder was picked up by electric wire resistance strain gages and measured by the strain indicators made by Baldwin and Japanese makers. The deflection was measured by dial gages.

I. Skew Network Difference Equation for the Orthotropic Parallelogram Plate and Its Application to the Model Skew Composite Grillage Girder Bridge.

The effectiveness of the method of analysis in which the right girder bridge is assumed as the orthotropic rectangular plate has been made clear by many experimental researches and also the method of analysis of the skew girder bridge in which the orthotropic parallelogram plate was solved by difference equation of rectangular network was acertained by the first of the authors as described above. From this point of view, the authors have further developed the skew network difference equation for the orthotropic parallelogram plate. The detail of the induction is omitted and only the result is described as follows:

- a) Notation (see Fig. 3)
 - l =span of bridge or of orthotropic parallelogram plate, center to center of supports
 - B_x = flexural rigidity of the orthotropic plate in x direction
 - B_y = flexural rigidity of the orthotropic plate in y direction
- ν_x , ν_y = Poisson's ratio for the materials in the plate in x and y directions, taken as zero in the numerical data given here
 - $E_b I_b$ = product of modulus of elasticity of edge girder material and the moment of inertia of the girder cross section

P = concentrated load

- p = load per unit of area uniformly distributed over the plate
- q = load per unit of length uniformly distributed along a girder
- w = deflection of plate, positive downward; with subscript indicating the deflection at a particular point denoted by the subscript
- x, y = rectangular coordinates
- u, v = skew coordinates

 λ_x , λ_y = distance between points or lines of the network as defined in Fig. 3

 $\varphi = skew angle$

 $\alpha = (B_{\nu}/B_x)^{1/2},$ an abbreviation $K = \lambda_v / \lambda_x$, an abbreviation $A = K^2(1 + \alpha \tan^2 \varphi)$, an abbreviation $B = \alpha K \tan \varphi$, an abbreviation $C = K^2(1 - \nu_x),$ an abbreviation D = B(A + C),an abbreviation $J = K^4 (E_b I_b / \lambda_y B_x)$, a dimensionless number proportional to relative stiffness

b) Skew network difference equation

The skew network difference equation for the fundamental differential equation of the orthotropic parallelogram plate

$$B_{x}\frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial x^{4}}+2H\frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}+B_{y}\frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial y^{4}}=p$$

are as follows for the special assumption of $H/(B_x \cdot B_y)^{1/2} = 1$ and the boundary condition that the plate is simply supported at the opposite skew sides and is supported by flexible edge girders at the other two sides.

1)	general interior point:	shown	in	eq.	(1)
2)	interior point near left simple support:	shown	in	eq.	(2)
3)	interior point near right simple support:	shown	in	eq.	(3)
4)	interior point near edge girder:	shown	in	eq.	(4)
-					<i>(</i>)

5) interior point near sharp corner: shown in eq. (5)

142

The Skew Network Difference Equation for the Orthotropic Parallelogram Plate and Its 143 Application to the Experimental Study on the Model Skew Composite Grillage Girder Bridge















6)	interior point near blunt corner:	shown	in eq.	(6)
7)	general edge point:	shown	in eq.	(7)
8)	edge point near sharp corner:	shown	in eq.	(8)

- 9) edge point near blunt corner:
- (\mathbf{o})
- shown in eq. (9)

The Skew Network Difference Equation for the Orthotropic Parallelogram Plate and Its 145 Application to the Experimental Study on the Model Skew Composite Grillage Girder Bridge

In these equations, the quantity \overline{p}_0 is the equivalent combined effects in terms of load per unit of area of all the loads that act at the point considered (O). Thus, if at point O, there act a uniformly distributed load of p_o per unit of area, a line load of q per unit of length in x direction, and a concentrated load of P_o , \overline{p}_o is given by

$$\overline{p}_o = p_o + \frac{q_o}{\lambda_y} + \frac{P_o}{\lambda_x \lambda_y} = p_o + \frac{q_o}{\lambda_y} + \frac{KP_o}{\lambda_y^2}.$$

If point O lies on an exterior edge of the plate, \overline{p}_o is given by

$$\overline{p}_o = p_o + \frac{q_o}{\lambda_y/2} + \frac{P_o}{\lambda_x \lambda_y/2} = p_o + \frac{2q_o}{\lambda_y} + \frac{2KP_o}{\lambda_y^2} \,.$$

