# The Legal Framework of a "Marketless" Economy in the Old Babylonian Period with regard to "Sale and Community"

**GUIDO PFEIFER** 

#### 1. Introduction

In his book "Debt. The first 5000 Years" David Graeber, the *spiritus rector* of the occupy-movement, claims that markets in Mesopotamia were a byproduct of the complex administrative systems there, both of them based on credit. Of course, this is not the place to give a review of Graeber's analysis of the history of debt, but at least one of the consequences that he draws from his analysis may catch our attention, when he postulates with respect to the actual crisis we face these days the need for a general debt release<sup>2</sup> – a political and economic measure which seems rather familiar to ancient legal historians and to which we will refer to later in this paper. At the same time the characterization of markets as a "mere" by-product fits in well with the perspective of quite a number of scholars who deny categorically the effectiveness of market principles for Ancient Near Eastern economies.<sup>3</sup> One of the most prominent representatives of this perspective is definitely Johannes Renger,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Graeber 2012, 404.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Graeber 2012, 410.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. PFEIFER 2013, 261. For abbreviations cf. the indices in vol. 12 of the Reallexikon für Assyriologie und Vorderasiatische Archäologie (Berlin/Boston 2009-2011).

the German Nestor of Ancient Near Eastern economic and social history, to whom the combination of the *oikos*-system of the temple up to the end of the third and the redistributional system of the palace from the second millennium BCE onwards on one hand and a sustenance-oriented production on the other hand leave little space for competition and therefore for exchange that follows market rules.<sup>4</sup> On the other hand we have, of course, numerous and clear evidence of both, individual and official exchange of goods. From Renger's point of view this exchange marks but an additional fulfillment of demand.<sup>5</sup> Again, the purpose of this paper is not, and cannot be, to find a definite answer to the question if there was a "market" in Ancient Near Eastern societies or not. This paper rather asks for the meaning of the framework that was provided by the legal system to the participants of economic exchange as such.

For Max Weber the legal order is one of the decisive factors of an economic system. The foreseeability of legal rules and their enforcement by the political power design the conditions under which the individual participates in the economic system and which determinate his or her expectations. The chances for a successful participation of the individual that derive from those conditions can either be conceived as a mere reflex of the legal rules or even as a guarantee. Needless to mention that the legal order for Weber doesn't only exist in positive legal rules, but also in customary law with fluent transitions between custom, convention and law. Against this background it seems reasonable to ask, if, on one hand, our sources show evidence of legal rules that refer to economic behavior in a specific way, and if the records of economic and legal practice correspond in any way to this reference.

Due to the general topic of this volume the following analysis will concentrate on sale, which is, besides of loan and exchange, one of the most fundamental institutions and forms of economic behavior. At the same time the presentation will be limited to the Old Babylonian period, which provides a large number of records as well in the shape of normative texts as texts from the legal practice. But as a first step, we will take a short look at the surrounding conditions of the Old Babylonian society and economy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For the essence of his reasoning on the basis of several profound studies see the according articles in DNP: RENGER 1998, 873; RENGER 1999, 922; RENGER 2000, 1137-1138 and RENGER 2002, 523-526. One of his main references is the work of Karl Polanyi, in particular POLANYI 1957 and POLANYI 1977, which Renger locates in the tradition of Max Weber, cf. RENGER 1993, 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> RENGER 1993, 103-105.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Weber 1922, 368-385.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> WEBER 1922, 371.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Weber 1922, 374-381.

#### 2. CONTINUITIES AND CHANGES IN OLD BABYLONIAN ECONOMIES

Since at the beginning of the Old Babylonian period<sup>9</sup> we still can find quite a number of smaller city states or kingdoms on the political landscape, it seems more accurate to speak of several economic systems rather than one economy. Yet, all of them share common features of continuity as well as change, as they are compared to earlier times, especially to the Neo-Sumerian period.

# 2.1 Geographical settings and need for trade

The geographical settings of Ancient Mesopotamia made agriculture based on irrigation the main characteristic element of all economic systems of that area. The need for irrigation required from the earliest times an effective administrative management of the resources of water and soil, including the organization of additional sectors as the rearing of livestock, pottery or textile processing. At the same time the permanent need for trade is obvious: As the alluvial land was lacking of stone, metals and wood for timber these materials had to be imported from the surrounding countries. But of course there also were forms of an inner-Mesopotamian trade which were brought forward by the water routes of the rivers.

# 2.2 The "individualistic turn" of the Old Babylonian period

The political change of the Old Babylonian period and in particular the establishment of the empire of the first Babylonian dynasty under king Hammurabi also led to variations of the economic system. As already mentioned with reference to Johannes Renger a general shift can be noticed regarding the meaning of the temple in favor of the palace and his redistributional system, whereas the production as such still remains sustenance-oriented. Under Hammurabi's rule this is closely connected with the implementation of the so-called *ilku*-system, i.e. mainly the allocation of land by the crown in favor of individuals and the performance of contributions and military services in return. <sup>12</sup> At the same time we face a phenomenon which is often described in the sense of an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The time from the end of the dynasty of Ur III to the end of the first dynasty of Babylon, i.e. the first four centuries of the second millennium BCE, cf. LEEMANS 1960, 2. For the character of the period up to the unification of Babylonia under king Ḥammurabi as a "Zwischenzeit" see EDZARD 1957.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> MARZAHN 2008, 236.

<sup>11</sup> LEEMANS 1950, 1; LEEMANS 1960, 4.

For further details see KIENAST 1976-1980, 52-59.

"individualistic turn" of the Old Babylonian period<sup>13</sup> and which is economically connected to the increase of individual property,<sup>14</sup> tenancy and private loans, but also socially in the self-reflection of the individual person which can be traced in a vast number of private letters from that period<sup>15</sup> and which indicates an increased self-confidence. This aplomb is also reflected in legal documents, particularly from the legal practice.

# 3. LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF SALE PROVIDED BY NORMATIVE TEXTS

Contracts on sale count among the earliest records of business transactions and the impression of the basic meaning of this economic and legal institution also holds true for the Old Babylonian period. Yet, the turn to normative texts could possibly modify this impression, since there the meaning of sale seems to be limited compared to other institutions. As normative texts in a broader sense we can understand state treaties, law collections and the so-called  $m\bar{t}$  sarum-acts.

