1 Manganese concentrations in Scottish groundwater

- 2
- 3 Sally C. Homoncik^a, Alan M. MacDonald^{b*}, Kate V. Heal^c, Brighid É. Ó Dochartaigh^b,
- 4 Bryne T. Ngwenya^d.
- ⁵ ^aMNV Consulting Ltd., Spinningdale, Stirling Road, Callander, Perthshire, FK17 8LE, UK
- 6 (Formerly The University of Edinburgh/British Geological Survey)
- ⁷ ^bBritish Geological Survey, Murchison House, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3LA, UK
- 8 ^c The University of Edinburgh, School of GeoSciences, Crew Building, West Mains Road,
- 9 Edinburgh, EH9 3JN, UK
- ^d The University of Edinburgh, School of GeoSciences, Grant Institute, West Mains Road,
- 11 Edinburgh, EH9 3JW, UK
- ^{*}Corresponding author: email: amm@bgs.ac.uk, tel: + 44 131 667 1000; fax: +44 131 668
- 13 2683
- 14 Abstract

15 Groundwater is increasingly being used for public and private water supplies in Scotland, but 16 there is growing evidence that manganese (Mn) concentrations in many groundwater supplies exceed the national drinking water limit of 0.05 mg l^{-1} . This study examines the extent and 17 magnitude of high Mn concentrations in groundwater in Scotland and investigates the factors 18 19 controlling Mn concentrations. A dataset containing 475 high quality groundwater samples 20 was compiled using new data from *Baseline Scotland* supplemented with additional high 21 quality data where available. Concentrations ranged up to 1.9 mg l^{-1} ; median Mn concentration was 0.013 mg l⁻¹ with 25th and 75th percentiles 0.0014 and 0.072 mg l⁻¹ 22 respectively. The Scottish drinking water limit (0.05 mgl⁻¹) was exceeded for 30% of samples 23 and the WHO health guideline (0.4 mg l^{-1}) by 9%; concentrations were highest in the 24 Carboniferous sedimentary aquifer in central Scotland, the Devonian sedimentary aquifer of 25 Moravshire, and superficial aquifers. Further analysis using 137 samples from the Devonian 26 27 aquifers indicated strong redox and pH controls (pH, Eh and dissolved oxygen accounted for 28 58% of variance in Mn concentrations). In addition, an independent relationship between Fe 29 and Mn was observed, suggesting that Fe behaviour in groundwater may affect Mn solubility. 30 Given the redox status and pH of Scottish groundwaters the most likely explanation is 31 sorption of Mn to Fe oxides, which are released into solution when Fe is reduced. 32 Since the occurrence of elevated Mn concentrations is widespread in groundwaters from all

- 33 aquifer types, consideration should be given to monitoring Mn more widely in both public
- 34 and private groundwater supplies in Scotland and by implication elsewhere.
- 35
- 36 Keywords: groundwater, iron, manganese, redox, Scotland, water supplies

38 **1 Introduction**

39

40	The trace metal manganese (Mn) is ubiquitous in the environment; it is a minor component of
41	most rock types and is also present in soils from weathering and aerial deposition. Mn can
42	exist in different oxidation states, but the most widely occurring forms in the environment are
43	soluble Mn (II) when reduced and insoluble Mn (IV) when oxidised. Naturally occurring Mn
44	is commonly found in drinking water supplies and is essential for human health at low
45	concentrations (Keen and Zidenberg-Cherr, 1994). The World Health Organization (WHO)
46	has set a guideline value of 0.4 mg l ⁻¹ (WHO, 2008): nevertheless, most countries have set a
47	lower limit primarily due to aesthetic and infrastructure problems; e.g. Mn is listed as an
48	indicator parameter by the EC at a concentration of 0.05 mg l ⁻¹ in drinking water (EC, 1998).
49	
50	Excessive Mn concentrations can result in metallic tasting water, staining of clothes, dishes,
51	and products such as paper or plastics, and reduced water pressure and flow in pipes from
52	accumulation of Mn oxides (Sly et al., 1990). Although Mn can be removed from water by
53	treatment (Casale et al., 2002), this is expensive and water supply infrastructure prior to
54	treatment, such as pipes, pumps and boreholes, may still be adversely affected by build up of
55	Mn oxides (Sly et al., 1990). Hence if the occurrence of excessive Mn concentrations can be
56	predicted, it could be avoided when sourcing new water supplies.
57	
58	Furthermore, several studies in different environmental settings have reported significant
59	associations between exposure to Mn in groundwater-sourced drinking water supplies and
60	adverse human health effects. For example, Wasserman et al. (2006) identified a significant

