

A Whiteheadian-type description of Euclidean spaces, spheres, tori and Tychonoff cubes¹

GEORGI D. DIMOV

Dedicated to Prof. Fabio Zanolin on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. *In the beginning of the 20th century, A. N. Whitehead [39, 40] and T. de Laguna [9] proposed a new theory of space, known as region-based theory of space. They did not present their ideas in a detailed mathematical form. In 1997, P. Roeper [33] has shown that the locally compact Hausdorff spaces correspond bijectively (up to homeomorphism and isomorphism) to some algebraical objects which represent correctly Whitehead's ideas of region and contact relation, generalizing in this way a previous analogous result of de Vries [10] concerning compact Hausdorff spaces (note that even a duality for the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps was constructed by de Vries [10]). Recently, a duality for the category of locally compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps, based on Roeper's results, was obtained in [11] (it extends de Vries' duality mentioned above). In this paper, using the dualities obtained in [10, 11], we construct directly (i.e. without the help of the corresponding topological spaces) the dual objects of Euclidean spaces, spheres, tori and Tychonoff cubes; these algebraical objects completely characterize the mentioned topological spaces. Thus, a mathematical realization of the original philosophical ideas of Whitehead [39, 40] and de Laguna [9] about Euclidean spaces is obtained.*

Keywords: Euclidean spaces, Tychonoff cubes, spheres, tori, (locally) compact Hausdorff spaces, duality, regular closed sets, sums of local contact algebras, sums of normal contact algebras

MS Classification 2010: 54D45, 54D30, 54B10, 06E99, 18A40, 54E05

¹This paper was supported by the project no. DID 02/32/2009 "Theories of the space and time: algebraic, topological and logical approaches" of the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science.

1. Introduction

The region-based theory of space is a kind of point-free geometry and can be considered as an alternative to the well known Euclidean point-based theory of space. Its main idea goes back to Whitehead [40] (see also [39]) and de Laguna [9] and is based on a certain criticism of the Euclidean approach to the geometry, where the points (as well as straight lines and planes) are taken as the basic primitive notions. A. N. Whitehead and T. de Laguna noticed that points, lines and planes are quite abstract entities which have not a separate existence in reality and proposed to put the theory of space on the base of some more realistic spatial entities. In Whitehead [40], the notion of a *region* is taken as a primitive notion: it is an abstract analog of a spatial body; also some natural relations between regions are regarded. In [39], Whitehead considered some mereological relations like “part-of”, “overlap” and some others, while in [40] he adopted from de Laguna [9] the relation of “*contact*” (“*connectedness*” in Whitehead’s original terminology) as the only primitive relation between regions except the relation “part-of”. The *regular closed* (or, equivalently, *regular open*) subsets of a topological space X are usually considered as a standard model of the regions in the point-based approach, and the *standard contact relation* ρ_X between regular closed subsets of X is defined (again in the point-based approach) as follows: $F\rho_X G \Leftrightarrow F \cap G \neq \emptyset$.

Let us note that neither Whitehead nor de Laguna presented their ideas in a detailed mathematical form. This was done by some other mathematicians and mathematically oriented philosophers who presented various versions of region-based theory of space at different levels of abstraction. Here we can mention Tarski [36], who rebuilt Euclidean geometry as an extension of mereology with the primitive notion of a *ball*. Remarkable is also Grzegorzczuk’s paper [27]. Models of Grzegorzczuk’s theory are complete Boolean algebras of regular closed sets of certain topological spaces equipped with the relation of separation which in fact is the complement of Whitehead’s contact relation. On the same line of abstraction is also the point-free topology [28]. Survey papers describing various aspects and historical remarks on region-based theory of space are [5, 24, 31, 37].

Let us mention that Whitehead’s ideas about region-based theory of space flourished and in a sense were reinvented and applied in some areas of computer science: Qualitative Spatial Reasoning (QSR), knowledge representation, geographical information systems, formal ontologies in information systems, image processing, natural language semantics etc. The reason is that the language of region-based theory of space allows the researches to obtain a more simple description of some qualitative spatial features and properties of space bodies. Survey papers concerning various applications are [6, 7] (see also the special issues of “Fundamenta Informaticae” [14] and “Journal of Applied Non-

classical Logics” [4]). One of the most popular among the community of QSR-researchers is the system of Region Connection Calculus (RCC) introduced by Randell, Cui and Cohn [32]. RCC attracted quite intensive research in the field of region-based theory of space, both on its applied and mathematical aspects. For instance it was unknown for some time which topological models correspond adequately to RCC; this fact stimulated the investigations of a topological representation theory of RCC and RCC-like systems (see [13, 15]). Another impact of region-based theory of space is that it stimulated the appearance of a new area in logic, namely “Spatial Logics” [2], called sometimes “Logics of Space”.

The ideas of de Laguna and Whitehead lead naturally to the following general programme (or *general region-based theory of space*):

- for every topological space X belonging to some class \mathcal{C} of topological spaces, define in topological terms:
 - (a) a family $\mathcal{R}(X)$ of subsets of X that will serve as models of Whitehead’s “regions” (and call the elements of the family $\mathcal{R}(X)$ *regions of X*);
 - (b) a relation ρ_X on $\mathcal{R}(X)$ that will serve as a model of Whitehead’s relation of “contact” (and call the relation ρ_X a *contact relation on $\mathcal{R}(X)$*);
- choose some (algebraic) structure which is inherent to the families $\mathcal{R}(X)$ and contact relations ρ_X , for $X \in \mathcal{C}$, fix some kind of morphisms between the obtained (algebraic) objects and build in this way a category \mathbf{A} ;
- find a subcategory \mathbf{T} of the category of topological spaces and continuous maps which is equivalent or dually equivalent to the category \mathbf{A} through a (contravariant) functor that assigns to each object X of \mathbf{T} the chosen (algebraic) structure of the family of all regions of X .

If all of this is done then, in particular, the chosen (algebraic) structure of the regions of any object X of \mathbf{T} is sufficient for recovering completely (of course, up to homeomorphism) the whole space X . Hence, in this way, a “region-based theory” of the objects and morphisms of the category \mathbf{T} is obtained.

Of course, during the realization of this programme, one can find the category \mathbf{A} starting with the category \mathbf{T} , if the later is the desired one.

The M. Stone [35] duality between the category of Boolean algebras and their homomorphisms and the category of compact zero-dimensional Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps can be regarded as a first realization of this programme, although M. Stone came to his results guided by ideas which are completely different from those of Whitehead and de Laguna. In M. Stone’s theory, the clopen (= closed and open) subsets of a topological space serve as models of the regions; here, however, the contact relation ρ is hidden, because it can be

defined by the Boolean operations (indeed, we have that $a\rho b \iff a \wedge b \neq 0$). The *localic duality* (see, e.g., [28, Corollary II.1.7]) between the category of spatial frames and functions preserving finite meets and arbitrary joins and the category of sober spaces and continuous maps can also be regarded as a realization of the ideas of the general region-based theory of space: in it the open subsets of a topological space serve as models of the regions and, as above, the contact relation ρ between the regions is hidden because it can be recovered by the lattice operations (indeed, we have that $a\rho b \iff a \wedge b \neq 0$). The de Vries duality [10] for the category **HC** of compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps is the first realization of the ideas of the general region-based theory of space in their full generality and strength (and again, as it seems, de Vries was unaware of the papers [9] and [40]): the models of the regions in de Vries' theory are the regular closed sets and, in contrast to the case of the Stone duality and localic duality, the contact relation between regions, which is in the basis of de Vries' duality theorem, cannot be derived from the Boolean structure on the regions. (Note that in [10], instead of the Boolean algebra $RC(X)$ of regular closed sets, the Boolean algebra $RO(X)$ of regular open sets was regarded ($RO(X)$ and $RC(X)$ are isomorphic); also, instead of the relation ρ_X on the set $RC(X)$ which was described above (let us recall it: $F\rho_X G \iff F \cap G \neq \emptyset$), de Vries used in [10] the so-called "*compingent relation*" between regular open sets whose counterpart for $RC(X)$ is the relation \ll_X , defined by $F \ll_X G \iff F \subseteq \text{int}(G)$, for $F, G \in RC(X)$; the relations ρ_X and \ll_X are inter-definable.) It is natural to try to extend de Vries' Duality Theorem to the category **HLC** of locally compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps. An important step in this direction was done by P. Røeper [33]. Being guided by the ideas of de Laguna [9] and Whitehead [40], he proved that there is a bijective correspondence between all (up to homeomorphism) locally compact Hausdorff spaces and all (up to isomorphism) algebras of some sort called by him "*region-based topologies*" (we call them *complete LC-algebras*). The notion of a complete LC-algebra, introduced by Røeper [33], is an abstraction of the triples $(RC(X), \rho_X, CR(X))$, where X is a locally compact Hausdorff space and $CR(X)$ is the ideal of all compact regular closed subsets of X . P. Røeper [33] showed that every complete LC-algebra can be realized as a triple $(RC(X), \rho_X, CR(X))$, where X is a uniquely (up to homeomorphism) determined locally compact Hausdorff space. In [11], using Røeper's result, we obtained a duality between the category **HLC** and the category **DHLC** of complete LC-algebras and appropriate morphisms between them; it is an extension of de Vries' duality mentioned above; the dual object of a locally compact Hausdorff space X is the triple $(RC(X), \rho_X, CR(X))$ which will be called *the Røeper triple of the space X* . Let us note that the famous Gelfand duality [20, 21, 22, 23] also gives an algebraical description of (locally) compact Hausdorff spaces but it is not in the spirit of the ideas of Whitehead and de

Laguna.

A description of the dual object of the real line under the localic duality (i.e., a description of the frame (or locale) determined by the topology of the real line) without the help of the real line was given by Fourman and Hyland [19] (see, also, Grayson [26] and Johnstone [28, IV.1.1-IV.1.3]), assuming the set of rationals as given. As we have seen above, the ideas of the localic duality are in the spirit of the ideas of the *general* region-based theory of space but, nevertheless, they are far from the well-known and commonly accepted interpretations of the *original* philosophical ideas of Whitehead [39, 40] and de Laguna [9] given in [27] and [33] (see also [32]).

In this paper we construct directly the dual objects of Euclidean spaces, spheres, tori and Tychonoff cubes under the dualities obtained in [10, 11], i.e. we construct the complete LC-algebras isomorphic to the Roeper triples (see [33]) of these spaces without the help of the corresponding spaces, assuming the set of natural numbers as given. For doing this, we first obtain some direct descriptions of the **DHLC**-sums of complete LC-algebras and the **DHC**-sums of complete NC-algebras (where **DHC** is the de Vries category dual to the category **HC**, and the objects of the category **DHC** are the complete NC-algebras) using the dualities obtained in [10] and [11]. Let us note explicitly that, as it follows from the results of de Vries [10] and Roeper [33], the Euclidean spaces, spheres, tori and Tychonoff cubes can be completely reconstructed as topological spaces from the algebraical objects which we describe in this paper. Therefore, our results can be regarded as a mathematical realization of the original philosophical ideas of Whitehead [39, 40] and de Laguna [9] about Euclidean spaces; this realization is in accordance with the Grzegorzczuk's [27] and Roeper's [33] mathematical interpretations of these ideas.

We now fix the notation.

If \mathcal{C} denotes a category, we write $X \in |\mathcal{C}|$ if X is an object of \mathcal{C} , and $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ if f is a morphism of \mathcal{C} with domain X and codomain Y .

All lattices are with top (= unit) and bottom (= zero) elements, denoted respectively by 1 and 0. We do not require the elements 0 and 1 to be distinct.