If we assume $B_x = B_y$, that is, $\alpha = 1$, the above nine equations become equal to those given by N. M. Newmark, C. P. Siess and T. Y. Chen.

c) Theoretical calculation for the model composite grillage girder bridge

We assume the model girder bridge as the orthotropic parallelogram plate which has $B_x=10374 E_c/30=345.8 E_c$, $B_y=4820 E_c/104=46.346154 E_c$, $H=(B_x \cdot B_y)^{1/2}$, $\varphi=60^{\circ}$ and also is simply supported at the opposite skew sides and supported by flexible edge girders $(E_b I_b=10374 E_c)$ at the other two sides. It was accrtained by the authors that the assumption $H/\sqrt{B_x B_y}=1$ was effective for such a model composite grillage girder bridge⁴, and therefore the same assumption was used.

Let us divide the orthotropic parallelogram plate and denote each point as shown in Fig. 3.



The values of the above notations necessary to obtain the difference equations are as follows:

$\varphi = 60^{\circ} (\tan \varphi = 1.732051),$	$\alpha = (46.346\ 154/345.8)^{1/2} = 0.366\ 096,$
K =15/39=0.384 615,	$A = 0.310 \ 398,$
B = 0.243883,	C = 0.147 929,
D = 0.111778,	$J = (0.384615)^4(30/15) = 0.043766.$

The unknown terms are the deflections of $9 \times 7 = 63$ points. In this calculation, if we may consider the symmetrical and skew-symmetrical loading states, it is possible to reduce the number of unknown terms which are 32 for the symmetrical loading and 31 for the skew-symmetrical loading. The eq. $(1) \sim (9)$ being applied to each case, we obtain the 32×32 elements and 31×31 elements of the stiffness matrix for these two cases respectively.

We calculated the inverse matrix (flexibility matrix) of the above stiffness matrix by electronic computer UNIVAC-120 which belongs to the Harima Shipbuilding and Engineering Work Co. Ltd., AIOI, Japan.

To describe the elements of these matrix requires so much space that it will be omitted.

From the inverse matrix thus obtained, we can obtain the influence coefficients for the deflections of the above 32 points, and therefore can calculate that for the bending moment of each point from which the influence coefficients for the bending moment of the girder can be obtained by multiplying $2\lambda_y = 30$ cm. Table 1 shows the influence coefficients of the deflection in 2l/8, 4l/8, 6l/8 sections of girders a, b and in 2l/8, 3l/8, 4l/8 sections of girder c. Also Table 2 gives the influence coefficients of the bending moments in the above sections of each girder.

It must be remembered that these tables can only be applied to the case of the following conditions :

a) $B_y/B_x = 0.134\,026$, b) width/span = $120/312 = 0.384\,615$, c) $H/(B_x \cdot B_y)^{1/2} = 1$ d) $E_b I_b = 30 \cdot B_x$, e) $\varphi = 60^\circ$.

II. Result of Measurement and Its Comparison with the Theoretical Values

The stress of the lower flange was measured at l/4, 2l/4 and 3l/4 sections of each girder and the deflection was measured at the mid-span section of each girder. The result of the measurement is shown in Table 3 and 4 with the theoretical values. These theoretical values were calculated by the influence coefficients given in Table 1 and 2.

III. Consideration of the Result

It is generally recognized from Table 3 and 4 that the experimental values agree considerably well with the theoretical values calculated by the authors' method. Thus, the theory of the orthotropic parallelogram plate can be applied to the analysis of the skew grillage girder bridge with considerable accuracy.

Also, it is generally known by the experimental stress analysis of the existing highway skew girder bridges that the measured values of the stress and deflection of the skew girder can not be interpreted by any analytical method for the right

The Skew Network Difference Equation for the Orthotropic Paralletogram Plate and Its 147 Application to the Experimental Study on the Model Skew Composite Grillage Girder Bridge