61 negative relationship between well water Mn concentration (0.004-3.91 mg l⁻¹) and measures

62 of intellectual function in 142 children of 10 years of age in Bangladesh (notable difference in intellectual function between $<0.2 \text{ mg } l^{-1}$ group and $> 1 \text{ mg } l^{-1}$ group). Mn exposure 63 associated with drinking water was also significantly positively related with hyperactive 64 65 classroom behaviours in a study of 46 children in Québec (Bouchard et al., 2007). In adults, exposure to elevated Mn concentrations in drinking water has been associated with 66 67 manganism, a Parkinson-like disorder. In a study in Greece (Kondakis et al., 1989), neurological symptoms of chronic Mn poisoning were found to increase with exposure to Mn 68 from groundwater-sourced water supplies in three populations of adults with similar social 69 and dietary characteristics (concentrations ranging from 0.0036 to 2.3 mg l⁻¹, effects were first 70 noted in a group drinking concentrations 0.08 to 0.25 mg l⁻¹). Because of the association 71 72 between Mn intake and neurological effects, the WHO current guideline concentration for Mn in drinking water is 0.4 mg l⁻¹ (World Health Organization, 2008), although the USA has 73 a lower health reference level of 0.3 mg l^{-1} (USEPA, 2003). In the studies by Wasserman et 74 75 al. (2006) and Kondakis et al. (1989) there was evidence of a dose-response relationship between the severity of neurotoxic effects and exposure to Mn in well water suggesting that 76 77 exposure to Mn concentrations below the WHO guideline value could still result in adverse 78 health effects, with children most vulnerable (Ljung and Vahter, 2007). Consequently 79 Bouchard et al. (2007) recommend that further research is required to establish adequate 80 guidelines for Mn in drinking water.

81

The occurrence and concentration of Mn in groundwater is controlled by many factors, the main ones being rock geochemistry, water chemistry and microbiological activity. Some rock types, such as mafic and ultramafic rocks, shale, greywacke and limestone, contain high concentrations of Mn, which can lead to elevated concentrations in soil and sediment through weathering processes. Water chemistry, in particular pH, redox potential (Eh), dissolved

87 oxygen (DO), and dissolved organic carbon (DOC), is instrumental in mobilising Mn and 88 controlling its speciation and concentration in the water environment. Manganese occurs mainly as the reduced soluble Mn^{2+} at lower pH and Eh, but is oxidised to form precipitates 89 90 in the presence of oxygen and at higher pH (Hem, 1985). Hence in equilibrium conditions Mn is likely to occur as Mn^{2+} at pH<7 and at Eh as high as 800 mV. Complexation with 91 92 humic substances (e.g. Graham et al., 2002) inhibits Mn oxidation and precipitation, although 93 complexation with inorganic ligands, such as sulphate or hydrogen carbonate, is suggested to have only a limited effect on Mn speciation and concentration, apart from at very high ligand 94 95 concentrations (Hem, 1972). Micro-organisms can play an important role in Mn mobilisation 96 in the environment and can both enhance or inhibit concentrations in groundwater. The 97 effects can be direct, through enzymatic catalysis of Mn oxidation and reduction and specific binding by cell-associated materials, or indirect, by altering the pH and Eh conditions of the 98 99 micro-environment, thereby influencing Mn speciation and concentration (Nealson, 1983). 100 The impact of microbiological activity on Mn behaviour in water is frequently evident in the 101 accumulation of oxidised Mn in biofilms on surfaces such as pipes (Sly et al., 1990). The 102 factors described above frequently interact to determine Mn concentrations in groundwater. 103 For example, Bourg and Bertin (1994) and Gounot (1994) attributed spatial and temporal 104 changes in Mn concentrations in two alluvial aquifers in France to different mineralogical 105 forms of Mn and also to the reduction of solid Mn oxides to the dissolved form in anaerobic 106 conditions generated by microbial degradation of organic matter.