If (X, τ) is a topological space and M is a subset of X , we denote by $\text{cl}_{(X, \tau)}(M)$ (or simply by $\text{cl}(M)$ or $\text{cl}_X(M)$) the closure of M in (X, τ) and by $\text{int}_{(X, \tau)}(M)$ (or briefly by $\text{int}(M)$ or $\text{int}_X(M)$) the interior of M in (X, τ) . The Alexandroff compactification of a locally compact Hausdorff non-compact space X will be denoted by αX . The positive natural numbers are denoted by \mathbb{N}^+ , the real line (with its natural topology) – by \mathbb{R} , the n -dimensional sphere (with its natural topology) – by \mathbb{S}^n (here $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$).

2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1. An algebraic system $(B, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge, *, C)$ is called a contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, contact algebra (abbreviated as CA or C-algebra) ([13]) if the system $(B, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge, *)$ is a Boolean algebra (where the operation “complement” is denoted by “ $*$ ”) and C is a binary relation on B , satisfying the following axioms:

- (C1) If $a \neq 0$ then aCa ;
- (C2) If aCb then $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$;
- (C3) aCb implies bCa ;
- (C4) $aC(b \vee c)$ iff aCb or aCc .

We shall simply write (B, C) for a contact algebra. The relation C is called a contact relation. When B is a complete Boolean algebra, we will say that (B, C) is a complete contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, complete contact algebra (abbreviated as CCA or CC-algebra). If $a \in B$ and $D \subseteq B$, we will write “ aCD ” for “ $(\forall d \in D)(aCd)$ ”.

We will say that two C-algebras (B_1, C_1) and (B_2, C_2) are CA-isomorphic iff there exists a Boolean isomorphism $\varphi : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ such that, for each $a, b \in B_1$, aC_1b iff $\varphi(a)C_2\varphi(b)$. Note that in this paper, by a “Boolean isomorphism” we understand an isomorphism in the category **Bool** of Boolean algebras and Boolean homomorphisms.

A contact algebra (B, C) is called a normal contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, normal contact algebra (abbreviated as NCA or NC-algebra) ([10, 18]) if it satisfies the following axioms which are very similar to the Efremovič [16] axioms of proximity spaces (we will write “ $-C$ ” for “not C ”):

- (C5) If $a(-C)b$ then $a(-C)c$ and $b(-C)c^*$ for some $c \in B$;
- (C6) If $a \neq 1$ then there exists $b \neq 0$ such that $b(-C)a$.

A normal CA is called a complete normal contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, complete normal contact algebra (abbreviated as CNCA or CNC-algebra) if it is a CCA. The notion of a normal contact algebra was introduced by Fedorchuk [18] under the name Boolean δ -algebra as an equivalent expression of the notion of a compingent Boolean algebra of de Vries (see its definition below). We call such algebras “normal contact algebras” because they form a subclass of the class of contact algebras and naturally arise in normal Hausdorff spaces.

Note that if $0 \neq 1$ then the axiom (C2) follows from the axioms (C6) and (C4).

For any CA (B, C) , we define a binary relation “ \ll_C ” on B (called non-tangential inclusion) by “ $a \ll_C b \leftrightarrow a(-C)b^*$ ”. Sometimes we will write simply “ \ll ” instead of “ \ll_C ”.

The relations C and \ll are inter-definable. For example, normal contact

algebras could be equivalently defined (and exactly in this way they were introduced (under the name of *compingent Boolean algebras*) by de Vries in [10]) as a pair of a Boolean algebra $B = (B, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge, *)$ and a binary relation \ll on B subject to the following axioms:

- ($\ll 1$) $a \ll b$ implies $a \leq b$;
- ($\ll 2$) $0 \ll 0$;
- ($\ll 3$) $a \leq b \ll c \leq d$ implies $a \ll d$;
- ($\ll 4$) $a \ll c$ and $b \ll c$ implies $a \vee b \ll c$;
- ($\ll 5$) If $a \ll c$ then $a \ll b \ll c$ for some $b \in B$;
- ($\ll 6$) If $a \neq 0$ then there exists $b \neq 0$ such that $b \ll a$;
- ($\ll 7$) $a \ll b$ implies $b^* \ll a^*$.

Note that if $0 \neq 1$ then the axiom ($\ll 2$) follows from the axioms ($\ll 3$), ($\ll 4$), ($\ll 6$) and ($\ll 7$).

Obviously, contact algebras could be equivalently defined as a pair of a Boolean algebra B and a binary relation \ll on B subject to the axioms ($\ll 1$)-($\ll 4$) and ($\ll 7$).

It is easy to see that axiom (C5) (resp., (C6)) can be stated equivalently in the form of ($\ll 5$) (resp., ($\ll 6$)).

EXAMPLE 2.2. *Recall that a subset F of a topological space (X, τ) is called regular closed if $F = \text{cl}(\text{int}(F))$. Clearly, F is regular closed iff it is the closure of an open set.*

*For any topological space (X, τ) , the collection $RC(X, \tau)$ (we will often write simply $RC(X)$) of all regular closed subsets of (X, τ) becomes a complete Boolean algebra $(RC(X, \tau), 0, 1, \wedge, \vee, *)$ under the following operations:*

$$1 = X, 0 = \emptyset, F^* = \text{cl}(X \setminus F), F \vee G = F \cup G, F \wedge G = \text{cl}(\text{int}(F \cap G)).$$

The infinite operations are given by the formulae:

$$\bigvee \{F_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} = \text{cl} \left(\bigcup \{F_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} \right) \quad \left(= \text{cl} \left(\bigcup \{\text{int}(F_\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} \right) \right),$$

and

$$\bigwedge \{F_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} = \text{cl} \left(\text{int} \left(\bigcap \{F_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} \right) \right).$$

It is easy to see that setting $F \rho_{(X, \tau)} G$ iff $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$, we define a contact relation $\rho_{(X, \tau)}$ on $RC(X, \tau)$; it is called a standard contact relation. So, $(RC(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)})$ is a CCA (it is called a standard contact algebra). We will often write simply ρ_X instead of $\rho_{(X, \tau)}$. Note that, for $F, G \in RC(X)$, $F \ll_{\rho_X} G$ iff $F \subseteq \text{int}_X(G)$.

Clearly, if (X, τ) is a normal Hausdorff space then the standard contact algebra $(RC(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)})$ is a complete NCA.

A subset U of (X, τ) such that $U = \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$ is said to be regular open. The set of all regular open subsets of (X, τ) will be denoted by $RO(X, \tau)$ (or briefly, by $RO(X)$).

The following notion is a lattice-theoretical counterpart of Leader's notion of a local proximity ([30]):

DEFINITION 2.3 ([33]). An algebraic system $\underline{B}_l = (B, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge, *, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ is called a local contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, local contact algebra (abbreviated as LCA or LC-algebra) if $(B, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge, *)$ is a Boolean algebra, ρ is a binary relation on B such that (B, ρ) is a CA, and \mathbb{B} is an ideal (possibly non proper) of B , satisfying the following axioms:

(BC1) If $a \in \mathbb{B}$, $c \in B$ and $a \ll_\rho c$ then $a \ll_\rho b \ll_\rho c$ for some $b \in \mathbb{B}$ (see Definition 2.1 for " \ll_ρ ");

(BC2) If $a\rho b$ then there exists an element c of \mathbb{B} such that $a\rho(c \wedge b)$;

(BC3) If $a \neq 0$ then there exists $b \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $b \ll_\rho a$.

We shall simply write (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) for a local contact algebra. We will say that the elements of \mathbb{B} are bounded and the elements of $B \setminus \mathbb{B}$ are unbounded. When B is a complete Boolean algebra, the LCA (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) is called a complete local contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, complete local contact algebra (abbreviated as CLCA or CLC-algebra).

We will say that two local contact algebras (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) and $(B_1, \rho_1, \mathbb{B}_1)$ are LCA-isomorphic if there exists a Boolean isomorphism $\varphi : B \rightarrow B_1$ such that, for $a, b \in B$, $a\rho b$ iff $\varphi(a)\rho_1\varphi(b)$, and $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_1$ iff $a \in \mathbb{B}$. A map $\varphi : (B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow (B_1, \rho_1, \mathbb{B}_1)$ is called an LCA-embedding if $\varphi : B \rightarrow B_1$ is an injective Boolean homomorphism (i.e. Boolean monomorphism) and, moreover, for any $a, b \in B$, $a\rho b$ iff $\varphi(a)\rho_1\varphi(b)$, and $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_1$ iff $a \in \mathbb{B}$.

REMARK 2.4. Note that if (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) is a local contact algebra and $1 \in \mathbb{B}$ then (B, ρ) is a normal contact algebra. Conversely, any normal contact algebra (B, C) can be regarded as a local contact algebra of the form (B, C, B) .

DEFINITION 2.5 ([38]). Let (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be a local contact algebra. Define a binary relation " $C_{\rho, \mathbb{B}}$ " on B by

$$aC_{\rho, \mathbb{B}}b \text{ iff } a\rho b \text{ or } a, b \notin \mathbb{B}. \quad (1)$$

It is called the Alexandroff extension of ρ relatively to the LCA (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) (or, when there is no ambiguity, simply, the Alexandroff extension of ρ).

The following lemma is a lattice-theoretical counterpart of a theorem from Leader's paper [30].

LEMMA 2.6 ([38]). Let (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be a local contact algebra. Then $(B, C_{\rho, \mathbb{B}})$, where $C_{\rho, \mathbb{B}}$ is the Alexandroff extension of ρ , is a normal contact algebra.

Notation. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. We denote by $CR(X, \tau)$ the family of all compact regular closed subsets of (X, τ) . We will often write $CR(X)$ instead of $CR(X, \tau)$.

PROPOSITION 2.7 ([33]). *Let (X, τ) be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then the triple $(RC(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)}, CR(X, \tau))$ (see Example 2.2 for $\rho_{(X, \tau)}$) is a complete local contact algebra; it is called a standard local contact algebra.*

The next theorem was proved by Roeper [33] (but its particular case concerning compact Hausdorff spaces and NC-algebras was proved by de Vries [10]).

THEOREM 2.8 (P. Roeper [33] for locally compact spaces and de Vries [10] for compact spaces). *There exists a bijective correspondence Ψ^t between the class of all (up to homeomorphism) locally compact Hausdorff spaces and the class of all (up to isomorphism) CLC-algebras; its restriction to the class of all (up to homeomorphism) compact Hausdorff spaces gives a bijective correspondence between the later class and the class of all (up to isomorphism) CNC-algebras.*

Let us recall the definition of the correspondence Ψ^t mentioned in the above theorem: if (X, τ) is a locally compact Hausdorff space then

$$\Psi^t(X, \tau) = (RC(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)}, CR(X, \tau)) \quad (2)$$

(see Proposition 2.7 for the notation).

DEFINITION 2.9 (De Vries [10]). *Let **HC** be the category of all compact Hausdorff spaces and all continuous maps between them.*

*Let **DHC** be the category whose objects are all complete NC-algebras and whose morphisms are all functions $\varphi : (A, C) \longrightarrow (B, C')$ between the objects of **DHC** satisfying the conditions:*

- (DVAL1) $\varphi(0) = 0$;
- (DVAL2) $\varphi(a \wedge b) = \varphi(a) \wedge \varphi(b)$, for all $a, b \in A$;
- (DVAL3) If $a, b \in A$ and $a \ll_C b$, then $(\varphi(a^*))^* \ll_{C'} \varphi(b)$;
- (DVAL4) $\varphi(a) = \bigvee \{\varphi(b) \mid b \ll_C a\}$, for every $a \in A$,

*and let the composition “ \diamond ” of two morphisms $\varphi_1 : (A_1, C_1) \longrightarrow (A_2, C_2)$ and $\varphi_2 : (A_2, C_2) \longrightarrow (A_3, C_3)$ of **DHC** be defined by the formula*

$$\varphi_2 \diamond \varphi_1 = (\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1)^\sim, \quad (3)$$

*where, for every function $\psi : (A, C) \longrightarrow (B, C')$ between two objects of **DHC**, $\psi^\sim : (A, C) \longrightarrow (B, C')$ is defined as follows:*

$$\psi^\sim(a) = \bigvee \{\psi(b) \mid b \ll_C a\}, \quad (4)$$

for every $a \in A$.

