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Summary. - In this paper we study the homeomorphisms of $B^{2}$ that are liftable with respect to a simple branched covering. Since any such homeomorphism maps the branch set of the covering onto itself and liftability is invariant up to isotopy fixing the branch set, we are dealing in fact with liftable braids.
We prove that the group of liftable braids is finitely generated by liftable powers of half-twists around arcs joining branch points. A set of such generators is explicitly determined for the special case of branched coverings $B^{2} \rightarrow B^{2}$. As a preliminary result we also obtain the classification of all the simple branched coverings of $B^{2}$.

## Introduction

A continuous map $p: F \rightarrow G$ between compact surfaces with $G$ connected and oriented is a branched covering iff it is a local homeomorphism near $\operatorname{Bd} F$ and any point $x \in \operatorname{Int} F$ has a neighborhood $U \subset \operatorname{Int} F$ such that the restriction $p_{\mid U}: U \rightarrow p(U)$ is topologically equivalent to the complex map $z \mapsto z^{d_{x}}$, for a uniquely determined positive integer $d_{x}$, the local order of $p$ at $x$. In particular, we have $p(\operatorname{Int} F)=\operatorname{Int} G$ and $p(\operatorname{Bd} F)=\operatorname{Bd} G$.

Given a branched covering $p: F \rightarrow G$, we denote by $S_{p} \subset \operatorname{Int} F$ the (finite) set of the singular points of $p$, that is the points $x \in \operatorname{Int} F$

[^0]such that $d_{x}>1$, and by $B_{p}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\} \subset \operatorname{Int} G$ the set of branch points of $p$, defined by $B_{p}=p\left(S_{p}\right)$. Then, the restriction $p_{\mid}: F-p^{-1}\left(B_{p}\right) \rightarrow G-B_{p}$ is an ordinary covering with $d$ sheets, where $d=d(p)$ is the order of $p$. The orientation of $G$ can be lifted to an orientation of $F$ which makes $p:(F, \operatorname{Bd} F) \rightarrow(G, \mathrm{Bd} G)$ a map of positive degree $d(p)$. We assume $F$ oriented in this way.

Since $p$ uniquely determines $p$, by fixing a base point $* \in G-B_{p}$ and numbering the fiber $p^{-1}(*)$, we can represent $p$ by means of the monodromy $\varphi_{p}: \pi_{1}\left(G-B_{p}, *\right) \rightarrow \Sigma_{d}$ of the ordinary covering $p_{\|}$, where $\Sigma_{d}$ is the permutation group on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$. We call $\varphi_{p}$ the monodromy of $p$. In order to simplify the notation, we write $\varphi$ in place of $\varphi_{p}$, when there is no risk of confusion. Because of the choices of $*$ and of the numbering of $p^{-1}(*)$, the monodromy is defined only up to inner automorphisms of $\Sigma_{d}$.

A branched covering $p$ is called simple iff it maps $S_{p}$ injectively onto $B_{p}$ and $d_{x}=2$ for any $x \in S_{p}$. This means that the monodromy of a small simple loop around any branch point is a transposition.

Two branched coverings $p: F \rightarrow G$ and $p^{\prime}: F^{\prime} \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ are equivalent iff there exist orientation preserving homeomorphisms $h: G \rightarrow$ $G^{\prime}$ and $k: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ such that $p^{\prime} k=h p$. Of course, in this case we have $d(p)=d\left(p^{\prime}\right), h\left(B_{p}\right)=B_{p^{\prime}}$ and $k\left(S_{p}\right)=S_{p^{\prime}}$. Now, it turns out that the existence of a lifting $k: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ of a given homeomorphism $h: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(B_{p}\right)=B_{p^{\prime}}$ depends only on the existence of a lifting of the restriction $h_{\mid}: G-B_{p} \rightarrow G^{\prime}-B_{p^{\prime}}$. Then, by the classical theory of ordinary covering, we get the following criterion.

Lifting theorem. A homeomorphism $h: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ has a lifting $k: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ with respect to the branched coverings $p: F \rightarrow G$ and $p^{\prime}: F^{\prime} \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ of the same order $d$ iff $h\left(B_{p}\right)=B_{p^{\prime}}$ and there exists an inner automorphism $\varepsilon$ of $\Sigma_{d}$ such that $\varphi_{p^{\prime}} h_{*}=\varepsilon \varphi_{p}$, where $h_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(G-B_{p}, *\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(G^{\prime}-B_{p^{\prime}}, *^{\prime}\right)$ is the isomorphism induced by the restriction of $h$. In this case $\varepsilon$ is given by the conjugation by $\sigma=\nu^{\prime} k \nu^{-1} \in \Sigma_{d}$, where $\nu: p^{-1}(*) \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, d\}$ and $\nu^{\prime}: p^{\prime-1}\left(*^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ are the numberings of the fibers $p^{-1}(*)$ and $p^{\prime-1}\left(*^{\prime}\right)$, with $*^{\prime}=h(*)$, inducing the monodromies $\varphi_{p}$ and $\varphi_{p^{\prime}}$.

As an immediate consequence of this lifting theorem, we have an equivalence criterion for branched coverings in terms of their branch
sets and monodromies.
Equivalence theorem. Two branched coverings $p: F \rightarrow G$ and $p^{\prime}: F^{\prime} \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ of the same order $d$ are equivalent iff there exist an orientation preserving homeomorphism $h: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ and an inner automorphism $\varepsilon$ of $\Sigma_{d}$ such that $h\left(B_{p}\right)=B_{p^{\prime}}$ and $\varphi_{p^{\prime}} h_{*}=\varepsilon \varphi_{p}$.

The classification of the simple branched coverings of $S^{2}$ up to equivalence is classical and well known. In [6] and [7], Gabai and Kazez extended such classification to all the closed surfaces. The following Theorem A, giving a classification of the simple branched coverings of $B^{2}$, is stated without proof in [2]. In Section 1 we give a proof of Theorem A, by providing a canonical way of representing branched coverings of $B^{2}$. We need such canonical representation in order to get our main result about liftable braids.

Given a simple branched covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ of order $d$, we fix a base point $* \in S^{1}$ and a numbering of $p^{-1}(*)$. Then, we define total monodromy of $p$ to be the permutation $\varphi_{p}(\omega) \in \Sigma_{d}$, where $\omega$ is the clockwise oriented simple loop supported by $S^{1}$. Moreover, we denote by $\Omega(p)$ the conjugation class of $\varphi_{p}(\omega)$ in $\Sigma_{d}$, which is uniquely determined by $p$ (actually by the restriction of $p$ over $S^{1}$ ). Now we are in position to state the classification theorem.

Theorem A. Two connected simple branched coverings $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ and $p^{\prime}: F^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{2}$ are equivalent iff they have the same order $d$, the same number $n$ of branch points and $\Omega(p)=\Omega\left(p^{\prime}\right)$.

Since $\Omega(p)$ is the class of $d$-cycles of $\Sigma_{d}$ for any simple branched covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ with $\operatorname{Bd} F$ connected, by the Riemann-Hurwicz formula we easily get the following corollary.

Corollary. For every compact connected orientable surface $F$ with connected boundary and for every integer $n \geq 2-\chi(F)$ there exists a unique (up to equivalence) simple covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ with $n$ branch points.

Given an orientable surface $S$ and a closed subset $C \subset S$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}(S)$ the group of all the orientation preserving homeomorphisms of $S$ onto itself and by $\mathcal{H}(S, C) \subset \mathcal{H}(S)$ the subgroup
consisting of all the $h \in \mathcal{H}(S)$ such that $h(C)=C$. Moreover, if $D \subset S$ is another closed subset, then we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{D}(S) \subset \mathcal{H}(S)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{D}(S, C) \subset \mathcal{H}(S, C)$ the subgroups of the homeomorphisms which coincide with the identity in $D$. Finally, we denote by $\mathcal{M}(S)$, $\mathcal{M}(S, C), \mathcal{M}_{D}(S)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{D}(S, C)$ the mapping class groups corresponding to the groups considered above (that is, we set $\mathcal{M}=\pi_{0} \mathcal{H}$ ).

For any $n \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{B}_{n}=\pi_{1}\left(\Gamma_{n}\left(\operatorname{Int} B^{2}\right),\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}\right)$ be the braid group of order $n$ of $S$ based at $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\} \subset \operatorname{Int} B^{2}$, where $\Gamma_{n}(X)=$ $\left(X^{n}-\Delta\right) / \Sigma_{n}$ denotes the configuration space of all the subsets of $X$ with cardinality $n$. We recall that there exists an isomorphism $\eta: \mathcal{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{S^{1}}\left(B^{2},\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}\right)$, defined by setting $\eta(b)$ equal to the isotopy class of the ending homeomorphism $h_{1}$ of any isotopy $t \mapsto h_{t} \in \mathcal{H}_{S_{1}}\left(B^{2}\right)$ which realizes the braid $b$ (that is, the map $t \mapsto h_{t}\left(\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}\right)$ is a loop in $\Gamma_{n}\left(\operatorname{Int} B^{2}\right)$ representing $\left.b\right)$.

We use the right-handed notation for the action of braids on everything, that is (a)b denotes the image of $a$ by the action of the braid $b$. If $a$ itself is a braid, then we have $(a) b=b^{-1} a b$. Moreover, we adopt the following bracketing convention:(a) $b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{n}=$ $\left(\ldots\left((a) b_{1}\right) b_{2} \ldots\right) b_{n}$.

We say that a homeomorphism $h \in \mathcal{H}_{\operatorname{Bd} G}(G)$ is liftable with respect to the branched covering $p: F \rightarrow G$ iff there exists $k \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{\operatorname{Bd} F}(F)$ such that $p k=h p$. We call $k$ a lifting of $h$. Of course, for such $h$ and $k$, we have $h\left(B_{p}\right)=B_{p}$ and $k\left(S_{p}\right)=S_{p}$. Moreover, the lifting $k$ is unique if $\operatorname{Bd} G \neq \emptyset$, otherwise $h$ may have more than one lifting. In any case, liftability is preserved by composition and is invariant by isotopy in $\mathcal{H}_{\operatorname{Bd} G}\left(G, B_{p}\right)$, so it makes sense to speak of the (subgroup of the) liftable isotopy classes in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Bd}_{G}}\left(G, B_{p}\right)$.

