

Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture

ISSN: 2330-8249 (Print) 2330-8257 (Online) Journal homepage:<https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/brfs21>

A Global Review on the Biology of the Dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus***) and Its Fishery in the Mediterranean Sea: Advances in the Last Two Decades**

Vicenç Moltó, Pilar Hernández, Mauro Sinopoli, Amina Besbes-Benseddik, Raouf Besbes, Adriano Mariani, Miriam Gambin, Francisco Alemany, Beatriz Morales-Nin, Antoni María Grau, Juan Antonio Camiñas, José Carlos Báez, Marcelo Vasconcellos, Luca Ceriola & Ignacio A. Catalán

To cite this article: Vicenç Moltó, Pilar Hernández, Mauro Sinopoli, Amina Besbes-Benseddik, Raouf Besbes, Adriano Mariani, Miriam Gambin, Francisco Alemany, Beatriz Morales-Nin, Antoni María Grau, Juan Antonio Camiñas, José Carlos Báez, Marcelo Vasconcellos, Luca Ceriola & Ignacio A. Catalán (2020) A Global Review on the Biology of the Dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) and Its Fishery in the Mediterranean Sea: Advances in the Last Two Decades, Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture, 28:3, 376-420, DOI: [10.1080/23308249.2020.1757618](https://www.tandfonline.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1080/23308249.2020.1757618)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23308249.2020.1757618>

REVIEW

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

Check for updates

A Global Review on the Biology of the Dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) and Its Fishery in the Mediterranean Sea: Advances in the Last Two Decades

Vicenç Moltó^{a,b} (D, Pilar Hernández^c, Mauro Sinopoli^d, Amina Besbes-Benseddik^e, Raouf Besbes^e, Adriano Mariani^f, Miriam Gambin^g, Francisco Alemany^{h,b}, Beatriz Morales-Nin^a, Antoni María Grauⁱ, Juan Antonio Camiñas^j, José Carlos Báez^{j,k}, Marcelo Vasconcellos^I, Luca Ceriola^I, and Ignacio A. Catalán^a

^aIMEDEA, CSIC/UIB, Esporles, Illes Balears, Spain; ^bInstituto Español de Oceanografía, Centre Oceanogràfic de Balears, Moll de Ponent s/n, Mallorca, Spain; ^cMarine and Inland Fisheries Branch (FIAF). Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Málaga, Spain; ^dStazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn (SZN), Centro Interdipartimentale Della Sicilia, Integrative Marine Ecology Department, Palermo, Italy; ^eInstitut National des Sciences et Technologie de la Mer (INSTM), Centre of Monastir, Tunisia; ^fUnimar, Roma, Italy; ^gDepartment of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Ministry for Agriculture, Fisheries and Animal Rights. Marsa, Malta; ^hInternational Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas, Madrid, Spain; ⁱMarine Resources Service, Govern de Les Illes Balears, Palma, Mallorca, Spain; ^jInstituto Español de Oceanográfico, Centro Oceanogràfico de Málaga, Puerto Pesquero s/n, Fuengirola, Spain; ^kFacultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Autónoma de Chile, Providencia, Chile; ^IMarine and Inland Fisheries Branch (FIAF), Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, Rome, Italy

ABSTRACT

The common dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) is an epipelagic thermophilic species with a worldwide distribution in tropical and subtropical regions that is characterized by its migratory behavior and fast growth rates. This species is targeted by artisanal small-scale and recreational fisheries in most regions where it is found. This paper updates and analyzes the global scientific knowledge on the biology and ecology of this species, which was last revised at a regional level 20 years ago. This review showed an increase in knowledge about the population structure and regional differences in biological traits, in parallel with a notable lack of mechanistic and even empirical knowledge about the ecology of this species, which hampers a good understanding of the population dynamics and the potential impacts of environmental change. This paper also updates the information about the Mediterranean dolphinfish fishery, where the main four countries that exploit this species deploy 30% of fish aggregation devices (FAD) worldwide. The results suggest, among other effects, some temporal synchronicity in landings across countries, potential interannual stock movement affecting inter-country catches, diverging trends in prices and insufficient quality in the estimates of fishing effort. The authors propose a suite of specific measures to ameliorate this lack of knowledge and to better manage this complex living resource.

KEYWORDS

Coryphaena hippurus; dolphinfish; large pelagic biology; artisanal fisheries; Mediterranean Sea; FAD

Introduction

The Coryphaenidae family is composed of two congeneric species, the common dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus, Linneaus 1758) and pompano dolphinfish (Coryphaena equiselis, Linnaeus 1758). Commonly called dolphinfish, they are highly migratory pelagic species, distributed circumglobally between the latitudes of 38° S and 46° N (Shcherbachev [1973\)](#page-40-0). Their distribution and abundance are highly influenced by hydroclimatic conditions, especially temperature, with the 20° C isotherm roughly marking their distribution limit (Gibbs and Collette [1959;](#page-37-0) Ditty et al. [1994](#page-36-0)), but they are more common in water temperatures between 21 and 30 °C (Maguire et al. [2006;](#page-38-0) FAO

[2019\)](#page-36-0). Pompano dolphinfish present oceanic behavior but may enter coastal waters, being mostly present over 24° C, whereas the common dolphinfish is common in coastal waters in its juvenile life stage. Juvenile individuals of these species are difficult to differentiate, making it necessary to define the population identity in areas where they coexist. The overwhelming majority of fisheries of Coryphaena spp. worldwide target C. hippurus, thus this review focuses only on this species.

Commercial global captures of dolphinfish have increased over time, from less than 10k in the 1950s to approximately 100k metric tons from 2008 onwards (FAO 2019). Additionally, recreational fisheries on this species are important and increasing in some areas (SAFMC [2003](#page-40-0)). Although no regular assessments exist for this species, there are no identified threats that could endanger the stability of the populations, and, thus, the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species has classified it as "least concern" (Collette et al. [2011](#page-36-0)). Furthermore, recent evidence shows that this globally distributed species has greater genetic structure than previously thought (Díaz-Jaimes et al. [2010\)](#page-36-0), which calls for better information on biological traits and exploitation patterns at the relevant managerial scales. The last reviews on the biology of dolphinfish at the global scale date back approximately 30 years (Palko et al. [1982](#page-39-0)), and there is only one regional review for the western-central Atlantic, which was published 20 years ago (Oxenford [1999](#page-39-0)). In the case of the Mediterranean, most research on biology and fisheries was carried out throughout the 1990s and in the 2000s within two European projects (EU projects N° 95/073, 94/031 (DG XIV Fisheries)) and in the framework of a working group of experts from western and central Mediterranean called CORY-WG, which is driven by the FAO regional project "Coordination to Support Fisheries Management in the western and central Mediterranean" (CopeMed), initially funded by Spanish government. These early funding impulses enabled the description of the fisheries and the age and growth patterns as well as their reproductive characteristics. These initial works, together with other relevant studies around the world were compiled as a monograph 20 years ago (Massutí and Morales-Nin [1999](#page-38-0)).

The need to update biological knowledge, and compile and regionally compare key parameters for modeling the potential effects of fisheries and the environment on highly mobile and data-poor species such as C. hippurus is clear, and this review aims to contribute to meeting this need. The updating of the biological and ecological information of a widely distributed species, if it is to be useful in the context of sustainable management of the resource, should inform analytical tools that incorporate environmental and fisheries data at relevant regional scales where the technical peculiarities of exploitation of the resource are well known. Dolphinfish fishing exhibits large regional variation and is subject to multiple laws. Reviewing all fisheries is beyond the scope of this work. Most reviews on this topic are country- or subregion-based, with few addressing basins/oceans (e.g., Arocha et al. [1999](#page-34-0); Kojima [1964](#page-37-0)), and there are no reviews of the biology of the species in the Mediterranean, for which the last published updates

about the fishery are 20 years old (Morales-Nin et al. [2000](#page-39-0)).

Since that last review of Mediterranean fisheries, the CopeMed CORY-WG has been producing new information to assist the Scientific Advisory Committee (SAC) of the General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean (GFCM). Several reports were produced between 2000 and 2005 [\(http://www.faocopemed.org\)](http://www.faocopemed.org) and later (Camiñas and Fernández [2011\)](#page-35-0), but no formal quantitative assessment has been possible with the available data. In 2006, the GFCM adopted a binding recommendation "on the establishment of a closed season for the dolphinfish fisheries based on fishing aggregation devices (FAD) from 1 January to 14 August of each year." This recommendation included a request to the SAC to analyze the impact of this measure on the stocks and to recommend any changes that may be necessary to improve its effectiveness following its implementation in 2010. In line with this work, in 2016, the Mediterranean experts on dolphinfish, including managers and scientists, gathered under the framework of phase II of the FAO-CopeMed project, and agreed to compile the existing information on Mediterranean dolphinfish to set the stage for the future assessment of this stock (Copemed II [2016](#page-36-0)). Furthermore, the GFCM has recently adopted a new recommendation (GFCM/43/2019/1) with a set of transitional management measures consistent with the precautionary approach to maintain the fishing effort and minimize the impact of FAD in the ecosystem. A research program will be launched at the Mediterranean regional level to provide the necessary scientific advice to the commission for the preparation of a regional management plan.

The abovementioned regional efforts inspired this review, which, in light of the mounting evidence that the Mediterranean populations may constitute a coher-ent management unit (Díaz-Jaimes et al. [2010](#page-36-0); Sacco et al. [2017](#page-40-0); Maggio et al. [2018](#page-38-0)), make the present work even more timely and useful. This review has been structured in two general parts. The first updates and reviews the biological and ecological characteristics of dolphinfish around the world. This section also describes and analyzes the environmental preferences, larval biology, ecology and recruitment, diet, age and growth, and reproductive processes. The second part, which is centered on Mediterranean dolphinfish fisheries, updates and compares the main fishing mechanisms and drivers of dolphinfish harvesting, based on exploitation statistics (captures and CPUE) and socioeconomic indicators, as well as stock assessment measures. In all cases, data and particularly detailed

additional information are presented in the form of tables or appendix to facilitate future investigations. Finally, a series of identified gaps and recommendations for future research are discussed.

Methodological approach

The review contains six formal sections covering the main aspects of the biology of the species, and the fisheries in the Mediterranean. Each section analyzes the existing or newly compiled information, with emphasis on new findings and identified knowledge gaps in the last 20 years. To compile information on dolphinfish biology around the world and its Mediterranean fisheries, both indexed citation journals and gray literature were used. For the indexed journals, the keywords dolphinfish, Coryphaena hippurus, and/or larvae, age and growth, reproduction, diet and fisheries were introduced in the search engines SCOPUS and ISI Web of Knowledge. Gray literature that included all ICCAT and FAO reports, as well as regional governmental studies, was also consulted.

Temperature-related habitat ranges for different life stages were analyzed using presence/absence data, which were mostly obtained from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF.org [2018\)](#page-37-0) and complemented with bibliographic data, yielding 7717 validated records that included information on geographical coordinates, year and month. Sea surface temperature (SST) data (1° resolution), downloaded from Met Office Hadley Center (Dataset ID: erdHadISST) were assigned to these records. The gonadosomatic index values used to explore reproductive patterns were extracted from the literature and related to the average SST obtained from NASA (<https://giovanni.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni>), using monthly averages at 4 km resolution over areas specified in the corresponding works. In the case of old literature, that lack satellite products, a 10 year (2002–2012) monthly average of SST was used as a proxy. The trophic levels of different-sized dolphinfish were calculated through TrophLab (Pauly et al. [2000\)](#page-40-0) using diet data from the literature. For the fisheries analysis in the Mediterranean, the information on fleet characteristics was aggregated in different strata considering the geographical and fleet characteristics, following the criteria in FAO-CopeMed (2003). The time series of captures or total annual production data were obtained from the CORY project (Morales-Nin [2003](#page-39-0)) or provided by the official statistics of the different Mediterranean countries. Where available, the relevant administration of each country provided data

on the catch per unit effort (CPUE, kg/trip). The R statistical software (R Core Team [2019](#page-40-0)) was used for data visualization.

Distribution and environmental preferences of the species

The dolphinfish is an oceanic epipelagic species inhabiting the surface waters of coastal areas above continental shelves, where it is relatively abundant, but it is also well adapted to the open ocean, where it is frequently observed in surface waters of the abyssal plain (Gibbs and Collette [1959](#page-37-0); Kojima [1964](#page-37-0); Potthoff [1971](#page-40-0); Shcherbachev [1973](#page-40-0); Palko et al. [1982\)](#page-39-0). SST is a dominant factor for adult and juvenile presence, with most records in all seas ranging from 17 to 30° C, with median values of approximately 28° C and some occasional observations below 15° C or over 30° C [\(Figure](#page-4-0) [1](#page-4-0)). Larvae have a more restricted thermal range from approximately $19-30$ °C (see the corresponding section), and the described preferred global temperatures range between 23° C and 29° C (Norton [1999](#page-39-0); Martínez-Rincón et al. [2009;](#page-38-0) Marín-Enríquez and Muhlia-Melo [2017;](#page-38-0) Marín-Enríquez et al. [2018](#page-38-0)). The Mediterranean data fit into this general description, with the lowest temperature for dolphinfish presence at 16° C (Massutí and Morales-Nin 1995), although the median values are lower than in other areas, at approximately 25° C ([Figure 1](#page-4-0)). At the regional scale, other environmental factors are known to affect their distribution. These factors include food availability, water column stability, current flow, wind regime, bottom topography, and configuration of the coasts (Belvèze and Bravo de Laguna [1980](#page-35-0)). Nevertheless, the few existing species distribution models depict temperature as the main forcing variable, followed by surface chlorophyll (Farrell et al. [2014\)](#page-36-0).

Dolphinfish are typically associated with floating objects. For instance, the occurrence of dolphinfish in the central Atlantic Ocean depends on the presence of sargassum (Sargassum natans and Sargassum fluitans) (Dooley, [1972\)](#page-36-0). This suggests the use of floating algae both as a shelter against predators (such as tuna, sharks, marlins, swordfish, etc.) and as a source of food, as some of the prey species are associated with floating algae (Rose and Hassler [1974](#page-40-0); Oxenford and Hunte [1999](#page-39-0)). Dolphinfish associated with floating objects spend more than 95% of their time in the first ten meters below the sea surface, while specimens not associated with floating objects have more diverse vertical behavior, displaying sporadic excursions to depths down to 160 meters, but staying

Figure 1. Field-derived temperature ranges (bars) and median values (dots) for dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) larvae and juve-nile + adult stages. Most data were obtained from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF.org [2018](#page-37-0)). Data from the Mediterranean were obtained from Alemany et al. ([2006\)](#page-34-0); Koched et al. ([2011](#page-37-0)) and unpublished data from the authors. Data were sorted by oceans and regions where dolphinfish subpopulations have been recorded (Díaz-Jaimes et al. [2010\)](#page-36-0).

temperatures not beyond 3° C than the uniform-temperature surface layer (Whitney et al. [2016\)](#page-41-0).