De Vries [10] proved the following duality theorem:

THEOREM 2.10 ([10]). *The categories **HC** and **DHC** are dually equivalent.*

In [11], an extension of de Vries' Duality Theorem to the category of locally compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps was obtained. Let us recall its formulation.

DEFINITION 2.11 ([11]). *Let **HLC** be the category of all locally compact Hausdorff spaces and all continuous maps between them.*

*Let **DHLC** be the category whose objects are all complete LC-algebras and whose morphisms are all functions $\varphi : (A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow (B, \eta, \mathbb{B}')$ between the objects of **DHLC** satisfying conditions*

- (DLC1) $\varphi(0) = 0$;
- (DLC2) $\varphi(a \wedge b) = \varphi(a) \wedge \varphi(b)$, for all $a, b \in A$;
- (DLC3) If $a \in \mathbb{B}, b \in A$ and $a \ll_{\rho} b$, then $(\varphi(a^*))^* \ll_{\eta} \varphi(b)$;
- (DLC4) For every $b \in \mathbb{B}'$ there exists $a \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $b \leq \varphi(a)$;
- (DLC5) $\varphi(a) = \bigvee \{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll_{\rho} a\}$, for every $a \in A$;

*let the composition “ \diamond ” of two morphisms $\varphi_1 : (A_1, \rho_1, \mathbb{B}_1) \longrightarrow (A_2, \rho_2, \mathbb{B}_2)$ and $\varphi_2 : (A_2, \rho_2, \mathbb{B}_2) \longrightarrow (A_3, \rho_3, \mathbb{B}_3)$ of **DHLC** be defined by the formula*

$$\varphi_2 \diamond \varphi_1 = (\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1)^{\sim}, \quad (5)$$

*where, for every function $\psi : (A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow (B, \eta, \mathbb{B}')$ between two objects of **DHLC**, $\psi^{\sim} : (A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow (B, \eta, \mathbb{B}')$ is defined as follows:*

$$\psi^{\sim}(a) = \bigvee \{\psi(b) \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll_{\rho} a\}, \quad (6)$$

for every $a \in A$.

*(We used here the same notation as in Definition 2.9 for the composition between the morphisms of the category **DHLC** and for the functions of the type ψ^{\sim} because the NC-algebras can be regarded as those LC-algebras (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) for which $A = \mathbb{B}$, and hence the right sides of the formulae (6) and (4) coincide in the case of NC-algebras.)*

It can be shown that condition (DLC3) in Definition 2.11 can be replaced by any of the following four constrains:

- (DLC3') If $a, b \in \mathbb{B}$ and $a \ll_{\rho} b$, then $(\varphi(a^*))^* \ll_{\eta} \varphi(b)$.
- (DLC3S) If $a, b \in A$ and $a \ll_{\rho} b$, then $(\varphi(a^*))^* \ll_{\eta} \varphi(b)$.
- (LC3) If, for $i = 1, 2$, $a_i \in \mathbb{B}$, $b_i \in A$ and $a_i \ll_{\rho} b_i$, then $\varphi(a_1 \vee a_2) \ll_{\eta} \varphi(b_1) \vee \varphi(b_2)$.
- (LC3S) If, for $i = 1, 2$, $a_i, b_i \in A$ and $a_i \ll_{\rho} b_i$, then $\varphi(a_1 \vee a_2) \ll_{\eta} \varphi(b_1) \vee \varphi(b_2)$.

THEOREM 2.12 ([11]). *The categories **HLC** and **DHLC** are dually equivalent.*

The duality, constructed in Theorem 2.12 and denoted by $\Psi^t : \mathbf{HLC} \longrightarrow \mathbf{DHLC}$, is an extension of the Roeper's correspondence Ψ^t defined by (2) (i.e. the definition of the contravariant functor Ψ^t on the objects of the category \mathbf{HLC} coincides with the definition of the Roeper's correspondence).

We will also need a lemma from [8]:

LEMMA 2.13. *Let X be a dense subspace of a topological space Y . Then the functions $r : RC(Y) \longrightarrow RC(X)$, $F \mapsto F \cap X$, and $e : RC(X) \longrightarrow RC(Y)$, $G \mapsto \text{cl}_Y(G)$, are Boolean isomorphisms between Boolean algebras $RC(X)$ and $RC(Y)$, and $e \circ r = \text{id}_{RC(Y)}$, $r \circ e = \text{id}_{RC(X)}$.*

For the notions and notation not defined here see [1, 17, 28, 34].

3. Sums in the categories \mathbf{DHLC} and \mathbf{DHC}

In [12], we described the \mathbf{DHLC} -products of complete local contact algebras. Here we will describe the \mathbf{DHLC} -sums of finite families of complete local contact algebras and the \mathbf{DHC} -sums of arbitrarily many complete contact algebras using the notion of a *sum of a family of Boolean algebras* (see [25]) which is known also as a *free product* (see [29]). (We will denote the sum of a family $\{A_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ of Boolean algebras by $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_\gamma$ (as in [29]).) Note that the sums (resp., finite sums) in the category \mathbf{DHC} (resp., \mathbf{DHLC}) surely exist because the dual category \mathbf{HC} (resp., \mathbf{HLC}) of all compact (resp., locally compact) Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps has products (resp., finite products).

Let us recall the definition of the notion of a sum of a family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of Boolean algebras (see, e.g. [29]): a pair $(A, (e_i)_{i \in I})$ is a *sum of $(A_i)_{i \in I}$* if A is a Boolean algebra, each e_i is a homomorphism from A_i into A and, for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ of homomorphisms from A_i into any Boolean algebra B , there is a unique homomorphism $f : A \longrightarrow B$ such that $f \circ e_i = f_i$ for $i \in I$. It is well known that every family of Boolean algebras has, up to isomorphism, a unique sum. Recall, as well, that a family $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ of subalgebras of a Boolean algebra A is *independent* if, for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, pairwise distinct $i(1), \dots, i(n) \in I$ and non-zero elements $b_{i(k)}$ of $B_{i(k)}$, for $k = 1, \dots, n$, $b_{i(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge b_{i(n)} > 0$ in A . The following characterization of the sums holds (see, e.g., [29]):

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let A be a Boolean algebra and, for $i \in I$, $e_i : A_i \longrightarrow A$ a homomorphism; assume that no A_i is trivial. The pair $(A, (e_i)_{i \in I})$ is a sum of $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ iff each of (a) through (c) holds:*

- (a) each $e_i : A_i \longrightarrow A$ is an injection,
- (b) $(e_i(A_i))_{i \in I}$ is an independent family of subalgebras of A ,
- (c) A is generated by $\bigcup_{i \in I} e_i(A_i)$.

Moreover, if $(A, (e_i)_{i \in I})$ is a sum of $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ then

- (d) $e_i(A_i) \cap e_j(A_j) = \{0, 1\}$, for $i \neq j$.

We start with a proposition which should be known, although I was not able to find it in the literature. Recall that a topological space X is called *semiregular* if $RO(X)$ is a base of X . By a *completion* of a Boolean algebra A , we will understand the *MacNeille completion* of A .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let $\{X_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of semiregular topological spaces and $X = \prod\{X_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Then the Boolean algebra $RC(X)$ is isomorphic to the completion of $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} RC(X_\gamma)$.*

Proof. Let, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\pi_\gamma : X \rightarrow X_\gamma$ be the projection. Using the fact that π_γ is an open map (and, thus, the formulae $\text{cl}(\pi_\gamma^{-1}(M)) = \pi_\gamma^{-1}(\text{cl}(M))$ and $\text{int}(\pi_\gamma^{-1}(M)) = \pi_\gamma^{-1}(\text{int}(M))$ hold for every $M \subseteq X_\gamma$) (see, e.g., [17]), it is easy to show, that the map $\varphi_\gamma : RC(X_\gamma) \rightarrow RC(X)$, $F \mapsto \pi_\gamma^{-1}(F)$, is a complete monomorphism for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Set $A_\gamma = \varphi_\gamma(RC(X_\gamma))$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and let A be the subalgebra of $RC(X)$ generated by $\bigcup\{A_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. It is easy to check that, for every finite non-empty subset Γ_0 of Γ , we have that if $a_\gamma \in A_\gamma \setminus \{0\}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$, then $\bigwedge\{a_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_0\} \neq 0$ (i.e. the family $\{A_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is an *independent family* (see, e.g., [29])). Thus, by [29, Proposition 11.4], we get that $A = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} RC(X_\gamma)$. Since $RO(X_\gamma)$ is a base of X_γ , for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we obtain that A is a dense subalgebra of $RC(X)$. Thus, $RC(X)$ is the completion of A . \square

The proof of this proposition shows that the following is even true:

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $\{X_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of semiregular topological spaces and $X = \prod\{X_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Let, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, B_γ be a subalgebra of $RC(X_\gamma)$ such that $\{\text{int}(F) \mid F \in B_\gamma\}$ is a base of X_γ . Then the Boolean algebra $RC(X)$ is isomorphic to the completion of $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B_\gamma$.*

DEFINITION 3.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and let, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, $(A_i, \rho_i, \mathbb{B}_i)$ be a CLCA. Let*

$$(A, (\varphi_i)_{i=1}^n) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i,$$

where, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow A$$

is the canonical complete monomorphism, and let \tilde{A} be the completion of A . We can suppose, without loss of generality, that $A \subseteq \tilde{A}$. Set

$$E = \left\{ \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(a_i) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{B}_i \right\}$$

and let $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}$ be the ideal of \tilde{A} generated by E (thus,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{B}} = \{x \in \tilde{A} \mid x \leq e_1 \vee \dots \vee e_n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } e_1, \dots, e_n \in E\}.$$

For every two elements $a = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(a_i)$ and $b = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(b_i)$ of E , set

$$a \tilde{\rho} b \Leftrightarrow (a_i \rho_i b_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}).$$

Further, for every two elements c and d of $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}$, set

$$c(-\tilde{\rho})d \Leftrightarrow \left(\exists k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } \exists c_1, \dots, c_k, d_1, \dots, d_l \in E \text{ such that } \right. \\ \left. c \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^k c_i, d \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^l d_j \text{ and } c_i(-\tilde{\rho})d_j, \forall i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and } \forall j = 1, \dots, l \right).$$

Finally, for every two elements a and b of \tilde{A} , set

$$a \tilde{\rho} b \Leftrightarrow (\exists c, d \in \tilde{\mathbb{B}} \text{ such that } c \leq a, d \leq b \text{ and } c \tilde{\rho} d).$$