Given a simple branched covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$, we call $b \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ (the braid group based at the branch set $B_{p}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}$ of $p$ ) a liftable braid with respect to $p$ iff $\eta(b) \in \mathcal{H}_{S^{1}}\left(B^{2}, B_{p}\right)$ is a liftable isotopy class. Moreover, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{p} \subset \mathcal{B}_{n}$ the subgroup of the liftable braids with respect to $p$.

Following [4], we call curve for the branched covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ any simple arc $\alpha \subset B^{2}$ joining the base point $* \in S^{1}$ with $B_{p}$ and such that $\operatorname{Int} \alpha \subset \operatorname{Int} B^{2}-B_{p}$. Curves are considered up to ambient isotopy of $B^{2}$ modulo $S^{1} \cup B_{p}$. A system of curves is any family of
curves $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k} \subset B^{2}$ such that $\alpha_{i} \cap \alpha_{j}=\{*\}$ for all $i \neq j$. A fundamental system is a maximal system of curves, that is a system of curves $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ with the same cardinality of $B_{p}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}$.

For any curve $\alpha$, let $\lambda_{\alpha} \in \pi_{1}\left(B^{2}-B_{p}, *\right)$ be the homotopy class of a simple loop supported by the clockwise oriented boundary of a small regular neighborhood $N(\alpha)$ of $\alpha$ in $B^{2}$. In order to simplify the notation we put $\varphi_{p}(\alpha)=\varphi_{p}\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right)$. If $p$ is simple, then $\varphi_{p}(\alpha)$ is a transposition for any curve $\alpha$. Viceversa, if $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ is a fundamental system for $p$ and $\varphi_{p}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ is a transposition for any $i=1, \ldots, n$, then $p$ is simple.

Since $\lambda_{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, \lambda_{\alpha_{n}}$ generate $\pi_{1}\left(B^{2}-B_{p}, *\right)$, for any fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ for $p$, the branched covering $p$ is completely determined, up to equivalence, by the monodromies $\varphi_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{p}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$.

Following [4] again, we call interval for the branched covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ any simple arc $x \subset B^{2}$ joining two branch points and such that $\operatorname{Int} x \subset \operatorname{Int} B^{2}-B_{p}$. Intervals are considered up to ambient isotopy of $B^{2}$ modulo $S^{1} \cup B_{p}$. We call interval and we denote by the same symbol $x$ also the counterclockwise half-twist around $x$ and the corresponding braid in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$.

It immediately follows from the lifting theorem that any interval $x$ has a liftable power. In fact, we prove in Section 2 that, if $x$ is not liftable, then either $x^{2}$ or $x^{3}$ is liftable.

Theorem B. For any branched covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$, the group of the liftable braids $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ is finitely generated by liftable powers of intervals.

The proof of Theorem B is given in Section 4. As a preliminary step, in Section 3 we consider the special case of $F=B^{2}$. In this case, we explicitly provide a set of generators, as described in the following Theorem C.

Let $p_{n}: B^{2} \rightarrow B^{2}$ be the unique (up to equivalence) simple covering of order $d=n+1$ with $n$ branch points. For sake of simplicity, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{n} \subset \mathcal{B}_{n}$ the group $\mathcal{L}_{p_{n}}$ of the liftable braids respect to $p_{n}$.

We assume the fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and the numbering of the sheets of $p_{n}$ be fixed, in such a way that the se-
quence of transpositions $\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ is in the canonical form (12), $\ldots,(d-1 d)$, that is $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=(i i+1)$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$.

For each $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, we define $x_{i} \simeq \alpha_{i} \cup \alpha_{i+1}$ to be the unique interval such that $x_{i} \cup \alpha_{i} \cup \alpha_{i+1}$ is a Jordan curve whose interior does not contain any branch point. Moreover, we put $x_{i, j}=$ $\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i+1} \ldots x_{j-1}$, for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$.

Theorem C. For any $n>1$, the group $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ of the liftable braids with respect to the branched covering $p_{n}: B^{2} \rightarrow B^{2}$ is generated by the above described braids $x_{i}^{3}$ and $x_{i, j}^{2}$, with $1 \leq i<n$ and $i+1<j \leq n$.

The above theorems constitute the first results in the study of the lifting homomorphism $\lambda_{p}: \mathcal{L}_{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Bd} F}(F)$, we are planning to carry out in order to find a set of normal generators of ker $\lambda_{p}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{p}$, for any branched covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$. This would generalize a result obtained in [4] (see also [5]) for coverings of degree 3.

Our work is mainly aimed to get a general equivalence theorem for simple branched coverings of $S^{3}$ in terms of covering moves. In fact, the equivalence theorem for degree 3 coverings given in [9] and [10] is essentially based on the results of [4].

## 1. Branched coverings of $B^{2}$

Let $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ be a simple branched covering of order $d$. Given a fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ with monodromies $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\left(j_{i} k_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, we define the non-oriented graph $\Gamma=\Gamma_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ to have vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$ and edges $e_{1}=\left\{v_{j_{1}}, v_{k_{1}}\right\}, \ldots, e_{n}=\left\{v_{j_{n}}, v_{k_{n}}\right\}$.

We remark that the ordering of the vertices of $\Gamma$ is not relevant, since it depends on an arbitrary numbering of the sheets of $p$. On the contrary, the ordering of the edges contains relevant information related to the choice of the fundamental system. Therefore, we consider $\Gamma$ as an edge-ordered graph, in such a way that $p$ is uniquely determined by $\Gamma$ up to equivalence.

Moreover, for each non-oriented edge-ordered graph $\Gamma$ with $d$ vertices and $n$ edges, there exist a simple branched covering $p=p_{\Gamma}$ : $F_{\Gamma} \rightarrow B^{2}$ of order $d$ with $n$ branch points and a fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ for $p$, such that $\Gamma=\Gamma_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$.

Lemma 1.1. $F_{\Gamma}$ has the same homotopy type of $\Gamma$.
Proof. $F_{\Gamma}$ is homeomorphic to the topological union $D_{1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup D_{d}$ of $d$ discs, with a band glued between $D_{j_{i}}$ and $D_{k_{i}}$ for every $i=$ $1, \ldots, n$.

Now we want to establish when two connected edge-ordered graphs $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$, with $d$ vertices (which can be assumed to be the same) and $n$ edges, determine equivalent coverings $p_{\Gamma}$ and $p_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$.

For any $i=1, \ldots, n$, let $O_{i}$ be the elementary move corresponding to the transformation (3.6) of [1], which transforms the graph $\Gamma$ with edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ in the graph $\Gamma^{\prime}$ with edges $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime}$, defined in the following way: if $e_{i}$ and $e_{i+1}$ are disjoint or they share both the endpoints, then we put $e_{i}^{\prime}=e_{i+1}, e_{i+1}^{\prime}=e_{i}$ and $e_{k}^{\prime}=e_{k}$ for $k \neq i, i+1$; otherwise, if $e_{i}$ and $e_{i+1}$ share only one endpoint, say $e_{i}=\{a, b\}$ and $e_{i+1}=\{b, c\}$ with $a \neq c$, then we put $e_{i}^{\prime}=\{a, c\}$, $e_{i+1}^{\prime}=e_{i}$ and $e_{k}^{\prime}=e_{k}$ for $k \neq i, i+1$. We also denote by $O_{i}^{-1}$ the inverse elementary moves, defined in the obvious way.

Fixed a numbering of the vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$ of $\Gamma$, we associate to each edge $e_{i}=\left\{v_{j_{i}}, v_{k_{i}}\right\}$ the transposition $\tau_{i}=\left(j_{i} k_{i}\right) \in \Sigma_{d}$. Then, we define $\Omega(\Gamma)$ as the conjugation class of the product $\tau_{1} \cdots \tau_{n}$ in $\Sigma_{d}$. We observe that the class $\Omega(\Gamma)$ is uniquely determined by $\Gamma$ (that is it does not depend on the numbering of the vertices) and is invariant with respect to elementary moves. Furthermore, it is straightforward to see that $\Omega(\Gamma)=\Omega\left(p_{\Gamma}\right)$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be two connected edge-ordered graphs with $d$ vertices and $n$ edges. Then the coverings $p_{\Gamma}$ and $p_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ are equivalent if and only if $\Omega(\Gamma)=\Omega\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. The 'only if' part is trivial. Viceversa, it suffices to prove that each connected edge-ordered graph $\Gamma$ with $d$ vertices and $n$ edges can be transformed, by using elementary moves and their inverses, into a canonical form dependent only on $\Omega(\Gamma)$.

Let us denote by $c_{1} \geq \cdots \geq c_{m}$ the cardinalities of the nontrivial orbits generated by any permutation of $\Omega(\Gamma)$ and let $l_{i}=$ $c_{1}+\cdots+c_{i}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, m$, then we can choice as a canonical representative of $\Omega(\Gamma)$ the permutation $\pi$ given by the product

$$
(12) \cdots\left(l_{1}-1 l_{1}\right)\left(l_{1}+1 l_{1}+2\right) \cdots\left(l_{2}-1 l_{2}\right) \cdots
$$

$$
\cdots\left(l_{m-1}+1 l_{m-1}+2\right) \cdots\left(l_{m}-1 l_{m}\right)
$$

On the other hand, there exists a numbering $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$ of the vertices of $\Gamma$, such that $\tau_{1} \cdots \tau_{n}=\pi$, where $\tau_{i}=\left(j_{i} k_{i}\right)$ is the transposition associated to the edge $e_{i}=\left\{v_{j_{i}}, v_{k_{i}}\right\}$, for every $i=1, \ldots, n$.