#### 3.1 State treaties

State treaties have been manifold the *sedes materiae* for legal rules on trade and commerce as we can see from the clear evidence by Old Assyrian treaties, e.g. from Kanesh, which provide stipulations on taxes, tariffs, compensation for losses, means of conflict resolution etc.<sup>16</sup> Unfortunately there are no texts with comparable content from the Old Babylonian tradition,<sup>17</sup> so this category of sources has to be left aside.

#### 3.2 Law collections

The so-called law collections from Mesopotamia represent to many scholars the most fascinating and most important sources of Ancient Near Eastern legal history; at least the intense discussion of their nature and function lasts down to the present day. <sup>18</sup> This paper acts on the assumption that the law collections

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Klengel 2004, 67-70; Marzahn 2008, 244.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Especially of fields, cf. EDZARD 1957, 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> KLENGEL 2004, 112-115. For the meaning of letters as a source of information about economic conditions see RENGER 1993, 87 with fn. 1 and 105 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> VEENHOF 2008, 183-218; VEENHOF 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Cf. Leemans 1960, 119 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> For an overview and bibliography of the discussion see JACKSON 2008, 69-113 and 257-276.

cannot be considered as codifications in a modern sense, but with regard to their context of scribal schools they depict a more or less realistic view of daily legal life. Given at the same time that they were means of political governance there should be no doubt that they at least were meant to be efficient.

# a) Laws of Eshnunna

The first law collection that shows clear evidence of legal rules on sale is the Laws of Eshnunna (LE) from ca. 1770 BCE. 19 However, the §§ 38-41 LE<sup>20</sup> that contain substantial legal rules on sale, deal with quite special cases: § 38 LE applies to the right of pre-emption of a part of a family estate among brothers, 21 § 39 LE establishes the right of an impoverished man to redeem the house he sold, when the purchaser decides to resell, 22 § 40 LE refers rather to delicts in the context of lost property than to sale as such, when it postulates that the seller has to be established by the buyer to avoid the suspicion of theft; and § 41 LE is (probably) related to a sale of beer on consignment by a woman innkeeper.<sup>23</sup> At large and without discussing each section for its own, none of those rules seems in a special way likely to determine the economic behavior of individuals in a narrower sense, but rather to establish particular decisions of practical problems and conflicts in the context of sale. What might be generalized from those sections is the fact that sale was principally regarded as a valid transaction which created, if performed in certain manners, a more or less protected legal position (§ 40 LE) which we tend to call "property" or "ownership". At the same time it seems to become clear that the transaction of sale could not be executed free from any restriction (§ 38 LE) and that its effect could be cancelled under certain conditions, especially in the context of social distress (§ 39 LE).

Of further interest for our topic might be the first two sections of the LE.<sup>24</sup> § 1 lists several articles in certain capacities, all of which equal the price of 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The Laws of Lipit-Ishtar from Isin (ca. 1930 BCE) do not deal with sale, cf. ROTH 1997, 23-35; the sections q and r of the so-called Laws of X (ca. 2050-1800 BCE) are too fragmentary to get reliable information from, cf. ROTH 1997, 38.

For the edited and translated text see ROTH 1997, 65.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> YARON 1988, 227-232; PETSCHOW 1968, 139. ROTH 1997, 65 with fn. 19 understands the section in the sense of a right to pre-emption within a partnership.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Westbrook 1985, 109-111; Yaron 1988, 232-234.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> PETSCHOW 1968, 139; YARON 1988, 227-235. ROTH 1997, 65 with fn. 20 (70) suggests with reference to WESTBROOK 1994 that the terms *ubarum*, *napṭarum* and *mudū* refer "to categories of persons outside of the common social and jural protective networks".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> For the edited and translated text see ROTH (1997) 59. For measures and weights see POWELL 1987-1990, 497 and 509.

shekel of silver, whereas § 2 gives different amounts of grain as equivalents to the capacity of one sila (ca. 1 liter) of different articles. Both sections are usually understood as tariffs or maximum prices,25 the parallelism of silver and grain as standards of value and media of exchange here is often explained with a shift from barter to a monetized economy between the Neo-Sumerian and the Old Babylonian period.<sup>26</sup> The function of these sections right at the very beginning of the laws, however, doesn't become clear by itself: The sections could have served as a kind of benchmark for the following sections that deal with hire – those would have to be understood as minimum rates then, in relation to the maximum prices of commodities,<sup>27</sup> the same function as a benchmark could also hold true in a more general sense, if we think of the sanctions for delicts in the LE and other law collections which are expressed as fines in weighed silver. The concept of maximum prices could also be seen in a further context of social measures, if we consider the law collections to be connected with social reforms and debt releases which were also carried into effect by the so-called mīšarum-acts, a phenomenon and category of legal literature we come back to only a little later. As all of these legal provisions are closely related to the idea of establishing justice as a universal principle with both political and cosmological dimensions and as a duty of the king, it seems justified to locate maximum prices as well in a context of social measures as it might similarly apply to standardizations of measures and weights as they are established e.g. in the prologue of the Laws of Ur-Namma (LU) from Ur around 2100 BCE.28

Altogether the legal framework for sale provided by the Laws of Eshnunna is, optimistically spoken, rather vague.

# b) Laws of Hammurabi

The most prominent law collection of the Old Babylonian period is, of course, the Laws of Hammurabi (LH).<sup>29</sup> But also among their 282 sections substantial legal rules on sale are rather an exception: § 7 LH and §§ 9-13 LH deal with sale as far as lost property is concerned;<sup>30</sup> the analogy to § 40 LE is evident, although Hammurabi's laws are much more elaborated and give a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> GOETZE 1956, 32; PETSCHOW 1968, 135; YARON 1988, 106 f. and 224 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> KOROŠEC 1964, 87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> YARON 1988, 225 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Cf. LU A III 135-IV 149 and C I 11-21, ed. and transl. ROTH 1997, 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> For the edited and translated text see ROTH 1997, 46-140.