Then the triple $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ will be denoted by $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (A_i, \rho_i, \mathbb{B}_i)$.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{(A_i, \rho_i, \mathbb{B}_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a family of CLCAs. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (A_i, \rho_i, \mathbb{B}_i)$ is a **DHLC**-sum of the family \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. As the Duality Theorem 2.12 shows, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there exists a $X_i \in |\mathbf{HLC}|$ such that the CLCAs $(RC(X_i), \rho_{X_i}, CR(X_i))$ and $(A_i, \rho_i, \mathbb{B}_i)$ are LCA-isomorphic. Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then we have, in the notation of Definition 3.4, that the Boolean algebras $RC(X)$ and \tilde{A} are isomorphic (see Proposition 3.2). Also, again in the notation of Definition 3.4, $(A, (\varphi_i)_{i=1}^n)$ is isomorphic to $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n RC(X_i), (\psi_i)_{i=1}^n)$, where $\psi_i : RC(X_i) \rightarrow RC(X)$, $F \mapsto \pi_i^{-1}(F)$, and $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ is the projection, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ (this follows from Proposition 3.1). Thus, the set E from Definition 3.4 corresponds to the following set:

$$E' = \left\{ \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \psi_i(F_i) \mid F_i \in CR(X_i) \right\}.$$

Let $F \in E'$. Then there exist $F_i \in CR(X_i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $F = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \psi_i(F_i)$. Set $U_i = \text{int}_{X_i}(F_i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $F = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1}(F_i) = \text{cl}_X(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{int}_X(\pi_i^{-1}(F_i))) = \text{cl}_X(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1}(U_i)) = \text{cl}(\prod_{i=1}^n U_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n F_i$ (note that we used [17, 1.4.C, 2.3.3] here). Hence, for every $F, G \in E'$, where $F = \prod_{i=1}^n F_i$ and $G = \prod_{i=1}^n G_i$, we have that

$$F \rho_X G \Leftrightarrow F \cap G \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow (F_i \cap G_i \neq \emptyset, \forall i = 1, \dots, n) \Leftrightarrow (F_i \rho_{X_i} G_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Further, since $\{\prod_{i=1}^n U_i \mid U_i \in RO(X_i), \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a base of X and X is regular, we obtain that $CR(X)$ coincides with the ideal of $RC(X)$ generated by E' . The fact that every two disjoint compact subsets of X can be separated

by open sets implies that if $F, G \in CR(X)$ then $F(-\rho_X)G$ (i.e. $F \cap G = \emptyset$) iff there exists finitely many elements $F_1, \dots, F_k, G_1, \dots, G_l \in E'$ such that $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k F_i$, $G \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^l G_i$ and $F_i \cap G_j = \emptyset$ (i.e. $F_i(-\rho_X)G_j$) for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ and all $j = 1, \dots, l$. Finally, since $(RC(X), \rho_X, CR(X))$ is an LCA (see 2.7), we have (by (BC2)) that for any $F', G' \in RC(X)$, $F' \rho_X G' \Leftrightarrow \exists F, G \in CR(X)$ such that $F \subseteq F'$, $G \subseteq G'$ and $F \rho_X G$. All this shows that the triple $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ from 3.4 is an LCA which is LCA-isomorphic to $(RC(X), \rho_X, CR(X))$. Now, using Theorem 2.12 and the facts that $\Psi^t(X) = (RC(X), \rho_X, CR(X))$, $\Psi^t(X_i) = (RC(X_i), \rho_{X_i}, CR(X_i))$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and X is a **HLC**-product of the family $\{X_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$, we get that $(RC(X), \rho_X, CR(X))$ is a **DHLC**-sum of the family $\{(RC(X_i), \rho_{X_i}, CR(X_i)) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Thus $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ is a **DHLC**-sum of the family $\{(A_i, \rho_i, \mathbb{B}_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$. \square

DEFINITION 3.6. Let J be a set and let, for every $j \in J$, (A_j, ρ_j) be a CNCA. Let

$$(A, (\varphi_j)_{j \in J}) = \bigoplus_{j \in J} A_j,$$

where, for every $j \in J$,

$$\varphi_j : A_j \longrightarrow A$$

is the canonical complete monomorphism, and let \tilde{A} be the completion of A . We can suppose, without loss of generality, that $A \subseteq \tilde{A}$. Set

$$E = \left\{ \bigwedge_{i \in I} \varphi_i(a_i) \mid I \subseteq J, |I| < \aleph_0, a_i \in A_i, \forall i \in I \right\}.$$

For every two elements $a = \bigwedge_{i \in I_1} \varphi_i(a_i)$ and $b = \bigwedge_{i \in I_2} \varphi_i(b_i)$ of E , set

$$a \tilde{\rho} b \Leftrightarrow (a_i \rho_i b_i, \forall i \in I_1 \cap I_2).$$

Further, for every two elements c and d of \tilde{A} , set

$$c(-\tilde{\rho})d \Leftrightarrow \left(\exists k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } \exists c_1, \dots, c_k, d_1, \dots, d_l \in E \text{ such that } c \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^k c_i, d \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^l d_j \text{ and } c_i(-\tilde{\rho})d_j, \forall i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and } \forall j = 1, \dots, l \right).$$

Then the pair $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\rho})$ will be denoted by $\bigoplus_{j \in J} (A_j, \rho_j)$.

THEOREM 3.7. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(A_j, \rho_j) \mid j \in J\}$ be a family of complete normal contact algebras. Then $\bigoplus_{j \in J} (A_j, \rho_j)$ is a **DHC**-sum of the family \mathcal{A} .

Proof. The proof is similar to that one of Theorem 3.5. In it de Vries' Duality Theorem 2.10 instead of Theorem 2.12 can be used. \square

4. A Whiteheadian-type description of Euclidean spaces

Notation. We will denote by \mathbb{Z} the set of all integers with the natural order, by \mathbb{I} the unit interval $[0, 1]$ with its natural topology and by \mathbb{I}' – the open interval $(0, 1)$ with its natural topology, by \mathbb{N} the set of natural numbers, by \mathbb{J} the subspace of the real line consisting of all irrational numbers, and by \mathbb{D} the set of all dyadic numbers in the interval $(0, 1)$. We set $\mathbb{Z}_0 = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathbb{Z}^- = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{J}_2 = \mathbb{I}' \setminus \mathbb{D}$. If $(X, <)$ is a linearly ordered set and $x \in X$, then we set

$$\text{succ}(x) = \{y \in X \mid x < y\}, \quad \text{pred}(x) = \{y \in X \mid y < x\};$$

also, we denote by x^+ the *successor* of x (when it exists) and by x^- – the *predecessor* of x (when it exists). If M is a set, then we will denote by $P(M)$ the power set Boolean algebra of M ; the cardinality of M will be denoted by $|M|$. If X is a topological space, then we will denote by $CO(X)$ the set of all clopen (= closed and open) subsets of X .

Now we will construct a CLCA $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ and we will show that it is LCA-isomorphic to $\Psi^t(\mathbb{R})$.

The construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$. Let $A_i = P(\mathbb{Z}_0)$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Thus, if $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $a_i \in A_i$, then a_i is a subset of \mathbb{Z}_0 and its cardinality will be denoted by $|a_i|$. Let $(A, (\varphi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+})$ be the sum of Boolean algebras $\{A_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$; then, by Proposition 3.1, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow A$ is a monomorphism, the family $\{\varphi_i(A_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ is an independent family and the set $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+} \varphi_i(A_i)$ generates A . Let \tilde{A} be the completion of A . We can suppose, without loss of generality, that $A \subseteq \tilde{A}$.

The following subset of A will be important for us:

$$B_0 = \{\varphi_1(a_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_k(a_k) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}^+, \\ (\forall i = 1, \dots, k)(a_i \in A_i \text{ and } |a_i| = 1)\}. \quad (7)$$

If $b \in B_0$ and $b = \varphi_1(a_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_k(a_k)$, where $a_k = \{p\}$, then we set

$$b_- = \varphi_1(a_1) \wedge \varphi_2(a_2) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_{k-1}(a_{k-1}) \wedge \varphi_k(\{p^-\}). \quad (8)$$

For every $b \in B_0$, where $b = \varphi_1(a_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_k(a_k)$, and every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we set

$$q_{bn} = (b_- \wedge \varphi_{k+1}(\text{succ}(n))) \vee (b \wedge \varphi_{k+1}(\text{pred}(-n))). \quad (9)$$

Now we set

$$B_1 = \{q_{bn} \mid b \in B_0, n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}. \quad (10)$$

Let $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}$ be the ideal of \tilde{A} generated by the set $B_0 \cup B_1$. Now, we will define a relation $\tilde{\sigma}$ on \tilde{A} . It will be, by definition, a symmetric relation.

Let $r, r' \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $b, b' \in B_0$, $b = \varphi_1(a_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_k(a_k)$, $b' = \varphi_1(a'_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_l(a'_l)$ and $a_k = \{n\}$, $a'_k = \{m\}$. We can suppose, without loss of generality, that $k \leq l$. If $k < l$, then let $a'_{k+1} = \{p\}$. Now we set

$$b\tilde{\sigma}b' \Leftrightarrow \left[\left(a_i = a'_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \right) \right. \\ \left. \& \left(\begin{cases} m \in \{n^-, n, n^+\}, & \text{if } k = l \\ m = n, & \text{if } k < l \end{cases} \right) \right], \quad (11)$$

and

$$q_{br}\tilde{\sigma}q_{b'r'} \Leftrightarrow \left[\left(a_i = a'_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \right) \right. \\ \left. \& \left(\begin{cases} m = n, & \text{if } l = k \\ (m = n \text{ and } p \leq -r) \text{ or } (m = n^- \text{ and } p > r), & \text{if } l = k+1 \\ (m = n \text{ and } p < -r) \text{ or } (m = n^- \text{ and } p > r), & \text{if } l > k+1 \end{cases} \right) \right]. \quad (12)$$

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $b, b' \in B_0$, $b = \varphi_1(a_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_k(a_k)$, $b' = \varphi_1(a'_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_l(a'_l)$ and $a_k = \{n\}$, $a'_k = \{m\}$. If $k < l$, then let $a'_{k+1} = \{p\}$. Now, if $k > l$, we set

$$q_{br}\tilde{\sigma}b' \Leftrightarrow (a_i = a'_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}); \quad (13)$$

if $k \leq l$, we set

$$q_{br}\tilde{\sigma}b' \Leftrightarrow \left[\left(a_i = a'_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \right) \right. \\ \left. \& \left(\begin{cases} m \in \{n^-, n\}, & \text{if } l = k \\ (p \geq r \text{ and } m = n^-) \text{ or } (p \leq -r \text{ and } m = n), & \text{if } l = k+1 \\ (p > r \text{ and } m = n^-) \text{ or } (p < -r \text{ and } m = n), & \text{if } l > k+1 \end{cases} \right) \right]. \quad (14)$$

Further, for every two elements c and d of $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}$, set

$$c(-\tilde{\sigma})d \Leftrightarrow \left(\exists k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } \exists c_1, \dots, c_k, d_1, \dots, d_l \in B_0 \cup B_1 \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. c \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^k c_i, d \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^l d_j \text{ and } c_i(-\tilde{\sigma})d_j, \forall i=1, \dots, k \text{ and } \forall j=1, \dots, l \right). \quad (15)$$

Finally, for every two elements a and b of \tilde{A} , set

$$a\tilde{\sigma}b \Leftrightarrow (\exists c, d \in \tilde{\mathbb{B}} \text{ such that } c \leq a, d \leq b \text{ and } c\tilde{\sigma}d). \quad (16)$$

THEOREM 4.1. *The triple $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ (constructed above) is a CLCA; it is LCA-isomorphic to the CLCA $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \rho_{\mathbb{R}}, CR(\mathbb{R}))$. Thus, the triple $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ completely determines the real line \mathbb{R} with its natural topology.*

Proof. In this proof, we will use the notation introduced in the construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$.