We want to transform $\Gamma$ by elementary moves, leaving the numbering of the vertices fixed, in such a way that the sequence $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}$ becomes

$$
\begin{gathered}
(12), \ldots,\left(l_{1}-1 l_{1}\right),\left(l_{1} l_{1}+1\right),\left(l_{1} l_{1}+1\right),\left(l_{1}+1 l_{1}+2\right), \ldots,\left(l_{2}-1 l_{2}\right), \\
\left(l_{2} l_{2}+1\right),\left(l_{2} l_{2}+1\right), \ldots,\left(l_{m-1} l_{m-1}+1\right),\left(l_{m-1} l_{m-1}+1\right), \\
\left(l_{m-1}+1 l_{m-1}+2\right), \ldots,\left(l_{m}-1 l_{m}\right),\left(l_{m} l_{m}+1\right),\left(l_{m} l_{m}+1\right), \\
\left(l_{m}+1 l_{m}+2\right),\left(l_{m}+1 l_{m}+2\right), \ldots,(d-1 d),(d-1 d), \\
(d-1 d),(d-1 d), \ldots,(d-1 d),(d-1 d),
\end{gathered}
$$

where the first two rows contain the transposition sequence defining $\pi$, with additional pairs of consecutive equal transpositions inserted between disjoint cycles, and the last two rows consist of pairs of equal consecutive transpositions. Moreover: a) if $\pi$ is the identity then the first two rows are empty and $\left.l_{m}=m=1 ; \mathrm{b}\right)$ if $l_{m}=d$ then the third row is empty; c) the fourth row contains $\left(n-m+l_{m}\right) / 2-d+1$ pairs of transpositions.

We proceed by induction on $n$. If $n=1$, then $\Gamma$ itself has the required form, in fact the only possibility is $\tau_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ and $d=2$, since $\Gamma$ is connected. In the rest of the proof, we deal with the inductive step, assuming $n>1$.

To begin with, we show how to perform moves on $\Gamma$ in order to obtain a sequence $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}$ of the type $\left(j_{1} k_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(j_{n^{\prime}} k_{n^{\prime}}\right),(d-1 d)$, $\ldots,(d-1 d)$, with $0 \leq n^{\prime}<n$ and $j_{i}, k_{i} \neq d$ for each $i \leq n^{\prime}$. First of all, by using Remark (3.7) of [1], it is easy to get a sequence having the form $\left(j_{1} k_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(j_{n^{\prime}} k_{n^{\prime}}\right),\left(j_{n^{\prime}+1} d\right), \ldots,\left(\begin{array}{ll}j_{n} & d\end{array}\right)$, with $0 \leq n^{\prime}<n$ and $j_{i}, k_{i} \neq d$ for each $i \leq n^{\prime}$. Then, since $O_{i}$ change the pair $\left(j_{i} d\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}j_{i+1} & d\end{array}\right)$ with $j_{i} \neq j_{i+1}$ into the pair $\left(j_{i} j_{i+1}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}j_{i} & d\end{array}\right)$, we can limit ourselves to consider only the case $j_{n^{\prime}+1}=\ldots=j_{n}=h$. If $h=d-1$, we have done. Otherwise, if $h \neq d-1$, we have that $n^{\prime}>0$, by connectedness, and that $n-n^{\prime}$ is even, since $(d) \tau_{1} \ldots \tau_{n}$ can only assume the value $d-1$ or $d$. At this point, we could get the desired form by the sequence of elementary moves $O_{n^{\prime}}, \ldots, O_{n-1}, O_{n-1}, \ldots, O_{n^{\prime}}$ if $\left(j_{n^{\prime}} k_{n^{\prime}}\right)$ is $(h d-1)$. So, it remains to show how to obtain $e_{n^{\prime}}=\left\{v_{h}, v_{d-1}\right\}$ without changing the
edges $e_{i}$ with $i>n^{\prime}$. By connectedness, there exists a chain of edges $e_{i_{1}}, \ldots, e_{i_{l}}$ of minimum length $l \geq 1$ connecting $v_{h}$ and $v_{d-1}$, with $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l} \leq n^{\prime}$. If $l=1$, then $\tau_{i}=(h d-1)$ and we can finish by using Remark (3.7) of [1] again. If $l>1$ and $\left|i_{l}-i_{l-1}\right|=1$, then we can decrease by one the length of the chain by performing the move $O_{i}$ with $i=\min \left(i_{l}, i_{l-1}\right)$. On the other hand, if $\left|i_{l}-i_{l-1}\right|>1$, then we can reduce by one the difference between $i_{l-1}$ and $i_{l}$, by performing either $O_{i_{l}-1}^{-1}$ if $i_{l-1}<i_{l}$ or $O_{i_{l}}$ if $i_{l-1}>i_{l}$. So we can conclude this part of the proof by induction on $l$ and $\left|i_{l}-i_{l-1}\right|$.

From now on, we assume that the first $n^{\prime}$ edges of $\Gamma$ do not contain $v_{d}$ and that all the last $n-n^{\prime}$ edges of $\Gamma$ join $v_{d-1}$ and $v_{d}$. If $n^{\prime}=0$, then we have finished $\left(d=2\right.$ and either $\pi=\mathrm{id}$ or $\pi=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ depending on the parity of $n$ ).

Let us consider the case $n^{\prime}>0$. We denote by $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$ the subgraph having vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d-1}$ and edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n^{\prime}}$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is connected and the permutation $\tau_{1} \ldots \tau_{n^{\prime}}$ is of the type requested for $\pi$, we can apply the induction hypothesis, in order to transform $\Gamma^{\prime}$ into the canonical form, by a sequence of elementary moves and inverse of them. The same sequence of moves also transforms $\Gamma$ in a canonical form, possibly except for the presence of more than two transpositions ( $d-2 d-1$ ) immediately before the $n-n^{\prime}$ transpositions $(d-1 d)$. In fact, the canonical form for $\Gamma$ contains either one transposition $(d-2 d-1)$ if $c_{m}>2$ or two of them if $c_{m}=2$. Hence, to complete the proof, it suffices to change all the $n^{\prime \prime}$ exceeding transpositions $(d-2 d-1)$ into $(d-1 d)$. Taking into account that such transpositions are preceded by at least one more $(d-2 d-1)$ and followed by $(d-1 d)$ and that their number $n^{\prime \prime}$ is even, we can realize the wanted change by the sequence of elementary moves $O_{n^{\prime}}, \ldots, O_{n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}+1}, O_{n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}+1}, \ldots, O_{n^{\prime}}, O_{n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}}, \ldots, O_{n^{\prime}-1}$, $O_{n^{\prime}-1}, \ldots, O_{n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}}$.

At this point, we can prove the Theorem A stated in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem $A$. Let $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ two edge-ordered graphs such that $p=p_{\Gamma}$ and $p^{\prime}=p_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$. By Lemma 1.1, $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are both connected. Moreover, we have $\Omega(\Gamma)=\Omega(p)=\Omega\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\Omega\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, Theorem A immediately follows from Lemma 1.2.

We conclude this section by considering some elementary properties of the restrictions of a covering of $B^{2}$ over subdisks, which we will need in the following sections.

Given a simple branched covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ with $n$ branch points and a system of curves $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k} \subset B^{2}$ for $p$, we denote by $p^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}: F^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}} \rightarrow B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}$ the restriction of $p$ to $F^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}=$ $p^{-1}\left(B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}\right)$, where $B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}$ is the disk $B^{2}-\operatorname{Int} N\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$, being $N\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ a regular neighborhood of $\alpha_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \alpha_{k}$ that does not contain any branch points other than the endpoints of the curves $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$.

We remark that $p^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}$ is a simple covering uniquely determined up to equivalence, which has the same order of $p$ and $n-k$ branch points. Moreover, if $p$ has $c$ components, $p^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}$ has $c^{\prime}$ components, with $c \leq c^{\prime} \leq c+k$.

As base point for $B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}$ we can choose either the starting-point $*^{\prime}$ or the ending-point $*^{\prime \prime}$ of the arc $N\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \cap S^{1}$, oriented accordingly with the counterclockwise orientation of $S^{1}$. We denote by $\omega_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\omega_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ the simple clockwise oriented loop based at $*^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.*^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and supported by $\operatorname{Bd} B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}$. The liftings of the arc $N\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \cap S^{1}$ determine bijections $p^{-1}(*) \cong p^{-1}\left(*^{\prime}\right) \cong p^{-1}\left(*^{\prime \prime}\right)$. By means of this bijections, any numbering of the sheets of $p$ induces a coherent numbering of the sheets of $p^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}$, depending on the choice of $*^{\prime}$ or $*^{\prime \prime}$ as base point $B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}$.

The sheets of any restriction $p^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}$ of $p$ will be ever numbered coherently with the ones of $p$, whichever will be the choice of the base point for $B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}$. We will denote with the same letter $\varphi$ the monodromy of $p^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}$, with respect to this coherent numbering, as well as the monodromy of $p$, without any explicit reference to the choice of the base point.

Given any curve $\alpha \subset B^{2}$ for $p$ such that $\alpha \cap\left(\alpha_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \alpha_{k}\right)=\{*\}$, we can assume (up to isotopy) that $\alpha \cap B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}$ is an arc. Then, we denote by $\alpha^{\prime}$ and (resp. $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ ) the curve in $B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}$ obtained by sliding the initial point of $\alpha \cap B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}$ along the arc $N\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \cap B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}$ until $*^{\prime}$ (resp. $*^{\prime \prime}$ ) is reached. By using these notations we can write $p^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}} \cong\left(p^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{h}}\right)^{\alpha_{h+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}^{\prime \prime}} \cong\left(p^{\alpha_{h+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{h}^{\prime}}$ for each $h=1, \ldots, k-1$.

If $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ is a fundamental system for $p$, then for any $i_{1}<$ $\ldots<i_{k}$ and $j_{1}<\ldots<j_{n-k}$ such that $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \cup\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n-k}\right\}=$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have that $\alpha_{j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{n-k}}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\alpha_{j_{1}}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{n-k}}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a fundamental system for $p^{\alpha_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_{k}}}$ with base point $*^{\prime}$ (resp. $*^{\prime \prime}$ ). Moreover, by putting $\tau_{i}=\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$, straightforward computations give the following equalities: $\varphi\left(\alpha_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{j}$ and $\varphi\left(\alpha_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\tau_{j}\right) \tau_{i_{1}} \ldots \tau_{i_{k}}$, if $j<i_{1}$; $\varphi\left(\alpha_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\tau_{j}\right) \tau_{i_{l}} \ldots \tau_{i_{1}}$ and $\varphi\left(\alpha_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\tau_{j}\right) \tau_{i_{l+1}} \ldots \tau_{i_{k}}$, for $i_{l}<j<i_{l+1}$ with $1 \leq l \leq k-1 ; \varphi\left(\alpha_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\tau_{j}\right) \tau_{i_{k}} \ldots \tau_{i_{1}}$ and $\varphi\left(\alpha_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{j}$, if $j>i_{k}$.