The comprehension of the details is still difficult; for the discussion see KOSCHAKER 1917, 73-84 and DRIVER – MILES 1956, 82-105.

detailed procedure of a persecution of lost property with all possible eventualities. § 108 LH penalizes the fraudulent woman innkeeper who accepts only silver instead of grain for the price of beer and thereby reduces the value of beer in relation to the value of grain, <sup>31</sup> §§ 278, 279 LH apply to the warranty for quality and title of the sale of slaves, 32 whereas §§ 280, 281 refer to the purchase of slaves abroad and the possibilities of the former owner to release them.<sup>33</sup> The laws also show rates of hire and wages in §§ 268-277 LH,<sup>34</sup> but no tariffs or maximum prices. The extent of evidence for a legal framework of sale in the Laws of Hammurabi insofar doesn't go beyond the impression we got from the Laws of Eshnunna: The transaction of sale creates a protected legal position, if performed by means of proof as witnesses and contract (§§ 7, 9-13 LH) which of course has to be seen in the context of litigation. Furthermore the legal position of the buyer comprises certain claims of warranty for quality and title (§§ 278, 279 LH) to which the seller is correspondently liable. And again under certain conditions the effect of the transaction can be withdrawn (§§ 280, 281 LH).

As a legal framework in the sense of Max Weber's view of the legal order as a decisive factor of an economic system<sup>35</sup> these provisions may seem rather rudimental; applied to the transaction of sale as a fundamental economic activity they mark at least basic points outlining the scope of sale as an economic institution.

# 3.3 mīšarum-acts (Edict of Ammi-ṣaduqa)

The so-called *mīšarum*-acts were political measures by which the Old Meso-potamian kings established "justice" (akkad. *mīšarum*) in the sense of a social relief periodically, even though not in identical intervals,<sup>36</sup> and thus complied with their duty towards the gods.<sup>37</sup> They are documented in various forms, namely in letters, records and date-lists that refer to them,<sup>38</sup> yet the clearest evidence comes from several fragments of edicts from the Old Babylonian

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> DRIVER – MILES 1956, 202-205.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Driver – Miles 1956, 478-482.

<sup>33</sup> Koschaker 1917, 85-100; Driver – Miles 1956, 482-490.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> DRIVER – MILES 1956, 469-478.

<sup>35</sup> See above fn. 6 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> This marks the difference to the Sabbatical and Jubilee year of the Bible; for the parallels see WESTBROOK 1995, 149-163.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Westbrook 1995, 159 f.; Pfeifer 2012, 23 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> PFEIFER 2005, 178-182.

period of which the one of Ammi-ṣaduqa (1647-1626 BCE) is with respect to its relative completeness the most important.<sup>39</sup> Even though the edicts do not prove to have the generalizing character of the legal rules in the law collections they might be understood as normative in a broader sense: The core of the *mīšarum*-acts is the release from debt and the release from forced labor as a consequence of debt.<sup>40</sup> Thus their immediate institutional legal context is one of private interest-bearing loan as e.g. § 3 Ed. A-ṣ<sup>41</sup> shows.

A reference to sale is made as part of the exception to the debt release in § 8 Ed. A-ṣ.<sup>42</sup> Here four different forms of commercial transactions are exempted from the debt release; one of them is sale, indicated by the term *šīmum* (price, proceeds of a sale). The three other transaction forms have the character of an investment business in common; therefore it is more than likely that *šīmum* here alludes to sale against cash in advance which usually took the face of a loan.<sup>43</sup> The reason behind this provision seems to be a privilege of commercial business transactions,<sup>44</sup> whereas private interest-oriented transactions and their consequences were subjected to the social remedy of the edict. The impact of this exception on economic behavior should not be underestimated: Whereas the release of debts as such could hardly be foreseen and thus marks a factor of uncertainty for participants of the economic system,<sup>45</sup> the exceptional privilege from the debt release for commercial investment transactions minimizes the risk of the investors at least in this respect.

 $m\bar{\imath}$  sarum-acts are insofar less significant for the legal framework of sale than rather for the general setting of economic activity.

# 4. CORRESPONDENCE TO THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK IN TEXTS FROM THE ECONOMIC AND LEGAL PRACTICE

As mentioned before the legal practice of the Old Babylonian period is well documented in a vast number of records which certainly also holds true of the institution of sale. If we ask for correspondence of this legal and economic

For an overview of Old Mesopotamian legal acts see KRAUS 1984, 14-110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> PFEIFER 2012, 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> For the edited and translated text see KRAUS 1984, 170 f.

For the edited and translated text see KRAUS 1984, 174 f.

<sup>43</sup> PFEIFER 2005, 181 with fn. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> For the privilege of business in the context of the palace (§§ 10-12 Ed. A-ş) see KRAUS 1984, 215-235.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> PFEIFER 2013, 262 and 264 f.

practice to the (admittedly rather small) evidence of a legal framework provided by normative texts there are two aspects of increased interest: prices and legal positions.

Albrecht Goetze has shown that the tariffs of the Laws of Eshnunna more or less correspond to the prices we find in contracts of sale from the Ur III period, whereas contracts from the time of Ḥammurabi show comparatively a rise of most prices. As the Laws of Ḥammurabi don't deliver any tariffs or maximum prices of sale, the evidence of a correspondence of the legal practice to the legal framework from Goetze's analysis remains questionable in the context of our topic. A good example for the rather "free" formation of prices gives the tablet VS 8, 81/82. Here the commissioner Adad-rē'um is bound to sell the received quantity of paint at the *kārum* of Eshnunna, whereas the principal Sin-iqîšam takes the risk or chance to lose or make profit as he is obliged to pay a fixed price of 4.5 shekels of silver. Despite of the definite meaning of *kārum*, in particular the question, if the term describes a market in a technical sense or not, the record makes clear that tariffs or maximum prices were at least not always taken into account.

In respect of legal positions the composition of sale contracts shows the decisive elements of the transaction: performance of object of sale and price, warranty of title and warranty of quality are the crucial points of interest that are recorded.<sup>49</sup> An example for this practice can be found in the sale of slaves in TD 156:<sup>50</sup> Il. 15-19 and in particular the phrase  $k\bar{\imath}$  ma  $\bar{\imath}$  imdat  $\bar{\imath}$  arrim izzazu suggest that the clauses refer to the provisions given in §§ 278 and 279 LH, a fact which has given rise to an intense discussion.<sup>51</sup> Still, the question remains – and maybe will never be answered satisfactory –, if the law collections merely depicted the legal practice as such or had a decisive impact on it. But taken into account that – not only according to Max Weber<sup>52</sup> – the legal order consists as well of positive rules as of customary law which is documented by the legal practice, we have clear evidence that the transaction of sale was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> GOETZE 1956, 30, supporting there the dating of the LE close to the Ur III period.

 $<sup>^{47}</sup>$  = VAB 5, 44 = HG 4, 877; for the edited and translated text see LEEMANS 1960, 86.