Let \mathbb{Z}_0 be endowed with the discrete topology. Then $RC(\mathbb{Z}_0) = P(\mathbb{Z}_0)$ and Proposition 3.2 shows that the algebra \tilde{A} is isomorphic to $RC(\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+})$. Since the space $\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+}$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{J} (see, e.g., [17]), we get, by Lemma 2.13, that \tilde{A} is isomorphic to $RC(\mathbb{J})$. Clearly, $RC(\mathbb{J})$ can be endowed with an LCA-structure LCA-isomorphic to the LCA $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \rho_{\mathbb{R}}, CR(\mathbb{R}))$. Then, using the homeomorphism between \mathbb{J} and $\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+}$, we can transfer this structure to $RC(\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+})$ and, hence, to \tilde{A} . For technical reasons, this plan will be slightly modified. We will use the homeomorphism between $\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+}$ and \mathbb{J}_2 described in [3]. Since \mathbb{J}_2 is dense in the open interval \mathbb{I}' , and \mathbb{I}' is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} , we can use \mathbb{J}_2 instead of \mathbb{J} for realizing the desired transfer. So, we start with the description (given by P. S. Alexandroff [3]) of the homeomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+} \rightarrow \mathbb{J}_2$. Let, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\Delta_j = [1 - \frac{1}{2^j}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}]$ and let, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}^-$, $\Delta_j = [2^{j-1}, 2^j]$. Set $\delta_1 = \{\Delta_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_0\}$. Further, for every $\Delta_j \in \delta_1$, where $\Delta_j = [a_j, b_j]$, set $d_j = b_j - a_j$ and $\Delta_{jk} = [b_j - \frac{d_j}{2^k}, b_j - \frac{d_j}{2^{k+1}}]$ when $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\Delta_{jk} = [a_j + d_j \cdot 2^{k-1}, a_j + d_j \cdot 2^k]$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}^-$. Let $\delta_2 = \{\Delta_{jk} \mid j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_0\}$. In the next step we construct analogously the family δ_3 , and so on. Set $\delta = \bigcup\{\delta_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$. It is easy to see that the set of all end-points of the elements of the family δ coincides with the set \mathbb{D} . Now we define the function $f : \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+} \rightarrow \mathbb{J}_2$ by the formula

$$f(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, \dots) = \Delta_{n_1} \cap \Delta_{n_1 n_2} \cap \dots \cap \Delta_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \cap \dots$$

One can prove that the definition of f is correct and that f is a homeomorphism. Set $X_i = \mathbb{Z}_0$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Let $X = \prod\{X_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ and let

$$\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i,$$

where $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$, be the projection. Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and every $n_i \in X_i$, where $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have that (writing, for short, “ $\pi_i^{-1}(n_i)$ ” instead of “ $\pi_i^{-1}(\{n_i\})$ ”)

$$f\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi_i^{-1}(n_i)\right) = \Delta_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \cap \mathbb{J}_2. \quad (17)$$

Let $\psi_i : RC(X_i) \longrightarrow RC(X)$, $F \mapsto \pi_i^{-1}(F)$, where $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$; then, as we have seen in the proof of Proposition 3.2, ψ_i is a complete monomorphism. Set $A'_i = \psi_i(RC(X_i))$. Since X_i is a discrete space, we have that $A_i = RC(X_i)$ and $A'_i \subseteq CO(X)$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Thus, for the elements of the subset $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+} A'_i$ of $RC(X)$, the Boolean operation “meet in $RC(X)$ ” coincides with the set-theoretic operation “intersection” between the subsets of X , and the same for the Boolean complement in $RC(X)$ and the set-theoretic complement in X . We also have that the Boolean algebras A_i and A'_i are isomorphic. Let A' be the subalgebra of $P(X)$ generated by $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+} A'_i$. Then A' is isomorphic to A . Note that A' is a subalgebra of $CO(X)$. Also, A' is a dense subalgebra of $RC(X)$; therefore, $RC(X)$ is the completion of A' . Thus, \tilde{A} is isomorphic to $RC(X)$. So, without loss of generality, we can think that \tilde{A} is $RC(X)$, A is A' , $\varphi_i = \psi_i$ and hence $\varphi_i(A_i)$ is A'_i , for $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. We will now construct an LCA $(RC(X), \sigma, \mathbb{B})$ LCA-isomorphic to $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \rho_{\mathbb{R}}, CR(\mathbb{R}))$. Then, identifying $RC(X)$ with \tilde{A} , we will show that $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}$ and $\mathbb{B} = \tilde{\mathbb{B}}$.

Let $\mathbb{B}_2 = \{M \in RC(\mathbb{J}_2) \mid \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(M) \text{ is compact}\}$. For every two elements M and N of $RC(\mathbb{J}_2)$, set $M\rho_2N \Leftrightarrow \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(M) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(N) \neq \emptyset$. Then, using Lemma 2.13, we get that the triple $(RC(\mathbb{J}_2), \rho_2, \mathbb{B}_2)$ is LCA-isomorphic to the LCA $(RC(\mathbb{I}'), \rho_{\mathbb{I}'}, CR(\mathbb{I}'))$ (which, in turn, is LCA-isomorphic to the local contact algebra $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \rho_{\mathbb{R}}, CR(\mathbb{R}))$). Now, for every two elements $F, G \in RC(X)$, we set

$$F\sigma G \Leftrightarrow f(F)\rho_2f(G). \quad (18)$$

Also, we put

$$\mathbb{B} = \{f^{-1}(M) \mid M \in \mathbb{B}_2\}. \quad (19)$$

Obviously, $(RC(X), \sigma, \mathbb{B})$ is LCA-isomorphic to $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \rho_{\mathbb{R}}, CR(\mathbb{R}))$. In the rest of this proof, we will show that the definitions of \mathbb{B} and σ given above agree with the corresponding definitions of $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ given in the construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$.

Note first that the subset B'_0 of A' , which corresponds to the subset B_0 of A described in the construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$, is the following:

$$B'_0 = \left\{ \bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi_i^{-1}(n_i) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}^+, (\forall i = 1, \dots, k)(n_i \in X_i) \right\}. \quad (20)$$

Let $F, G \in B'_0$ and $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi_i^{-1}(n_i)$, $G = \bigcap_{i=1}^l \pi_i^{-1}(m_i)$. We can suppose, without loss of generality, that $k \leq l$. Then, by (17) and Lemma 2.13, $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(F)) = \Delta_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}$ and $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(G)) = \Delta_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_l}$. If $k = l$, then, clearly, $\Delta_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \cap \Delta_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} \neq \emptyset$ iff $(n_i = m_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k-1, \text{ and } m_k \in \{n_k^-, n_k, n_k^+\})$. If $k < l$, then, obviously, $\Delta_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \cap \Delta_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_l} \neq \emptyset$ iff $(n_i = m_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k)$. Then, using (18) and the formula (11), we get that σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ agree on B'_0 (or, equivalently, on B_0).

Let $F \in B'_0$, $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi_i^{-1}(n_i)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Then the element Q_{Fn} of A' corresponding to the element qb_n of A , where $b \in B_0$ corresponds to F , is the following:

$$Q_{Fn} = \left[\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} \pi_i^{-1}(n_i) \right) \cap \pi_k^{-1}(n_k^-) \cap \pi_{k+1}^{-1}(\text{succ}(n)) \right] \cup \left[F \cap \pi_{k+1}^{-1}(\text{pred}(-n)) \right].$$

Clearly,

$$Q_{Fn} = \left[\bigcup_{s \in \text{succ}(n)} \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} \pi_i^{-1}(n_i) \cap \pi_k^{-1}(n_k^-) \cap \pi_{k+1}^{-1}(s) \right) \right] \cup \left[\bigcup_{s \in \text{pred}(-n)} \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi_i^{-1}(n_i) \cap \pi_{k+1}^{-1}(s) \right) \right]. \quad (21)$$

(It is easy to see, as well, that in the formula (21) the sign of the union can be replaced everywhere with the sign of the join in $RC(X)$.) Thus,

$$f(Q_{Fn}) = \left[\left(\bigcup_{s \in \text{succ}(n)} \Delta_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{k-1} n_k^- s} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{s \in \text{pred}(-n)} \Delta_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k s} \right) \right] \cap \mathbb{J}_2. \quad (22)$$

Let d be the left end-point of the closed interval $\Delta_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{Fn})) = [d - \varepsilon_n, d + \varepsilon'_n], \quad (23)$$

where ε_n and ε'_n depend from n and also from n_1, \dots, n_k (for simplicity, we don't reflect this dependence on the notation), but for fixed n_1, \dots, n_k , we have that $\varepsilon_n > \varepsilon_{n+1} > 0$, $\varepsilon'_n > \varepsilon'_{n+1} > 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon'_n = 0$; also, the closed interval $[d - \varepsilon_n, d + \varepsilon'_n]$ lies in the open interval having as end-points the middles of the closed intervals $\Delta_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{k-1} n_k^-}$ and $\Delta_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}$. Since the family $\{D \cap \mathbb{J}_2 \mid D \in \delta\}$ is a base of \mathbb{J}_2 and every element of \mathbb{D} appears as a left end-point of some element of the family δ , we get that the family

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\text{int}_{\mathbb{I}'}(\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}((f(F))), \text{int}_{\mathbb{I}'}(\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}((f(Q_{Fn}))) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^+, F \in B'_0\}$$

is a base of \mathbb{I}' . Also, if

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}((f(F)), \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}((f(Q_{Fn}))) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^+, F \in B'_0\},$$

then $\mathcal{B} = \{\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{B}\}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq CR(\mathbb{I}')$. Hence, \mathcal{B} generates the ideal $CR(\mathbb{I}')$ of $RC(\mathbb{I}')$. Clearly, the family

$$B'_1 = \{Q_{Fn} \mid F \in B'_0, n \in \mathbb{N}^+\} \quad (24)$$

corresponds to the subset B_1 of A constructed above (before the formulation of our theorem). Since $\mathbf{B} = \{\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(G) \mid G \in f(B'_0 \cup B'_1)\}$, we get that the subset $f(B'_0 \cup B'_1)$ of $RC(\mathbb{J}_2)$ generates the ideal \mathbb{B}_2 of $RC(\mathbb{J}_2)$. Thus, the subset $B'_0 \cup B'_1$ of $RC(X)$ generates the ideal \mathbb{B} of $RC(X)$. Therefore, \mathbb{B} corresponds to $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}$; we can even write that $\mathbb{B} = \tilde{\mathbb{B}}$.

Let now $r, r' \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $F, F' \in B'_0$, $F = \pi_1^{-1}(n_1) \cap \dots \cap \pi_k^{-1}(n_k)$ and $F' = \pi_1^{-1}(n'_1) \cap \dots \cap \pi_l^{-1}(n'_l)$. We can suppose, without loss of generality, that $k \leq l$. Let d and d' be the left end-points of the closed intervals $\Delta_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}$ and $\Delta_{n'_1 n'_2 \dots n'_l}$, respectively. Then, using (23), we get that $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{Fr})) = [d - \varepsilon_r, d + \varepsilon_r]$ and $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{F'r'})) = [d' - \varepsilon_{r'}, d' + \varepsilon_{r'}]$. If $k = l$, then it is easy to see that $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{Fr})) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{F'r'})) \neq \emptyset$ iff $(n_i = n'_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k)$. If $l = k + 1$, then one readily checks that $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{Fr})) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{F'r'})) \neq \emptyset$ iff $[(n_i = n'_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k-1) \text{ and } ((n_k = n'_k \text{ and } n'_{k+1} \leq -r) \text{ or } (n'_k = (n_k)^- \text{ and } n'_{k+1} > r))]$. Finally, if $l > k + 1$, then $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{Fr})) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{F'r'})) \neq \emptyset$ iff $[(n_i = n'_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k-1) \text{ and } ((n_k = n'_k \text{ and } n'_{k+1} < -r) \text{ or } (n'_k = (n_k)^- \text{ and } n'_{k+1} > r))]$. All this shows that the relations σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ agree on B'_1 (or, equivalently, on B_1).