LEMMA 1.3. If $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ is a simple covering and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k} \subset B^{2}$ is a system of curves for $p$, then $\varphi\left(\omega_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(\omega) \varphi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \ldots \varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(\omega_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \ldots \varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \varphi(\omega)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_{k+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be curves such that $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ is a fundamental system for $p$. Then, by the equalities above, $\varphi\left(\omega_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\varphi\left(\alpha_{k+1}^{\prime}\right) \ldots \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\varphi\left(\alpha_{k+1}\right) \ldots \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right) \varphi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \ldots \varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=$ $\varphi(\omega) \varphi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \ldots \varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \quad$ and $\quad \varphi\left(\omega_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{k+1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $\varphi\left(\alpha_{k+1}\right) \ldots \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \ldots \varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \varphi(\omega)$.

Lemma 1.4. A connected simple covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ with $n$ branch points is equivalent to $p_{n}$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds: (1) $F \cong B^{2}$; (2) $p$ has order $n+1$; (3) $p^{\alpha}$ is disconnected for every curve $\alpha$.

Proof. $p \cong p_{n} \Rightarrow(1)$ is trivial. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ follows from Lemma 1.1. $(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ follows from the fact that $n-1$ transpositions cannot generate a transitive action on $\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$. In order to prove the implication $(3) \Rightarrow p \cong p_{n}$, we consider a fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ for $p$ such that the sequence of transpositions $\varphi_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ has the canonical form obtained in the proof of Lemma 1.2. It is easy to see that $p \cong p_{n}$ iff $\varphi\left(\alpha_{m}\right) \neq \varphi\left(\alpha_{m+1}\right)$ for each $m=1, \ldots, n-1$. On the other hand, if $\varphi\left(\alpha_{m}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{m+1}\right)$ for some $m$, then the restriction $p^{\alpha_{m}}$ is connected.

## 2. Liftable braids and intervals

In this section we consider a simple branched covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ of degree $d$ with $n$ branch points and denote by $\varphi$ its monodromy.

We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ the braid group based at the branch set $B_{p}$ of $p$ and by $\mathcal{L}_{p} \subset \mathcal{B}_{n}$ the subgroups of the liftable braids with respect to p.

Let us begin with some elementary properties of liftable braids. The following liftability criterion in terms of action on a fundamental system will play a crucial role.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be a fundamental system for $p$. Then, a braid $b \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is liftable if and only if $\varphi\left(\left(\alpha_{i}\right) b\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Let $b_{*}$ be the automorphism of $\pi_{1}\left(B^{2}-B_{p}, *\right)$ induced by the restriction of $b$ to $B^{2}-B_{p}$. By the lifting theorem, $b$ is liftable if and only if $\varphi b_{*}=\varphi$, since the lifting of $b$ is the identity on $p^{-1}(*)$ and thus it induces the identity conjugation on $\Sigma_{d}$. Then, the statement follows ifrom the fact that the sequence of transpositions associated to a fundamental system uniquely determines $\varphi$.

Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}$ two systems of curves. Suppose that there exists a liftable braid $b \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ such that $\left(\alpha_{i}\right) b=\beta_{i}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, k$. Since any system of curves can be completed to a fundamental system, by the previous lemma, we have $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\varphi\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ for every $i=1, \ldots, k$. On the other hand, we can always suppose that $\left(B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}\right) b=B_{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}^{2}$, up to isotopy. Therefore, the restriction $b_{\mid}: B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2} \rightarrow B_{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}^{2}$ induces an equivalence between $p^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}$ and $p^{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}$, preserving the numbering of the sheets, when they are referred to the same base point $*^{\prime}$ or $*^{\prime \prime}$.

Lemma 2.2. Given two systems of curves $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}$ for $p$, there exists a liftable braid $b \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ such that $\left(\alpha_{i}\right) b=\beta_{i}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, k$ if and only if the following conditions hold: (1) $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=$ $\varphi\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ for every $i=1, \ldots, k$; (2) there exists a bijection between the components of $p^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}$ and $p^{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}$ preserving the number of branch points and the numbering of the sheets, when they are referred to the same base point.

Proof. The 'only if' part immediately follows from the previous discussion. In order to prove the converse, it suffices to extend the given
systems of curves to fundamental systems $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ such that $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\varphi\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$. In fact, in this case the braid $b \in B_{n}$ uniquely defined by $\left(\alpha_{i}\right) b=\beta_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ turns out to be liftable by Lemma 2.1. The fundamental systems $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ will be constructed by induction on $m=$ $n-k$.

If $m=0$ there is nothing to do. So, we assume $m>0$ and observe that in this case there exist connected components $C \subset F^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}$ and $D \subset F^{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}$, such that the restrictions $p_{C C}^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}: C \rightarrow B_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{2}$ and $p_{\mid D}^{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}: D \rightarrow B_{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}^{2}$ are non-trivial. We assume that such components correspond each other with respect to the bijection of property (2). Then, they involve the same sheets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{e}\right\}$ and the same number $l>0$ of branch points. Moreover, by Lemma 1.3, they have the same total monodromy with respect to the base point $*^{\prime \prime}$, that is the restriction of $\varphi\left(\omega_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varphi\left(\omega_{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{e}\right\}$.

By the proof of Theorem A, it is possible to construct two fundamental systems $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}$ for $p_{\mid C}^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}$ and $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{l}$ for $p_{\mid D}^{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}$, with the same base point $*^{\prime \prime}$ and such that $\varphi\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=\varphi\left(\delta_{i}\right)$ for every $i=1, \ldots, l$.

Now we consider the systems of curves $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k+l}$ and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k+l}$, extending the original ones in such a way that $\alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\gamma_{i-k}$ and $\beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\delta_{i-k}$ for all $i=k+1, \ldots, k+l$. Properties (1) and (2) still hold for these new systems of curves. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, they can be further extended to fundamental systems as desired.

We remark that property (2) in the statement of Lemma 2.2 trivially follows from property (1) when the restrictions $p^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}}$ and $p^{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}$ are connected. More generally, this fact holds also when the two restrictions have at most one non-trivial component and their trivial sheets are numbered in the same way.

In the rest of this section, we deal with intervals. Given an interval $x \subset B^{2}$ for the covering $p$, we say that $x$ is of type $i$ iff $x^{i}$ is the first positive power of $x$ which is liftable with respect to $p$ as a braid.

By the following lemma (cf. Lemma 2.4 of [4]), each interval is either of type 1 or type 2 or type 3 . Moreover, it can be easily
realized that the intervals $x$ and $(x) b$ are of the same type for each liftable braid $b \in \mathcal{L}_{p}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $x$ be an interval for $p$ and $\alpha$ be a curve for $p$ meeting $x$ only at one of its endpoints. Then: $x$ is of type 1 if and only if $\varphi(\alpha)=\varphi((\alpha) x) ; x$ is of itype 2 if and only if $\varphi(\alpha)$ and $\varphi((\alpha) x)$ are disjoint transpositions; $x$ is of type 3 if and only if $\varphi(\alpha)$ and $\varphi((\alpha) x)$ are different and not disjoint.

Proof. Given $x$ and $\alpha$ as in the statement, let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be a fundamental system such that $\alpha_{1}=\alpha, \alpha_{2}=(\alpha) x$ and $\left(\alpha_{i}\right) x=\alpha_{i}$ for $i=3, \ldots, n$. By Lemma 2.1, $x$ is liftable iff it preserves all the monodromies of such fundamental system, that is iff $\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$. The other two cases can be achieved by similar applications of Lemma 2.1 to the intervals $x^{2}$ and to $x^{3}$, taking into account that $\varphi\left((\alpha) x^{2}\right)=$ $\varphi((\alpha) x) \varphi(\alpha) \varphi((\alpha) x)$ and $\varphi\left((\alpha) x^{3}\right)=\varphi\left((\alpha) x^{2}\right) \varphi((\alpha) x) \varphi\left((\alpha) x^{2}\right)=$ $\varphi((\alpha) x) \varphi(\alpha) \varphi((\alpha) x) \varphi(\alpha) \varphi((\alpha) x)$.

We denote by $\mathcal{I}_{p} \subset \mathcal{L}_{p}$ the subgroup generated by all the liftable powers of intervals, that is by the intervals of type 1 , the second power of the intervals of type 2 and the third power of the intervals of type 3 . Of course, Theorem B says that $\mathcal{I}_{p}=\mathcal{L}_{p}$. Nevertheless, it is temporarily convenient to keep different notations for the two groups.

Fixed a fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ for $p$, we call index of a curve or an interval (with respect to $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ ) the minimum number (up to isotopy) of the intersections with $\alpha_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \alpha_{n}$, not including the endpoints.

Moreover, depending on the fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$, we give the following definitions: $x_{i} \simeq \alpha_{i} \cup \alpha_{i+1}$ is the unique interval such that $x_{i} \cup \alpha_{i} \cup \alpha_{i+1}$ is a Jordan curve whose interior does not contain any branch point, for $i=1, \ldots, n-1 ; x_{i, j}=\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i+1} \ldots x_{j-1}$, for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$; $\widehat{x}_{i, j}=\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i+1}^{-1} \ldots x_{j-1}^{-1}$, for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$; in addition, as a notational convenience, we put $x_{i, j}=x_{j, i}$ and $\widehat{x}_{i, j}=$ $\widehat{x}_{j, i}$, for $1 \leq j<i \leq n$. In particular, we have $x_{i}=x_{i, i+1}=\widehat{x}_{i, i+1}$.

We remark that the braids $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ are the usual standard generators of the braid group $\mathcal{B}_{n}$; similarly, the braids $x_{i, j}^{2}$ (as well
as the braids $\widehat{x}_{i, j}^{2}$ ) with $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ are standard generators of the pure braids group $\mathcal{P}_{n} \subset \mathcal{B}_{n}$.