Migration patterns and drivers

Temperature is a major trigger for dolphinfish movements; temperatures below 20°C limit metabolism and growth (Martínez-Rincón et al. [2009\)](#page-38-0), whereas temperatures over 28 °C tend to be suboptimal and promote migration (Norton [1999\)](#page-39-0). Nikolsky [\(1963](#page-39-0)) and Harden Jones ([1968](#page-37-0)) suggested that factors including physical variables, nutrition and reproduction could drive migration movements. Palko et al. [\(1982\)](#page-39-0) reported that the movements of floating objects in the open sea could partly explain the migration and movements of dolphinfish. Other hypotheses consider pre-spawning and trophic needs to partly explain these spatial dynamics (Benetti et al. [1995](#page-35-0)). Several recent works have demonstrated the existence of defined sub-regional migration patterns, including the eastern Pacific off of Mexico and the Baja California Peninsula (Zúñiga-Flores et al. [2011](#page-42-0); Marín-Enríquez et al. [2018](#page-38-0)) and in the western-central Atlantic (Merten et al. [2014a](#page-38-0), [2014b,](#page-38-0) [2016](#page-39-0)). These studies used satellite tags and mark-recapture data to show the

linear distance migrations of up to approximately 2000 km (e.g., Merten et al. [2016](#page-39-0)) and showed how cyclical annual movements can occur among largely distant areas spanning several jurisdictions. Despite these studies, the data on movement for this species are restricted to few areas.

At the extremes of its latitudinal distribution, such as the Mediterranean, the migration patterns of the dolphinfish are particularly relevant, as they may explain the seasonality of catches and among-country catch dynamics. The officially reported captures and fisheries-independent observations are mainly centered around the Balearic Islands in the western sub-basin (Iglesias et al. [1994](#page-37-0); Massutí and Morales-Nin 1995), Sicily (Potoschi et al. [1999\)](#page-40-0), Malta (Galea [1961;](#page-36-0) Vella [1999](#page-41-0)) and Tunisia (Besbes Benseddik et al. [1999;](#page-35-0) Zaouali and Missaoui, [1999\)](#page-42-0) in the central Mediterranean; and Libya (Ben-Abdallah et al. [2005\)](#page-35-0) in the eastern sub-basin. A key knowledge gap exists in the identification of other Mediterranean areas where the species may occur. Massutí and Morales-Nin ([1995](#page-38-0)) reported adult dolphinfish in the Mediterranean between May and December when the surface water temperature exceeds $16-18$ °C. These

authors suggested genetic migration occurs from the Atlantic to the Mediterranean through the Strait of Gibraltar, in a similar manner to that of bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus); adults penetrate into the Mediterranean Sea following the Atlantic surface cur-rent (Millot [1987](#page-39-0); López-Jurado et al. [2008\)](#page-38-0), which coincides with the spawning season of these species. This hypothesis has not yet been confirmed. In the Mediterranean, adults are observed in the open sea, where they are captured as bycatch by longlines between spring and autumn (Massutí and Morales-Nin [1995;](#page-38-0) Macías et al. [2012](#page-38-0)). In contrast, age-0 specimens are frequently found between July and December, when the temperature exceeds $24-25\degree C$, which is associated with the occurrence of natural and anthropogenic floating objects, especially in coastal regions (Massutí and Morales-Nin [1995](#page-38-0); Besbes Benseddik et al. [1999](#page-35-0); Deudero et al. [1999](#page-38-0); Massutí et al. 1999; Andaloro et al. [2007;](#page-34-0) Sinopoli et al. [2012\)](#page-41-0). Therefore, several authors consider these coastal areas nursery habitats for a few months until December, when fish leave the region, as the water temperatures decrease below 18 °C (Galea [1961;](#page-36-0) Iglesias et al. [1994;](#page-37-0) Massutí and Morales-Nin 1995; Besbes Benseddik et al. [1999;](#page-35-0) Vella [1999](#page-41-0); Andaloro et al. [2007](#page-34-0)). It is during the juvenile phases, at the end of summer and autumn, when coastal artisanal vessels intensively exploit the species.

Early stage biology, ecology and recruitment

Biomass fluctuations in short-lived species such as dolphinfish are highly dependent on recruitment (Fréon et al. [2005](#page-36-0); Ruiz et al. [2013](#page-40-0)). The meristic characteristics and morphology of the different stages of the eggs and larvae have been exhaustively described (Mito [1960](#page-39-0); Ditty et al. [1994](#page-36-0); Moser [1996](#page-39-0); Alemany and Massuti [1998;](#page-34-0) Ditty [2001](#page-36-0); Alemany et al. [2010;](#page-34-0) Rodríguez et al. [2017;](#page-40-0) Perrichon et al. [2019\)](#page-40-0). This species has been the object of aquaculture interest since the 1970s, which has allowed the generation of the first laboratory-derived data about the early life stages (Kraul [1989\)](#page-37-0). The recent oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico has boosted the experimental research on the direct and interactive effects of oil on several aspects of the physiology and development of this species, including effects on cardiac muscle, sensory development, oxygen consumption or mortality of larvae and juveniles. This led to the compilation of a life table that condenses much of the experimental knowledge on the morphology, physiology, behavior and molecular biology of dolphinfish throughout its development (Perrichon et al. [2019\)](#page-40-0). Further studies have analyzed the effects of climate

change on the early life stages. Pimentel et al. [\(2014](#page-40-0)) showed that the increased acidification projected by the end of the century would reduce the oxygen consumption rate by up to 17%, swimming duration by 50% and orientation frequency by 62.5%. The mass specific respiration (nmol O₂ µg M⁻¹ h⁻¹, where Md is µg of fresh mass) based on this paper shows values of 0.1015 (Peck and Moyano [2016](#page-40-0)). Bignami et al. ([2014](#page-35-0)) showed significant positive temperature-dependent effects of the projected acidification on growth and otolith at size, and negative effects on swimming velocity. All these data may be biased because they refer to particular stocks or derive from single-factor experiments. As rec-ognized in Catalán et al. [\(2019\)](#page-35-0), it is necessary to compare data from populations in different areas to account for phenotypic or genetic adaptation, and to analyze interactions between experimental drivers.

The compiled field data show that larvae are present in a narrower thermal range than adults and juveniles. The temperature records are concentrated between $18\degree$ C and $30\degree$ C [\(Figure 1](#page-4-0)), which is clearly linked with the reproductive data (see reproduction section). Previously published data show that individuals are present throughout the warm season regardless of the region of origin (see [Table 1\)](#page-6-0), varying in each ocean to adapt to approximately these ranges. The seasonal pattern of larval occurrence has been described for the western Atlantic (Ditty et al. [1994](#page-36-0); Kitchens and Rooker [2014](#page-37-0)), coinciding with further records by other authors (Wells and Rooker [2009](#page-41-0); Habtes et al. [2014\)](#page-37-0). These patterns have also been described in non-tropical areas of the western Pacific (Ozawa and Tsukahara [1971](#page-39-0); Yoo et al. [1999](#page-41-0); Huh et al. [2013](#page-37-0); Park et al. [2017\)](#page-39-0), the central Pacific (Hyde et al. [2005](#page-37-0)), the eastern Pacific (Norton [1999](#page-39-0); Sánchez [2008\)](#page-40-0) and E-SW Australia (Kingsford and Defries [1999\)](#page-37-0). The few published larval records in the Mediterranean Sea come from the NW and central Mediterranean and were captured in spring and early summer. Most records correspond to recently hatched larvae (3.25–4.95 mm standard length (SL)), which have been captured at very low densities in the Balearic Islands (Alemany and Massuti [1998](#page-34-0); Alemany et al. [2006](#page-34-0); García and Alemany [2011](#page-37-0)), in the Adriatic Sea (Dulčić, [1999\)](#page-36-0) and on the eastern coast of Tunisia (Koched et al. [2011\)](#page-37-0). There were additional larval records used in [Figure 1,](#page-4-0) all of which were collected in the NW Mediterranean (Alemany, unpublished).

Despite the rapid increase in the available molecular and toxicological information of this species, there is a need to increase the amount of data on physiology, behavior and field-derived information (other

 $\ddot{ }$

than temperature) to build robust models for understanding the ecology of early stages. In the Gulf of Mexico, Kitchens and Rooker ([2014\)](#page-37-0) identified a significant association of larvae with frontal areas with higher salinities and (relatively) cooler temperatures, but this kind of information is virtually absent for other areas, including the Mediterranean, and is much needed in the framework of assessing environmental effects on species dynamics.

Diet, competition and predation

The reviewed information regarding the C. hippurus diet is summarized in the [Table 2](#page-10-0). The Pacific Ocean is the richest region for contributions about dolphinfish diet, with a total of 13 publications, while the Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea are represented by six publications, and Indian Ocean (Arabian Sea) is represented by four.

Diet composition

The common dolphinfish is as an active and opportunistic top predator even in early life stages. Finfish were present in 100% of the studies analyzed and represented 63.4–75.1% (either in number or in weight percentage) of the prey present in stomach contents ([Figure 2a](#page-13-0)). The flying fish (Exocoetidae), which was cited in 48.3% of the publications reviewed, is the most commonly ingested finfish and was present in all dolphinfish diets worldwide [\(Figure 2b\)](#page-13-0), although its presence in the stomach contents of dolphinfish from the Arabian Sea and Mediterranean Sea was considerably lower than that in the other oceans (<5%). The presence of this epipelagic prey confirms the intensive use of surface waters. Despite early studies hypothesizing that the dolphinfish actively selects flying fish (Gibbs and Collette [1959;](#page-37-0) Rose and Hassler [1974](#page-40-0)), formal analyses of this selectivity do not exist, and the general consensus is that it is an opportunistic feeder (Oxenford and Hunte [2015a;](#page-39-0) Varghese et al. [2013](#page-41-0); Besbes Benseddik et al. 2015; Varela et al. [2017\)](#page-41-0), although temporal, geographical and size bias may exist (see next subsection). Other relatively frequently consumed fish comprise the order Clupeiforms, mainly the Clupeidae and Engraulidae families (37.9% of reviewed literature), small Carangidae (27.6%) and Scombridae (27.6.0%). These families are almost exclusively pelagic and often represent the penultimate level of the pelagic trophic web (Stergiou and Karpouzi [2001](#page-41-0)). Their importance in the diet of large pelagic species has been previously reported (Fromentin and Powers [2005](#page-36-0); Nikolic et al. [2017\)](#page-39-0). Tetraodontiform fish (mainly Monacanthidae and Balistidae) were are also

represented in 31.0% of reviewed literature. Although individuals of these families are normally necto-benthonic, they are also associated with sargassum mats and with natural or anthropogenic floating objects, such as FAD (Dempster and Taquet [2004](#page-36-0); Andaloro et al. [2007;](#page-34-0) Sinopoli et al. [2011\)](#page-41-0). This led to the hypothesis that dolphinfish forage near the floating objects (Castriota et al. [2007](#page-35-0)), which has been strengthened by the presence of sargassum in stomach contents (Rose and Hassler [1974](#page-40-0); Manooch et al. [1984](#page-38-0); Oxenford and Hunte [1999](#page-39-0); Varghese et al. [2013](#page-41-0); Brewton et al. [2016](#page-35-0)). Nevertheless, other benthic fish present in the dolphinfish diet could be incorporated during the pelagic stages of their life cycle, including juvenile Mullidae (Upeneus besasi) (Sakamoto and Taniguchi [1993\)](#page-40-0) or the flying gurnard (Dactylopterus volitans) (Oxenford and Hunte [1999\)](#page-39-0). Adult benthonic fish (Sparidae, Congridae, Mugilidae and Dactylopteridae) found in the stomach contents of Tunisian dolphinfish (Besbes Benseddik et al. 2015) could be attributed to direct foraging on the seabed underneath FAD located in coastal and shallow waters, where dolphinfish have been caught.

Crustaceans appeared in 44.8% of the literature and contributed from 10.9% up to 31.2% (either in number or in weight percentage) of the C. hippurus diet, although most individuals could not be identified. These figures are similar in other large pelagic fishes, playing a role in opportunistic feeding (Fromentin and Powers [2005;](#page-36-0) Torres-Rojas et al. [2014;](#page-41-0) Nikolic et al. [2017](#page-39-0)). Cephalopods account for 4.5–13.1% of the dolphinfish diet (either in number or weight percentage), and for crustaceans, a large number of unidentified individuals have been documented. This group appeared in 34.5% of the literature on diet; hence, the relative contribution to the diet is low compared to other pelagic fish predators (see references above). This is probably due to the surface habits of the dolphinfish, which would reduce the probability of encountering cephalopods that tend to live at greater depths.

Variation of diet across scales, ontogeny and sex

The dolphinfish uses different visual and active feeding strategies (Nunes et al. [2015](#page-39-0)). The data on feeding activity suggest a preference for day-time feeding (Massutí et al. [1998\)](#page-38-0), although a small proportion of night-time feeding was initially suggested based on the presence of some mesopelagic prey species that undergo daily vertical migrations (Massutí et al. [1998](#page-38-0); Oxenford and Hunte [1999](#page-39-0)). This was later confirmed through the analysis of diel feeding periodicity (Olson and Galván-Magaña [2002](#page-39-0)).

(Continued)

Table 2. Published diet composition of dolphinfish. Table 2. Published diet composition of dolphinfish.

(Continued)

Table 2. Continued. Table 2. Continued.

W = Weight (%); N = Number (%); V = Volume (%); NI = Not identified; NR = Not reported. *Bannister [1976](#page-35-0) only reported diet information of 20 from 420 individuals sampled. REVIEWS IN FISHERIES SCIENCE & AQUACULTURE (S) 387

Early information on the diet of early dolphinfish life stages (Palko et al. [1982](#page-39-0) and references therein) showed the relevance of copepods for larvae and early juveniles. Since then, ten contributions have highlighted the variations in diet along with dolphinfish size (Manooch et al. [1984](#page-38-0); Sakamoto and Taniguchi [1993](#page-40-0); Massutí et al. [1998;](#page-38-0) Castriota et al. [2007](#page-35-0); Tripp-Valdez et al. [2010;](#page-41-0) Varghese et al. [2013;](#page-41-0) Torres-Rojas et al. [2014;](#page-41-0) Besbes Benseddik et al. 2015; Brewton et al. [2016;](#page-35-0) Varela et al. [2017\)](#page-41-0). The data in these studies comprise dolphinfish sizes ranging from 11 cm in SL to 153 cm in furcal length (FL) and reported substantial dietary changes throughout ontogeny. Four contributions reported a shift from crustacean-based diets in small individuals to fin fish-based diets in larger dolphinfish. The importance of crustaceans, such as hyperiids or megalopas, during the transition from larval feeding strategies to fish-based diets in juveniles has been supported (Manooch et al. [1984](#page-38-0) ; Massutí et al. [1998](#page-38-0); Castriota et al. et al. 2007; Tripp-Valdez et al. [2010](#page-41-0)). Other contributions have reported changes in diet from small fish to larger prey (Sakamoto and Taniguchi [1993;](#page-40-0) Varghese et al. [2013;](#page-41-0) Besbes Benseddik et al. 2015; Varela et al. [2017\)](#page-41-0). These changes are expected in the context of a species that needs to maintain very high growth rates and are consistent with other large pelagic fish (Sinopoli et al. [2004](#page-41-0); Fromentin and Powers [2005](#page-36-0) ; Nikolic et al. [2017\)](#page-39-0).

The ontogenetic trophic level of the dolphinfish was calculated based on prey items and distinguished among size ranges according to the original sources [\(Table 3](#page-13-0)). The mean trophic level increased from 4 ± 0.60 for small individuals to 4.5 ± 0.70 for larger individuals. Smaller individuals from the Mediterranean and Atlantic showed lower trophic levels compared with other oceans and seas $(3.6 \pm 0.53$ and 3.7 ± 0.57 , respectively), while larger individuals showed similar values in all regions. These values are comparable to other works and with those that used stable isotopes (Torres-Rojas et al. [2014](#page-41-0)), but the detected regional differences should be taken into account in potential food-web studies.