<sup>48</sup> Cf. RENGER 1993, 109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> WESTBROOK 2003, 400 f. The general character of Old Babylonian sale as a cash transaction as assumed by SAN NICOLÒ 1974, 7 f., should be modified with respect to the legal practice; cf. WESTBROOK 2003, 401 f. and for the achaemenid period PFEIFER 2010, 145-149.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> = VAB 5, 85 = HG 5, 1155. Another example, even though not in the context of sale, gives the tablet BM 97067, recently published by VEENHOF 2012, 627 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> See WESTBROOK 2003, 401 with fn. 124 and the literature cited there.

<sup>52</sup> See above fn. 8.

regarded as effective and created valid legal positions. This impression is confirmed by the large number of litigation documents concerning sales, which mainly refer to the vindication of sold commodities, in most cases land and houses, of which the tablet TCL 1, 157 gives a good example.<sup>53</sup> The litigation documents show that the formalities of the sale contracts, in particular witnesses and the record itself,<sup>54</sup> enabled the parties to prove and thus assure their legal positions in the trial.<sup>55</sup>

# Conclusion

Normative texts and texts from the legal practice of the Old Babylonian period don't hand us an elaborated "law of sale" in a modern sense or even in the forms we know from the Roman world.<sup>56</sup> The extent of the legal framework of the economic institution of sale refers to rather basic points of interest, even though there is no doubt about the effectiveness of the transaction in principal. Therefore the control and allocative function of law for the economic behavior in this context has to be described as limited. At the same time it becomes obvious that, regardless of the question, if the Old Babylonian economy worked on the basis of market principles or not, the economic system was not an unlimited and unrestricted apparatus of its own. In fact the consequences of this – from a modern point of view – rather liberal economic system that potentially endangered social and political stability and peace were managed by normative measures such as release of debts and of forced labor which applied to sale as much as to other economic activities. This management of social, economic and legal aspects of community is closely connected to the idea of justice as a universal concept<sup>57</sup> – which today is as up to date as back then.

On this text see recently PFEIFER 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Westbrook 2003, 399.

On the further question of conditions and effects of the judgment see recently PFEIFER 2015.

 $<sup>^{56}\,</sup>$  For this general result also cf. (related to the LH) PETSCHOW 1957-1971, 268 and KOROŠEC 1964, 122.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> PFEIFER 2012, 32.

#### **TEXTS**

# Laws of Eshnunna

# § 1 LE (A I 8-17)

1 kur še'um ana 1 šiqil kaspim

3 qa šaman rūštim ana 1 šiqil kaspim

1 (sūt) 2 ga samnum ana 1 šiqil kaspim

1 (sūt) 5 qa nāḥum ana 1 šiqil kaspim

4 (sūt) ittûm ana 1 šiqil kaspim

6 mana šipātum ana 1 šiail kaspim

2 kur tabtum ana 1 šiail kaspim

1 kur uḥūlum ana 1 šiqil kaspim

3 mana erûm ana 1 šiqil kaspim

2 mana erûm epšum ana 1 šiqil kaspim

300 silas of barley for 1 shekel of silver
3 silas of fine oil – for 1 shekel of silver
12 silas of oil – for 1 shekel of silver
15 silas of lard – for 1 shekel of silver
40 silas of bitumen – for 1 shekel of silver
360 shekels of wool – for 1 shekel of silver
600 silas of salt – for 1 shekel of silver
300 silas of potash – for 1 shekel of silver
180 shekels of copper – for 1 shekel of silver
120 shekels of wrought copper – for 1 shekel of silver

# § 2 LE (A I 18-20)

1 qa šamnum ša nishātim 3 (sūt) še'ušu

1 qa naḥum ša nisḥatim 2 (sūt) 5 qa še'ušu

1 qa ittûm ša nishatim 8 qa še'ušu

- 1 sila of oil, extract (?) 30 silas is its grain equivalent
- 1 sila of lard, extract (?) 25 silas is its grain equivalent
- 1 sila of bitumen extract (?) 8 silas is its grain equivalent

# § 38 LE (A III 23-25, B 7-9)

šumma ina athi ištēn zittašu ana kaspim inaddin u ahušu šâmam hašeh qablīt šānim umalla If, in a partnership, one intends to sell his share and his partner wishes to buy, he shall match any outside offer

# § 39 LE (A III 25-27, B III 10-11)

šumma awīlum īnišma bīssu ana kaspim ittadin ūm šājimānum inaddinu bēl bītim ipaṭṭar

If a man becomes impoverished and then sells his house, whenever the buyer offers it for sale, the owner of the house shall have the right to redeem it

#### § 40 LE (A III 28-29, B III 12-13)

šumma awīlum wardam amtam alpam u šīmam mala ibaššū išāmma nādinānam la ukīn šûma šarrāq

# § 41 LE (A III 30-31, B III 14-16)

šumma ubarum napṭarum u mudû šikaršu inaddin sābītum maḫīrat illaku šikaram inaddinšum

If a man buys a slave, a slave woman, an ox, or any other purchase, but cannot establish the identity of the seller, it is he who is a thief

If a foreigner, a *napṭaru*, or a *mudû* wishes to sell his beer, the woman innkeeper shall sell the beer for him at the current rate.

# Laws of Hammurabi

#### § 7 LH (VI 41-56)

šumma awīlum lu kaspam lu ḫurāṣam lu wardam lu amtam lu alpam lu immeram lu imēram ulu mimma šumšu ina qāt mār awīlim ulu warad awīlim balum šībī u riksātim ištām ulu ana maṣṣarūtim imḫur awīlum šû šarrāq iddâk

If a man should purchase silver, gold, a slave, a slave woman, an ox, a sheep, a donkey, or anything else whatsoever, from a son of a man or from a slave of a man without witnesses or a contract – or if he accepts the goods for safekeeping – that man is a thief, he shall be killed

# § 9 LH (VI 70-VII 47)

šumma awīlum ša mimmūšu halqu mimmāšu halqam ina qāti awīlim iṣṣabat awīlum ša hulqum ina qātišu ṣabtu nādinānummi iddinam mahar šībīmi ašām iqtabi u bēl hulqim šībī mudē hulqijami lublam iqtabi šājimānum nādin iddinušum u šībī ša ina mahrišunu išāmu itbalam u bēl hulqim šībī mudē hulqišu itbalam dajānū awâtišunu immaruma šībū ša mahrišunu šīmum iššāmu u šībū mudē hulqim mudūssunu mahar ilim iqabbûma nādinānum šarrāq iddâk bēl hulqim huluqšu ileqqe šājimānum ina bīt nādinānim kasap išqulu ileqqe