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $F, F' \in B'_0$, $F = \pi_1^{-1}(n_1) \cap \dots \cap \pi_k^{-1}(n_k)$ and $F' = \pi_1^{-1}(n'_1) \cap \dots \cap \pi_l^{-1}(n'_l)$. If $l < k$, then we get that $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{Fr})) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(F')) \neq \emptyset$ iff $(n_i = n'_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, l)$. If $l = k$, then $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{Fr})) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(F')) \neq \emptyset$ iff $(n_i = n'_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k-1, \text{ and } n'_k \in \{n_k^-, n_k\})$. If $l = k + 1$, then $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{Fr})) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(F')) \neq \emptyset$ iff $[(n_i = n'_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k-1), \text{ and } ((n'_k = n_k^- \text{ and } n'_{k+1} \geq r) \text{ or } (n'_k = n_k \text{ and } n'_{k+1} \leq -r))]$. Finally, if $l > k + 1$, then $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(Q_{Fr})) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(F')) \neq \emptyset$ iff $[(n_i = n'_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k-1), \text{ and } ((n'_k = n_k^- \text{ and } n'_{k+1} > r) \text{ or } (n'_k = n_k \text{ and } n'_{k+1} < -r))]$. We get that the relations σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ agree on $B'_0 \cup B'_1$ (or, equivalently, on $B_0 \cup B_1$).

Now, using the facts that \mathcal{B} is a base of \mathbb{I}' , \mathbb{I}' is a regular space, and $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(F))$ is a compact set for all $F \in \mathbb{B}$, we get that for all $F, G \in \mathbb{B}$, $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(F)) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(G)) = \emptyset$ iff (there exist $F_1, \dots, F_k, G_1, \dots, G_l \in B'_0 \cup B'_1$ such that $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k F_i$, $G \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^l G_j$ and $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(F_i)) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(G_j)) = \emptyset$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ and all $j = 1, \dots, l$). This shows that the relations σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ agree on \mathbb{B} (or, equivalently, on $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}$).

Finally, as in every LCA, for every $F, G \in RC(X)$, we have that $F\sigma G$ iff (there exist $F', G' \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $F' \subseteq F$, $G' \subseteq G$ and $F'\sigma G'$). Therefore, the relations σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ agree on $RC(X)$ (or, equivalently, on \tilde{A}). \square

THEOREM 4.2. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, the CLCA $(RC(\mathbb{R}^n), \rho_{\mathbb{R}^n}, CR(\mathbb{R}^n)) (= \Psi^t(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is LCA-isomorphic to the **DHLC**-sum $(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\sigma}_n, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_n)$ of n copies of the CLCA $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ (see Theorem 4.1 for it); thus, the CLCA $(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\sigma}_n, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_n)$ completely determines the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with its natural topology. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, the Boolean algebras \tilde{A}_n and \tilde{A} are isomorphic.*

Proof. Since \mathbb{J}^n is homeomorphic to \mathbb{J} and is dense in \mathbb{R}^n , we get that $RC(\mathbb{R}^n)$

is isomorphic to $RC(\mathbb{J})$, and thus, to \tilde{A} (see “**The construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$** ” and the proof of Theorem 4.1). Now all follows from Theorems 4.1 and 3.5. \square

We will now present the description of the CLCA $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \rho_{\mathbb{R}}, CR(\mathbb{R}))$ in two new forms; the notation used in them permits to obtain a more compact form of the definitions of the corresponding relations. As we have already mentioned, $RC(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $RC(\mathbb{J})$, i.e. to $RC(\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+})$ or, equivalently, to $RC(k^\omega)$. The last algebra, which is one of the collapsing algebras $RC(k^\omega)$ (where k is an infinite cardinal equipped with the discrete topology), has many abstract descriptions. The one, which is the most appropriate for our purposes, is the following: a complete Boolean algebra C is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra $RC(k^\omega)$ iff it has a dense subset isomorphic to T^* , for the normal tree $T = \bigcup\{k^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ (here T^* is the tree T with the opposite partial order and $k^n \cap k^m = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$) (see, e.g., [29, 14.16(a),(b)]). (Recall that a partially ordered set (T, \leq_T) is called a *tree* if for every $t \in T$, the set $pred(t)$ is well-ordered by \leq_T .) This shows that $RC(k^\omega)$ is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra $RC(T^*)$, where the ordered set T^* is endowed with the *left topology*, i.e. that one generated by the base $\{L_{T^*}(t) \mid t \in T\}$ (here $L_{T^*}(t) = \{t' \in T \mid t' \leq_{T^*} t\} = \{t' \in T \mid t \leq_T t'\}$, for every $t \in T$) (see, e.g., [29, 4.11-4.16] and [17, 1.7.2]).

Let us add some details and introduce some notation.

Notation. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we set

$$\underline{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

We set

$$T_0 = \bigcup\{\mathbb{Z}_0^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^+\},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_0^n \cap \mathbb{Z}_0^m = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$. Any element $t \in \mathbb{Z}_0^n$ is interpreted, as usual, as a function $t : \underline{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0$. Further, we let $\perp \subseteq t$ and $\perp \neq t$, for any $t \in T_0$; if $n, n' \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}_0^n$ and $t' \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{n'}$, then we set $t \subseteq t'$ iff t' is an extension of t , i.e. iff $n \leq n'$ and $t(i) = t'(i)$ for any $i \in \underline{n}$. Then the ordered set $(T_0 \cup \{\perp\}, \subseteq)$ is a normal tree of height ω with \mathbb{Z}_0^n as its n th level (it will be denoted by L_n). We also put, for any $t, t' \in T_0 \cup \{\perp\}$,

$$t \leq t' \Leftrightarrow t' \subseteq t.$$

We set

$$T_0^* = (T_0 \cup \{\perp\}, \leq).$$

Let T_0^* be endowed with its left topology (i.e. let $(T_0 \cup \{\perp\}, \subseteq)$ be equipped with its right topology (which is defined analogously to the left topology (see [17, 1.7.2])). Further, for any $t \in T_0 \cup \{\perp\}$, put

$$c_t = \{t' \in T_0 \mid t \text{ and } t' \text{ are } T_0^*\text{-compatible}\}.$$

(Recall that two elements x and y of a partially ordered set (M, \preceq) are *compatible* if there is some $z \in M$ such that $z \preceq x$ and $z \preceq y$.) Then, as it is well known (see, e.g., [29, 4.13, 4.16, the formula for $\text{cl}(u_p)$ in the proof of 4.16]), the embedding e of the partially ordered set T_0^* into the Boolean algebra $RC(T_0^*)$ is given by the formula

$$e(t) = c_t, \quad \forall t \in T_0 \cup \{\perp\}.$$

(Note that the map e is an embedding because T_0^* is a *separative partial order* (see, e.g., [29, 4.15, 4.16, p.226]).) Also, let us recall that the left topology on $T_0 \cup \{\perp\}$ induced by the ordered set T_0^* is an *Alexandroff topology*, i.e. the union of arbitrarily many closed sets is a closed set (see, e.g., [17, 1.7.2]). Thus, the (finite or infinite) joins $\bigvee \{F_j \mid j \in J\}$ in $RC(T_0^*)$ are just the unions $\bigcup \{F_j \mid j \in J\}$.

Finally, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \setminus \{1\}$ and every $t \in L_n$ (i.e. $t : \underline{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0$), define

$$t_\lambda : \underline{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0 \text{ by the formulas } (t_\lambda)_{\underline{n-1}} = t_{\underline{n-1}} \text{ and } t_\lambda(n) = (t(n))^-; \quad (25)$$

let, for $t \in L_1$, $t_\lambda : \underline{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0$ be defined by $t_\lambda(1) = (t(1))^-$.

REMARK 4.3. *As we have already mentioned, the Boolean algebra $RC(\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+})$ is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra $RC(T_0^*)$ (see, e.g., [29, 14.16(a),(b), 4.11-4.16]). We will recall the proof of this fact since we will use it later. For every $t \in T_0$, set*

$$a_t = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+} \mid t \subseteq x\}. \quad (26)$$

Note that if $t : \underline{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, then

$$a_t = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1}(t(i)) \quad (27)$$

and thus a_t is a clopen subset of $\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+}$. Set

$$S = \{a_t \mid t \in T_0\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+}. \quad (28)$$

Then $S \subseteq CO(\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+}) \subseteq RC(\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+})$. Now it is easy to see that the set S is dense in $RC(\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+})$ and isomorphic to T_0^* (indeed, the map

$$s : T_0^* \longrightarrow S, \text{ where } s(\perp) = \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+} \text{ and } s(t) = a_t, \forall t \in T_0 \quad (29)$$

is an isomorphism). Therefore, $RC(\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+})$ is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra $RC(T_0^*)$.

We will now equip the Boolean algebra $RC(T_0^*)$ defined above with an LCA-structure $(RC(T_0^*), \theta, \mathbb{B}_T)$ and will prove that the obtained CLCA is LCA-isomorphic to the CLCA $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \rho_{\mathbb{R}}, CR(\mathbb{R}))$. Recall that two elements x and y of a partially ordered set (M, \preceq) are *comparable* if $x \preceq y$ or $y \preceq x$.

The construction of $(RC(T_0^*), \theta, \mathbb{B}_T)$. For every $k, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and for every $t \in L_k$ (recall that $L_k = \mathbb{Z}_0^k$), set

$$d_{tn} = \bigcup \left\{ c_{t'} \mid (t' \in L_{k+1}) \right. \\ \left. \& \left((t_\lambda \subseteq t' \& t'(k+1) > n) \text{ or } (t \subseteq t' \& t'(k+1) < -n) \right) \right\}.$$

Note that the fact that the left topology on T_0^* is an Alexandroff topology implies that

$$d_{tn} = \bigvee \left\{ c_{t'} \mid (t' \in L_{k+1}) \right. \\ \left. \& \left((t_\lambda \subseteq t' \text{ and } t'(k+1) > n) \text{ or } (t \subseteq t' \text{ and } t'(k+1) < -n) \right) \right\}. \quad (30)$$

Let

$$C_0 = \{c_t \mid t \in T_0\} \text{ and } C_1 = \{d_{tn} \mid t \in T_0, n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}. \quad (31)$$

Denote by \mathbb{B}_{T_0} the ideal of $RC(T_0^*)$ generated by $C_0 \cup C_1$.