107

There is increasing evidence (Robins, 2002; MacDonald and Ó Dochartaigh, 2005) that Mn is
often present in Scottish groundwaters at concentrations in excess of the Scottish drinking
water supply limit of 0.05 mg l⁻¹(as defined by the Water Supply (Water Quality)(Scotland)
Act 2001) and may be in excess of the WHO guideline value of 0.4 mg l⁻¹. In Scotland

112 groundwater is the main source of water for an estimated 30,000 private water supplies and approximately 7% of public water supplies and is also a major contributor to environmental 113 114 flows, through baseflow to rivers and streams (MacDonald et al., 2005). Concerns related to 115 excessive Mn concentrations are greatest for private water supplies, which are generally groundwater based, but are not subject to such stringent testing as public water supplies so 116 117 that elevated concentrations could go unnoticed. The aims of this current research are to assess the concentrations of Mn in Scottish 118 119 groundwaters, compare between different aquifer types, and examine controls on elevated 120 concentrations in groundwater. 121 122 2 Methods 123 The first step in the research was to compile a quality-controlled dataset of Mn concentrations 124 125 and other physicochemical parameters in Scottish groundwaters. Since 2005 considerably 126 more data on Scottish groundwater have become available through the Baseline Scotland 127 project, jointly funded by the British Geological Survey (BGS) and Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA), which is systematically sampling and analysing groundwater 128 129 from the main hydrogeological units across Scotland (BGS, 2010). Groundwater chemistry data from the Baseline database assembled prior to 2008 for 190 sites in eastern and southern 130 131 Scotland were used. Collected samples were passed through a 0.45 µm filter and acidified to 132 1% v/v with Aristar grade concentrated nitric acid in the field. Samples were analysed by 133 ICP-MS at BGS laboratories in the UK and a commercial laboratory in Canada. 134 135 Groundwater chemistry data for Scotland collected prior to 2005 in other BGS projects and

by other organizations were compiled and reviewed by MacDonald and Ó Dochartaigh

137 (2005). These datasets had been subject to quality assurance, such as removing samples from 138 highly contaminated sites and samples in which the balance between cations and anions 139 differed by >10%, and were included in the Mn dataset after further quality control. The criteria for including samples in the Mn dataset were a limit of detection <0.003 mg Mn l⁻¹ 140 141 and use of the same sample preparation procedure as the Baseline samples (i.e. filtration and 142 acidification in the field). The final step in compiling the dataset was to select one set of chemistry data for the c.100 sites which had duplicate samples in order to minimise data bias 143 144 to a particular location or geology type. Where a site had been sampled by more than one 145 organization, following the practice in other studies (Shand et al., 2007) data with better 146 detection limits and a more comprehensive suite of analysis were preferred. Mn 147 concentrations reported as less than a detection limit (only data below 0.003 mg l^{-1}) were 148 given a numerical value of half the detection limit for statistical analysis. 149 The final dataset contained data for Mn in groundwater from 475 sites across Scotland

(Figure 1). Data were divided into nine geological categories, based on the principal 150 151 geological units from which samples were taken (Figure 2). Categories were chosen to enable 152 comparison with previous work on characterising Scottish aquifers (MacDonald and Ó Dochartaigh, 2005) and to contain sufficient numbers of samples for analysis to determine the 153 154 effect of geology on Mn concentrations. Relationships between Mn and a number of other physicochemical parameters measured in the same samples (where available) which are 155 156 likely to influence Mn concentrations (Eh, pH, DO, DOC, specific electrical conductance 157 (SEC), HCO₃, NO₃-N, Fe, Ca, Mg) were examined using scatter plots and multiple linear 158 regression to assess the effect of water chemistry on Mn concentrations. Mn and Fe 159 concentrations were log₁₀-transformed for the regression analyses, conducted in Minitab v.15, 160 in order to normalise the data distributions. P values were used to test significance at 95% 161 probability.

162	
163	3 Results
164	
165	3.1 <u>Analysis of the complete dataset of Mn concentrations in Scottish groundwaters</u>
166	Mn concentrations in Scottish groundwaters are log-normally distributed, with the lower end
167	of the distribution affected by samples with concentrations below the limits of detection
168	(Figure 3). The median Mn concentration was 0.013 mg l ⁻¹ , but there was a wide variation in
169	Mn concentrations and 25^{th} and 75^{th} percentiles were 0.0014 mg l ⁻¹ and 0.072 mg l ⁻¹ ,
170	respectively. The Scottish limit for Mn in drinking water of 0.05 mg l^{-1} was exceeded in 30%
171	of samples; the WHO health guideline (WHO, 2008) of 0.4 mg l^{-1} was exceeded in 9%, and
172	the USEPA limit (USEPA, 2003) of 0.3 mg l^{-1} exceeded in 12%.
173	
174	Similar distributions of Mn concentrations in groundwater used for drinking water have been
175	reported elsewhere. In Sweden, the median Mn concentration in 12,000 sampled wells was
176	0.06 mg l^{-1} and around 20% of wells exceeded the Swedish recommended guideline value for
177	private wells of 0.3 mg l ⁻¹ (Ljung and Vahter, 2007). In a survey of 2160 private wells in the
178	USA used for household drinking water (DeSimone, 2008), 5% of those tested had Mn
179	concentrations greater than the health reference level (0.4 mg l^{-1}) and 21% had concentrations
180	in excess of the USEPA Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level set for aesthetic quality
181	and other non-health reasons (0.05 mg l^{-1}). In a survey of total Mn (i.e. not filtered) from
182	10,000 groundwater sources in New Zealand, Daughney (2003) found that 39% exceeded
183	0.05 mg l^{-1} and 15% exceeded the New Zealand health guideline of 0.5mg l $^{-1}$.
184	
185	Figure 1 shows the spatial distribution of groundwater Mn concentrations and Figure 2 the