If a man who claims to have lost property then discovers his lost property in another man's possession, but the man in whose possession the lost property was discovered declares, "A seller sold it to me, I purchased it in the presence of witnesses," and the owner of the lost property declares. "I can bring witnesses who can identify my lost property." (and then if) the buyer produces the seller who sold it to him and the witnesses in whose presence he purchased it. and also the owner of the lost property produces the witnesses who can identify his lost property - the judges shall examine their cases, and the witnesses in whose presence the purchase was made and the witnesses who can identify the lost property shall state the facts known to them before the god. then it is the seller who is the thief, he shall be killed; the owner of the lost property shall take his lost property, and the buyer shall take from the seller's estate the amount of silver that he weighed and delivered

#### § 10 LH (VII 48-61)

šumma šājimānum nādinān iddinušum u šībī ša ina maḥrišunu išāmu la itbalam bēl ḥulqimma šībī mudē ḥulqišu itbalam šājimānum šarrāq iddâk bēl ḥulqim ḥuluqšu ileqqe

If the buyer could not produce the seller who sold (the lost property) to him or the witnesses before whom he made the purchase, but the owner of the lost property could produce witnesses who can identify his lost property, then it is the buyer who is the thief, he shall be killed; the owner of the lost property shall take his lost property

# § 11 LH (VII 62-VIII 3)

šumma bēl ḫulqim šībī mudē ḫulqišu la itbalam sār tuššamma iddi iddâk

If the owner of the lost property could not produce witnesses who can identify his lost property, he is a liar, he has indeed spread malicious charges, he shall be killed

# § 12 LH (VIII 4-13)

šumma nādinānum ana šīmtim ittalak šājimānum ina bīt nādinānim rugummē dīnim šuāti adi hamšīšu ilegge If the seller should go to his fate, the buyer shall take fivefold the claim for that case from the estate of the seller

# § 13 LH (VIII 14-24)

šumma awīlum šû šībūšu la qerbu dajānū adannam ana šeššet warhī išakkanušumma šumma ina šeššet warhī šībīsu la irdiam awīlum šû sār aran dīnim šuāti ittanašši If that man's witnesses are not available, the judges shall grant him an extension until the sixth month, but if he does not bring his witnesses by the sixth month, it is that man who is a liar, he shall be assessed the penalty for that case

# § 278 LH (XLVI 58-66)

šumma awīlum wardam amtam išāmma waraḫšu la imlāma benni elišu imtaqut ana nādinānišu utârma šājimānum kasap išqulu ileqqe If a man purchases a slave or slave woman and within his one month period epilepsy then befalls him, he shall return him to his seller and the buyer shall take back the silver that he weighed and delivered

# § 279 LH (XLVI 67-71)

šumma awīlum wardam amtam išāmma baqrī irtaši nādinānšu baqrī ippal If a man purchases a slave or slave woman and then claims arise, his seller shall satisfy the claims

# § 280 LH (XLVI 72-87)

šumma awīlum ina māt nukurtim wardam amtam ša awīlim ištām inūma ina libbū mātim ittalkamma bēl wardim ulu amtim lu warassu ulu amassu ūteddi šumma wardum u amtum šunu mārū mātim balum kaspimma andurāršunu iššakkan

If a man should purchase another man's slave or slave woman in a foreign country, and while he is traveling about within the (i.e., his own) country the owner of the slave or slave woman identifies his slave or slave woman – if they, the slave and slave woman, are natives of the country, their release shall be secured without any payment

#### § 281 LH (XLVI 88-96)

šumma mārū mātim šanītim šājimānum ina mahar ilim kasap išqulu iqabbīma bēl wardim ulu amtim kasap išqulu ana tamkārim inaddinma lu warassu lu amassu ipaṭṭar

If they are natives of another country, the buyer shall declare before the god the amount of silver that he weighed, and the owner of the slave or slave woman shall give to the merchant the amount of silver that he paid, and thus he shall redeem his slave or slavewoman

# Edict of Ammi-saduqa

# § 3 Ed. A-ş (B I 8'-17', A Rs. 1'-2')

В.	I 8'	[ša	š]e-am	ùkù	.babbar <sup>am</sup>
----	------	-----	--------	-----	-----------------------

- 9' [a-na lú ak-k]a-d[i]-i ù lú a-mu-ur-ri-i
- 10' [x x x x m]áš ú-lu a-na me-el-qé-tim
- 11'  $[x \times x \times x \times x] \times a id-di-nu-ma$
- 12' [du]b-[p]a-a[m  $\acute{u}$ - $\check{s}$ ]e-zi-bu
- 13' aš-šum šar-rum [mi-š]a-ra-am
- 14' a-na ma-tim iš-ku-nu
- 15' dub<sup>pa</sup>-šu he-pi
- 16' *še-am ù* kù.babbar *a-na pí-i* dub*-pí-ma*
- 17' ú-ul ú-ša-ad-da-an

# [Who b]barley or silver

[to a man from Akk]ad[e] or

a man from Amurru

[.....i]nterest or for

"receipt"

[.....has given and

a [in]st[ru]me[nt] has had issu[ed];

because the king [ju]stice

for the land has reestablished,

his instrument is broken.

Barley or silver according to the wording

of his instrument

he will not collect.

# § 8 Ed. A-s (B III 1-6)

# B III 1 lú *ak-ka-du-ú* ù lú *a-mu-ur-ru-ú*

- 2 *ša še-am* kù.babbar *ù bi-ša-am*
- 3 *a-na ši-m[i]-im a-na* kaskal *a-na* tab.ba
- 4  $\hat{u}$  ta-ad-mi-iq-tim il-q[ $\hat{u}$ ]- $\hat{u}$
- 5 dub-pa-šu ú-ul ih-he-ep-pí
- 6 a-na pí-i ri-ik-sa-ti-šu i-na-ad-di-in

A man from Akkade

or a man from Amurru,

who barley, silver or

merchandise

as purchase [pri]ce, for a harrānu-business,

for a company

or as non-interest-bearing loan has ta[ke]n,

his instrument will not be broken up,

according to the wording of his agreement

he will give.