The dependence of diet on FAD has been assessed in several areas (Bannister [1976](#page-35-0); Sakamoto and Taniguchi [1993](#page-40-0); Massutí et al. [1998](#page-38-0); Deudero, [2001](#page-36-0); Olson and Galván-Magaña [2002;](#page-39-0) Dempster, [2004](#page-36-0); Castriota et al. [2007](#page-35-0); Besbes Benseddik et al. 2015), without clear dietary differences between the FADassociated and non-associated individuals. It seems reasonable that dolphinfish do not use floating objects as their main feeding grounds because food availability would deplete very rapidly. Paradoxically, prey that

Figure 2. Mean frequency (%, both abundances and biomass are treated the same) of different prey items for each ocean in the surveyed literature summarized in the [Table 2.](#page-10-0) (A) Main prey categories. (B) Main fish families.

Table 3. Prey Items and trophic level (TL ± standard error) calculated from bibliography where differences in diet among small and large dolphinfish individuals are reported. The diet is described according to the original sources (Manooch et al. [1984;](#page-38-0) Sakamoto and Taniguchi, [1993](#page-40-0); Massutí et al. [1998;](#page-38-0) Castriota et al. [2007;](#page-35-0) Tripp-Valdez et al. [2010;](#page-41-0) Varghese et al. [2013](#page-41-0); Torres-Rojas et al. [2014;](#page-41-0) Besbes Benseddik et al. [2015a;](#page-35-0) Brewton et al. [2016;](#page-35-0) Varela et al. [2017\)](#page-41-0).

Ocean/Sea	Number of references	Size-range of small dolphinfish (Total length (cm))	Diet of small individuals	Size-range of large dolphinfish (Total length (cm))	Diet of large individuals	Trophic level of small individuals	Trophic level of large individuals
Atlantic		$25 - 50$	Crustaceans, Carangids, Brachyurans	60-150	Monacanthids, Tetraodontids,	3.7 ± 0.57	4.5 ± 0.8
Pacific	4	40-80	Fish juveniles, Crustaceans, Clupeids	80-150	Mid-sized fish, Cephalopods	4.0 ± 0.67	4.5 ± 0.5
Mediterranean	3	$20 - 40$	Amphipods, Decapods, Crustaceans, Clupeids	50-80	Fishes	3.6 ± 0.5	4.5 ± 0.8
Arabic		30-115	Fish juveniles	115-135	Cephalopods	4.5 ± 0.8	4.5 ± 0.3
TOTAL	10					4.0 ± 0.6	4.5 ± 0.7

Factors other than life stage and time of day can explain the variation in reported dolphinfish diets. Some studies have reported sex-related variation in the Mediterranean Sea, the Atlantic Ocean and the Indian Ocean, but few have reported significant differences. Castriota et al. ([2007\)](#page-35-0) reported that females feed on crustaceans in a higher proportion than males, while Varghese et al. [\(2013](#page-41-0)) described a higher presence of fish in the diets of female individuals, while males tended to feed on cephalopods. Some of these differences may be attributable to the difference in spatial distribution between the males and females (Rose and Hassler [1974](#page-40-0)). Furthermore, some effects attributable to seasonality and/or regions could be just the result of a shifting diet throughout life (Manooch et al. [1984](#page-38-0); Olson and Galván-Magaña [2002](#page-39-0); Castriota et al. [2007](#page-35-0); Rudershausen et al. [2010](#page-40-0); Varela et al. [2017\)](#page-41-0). Unless they feed close to large productive areas, which is not the case in many populations, a plausible feeding strategy for optimizing high juvenile growth (see the corresponding chapter) is through exploitation of coastal environments where the benthic compartment is close to the surface.

Competition and predation

Interspecific competition for food with many other pelagic predators, such as tunas, marlins or swordfish, may occur, although the effects on the survival of the species remain unknown. On the other hand, a vast number of fish species predate on several stages of the dolphinfish life cycle (Kojima [1961](#page-37-0); Beardsley [1967;](#page-35-0) Shcherbachev [1973](#page-40-0); Rose and Hassler [1974;](#page-40-0) Palko et al. [1982](#page-39-0)). In Atlantic waters, early stages of dolphinfish were found in the stomach contents of longfin tuna (Murphy [1914\)](#page-39-0), yellow-fin tuna (Sund and Girigorie [1966\)](#page-41-0) and the great blue marlin (Farrington [1949\)](#page-36-0). According to Gorbunova ([1969](#page-37-0)), dolphinfish larvae are an important food source for swordfish larvae in the Indian and Pacific oceans. Takahashi and Mori [\(1973](#page-41-0)) reported that in Pacific waters, the main predators are blue marlin, black marlin, yellowfin tuna and sailfish, whereas along the western coast of Africa, the main predators of dolphinfish are yellowfin tuna (Dragovich and Potthoff [1972](#page-36-0)). In addition, the phenomenon of cannibalism has also been reported by some authors in different regions, such as in the western Atlantic (Rose and Hassler [1974\)](#page-40-0), along the

coasts of the USA (Manooch et al. [1984](#page-38-0)) and Brazil (Zavala-Camin [1986](#page-42-0)); along the Japanese coast of the Pacific Ocean (Sakamoto and Taniguchi [1993\)](#page-40-0) and in the Mediterranean Sea (Bannister [1976](#page-35-0)).

Overall, the literature shows evidence of bias due to the sampling methods/season on diet, although there is a vast amount of dietary data across regions and for different life stages. Scientists should aim for more quantitative data on predation on dolphinfish across stages and seas, to obtain a clearer picture of natural mortality and the role of dolphinfish within food webs.

Age and growth

Dolphinfish present one of the highest growth rates in teleost fish. This fact elicited the interest in this species for aquaculture that enabled the estimation of direct growth rates in laboratory conditions. The analysis of wild populations requires, however, the development of methods to evaluate the age at sub-annual scales (e.g., seasonal or daily growth increments) because many fisheries target age-0 individuals. Available age estimates are based on the reading of rings in calcified structures (CS) (otoliths, scales and vertebrae) as well as from length-frequency analysis. Palko et al. ([1982](#page-39-0)) and Oxenford [\(1999\)](#page-39-0) conducted early reviews on the growth parameters of dolphinfish. More recently, Chang and Maunder ([2012](#page-36-0)) noted that a significant ageing bias exists that depends on the status and type of the ageing materials/samples used as well as on the regional growth differences.

Ageing methods

In this work, the aging information has been critically reviewed according to the geographical area, method used and validation method applied and is summarized in the [Tables 4](#page-15-0)–[6.](#page-16-0)

Calcified structures: otoliths, vertebrae, scales and dorsal spines. Sagittal otoliths have a complex shape and are small and fragile. Sagittal and transversal sections were used to identify the daily growth increments (DGI) of juvenile dolphinfish up to fish of 65 cm FL. This method produced a significant underestimation of age when used to age larger fish (Massutí et al. [1999](#page-38-0); Benseddik et al. 2011; Chang and Maunder [2012](#page-36-0); Gatt et al. [2015](#page-37-0)). These authors attributed the bias in ageing large individuals from DGI in otoliths to the preparation methodology and the equipment used for the readings. Despite the relevant development of optical equipment in recent years and

ັ	Length	$L\infty$						Age		
Study area	range (FL cm)	(cm)	K (yr^{-1})	t_0	Phi	Sex	Method	validation	ID	References
Strait of Florida	45-132.5	167.00	0.53		4.17	M	Age determination on scales	N _O		Beardsley (1967)
Strait of Florida	45-132.5	135.00	0.62		4.05	F	Age determination on scales	NO.		Beardsley (1967)
Strait of Florida	45-132.5	165.00	0.68	0.16	4.27	$M + F$	Age determination on scales	N _O	3	Beardsley (1967)
N Carolina		159.70	0.40	-0.96	4.01		Age determination on scales	NO		Rose and Hassler (1968)
Barbados		143.60	2.87		4.77		DGI otoliths	N _O		Oxenford and Hunte (1983)
St. Lucía	69-167	236.10	0.53	0.17	4.47		Length progression analysis	NO	6	Murray $(1985)^2$
Barbados		131.50	3.49		4.78	$M + F$				Oxenford $(1985)^3$
Barbados		137.10	5.24		4.99	M				Oxenford (1985) ³
Barbados		132.90	3.43		4.78	F			9	Oxenford (1985) ³
Gulf of Mexico	27-132	194.00	1.12	0.03	4.62		DGI otoliths	N _O	10	Bentivoglio (1988) ³
Gulf of Mexico	$27 - 132$	142.70	3.13		4.80		DGI otoliths	N _O	11	Bentivoglio (1988) ³
S Africa		156.00	1.04		4.40				12	Torres and Pauly (1991)
Barbados		122.10	3.43	0.06	4.71	F	DGI otoliths	NO.	13	Oxenford $(1999)^4$
Barbados		126.00	5.24	0.09	4.92	M	DGI otoliths	NO.	14	Oxenford (1999) ⁴
Barbados		120.80	3.49	0.06	4.71	$M + F$	DGI otoliths	N _O	15	Oxenford $(1999)^4$
Puerto Rico	38.1-147.9	145.70	2.19	-0.05	4.67	$M + F$	DGI otoliths	NO.	16	Rivera and Appeldoorn (2000)
Puerto Rico	38.1-147.9	138.00	2.55	0.02	4.69	M	DGI otoliths	NO.	17	Rivera and Appeldoorn (2000)
Puerto Rico	38.1-147.9	150.60	1.82	-0.09	4.62	F	DGI otoliths	NO.	18	Rivera and Appeldoorn (2000)
N Carolina	8.9-145.1	128.60	1.33	-0.02	4.34	M	DGI on sagitta otoliths and scales	NO.	19	Schwenke and Buckel (2008)
N Carolina	8.9-145.1	125.00	1.24	-0.06	4.29	F	DGI on sagitta otoliths and scales	N _O	20	Schwenke and Buckel (2008)
N Carolina	8.9-145.1	128.90	1.27	-0.03	4.32	$M + F$	DGI on sagitta otoliths and scales	NO	21	Schwenke and Buckel (2008)
Brasil	7.7-195	194.10	0.91	0.08	4.54	$M + F$	DGI on sagitta otoliths and scales	NO.	22	Lessa and Santana (2016)

Table 4. Von Berttalanfy growth equation parameters estimated for the Atlantic Ocean. ID: identification number used in [Figure 3](#page-20-0).

¹ Extracted from Rivera and Appeldoorn ([2000](#page-40-0)).

² Extracted from Oxenford ([1999](#page-39-0)).

³ Extracted from Chang et al. [\(2013\)](#page-35-0).

⁴ Extracted from Alejo-Plata, Gómez-Márquez, et al. ([2011](#page-34-0)).

Table 5. Von Berttalanfy growth equation parameters estimated for the Mediterranean Sea. ID: identification number used in [Figure 3](#page-20-0).

	Length range							Age		
Study area	$(FL \ cm)$	$L\infty$ (cm)	$K (yr^{-1})$	t_0	Phi	Sex	Method	validation	ID	References
Mallorca	16.5-58.5	87.75	1.71	-0.04	4.12	F	DGI on sagitta, lapillus and vertebrae	NO	23	Morales-Nin et al. (1999)
Mallorca	16.5-58.5	81.59	2.45	0.01	4.21	M	DGI on sagitta, lapillus and vertebrae	NO.	24	Morales-Nin et al. (1999)
E Sicily	17.2-72	60.84	4.71	0.02	4.24	F	DGI on sagitta, lapillus and vertebrae	NO.	25	Morales-Nin et al. (1999)
E Sicily	17.2-72	56.74	7.78	0.07	4.40	M	DGI on sagitta, lapillus and vertebrae	NO.	26	Morales-Nin et al. (1999)
W Sicily	17.2-72	48.26	9.94	0.11	4.36	F	DGI on sagitta, lapillus and vertebrae	NO.	27	Morales-Nin et al. (1999)
W Sicily	17.2-72	58.25	4.31	0.02	4.17	M	DGI on sagitta, lapillus and vertebrae	NO.	28	Morales-Nin et al. (1999)
Mallorca	$18 - 70$	72.40	2.50		4.12	$M + F$	Modal progression analysis	YES*	29	Massutí et al. (1999)
Mallorca	18-70	74.80	2.50		4.15	$M + F$	Modal progression analysis	YES [*]	30	Massutí et al. (1999)
Mallorca	14.4-124	110.00	1.56	0.01	4.28	F	DGI otoliths	YES*	31	Massutí et al. (1999)
Mallorca	14.4-124	98.70	2.06	0.02	4.30	M	DGI otoliths	YES [*]	32	Massutí et al. (1999)
Mallorca	14.4-124	102.40	1.90	0.02	4.30	$M + F$	DGI otoliths	YES*	33	Massutí et al. (1999)
Tunisia	24-65	100.50	1.42	0.05	4.16	$M + F$	DGI otoliths	N _O	34	Besbes Benseddik et al. (2011)
Tunisia	24-65	97.50	1.50	0.05	4.15	F	DGI otoliths	N _O	35	Besbes Benseddik et al. (2011)
Tunisia	24-65	100.50	1.43	0.04	4.16	M	DGI otoliths	NO.	36	Besbes Benseddik et al. (2011)
Malta	10.5-131	107.80	1.90		4.34	M	DGI otoliths	NO.	37	Gatt et al. (2015)
Malta	10.5-131	120.20	1.56		4.35	F	DGI otoliths	N _O	38	Gatt et al. (2015)

Direct validation by larval culture, modal progression analysis and back calculation of hatch dates.

improvements in reading transversal sections rather than sagittal sections, Chang and Maunder [\(2012](#page-36-0)) and Furukawa et al. ([2012\)](#page-36-0) still recommend standardizing inter-laboratory methodologies to properly determine the daily age and make it comparable between readers and regions, especially for large individuals.

Lapilli otoliths are flat and oval, with a smaller size than sagittae. Their increments are similar to those of the sagittal otoliths, although their periodicity has not been validated; hence, they are rarely used in aging studies after the larval period (Brothers, [1987\)](#page-35-0). In the dolphinfish, the lapilli are almond-shaped, and their DGI are read in the postrostrum radius. Lapilli were used to age Mediterranean dolphinfish from 26 to 53 cm FL, yielding ages between 74 and 136 increments (Morales-Nin et al. [1999\)](#page-39-0).

parameters estimated for the Pacific and Indian Oceans. ID: identification number used in Figure 3. Table 6. Von Berttalanfy growth equation parameters estimated for the Pacific and Indian Oceans. ID: identification number used in [Figure](#page-20-0) 3. atio $\frac{1}{2}$ Rarttalanfu

Region	Length measure	Age $0+$	Age 1	Age 2	Age 3	Age 4	References
Mediterranean Sea	FL range cm		F65-110	73-120	92-124		Massutí
	Mean cm (SD)		87.95 (10.15)	97.54 (10.95)	108.73 (10.17)		et al. (1999)
N Carolina (W Atlantic)	FL range cm		57.5-143.5	92.5-145.1	109.5-133.4		Schwenke and
	Mean cm (SE)		93.8 (0.98)	119.7 (17.3)	124.9 (17.9)		Buckel (2008)
N Carolina (W Atlantic)	Mean cm		65.3	92.4	118.7		Rose and Hassler (1968)
Strait of Florida (W Atlantic)	Mean cm		72.5	117.5	142.4 (1 ind.)	152.5 (1 ind.)	Beardsley (1967)
Gulf of Tehuantepec	Male: FL range cm	$37 - 54$	57-84	89-114	96-124	120-135	Alejo-Plata
(E Pacific)	Mean cm (SD)	43 (6.71)	57.7 (12.19)	103.9 (10.49)	118.8 (6.94)	125.9 (4.91)	et al. (2011a)
	Female: FL range cm	26-59	46-76	91-114	104-120	120-135	
	Mean cm (SD)	46.9 (10.58)	58.4 (9.46)	103.7 (7.32)	114.4 (3.97)	125.5 (3.97)	
NE China Sea	FL range (cm)	41.2-112.4	41.2-112.4	41.2-112.4			Furukawa
(W Pacific)							et al. (2012)

Table 7. Dolphinfish age-size classes determined by scale interpretation. When not indicated, values are for both sexes combined.