We conclude this section by considering all the intervals and all the curves of indices 0 and 1 with respect to the fixed fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$.

The intervals of index 0 are the $\widehat{x}_{i, j}$ 's. The curves of index 0 are the curves $\alpha_{i, j}$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, defined in the following way: $\alpha_{i, i}=\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i, j}=\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \widehat{x}_{i, j}^{-1}$ if $i<j, \alpha_{i, j}=\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \widehat{x}_{i, j}$ if $j<i$. Such intervals and curves are related by the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right) \widehat{x}_{j, k}^{-1} & = \begin{cases}\alpha_{i, k} & \text { if } i \leq j<k \text { or } j<k \leq i, \\
\alpha_{i-1, k} & \text { if } k<i \leq j ;\end{cases} \\
\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right) \widehat{x}_{j, k} & = \begin{cases}\alpha_{i, k} & \text { if } i \leq k<j \text { or } k<j \leq i \\
\alpha_{i+1, k} & \text { if } j \leq i<k .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

The intervals of index 1 are the intervals $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}$ with $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ such that $i<k$ and $i \neq j \neq k$, given by: $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}=\left(\widehat{x}_{i, j}\right) \widehat{x}_{j, k}$ if $i<j<k$ and $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}=\left(\widehat{x}_{i, j}\right) \widehat{x}_{j, k}^{-1}$ if $i<k<j$ or $j<i<k$. As a notational convenience, we also set $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}=\widehat{x}_{k, j, i}$ if $i>k$ and $\widehat{x}_{i, i, j}=\widehat{x}_{i, j, j}=\widehat{x}_{i, j}$ for every $i \neq j$.

Finally, the curves of index 1 are the curves $\alpha_{i, j, k}$ with $1 \leq$ $i, j, k \leq n$ such that $i \neq j \neq k$, defined as follows:

$$
\alpha_{i, j, k}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right) \widehat{x}_{j, k} \text { if } i<j<k \text { or } j<k \leq i \text { or } k<i<j, \\
\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right) \widehat{x}_{j, k}^{-1} \text { if } k<j<i \text { or } j<i<k \text { or } i \leq k<j
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 3. Liftable braids with respect to $p: B^{2} \rightarrow B^{2}$

By the results of Section 1, for every $n \geq 1$ there exists a unique (up to equivalence) simple branched covering $p_{n}: B^{2} \rightarrow B^{2}$ of order $d=n+1$ with $n$ branch points. Moreover, the $p_{n}$ 's represent (up to equivalence) all the coverings of $B^{2}$ onto itself.

We assume the base point $* \in S^{1}$, the branch points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n} \in$ Int $B^{2}$, the fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and the numbering of the sheets of $p_{n}$ fixed in such a way that: (1) $\alpha_{i}$ joins $*$ to $P_{i}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n ;(2)$ the monodromy sequence $\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ is in the
canonical form (12), $\ldots,(d-1 d)$ given in the proof of Theorem A, namely $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=(i i+1)$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$.

In this section, all the curves $\alpha_{i, j}$ and $\alpha_{i, j, k}$ and all the intervals $x_{i}$, $x_{i, j}, x_{i, j, k}, \widehat{x}_{i, j}, \widehat{x}_{i, j, k}$, as well as all the indexes of curves and intervals, except where expressly indicated, are referred to the fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$.

In order to prove Theorem C, let us begin with some preliminary results about curves. We recall that $\mathcal{L}_{n} \subset \mathcal{B}_{n}$ denotes the subgroup of the liftable braids with respect to $p_{n}$.

By direct computation we get the following monodromies:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right)= \begin{cases}(i j+1) & \text { if } i \leq j, \\
(i+1 j) & \text { if } j \leq i ;\end{cases} \\
\varphi\left(\alpha_{i, j, k}\right)= \begin{cases}(j+1 k+1) & \text { if } i<j<k \text { or } i \leq k<j, \\
(j k) & \text { if } k<j<i \text { or } j<k \leq i, \\
(j+1 k) & \text { if } k \leq i<j, \\
(j k+1) & \text { if } j<i \leq k .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Assuming $n>1$, we say that a curve $\alpha$ for $p_{n}$ is regular if $p_{n}^{\alpha}$ is equivalent to $p_{n-1} \sqcup \mathrm{id}_{B^{2}}$. We observe that, if $\alpha$ is a regular curve then $(\alpha) b$ is a regular curve for any liftable braid $b \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$.

Lemma 3.1. The curve $\alpha_{j}$ is regular for every $j=1, \ldots, n$. Moreover, the equivalence between $p_{n-1}$ and the non-trivial component of $p_{n}^{\alpha_{j}}$ is induced by a homeomorphism $h_{j}: B^{2} \rightarrow B_{\alpha_{j}}^{2}$ such that: $h_{j}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i<j ; h_{j}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i+1}^{\prime}$ for $j \leq i \leq n-1$; $h_{j}\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for $1 \leq i<j-1 ; h_{j}\left(x_{j-1}\right)=\left(x_{j-1}\right) x_{j}^{-1} ; h_{j}\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i+1}$ for $j-1<i \leq n-2$.

Proof. The fundamental system $\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{j-1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{j+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}$ for $p_{n}^{\alpha_{j}}$ has monodromy sequence $(12), \ldots,(j-2 j-1),(j-1 j+1)$, $(j+1 j+2), \ldots,(n-1 n)$. Let $h_{j}: B^{2} \rightarrow B_{\alpha_{j}}^{2}$ be the homeomorphism uniquely determined (up to isotopy) by $h_{j}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i<j$ and $h_{j}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i+1}^{\prime}$ for $j \leq i \leq n-1$. By the Lifting theorem, $h_{j}$ can be lifted to give an equivalence between $p_{n-1} \sqcup \operatorname{id}_{B^{2}}$ and $p_{n}^{\alpha_{j}}$. Hence, $h_{j}$ induces an equivalence between $p_{n-1}$ and the non-trivial component of $p_{n}^{\alpha_{j}}$. A straightforward computation of the intervals $h_{j}\left(x_{i}\right)$ completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2. The curves $\alpha_{1, n}$ e $\alpha_{n, 1}$ are regular. Moreover, we have that: the equivalence between $p_{n-1}$ and the non-trivial component of $p_{n}^{\alpha_{1, n}}$ is induced by a homeomorphism $h_{1, n}: B^{2} \rightarrow B_{\alpha_{1, n}}^{2}$ such that $h_{1, n}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $h_{1, n}\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq$ $n-2$; the equivalence between $p_{n-1}$ and the non-trivial component of $p_{n}^{\alpha_{n, 1}}$ is induced by a homeomorphism $h_{n, 1}: B^{2} \rightarrow B_{\alpha_{n, 1}}^{2}$ such that $h_{n, 1}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i+1}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $h_{n, 1}\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$.

Proof. Similar to the previous one, except that we consider the fundamental system $\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}$ instead of $\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}^{\prime}$ for the cover$\operatorname{ing} p_{n}^{\alpha_{1, n}}$.

LEMMA 3.3. The only regular curves of index 0 are $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, \alpha_{1, n}$ and $\alpha_{n, 1}$. Among these, only $\alpha_{1, n}$ and $\alpha_{n, 1}$ are $\mathcal{L}_{n}$-equivalent to each other.

Proof. Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 say that the curves $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, \alpha_{1, n}$ and $\alpha_{n, 1}$ are regular. In the previous section, we observed that any other curve of index 0 have to be an $\alpha_{i, j}$ with $j \neq i$ and $(1, n) \neq(i, j) \neq$ $(n, 1)$. If $j>i$, then the curves $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i, j}, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{j-1}$, $\alpha_{j+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ constitute a fundamental system for $p_{n}$ with monodromy sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(12), \ldots,(i-1 i),(i j+1), & (i i+1), \ldots,(j-1 j) \\
& (j+1 j+2), \ldots,(n n+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $j<i$ then the curves $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_{j+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i, j}, \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ constitute a fundamental system for $p_{n}$ with sequence of monodromies

$$
\begin{aligned}
(12), \ldots,(j-1 j),(j+1 j+2), \ldots, & (i i+1),(j i+1) \\
& (i+1 i+2), \ldots,(n n+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

In both cases, none of the curves $\alpha_{i, j}$ is regular, as can be immediately proved by using Lemma 1.4.

For the second part of the lemma, we observe that the monodromies of the curves taken into account are distinct from each other, with the only exception of $\varphi\left(\alpha_{1, n}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{n, 1}\right)=(1 n+1)$. On the other hand, since $\alpha_{n, 1}=\left(\alpha_{1, n}\right) b$, with $b=\left(x_{n-1} \ldots x_{1}\right)^{n+1} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$, we have that $\alpha_{1, n}$ and $\alpha_{n, 1}$ are $\mathcal{L}_{n}$-equivalent.

Lemma 3.4. Any fundamental system $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ for $p_{n}$ with $n>1$, contains at least two regular curves $\beta_{i_{1}}$ e $\beta_{i_{2}}$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma=\Gamma_{p_{n}}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right)$ be the graph associated to $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$. Moreover, for any $i=1, \ldots, n$, let $\Gamma_{i}=\Gamma_{p_{n}^{\beta_{i}}}\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \beta_{i-1}^{\prime}, \beta_{i+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ be the graph associated to the fundamental system $\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \beta_{i-1}^{\prime}$, $\beta_{i+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \beta_{n}^{\prime}$ for $p_{n}^{\beta_{i}}$. By Lemma 1.1, $\Gamma$ is a tree. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 1.4 that all the $\Gamma_{i}$ 's have two connected components and that $\beta_{i}$ is regular if and only if one component of $\Gamma_{i}$ consists of a single vertex. Then, it is enough to prove that there exist two graph $\Gamma_{i_{1}}$ and $\Gamma_{i_{2}}$ with that property.