# Prices of commodities (Goetze 1956, 30)

barley wool

copper

oil

1 šekel of silver

T SCREET OF SHIVE		ouricy	W 001	соррег	011
Ur III (contracts)		1 kur	10 minas	2-2.5 minas	9-15 qa
Eshnunna (tariffs)		1 kur	6 minas	2-3 minas	12 qa
Hammurabi (		0.5-0.6 kur	5 minas	-	9-10 qa
					•
(1 šekel					
ca. 8,3 g; 1 m	nina				
60 šekel					
ca. 500 g; 1 c	Įa (sila)				
ca. 1 l; kur					
300 qa (sila)					
ca. 300 l)					
VS 8, 81/82 (	VAT 1490 A-	B) – tablet –			
obv. 1	1 oún 30	O ma.na	1 t:	alent 30 minas	
00,11	ši-im-tur			paint	
		n-i-qí-ša-am	has from Sîn-iqišam		
	<sup>I.d</sup> iškur-	_		ad-rē'ûm	
5	šu-ba-a	*		eived.	
_	ki-ma ka			cording to the mar	ket(price)
	èš-nun-n			Eshnunna	(
rev.		oar ì-la-e	he	(Adad-rē'ûm) will	pav silver
		ín kù.babbar		d 4 1/3 shekels of	
10	i-na ša-l		on	his safe return	
	ì-la-e		he	(Sîn-iqišam) will p	oay.
	igi 30- <i>i</i> -	din-nam		fore Sîn-idinnam,	•
	-	ingir- <i>šu-a-bu-šu</i>	sor	of Ilšu-abušu.	
	itu[xxx]	C	In	the month of [xxx]	,
15	mu am-i	<i>mu-ra-pเ</i> (!) lugal		ar "Ḥammurabi bed	
TD 156 (A	O 4499), ll.	15-19			
	[]				
15		be-en <sub>6</sub> -n[u]	On	e month for bennu	-disease,
		-eb-i-tum		ays for investigation	
	a-na ba-			d) for vindication	-
		im-da-at šar-ri		cording to the royal	decree
	iz-za-a-a			y will be responsib	
	[]	r		,	
	F J				

TCL 1, 157	7 (AO 4657)	
Vs. 1		
2		
3	[1sar é	"[1 Sar Hausgrundstück,
	šà 2sar é]	gehörend zu 2 Sar Hausgrundstück]
4-8	Vermerk über vorausgega	ngene Veräußerungen
9	1sar é <i>šu-[a-ti</i> ]	– di[eses] 1 Sar Hausgrundstück
10-12	Lage beschi	
13		_
15	it-ti dingir-ša-hé.gál nu.gig	hat von Iluša-ḫegal, der <i>qadištu</i> ,
14	dumu <sup>mí d</sup> é-a-illat-sú	der Tochter des Éa-ellassu,
14	a-n[a] 1[5] gín kù babbar	fü[r] 1[5] Šekel Silber
	[be-le-sú-nu lukur damar].utu	[die Bēlessunu, die <i>nadītu</i> des Mard]uk,
15	aš-ša-ti	meine Ehefrau,
16	dumu <sup>mí</sup> []	die Tochter des []
10	i-na mu am-mi-d[i-ta-na]	im Jahr, als Ammi-d[itana],
17	iš-ša-am-ma	gekauft, und
17	k[a]- $ni$ - $ik$	ich habe die gesiegelte U[rk]unde
1.0	ši-ma-[tim lu e]l-qí	des Kau[fs gewiss ge]nommen
18	ù a-na ši-b[u]-tim	und zum Zeu[gn]is habe ich
10	<sup>I</sup> ì-lí-i-qí-ša dumu-ša	den Ili-iqīša, ihren Sohn,
19	ša 2 sar é	der die 2 Sar Hausgrundstück
	ḫa.la- <i>šu il-qú-ú</i>	als seinen Erbteil genommen hatte,
••	ú-ša-ak-ni-ik	siegeln lassen.
20	<i>i-na-an-na</i> dingir <i>-ša-</i> ḫé.gál	Jetzt hat Iluša-ḫegal,
	nu.gig dumu <sup>mí d</sup> é-(a-)illat-sú	die qadištu, die Tochter des Éa-ellas-
		su,
21	ša k[a]-ni-ik ši-ma-tim ik-nu-	welche die U[rk]unde des Kaufs
	kam	gesiegelt hatte,
22	1 sar é <i>šu-a-ti ip-ta-aq-ra-an-ni</i>	dieses 1 Sar Hausgrundstück von mir
		ergriffen."
23	ki-a-am iq-bi-i-ma	So sprach er und
24	<sup>I</sup> dingir <i>-ša-</i> hé.gál nu.gig	Iluša-hegal, die qadištu,
	dumu <sup>mí d</sup> é-a-illat-sú	die Tochter des Éa-ellassu,
25	ki-a-am i-pu-ul [u]m-ma ši-ma	so antwortete sie [wi]e folgt: "Den
	•	Kaufpreis
26	1sar é	für 1 Sar Hausgrundstück,
	šà 2sar é	gehörend zu 2 Sar Hausgrundstück,
27	ša [i]t-ti be-le-sú-nu lukur	das ich [v]on Bēlessunu, der <i>nadītu</i>
	<sup>d</sup> za-ba-ba a-ša-mu	des Zababa, gekauft hatte,
28	<i>a-n</i> [ <i>a</i> ] 1[5] gín kù.babbar <i>a-na</i>	fü[r] 1[5] Šekel Silber der
	be-le-sú-nu lukur damar.utu	Bēlessunu, der <i>nadītu</i> des Marduk,
29	[aš-ša-a]t ad-di-li-ib-lu-uṭ	der [Ehefra]u des Addi-libluţ
	ad-di-in-ma	habe ich es verkauft und