Vertebrae from the tail have been used in the Mediterranean to ascertain the presence of DGI in juvenile fish and compared with lapillus and sagittal otoliths. The statistical analysis of the ages determined using otoliths and vertebrae showed that the vertebrae of fish over 45 cm FL yielded younger ages than the otoliths. Therefore, Morales-Nin et al. ([1999\)](#page-39-0) considered vertebrae unsuitable for ageing juvenile dolphinfish. Although the formation of the growth increments in vertebrae does not seem to be daily, it is likely that seasonal marks appear in fish older than one year, similar to other fishes like Atlantic bluefin tuna (T. thynnus) (Neilson and Campana [2008](#page-39-0)), but this has not been demonstrated for dolphinfish.

The factors involved in the regulation of growth marks in scales are the same as in other CS; they show annual growth rhythms, although no accurate infra-annual cycles have been validated directly. Beardsley [\(1967](#page-35-0)) and Rose and Hassler [\(1968](#page-40-0)) performed the first works on dolphinfish scales and assumed the check marks on scales to be true annuli. Beardsley ([1967\)](#page-35-0) determined four age groups for dolphinfish in the Straits of Florida (size range from 45 to 132.5 cm FL), but from the 511 dolphinfish examined, only one individual corresponded to age group III and one to age group IV. Rose and Hassler ([1968\)](#page-40-0) determined 3 age classes for the dolphinfish in North Carolina waters, with only 8 individuals belonging to age class III (Table 7).

The seasonal marks in the cycloid scales of Mediterranean adult fish (size range 65–124 cm FL) resulted in the identification of three age classes with interpretable scales in 93% of the fish examined (Massutí et al. [1999\)](#page-38-0). These authors concluded that scales are the best method for aging adult fish because the DGI in the otoliths caused age underestimates. Schwenke and Buckel ([2008\)](#page-40-0), for the dolphinfish in North Carolina waters, also described three age classes and had a consistent interpretation of the scales, with

69% agreement in three readings. They validated the nature of the seasonal growth increments using the marginal growth progression, with maximum growth during summer. In Brazilian waters, Lessa and Santana ([2016](#page-37-0)) found no clear seasonal growth patterns in the scale marginal increments, which led to the conclusion that they were not adequate for age estimation. Similarly, Gatt et al. ([2015](#page-37-0)) did not find any clear seasonal growth in Maltese dolphinfish scales and concluded that they underestimate age. In addition, Shung [\(1987\)](#page-41-0) and Lessa and Santana ([2016](#page-37-0)) found up to eight macro-increments in scales. These age estimations are above the data detailed in the Table 7. Lessa and Santana [\(2016\)](#page-37-0) mentioned that the periodicity of increment deposition was inconclusive, and this maximum number of increments may be an overestimation of the "non-validated ages".

Only one study in the central Mediterranean Sea (Gatt et al. [2015](#page-37-0)) used dorsal spines to age adult dolphinfish (>65 cm FL). The longest dorsal spine offered the best results. The authors clearly identified broad and narrow bands radiating outwards from the central core and assigned annual annuli to the narrow bands that were visible around the entire circumference of the spine. Two independent readers identified identical counts in 90% of the cases. They estimated 3-year classes, but as they did not apply marginal increment radius analysis, they could not validate the age.

Age validation. The methods applied for age validation depended on the age range considered, and it was somewhat biased because most studies analyzed juveniles through daily growth increments. Direct validation using mark and recaptured individuals is lacking, due to the high sensitivity of the species to manipulation. Only two studies used fish reared in captivity to assign the number of DGI to the real age. Both studies validated the daily nature of DGI in larvae and juvenile fish and determined the start of the

formation of the increments from the hatching day (Uchiyama et al. [1986](#page-41-0); Massutí et al. [1999\)](#page-38-0). The rest assumed the daily periodicity of the DGI.

The daily formation of otolith increments enables the back-calculation of the hatch-date distributions of dolphinfish by subtracting the age in days from the date of capture (Uchiyama et al. [1986](#page-41-0); Massutí et al. [1999\)](#page-38-0). Hatch dates determined from the otolith reading can be compared with the known spawning period and may be an indirect age validation method (Massutí et al. [1999\)](#page-38-0). The application of the method may be limited to some locations, because reports of multiple spawning behaviors exist, mainly near the tropics (Oxenford [1999;](#page-39-0) see reproduction section). In addition, this kind of validation should consider the expected interannual variations in spawning (Dempster [2004\)](#page-36-0).

The monthly growth progression of the annuli laid in the edge can be followed when using seasonal structures on CS. This indirect validation method must show a period of maximum growth of the annuli followed by a decreasing growth or change in the nature of the increment deposited (i.e., discontinuities in the circulii, changes in opacity of the spines). If these growth rhythms are seasonal, the periodicity is determined. Various approaches can be followed: measuring the last increment width against the previous increment (Beardsley [1967](#page-35-0)) or applying marginal increment analysis (MI) (Alejo-Plata, et al. [2011](#page-34-0); Furukawa et al. [2012;](#page-36-0) Gatt et al. [2015\)](#page-37-0) using the following equation (Lai and Liu [1979\)](#page-37-0):

$$
MI = \frac{R - r_n}{r_n - r_{n-1}} \tag{1}
$$

where R is the overall radius from the focus to the outer edge of the CS, r_n is the radius from the focus to the outer edge of each annulus and r_{n-1} is the radius from the focus to the previous r_n annulus. This method, however, was not successful for spines due to their irregular shape (Gatt et al. [2015](#page-37-0)).

Several studies have examined the use of the growth increments in pairs of CS (i.e., scales and otoliths; scales and spines) to corroborate the determined ages. These approximations do not validate the temporal meaning of the growth structures, so they are not true validation methods (Panfili and Morales-Nin [2002](#page-39-0)).

Length-based studies. The works using cohort analysis to determine growth are included in the [Tables](#page-15-0) [4](#page-15-0)–[6](#page-16-0). The two studies from the Indian Ocean used length progression analysis. In the Pacific, 53.8% of the literature reviewed used length-frequency analysis or a combination of similar methods instead of otolith

interpretation (38.5%), while in the Atlantic and Mediterranean, there was a predominance of studies based on the DGI on otoliths (72.7 and 100% of the bibliography, respectively). Length-based methods work well for dolphinfish, particularly in the Mediterranean, where the spawning period is relatively short (2–3 months), as reported in the reproduction section, which results in discrete modes in their size distributions. This method is limited due to the high mobility of the species after maturation, which poses difficulties in correcting the assignment of cohorts.

Growth rates and growth parameters

Many studies report daily (linear) estimates of growth ranging from 0.49 mm SL d^{-1} to 9.66 mm SL d^{-1} and are highly dependent on the length (age) range considered ([Table 8\)](#page-19-0). Oxenford ([1999\)](#page-39-0) reviewed growth rates for first-year dolphinfish from the western central Atlantic and reported rates from 1.43 to 4.71 mm d^{-1} , similar to data from the Pacific, ranging from 2 mm FL d^{-1} to 5.9 mm FL d^{-1} [\(Table 8](#page-19-0)). Newer data yielded comparable values, with an expected slowing in the growth rates after maturity (Gatt et al. [2015;](#page-37-0) Lessa and Santana [2016\)](#page-37-0). Furthermore, differences between male and female growth existed, with males generally growing faster (Oxenford [1999\)](#page-39-0). In the Mediterranean Sea, linear growth for immature fish ranged from 2.11 mm FL d^{-1} for fish from 24–65 cm FL to 5.1 mm FL d^{-1} for fish from 36 to 60 cm FL ([Table 8](#page-19-0)). The highest growth rates were reported for captive fish (data extracted from Oxenford [\(1999\)](#page-39-0)), which is an unusual observation for pelagic fish and suggests possible food-limited growth in the wild.

The typical method for inferring patterns of fish growth relies on a sample of a broad size range of individuals from the population, for which the age is determined from their CS. Numerous studies have applied this approach using the von Bertalanffy growth equation [\(Tables 4](#page-15-0)–[6\)](#page-16-0). Although this widely applied equation has a strong physiological basis (Longhurst and Pauly [1987](#page-38-0)), it should be applied only if most of the life span is covered, which is not accomplished in most dolphinfish studies, where the fished population consists of age-0 individuals. Solano-Fernández et al. ([2015\)](#page-41-0) showed that the Gompertz model better fits the growth pattern for juvenile individuals of this species.

Some known biases related to the estimation of growth parameters include sex (often pooled) and length units; in this species, the tail is curved, and body length is reported either as standard length (SL), fork length (FL), or total length (TL). These aspects

Table 8. Published daily growth rates of dolphinfish.

¹ Extracted from Oxenford & Hunte ([1983](#page-39-0)).
² Extracted from Uchivama et al. (1986).

Extracted from Uchiyama et al. [\(1986](#page-41-0)).

³ Extracted from Rivera and Appeldoorn ([2000](#page-40-0)).

⁴Extracted from Chang et al. (2013) .

⁵Extracted from Oxenford ([1999](#page-39-0)).

are included in the [Tables 4](#page-15-0)–[6](#page-16-0). These tables compile growth parameters derived from populations ranging from 0.95 cm TL to 197 cm FL, but the majority of the lengths considered were of intermediate sizes, which was probably related to the fishing technique.

Larger sizes have been reported for the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans (197 cm and 195 cm FL, respectively), probably due to captures using long lines and hand lines. In the Mediterranean, the length range is more restricted (10.5–131 cm FL). These length ranges

would yield estimated ages varying from one month to a maximum of approximately five years (Furukawa et al. [2012](#page-36-0)). This is higher than four years, which is the maximum life span suggested for this species (Benetti et al. [1995;](#page-35-0) Lessa and Santana, [2016;](#page-37-0) Massutí et al. [1999;](#page-38-0) Oxenford [1999](#page-39-0) and references therein; Palko et al. [1982](#page-39-0) and references therein; Schwenke and Buckel [2008\)](#page-40-0), and contrasts with the average estimated longevity of less than two years (Oxenford [1999](#page-39-0)).

The reported growth curves in the four regions were compared using the phi (ø) growth performance index (Munro and Pauly [1983](#page-39-0)) (Equation 2), which is based on the high inverse correlation of the von Bertalanffy growth parameters $L\infty$ and k as follows:

$$
\emptyset = 2\ln(L\infty) + \ln(k) \tag{2}
$$

Plots of ø vs $L\infty$ showed a large dispersion for the ø of Atlantic data calculated using CS (Figure 3a). L ∞ showed a wide variation from 48.26 to 236.1 cm FL regardless of the estimation method. The dependence of the parameters on the length range was clear in the lower estimates of $L\infty$ in the Mediterranean studies.

The simultaneous 95% confidence region (SCR) for the growth parameters, which was calculated as in Chang et al. ([2013\)](#page-35-0), showed different ellipses in the plot of negative ln K against $L\infty$ (Figure 3b). Therefore, there was notable differentiation in the growth patterns for the different regions, which was more pronounced in the Mediterranean than in

Figure 3. (A) Relationship between ø (phi) and $L\infty$ (Linf) depending on the dolphinfish geographic area (shapes) and the method used to calculate the von Bertalanffy parameters (colours). (B) Relationship between $log(L\infty)$ and $-log(K)$ of the von Bertalanffy growth equation parameters provided in the [Tables 4](#page-15-0)–[6](#page-16-0), with the 95% confidence ellipses. The points lying outside of the SCR could be considered to be beyond the credible range of growth index (Chang et al. [2013\)](#page-35-0). Numbers correspond to the ID column indicated in the corresponding tables. No confidence ellipse is given for the Indian Ocean (only two records).

Table 9. Published length-weight relationships for dolphinfish.

 * Extracted from Solano-Fernandez et al. (2015). Not in the original.

Table 10. Sex ratio values reported from dolphinfish catches.

Region	Study area	Sex ratio M:F	References
Western Central Atlantic	Virgin Island	1:1.9	Mather and Day (1954)
Western Central Atlantic	North Carolina	1:1.9	Rose and Hassler (1974)
Western Central Atlantic	Barbados	1:3	Oxenford (1985)
Western Central Atlantic	Puerto Rico	1:2.3	Pérez et al. (1992)
Western Central Atlantic	Gulf of Mexico	1:1.2	Bentivoglio (1988)
Western Central Atlantic	Florida Current	1:1.8	Oxenford (1985)
Western Atlantic	Brazil	1:1.9	Dos Santos et al. (2014)
Eastern Atlantic	Canary Islands	1:1.4	Castro et al. (1999)
Eastern Atlantic	Ivory Coast	1:2.18	Kouame et al. (2017)
South Central Pacific	Coast of Colombia and Panama	0.96:1	Lasso and Zapata (1999)
Central Pacific	Gulf of Tehuantepec	1:1	Alejo-Plata, Díaz-Jaimes, et al. (2011)
Central Pacific	Hawaii	1:2	Tester (1957)
Eastern Pacific	Costa Rica	2:1	Campos et al. (1993)
Eastern Pacific	Southern Golf of California	1:1	Zúñiga-Flores et al. (2011)
Eastern Pacific	Perú	1:2	Solano et al. (2015)
Eastern Pacific	Panamá	1:1.5	Guzman et al. (2015)
Western Indian	East Africa	1:4	Williams and Newell (1957)
North Indian	South-West coast of India	1:2.05	Rajesh et al. (2016)
North Indian	West coast of India	1.12:1	Kumar et al. (2017)
North Indian	North-West coast of India	$1:1.75*$	Saroj et al. (2018)
Western and Central Mediterranean	Balearic Islands	$1:1*$	Massutí and Morales-Nin (1997)
Western and Central Mediterranean	Western & Central Mediterranean	1:2	Potoschi et al. (1999)
Western and Central Mediterranean	Malta FAD fishery	1:1.54	Gatt et al. (2015)
Western and Central Mediterranean	Malta longline fishery	1:0.76	Gatt et al. (2015)
Mediterranean Sea	Mediterranean Sea ^{**}	1:1.16	Maroso et al. (2016)
Western and Central Mediterranean	Tunisia	1:2	Benseddik et al (2019)

Overall proportions of the whole length ranges and seasons studied. For sex ratio information by different length ranges see the original paper. **For sex ratio information by sampling location see the original paper.

the other regions. This could be related to different environmental conditions, and/or physiological traits attributable to hypothetical subpopulations from those regions (Díaz-Jaimes et al. [2010](#page-36-0)).