State of			l/4	sectio	n			<i>l/</i> 2	3l/4 section				
loading		a	b	с	d	e	a	b	с	d	е	a	с
1	Measured Values Theoretical Values	204 323	115 181	70 93	31 43	21 15	112 131	57 60	36 18	4	1	21 22	- 31 - 21
2	Measured Values Theoretical Values	69 107	138 214	62 109	35 54	29 23	134 135	57 66	30 23	8	4	59 47	-21 -18
3	Measured Values Theoretical Values	21 29	45 62	92 138	52 84	41 47	61 80	95 81	45 16	2	1	55 57	- 9
6	Measured Values Theoretical Values	109 129	156 188	120 138	62 74	34 30	299 368	146 156	57 50	17 12	-1	89 99	25 33
7	Measured Values Theoretical Values	48 40	66 66	91 102	62 84	46 51	124 154	139 188	72 90	41 36	14 20	123 124	$^{-16}_{-17}$
8	Measured Values Theoretical Values	14 9	6	24 25	66 75	62 75	60 58	69 93	142 158	69 93	60 58	64 75	24 25
11	Measured Values Theoretical Values	26 42	68 79	75 82	57 57	39 29	225 279	143 124	60 62	40 23	39 10	232 280	21 15
12	Measured Values Theoretical Values	14 9	11 11	29 26	38 43	41 46	53 43	47 52	68 72	62 54	41 35	74 111	41 39

Table 3. Measured Values of Stress of the Skew Composite Grillage Girder Bridge and Its Comparison with the Theoretical Values $(unit: kg/cm^2/t)$

Table 4. The Measured Values of the Deflection of Each Girder and itsComparison with the Theoretical Values (unit: 0.01mm/t)

State of		l/2 section									
loading		а	Ъ	c	d	e					
1	Measured Values	64.8	35.1	16.6	6.8	2.0					
	Theoretical Values	75.0	36.7	15.8	6.2	2.1					
2	Measured Values	57.3	35.1	19.1	10.6	4.0					
	Theoertical Values	55.7	36.0	18.6	8.8	4.3					
3	Measured Values	31.4	30.6	26.0	16.1	10.2					
	Theoretical Values	32.4	30.6	23.9	15.6	10.6					
6	Measured Values	102.9	57.9	30.2	13.7	6.3					
	Theoretical Values	112.6	61.1	28.5	12.3	5.1					
7	Measured Values	63.9	47.7	32.7	21.7	13.0					
	Theoretical Values	61.1	52.7	34.3	19.7	12.3					
8	Measured Values	26.4	30.6	38.2	30.6	26.4					
	Theoretical Values	28.5	34.3	41.3	34.3	28.5					
11	Measured Values	55.5	44.1	28.2	13.2	6.8					
	Theoretical Values	57.0	46.4	25.5	12.7	6.6					
12	Measured Values	28.4	31.4	25.4	21.9	17.0					
	Theoretical Values	27.0	28.6	26.9	20.6	16.1					

girder bridge, inspite of the measured values for the right girder bridge being explainable by the application of the theory of the orthotropic rectangular plate, and that the skew angle becomes sharper, the difference between the experimental and theoretical values is larger and the measured values can not be explained. This fact teaches us that it is necessary to introduce the skew angle into the analysis of

Masao NARUOKA and Hiroshi OMURA

the skew girder bridge and the authors' method is an effective procedure for the analysis of the skew girder bridge.

4. Conclusion

The authors have developed the skew network difference equation for the orthotropic parallelogram plate and the influence coefficients of the deflection and bending moment of the plate were obtained by an electronic automatic computer for the special value of the plate and boundary condition and also, the experimental values for the model skew composite grillage girder bridge were compared with the theoretical values calculated by the above method. As a result, it was made clear that the authors' method can explain well the experimental values.

We shall plan to calculate the influence coefficients of the deflection and bending moment of the orthotropic parallelogram plate, and also to contribute to the structural analysis of the skew girder bridge. This is the first paper of the authors' research in this field.

Acknowlegment

The authors would like to thank Mr. H. Kitano, Head of Research Division, Harima Shipbuilding and Engineering Co. Ltd., for his assistance in the numerical calculation by the electronic computer.

References

- N. M. Newmark, C. P. Siess and W. H. Peckham : University of Illinois Bulletin, No. 375 (1948).
- 2) N. M. Newmark, C. P. Siess and T. Y. Chen: University of Illinois Bulletin, No. 439 (1957).
- 3) N. Naruoka und H. Yonezawa: Bauingenieur, 32 (1958), S. 391.
- 4) M. Naruoka und H. Yonezawa: Preliminary Publication of 5th International Congress for Bridge and Structural Engineering, Lisbon 1956, p. 391.