30	1[5] gín kù .babbar [ú-u]l id-di-nu-nim	1[5] Šekel Silber haben sie mir nicht gegeben."
31	ki-a-am i-pu-ul	Dergleichen antwortete sie.
32	di.ku <sub>5</sub> <sup>meš</sup> dingir-ša- hé.gál	Die Richter haben von Iluša-hegal
	lú <sup>meš</sup> ši-bi	Männer als Zeugen (dafür),
33	ša lukur be-le-sú-nu	dass die <i>nadītu</i> Bēlessunu
	kù.babbar <i>la id-di-nu-ši-im</i>	das Silber ihr nicht gegeben hat,
34	ú lu-ma hi-ša-am ša	oder doch einen Schuldschein, den
	a-na íb.tag <sub>4</sub> kù.babbar <i>iz-bu-ši</i>	sie ihr für den Rest des Silbers aus-
	u 10.1154 ku.510001 12, 511 31	gestellt hat,
35	i-ri-šu-ši-ma	verlangt, aber
	ú-ul i-ba-aš-šu-ú-ma	sie (d.h. die Zeugen und der Schuld-
		schein) existieren nicht und
36	ú-ul ub-lam	sie (d.h. Iluša-þegal) hat (sie) nicht
		herbeigebracht.
37	¹ad-di-li-ib-lu-uṭ-ma	Addi-liblut aber hat
38	ka-ni-ik	eine gesiegelte Urkunde über das
	1sar é <i>ub-lam</i>	1 Sar Hausgrundstück herbeigebracht
39	di.ku <sub>5</sub> <sup>meš</sup> iš-mu-ú	Die Richter haben (ihren Wortlaut)
	3	gehört.
40	lú <sup>meš</sup> ši-bi ša i-na ka-ni-ki	Als die Zeugen, die auf der gesiegelten
	ša-aṭ-[ru]	Urkunde geschrie[ben] waren,
41	$i$ - $\check{s}a$ - $lu(!)$ - $ma$	sie sie befragten und,
Rs.	ki-ma 15 gín kù.babbar šám	dass 15 Šekel Silber als Kaufpreis für
42	1sar é.[dù.a]	1 Sar
		[bebautes] Hausgrundstück
43	<sup>I</sup> dingir <i>-ša-</i> ḫé.gál	Iluša-ḫegal
4.4	il-qú-ú ši-bu-us-s[ú]-n[u]	genommen habe, als ihr Zeugnis
44	<i>ma-ḫar</i> di.ku <sub>5</sub> <sup>meš</sup>	vor den Richtern
4.5	<i>a-na pa-ni</i> dingir <i>-ša-</i> ḫé.gál	der Iluša-hegal ins Angesicht
45	iq-bu-ú-ma	sagten,
46	dingir-ša- hé.gál a-an-nam	hat Iluša-ḫegal (es) zugegeben.
47	i-pu-ul	
47	di.ku <sub>5</sub> <sup>meš</sup> a-wa-ti-šu-nu i-mu-ru-	Die Richter haben ihre Angelegenheit
10	ma	geprüft und
48	<sup>I</sup> dingir-ša-hé.gál nu.gig du-	der Iluša-hegal, der qadištu, der
49	mu <sup>mí d</sup> é-a-illat-sú	Tochter des Éa-ellassu,
	aš-šum <sup>zá</sup> kišib <sup>ki</sup> -ša ú-pá-aq-qí-ru	weil sie ihr Siegel abgeleugnet hat,
50 51	ar-nam i-mi-du-ši	ihr eine Strafe auferlegt
	ù ṭup-pi la ra-ga-mi-im	und diese Urkunde des Nichtklagens
52 53	an-ni-a-am ú-še-zi-bu-ši	haben sie sie ausstellen lassen:
55	u <sub>4</sub> .kur.šè <sup>tim</sup>	"In Zukunft werden bezüglich
	1sar é.dù.a	1 Sar bebautes Hausgrundstück,

54-57	Lage beschreibung		
58	<i>ši-ma-at be-le-sú-nu</i> lukur <sup>d</sup> a-	gekauftes Gut der Bēlessunu, der	
	mar.utu	nadītu des Marduk,	
59	aš-ša-at ad-di-li-ib-lu-uţ	Ehefrau des Addi-libluţ,	
60	<sup>I</sup> dingir- <i>ša</i> -þé.gál dumu <sup>meš</sup> - <i>ša</i>	die Iluša-ḫegal, ihre Söhne,	
	aḫ-ḫu-ša	ihre Brüder,	
61	ù ki-im-ta-ša a-na be-le-sú-nu	oder ihre Familie gegen die Bēlessunu	
62	ù ad-di-li-ib-lu-uṭ mu-ti-ša	und Addi-liblut, ihren Ehemann,	
63	ú-ul i-[r]a-ag-ga-mu	nicht klagen,	
64	mu <sup>d</sup> amar.utu <i>ù am-mi-di-ta-na</i>	haben sie bei Marduk und Ammi-	
	šar-ri	ditana, dem König,	
65	in.pà.dè <sup>meš</sup>	geschworen."	
66-74	Namen von 8 Richtern und des "Bürgermeisters"		
75-76	Kontrollvermerk zweier Archivare		
1. Rd.	11 Siegel		

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

DRIVER – MILES 1956

G.R. DRIVER – J.C. MILES, *The Babylonian Laws vol. I (Legal Commentary)*, Oxford.

#### EDZARD 1957

D.O. EDZARD, Die "zweite Zwischenzeit" Babyloniens, Wiesbaden.

#### **GOETZE 1956**

A. GOETZE, *The Laws of Eshnunna* (= AASOR XXXI), New Haven.

#### Graeber 2012

D. GRAEBER, Schulden. Die ersten 5.000 Jahre, 7<sup>th</sup> ed., Stuttgart.

#### JACKSON 2008

S.A. JACKSON, A Comparison of Ancient Near Eastern Law Collections Prior to the First Millenium B.C., New Jersey.

#### KIENAST 1976-1980

B. KIENAST, s.v. ilku, in: *RlA* vol. 5, Berlin-New York, 52-59.

#### KLENGEL 2004

H. KLENGEL, *König Hammurapi* und der Alltag Babylons, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Düsseldorf.

# KOROŠEC 1964

V. KOROŠEC, 'Keilschriftrecht', in: B. SPULER (ed.), Handbuch der Orientalistik, Erste
Abteilung. Der nahe und der mittlere Osten, Ergänzungsband III, Orientalisches Recht,
Leiden-Köln, 49-219.

#### KOSCHAKER 1917

P. KOSCHAKER,

Rechtsvergleichende Studien zur Gesetzgebung Hammurapis, Königs von Babylon, Leipzig.

#### **KRAUS 1984**

F.R. KRAUS, Königliche Verfügungen in altbabylonischer Zeit (= Studia et documenta ad iura orientis antiqui pertinentia XI), Leiden.

# LEEMANS 1950

W.F. LEEMANS, The Old-Babylonian Merchant. His Business and His Social Position, Leiden.