Length-weight relationships

Dolphinfish show a negative allometric growth in weight in relation to fish length ([Table 9](#page-21-0)). The negative b value is consistent when adult fish are included in the estimation (29–197 cm FL range), whereas b becomes positive only for juvenile fish (10–70 cm FL range). Most studies report larger mean length and greater weight-at-length for males than for females, and there are small differences in the length-weight relationships between locations (Oxenford [1999\)](#page-39-0). Males are closer to isometric than females. This allometric growth may be related to the elongated body shape required to achieve the fast swimming characteristic of the species.

Reproductive biology and maturity

Sexual dimorphism

In addition to the sex-related physiological or behavioral differences, the dolphinfish is a gonochoric species with very marked external sexual dimorphism that is visible in the head profile, which allows visual discrimination of sex starting in the late juvenile stages. The characteristic bone crest on the top of the head ("bullhead") is particularly evident in large

males in some regions (Beardsley [1967;](#page-35-0) Massutí and Morales-Nin [1997](#page-38-0)), whereas females exhibit more slender head profiles. This dimorphism appears at a size of approximately 40–50 cm in furcal length (FL) (Beardsley [1967](#page-35-0); Shcherbachev [1973](#page-40-0); Palko et al. [1982;](#page-39-0) Massutí and Morales-Nin [1997](#page-38-0); Besbes Benseddik et al. 2015). Noticeably, a recent case of hermaphroditism has been reported in the tropical southeastern Arabian Sea (Retheesh et al. [2017](#page-40-0)), where one individual with male external appearance of 45 cm FL showed oocytes in different developmental stages and a spermatozoa mass in the same gonad.

Sex ratio

The sex ratio generally shows female dominance in most locations (Table 10). Only in Costa Rica and the western coast of India was the ratio favorable to males (Campos et al. [1993](#page-35-0); Vinod Kumar et al. [2017\)](#page-41-0). Many works have reported a sex ratio close to 1:1, but when the ratio is examined by different size classes, there is a bias toward females of smaller sizes (<90 cm FL), whereas males are predominant at larger sizes (>90 cm FL) (Kojima [1966](#page-37-0); Arocha et al. [1999](#page-34-0); Castro et al. [1999](#page-35-0); Alejo-Plata, Dıaz-Jaimes, et al. [2011;](#page-34-0) Zúñiga-Flores et al. [2011](#page-42-0)). Other studies have reported females outnumbering males at small size classes but an equal ratio for larger sizes (Kojima, [1966;](#page-37-0) Dos Santos et al. [2014\)](#page-36-0). The same trend has been reported for the Mediterranean Sea, where in the western and central Mediterranean, catches from FAD (mainly

Table 11. Summary of dolphinfish length at first maturity by regions. Length is expressed in furcal length (FL) unless other unit specified, being SL standard length and TL total length.

juveniles) show female predominance (2:1), whereas longline catches, which are dominated by larger individuals on average, show a 1:1 ratio (Lozano-Cabo [1961](#page-38-0); Bannister [1976](#page-35-0); Massutí and Morales-Nin [1997](#page-38-0); Gatt et al. [2015;](#page-37-0) Besbes Benseddik et al. [2019](#page-35-0)).

The tendency for female-biased sex ratio at small sizes is believed to result from inadvertent selection for females by the fishery due to behavioral differences between sexes rather than a real population difference in sex ratio (Nakamura [1971;](#page-39-0) Rose and Hassler [1974](#page-40-0); Oxenford [1999](#page-39-0)). Oxenford ([1999](#page-39-0)) suggested that small males and all sizes of females spent more time associated with floating objects than large males, which tend to spend more time in open water, possibly traveling between female-dominated schools below rafts. Hence, catches of small fish are likely to have a sex ratio of approximately 1:1, while catches of large fish will be biased in favor of females if taken in association with floating objects. Given that reproduction occurs in pairs, the sex ratio of the adult captures during the spawning season approaches 1:1.

Maturity

According to most studies worldwide, the common dolphinfish reaches sexual maturity within its first year of life (3-7 months and a mean of approximately 55 cm FL), with females doing so at a smaller size than males (Table 11). Some extreme values exist: Oxenford ([1999](#page-39-0)) reported maturity estimates of 84 cm FL for females and 80.5 cm FL for males in the western Atlantic. The L_{50} value provided for Costa Rica was 130 cm (Campos et al. [1993\)](#page-35-0), which largely departed from the other reported values. The Mediterranean values aligned with the data obtained for the other oceans: in the western and central Mediterranean, dolphinfish reach sexual maturity at a size of less than 60 cm FL and at ages from 5 to 6 months. In the Balearic Islands, estimates of maturity have shown L_{50} values of 54.5 and 61.8 cm FL for

females and males, respectively (Massutí and Morales-Nin [1997\)](#page-38-0). In Tunisia, Besbes Benseddik et al. [\(2019\)](#page-35-0) reported L_{50} values of 53.5 cm for females and 60.5 cm for males based on macroscopic and microscopic examinations of the gonads. The maturity values estimated in Malta by Gatt et al. [\(2015](#page-37-0)) were slightly different, with higher values for females than males (62.6 and 58.9 cm FL, respectively).

Reproduction

Dolphinfish shows early sexual maturity, high fecundity, and an asynchronous reproductive strategy. Spawning events occur in surface waters with external fertilization. As noted for many pelagic species, there is a clear relationship between latitude and spawning seasonality. Cheung et al. ([2008](#page-36-0)) modeled the spawning distribution of the species and showed regular spawning throughout the year in the tropics, whereas a gradual separation into strong spring-spawning activity and weaker autumn spawning activity occurred at higher latitudes. This aligns with dolphinfish reproductive activity, which is relatively constant throughout the year (at the population level) in the tropics, while in subtropical and temperate regions individuals tend to synchronize spawning to the warm period of the year (Table 12). An inspection of the gonadosomatic index (as a proxy of population reproductive activity), temperature and latitude illustrate this trend ([Figure 4\)](#page-26-0).

Temperature seems to be the key factor triggering spawning events, either by stimulation of physiological mechanisms or in association to with mixing processes conducive to trophic enrichment of the environment. Several studies conducted in the Atlantic Ocean (Mather and Day [1954](#page-38-0); Erdman [1956;](#page-36-0) Beardsley [1967](#page-35-0)), Pacific Ocean (Kojima [1955,](#page-37-0) [1964](#page-37-0); Wang [1979;](#page-41-0) Sánchez [2008](#page-40-0); Zúñiga-Flores et al. [2011\)](#page-42-0), Indian Ocean (Rajesh et al. [2016](#page-40-0); Vinod Kumar et al. [2017\)](#page-41-0), and Mediterranean Sea (Lozano-Cabo [1961;](#page-38-0) Massutí and Morales-Nin [1997](#page-38-0); Besbes Benseddik et al. 2015) agree that the optimal minimum temperature triggering C. hippurus spawning is approx. 21 C , whereas the maximum is reported at approx. 30° C. The spawning season in the Mediterranean Sea is from May to September (Massutí and Morales-Nin [1997;](#page-38-0) Besbes Benseddik et al. 2015, [2019;](#page-35-0) Gatt et al. [2015\)](#page-37-0) and it is considerably shorter in comparison with other regions, in accordance with the shorter time window when the optimal temperatures for spawning occur.

The global data, including those for the Mediterranean, show that dolphinfish present multiple and intermittent spawning events, occurring 2–3 times in

each breeding period. This is justified by the presence of several sizes of oocytes (in different maturity stages) in the ovaries (Beardsley [1967](#page-35-0); Shcherbachev [1973](#page-40-0); Pérez and Sadovy [1996;](#page-40-0) Massutí and Morales-Nin [1997](#page-38-0); Oxenford [1999;](#page-39-0) Alejo-Plata, Díaz-Jaimes, et al. [2011](#page-34-0); Besbes Benseddik et al. [2019](#page-35-0)). This reproductive behavior is typical of tropical and sub-tropical fishes (Burt et al. [1988\)](#page-35-0) and is considered an adaptation to minimize the risk of poor larval survival from a single expulsion during the spawning season (Ditty et al. [1994](#page-36-0)).

The oocyte diameters in mature ovaries [\(Table 13\)](#page-26-0) and fecundity values [\(Table 14\)](#page-27-0) have been estimated for different regions. Mature ovaries present oocytes ranging from 0.2 to almost 2 mm, while hydrated oocytes, which are ready to be emitted, present diameters over 0.9 mm. In the central Mediterranean Sea, the estimated mean fecundity (eggs/female) was 660,000 in females ranging from 64 to 106 cm FL (Besbes Benseddik et al. [2019\)](#page-35-0). This value is comparable to that reported by Massutí and Morales-Nin ([1997\)](#page-38-0) in the western Mediterranean, which was approximately 764,000 for females ranging from 67 to 117 cm FL. In other regions, relative fecundity varies from approximately 30,000 to more than two million eggs, depending on the size of females, but there are large differences for a given size [\(Table 14\)](#page-27-0). Variations in the abiotic (temperature, salinity, others) and/or biotic (trophic) factors, may condition the balance between the environmental and the population reproductive potential, and could explain these differences in fecundity values.

Mediterranean dolphinfish fisheries

The common dolphinfish has been an exploited resource since ancient times in the Mediterranean Sea (Massutí et al. [1997](#page-38-0)). This is a key species for the fisheries of western Mediterranean coastal countries, yielding important local incomes due to the elevated number of catches (Cannizzaro et al. [1999](#page-35-0); Morales-Nin et al. [2000,](#page-39-0) [2010;](#page-39-0) Battaglia et al. [2010](#page-35-0); Quetglas et al. [2016](#page-40-0); Palmer et al. [2017\)](#page-39-0). There is high gastronomical appreciation for this species where it is present, and it is exploited by recreational fishers, acquiring an elevated socio-economic relevance for the populations in these countries. In the eastern Mediterranean, a large gap of knowledge on all aspects of its exploitation exists; this species is present, but there is not a specific fishery targeting it, and official data on catches are not available. In summary, dolphinfish is an emblematic species for artisanal and recreational Mediterranean fisheries in several countries, and it is considered a part of the cultural

heritage in countries such as Malta (Copemed II [2016\)](#page-36-0). The last updates on all aspects of the fisheries in the Mediterranean Sea are shown below.

FAD fishery

This is the main dolphinfish fishery in the Mediterranean. It is a small-scale commercial fishery based on a large fleet of small artisanal boats targeting age-0 juveniles from late summer to autumn when this life stage is abundant in Mediterranean waters (Massutí and Morales-Nin 1995; Morales-Nin et al. [2000;](#page-39-0) Grau and Camiñas [2011](#page-37-0)). This artisanal fishery takes place in the western and central Mediterranean, particularly in Spain (Balearic Islands), Italy (Sicily), Malta and Tunisia (Massutí and Morales-Nin 1995; Potoschi et al. [1999;](#page-40-0) Vella [1999;](#page-41-0) Zaouali and Missaoui [1999;](#page-42-0) Morales-Nin et al. [2000;](#page-39-0) Sinopoli et al. [2012\)](#page-41-0).

Fishing gears. The FAD used in this fishery has changed little since ancient times. Locally known as "capcers" in Spain (Balearic Islands), "cannizzi" in Sicily, "kannizzati" in Malta and "ghanatsi" or "jrid" in Tunisia, FAD have been exhaustively described in previous articles (Morales-Nin et al. [2000\)](#page-39-0). In summary, they are moored floats with some palm fronds or bush branches tied on top to allow fishers to locate them and to increase their surface and expand shadows underwater. The float is usually made of cork, wood or, in some cases, a group of tires due to the floating characteristics of these materials and their low prices. Fishers anchor the FAD to the bottom with limestone blocks over depths ranging less than a hundred to over 1000 m; they are disposed seasonally along transects or swaths within the fishing regions.

Fish aggregated under FAD are collected with a specifically designed surrounding net without purse lines or purse rings (called "llampuguera" in the Balearic Islands, "lampuki" in Malta, "lampugara" or "caponara" in Sicily and "lamboukara" in Tunisia). The nets have been extensively described in the past (Massutí et al. [1999;](#page-38-0) Potoschi et al. [1999](#page-40-0); Zaouali and Missaoui [1999;](#page-42-0) Morales-Nin et al. [2000](#page-39-0); Morales-Nin [2003;](#page-39-0) Sinopoli et al. [2012\)](#page-41-0) and have some particularities for the different regions ([Table 15](#page-30-0)). Only some regions have the maximum dimensions regulated (Spain: Orden OAA/1688/2013; Malta: Council Regulation 1967/2006). The most developed net is the Maltese "lampuki", which consists of four main sections: two wings (the setting wing and the second wing), the body and a landing bag (Galea [1961\)](#page-36-0). Modifications to this net are made throughout the fishing season by different fishers, including changes

Figure 4. Relationship between Gonadosomatic Index values, latitude (N and S are treated equally) and temperature for each month. Data obtained from Oxenford [1985;](#page-39-0) Pérez et al. [1992](#page-40-0) (in Oxenford, [1999\)](#page-39-0); Massutí and Morales-Nin ([1997](#page-38-0)); Wu et al. [\(2001](#page-41-0)); Schwenke and Buckel [\(2008](#page-40-0)); Alejo-Plata, Díaz-Jaimes, et al. [\(2011](#page-34-0)); Zúñiga-Flores et al. ([2011\)](#page-42-0); Furukawa et al. [\(2012\)](#page-36-0); Gatt et al. [\(2015\)](#page-37-0); Dos Santos et al. [\(2014\)](#page-36-0); Rajesh et al. [\(2016](#page-40-0)).

Table 13. Reported oocyte diameters (mm) in mature ovaries of dolphinfish.

Region	Oocytes \emptyset (mm)	Hydrated oocytes \emptyset (mm)	References
Mediterranean	$0.2 - 1$	>1.2	Besbes Benseddik et al. (2015b)
Mediterranean	$0.2 - 1.4$	>0.8	Besbes Benseddik et al. (2019)
Mediterranean	$0.2-1.5$; two batches at 0.4 and 0.8 mm		Massutí and Morales-Nin (1997)
Eastern Atlantic	$0.2 - 1.8$	>1.2	Beardsley (1967)
Eastern Atlantic	0.72	>0.9	McBride et al. (2012)
Eastern Atlantic	$0.75 - 1$	-	Arocha et al. (1999)
Eastern Pacific	$0.1 - 1.99$	>1.3 ; mode at 1.42	Alejo-Plata, Díaz-Jaimes, et al. (2011)
Eastern Pacific	0.72	>0.9	Zúñiga-Flores et al. (2011)
Western Pacific	$0.3 - 1.6$	>1	Wu et al. (2001)
West-central Indian	$0.25 - 1.58$	$0.96 - 1.03$	Chatterii and Ansari (1982)
Northwest Indian	$0.3 - 1.96$		Saroj et al. (2018)

in the total length, which can be accomplished by changing the length of the wings, and can be made depending on the size, maneuverability of the vessel and the number of meshes (Darmanin et al. [2002\)](#page-36-0).

