

A Note on Fixed Fuzzy Points for Fuzzy Mappings

VICENTE D. ESTRUCH AND ANNA VIDAL (*)

SUMMARY. - *We prove a fixed fuzzy point theorem for fuzzy contraction mappings (in the S. Heilpern's sense) over a complete metric space, and as a consequence we obtain a fixed point theorem in the context of intuitionistic fuzzy sets.*

1. Introduction

After the introduction of the concept of a fuzzy set by Zadeh, several researches were conducted on the generalizations of the concept of a fuzzy set. The idea of intuitionistic fuzzy set is due to Atanassov [1], [2], [3] and recently Çoker [4] has defined the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy topological space which generalizes the concept of fuzzy topological space introduced by Chang [5]. Heilpern [6] introduced the concept of a fuzzy mapping and proved a fixed point theorem for fuzzy contraction mappings which is a generalization of the fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings of Nadler [7]. In this paper we give a fixed fuzzy point theorem for fuzzy contraction mappings over a complete metric space, which is a generalization of the given by S. Heilpern for fixed points. Then, we introduce the concept

(*) Authors' addresses: Vicente D. Estruch, Escuela Politécnica Superior de Gandia, 46730-Grau de Gandia, Valencia, Spain, e-mail: vdestruc@mat.upv.es
Anna Vidal, Escuela Politécnica Superior de Gandia, 46730-Grau de Gandia, Valencia, Spain, e-mail: avidal@mat.upv.es
AMS Subject Classification: 54A40
Keywords: Fixed point, fuzzy mapping, intuitionistic fuzzy set.
Partially supported by the grants from UPV "Incentivo a la Investigación / 99" and from Generalitat Valenciana GV00-122-1

of intuitionistic fuzzy mapping and give an intuitionistic version of Heilpern's mentioned theorem.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a nonempty set and $I = [0, 1]$. A fuzzy set of X is an element of I^X . For $A, B \in I^X$ we denote $A \subset B$ iff $A(x) \leq B(x)$, $\forall x \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.1. (Atanassov [3]) *An intuitionistic fuzzy set (i-fuzzy set, for short) A of X is an object having the form $A = \langle A^1, A^2 \rangle$ where $A^1, A^2 \in I^X$ and $A^1(x) + A^2(x) \leq 1$, $\forall x \in X$.*

We denote $IFS(X)$ the family of all i-fuzzy sets of X .

REMARK 2.2. *If $A \in I^X$, then A is identified with the i-fuzzy set $\langle A, 1 - A \rangle$ denoted by $[A]$.*

For $x \in X$ we write $\{x\}$ the characteristic function of the ordinary subset $\{x\}$ of X . For $\alpha \in]0, 1]$ the fuzzy point [8] x_α of X is the fuzzy set of X given by $x_\alpha(x) = \alpha$ and $x_\alpha(z) = 0$ if $z \neq x$. Now we give the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.3. *Let x_α be a fuzzy point of X . We will say $\langle x_\alpha, 1 - x_\alpha \rangle$ is an i-fuzzy point of X and it will be denoted by $[x_\alpha]$. In particular $[x] = \langle \{x\}, 1 - \{x\} \rangle$ will be called an i-point of X .*

DEFINITION 2.4. (Atanassov [3]) *Let $A, B \in IFS(X)$. Then $A \subset B$ iff $A^1 \subset B^1$ and $B^2 \subset A^2$.*

REMARK 2.5. *Notice $[x_\alpha] \subset A$ iff $x_\alpha \subset A^1$.*

Let (X, d) be a metric linear space. Recall the α -level A_α of $A \in I^X$ is defined by $A_\alpha = \{x \in X : A(x) \geq \alpha\}$ for each $\alpha \in]0, 1]$, and $A_0 = cl(\{x \in X : A(x) > 0\})$ where $cl(B)$ is the closure of B . Heilpern [6] called fuzzy mapping a mapping from the set X into a family $W(X) \subset I^X$ defined as follows: $A \in W(X)$ iff A_α is compact and convex in X for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $\sup\{A(x) : x \in X\} = 1$. In this context we give the following definitions.