186 bedrock aquifer units in Scotland. Mn concentrations in groundwater varied widely across

187 Scotland and also locally. Many groundwater samples from Moray, Aberdeenshire, the 188 Central Belt and Dumfries and Galloway contained excessive Mn concentrations. In contrast, 189 generally low Mn concentrations occurred in groundwater samples from the Strathmore area 190 and much of southern Scotland. Mn concentrations also varied within and between rock types 191 from which groundwater samples were taken (Figure 4). The Scottish drinking water limit 192 was exceeded by some groundwater samples from all geological categories and lay within the interguartile range (25th-75th percentiles, the lower and upper limits of the boxes in Figure 4) 193 194 in the Superficial, Permian/Triassic/Jurassic and most notably in the Carboniferous and 195 Northern Devonian categories. Mn concentrations in groundwater samples varied by at least 196 three orders of magnitude within each geological category emphasising that it is not possible 197 to predict from rock type alone whether groundwater might have elevated Mn.

198 Multiple linear regression of 10 other physicochemical parameters with Mn concentration for 199 the 119 samples for which all these data were available showed that Mn was significantly 200 inversely related to pH, Eh and DO and significantly positively related to Fe (Table 1). 201 Together these parameters explained 50% of the variance in Mn concentrations. When 202 log₁₀Fe was not included, 42% of the variance was explained and the strength of the 203 relationship with pH and Eh increased. Since Mn and Fe are often closely related in natural 204 waters (Collins and Buol, 1970; Hem, 1985; DeSimone, 2008), the controls on Fe 205 concentrations in Scottish groundwaters were investigated by repeating the multiple 206 regressions with Fe as the dependent variable (Table 1). Although \log_{10} Mn concentrations 207 were significantly related to $log_{10}Fe$, when this predictor was excluded, the parameters 208 significantly related to Fe were Eh (negatively), DOC and SEC (positively), suggesting that Mn and Fe concentrations in groundwater are affected by rather different physicochemical 209 conditions. 210

211

212 3.2 Analysis of data for the Devonian sedimentary aquifer

213 Multiple regression analyses of the complete dataset helped to identify overall

215 rock types, but the patterns observed may also be affected by variation in rock geochemistry,

physicochemical influences on Mn and Fe concentrations in groundwater across a range of

216 which may account for some of the unexplained variance. However, whole rock

217 geochemistry data are not widely available for groundwater sampling sites in Scotland, so

218 further analysis including rock geochemistry is not currently possible. Therefore, in order to

assess in more detail the physicochemical controls on Mn concentrations in groundwater,

220 data for one aquifer type (Devonian sedimentary rocks) were further interpreted, to minimise

221 large variations in manganese rock geochemistry (BGS, 2009). Devonian aquifers are

widespread in Scotland and provide a valuable groundwater resource (Graham et al., 2009).

223 Samples were available for Devonian aquifers in three geographical locations: Northern

224 Devonian (north of Aberdeen), Strathmore Devonian (between Aberdeen and the Firth of

Tay) and Southern Devonian (south of the Firth of Tay).

226

214

227 For the Devonian dataset, multiple linear regression analyses to predict Mn and Fe 228 concentrations with different numbers of predictors (Table 2) showed broadly similar 229 relationships as for the total dataset. The same physicochemical parameters (pH, Eh and DO) 230 were significantly related to Mn concentrations but for the Devonian samples there was no 231 observable independent relationship with Fe. These three parameters alone explained 58% of 232 the variance (compared with only 42% of the variance for the total dataset explained by pH. 233 Eh, DO and an additional 6 parameters (Table 1)). Eh was the strongest independent 234 predictor (see Table 2 and Figure 5) followed by pH and DO. Iron concentrations in the 235 Devonian groundwater samples were again significantly related to Eh and DOC as in the 236 complete dataset but there was no significant relationship of Fe with Mn or SEC (Table 2).