Fleet and fishing operations. The whole regulated Mediterranean fleet using FAD and purse seines is approximately 700 boats, with a total length below 15 meters and engine power of less than 100 hp (details of fleet in each country can be found in the appendix). The number of boats per country has remained relatively stable and has oscillated between approx. 45 in the Balearic Islands to 300 in Tunisia in the last decade, with some interannual variability. The boat capacity varies between regions (from approx. 8 m length and 5.6 Gt in the Balearic Islands, to approx. 13 m and 17 Gt in some regions of Malta). The fishing methodology is similar among Mediterranean countries. It consists on visiting the FAD swath at sunrise, and once the fish are detected visually or using a hand line, a quick haul is conducted close to or around the FAD if the weather is calm and there is no current. If the hand line is used, fishers use the hooked fish to attract the school and carry the haul around it. The catches obtained in the first fishing operations of the day determine the number of FAD visited. If the catch is sufficient, especially in Mallorca where TAC are self-imposed (see drivers of the C. hippurus harvesting chapter), the fishing day concludes without visiting all FAD. Otherwise, if the catches are not sufficient once all FAD have been visited, they can search for floating objects where dolphinfish could be found, or return to visit the FAD again at sunset (Besbes Benseddik et al. [1999](#page-35-0); Zaouali and Missaoui [1999;](#page-42-0) Morales-Nin et al. [2000\)](#page-39-0).

Fishery regulations. The exploitation of this species in the Mediterranean Sea is subjected to different normative, based on technical measures and effort from the European to the regional level (Appendix). European legislation must be passed by all European countries involved in this fishery. In Tunisia, the legislation is based on association agreements. European legislation regulates special fishing permits (EC n1627/94), and management plans (EC n1343/ 2011 and EC n1967/2006), such as the closing period between January and August imposed by the FAO GFCM (Recommendation GFCM/30/2006/2). In some countries, the fishing season is opened later depending on the national legislation.

In the three European countries (Italy, Malta, Spain), the data collection normative established by the EU Regulation 199/08, Reg. EC 1004/2017 and Reg. EU 1251/2016 applies. In countries where dolphinfish is a priority species, the GFCM Data Collection Reference Framework and ICCAT data collection requirements must be complied. Only Malta has the obligation to record data on size due to the high percentage of dolphinfish catches in relation to the total catches of all species. Further details of the normative and restrictions at the regional level are provided in the appendix. It is worth mentioning that the only existing total allowable catch (TAC) is set in the Balearic Islands (Spain), where it is self-imposed by the associations of fishers resulting from a local agreement in 2012. Fishers have adopted individual landing quotas of a maximum of 300 kg per boat per day. This common agreement aims to avoid the drop in the market price during the period of maximum catches (Grau and Camiñas [2011;](#page-37-0) Maynou et al. [2013](#page-38-0)). As this is not a legal measure, it has varied over the years and can even change within a given year, thus hampering the estimation of proxies for abundance.

Pelagic longline fishery

In addition to the FAD fishery, dolphinfish can be captured by a specific type of longline in Malta alone, although this method is not widely used in the present days. This gear has a mainline of 60 mm monofilament, where a number of snooded hooks (approximately 350) baited with squid are set at approximately 12 m intervals. The line is attached to floats, allowing it to drift with the current (Galea [1961\)](#page-36-0). There is also a variation of this longline used from land that is held afloat or pulled out to the sea by a sail attached to a triangular float (Darmanin et al. [2002\)](#page-36-0).

Dolphinfish are also caught as by-catch of commercial Mediterranean surface longline fisheries that target swordfish (Xiphias gladius), Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus) and albacore (Thunnus alalunga) (Massutí and Morales-Nin [1995](#page-38-0); Macías et al. [2012](#page-38-0), [2016\)](#page-38-0). This fishery captures both juveniles and adults; catches are spread throughout the year but are extremely low in winter. The longline bycatches reported by Italy, Malta and Spain to ICCAT constitute less than 25% of the total dolphinfish catches when pooling small-scale fishers and longliners together. Estimates show low CPUE for dolphinfish, at approximately 1.08 fishes/1000 hooks. The longline targeting albacore operates closer to the coast with smaller hooks and bites, and captures mainly juveniles, with values up to 1.77 fish/1000 hooks (Macías et al. [2016](#page-38-0)). On the other hand, longlines targeting other large pelagic fish have a higher incidence of large specimens of dolphinfish (Macías et al. [2012](#page-38-0), [2016\)](#page-38-0). Recent studies have indicated that dolphinfish catchability in surface longline could be influenced by the north Atlantic oscillation (Báez et al., [2020](#page-34-0)).

Recreational fishery

The sport or recreational fisheries in the Mediterranean are important in Spain, Italy or Malta

Figure 5. Seasonality of landings reported in each Mediterranean country between 2008 and 2016. (A) Italy, (B) Malta, (C) Spain, (D) Tunisia.

and exploit dolphinfish at different stages of develop-ment (Massutí and Morales-Nin [1995](#page-38-0); Morales-Nin et al. [2010](#page-39-0)), from juveniles captured from the seashore to large adults captured in fishing game competitions. Anglers also capture dolphinfish from the seashore through "spinning", which consists of throwing a lure, generally a fish imitation, and picking it to mimic the movement of a fish. Fishing from sport vessels is carried out in very different ways, from "spinning" and "jigging" (similar to spinning but vertical) to the more usual trolling, which they also conduct from kayaks near the shore. Coastal trolling, known as "rixa" in Maltese or "fluixa" in Catalan, is practiced from August to September, although it has also been reported in November in Mallorca. It consists of a line with one or more hooks with a lure attached to

each hook. A boat drags the lines from the stern sides at speeds varying from 2.5 to 5 knots. Usually, the line is hand-held and pulled forward and backwards to imitate the movement of an injured fish. In the past, these lures were usually feathers, but currently, plastic decoys are commonly used, varying from plastic pulpits to fish lures that simulate the swimming of an injured fish; natural baits, such as small pelagic fishes or squids, are also used.

Recreational fishers also look for floating objects, including marine debris, fattening cages or even FAD, which creates conflicts between commercial and recreational sectors. Some fishers bait the water with small pelagic fishes or squids to attract dolphinfish; as in the commercial FAD fishery, a hooked fish left in the water will attract new specimens, thus increasing boat

Figure 6. Historical series of Mediterranean fishery data per country. (A) Total annual production (in tons) for different countries, as well as for the entire Mediterranean; (B) Percentage with respect to the total landed by each country; (C) Evolution of price in ϵ /kg and (D) Estimated CPUE in kg/trips.

catches. There is another type of trolling carried out in open waters, that mainly targets large pelagic species such as albacore (T. alalunga), or white marlin (Tetrapturus spp.) that also captures dolphinfish, which are generally adult spawners. Information on the number of anglers and catches involved in the dolphinfish recreational fishery is scarce and uncertain, as most data come from sport contests that are not recorded in a systematic and regular way and are not always available to scientists.

Drivers of harvesting

This section only refers to the FAD fishery, as no data exist for the other modalities. The monthly distribution of landings in the different Mediterranean countries shows the maximum annual production in September or October and a progressive decrease toward January, with some interannual synchrony in the monthly harvest among countries [\(Figure 5\)](#page-28-0). Since 2006, under a recommendation issued by GFCM, the fishery has been legally open from August 15th until December 31st, although an extension can be requested up to the end of January if a country can demonstrate that, due to bad weather, fishers were unable to utilize their assigned fishing days. The seasonal presence and exploitation of this resource allow the artisanal fleet to rotate target species and gears, such as longline or trammel nets, throughout the year (e.g., Palmer et al. [2017\)](#page-39-0).

Despite the dolphinfish FAD fishery being highly selective, small amounts of bycatch (< 5% of total captures) are reported and are sold in the market. These species are pilotfish (Naucrates ductor) and

Table 15. Mean dimensions of the surrounding net used in different countries, $MLA =$ Maximum Legal Allowed.

Country	Length (m)	Height (m)	Mesh diameter (mm)
Spain	180 (200 MLA)	16 (22 MLA)	50 in wings 30 in cod-end
Malta	180-200	36	35-43 mm in landing bag
Italy	180	45	
Tunisia	$200 - 400$	$15 - 35$	$30-40$ in wings 20 in cod-end

juvenile greater amberjack (Seriola dumerlii). In the case of Malta, the bycatch also includes the chub mackerel (Scomber japonicus) and horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus) and, in some rare cases, juvenile albacore (T. alalunga) and Atlantic bluefin tuna (T. thynnus), but these are not retained since they are below the minimum allowed landings size. Due to the key economic role of FAD fishery for the artisanal fleet (Lleonart et al. [1999](#page-37-0); Quetglas et al. [2016;](#page-40-0) Palmer et al. [2017](#page-39-0)), the temporal evolution of the key parameters of the fishery reported by official statistics from each country are discussed below.

Spain. The fishery almost exclusively operates from Mallorca island (Balearic region), where this species ranks first in disembarked captures (tons) and is one of the most economically relevant species (Morales-Nin et al. [2010;](#page-39-0) Quetglas et al. [2016](#page-40-0); Palmer et al. [2017\)](#page-39-0). Therefore, the data presented in the [Figure 6](#page-29-0) are only for the Balearic region.

Morales-Nin et al. ([2000\)](#page-39-0) analyzed the annual landings of dolphinfish in the 1980s and 1990s for Mallorca, Malta, Italy and Tunisia. In the case of the Balearic region, the historical data were characterized by wide fluctuations, especially during the 1980s, with a general increasing trend until 1996 reaching more than 120 tons (Morales-Nin et al. [2000](#page-39-0)). Since 2002, landings have fluctuated by approximately 100 tons per year (maximum of approximately 177 tons in 2003, a minimum of approximately 57 tons in 2007, [Figure 6a\)](#page-29-0). The catches are the lowest of all countries due to the small fleet, but the percentage contribution to total dolphinfish catch has slightly increased in the last 10 years [\(Figure 6b](#page-29-0)).

In terms of the prices per kilogram [\(Figure 6c](#page-29-0)), there was an ascending trend from 2004 to 2007 followed by a marked decrease during the second half of the 2000s (2007–2010), when the prices decreased from near 6 ϵ /kg to 3 ϵ /kg. This led fishers to establish a series of agreed upon measures to revert this trend so that the revenues and profitability of the fishery remained stable or increased. Those measures included the reduction of working hours (fishing effort) and the establishment of an individual daily quota. Regarding the working time, a rest period of 24 consecutive hours (from 12:00 on Saturday to 12:00 on Sunday) was set in July 2001. Subsequently, in July 2002, the authorities extended the resting time to 30 h (Orden APA/52/2002) and finally, in July 2005, to 48 consecutive hours during the weekend. On the other hand, fishers self-imposed a quota of 300 kg per boat and day in 2012 (by an agreement among fisher associations), to avoid the low prices in years of high captures. Price fluctuated greatly after the establishment of the quota, suggesting that the quota did not stabilize the prices (Grau and Camiñas [2011;](#page-37-0) Camiñas et al. [2016\)](#page-35-0). In any case, the trend in average price/kg is inversely proportional to the landings ([Figure 6a, d](#page-29-0)), suggesting an inverse harvest-price relationship.

Malta. Historical data show an increasing trend in catch from the beginning of the 1980s to a peak of more than 520 tons in 1984 followed by a decrease; since then, catches have fluctuated around 350 tons (Morales-Nin et al. [2000;](#page-39-0) [Figure 6a\)](#page-29-0). The contribution of Malta to total Mediterranean catches has, however, progressively increased from approximately 10% to more than 20% during the last decade due to the decline in the overall Mediterranean catches [\(Figure](#page-29-0) [6b](#page-29-0)). The interannual price oscillations from 2012 are synchronous with the prices in Mallorca and Italy, with similar values to those in Mallorca ([Figure 6c](#page-29-0)), showing the same harvest-price relationship.

Italy. Dolphinfish exploitation is concentrated along the Sicilian coasts, where 80% of captures occur, mainly along the southeastern Ionian and northern Tyrrhenian coasts. Together with Tunisia, Italy catches a large proportion of the dolphinfish in the Mediterranean ([Figure 6a, b](#page-29-0)). The annual landings of this species showed a sharp decreasing trend from 1646 tons in 2008 to 250 tons in 2014. Since 2014, landings have fluctuated according to the total catches reported in the Mediterranean Sea. The approximate number of boats decreased from 350 to 200 in 2015, a fact that could contribute to the reduction in catches (Copemed II [2016](#page-36-0)). Interestingly, the interannual importance of this country in the total dolphinfish landings in the Mediterranean was inverse to that of Tunisia ($R = -0.90$, [Figure 6b](#page-29-0)), suggesting a spatial displacement of the species in some years: northern displacements would favor Italian FAD fisheries, and southern displacements would explain increases in the Tunisian contribution to the total catch. In terms of the market price, there was a general upward trend throughout the years analyzed, reaching average values

of approximately 6 ϵ /kg since 2016, which was the highest with respect to those of the other countries ([Figure 6c](#page-29-0)). Cannizzaro et al. (1999) and Morales-Nin et al. [\(2000](#page-39-0)) concluded that dolphinfish can be considered a profitable resource in Sicily, where it ensures one of the highest profit rates, ranging from 30 to 46% in the fishery market.

Tunisia. Until the 1980s, the catches did not exceed 300 tons and were limited to the eastern region. Since then, fishers in the north and south have taken interest in the lucrative fishery and now contribute 25% and 18% of the national production, respectively. The Tunisian national production underwent a spectacular increase starting in 1992, reaching peaks of more than 1500 tons in 2003 and 2006 [\(Figure 6a\)](#page-29-0). This increase could be explained by a relative abundance of the resource along the Tunisian coasts, the government incentives and the technological upgrading undertaken during this period (fleet renovation, modernization of fishing and navigation equipment and upgrading of personnel), and the strong interest of professionals in this seasonal and remunerative artisanal activity (Besbes Benseddik [2017\)](#page-35-0). From 2010, the average recorded catches dropped by half, with a minimum record of 288 tons in 2012 [\(Figure 6a\)](#page-29-0). Some causes of this decline could be related to an (unassessed) drop in the resource (see total Mediterranean production in the same figure), the fishing effort exerted by other countries (in 2012, the Sicilian fleet had a much higher proportional catch than Tunisia in nearby waters, [Figure 6b](#page-29-0)) or/and the transitional socio-economic situation suffered by this country since January 2011 (lack of monitoring and control of fishing activity, unreliability of statistical data, discouragement of professionals, etc.). The market price constantly increased from 1.5 ϵ /kg in 2000 until reaching 4.6 ϵ /kg in 2016 [\(Figure 6c\)](#page-29-0). This is probably attributable to the reduction in catches and in part to the continued fall of the Tunisian dinars against the euro. This situation may cause serious impacts on the consumption of this product and to this traditional fishery (Besbes Benseddik, pers. comm.).

Catch per unit effort. The currently available information on fishing effort is restricted to the number of catches landed (in tons) in reference to the number of fishing trips per month and is collected in European countries (hence is not available for Tunisia) within the data collection framework (Reg.EC 1004/17, 1639/ 00 and 199/08). Malta shows a higher CPUE than Mallorca and Italy, which are more or less similar

[\(Figure 6d](#page-29-0)). The high CPUE values in Malta are probably due to a high number of FAD visited per trip; the CPUE in terms of landings by operated FAD were approximately 20 kg/FAD in 2011 and approximately 11 kg/FAD in 2014. This was the first indication that CPUE were not comparable among countries as proxies for abundance. The CPUE values for Mallorca have remained relatively constant, with slight fluctuations over time [\(Figure 6d](#page-29-0)).

The Mediterranean dolphinfish FAD fishery, contrary to other FAD fisheries, such as those for tropical tunas, operates almost exclusively on moored FAD. During a fishing journey, fishers may not necessarily visit all FAD, and there may be no fish at any visited FAD. Moreover, it is not possible to routinely collect parameters such as searching time, vessel power or fish hold volume for CPUE estimation, as all boats are artisanal, of reduced dimension and power and not subject to mandatory monitoring. In the case of Spain, the dolphinfish fishery is monospecific, and the use of other gear or the exploitation of other species during the fishing season is forbidden. In other countries, this fishery is multi-specific and the fleet can fish other species in the same fishing trip, posing further difficulties to the estimation of CPUE, which is also affected by the changing market price as the season progresses.