DEFINITION 2.6. Let $A, B \in W(X)$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Define

$$p_\alpha(A, B) = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in A_\alpha, y \in B_\alpha\},$$

$$D_\alpha = H(A_\alpha, B_\alpha),$$

$$D(A, B) = \sup_\alpha D_\alpha(A, B), \text{ where } H \text{ is the Hausdorff distance.}$$

For $x \in X$ we write $p_\alpha(x, B)$ instead of $p_\alpha(\{x\}, B)$.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let X be a metric space and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Consider the following family $W_\alpha(X)$:

$$W_\alpha(X) = \{A \in I^X : A_\alpha \text{ is nonempty and compact}\}.$$

Now, we define the family $\Phi_\alpha(X)$ of i -fuzzy sets of X as follows:

$$\Phi_\alpha(X) = \{A \in IFS(X) : A^1 \in W_\alpha(X)\}$$

Clearly, for $\alpha \in I$, $W(X) \subset \Phi_\alpha(X)$ in the sense of Remark 2.2.

We will use the following lemmas which are adequate modifications of the ones given in [6] for the family $W(X)$, when (X, d) is a metric space.

LEMMA 2.8. Let $x \in X$ and $A \in W_\alpha(X)$. Then $x_\alpha \subset A$ if $p_\alpha(x, A) = 0$.

LEMMA 2.9. $p_\alpha(x, A) \leq d(x, y) + p_\alpha(y, A)$, for $x, y \in X$, $A \in W_\alpha(X)$.

LEMMA 2.10. If $x_\alpha \subset A$, then $p_\alpha(x, B) \leq D_\alpha(A, B)$, for each $A, B \in W_\alpha(X)$

3. Fixed fuzzy point theorem

In mathematical programming, problems are expressed as optimizing some goal function given certain constraints and there are real-life problems that consider multiple objectives. Generally, it is very difficult to get a feasible solution that carries us to the optimum of all the objective functions. A possible method of resolution that is quite useful is one using Fuzzy Sets. The idea is to relax the pretenses of

optimization by means of a subjective gradation which can be modelled into fuzzy membership functions μ_i . If $F = \cap \mu_i$ the objective will be to search x such that $\max F = F(x)$. If $\max F = 1$, then there exists x such that $F(x) = 1$, but if $\max F = \alpha, \alpha \in]0, 1[$ the solution of the multiobjective optimization is a fuzzy point x_α and $F(x) = \alpha$.

In a more general sense than the one given by Heilpern, a mapping $F : X \longrightarrow I^X$ is a fuzzy mapping over X ([9]) and $(F(x))(x)$ is the fixed degree of x for F . In this context we give the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.1. *Let x_α be a fuzzy point of X . We will say that x_α is a fixed fuzzy point of the fuzzy mapping F over X if $x_\alpha \subset F(x)$ (i.e., the fixed degree of x is at least α). In particular, and according to [6], if $\{x\} \subset F(x)$ we say that x is a fixed point of F .*

The next proposition is a generalization of [6] Theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ and let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let F be a fuzzy mapping from X into $W_\alpha(X)$ satisfying the following condition: there exists $q \in]0, 1[$ such that*

$$D_\alpha(F(x), F(y)) \leq qd(x, y), \text{ for each } x, y \in X.$$

Then there exists $x \in X$ such that x_α is a fixed fuzzy point of F . In particular if $\alpha = 1$, then x is a fixed point of F .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Since $(F(x_0))_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $x_1 \in (F(x_0))_\alpha$. there exists $x_2 \in (F(x_0))_\alpha$. Since $(F(x_1))_\alpha$ is a nonempty compact subset of X , then there exists $x_2 \in (F(x_1))_\alpha$ such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) = d(x_1, (F(x_1))_\alpha) \leq D_\alpha(F(x_0), F(x_1))$$

by Lemma 2.10. By induction we construct a sequence (x_n) in X such that $x_n \in (F(x_{n-1}))_\alpha$ and $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq D_\alpha(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_n))$.

In a similar way to the proof of [6] Theorem 3.1., it is proved that (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose (x_n) converges to $x \in X$. Now, by Lemmas 2.9, 2.10 we have

$$p_\alpha(x, F(x)) \leq d(x, x_n) + p_\alpha(x_n, F(x)) \leq d(x, x_n) + D_\alpha(F(x_{n-1}), F(x)) \\ \leq d(x, x_n) + qd(x_{n-1}, x)$$

In consequence $p_\alpha(x, F(x)) = 0$ and by Lemma 2.8, $x_\alpha \subset F(x)$. \square

REMARK 3.3. *Theorem 3.1 of [6] states the existence of a fixed point of the fuzzy mapping $F : X \rightarrow W(X)$ whenever $D(F(x), F(y)) \leq qd(x, y)$ for each $x, y \in X$, being $q \in]0, 1[$. Now, in the light of the above theorem, it is clear that the condition $D(F(x), F(y)) \leq qd(x, y)$ can be weakened to $D_1(F(x), F(y)) \leq qd(x, y)$.*

The next example illustrates when the above theorem has certain advantages if compared with Heilpern's (Theorem 3.1 of [6]).