For every $k, k', n, n' \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and every $t \in L_k, t' \in L_{k'}$, set

$$c_t \theta c_{t'} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} t = t' \text{ or } t = t'_\lambda \text{ or } t' = t_\lambda, & \text{if } k = k' \\ t \text{ and } t' \text{ are comparable,} & \text{if } k \neq k', \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

and

$$d_{tn} \theta d_{t'n'} \Leftrightarrow \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{cases} (t' \subseteq t \text{ and } t(k'+1) < -n') \text{ or } (t'_\lambda \subseteq t \text{ and } t(k'+1) > n'), & \text{if } k > k' + 1 \\ (t' \subseteq t \text{ and } t(k) \leq -n') \text{ or } (t'_\lambda \subseteq t \text{ and } t(k) > n'), & \text{if } k = k' + 1 \\ t = t', & \text{if } k = k' \\ (t \subseteq t' \text{ and } t'(k') \leq -n) \text{ or } (t_\lambda \subseteq t' \text{ and } t'(k') > n), & \text{if } k = k' - 1 \\ (t \subseteq t' \text{ and } t'(k+1) < -n) \text{ or } (t_\lambda \subseteq t' \text{ and } t'(k+1) > n), & \text{if } k < k' - 1; \end{cases}$$

and also

$$d_{tn} \theta c_{t'} \Leftrightarrow c_{t'} \theta d_{tn} \Leftrightarrow \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{cases} t' \subseteq t, & \text{if } k' < k \\ t' = t \text{ or } t' = t_\lambda, & \text{if } k' = k \\ (t_\lambda \subseteq t' \text{ and } t'(k') \geq n) \text{ or } (t \subseteq t' \text{ and } t'(k') \leq -n), & \text{if } k' = k + 1 \\ (t_\lambda \subseteq t' \& t'(k+1) > n) \text{ or } (t \subseteq t' \& t'(k+1) < -n), & \text{if } k' > k + 1. \end{cases}$$

Further, for every two elements c and d of \mathbb{B}_{T_0} , set

$$c(-\theta)d \Leftrightarrow \left(\exists k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } \exists c_1, \dots, c_k, d_1, \dots, d_l \in C_0 \cup C_1 \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. c \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k c_i, d \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^l d_j \text{ and } c_i(-\theta)d_j, \forall i=1, \dots, k \text{ and } \forall j=1, \dots, l \right). \quad (35)$$

Finally, for every two elements a and b of $RC(T_0^*)$, set

$$a\theta b \Leftrightarrow (\exists c, d \in \mathbb{B}_{T_0} \text{ such that } c \subseteq a, d \subseteq b \text{ and } c\theta d). \quad (36)$$

THEOREM 4.4. *The triple $(RC(T_0^*), \theta, \mathbb{B}_{T_0})$ (constructed above) is a CLCA; it is LCA-isomorphic to the complete local contact algebra $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \rho_{\mathbb{R}}, CR(\mathbb{R}))$. Thus, the triple $(RC(T_0^*), \theta, \mathbb{B}_{T_0})$ completely determines the real line \mathbb{R} with its natural topology.*

Proof. In this proof, we will use the notation introduced in the following places of this paper: in Remark 4.3 and in the “**Notation**” before it, in “**The construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ ”** and in “**The construction of $(RC(T_0^*), \theta, \mathbb{B}_{T_0})$ ”**. As it follows from Remark 4.3 and [29, the proof of 4.14], there is an isomorphism $h : RC(T_0^*) \rightarrow RC(\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+})$ defined by the formula $h(c) = \bigvee_{RC(\mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+})} \{a_t \mid t \in T_0^*, c_t \subseteq c\}$, for every $c \in RC(T_0^*)$. Thus, $h(c_t) = a_t = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi_i^{-1}(t(i))$ and c_t corresponds to $\bigwedge_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(t(i))$ (see “**The construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ ”**), where $t \in L_k \subseteq T_0^*$ (i.e., $t : \underline{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0$). This implies that $h(C_0) = B'_0 = \{a_t \mid t \in T_0\}$ and C_0 corresponds to $B_0 = \{\bigwedge_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(t(i)) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}^+, t \in L_k\}$ (see (31), (20), (7)). Note that t_λ corresponds to b_- (see (25) and (8)). Since h is a complete homomorphism, we get that $h(d_{tn}) = Q_{a_t n}$ and thus d_{tn} corresponds to $q_{a_t n}$, for every $k, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and every $t \in L_k$ (see (30), (21), (9)). Then $h(C_1) = B'_1$ and hence C_1 corresponds to B_1 (see (31), (24), (10)). Hence, $h(\mathbb{B}_{T_0}) = \mathbb{B}$ and therefore \mathbb{B}_{T_0} corresponds to $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}$ (see the line after (31), (19) and the paragraph after (24), the line after (10)). Having all these facts in mind, we obtain easily that the formula (32) follows from the formula (11), (33) from (12), (34) from (14), (35) from (15) and (36) from (16). This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

THEOREM 4.5. *A CLCA (M, μ, \mathbb{M}) is LCA-isomorphic to the complete local contact algebra $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \rho_{\mathbb{R}}, CR(\mathbb{R}))$ iff there exists an embedding (between partially ordered sets) $\zeta : T_0^* \rightarrow M$ such that the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (a) $\zeta(T_0)$ is dense in M , and
- (b) let $\zeta(t) = z_t$, for every $t \in T_0$, and let the elements \widetilde{d}_{tn} be defined by the formula (30) in which d_{tn} is replaced by \widetilde{d}_{tn} , and c_t is replaced by z_t ; then the ideal \mathbb{M} is generated by the set $Z = \zeta(T_0) \cup \{\widetilde{d}_{tn} \mid t \in T_0, n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ and the

formulas (32), (33), (34), (15), (16) hold with θ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ replaced by μ , c_t by z_t , d_{tn} by \tilde{d}_{tn} , \mathbb{B} by \mathbb{M} , $B_0 \cup B_1$ by Z , and \tilde{A} by M .

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.4 and [29, 4.14,14.16]. □

5. A Whiteheadian-type description of Tychonoff cubes, spheres and tori

THEOREM 5.1. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, the CNCA $(RC(\mathbb{S}^n), \rho_{\mathbb{S}^n}) (= \Psi^t(\mathbb{S}^n))$ is CA-isomorphic to the CNCA $(\tilde{A}_n, C_{\tilde{\sigma}_n, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_n})$ (see 4.2 for the LCA $(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{\sigma}_n, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_n)$, and 2.5 for $C_{\tilde{\sigma}_n, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_n}$); thus, the CNCA $(\tilde{A}_n, C_{\tilde{\sigma}_n, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_n})$ completely determines the n -dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^n with its natural topology. Note that \tilde{A}_n is isomorphic to \tilde{A} , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.*

Proof. As it follows from the proof of [38, Theorem 4.8], if X is a locally compact Hausdorff space then the complete normal contact algebra $(RC(\alpha X), \rho_{\alpha X})$ is CA-isomorphic to the complete normal contact algebra $(RC(X), C_{\rho_X, CR(X)})$. Now, since $\alpha\mathbb{R}^n$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^n , our result follows from Theorem 4.2. □

For every cardinal number τ , denote by \mathbb{T}^τ the space $(\mathbb{S}^1)^\tau$ (for finite τ , this is just the τ -dimensional torus).

THEOREM 5.2. *For every cardinal number τ , the complete normal contact algebra $(RC(\mathbb{T}^\tau), \rho_{\mathbb{T}^\tau}) (= \Psi^t(\mathbb{T}^\tau))$ is CA-isomorphic to the **DHC**-sum of τ copies of the CNCA $(\tilde{A}, C_{\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}})$ (see Theorem 5.1 for it); therefore, this **DHC**-sum completely determines the space \mathbb{T}^τ .*

Proof. Since the CNCA $(RC(\mathbb{S}^1), \rho_{\mathbb{S}^1})$ is CA-isomorphic to the complete normal contact algebra $(\tilde{A}, C_{\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}})$ (see Theorem 5.1), our result follows from Theorem 3.7. □

Recall that if A is a Boolean algebra and $a \in A$ then the set $\downarrow(a) = \{b \in A \mid b \leq a\}$ endowed with the same meets and joins as in A and with complement b' defined by the formula $b' = b^* \wedge a$, for every $b \leq a$, is a Boolean algebra; it is denoted by $A|a$. If $J = \downarrow(a^*)$ then $A|a$ is isomorphic to the factor algebra A/J ; the isomorphism $h : A|a \rightarrow A/J$ is the following: $h(b) = [b]$, for every $b \leq a$ (see, e.g., [29]).

In [12], we proved the following theorem:

THEOREM 5.3 ([12, Theorem 6.8]). *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $F \in RC(X)$. Set $B = RC(X)|F$, $\mathbb{B}' = \{G \wedge F \mid G \in CR(X)\}$ and let, for every $a, b \in B$, $a \eta b$ iff $a \rho_X b$ (i.e. $a \cap b \neq \emptyset$). Then (B, η, \mathbb{B}') is LCA-isomorphic to $\Psi^t(F)$.*

Using this assertion, we obtain the following result:

THEOREM 5.4. *Let (M, μ, \mathbb{M}) be a CLCA which is LCA-isomorphic to the CLCA $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \rho_{\mathbb{R}}, CR(\mathbb{R}))$ and $\zeta : T_0^* \rightarrow M$ be the embedding described in Theorem 4.5. Then, for each $t \in T_0$, the CNCA $(M|\zeta(t), \mu')$, where μ' is the restriction of the relation μ to $M|\zeta(t)$, is NCA-isomorphic to the CNCA $(RC(\mathbb{I}), \rho_{\mathbb{I}})$.*

Proof. By (17), (27) and the beginning of the proof of Theorem 4.1, if $t \in T_0$, i.e. $t : \underline{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, then the element $\zeta(t)$ corresponds to the element $\Delta_{t(1)\dots t(n)}$ of $RC(\mathbb{I})$ (see also the proofs of theorems 4.4 and 4.5). Since $\Delta_{t(1)\dots t(n)}$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{I} , our assertion follows from Theorem 5.3. \square

The last theorem shows, in particular, that the following assertion holds (the notation from “**The construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ ”** will be used in it):

THEOREM 5.5. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $n_1, \dots, n_m \in \mathbb{Z}_0$, $a_j = \{n_j\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, $u = \bigwedge_{j=1}^m \varphi_j(a_j)$ and $B = \tilde{A}|u$. Then the CNCA $(B, \tilde{\sigma}')$, where $\tilde{\sigma}'$ is the restriction of the relation $\tilde{\sigma}$ to B , is NCA-isomorphic to the CNCA $(RC(\mathbb{I}), \rho_{\mathbb{I}})$. In particular, the CNCA $(RC(\mathbb{I}), \rho_{\mathbb{I}})$ is NCA-isomorphic to the CNCA $(\tilde{A}|\varphi_1(\{1\}), \tilde{\sigma}')$.*

A direct description of the CNCA $(RC(\mathbb{I}), \rho_{\mathbb{I}})$ is given below.

The construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}')$. We will use the notation from “**The construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ ”**.

We will define a relation $\tilde{\sigma}'$ on the Boolean algebra \tilde{A} .

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, set

$$u_n^\uparrow = \varphi_1(\text{succ}(n)) \text{ and } u_n^\downarrow = \varphi_1(\text{pred}(-n))$$

and let

$$B_2 = \{u_n^\uparrow, u_n^\downarrow \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}.$$

For every $a, b \in B_0 \cup B_1 \cup B_2$, set

$$a\tilde{\sigma}'b \Leftrightarrow a\tilde{\sigma}b$$

(see the construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$ for the definition of the relation $\tilde{\sigma}$). For convenience of the reader, we will write down the corresponding formulae. For every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$u_n^\uparrow \tilde{\sigma}' u_m^\uparrow, \quad u_n^\downarrow \tilde{\sigma}' u_m^\downarrow \quad \text{and} \quad u_n^\downarrow (-\tilde{\sigma}') u_m^\uparrow.$$

Further, for every $n, r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and every $b = \varphi_1(a_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_k(a_k) \in B_0$, where $a_1 = \{m\}$,

$$b\tilde{\sigma}'u_n^\uparrow \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} m \geq n, & \text{if } k = 1 \\ m > n, & \text{if } k > 1 \end{cases}, \quad b\tilde{\sigma}'u_n^\downarrow \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} m \leq -n, & \text{if } k = 1 \\ m < -n, & \text{if } k > 1 \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

and

$$q_{br}\tilde{\sigma}'u_n^\uparrow \Leftrightarrow m > n, \quad q_{br}\tilde{\sigma}'u_n^\downarrow \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} m \leq -n, & \text{if } k = 1 \\ m < -n, & \text{if } k > 1. \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

Now, for every $c, d \in \tilde{A}$, set

$$c(-\tilde{\sigma}')d \Leftrightarrow \left(\exists k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } \exists c_1, \dots, c_k, d_1, \dots, d_l \in B_0 \cup B_1 \cup B_2 \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. c \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^k c_i, \quad d \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^l d_j \text{ and } c_i(-\tilde{\sigma}')d_j, \quad \forall i=1, \dots, k \text{ and } \forall j=1, \dots, l \right). \quad (39)$$

THEOREM 5.6. *The pair $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}')$ (constructed above) is a complete normal contact algebra; it is CA-isomorphic to the CNCA $(RC(\mathbb{I}), \rho_{\mathbb{I}})$. Thus, the pair $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}')$ completely determines the closed interval \mathbb{I} with its natural topology.*

Proof. The proof of this assertion is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.1. We will use in it the notation introduced in Theorem 4.1, in “**The construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}})$** ” and in the above construction.