Another important factor that affects the CPUE in this fishery is the weather conditions, as small vessels cannot operate FAD in strong currents or on rough sea. Hence, the relationship between a bad weather indicator and landings should be explored to improve CPUE estimates (Copemed II [2016\)](#page-36-0). Furthermore, in some years, the number of FAD initially deployed can decrease by 50% due to meteorological damage. The high vulnerability of the fishery to weather conditions explains the modification of the GFCM recommendation extending the fishing season when fishing operations have not been possible due to bad weather conditions.

The proper estimation of effort is complex and is currently under discussion (Copemed II [2016](#page-36-0), [2019\)](#page-36-0). That group proposed that a more precise estimate of effort should account for (i) the number of FAD fished by vessels in each fishing trip; (ii) the number of FAD assigned to each vessel and (iii) the fishing time. Although fishing effort is defined by the GFCM data collection reference framework (DCRF) as the total number of FAD, total number of fishing trips, number of FAD targeted per fishing trip, average number of FAD fished per fishing trip where a net was deployed to catch aggregated fish and average number of FAD visited per fishing trip (regardless of whether they have been fished), there is no obligation to report any of those figures, and it has not been established whether they are complementary or exclusive.

Stock assessment

Despite the relevant commercial interest in this species in these Mediterranean countries and the long history of this traditional fishery, few attempts to quantitatively assess the status of the stocks have been undertaken thus far. The difficulties inherent to the population dynamics of this highly migratory, fastgrowing and short-lived fish, together with the fact that the fishery is targeting only the young-of-the-year as well as the complexity of measuring fishing effort have hampered the application of classical analytical models.

Previous attempts in the Mediterranean date back to the late 1990s. Lleonart et al. [\(1999\)](#page-38-0) conducted a virtual population analysis (VPA) of the Mallorca FAD for two separate years, 1995 and 1996, adapted to a single year pseudo-cohort with the time units in fortnights rather than years. This analysis allowed the identification of the evolution of recruitment pulses, although it did not provide a picture at the population level. The work could not provide conclusive reference points but rather insight into the evolution of cohorts that exhibited fast depletion over five months. The activity occurs from August to November when the temperature is higher, and the weekly fishing mortality rates are extremely high, reaching values of approximately $14y^{-1}$. The weekly and monthly CPUE were estimated using different effort units: number of vessels, fishing days, fishing hours and the number of operated FAD. The number of fished FAD was the most stable and representative unit of effort (Lleonart et al. [1999](#page-37-0)). A second assessment exercise was carried out in 2004 by the CORY-WG, which assessed different models: The non-equilibrium production model IFOX with the CPUE data for the 1984–2001 period from Malta and Spain resulted in very poor goodness of fit (below 4%) which prevented the estimation of the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) or other reference points. The Jones LCA, which was applied to the annual average catch length composition from 2000- 2001, yielded no better results due to the short and incomplete data series, and the restrictive equilibrium assumptions given the wide and complex dynamics of the Mediterranean dolphinfish. A separable VPA applied to the catch-at-age data (on a monthly basis) for 2001 (Tunisia, Malta, Majorca and Sicily) yielded some reference points $(F = 14.5 y^{-1}$ (average for sizes 30–50 cm) and $F = 11.7 y^{-1}$ (average for sizes 17–65 cm)) but was not considered reliable due to model sensitivity problems.

On the southwestern coast of India, Benjamin and Kurup ([2012](#page-35-0)) used one-year data (2008–2009) from the longlines, purse seiners and troll fisheries of three ports in the Kerala region to conduct a length-based VPA. It resulted in fishing mortality rates of approximately $12-16y^{-1}$ for the length range between 145 and 175 cm (TL), which was similar to that obtained in the Mediterranean Sea (Lleonart et al. [1999](#page-37-0); FAO-GFCM [2004](#page-36-0)). The exploitation rate in SW India was 0.38, which was well below the optimum for the maximum Y/R, showing that the species was not overexploited and suggesting the potential for an increase in fishing effort.

There are some recent trials that have applied datalimited methods: in the eastern Pacific Ocean, where dolphinfish is by-catch of the tuna fishery through different gears, the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC) has developed a method based on the depletion of an annual cohort based on the negative exponential decay on a monthly basis. The method, called the monthly depletion estimator, is similar to the catch-curve analysis. It measures the relative abundance of a cohort as it ages throughout its first year of life, using the CPUE (Aires-da-Silva et al. [2014\)](#page-34-0). Further modifications with standardized indices of CPUE have led to the improvement in the model (Aires-da-silva et al. [2016](#page-34-0)). No reference points, targets or limits could be defined, and therefore, conclusions on stock status have not been drawn thus far. Notwithstanding, according to these authors, recent catches are near the estimates of MSY and there are no signs of risk for the population in the eastern Pacific.

The stock-recruitment relationship of this species is poor, and the recruitment dynamics are probably highly dependent on environmental conditions (Airesda-silva et al. [2016\)](#page-34-0). The available information on stock assessments, coupled to the great capacity of recovery of this species, with several spawning pulses during the year even at very young ages (one year), suggests that the species is not at risk of overexploitation in the areas studied.

Conclusions and future lines of research

This review summarizes and expands the knowledge of the biological parameters of dolphinfish in a global context, synthesizing the information on distribution, habitat of the different life stages, diet, age and growth

and reproduction, with specific emphasis on the Mediterranean region and its fisheries.

Despite the global distribution of this species and its migratory behavior, genetic studies covering wide regions (Díaz-Jaimes et al. [2010;](#page-36-0) Maggio et al. [2018\)](#page-38-0) suggest that there are separate populations in different regions. These populations present different biological traits such as growth (Chang and Maunder [2012](#page-36-0); Chang et al. [2013](#page-35-0)) or reproductive biology (this work), in response to the different environmental conditions of those regions. There is a lack of knowledge on the mobility of this species among these regions on an ecological scale. This knowledge is crucial in terms of fisheries management, stock assessments, and the calculation of potential environmental effects on the distribution shifts of the species. Further research focused on collaborative tagging programs, such as the dolphinfish research program in the western Atlantic (Merten et al. [2014a](#page-38-0)) would improve the existing knowledge about the migratory patterns of this species.

Biological traits, such as growth and reproduction, are strongly influenced by environmental parameters and food availability (Lorenzen [2016](#page-38-0); Ashworth et al. [2017](#page-34-0)), which can explain the observed regional/seasonal differences in the biological traits of this species between and within regions (Furukawa et al. [2012](#page-36-0)). In the future, modeling approaches should be adopted to integrate extrinsic and intrinsic factors into predictable patterns of distribution or traits. For highly mobile species such as C. hippurus, new tagging technologies, computer capabilities and modeling approaches aid the transition into the new era of spatial ecology (Lowerre-Barbieri et al. [2019\)](#page-38-0). These efforts are even more needed at the limits of the species distribution, such as the Mediterranean Sea, where the effects of projected increasing temperatures may crucially impact this thermophilic species and the communities exploiting it.

Knowledge of dolphinfish larval ecology is scarce. Physiological thresholds derived from laboratory experiments have been recently collated (Perrichon et al. [2019\)](#page-40-0), but better field estimates of optimal environmental windows for spawning and recruitment are still needed. Understanding the recruitment variability in this species is a key element because its fisheries depend on the young of the year, particularly in areas such as the Mediterranean Sea. In fact, interannual variations in the catches from the Mediterranean, which cannot be explained by changes in the exploitation rates, could be attributed to variations at the recruitment level, although this point has not been

confirmed quantitatively. Even basic information on C. hippurus spawning grounds and the larval distribution in the Mediterranean is scarce, partly due to the reproductive behavior of the species (reproductive specimens tend to be caught in pairs of males and females, which probably explains the dilution of reproductive outputs) and to the larval characteristics. Their quick swimming, rapid growth and offshore surface distribution make them difficult to capture within the standard ichthyoplankton surveys conducted through oblique tows. There is also a need for the determination and comparison of trophic requirements in the earliest life stages in reproductive areas that may differ in the structure of the first trophic levels.

Concerning the Mediterranean fisheries, clear improvements are possible in terms of fisheries operation. A conservative estimate suggests that approx. 60,000 FAD targeting the species are anchored every year in the Mediterranean Sea, representing approximately 30% of the FAD worldwide (including those not anchored) and 90% of those anchored (Morales-Nin [2011](#page-39-0)). Improving the profitability of the fisheries might rely on the reduction in the number of FAD visited before the desired quota per trip is attained. The use of eco-sounder buoys could be a potential solution to reduce the number of anchored FAD (Cillari et al. [2018\)](#page-36-0). Several authors have suggested that a large number of FAD impacts the distribution of epipelagic fish species (Dempster and Taquet [2004](#page-36-0); Sinopoli et al. [2007,](#page-41-0) [2011](#page-41-0), [2015,](#page-41-0) [2019](#page-41-0)), and in some places even the benthic community (Pace et al. [2007](#page-39-0); Deidun et al. [2014](#page-36-0)). These aspects should be further evaluated.

In terms of stock assessments and fisheries management in the Mediterranean, there is a growing interest in evaluating the population under the hypotheses of a stock shared by different countries. International regulation (GFCM-DCRF and EU Reg 199 (08)) set the fishing season and data collection obligations. The latter differs for the different countries depending on the share of the dolphinfish landings compared with other commercial species. In addition, national regulations affect the fishing gear, the area where FAD are deployed and the time at sea. A more detailed definition of data collection (and enforcement) is needed, including the effort units in the number of FAD operated by fishing trips. Market drivers and weather conditions have relevant effects, further suggesting the inadequacy of catch series as potential indicators of stock status. A novel abundance index for FAD fisheries target species has been proposed based on the acoustic estimation of biomass

from eco-sounders attached to FAD (López et al. [2016;](#page-38-0) Santiago et al. [2016](#page-40-0)). These methods could be experimentally applied to the Mediterranean dolphinfish FAD fishery. This should be coupled to movement and behavior information around the FAD to avoid hyperstability biases (Ehrhardt et al. [2017](#page-36-0)), as no information from free schools is available for this fishery to be compared with FAD catches. A thorough analysis of the standardization of CPUE through statistical approaches (e.g. GLM or GAMs) is also proposed to properly apply production (or depletion) methods.

With all these considerations in mind at the Mediterranean level, new attempts to assess the current status of the dolphinfish fishery are amongst the research priorities of the four main Mediterranean countries exploiting this resource. The GFCM, FAO and in particular the ad hoc working group (Cory-WG), should work in the uncovered research directions in the near future to improve the existing quantitative tools to better understand and improve scientific advice to manage this complex living resource.

Acknowledgments

We thank Alexandre Aires Da Silva, Marco Arculeo, Francesco Bertolino, Sergio Lombardo, Sergio Bizzarri, Mark Gatt, David Macías, Samar Saber, Eric Muscat and Andrew Sciberras for the provision of fishery data and/or their valuable contribution to the scientific discussions held during the meetings of the CORY-Working Group in 2015 and 2016. Vicenç Moltó acknowledges a predoctoral grant funded by the Regional Government of the Balearic Islands and the European Social Fund. This work was partially funded by two FAO Projects: CopeMed phase II "Coordination to Support Fisheries Management in the Western and Central Mediterranean" and MedSudMed "Assessment and Monitoring of the Fishery Resources and the Ecosystems in the Straits of Sicily", both co-funded by the Spanish and the Italian Ministries of Agriculture, Fishery and Food, and by the Directorate-General for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries of the European Commission (DG-MARE). The work was partially supported by project CERES (H2020, EU 678193).

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest is reported by the authors.

ORCID

Vicenc¸ Molto http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9918-2216

References

- Aguilar-Palomino B, Galván-Magaña F, Abitia-Cárdenas LA, Muhlia-Melo AF, Rodrıguez-Romero J. [1998.](#page-10-0) Feeding aspects of the dolphin Coryphaena hippurus Linnaeus, 1758 en Cabo San Lucas, Baja California Sur, Mexico. Cienc Mar. 24(3):253–265. doi[:10.7773/cm.v24i3.758](https://doi.org/10.7773/cm.v24i3.758)
- Aires-da-Silva A, Lennert-Cody CE, Maunder MN, Roman-Verdesoto M, Minte-Vera C, Vogel NW, Martínez-Ortiz J, Carvajal JC, Guerrero PX, Sondheimer F. [2014](#page-32-0). Preliminary results from IATTC Collaborative Research Activities on Dorado in the Eastern Pacific Ocean and Future Research Plan. Document SAC-05-11b. Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, Scientific Advisory Committee, Fifth Meeting, 1–27.
- Aires-da-Silva A, Valero JL, Maunder MN, Minte-Vera C, Lennert-Cody C, Román MH, Martínez-Ortiz J, Torrejón-Magallanes EJ, Carranza MN. [2016](#page-32-0). Exploratory stock assessment of Dorado (Coryphaena hippurus) in the Southeastern Pacific Ocean. Document SAC-05-11b. Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, Scientific Advisory Committee, Seventh Meeting, 9–13.
- Alejo-Plata C, Dıaz-Jaimes P, Salgado-Ugarte IH. [2011](#page-22-0). Sex ratios, size at sexual maturity, and spawning seasonality of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) captured in the Gulf of Tehuantepec, Mexico. Fish Res. 110(1):207–216. doi:[10.1016/j.fishres.2011.04.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2011.04.008)
- Alejo-Plata C, Gómez-Márquez JL, Salgado-Ugarte IH. [2011](#page-15-0). Edad y crecimiento del dorado Coryphaena hippurus, en el golfo de Tehuantepec, México. Rev Biol Mar Oceanogr. 46(2):125–134. doi[:10.4067/S0718-19572011000200003](https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-19572011000200003)
- Alemany F, Deudero S, Morales-Nin B, López-Jurado JL, Jansa J, Palmer M, Palomera I. [2006.](#page-4-0) Influence of physical environmental factors on the composition and horizontal distribution of summer larval fish assemblages off Mallorca island (Balearic archipelago, western Mediterranean). J Plankton Res. 28(5):473–487. doi[:10.1093/plankt/fbi123](https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbi123)
- Alemany F, Massuti E. [1998](#page-5-0). First record of larval stages of Coryphaena hippurus (Pisces: Coryphaenidae) in the Mediterranean Sea. Sci Mar. 62(1–2):181–184.
- Alemany F, Quintanilla L, Velez-Belchí P, García A, Cortés D, Rodríguez JM, Fernández de Puelles ML, González-Pola C, López-Jurado JL. [2010](#page-5-0). Characterization of the spawning habitat of Atlantic bluefin tuna and related species in the Balearic Sea (Western Mediterranean). Prog Oceanogr. 86(1–2):21–38. doi:[10.1016/j.pocean.2010.04.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2010.04.014)
- Andaloro F, Campo D, Castriota L, Sinopoli M. [2007](#page-5-0). Annual trend of fish assemblages associated with FADs in the southern Tyrrhenian Sea. J Appl Ichthyol. 23(3): 258–263. doi[:10.1111/j.1439-0426.2007.00860.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2007.00860.x)
- Arocha F, Marcano LA, Lárez A, Altuve D, Alió J. [1999](#page-2-0). The fishery, demographic size structure and oocyte development of dolphinfish, Coryphaena hippurus, in Venezuela and adjacent waters^{*}. Sci Mar. $63(3-4)$: 401–409. doi[:10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4401](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4401)
- Ashworth EC, Hall NG, Hesp SA, Coulson PG, Potter IC. [2017](#page-33-0). Age and growth rate variation influence the functional relationship between somatic and otolith size. Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 74(5):680–692. doi[:10.1139/cjfas-](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2015-0471)[2015-0471](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2015-0471)
- Báez J. C., Camiñas J. A., Hernández P., Vasconcellos M., Barcelona S. G., Macías D. [2020.](#page-27-0) North Atlantic

Oscillation affects dolphinfish catch and bycatch in the Western Mediterranean Sea. Regional Studies in Marine Science. 36:101303.