Table 1. Influence Coefficients for Deflection in Girders (unit: $10^{-5}Pl^2/B_x$ per 0.5P)

Table 2. Influence Coefficients for Bending Moment in Girders $(unit: 10^{-4}Pl \text{ per } 0.5P)$

	Transverse		ansverse Longitudinal Position of Load						Transverse	Longitudinal Position of Load							
	of Load	1/8	2/8	3/8	4/8	5/8	6/8	7/8		of Load	1/8	2/8	3/8	4/8	5/8	6/8	7/8
δ <i>a</i> ,1/4	a b b c c d d e e	$1530 \\ 1309 \\ 1027 \\ 763 \\ 544 \\ 377 \\ 255 \\ 165 \\ 88$	$2687 \\ 2093 \\ 1550 \\ 1105 \\ 764 \\ 515 \\ 339 \\ 212 \\ 116$	$\begin{array}{c} 3010\\ 2248\\ 1607\\ 1108\\ 744\\ 488\\ 312\\ 190\\ 106 \end{array}$	2799 2000 1370 909 589 375 233 139 79	2250 1514 980 620 387 238 144 85 51	$1515 \\928 \\558 \\335 \\202 \\121 \\72 \\42 \\28$	$722 \\ 376 \\ 208 \\ 121 \\ 71 \\ 42 \\ 25 \\ 15 \\ 12$	$M_{a,1/4}$	a b b c c d d e e	$230 \\ 210 \\ 144 \\ 87 \\ 47 \\ 24 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ -1$	$512 \\ 279 \\ 171 \\ 91 \\ 46 \\ 22 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ -3$	3251921055628110-2-6	$205 \\ 118 \\ 64 \\ 32 \\ 14 \\ 4 \\ -1 \\ -4 \\ -7$	$124 \\ 68 \\ 34 \\ 15 \\ 5 \\ -1 \\ -3 \\ -5 \\ -6$	$67 \\ 33 \\ 14 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ -4$	$28 \\ 10 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -2$
δ _{a,1/2}	a ab bc c cd d e e	$1472 \\1385 \\1225 \\1009 \\790 \\590 \\426 \\293 \\174$	$2799 \\ 2497 \\ 2076 \\ 1624 \\ 1209 \\ 884 \\ 602 \\ 401 \\ 245$	$3792 \\ 3153 \\ 2443 \\ 1796 \\ 1271 \\ 874 \\ 586 \\ 382 \\ 239$	$\begin{array}{c} 4198\\ 3175\\ 2280\\ 1581\\ 1063\\ 704\\ 460\\ 295\\ 192 \end{array}$	3656 2554 1713 1122 726 467 300 193 177	$2126 \\ 1624 \\ 1009 \\ 628 \\ 394 \\ 249 \\ 159 \\ 106 \\ 79$	$1256 \\ 676 \\ 389 \\ 234 \\ 145 \\ 92 \\ 60 \\ 43 \\ 36$	<i>M_a</i> ,1/2	a ab bc c cd d e e	$95 \\ 107 \\ 117 \\ 102 \\ 83 \\ 60 \\ 37 \\ 26 \\ 13$	$207 \\ 252 \\ 215 \\ 175 \\ 127 \\ 135 \\ 55 \\ 33 \\ 16$	$361 \\ 353 \\ 276 \\ 193 \\ 127 \\ 80 \\ 44 \\ 27 \\ 12$	583 384 244 156 91 54 31 23 20	$351 \\ 224 \\ 139 \\ 84 \\ 50 \\ 29 \\ 17 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7$	$217 \\ 115 \\ 68 \\ 44 \\ 23 \\ 13 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 1$	814123147-41111