238 **4 Discussion**

239

240	The analysis of groundwater chemistry data has shown that undesirable Mn concentrations			
241	are a problem in Scotland's groundwater, with the Scottish drinking water limit being			
242	exceeded at 30% of the 475 sites sampled, and the WHO health guideline limit being			
243	exceeded at 9% of the sites. Mn concentrations were significantly negatively correlated to the			
244	redox and pH conditions of the water. Excessive Mn concentrations occurred particularly in			
245	groundwater from the Northern Devonian, Carboniferous and Superficial geological			
246	categories, although high concentrations were measured in samples from all geological			
247	categories.			
248				
249	4.1 <u>Geology as a control on Mn concentrations</u>			
250	The variation in groundwater Mn concentrations between and within different geological			
251	categories may be caused both by differences in the concentration and nature of Mn present			
252	in the aquifer rocks and also the physicochemical conditions within the aquifer. There are			
253	insufficient rock chemistry data to be able to test this hypothesis robustly; however, the broad			
254	data distribution suggests that the water chemistry conditions are more significant than Mn			
255	mineralogy. There is considerably more variability in Mn concentrations within aquifer types			
256	than between them (Figure 4) and this variability is largely explained by redox and pH			
257	conditions.			
258				
259	4.2 <u>pH and redox conditions as controls on Mn concentrations</u>			
260	The significant influence of pH and redox conditions on Mn concentrations in Scottish			

261 groundwaters was further investigated by plotting the Mn concentrations measured in

Scottish groundwaters on a predominance diagram (Figure 6). This diagram illustrates that
Mn²⁺ can be mobilised over a large range of Eh and pH values. All of the Scottish data above
detection limit plot within the Mn²⁺ area of the predominance diagram as would be expected.
However, some samples have low Mn concentrations even in conditions where Mn²⁺ can be
mobilised, indicating that redox and pH conditions do not totally explain manganese
distributions in groundwater.

268 The predominance diagrams for Mn suggest a stronger influence of pH on Mn mobilisation than Eh, since there is a greater gradient of Mn^{2+} concentration change in relation to pH than 269 Eh. However, this pattern is not apparent in either the total dataset or the Devonian subset, 270 where Eh was a much stronger predictor of Mn^{2+} concentrations than pH (Table 1 and 271 272 Figure 5). This discrepancy may exist because at the near neutral pH of most Scottish groundwater, Mn^{2+} concentrations may be influenced by other factors such as complexation 273 274 with bicarbonate and sulphate ions if they are present at high concentration (Hem, 1972) or 275 interaction with Fe (Collins and Buol, 1970).

276

277 4.3 Fe as a control on Mn concentrations

278 Multiple linear regression analysis of the complete dataset showed that the water chemistry 279 parameter most strongly related (along with dissolved oxygen) to Mn concentrations in 280 Scottish groundwaters was Fe. However, the relationship between Mn and Fe concentrations 281 is not linear (Figure 7). The scatterplot of concentrations of the two elements showed that 282 high Fe concentrations only occur with high Mn concentrations, but high Mn concentrations 283 occur across the range of Fe concentrations. The regression analyses for predicting Mn and 284 Fe separately using the complete dataset provided further evidence that the two elements do 285 not behave in exactly the same way in Scottish groundwaters, since Mn concentrations were 286 significantly related to Eh, DO and pH, and Fe to DOC and SEC in addition to Eh. The

- significant relationship of both Mn and Fe with Eh suggests that interaction between the twoelements in groundwater is mediated by Eh.
- 289

290 There is a close relationship between Mn and Fe oxidation and reduction in natural waters 291 beyond the common redox conditions required for mobilisation (Marshall, 1979; Lind et al. 1987; Thamdrup 2000). Since Mn^{2+} has a high affinity for ferric oxides, the precipitation of 292 293 Fe oxides at lower Eh than Mn may lead to the removal of Mn by occlusion and sorption to 294 precipitated Fe (Morgan and Stumm, 1965;Collins and Buol, 1970). Therefore, in solutions 295 containing both Mn and Fe, such as Scottish groundwaters, which are not strongly reducing and are circumneutral, Mn^{2+} concentrations may be lower than expected at a particular Eh. 296 Conversely, when Fe oxides are reduced, Mn^{2+} is released. Along with the occurrence of pH 297 and Eh conditions which result in both Mn and Fe mobilisation, this process may also explain 298 299 why high Fe concentrations often occur with high Mn concentrations in Scottish groundwater. An additional complication is that Fe^{2+} is more likely to adsorb or form 300 complexes with DOC than Mn, resulting in higher Fe^{2+} concentrations occurring than 301 302 expected at a particular Eh (Hem, 1972).