The graph $\Gamma_{i}$ can obtained from $\Gamma$, by removing the edge $e_{i}$ and replacing the edge $e_{l}=\left\{v_{j_{l}}, v_{k_{l}}\right\}$ with the new edge $e_{l-1}=$ $\left\{v_{\varphi\left(\beta_{i}\right)\left(j_{l}\right)}, v_{\varphi\left(\beta_{i}\right)\left(k_{l}\right)}\right\}$, for every $l>i$. We remark that the edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{i-1}$, as well as all the $e_{l}$ 's not meeting $e_{i}$, are left unaltered.

Now let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be the full subgraph of $\Gamma$ generated by all the vertices of valence greater than 1 . It is not difficult to see that $\Gamma$ collapses to $\Gamma^{\prime}$ (remember that $n>1$ ). Then, also $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a non-empty tree.

If $\Gamma^{\prime}$ reduces to a single vertex, this vertex is contained in all the edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of $\Gamma$. In this case, we have that $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{n}$ have the required property. Otherwise, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ must contain al least two different valence one vertices $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$. From these vertices come out two different edges $e_{i_{1}}$ and $e_{i_{2}}$ of $\Gamma-\Gamma^{\prime}$, such that the graphs $\Gamma_{i_{1}}$ e $\Gamma_{i_{2}}$ have the required property.

Let us see how to determine $i_{1}$ (in the same way could be determined $i_{2}$ ). Let $e_{l_{1}}$ be the only edge of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ containing $w_{1}$. Since the valence of $w_{1}$ in $\Gamma$ is greater than one, there is least one edge of $\Gamma-\Gamma^{\prime}$ containing $w_{1}$. Then, we can set $i_{1}$ equal to the maximum among the indices of such edges.

We continue by considering some properties of the intervals. First of all, we observe that all the intervals $x_{i}$ are of type 3 with respect to $p_{n}$, while all the intervals $x_{i, j}$ with $j>i+1$ are of type 2 .

Lemma 3.5. All the index 0 intervals are of type 3 with respect to $p_{n}$.

Proof. We recall that the index 0 intervals are the $\widehat{x}_{i, j}$ 's with $i<$ $j$. Such intervals are of type 3 by Lemma 2.3, since the curve $\alpha_{i}$
meets $\widehat{x}_{i, j}$ only at its endpoint, $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=(i i+1)$ and $\varphi\left(\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \widehat{x}_{i, j}\right)=$ $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i+1, j}\right)=(i+1 j+1)$.

Lemma 3.6. All the index 1 intervals are of type 2 with respect to $p_{n}$.

Proof. We recall that the index 1 intervals are the $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}$ 's with $i<k$ and $i \neq j \neq k$. The curve $\alpha_{i}$ meets $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}$ only at its endpoint and we have that $\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \widehat{x}_{i, j, k}$ coincides with $\alpha_{i+1, j, k}$ if $i<j<k$ or $i<j<k$ and with $\alpha_{i, j, k}$ if $j<i<k$. In any case, the transpositions $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \widehat{x}_{i, j, k}\right)$ are disjoint. Then, $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}$ is of type 2 by Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 3.7. There are no intervals of type 1 with respect to $p_{n}$.
Proof. Given any interval $x$ and any curve $\alpha$ which meets $x$ only at its endpoint, let $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ be any fundamental system such that $\beta_{1}=\alpha$ and $\beta_{2}=(\alpha) x$. If $x$ were of type $1, \varphi\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ would coincide with $\varphi\left(\beta_{2}\right)$, in contradiction with Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.4.

For sake of simplicity, we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{n} \subset \mathcal{L}_{n}$ the group $\mathcal{I}_{p_{n}}$ generated by the liftable powers of intervals. The braids $x_{i}^{3}$ and $x_{i, j}^{2}$ with $1 \leq i<n$ and $i+1<j \leq n$ belong to $\mathcal{I}_{n}$. In fact, we will see that they generate $\mathcal{I}_{n}$.

LEMMA 3.8. If $\alpha$ is a curve whose interior meets each one of the curves $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ in at most one point, then $\alpha$ is $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalent to $a$ curve of index 0 .

Proof. We proceed by induction on the index of $\alpha$, assuming that $\alpha$ minimizes the number of intersection points with $\alpha_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \alpha_{n}$ in its isotopy class.

We start with the index 1 case. In this case, we have the curves $\alpha=\alpha_{i, j, k}$, with $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ such that $i \neq j \neq k$, defined in Section 2. If $i=k$, it suffices to observe that $\alpha_{i, j, i}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalent to the index 0 curve $\left(\alpha_{i, j, i}\right) \widehat{x}_{i, j}^{ \pm 3}=\alpha_{i \pm 1, j}$, where $\pm$ is the sign of $j-i$, being $\widehat{x}_{i, j}$ of type 3 by Lemma 3.5. If $i \neq k$, then $\alpha_{i, j, k}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalent to $\left(\alpha_{i, j, k}\right) \widehat{x}_{i, j, k}^{ \pm 2}$, where $\pm$ is the sign of $j-i$, being $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}$ of type 2 by

Lemma 3.6. The curve $\left(\alpha_{i, j, k}\right) \widehat{x}_{i, j, k}^{ \pm 2}$ has index 0 if $|i-j|=1$, while it coincides with the curve $\alpha_{i \pm 1, j, k}$, if $|i-j|>1$. So, we can conclude the case of the $\alpha_{i, j, k}$ 's with $i \neq k$, by induction on $|i-j| \geq 1$.

Now we suppose that $\alpha$ has index $>1$. Let $P_{k}$ be the endpoint of $\alpha$ and let $Q_{i} \in \alpha \cap \alpha_{i}$ and $Q_{j} \in \alpha \cap \alpha_{j}$ be respectively the last but one and the last point in which the interior of $\alpha$ (oriented from $*$ to $P_{k}$ ) meets the curves $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$. We consider the following arcs: $t_{i} \subset \alpha_{i}$ with endpoints $Q_{i}$ and $P_{i}, t_{j} \subset \alpha_{j}$ with endpoints $Q_{j}$ and $P_{j}, s_{i} \subset \alpha$ with endpoints $Q_{i}$ and $P_{k}, s_{j} \subset \alpha$ with endpoints $Q_{j}$ and $P_{k}$. By hypothesis we have $i \neq j$. Moreover, we can assume $j \neq k$, otherwise we could remove the intersection $Q_{j}$ up to isotopy.

If $i=k$, the interval $x=t_{j} \cup s_{j}$ has index 0 . Then, by Lemma $3.5, \alpha$ is $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalent to the curve $(\alpha) x^{ \pm 3}$, with sign - if $t_{j}$ is on the left of $\alpha$ and sign + if $t_{j}$ is on the right of $\alpha$. The curve $(\alpha) x^{ \pm 3}$ has index less than $\alpha$ (the intersections $Q_{i}$ and $Q_{j}$ disappear) and it is $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalent to a curve of index 0 by the induction hypothesis.

If $i \neq k$, the interval $x=t_{i} \cup s_{i}$ has index 1 . Then, by Lemma 3.6, $\alpha$ is $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalent to the curve $(\alpha) x^{ \pm 2}$, with sign - if $t_{i}$ is on the left of $\alpha$ and sign + if $t_{i}$ is on the right of $\alpha$. The curve $(\alpha) x^{ \pm 2}$ has index less than $\alpha$ (the intersection $Q_{i}$ disappears) and it is $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalent to a curve of index 0 by the induction hypothesis.

Lemma 3.9. Every curve $\alpha$ is $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalent to a curve of index 0 .
Proof. We proceed by induction on $n$. For $n=1$ there is nothing to prove. So, let us suppose $n>1$. First of all, we consider the special case in which $\alpha \cap \alpha_{j}=\{*\}$ for some $j=1, \ldots, n$. By Lemma 3.1 and by the induction hypothesis, it exists a braid $b \in \mathcal{I}_{p_{n}^{\alpha j}}$ such that the curve $\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) b$ has index 0 with respect to the fundamental system $\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{j-1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{j+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}$ for $p_{n}^{\alpha_{j}}$. The braid $b$ can also be considered as a braid in $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ and it is easy to verify that the curve $(\alpha) b$ satisfies Lemma 3.8. Then $\alpha$ is $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalent to a curve of index 0 . By Lemma 3.2, also the cases $\alpha \cap \alpha_{1, n}=\{*\}$ and $\alpha \cap \alpha_{n, 1}=\{*\}$, with the braid $b$ respectively in $\mathcal{I}_{p_{n}^{\alpha 1, n}}$ and in $\mathcal{I}_{p_{n}^{\alpha, 1}}$ can be treated in an analogous way.

Now we carry on the proof by induction on the index of $\alpha$, assuming that $\alpha$ meets every $\alpha_{j}$ in some point other than $*$. For every
$j=1, \ldots, n$, we denote by $Q_{j}$ the point of $\alpha \cap \alpha_{j}$ nearest to $P_{j}$ along $\alpha_{j}$, and by $\beta_{j}$ the curve obtained following $\alpha$ from $*$ to $Q_{j}$ and then $\alpha_{j}$ from $Q_{j}$ to $P_{j}$. If $P_{k}$ is the endpoint of $\alpha$, then $\beta_{k}=\alpha$ and all the curves $\beta_{j}$ with $j \neq k$ have index less than $\alpha$. Since the curves $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$, suitably renumbered, constitute a fundamental system, Lemma 3.4 ensures the existence of $l \neq k$ such that $\beta_{l}$ is regular. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $b \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$ such that $\left(\beta_{l}\right) b$ has index 0 . Then $\left(\beta_{l}\right) b$ coincides either with some $\alpha_{j}$ or with $\alpha_{1, n}$ or with $\alpha_{n, 1}$. Hence, $(\alpha) b$ is $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalent to a curve of index 0 , being included in the cases examined at the beginning of the proof. It follows that $\alpha$ is as well $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalent to a curve of index 0 .

Lemma 3.10. $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ is generated by liftable powers of intervals.
Proof. We proceed by induction on $n$. If $n=1$ there is nothing to prove. If $n>1$ and $b \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$, then Lemmas 3.9 and 3.3, give us a braid $c \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$ such that $\left(\alpha_{n}\right) b c=\alpha_{n}$, in such a way that $b c$ can be considered as a braid in $\mathcal{L}_{p_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}}$. By the regularity of $\alpha_{n}$ and by induction hypothesis, we have $b c \in \mathcal{I}_{p_{n}{ }_{n}} \subset \mathcal{I}_{n}$ and therefore $b \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$.