Clearly, $RC(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $RC(\mathbb{I})$ (by Lemma 2.13). Thus, $RC(\mathbb{I})$ is isomorphic to $RC(X)$, where $X = \mathbb{Z}_0^{\mathbb{N}^+}$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.1). We will now construct an NCA $(RC(X), \sigma')$ CA-isomorphic to $(RC(\mathbb{I}), \rho_{\mathbb{I}})$. Then, identifying $RC(X)$ with \tilde{A} , we will show that $\sigma' = \tilde{\sigma}'$.

For every two elements M and N of $RC(\mathbb{J}_2)$, set $M\rho_1N \Leftrightarrow \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}}(M) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}}(N) \neq \emptyset$. Then, using Lemma 2.13, we get that the pair $(RC(\mathbb{J}_2), \rho_1)$ is CA-isomorphic to the NCA $(RC(\mathbb{I}), \rho_{\mathbb{I}})$. Now, for every two elements $F, G \in RC(X)$, we set

$$F\sigma'G \Leftrightarrow f(F)\rho_1f(G), \quad (40)$$

where $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{J}_2$ is the homeomorphism constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Obviously, $(RC(X), \sigma')$ is CA-isomorphic to $(RC(\mathbb{I}), \rho_{\mathbb{I}})$. In the rest of this proof, we will show that the definition of σ' given above agrees with the definition of $\tilde{\sigma}'$ given in the construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}')$.

Using the proof of Proposition 3.2, it is easy to see that the set

$$B'_2 = \left\{ \pi_1^{-1}(\text{succ}(n)), \pi_1^{-1}(\text{pred}(-n)) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \right\}$$

corresponds to the set B_2 introduced in the construction of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}')$. Now, the formula (17) implies that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}}(f(\pi_1^{-1}(\text{succ}(n)))) = \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, 1 \right] \quad (41)$$

and

$$\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}}(f(\pi_1^{-1}(\text{pred}(-n)))) = \left[0, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right]. \quad (42)$$

Thus, for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}}(f(\pi_1^{-1}(\text{succ}(n)))) \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}}(f(\pi_1^{-1}(\text{pred}(-m)))) = \emptyset$. Also, for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we have that $f(\pi_1^{-1}(\text{succ}(n))) \cap f(\pi_1^{-1}(\text{succ}(m))) \neq \emptyset$ and $f(\pi_1^{-1}(\text{pred}(-n))) \cap f(\pi_1^{-1}(\text{pred}(-m))) \neq \emptyset$. Having in mind these formulae and the fact that $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}}(f(F)) = \text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}'}(f(F))$, for every $F \in B'_0 \cup B'_1$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.1 for the notation), we get that $G\sigma H \Leftrightarrow G\sigma' H$, for every $G, H \in B'_0 \cup B'_1 \cup B'_2$. This shows that $a\tilde{\sigma}'b \Leftrightarrow a\tilde{\sigma}b$, for every $a, b \in B_0 \cup B_1 \cup B_2$. Hence, the definitions of σ' and $\tilde{\sigma}'$ agree on $B'_0 \cup B'_1 \cup B'_2$ (or, equivalently, on $B_0 \cup B_1 \cup B_2$).

Further, using (41) and (42), we get that the family

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B} \cup \{\text{int}_{\mathbb{I}}(\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}}(f(F))) \mid F \in B'_2\}$$

(see the proof of Theorem 4.1 for the notation and for the fact that \mathcal{B} is a base of \mathbb{I}') is a base of \mathbb{I} . Thus, by the regularity of \mathbb{I} , every two disjoint elements of $RC(\mathbb{I})$ can be separated by the finite unions of the elements of the family $\{\text{cl}_{\mathbb{I}}(f(F)) \mid F \in B'_0 \cup B'_1 \cup B'_2\}$. This implies that the definitions of σ' and $\tilde{\sigma}'$ agree on $RC(X)$ (or, equivalently, on \tilde{A}). \square

THEOREM 5.7. *For every cardinal number τ , the complete normal contact algebra $(RC(\mathbb{I}^\tau), \rho_{\mathbb{I}^\tau}) (= \Psi^t(\mathbb{I}^\tau))$ is CA-isomorphic to the **DHC**-sum of τ copies of the CNCA $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\sigma}')$ (see Theorem 5.6 for it); therefore, this **DHC**-sum completely determines the space \mathbb{I}^τ .*

Proof. It follows from Theorems 5.6 and 3.7. \square

Acknowledgements. The author is very grateful to the referee for the helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. ADÁMEK, H. HERRLICH, AND G. E. STRECKER, *Abstract and Concrete Categories*, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1990.
- [2] M. AIELLO, I. PRATT-HARTMANN, AND J. VAN BENTHEM, (Eds.), *Handbook of Spatial Logics*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- [3] P. S. ALEXANDROFF, *Outline of Set Theory and General Topology*, Nauka, Moscow, 1977, (In Russian).
- [4] PH. BALBIANI, (Ed.), *Special Issue on Spatial Reasoning*, J. Appl. Non-Classical Logics, vol. 12, 2002.
- [5] B. BENNETT AND I. DÜNTSCH, *Axioms, algebras and topology*, In: M. Aiello, I. Pratt-Hartmann and J. van Benthem (Eds.), *Handbook of Spatial Logics* (Berlin Heidelberg), Springer, 2007, pp. 99–160.

- [6] A. COHN AND S. HAZARIKA, *Qualitative spatial representation and reasoning: an overview*, *Fund. Inform.* **46** (2001), 1–29.
- [7] A. COHN AND J. RENZ, *Qualitative spatial representation and reasoning*, In: F. van Hermelen, V. Lifschitz and B. Porter (Eds.), *Handbook of Knowledge Representation*, Elsevier, 2008, pp. 551–596.
- [8] W. COMFORT AND S. NEGREPONTIS, *Chain Conditions in Topology*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [9] T. DE LAGUNA, *Point, line and surface as sets of solids*, *J. Philos.* **19** (1922), 449–461.
- [10] H. DE VRIES, *Compact Spaces and Compactifications, an Algebraic Approach*, Van Gorcum and Comp. N.V., Assen, 1962.
- [11] G. DIMOV, *A de Vries-type duality theorem for the category of locally compact spaces and continuous maps – I*, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **129** (2010), 314–349.
- [12] G. DIMOV, *A de Vries-type duality theorem for the category of locally compact spaces and continuous maps – II*, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **130** (2011), 50–77.
- [13] G. DIMOV AND D. VAKARELOV, *Contact algebras and region-based theory of space: a proximity approach – I*, *Fund. Inform.* **74** (2006), 209–249.
- [14] I. DÜNTSCH, (Ed.), *Special issue on Qualitative Spatial Reasoning*, *Fund. Inform.*, vol. 46, 2001.
- [15] I. DÜNTSCH AND M. WINTER, *A representation theorem for Boolean contact algebras*, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **347** (2005), 498–512.
- [16] V. EFREMOVIČ, *Infinitesimal spaces*, *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR* **76** (1951), 341–343.
- [17] R. ENGELKING, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [18] V. V. FEDORCHUK, *Boolean δ -algebras and quasi-open mappings*, *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.* **14** (1973), 1088–1099.
- [19] M. P. FOURMAN AND J. M. E. HYLAND, *Sheaf models for analysis*, In: *Applications of Sheaves*, Springer LNM, vol. 753, 1979, pp. 280–301.
- [20] I. M. GELFAND, *On normed rings*, *Doklady Akad. Nauk USSR* **23** (1939), 430–432.
- [21] I. M. GELFAND, *Normierte Ringe*, *Mat. Sbornik* **9** (1941), 3–24.
- [22] I. M. GELFAND AND M. A. NAIMARK, *On the embedding of normed rings into the ring of operators in Hilbert space*, *Mat. Sbornik* **12** (1943), 197–213.
- [23] I. M. GELFAND AND G. E. SHILOV, *Über verschiedene Methoden der Einführung der Topologie in die Menge der maximalen Ideale eines normierten Ringes*, *Mat. Sbornik* **9** (1941), 25–39.
- [24] G. GERLA, *Pointless geometries*, In: *Handbook of Incidence Geometry*, F. Buekenhout (Ed.), Elsevier Science B.V., 1995, pp. 1015–1031.
- [25] ST. GIVANT AND P. HALMOS, *Introduction to Boolean Algebras*, Springer, 2009.
- [26] R. J. GRAYSON, *Intuitionistic Set Theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Oxford University, 1978.
- [27] A. GRZEGORCZYK, *Axiomatization of geometry without points*, *Synthese* **12** (1960), 228–235.
- [28] P. T. JOHNSTONE, *Stone Spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [29] S. KOPPELBERG, *Handbook on Boolean algebras, vol. 1: General Theory of Boolean Algebras*, North Holland, 1989.
- [30] S. LEADER, *Local proximity spaces*, *Math. Ann.* **169** (1967), 275–281.

- [31] I. PRATT-HARTMANN, *First-Order Mereotopology*, In: M. Aiello, I. Pratt-Hartmann and J. van Benthem (Eds.), *Handbook of Spatial Logics* (Berlin Heidelberg), Springer-Verlag, 2007, pp. 13–97.
- [32] D. A. RANDELL, Z. CUI, AND A. G. COHN, *A spatial logic based on regions and connection*, In: B. Nebel, W. Swartout and C. Rich (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference Knowledge Representation and Reasoning* (Los Allos, CA), Morgan Kaufmann, 1992, pp. 165–176.
- [33] P. ROEPER, *Region-based topology*, *J. of Philos. Logic* **26** (1997), 251–309.
- [34] R. SIKORSKI, *Boolean Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964.
- [35] M. H. STONE, *Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **41** (1937), 375–481.
- [36] A. TARSKI, *Les fondements de la géométrie des corps*, First Polish Mathematical Congress (Lwów), 1927.
- [37] D. VAKARELOV, *Region-based theory of space: Algebras of regions, representation theory and logics*, In: Dov Gabbay et al. (Eds.), *Mathematical Problems from Applied Logics. New Logics for the XXIst Century. II* (Berlin Heidelberg), Springer-Verlag, 2007, pp. 267–348.
- [38] D. VAKARELOV, G. DIMOV, I. DÜNTSCH, AND B. BENNETT, *A proximity approach to some region-based theories of space*, *J. Appl. Non-Classical Logics* **12** (2002), 527–559.
- [39] A. N. WHITEHEAD, *An Enquiry Concerning the Principles of Natural Knowledge*, University Press, Cambridge, 1919.
- [40] A. N. WHITEHEAD, *Process and Reality*, MacMillan, New York, 1929.

Author's address:

Georgi D. Dimov
Department of Mathematics and Informatics
Sofia University
5 J. Bourchier Blvd., 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria
E-mail: gdimov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

Received March 18, 2012

Revised May 5, 2012