303

304 4.4 Implications for groundwater monitoring

The research results have particular implications for monitoring of private water supplies in Scotland, which are often groundwater based. Water quality standards for private supplies in Scotland are derived from EC regulation, and monitoring and protection is carried out by local authorities. The level of monitoring depends on whether a private water supply is classified as Type A (providing 10 m³ or more per day, supplying 50 or more people, or used for commercial or public activity) or Type B supplies (all others) (Astron, 2006). Chemical parameters are tested for in both types of supply, but Type A supplies are subject to more

- regular and stringent testing and enforcement of water quality regulations than Type B, which
 are only monitored on a discretionary basis (Donnelley, 2008). Therefore, Mn may not be
 tested and high concentrations can go undetected.
- 315

Another major issue is sampling protocol. Samples collected for routine monitoring carried 316 317 out by the regulatory bodies are often not filtered or acidified in the field, giving unreliable Mn data. In creating the dataset used for this Scottish study, a comparison was undertaken 318 319 between samples collected with good and poor protocol for 40 locations. For samples with 320 poor field protocol Mn concentrations were consistently underestimated by more than an 321 order of magnitude. In addition, for a reliable measure of exposure to Mn for public health 322 reasons, samples would need to be taken throughout the year to capture natural variations. 323 The range of Mn concentrations encountered in each geological unit also has implications for groundwater monitoring. Manganese concentrations in excess of the Scottish limit of 0.05 324 mg l^{-1} and the WHO health guideline value of 0.4 mg l^{-1} were found in each aquifer unit, and 325 326 there was more variability within each unit than between them. Therefore, developing hazard 327 maps identifying areas of potential elevated Mn concentrations from geology alone are 328 unlikely to be effective. Monitoring therefore needs to be widespread.

329

Based on the discussion above, more widespread testing of Scottish private water supplies
using appropriate sampling protocols is required to give greater confidence in the Mn
concentrations in private water supplies in Scotland. These recommendations have relevance
elsewhere. Although studies in other countries have reported elevated Mn concentrations in
groundwater-sourced drinking water supplies, monitoring of drinking water supplies for Mn
is not routine.

336

5 Conclusion

339	This research developed a quality-controlled dataset of groundwater Mn concentrations
340	across Scotland for 475 sites, which forms the basis of the analysis in this paper, and can
341	serve as a resource for future research into Mn occurrence in Scottish groundwaters. Analysis
342	of this dataset showed that elevated Mn concentrations (> 0.05 mg l^{-1}) occurred in 30% of the
343	Scottish groundwater sites sampled, particularly in Superficial, Carboniferous, and Northern
344	Devonian aquifers; and 9% of sites had concentrations above the WHO health drinking water
345	limit (0.4 mg l ⁻¹). The principal controls on Mn concentrations in groundwater in Scotland are
346	redox conditions and pH, with some influence of Fe behaviour. Redox conditions exercise
347	the strongest control. The scale of the problem of excessive Mn concentrations in
348	groundwater across all aquifer types highlights the need for wider sampling for Mn in private
349	water supplies in Scotland, and by implication, worldwide.
350	
351	Acknowledgements
352	
353	The research was jointly funded by the British Geological Survey (BGS) and the School of
354	GeoSciences, The University of Edinburgh. The authors are grateful to BGS/SEPA for
355	access to groundwater data and to the following BGS staff for help and comments: Derek
356	Ball, Fiona Fordyce, Martin Gillespie, David Kinniburgh, Pauline Smedley and Stuart
357	Horsburgh. This paper is published with permission of the Executive Director of the British
358	Geological Survey (NERC).
359	