δ _{a,31/4}	a b bc c c d d e e	780 756 704 626 531 431 337 254 174	$1514 \\ 1423 \\ 1274 \\ 1085 \\ 881 \\ 687 \\ 518 \\ 379 \\ 265$	$2140 \\ 1927 \\ 1641 \\ 1323 \\ 1020 \\ 760 \\ 552 \\ 395 \\ 281$	2426 2179 1732 1306 949 675 474 334 245	2688 2076 1503 1048 718 490 337 239 183	2330 1542 997 645 422 282 194 142 116	$1250 \\ 693 \\ 410 \\ 255 \\ 165 \\ 111 \\ 79 \\ 62 \\ 55$	<i>Ma</i> ,31/4	a b b c c d d e e	14 20 29 38 44 44 41 36 28	35 54 74 89 91 112 72 59 46	$74 \\108 \\135 \\140 \\129 \\109 \\86 \\68 \\52$	156 187 197 176 142 109 81 87 47	$254 \\ 276 \\ 228 \\ 169 \\ 121 \\ 85 \\ 60 \\ 44 \\ 36$	444 281 176 58 74 50 36 28 23	$ 183 \\ 104 \\ 63 \\ 42 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 15 \\ 12 \\ 11 $
δ _{b,1/4}	a b bc c cd d e e	818 815 806 719 577 434 313 218 137	$1550 \\ 1493 \\ 1395 \\ 1134 \\ 854 \\ 616 \\ 432 \\ 296 \\ 194$	2005 1798 1521 1169 850 599 414 282 192	2076 1720 1344 984 694 479 327 224 159	$1798 \\ 1371 \\ 998 \\ 697 \\ 477 \\ 323 \\ 220 \\ 153 \\ 115$	$1274 \\ 880 \\ 596 \\ 399 \\ 266 \\ 179 \\ 123 \\ 88 \\ 72$	$\begin{array}{c} 630\\ 378\\ 240\\ 156\\ 103\\ 70\\ 49\\ 38\\ 34 \end{array}$	<i>M</i> _b , <i>1</i> /4	a b bc c d d e e	$138 \\ 137 \\ 135 \\ 129 \\ 89 \\ 50 \\ 23 \\ 6 \\ -7$	$286 \\ 289 \\ 340 \\ 186 \\ 98 \\ 42 \\ 11 \\ - 6 \\ -17$	343 329 183 98 41 9 -9 -18 -25	$298 \\ 174 \\ 104 \\ 45 \\ 10 \\ 8 \\ -17 \\ -29 \\ -25$	$214 \\ 121 \\ 52 \\ 14 \\ - 6 \\ -15 \\ -18 \\ -20 \\ -22$	$126 \\ 57 \\ 17 \\ - 2 \\ - 38 \\ - 13 \\ - 15 \\ - 16 \\ - 16 \\ - 16$	$52 \\ 16 \\ 1 \\ -6 \\ -8 \\ -9 \\ -8 \\ -7 \\ -7 \\ -7 \\ -7 \\ -52 \\ -7 \\ -8 \\ -7 \\ -7 \\ -7 \\ -8 \\ -7 \\ -7$
δ _{b,1/2}	a b bc c cd d e e	700 701 705 695 652 576 483 389 302	$1370 \\ 1358 \\ 1344 \\ 1279 \\ 1141 \\ 957 \\ 769 \\ 604 \\ 474$	$1936 \\ 1880 \\ 1811 \\ 1632 \\ 1366 \\ 1086 \\ 840 \\ 648 \\ 515$	$\begin{array}{c} 2280\\ 2137\\ 1967\\ 1634\\ 1281\\ 974\\ 736\\ 566\\ 460 \end{array}$	2227 1944 1636 1279 964 717 539 420 354	1732 1373 1067 795 584 431 327 264 233	915 643 469 339 246 183 144 122 113	<i>M_b</i> , <i>1</i> /2	a ab bc c cd d e	44 46 54 63 63 55 41 26	95 97 105 123 129 112 86 65 37	$157 \\ 398 \\ 185 \\ 202 \\ 170 \\ 126 \\ 84 \\ 50 \\ 31$	248 548 299 223 148 92 57 29 21	$266 \\ 239 \\ 170 \\ 113 \\ 72 \\ 46 \\ 28 \\ 17 \\ 9$	197 138 82 50 31 20 12 9 6	94522617106432
$\delta_{b,3l/4}$	a ab bc c cd d e e	281 285 297 314 329 333 326 310 300	558 570 596 627 642 630 594 551 518	812 830 868 898 888 834 754 702 619	$ \begin{array}{r} 1009 \\ 1026 \\ 1067 \\ 1070 \\ 1005 \\ 892 \\ 769 \\ 668 \\ 602 \\ \end{array} $	$1095 \\1130 \\1133 \\1074 \\936 \\780 \\646 \\549 \\495$	997 986 986 842 674 533 432 369 339	$\begin{array}{c} 613\\ 566\\ 514\\ 407\\ 312\\ 244\\ 201\\ 