EXAMPLE 3.4. *Take $a, b, c \in]-\infty, +\infty[$, such that $a < b < c$. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ the Euclidean metric. Let $\alpha \in]0, 0.5[$ and suppose $F : X \rightarrow I^X$ defined by*

$$F(a)(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=a \\ 2\alpha & \text{if } x=b \\ \alpha/2 & \text{if } x=c \end{cases} \quad F(b)(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=a \\ \alpha & \text{if } x=b \\ \alpha/2 & \text{if } x=c \end{cases} \\ F(c)(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=a \\ \alpha & \text{if } x=b \\ 0 & \text{if } x=c \end{cases}$$

Then

$$F(a)_1 = F(b)_1 = F(c)_1 = \{a\}, \quad F(a)_\alpha = F(b)_\alpha = F(c)_\alpha = \{a, b\}, \\ F(a)_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = F(b)_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \{a, b, c\} \text{ and } F(c)_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \{a, b\}.$$

Consequently

$$D_1(F(x), F(y)) = H(F(x)_1, F(y)_1) = 0, \\ D_\alpha(F(x), F(y)) = H(F(x)_\alpha, F(y)_\alpha) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in X .$$

By Theorem 3.2 there exists a fixed fuzzy point x_1 (a fixed point) and a fixed fuzzy point x_α of the fuzzy mapping F . We can see by the definition of F that a is a fixed point and b_α is a fuzzy point. Nevertheless Heilpern's theorem is not useful in this example because $D_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(F(a), F(c)) = H(\{a, b, c\}, \{a, b\}) \geq d(a, c)$ and then $D(F(a), F(c)) = \sup_r H(F(a)_r, F(c)_r) \geq d(a, c)$.

4. Fixed i-fuzzy point

DEFINITION 4.1. An *i-fuzzy mapping* over X is a mapping F from X into $IFS(X)$. We will say that $[x_\alpha]$ is a *fixed i-fuzzy point* of F if $[x_\alpha] \subset F(x)$. In particular we will say that $[x]$ is a *fixed i-point* of F if $[x] \subset F(x)$.

DEFINITION 4.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. For $A, B \in \Phi_\alpha(X)$ we define $D_\alpha^*(A, B) = \max\{D_\alpha(A^1, B^1), D_\alpha(1 - A^2, 1 - B^2)\}$. Clearly, D_α^* is a pseudometric on $\Phi_\alpha(X)$.

REMARK 4.3. Let $A, B \in W_\alpha(X)$. If we consider $[A], [B] \in \Phi_\alpha(X)$ then

$$D_\alpha^*([A], [B]) = \max\{D_\alpha(A, B), D_\alpha(1 - (1 - A), 1 - (1 - B))\} = D_\alpha(A, B)$$

Now, as a consequence of Theorem 3.2 we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.4. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let F be an *i-fuzzy mapping* from X into $\Phi_\alpha(X)$, $\alpha \in]0, 1]$, satisfying the following condition: There exists $q \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$D_\alpha^*(F(x), F(y)) \leq qd(x, y), \text{ for each } x, y \in X$$

Then there exists $x \in X$ such that $[x_\alpha]$ is a *fixed i-fuzzy point* of F . In particular if $\alpha = 1$, then $[x]$ is a *fixed i-point* of F .

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the referee for his valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. ATANASSOV, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, (Sofia) (V. Sgurev, ed.), 1984, (June 1983 Central Sci. and techn. Library, Bulg. Academy of Sciences).
- [2] K. ATANASSOV, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **20** (1986), 87–96.
- [3] K. ATANASSOV, *Review and new results on intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Preprint IM-MFAIS-1-88, 1988.
- [4] D. ÇOKER, *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **88** (1997), 81–89.
- [5] C.L. CHANG, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **24** (1986), 182–190.
- [6] S. HEILPERN, *Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorem*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **83** (1981), 566–569.
- [7] S.B. NADLER, *Multivalued contraction mapping*, *Pacific. J. Math.* **30** (1969), 475–488.
- [8] P-M. PU AND Y-M. LIU, *Fuzzy Topology. I. Neighborhood Structure of a Fuzzy Point and Moore-Smith Convergence.*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **76** (1980), 571–599.
- [9] CHANG SHIH-SEN, *Fixed degree for fuzzy mappings and a generalization of Ky Fan's theorem*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **24** (1987), 103–112.

Received January 18, 2000.