361	References
362	
363	Astron. Private Water Supplies: Technical Manual. Scottish Executive, Edinburgh, 2006.
364	BGS. Manganese in stream sediments: Great Britain. G-BASE Geochemical Map. Keyworth,
365	Nottingham, UK, 2009.
366	BGS. Baseline Scotland: groundwater chemistry data. British Geological Survey
367	http://www.bgs.ac.uk/data/baselineScotland/home.html [accessed online on 1
368	February 2010]
369	Bouchard M, Laforest F, Vandelac L, Bellinger D, Mergler D. Hair manganese and
370	hyperactive behaviours: pilot study of school age children exposed through tap water.
371	Environ Health Persp 2007; 115: 122-127.
372	Bourg ACM, Bertin C. Seasonal and spatial trends in manganese solubility in an alluvial
373	aquifer. Environ Sci Technol 1994; 28: 868-876.
374	Casale RJ, LeChevallier MW, Pontius FW. Review of manganese control and related
375	manganese issues. American Water Works Association (AWWA) Research
376	Foundation and AWWA, Denver, 2002.
377	Collins JF, Buol SW. Effects of fluctuations in the Eh-pH environment on iron and/or
378	manganese equilibria. Soil Sci 1970; 110: 111-118.
379	DeSimone LA. Quality of water from domestic wells in principal aquifers of the
380	United States, 1991-2004: US Geological Survey Scientific Investigations
381	Report 2008-5227, 139 p., 2008.
382	Donnelley RR. Drinking Water Quality in Scotland 2007. Drinking Water Quality Regulator
383	for Scotland, 2008.
384	EC. Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended for

human consumption. Official Journal 1998; L330: 32-54.

- 386 Gounot, A-M. Microbial oxidation and reduction of manganese: Consequences in
- 387 groundwater and applications. FEMS Microbiol Rev 1994; 14: 339-350.
- Graham MC, Gavin KG, Farmer JG, Kirika A, Britton A. Processes controlling the retention
 and release of manganese in the organic rich catchment of Loch Bradan. Appl
 Geochem 2002; 17: 1061-1067.
- 391 Graham MT, Ó Dochartaigh BÉ, Ball DF, MacDonald AM. Using transmissivity, specific
- 392 capacity and borehole yield data to assess the productivity of Scottish aquifers.
- 393 Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology 2009; **42:** 227-235
- Hem JD. Chemical factors that influence the availability of iron and manganese in aqueous
 systems. Geol Soc Am Bull 1972; 83: 443-450.
- 396 Hem JD. Study and Interpretation of the Chemical Characteristics of Natural Water (3rd Ed.).
- 397 US Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2254, 1985.
- 398 Keen CL, Zidenberg-Cherr S. Manganese toxicity in humans and experimental animals. In:
- Klimis-Tavantzis DJ, editor. Manganese in Health and Disease. CRC Press, London,
 1994, pp. 193-205.
- 401 Kondakis XG, Makris N, Leotsinidis M, Prinou M, Papapetropoulos T. Possible health
- 402 effects of high manganese concentrations in drinking water. Arch Environ Health
 403 1989; 44: 175-178.
- 404 Lind CJ, Hem JD, Roberson CE. Reaction products of manganese bearing waters In: Averett,
- 405 RC, McKnight DM, editors. Chemical Quality of Water and the Hydrologic Cycle.
- 406 Lewis Publishers, Michigan, USA, 1987, pp. 271-302.
- 407 Ljung K, Vahter M. Time to re-evaluate the guideline value for manganese in drinking water?
 408 Environ Health Persp 2007; 115: 1533-1538.

409	MacDonald A, Ó Dochartaigh BÉ Baseline Scotland; an overview of available groundwater
410	chemistry data for Scotland. British Geological Survey Commissioned Report,
411	CR/05/239N. British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham, 2005.
412	MacDonald A, Robins NS, Ball DF, Ó Dochartaigh BÉ. An overview of groundwater in
413	Scotland. Scot J Geol 2005; 41: 3-11.
414	Marshall KC. Biogeochemistry of manganese minerals. In: Trudinger PA, Swaine DJ,
415	editors. Biogeochemical Cycling of Mineral-Forming Elements. Elsevier/North
416	Holland Publishing Co., 1979, pp. 253-286.
417	Morgan JJ, Stumm W. The role of multivalent metal oxides in limnological transformations,
418	as exemplified by iron and manganese. In: Jaag O, editor. Advances in Water
419	Pollution Research. Proceedings of the Second International Conference, August
420	1964, Tokyo. Pergamon Press, 1965; 1: 103-131.
421	Nealson KH. Microbial cycle of manganese. In: Krumbein WE, editor. Microbial
422	Geochemistry. Blackwell, Oxford, 1983, pp. 191-221.
423	Robins NS. Groundwater quality in Scotland: major ion chemistry of the key groundwater
424	bodies. Sci Total Environ 2002; 294: 41-56.
425	Shand P, Edmunds WM, Lawrence AR, Smedley PL, Burke S. The natural (baseline) quality
426	of groundwater in England and Wales. British Geological Survey Research Report
427	RR/07/06. British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham, 2007.
428	Sly LJ, Hodgkinson MC, Arunpairojana V. Deposition of manganese in a drinking water
429	distribution system. Appl Environ Microbiol 1990; 56: 628-639.
430	Thamdrup B. Microbial manganese and iron reduction in aquatic sediments. Advances in
431	Microbial Ecology 2000; 16:41-84
432	USEPA. Health Effects Support Document for Manganese. EPA 822-R-03-003. US
433	Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, 2003.