177\\ 169\\ \end{array}$	<i>M</i> _{b,31/4}	a ab bc c cd d e e	-24 -23 -19 -13 -1 14 27 37 61	$ \begin{array}{r} -45 \\ -39 \\ -28 \\ -8 \\ 20 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 93 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\ \end{array} $	-56 - 41 - 18 21 63 100 110 147 113	$ -52 -24 \\ 18 75 119 135 133 128 118 $	-21 60 87 149 142 143 124 107 97	$50 \\ 125 \\ 200 \\ 292 \\ 134 \\ 103 \\ 86 \\ 73 \\ 68$	62 90 81 67 54 44 38 34 34
δς,1/4	a b b c c d d e e	389 401 432 485 543 523 445 365 279	764 793 854 934 976 843 674 528 422	$1064 \\ 1082 \\ 1117 \\ 1130 \\ 1053 \\ 870 \\ 687 \\ 541 \\ 445$	$1209 \\ 1176 \\ 1141 \\ 1066 \\ 892 \\ 743 \\ 584 \\ 466 \\ 394$	$1146 \\ 1050 \\ 955 \\ 836 \\ 690 \\ 545 \\ 429 \\ 350 \\ 305$	881 751 642 535 428 335 266 223 202	468 356 298 240 188 148 165 105 99	<i>M</i> _c , 1/8	a b bc c cd d e e	73 74 78 84 92 97 68 27 -1	$147 \\ 154 \\ 173 \\ 199 \\ 219 \\ 137 \\ 62 \\ 10 \\ -24$	$204 \\ 205 \\ 202 \\ 180 \\ 109 \\ 45 \\ 2 \\ -55 \\ -46$	$220 \\ 198 \\ 162 \\ 106 \\ 40 \\ -1 \\ -26 \\ -42 \\ -52$	$190 \\ 150 \\ 98 \\ 43 \\ 1 \\ -22 \\ -34 \\ -41 \\ -45$	$130 \\ 84 \\ 42 \\ 7 \\ -14 \\ -24 \\ -29 \\ -32 \\ -33$	$ \begin{array}{r} 61\\ 34\\ 9\\ -5\\ -13\\ -15\\ -27\\ -17\\ -18\\ \end{array} $
δ _{c,13/8}	a a b b c c c d d e e	375 386 417 470 535 568 546 493 444	744 778 850 955 1053 1041 936 812 718	$1068 \\ 1120 \\ 1217 \\ 1332 \\ 1394 \\ 1258 \\ 1069 \\ 905 \\ 796$	$1271 \\ 1305 \\ 1366 \\ 1402 \\ 1337 \\ 1154 \\ 964 \\ 816 \\ 726$	$1267 \\ 1247 \\ 1236 \\ 1185 \\ 1060 \\ 890 \\ 740 \\ 633 \\ 574$	1020 948 888 805 690 571 477 416 387	564 489 438 381 318 262 223 200 192	<i>M</i> _{c 31/8}	a ab bc c cd d e e	41 41 43 45 50 68 70 51 35	83 86 94 106 149 147 119 78 40	$129 \\ 144 \\ 171 \\ 210 \\ 245 \\ 175 \\ 104 \\ 54 \\ 19$	$165 \\ 178 \\ 191 \\ 184 \\ 148 \\ 78 \\ 39 \\ 14 \\ -3$	$ \begin{array}{r} 167\\ 161\\ 145\\ 106\\ 57\\ 26\\ 7\\ -5\\ -12\\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 129 \\ 108 \\ 79 \\ 46 \\ 37 \\ 4 \\ - 4 \\ - 9 \\ -10 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 66\\ 52\\ 28\\ 12\\ -2\\ -3\\ -6\\ -6\end{array} $
δ _c , 1/2	a a b b b c c	295 305 332 380 445	589 623 694 801 893	862 924 1039 1192 1337	1063 1144 1281 1439 1541	1116 1182 1282 1361 1337	949 970 1005 1000 893	552 538 533 503 445	<i>M</i> _{c,1/2}	a a b b b c c	14 14 15 17 23	29 32 31 47 75	50 58 74 100 135	80 103 143 198 251	104 130 159 172 135	99 111 114 95 75	59 58 50 35 23