Now, let $\mathcal{J}_{n} \subset \mathcal{L}_{n}$ denote the subgroup generated by the braids $x_{i}^{3}$ and $x_{i, j}^{2}$ with $1 \leq i<n$ and $i+1<j \leq n$. We want to prove that actually $\mathcal{J}_{n}=\mathcal{L}_{n}$, that is our Theorem C.

To get this goal, observe that in the proof of Lemma 3.10 we do not use the liftable powers of all the intervals, but only of some particular intervals. Therefore, it is enough to show that each one of these particular intervals is $\mathcal{J}_{n}$-equivalent to some $x_{i}$ or $x_{i, j}$.

Lemma 3.11. Every interval $x=\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i+1}^{e_{i+1}} \ldots x_{j-1}^{e_{j-1}}$, with $e_{i+1}, \ldots$, $e_{j-1}= \pm 1$ and $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, is $\mathcal{J}_{n}$-equivalent to some $x_{h, k}$, so all the liftable powers of $x$ belong to $\mathcal{J}_{n}$.

Proof. By induction on the number of negative $e_{l}$ 's. If all the $e_{l}$ 's are positive, then $x=x_{i, j}$. Otherwise, let $m \geq i+1$ be the minimum integer such that $e_{m}=-1$. If $m=i+1$, then $x=$ $\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i+1}^{-1} x_{i+2}^{e_{i+2}} \ldots x_{j-1}^{e_{j-1}}=(y) z^{2} x_{i}^{3}$ with $y=\left(x_{i+1}\right) x_{i+2}^{e_{i+2}} \ldots x_{j-1}^{e_{j-1}}$ and $z=\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i+1} x_{i+2}^{e_{i+2}} \ldots x_{j-1}^{e_{j-1}}$. Since $y$ and $z$ are $\mathcal{J}_{n}$-equivalent to some
$x_{h, k}$ by the induction hypothesis and $z$ is of type 2 (so $z^{2} \in \mathcal{J}_{n}$ ), we have that also $x$ is $\mathcal{J}_{n}$-equivalent to some $x_{h, k}$. If $m>i+1$, then $x=\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i+1} \ldots x_{m-1} x_{m}^{-1} x_{m+1}^{e_{m+1}} \ldots x_{j-1}^{e_{j-1}}=(t) x_{i, m}^{2}$ with $t=$ $\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i+1} \ldots x_{m-1} x_{m} x_{m+1}^{e_{m+1}} \ldots x_{j-1}^{e_{j-1}}$. Since $t$ is $\mathcal{J}_{n}$-equivalent to some $x_{h, k}$ by the induction hypothesis, also $x$ is $\mathcal{J}_{n}$-equivalent to some $x_{h, k}$.

Lemma 3.12. Every interval $x$ of index $\leq 1$ is $\mathcal{J}_{n}$-equivalent to some $x_{h, k}$, so all the liftable powers of $x$ belong to $\mathcal{J}_{n}$.

Proof. The intervals of index 0 , that is the $\widehat{x}_{i, j}$ 's have been already considered in Lemma 3.11. The same also holds for the intervals of index 1 of type $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}$ with $i<j<k$, in fact for these intervals we have $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}=\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i+1}^{-1} \ldots x_{j-1}^{-1} x_{j} x_{j+1}^{-1} \ldots x_{k-1}^{-1}$.

It remains only to deal with the intervals $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}=\left(\widehat{x}_{i, j}\right) \widehat{x}_{j, k}^{-1}$ such that either $i<k<j$ or $j<i<k$. In the first case we have that $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}$ is $\mathcal{J}_{n}$-equivalent to the interval $\left(\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}\right) \widehat{x}_{j, k}^{3}=\widehat{x}_{i, k, j}$. In the second case we have that $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}$ is $\mathcal{J}_{n}$-equivalent to the interval $\left(\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}\right) \widehat{x}_{i, j}^{-3}=\widehat{x}_{j, i, k}$. Hence, in both the cases $\widehat{x}_{i, j, k}$ is $\mathcal{J}_{n}$-equivalent to an interval having the form considered above.

Proof of Theorem $C$. We proceed by induction on $n$. For $n=1$ there is nothing to prove. So, let us suppose $n>1$. In the proof of Lemma 3.8, the $\mathcal{I}_{n}$-equivalence desired is obtained by using liftable powers of intervals of index $\leq 1$, which belong in $\mathcal{J}_{n}$ by Lemma 3.12. On the other hand, in proofs of Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10, we use liftable powers of intervals in $\mathcal{I}_{p_{n}^{\alpha_{j}}}, \mathcal{I}_{p_{n}^{\alpha_{1, n}}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{p_{n}^{\alpha_{n, 1}}}$. By the induction hypothesis, these groups are generated by braids of the form $y_{i}^{3}$ and $y_{h, k}^{2}$ with $y_{i}=h\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $y_{h, k}=h\left(x_{h, k}\right)$, where $h$ denotes one of the homeomorphism $h_{j}, h_{1, n}$ and $h_{n, 1}$ given by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. It is not difficult to see that the intervals $y_{i}$ and $y_{h, k}$ are among the ones considered in Lemma 3.11, so their liftable powers belong to $\mathcal{J}_{n}$.

Then, we can replace the group $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ with the group $\mathcal{J}_{n}$ in Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 as well as in the proof of Lemma 3.10 , in order to get $\mathcal{L}_{n}=\mathcal{J}_{n}$.

## 4. Liftable braids with respect to $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$

All this section is devoted to prove Theorem B. Here, we consider an arbitrary connected simple branched covering $p: F \rightarrow B^{2}$ of order $d$ with $n$ branch points. As in the previous section, we assume the base point $* \in S^{1}$, the branch points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n} \in \operatorname{Int} B^{2}$, the fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and the numbering of the sheets of $p$ fixed in such a way that: (1) $\alpha_{i}$ joins $*$ to $P_{i}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$; (2) the monodromy sequence $\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ is in the canonical form given in the proof of Theorem A.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\beta$ be a curve such that $p^{\beta}$ is connected and let $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ be a fundamental system for $p$. Then $\beta$ is $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to a curve $\gamma$ such that $\gamma \cap \beta_{i}=\{*\}$ for some $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Let $\gamma$ be a curve of minimum index with respect to the fundamental system $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ among all the curves $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to $\beta$. Let us also assume that $\gamma$ minimizes the number of intersection points with $\beta_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \beta_{n}$ in its isotopy class. We claim that there exists an integer $i=1, \ldots, n$ such that $\gamma \cap \beta_{i}=\{*\}$.

Suppose, by the contrary, that $\gamma$ meets any $\beta_{i}$ in some point other than $*$. For each $i=1, \ldots, n$, we denote by $Q_{i}$ the last point of $\gamma \cap \beta_{i}$ along $\beta_{i}$ (starting from $*$ ) and with $\gamma_{i}$ the curve obtained following $\gamma$ until $Q_{i}$ and then $\beta_{i}$ until its endpoint. Up to isotopy, we can suppose $\gamma_{i} \cap \gamma_{j}=\{*\}$ for all $i \neq j$. If the endpoint of $\gamma$ coincides with the endpoint of $\beta_{k}$, then $\gamma_{k}=\gamma$ and any curve $\gamma_{i}$ with $i \neq k$ has index less than $\gamma$. We denote by $\sigma_{i}=\varphi\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ the monodromy of $\gamma_{i}$. In particular, let $\sigma_{k}=(a b)$ be the monodromy of $\gamma$.

Let us consider the intervals $y_{i, j} \simeq \gamma_{i} \cup \gamma_{j}$ for $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq$ $i, j \leq n$. We observe that all the $y_{i, k}$ 's are of type 3 , that is any transposition $\sigma_{i}$ with $i \neq k$ is distinct but not disjoint from ( $a b$ ). Indeed, if $y_{i, k}$ were of type 1 or 2 then $\gamma$ would be $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to the curve $(\gamma) y_{i, k}^{ \pm 2}$, with - or + depending on whether $\gamma_{i}$ is on the left or on the right of $\gamma$, which has index less than $\gamma$.

On the other hand, if $\gamma_{i}$ and $\gamma_{j}$, with $i, j \neq k$, are on the same side with respect to $\gamma$, then $\left\{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right\} \neq\{(a b),(b c)\}$. Indeed, assuming that $Q_{i}$ precedes $Q_{j}$ along $\gamma$ (starting from $*$ ), the equality $\left\{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right\}=$ $\{(a b),(b c)\}$ would imply the liftability of the interval $x=\left(y_{j, k}\right) y_{i, j}^{ \pm 2}$,
with - or + depending on the fact that $\gamma_{i}$ and $\gamma_{j}$ are on the left or on the right of $\gamma$. Therefore, $\gamma$ would be $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to the curve $\delta=(\gamma) x^{ \pm 1}$, with the same choice for the sign, which has index less than $\gamma$.

Analogously, if $\gamma_{i}$ and $\gamma_{j}$, with $i, j \neq k$, are on opposite sides with respect to $\gamma$, then $\sigma_{i} \neq \sigma_{j}$. Indeed, assuming as above that $Q_{i}$ precedes $Q_{j}$ along $\gamma$ (starting from $*$ ), the equality $\sigma_{i}=\sigma_{j}$ would imply the liftability of $y_{i, j}$. Therefore, $\gamma$ would be $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to the curve $\delta=(\gamma) y_{i, j}^{ \pm 1}$, with - or + depending on the fact that $\gamma_{i}$ is on the left or on the right of $\gamma$, which has index less than $\gamma$.