434	Wasserman GA, Liu X, Parvez F, Ahsan H, Levy D, Factor-Litvak P, Kline J, van Geen A,
435	Slavkovich V, LoIacono NJ, Cheng Z, Zheng Y, Graziano JH. Water manganese
436	exposure and children's intellectual function in Araihazar, Bangladesh. Environ
437	Health Persp 2006; 114: 124-129.
438	World Health Organization. Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality (3 rd Ed incorporating the
439	1 st and 2 nd Addenda), Volume 1 recommendations. World Health Organisation,
440	Geneva, 2008, available online at
441	http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/gdwq3rev/en/ [accessed 19 August
442	2009].
443	

Table 1 P values for multiple linear regression analysis of Scottish dataset for log₁₀Mn and
log₁₀Fe vs. selected physicochemical parameters. The sign in parentheses after each P
value indicates whether the predictor was positively (+) or negatively (-) related. P
numbers in bold indicate significance at 95% probability.

	Predicting log ₁₀ Mn		Predicting log ₁₀ Fe	
	Incl. Fe	Excl. Fe	Incl. Mn	Excl. Mn
рН	0.001 (-)	<0.001 (-)	0.855 (+)	0.146 (+)
Eh	0.022 (-)	<0.001 (-)	0.001 (-)	<0.001 (-)
DO	<0.001 (-)	<0.001 (-)	0.152 (+)	0.220 (+)
SEC	0.638 (+)	0.169 (+)	0.066 (+)	0.011 (+)
DOC	0.187 (+)	0.060 (+)	0.105 (+)	<0.001 (+)
HCO ₃	0.852 (+)	0.518 (+)	0.452 (+)	0.223 (+)
Ca	0.528 (+)	0.610 (+)	0.703 (-)	0.678 (-)
Mg	0.428 (-)	0.214 (-)	0.286 (-)	0.051 (-)
NO ₃ -N	0.331 (-)	0.096 (-)	0.214 (-)	0.222 (-)
log ₁₀ Fe	<0.001 (+)			
$log_{10}Mn$			0.000 (+)	
Number of samples	119	129	119	125
R ² Adjusted for				
sample size	50%	42%	45%	41%

450

Table 2 P values for multiple linear regression analysis of the Devonian groundwater
samples for log₁₀Mn and log₁₀Fe vs. selected physicochemical parameters. The sign
in parentheses after each P value indicates whether the predictor was positively (+) or
negatively (-) related. P numbers in bold indicate significance at 95% probability.

	Predicting log ₁₀ Mn		Predicting log ₁₀ Fe	
	4 predictors	Excl. Fe	6 predictors	Excl. Mn
рН	0.011 (-)	0.005 (-)	0.563 (+)	0.961 (+)
Eh	0.001 (-)	<0.001 (-)	0.011 (-)	<0.001 (-)
DO	0.037 (-)	0.036 (-)	0.999 (-)	0.608 (-)
SEC			0.286 (+)	
DOC			0.047 (+)	0.007 (+)
log ₁₀ Fe	0.077 (+)			
log ₁₀ Mn			0.322 (+)	
Number of samples	59	62	34	41
R ² Adjusted for sample size	61%	58%	53%	58%

457

459 Figure Captions

460		
461 462	Figure 1	The location of groundwater sites in Scotland sampled for manganese to an appropriate standard.
463	Figure 2	Bedrock aquifer units in Scotland (from MacDonald et al., 2005; Graham et
464		al., 2009).
465 466	Figure 3	Cumulative frequency plot for Mn groundwater concentrations for the Scottish dataset ($n = 475$).
467 468	Figure 4.	Box plot of Mn concentrations, divided into the main different aquifer units in Scotland
469 470	Figure 5	The relation between Mn concentrations, Eh and pH for groundwater samples from the Devonian sedimentary aquifer. Eh exhibits the largest control.
471 472	Figure 6	Data plotted on a predominance diagram for Mn speciation (Hem 1985, PhreeqC)
473 474	Figure 7	Scatter plot of Mn versus Fe concentrations for the Scottish dataset (n = 195, adjusted $R^2 = 0.25$)



476 Figure 1



