#### LEEMANS 1960

W.F. LEEMANS, Foreign Trade in the Old Babylonian Period as Revealed by Texts from Southern Mesopotamia, Leiden.

#### MARZAHN 2008

J. MARZAHN, 'Die Arbeitswelt – Wirtschaft und Verwaltung, Handel und Profit', in: id./G. SCHAUERTE (ed.), *Babylon – Mythos und Wahrheit*, München, 231-276.

#### Petschow 1968

H. PETSCHOW, 'Zur "Systematik" in den Gesetzen von Eshnunna', in: J.A.
ANKUM – R. FEENSTRA – W.F.
LEEMANS (ed.), Symbolae
Iuridicae et Historicae Martino
David Dedicatae, part II, Iura
Orientis Antiqui, Leiden,
132-143.

#### PETSCHOW 1957-1971

H. PETSCHOW, s.v. 'Gesetze A. Babylonien', in: *RlA* vol. 3, Berlin-New York, 243-279.

#### PFEIFER 2005

G. PFEIFER, 'Sanktionen gegen Umgehungsgeschäfte im Edikt des Königs Ammi-şaduqa von Babylon', in: W. ERNST – É. JAKAB (ed.), *Usus Antiquus Juris Romani. Antikes Recht in lebenspraktischer Anwendung*, Heidelberg, 173-190.

#### Peeifer 2010

G. PFEIFER, 'Fiktive Formulare und gültige Geschäfte – Beobachtungen in der Urkundenpraxis der achämenidischen und hellenistisch-römischen Zeit', in: ZAR 16, Wiesbaden, 145-156.

#### PFEIFER 2012

G. PFEIFER, 'Gerechtigkeit aus der Perspektive der altorientalischen Rechtsgeschichte', in: M. WITTE (ed.), Themen der Theologie – Gerechtigkeit, Tübingen, 15-35.

#### PFEIFER 2012

G. PFEIFER, 'Response to Christophe Pébarthe', in: G. THÜR u.a. (ed.), Symposion 2011, Akten der Gesellschaft für Griechische und Hellenistische Rechtsgeschichte, Wien, 261-266.

#### PFEIFER 2015

G. PFEIFER,

'Klageverzichtsklauseln in altbabylonischen Vertragsund Prozessurkunden als Instrumentarien der
Konfliktvermeidung bzw.
Konfliktlösung', in: H. BARTA – R. ROLLINGER – M. LANG (ed.),
Prozeβrecht und Eid: Recht und Rechtsfindung in antiken
Kulturen (Teil 1), 6. Tagung
"Lebend(ig)e Rechtsgeschichte",
Wiesbaden. 193-205.

#### POLANYI 1957

K. POLANYI – C.M. ARENSBERG – H.W. PEARSON (ed.), *Trade and Markets in the Early Empires*, Glenco.

# POLANYI 1977

K. POLANYI, *The Livelihood* of *Man* (Studies in social discontinuity), New York.

# POWELL 1987-1990

M.A. POWELL, s.v. 'Masse und Gewichte', in: *RlA* vol. 7, Berlin-New York, 457-517.

#### **RENGER 1993**

J. RENGER, 'Formen des Zugangs zu den lebensnotwendigen Gütern: Die Austauschverhältnisse in der altbabylonischen Zeit', in: *Altorientalische Forschungen* 20, 87-114.

#### RENGER 1998

J. RENGER, s.v. 'Geld, Geldwirtschaft, I. Alter Orient und Ägypten', in: *Der Neue Pauly* vol. 4, Stuttgart-Weimar, 873.

# RENGER 1999

J. RENGER, s.v. 'Markt, I. Alter Orient und Ägypten', in: *Der*  Neue Pauly vol. 7, Stuttgart-Weimar, 922.

#### RENGER 2000

J. RENGER, s.v. 'Oikos-Wirtschaft', in: *Der Neue Pauly* vol. 8, Stuttgart-Weimar, 1137-1138.

#### RENGER 2002

J. RENGER, s.v. 'Wirtschaft, I. Mesopotamien', in: *Der Neue Pauly* vol. 12/2, Stuttgart-Weimar, 523-526.

#### **ROTH 1997**

M.T. ROTH, Law Collections from Mesopotamia and Asia Minor, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Atlanta.

#### SAN NICOLÒ 1974

M. SAN NICOLÒ, Die Schlussklauseln der altbabylonischen Kauf- und Tauschverträge, 2. Aufl., München

# VEENHOF 2008

K.R VEENHOF, 'The Old Assyrian Period, part I, Chapter V "Commercial Treaties"', in: M. WÄFLER (ed.), *Annäherungen* 5 (= OBO 160/5), Fribourg-Göttingen, 183-218.

#### VEENHOF 2012

K.R. VEENHOF, 'An Old Babylonian Lawsuit on the Property of a Priestess', in: T. BOIY – J. BRETSCHNEIDER – A. GOODEERIS – H. HAMEEUW – G. JANS – J. TAVERNIER (ed.), The Ancient Near East, A Life! (Festschrift Karel Van Lerberghe), Leuven-Paris-Walpole, MA, 327-644.

# VEENHOF 2013

K.R. VEENHOF, 'Commercial Treaties of the Old Assyrian City State (19th-18th century BC). Their substance and form, with some comparative observations', in: *ZAR* 19, 23-57.

#### **WEBER 1922**

M. WEBER, Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft (= Grundriss der Sozialökonomik, III. Abt.), Tübingen.

#### WESTBROOK 1985

R. WESTBROOK, 'The Price Factor in the Redemption of Land', in: *RIDA* 32, Brussels, 97-127.

#### WESTBROOK 1994

R. WESTBROOK, 'The Old Babylonian Term napṭarum', in: *JCS* 46, 41-46.

#### WESTBROOK 1995

R. WESTBROOK, 'Social Justice in the Ancient Near East', in: K.D. IRANI – M. SILVER (ed.), Social Justice in the Ancient World, Westport, 149-163.

#### WESTBROOK 2003

R. WESTBROOK, 'Mesopotamia. Old Babylonian Period', in: R. WESTBROOK (ed.), *A History of Ancient =Near Eastern Law* Vol. I (= HdO 71/1), Leiden-Boston, 361-430.

#### **YARON 1988**

R. Yaron, *The Laws of Eshnunna*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Jerusalem-Leiden.