Hence, by renumbering the $\gamma_{i}$ 's in clockwise order, we get a new fundamental system for $p$, whose monodromy sequence has the form

$$
\left(c_{1} d_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{h-1} d_{h-1}\right),(a b),\left(c_{h+1} d_{h+1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{n} d_{n}\right)
$$

and satisfies the following properties: $c_{i} \notin\{a, b\}$ and $d_{i} \in\{a, b\}$ for any $i \neq h$; if $i, j<h$ or $i, j>h$ then $c_{i}=c_{j} \Rightarrow d_{i}=d_{j}$; if $i<h<j$ then $c_{i}=c_{j} \Rightarrow d_{i} \neq d_{j}$. Then, by putting $C_{a}^{-}=\left\{c_{i} \mid d_{i}=a \wedge i<h\right\}$, $C_{a}^{+}=\left\{c_{i} \mid d_{i}=a \wedge i>h\right\}, C_{b}^{-}=\left\{c_{i} \mid d_{i}=b \wedge i<h\right\}$ and $C_{b}^{+}=\left\{c_{i} \mid d_{i}=b \wedge i>h\right\}$, we have $C_{a}^{-} \cap C_{b}^{-}=C_{a}^{+} \cap C_{b}^{+}=$ $C_{a}^{-} \cap C_{a}^{+}=C_{b}^{-} \cap C_{b}^{+}=\emptyset$.

Now, the fundamental system $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \gamma_{h-1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{h+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ for the covering $p^{\gamma}$ has monodromy sequence $\left(c_{1} d_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{h-1} d_{h-1}\right)$, $\left(c_{h+1} \bar{d}_{h+1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{n} \bar{d}_{n}\right)$, where $\bar{d}_{i}=a$ if $d_{i}=b$ and $\bar{d}_{i}=b$ if $d_{i}=$ $a$. Such a sequence of transpositions can be reordered in the form $\left(e_{1} a\right), \ldots,\left(e_{l} a\right),\left(e_{l+1} b\right), \ldots,\left(e_{n-1} b\right)$ with $e_{i} \in C_{a}^{-} \cup C_{b}^{+}$if $i \leq l$ and $e_{i} \in C_{a}^{+} \cup C_{b}^{-}$if $i \geq l+1$. Therefore the two sets $C_{a}^{-} \cup C_{b}^{+} \cup\{a\}$ e $C_{a}^{+} \cup C_{b}^{-} \cup\{b\}$ are disjoint, non-empty and closed with respect to the action of the group generated by these transpositions. Of course, this fact contradicts the connection of $p^{\gamma} \cong p^{\beta}$. So, $\gamma$ cannot meet any $\beta_{i}$ in some point different from the point $*$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\beta$ be a curve such that $\beta=\left(\alpha_{m}\right)$ b, with $b \in \mathcal{L}_{p}$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$, and $p^{\beta} \cong p^{\alpha_{m}}$ is connected. Then $\beta$ is $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to a curve $\delta$ such that $\delta \cap \alpha_{i}=\{*\}$ for some $i=1, \ldots, m$ and $\delta$ starts from $*$ on the left (resp. right) of $\alpha_{i}$ if $i<m$ (resp. $i \geq m$ ).

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, $\beta$ is $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to a curve $\gamma$ which meets at least one of the $\alpha_{i}$ 's only in $*$. In other words, the set $S \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of the $i$ 's such that $\gamma \cap \alpha_{i}=\{*\}$ is nonempty. We can also assume that $\gamma$ has minimum index (with respect to the fundamental system $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ ) among all the curves having such property in the $\mathcal{I}_{p^{-}}$ equivalence class of $\beta$.

If there exists $i \in S$ such that either $i<m$ and $\gamma$ starts from $*$ on the left of $\alpha_{i}$ or $i \geq m$ and $\gamma$ starts from $*$ on the right of $\alpha_{i}$, then we can put $\delta=\gamma$.

If such an $i$ does not exist, but there exists $i \in S$ such that the interval $x \simeq \gamma \cup \alpha_{i}$ is of type 1 or 2 , then we can put $\delta=(\gamma) x^{ \pm 2}$, with + or - depending on the fact that $\gamma$ starts from $*$ on the left or on the right of $\alpha_{i}$.

In the remaining cases, all the curves $\alpha_{i}$ with $i \in S$ have the same monodromy and start from $*$ on the same side with respect to $\gamma$. Assuming this property and also that $\gamma$ minimizes the number of intersection points with $\alpha_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \alpha_{n}$ in its isotopy class, we construct the curves $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}$ as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 with the $\alpha_{i}$ 's in place of the $\beta_{i}$. In particular we get $\gamma_{i}=\alpha_{i}$ if $i \in S$. At this point, we can carry on the proof analogously to the proof of Lemma 4.1, with the only difference that, each time a curve $\gamma_{i}$ with $i \in S$ is involved in the reasoning, we get a good definition of $\delta$ instead of a contradiction with respect to the minimality of $\gamma$.

Proof of Theorem B. We proceed by induction on the number $n$ of branch points of $p$. For $n=1$ the result is trivial. So, let us suppose $n>1$.

On the other hand, the case $p \cong p_{n}$ has been examined in Lemma 3.10. Hence we can also assume $p \neq p_{n}$, in such a way that there exists $m \leq d-1$ minimum index such that $\varphi\left(\alpha_{m}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{m+1}\right)$. Then $p^{\alpha_{m}}$ is connected and $\varphi\left(\alpha_{m}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{m+1}\right)=(m m+1)$.

We start by observing that, if $b \in \mathcal{L}_{p}$ and there exists a curve $\alpha$ for $p$ such that $p^{\alpha}$ is connected and $(\alpha) b$ is $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to $\alpha$, then $b \in \mathcal{I}_{p}$. Indeed, if $c \in \mathcal{I}_{p}$ is such that $(\alpha) b=(\alpha) c$, then $(\alpha) b c^{-1}=\alpha$ and therefore $b c^{-1}$ can be thought as a braid in $\mathcal{L}_{p^{\alpha}}$. By the induction hypothesis, we have $b c^{-1} \in \mathcal{I}_{p^{\alpha}} \subset \mathcal{I}_{p}$ and therefore $b \in \mathcal{I}_{p}$. It is easy to see that an analogous argument also holds if $p^{\alpha}$ is not connected but has at most one non-trivial component.

Now, let $b \in \mathcal{L}_{p}$ be an arbitrary liftable braid. By Lemma 4.2, the curve $\beta=\left(\alpha_{m}\right) b$ is $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to a curve $\gamma$ such that $\gamma \cap \alpha_{i}=\{*\}$ for some $i=1, \ldots, n$. Moreover, $\gamma$ starts from $*$ on the right of $\alpha_{i}$ if $i<m$ and on the left of $\alpha_{i}$ if $i \geq m$. At this point, we conclude the proof by checking separately the three possible cases.
(1) $i<m$. In this case $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=(i i+1)$ and both the restrictions $p^{\alpha_{i}}$ and $p^{\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{m}}$ have two components, one of which is trivial (the one corresponding to the sheet $i+1$ with respect to the base point $*^{\prime}$ ). On the other hand, $p^{\gamma}$ is connected and therefore the components of $p^{\alpha_{i}, \gamma}$ can not be more than two and they coincide with the ones of $p^{\alpha_{i}}$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists $c \in \mathcal{L}_{p}$ such that $\left(\alpha_{i}\right) c=\alpha_{i}$ and $\left(\alpha_{m}\right) c=\gamma$. By applying the induction hypothesis to $c$ thought as a braid in $\mathcal{L}_{p^{\alpha_{i}}}$, we have that $c \in \mathcal{I}_{p^{\alpha_{i}}} \subset \mathcal{I}_{p}$ and therefore $\beta=\left(\alpha_{m}\right) b$ is $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to $\alpha_{m}$. Finally, the starting observation enable us to conclude that $b \in \mathcal{I}_{p}$.
(2) $i=m, m+1$ or $i>m+1$ with $m=d-1$. In this case the interval $x \simeq \gamma \cup \alpha_{i}$ is of type 1 and $\gamma$ is $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to $\alpha_{i}$ and therefore to $\alpha_{m}$. Then $b \in \mathcal{I}_{p}$, since $\beta=\left(\alpha_{m}\right) b$ is $\mathcal{I}_{p}$-equivalent to $\alpha_{m}$.
(3) $i>m+1$ with $m<d-1$. In this case we have $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=(l l+1)$ with $l>m$, moreover the restrictions $p^{\alpha_{i}}$ and $p^{\alpha_{m}, \alpha_{i}}$ are both connected or they have two components one of which is trivial (the one corresponding to the sheet $i+1$ with respect to the base point $\left.*^{\prime}\right)$. We consider a fundamental system $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n-2}, \gamma, \alpha_{i}$ for $p$ and set $\varphi\left(\delta_{j}\right)=\sigma_{j}$ for each $j=1, \ldots, n-2$. Then $\sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{n-2}=$ $\varphi(\omega)(l l+1)(m m+1)=(m m-1 \ldots 1) \sigma(l l+1)(m m+1)$ with $\sigma$ product of cycles all disjoint from ( $m m-1 \ldots 1$ ). It follows that $\left(\sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{n-2}\right)^{m}(m)=m+1$. Hence the orbits of the action of $\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-2}\right\rangle \subset \Sigma_{d}$ coincide with the ones of the action of $\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-2},(m m+1)\right\rangle$, so that also the components of $p^{\gamma, \alpha_{i}}$ correspond to the ones of $p^{\alpha_{i}}$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists $c \in \mathcal{L}_{p}$ such that $\left(\alpha_{m}\right) c=\gamma$ and $\left(\alpha_{i}\right) c=\alpha_{i}$. Then, we can conclude that $b \in \mathcal{I}_{p}$ by the same argument of case (1).

At this point, in order to prove that $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ is finitely generated and therefore can be generated by a finite set of liftable powers of intervals, it suffices to observe that $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ is a subgroup of finite index of $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ (see [8]). In fact, given $b, c \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$, we have that $b c^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{p}$ if
and only if $\varphi\left(\left(\alpha_{i}\right) b c^{-1}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$, by Lemma 2.1. Then $b$ and $c$ belong to the same coset of $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ if and only if $\varphi\left(\left(\alpha_{i}\right) b\right)=\varphi\left(\left(\alpha_{i}\right) c\right)$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$. This means that there is a bijective correspondence between cosets of $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ and admissible sequences of transpositions of $\Sigma_{d}$ of length $n$. Therefore $\left|B_{n}: L_{p}\right| \leq(d(d-1) / 2)^{n}$.
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