- Bannister JV. [1976](#page-12-0). The length-weight relationship, condition factor and gut contents of the dolphin-fish Coryphaena hippurus (L.) in the Mediterranean. J Fish Biol. 9(4):335–338. doi[:10.1111/j.1095-8649.1976.tb04682.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1976.tb04682.x)
- Battaglia P, Romeo T, Consoli P, Scotti G, Andaloro F. [2010](#page-25-0). Characterization of the artisanal fishery and its socio-economic aspects in the central Mediterranean Sea (Aeolian Islands, Italy). Fish Res. 102(1-2):87–97. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2009.10.013) [1016/j.fishres.2009.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2009.10.013)
- Beardsley JGL. [1967](#page-14-0). Age, growth, and reproduction of the dolphin, Coryphaena hippurus, in the Straits of Florida. Copeia 1967(2):441–451. doi[:10.2307/1442132](https://doi.org/10.2307/1442132)
- Belvèze H, Bravo de Laguna J. [1980.](#page-3-0) Les ressources halieutiques de l'Atlantique centre-est deuxième partie: les ressources de la c^ote ouest-africaine entre 24 N et le Detroit de GibraltarOrgan. Des Nations Unies Pour L'Alimentation L'Agriculture (FAO), Rome,
- Ben-Abdallah R, Alturky AA, Nfati AA. [2005](#page-4-0). Dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus L., 1758) Fishery in Libya I. Gear and fishing characteristics. Sixième Congrès Maghrébin Des Sci. La Mer, Monast, 23–24.
- Benetti DD, Iversen ES, Ostrowski AC. [1995.](#page-4-0) Growth rates of captive dolphin, Coryphaena hippurus, in Hawaii. Fish Bull. 93(1):152–157.
- Benjamin D, Kurup B. [2012.](#page-16-0) Stock assessment of Dolphinfish, Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus, 1758) off southwest coast of India. J Mar Biol Assoc India. 54(1):96.
- Bentivoglio AA. [1988](#page-15-0). Invesigations into the Growth, Maturity, Mortality Rates and Occurrence of the Dolphin (Coryphaena Hippurus, Linnaeus) in the Gulf of Mexico M.Sc. thesis. University College of North Wales, Bangor, UK, 37.
- Besbes Benseddik A, Besbes R, Vitale S, Ezzeddine-Najai S, Cannizzaro L, Mrabet R. [2011.](#page-15-0) Determination of age and growth of dolphinfish, Coryphaena hippurus, off Tunisia by otolith microstructure analysis. Cybium 35(3): 173–180.
- Besbes Benseddik A, Besbes R. [2005.](#page-42-0) Contribution à l'étude Des Unités Opérationnelles de La Pêche de La Coryphene Coryphaena hippurus En Tunisie. Rapport Intermediaire. Project Cory 04. FAO/CopeMed. 15p.
- Besbes Benseddik A, Besbes R, El Abed A. [2000](#page-42-0). Données Preliminaires Sur La Production de La Coyphene Coryphaena hippurus En Tunisie. (Analyse de La Campagne de Pêche 1998 Dans La Région Est). Bull Inst Nat des Scie et Techno de la Mer, 18–21.
- Besbes Benseddik A. [2017.](#page-31-0) Bio-écologie, pêche et évaluation du stock de la coryphene Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus, 1758) dans la region Est de la Tunisie. Institut Suérieur de Biotechnologie de Monastir, 280.
- Besbes Benseddik A, Besbes R, Abed AE. [1999](#page-4-0). Etude de la pêche et de la biologie de la coryphene Coryphaena hippurus en Tunisie: Résultats préliminaires. Bull Inst Nat Sci Tech Mer. 26:69–83.
- Besbes Benseddik A, Besbes R, Ezzeddine-Najai S, Jarboui O, Mrabet R. [2015a.](#page-10-0) Diet of dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus (Coryphaenidae) from the Tunisian coast. Cybium 39(1):21–29.
- Besbes Benseddik A, Besbes R, Ezzeddine Najaï S, Jarboui O, Mrabet R. [2015b.](#page-24-0) Cycle reproductif et gamétogenèse de la dorade coryphene Coryphaena hippurus (Coryphaenidae) des eaux tunisiennes. Cybium 39(1):47–58.
- Besbes Benseddik A, Besbes R, Missaoui H, Najaï SE, Jarboui O. [2019.](#page-23-0) Reproductive dynamics and fecundity of Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus, 1758) in the Eastern Tunisian coast (Central Mediterranean). Curr Trends Fish Aquac. 2019(01).
- Bignami S, Sponaugle S, Cowen RK. [2014.](#page-5-0) Effects of ocean acidification on the larvae of a high-value pelagic fisheries species, Mahi-mahi Coryphaena hippurus. Aquat Biol. 21(3):249–260. doi[:10.3354/ab00598](https://doi.org/10.3354/ab00598)
- Brewton RA, Ajemian MJ, Young PC, Stunz GW. [2016.](#page-9-0) Feeding ecology of Dolphinfish in the Western Gulf of Mexico. Trans Am Fish Soc. 145(4):839–853. doi[:10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2016.1159614) [00028487.2016.1159614](https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2016.1159614)
- Brothers EB. [1987.](#page-15-0) Methodological approaches to the examination of otoliths in aging studies. The Iowa State University Press, pp. 319–330.
- Burt A, Kramer DL, Nakatsuru K, Spry C. [1988](#page-25-0). The tempo of reproduction in Hyphessobrycon pulchripinnis (Characidae), with a discussion on the biology of "multiple spawning" in fishes. Environ Biol Fish.Fish22(1):15–27. doi:[10.1007/BF00000541](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00000541)
- Camiñas JA, Fernández IDL. [2011](#page-2-0). Activities carried out by COPEMED on Dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) and the national fisheries associated in the period 2000-2005. A CopeMed contribution to the CopeMed II - MedSudMed Workshop on Fisheries and appraisal of Coryphaena hippurus (Palermo, Italy. 5–6 July, 2011). GCP/INT/028/SPA-GCP/INT/006/EC. Copemed II Occas. Pap., 3: 13.
- Camiñas JA, Grau AM, Hernández P. [2016](#page-30-0). Historical series of Coryphaena hippurus landing data (1981-2015) from professional fisheries using FADs in the Balearic Island, Spain. Copemed II Occas. Pap21:12.
- Campos JA, Segura A, Lizano O, Madrigal E. [1993.](#page-22-0) Ecologia básica de Coryphaena hippurus (Pisces: Coryphaenidae) y abundancia de otros grandes pelagicos en el Pacifico de Costa Rica. Rev Biol Trop. 41(3):783–790.
- Cannizaro L, D'Andrea F, Potoschi A, Scalisi M. [1999.](#page-25-0) Economic aspects of fishing of dolphinfish in Sicily. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):459–464. doi[:10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4459](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4459)
- Castriota L, Pipitone C, Campagnuolo S, Romanelli M, Potoschi A, Andaloro F. [2007](#page-9-0). Diet of Coryphaena hippurus (Coryphaenidae) associated with FADs in the Ionian and Southern Tyrrhenian Seas. Cybium 31(4):435–441.
- Castro JJ, Santiago J. A, Hernández-García V, Pla C. [1999.](#page-21-0) Growth and reproduction of the dolphinfish (Coryphaena equiselis and Coryphaena hippurus) in the Canary Islands, Central-East Atlantic (preliminary results)*. Sci Mar. Mar63(3-4):317–325. doi[:10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4327](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4327)
- Catalan IA, Auch D, Kamermans P, Morales-Nin B, Angelopoulos NV, Reglero P, Sandersfeld T, Peck MA. [2019.](#page-5-0) Critically examining the knowledge base required to mechanistically project climate impacts: a case study of Europe's fish and shellfish. Fish Fish. 20(3):501–517. doi: [10.1111/faf.12359](https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12359)
- Chang S-K, DiNardo G, Farley J, Brodziak J, Yuan Z-L. [2013.](#page-15-0) Possible stock structure of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) in Taiwan coastal waters and globally based on

reviews of growth parameters. Fish Res. 147:127–136. doi: [10.1016/j.fishres.2013.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2013.05.003)

- Chang S-K, Maunder MN. [2012.](#page-14-0) Aging material matters in the estimation of von Bertalanffy growth parameters for dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus). Fish Res. 119–120:147–153. doi[:10.1016/j.fishres.2012.01.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2012.01.008)
- Chatterji A, Ansari ZA. [1982.](#page-26-0) Fecundity of dolphin fish. Coryphaena hippurus L. Mahasagar-Bulletin Natl. Inst. Oceanogr15(2):129–133.
- Cheung WWL, Lam VWY, Pauly D. [2008](#page-24-0). Modelling present and climate-shifted distribution of marine fishes and invertebrates. Fisheries Centre Research Reports. University of British Columbia 2202 Main Mall, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, V6T 1Z4, pp. 72.
- Cillari T, Allegra A, Andaloro F, Gristina M, Milisenda G, Sinopoli M. [2018](#page-33-0). The use of echo-sounder buoys in Mediterranean Sea: a new technological approach for a sustainable FADs fishery. Ocean Coast Manag.152:70–76. doi[:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.11.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.11.018)
- Collette B, Acero A, Amorim AF, Boustany A, Canales Ramirez C, Cardenas G, Carpenter KE, de Oliveira Leite Jr N, Natale AD, Fox W, et al. [2011](#page-2-0). Coryphaena Hippurus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. ISSN 2307-8235.
- Copemed II. [2016.](#page-2-0) Report of the CopeMed II-MedSudMed Technical Workshop on Coryphaena hippurus Fisheries in the Western-Central Mediterranean, Malta 16-18 March 2016. Copemed II Technical Documents No42 (GCP/INT/ 028/SPA - GCP/INT/006/EC). Malaga, 2016. 24.
- CopeMed II. [2019](#page-31-0). Report of the CopeMed II-MedSudMed Workshop on the status of Coryphaena hippurus fisheries in the Western-Central Mediterranean, Cadiz, Spain, 8-9 October 2019. CopeMed II Technical Documents No.54 (GCP/INT/028/SPAGCP/INT/362/EC). 22 pp.
- Darmanin M, Camilleri M, Spiteri R. [2002.](#page-26-0) The Maltese fishing industry. Malta: MAF.
- Deidun A, Andaloro F, Bavestrello G, Canese S, Consoli P, Micallef A, Romeo T, Bo M. [2014](#page-33-0). First characterisation of a Leiopathes glaberrima (Cnidaria : Anthozoa : Antipatharia) forest in Maltese exploited fishing grounds. Ital. J. Zool.:1–280. doi:[10.1080/11250003.2014.986544](https://doi.org/10.1080/11250003.2014.986544)
- Dempster T, Taquet M. [2004](#page-9-0). Fish aggregation device (FAD) research: gaps in current knowledge and future directions for ecological studies. Rev Fish Biol Fisheries. 14(1):21–42. doi[:10.1007/s11160-004-3151-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-004-3151-x)
- Dempster T. [2004](#page-12-0). Biology of fish associated with moored fish aggregation devices (FADs): Implications for the development of a FAD fishery in New South Wales, Australia. Fish Res. 68(1-3):189–201. doi:[10.1016/j.fishres.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2003.12.008) [2003.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2003.12.008)
- Deudero S, Merella P, Morales-Nin B, Massutí E, Alemany F, Massutí E, Alemany F. [1999](#page-5-0). Fish communities associ-ated with FADs*. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):199-207. doi[:10.3989/](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4199) [scimar.1999.63n3-4199](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4199)
- Deudero S. [2001.](#page-12-0) Interspecific trophic relationships among pelagic fish species underneath FADs. J. Fish Biol. 58(1): 53–67. doi:[10.1111/j.1095-8649.2001.tb00498.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2001.tb00498.x)
- DFA. [2013](#page-42-0). Fisheries Management Plan: Lampuki FAD Fishery. Malta.
- Díaz-Jaimes P, Uribe-Alcocer M, Rocha-Olivares A, García-de-León FJ, Nortmoon P, Durand JD. [2010.](#page-2-0) Global phylogeography of the dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus):

the influence of large effective population size and recent dispersal on the divergence of a marine pelagic cosmopolitan species. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 57(3):1209–1218. doi:[10.1016/j.ympev.2010.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.10.005)

- Ditty JG, Shaw RF, Grimes CB, Cope JS. [1994.](#page-1-0) Larval development, distribution, and abundance common dolphin, Coryphaena hippurus, and pompano dolphin, C. equiselis (family: Coryphaenidae), in the northern Gulf of Mexico*. Fish Bull. 92(2):275-291.
- Ditty JG. [2001.](#page-5-0) Preliminary guide to the identification of the early life history stages of coryphaenid fishes of the western central Atlantic. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-459. pp. 6.
- Dooley JK. [1972](#page-3-0). Fishes associated with the pelagic Sargassum complex, with a discussion of the Sargassum community. Contrib Mar Sci. 16:32.
- Dos Santos ACL, Coutinho IM, Viana DDL, Gomes Do Rego M, Branco ISL, Hazin FHV, De Oliveira PGV. [2014](#page-22-0). Reproductive biology of dolphinfish, Coryphaena hippurus (Actinopterygii: Coryphaenidae), in Saint Peter and Saint Paul Archipelago, Brazil. Sci Mar. 78(3): 363–369. doi[:10.3989/scimar.04013.08A](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.04013.08A)
- Dragovich A, Potthoff T. [1972.](#page-14-0) Comparative study of food of skipjack and yellowfin tunas off the coast of West Africa. Fish Bull. 70(4):1087–1110.
- Dulčić J. [1999.](#page-5-0) First record of larval Brama brama (Pisces: Bramidae) and Coryphaena hippurus (Pisces: Coryphaenidae) in the Adriatic Sea. J Plankton Res. 21(6):1171–1174.
- Ehrhardt N, Brown JE, Pohlot BG. [2017.](#page-34-0) Desk Review of FADs fisheries development in the WECAFC region and the impact on stock assessments. Eight Session of the Scientific Advisory Group (SAG).
- Erdman DS. [1956.](#page-24-0) Recent fish records from Puerto Rico. Bull Mar Sci. 6(4):315–340.
- FAO. [2019.](#page-1-0) Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus, Species fact sheets 1758). [http://www.fao.org/fishery/species/3130/en2019.](http://www.fao.org/fishery/species/3130/en2019)
- FAO-GFCM. [2004](#page-32-0). Report of the Sixth Stock Assessment Sub-Committee Meeting (SCSA) Malaga (Spain), 10–12 May 2004, p. 73.
- Farrell ER, Boustany AM, Halpin PN, Hammond DL. [2014](#page-3-0). Dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) distribution in relation to biophysical ocean conditions in the northwest Atlantic. Fish Res.151:177–190. doi[:10.1016/j.fishres.2013.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2013.11.014) [11.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2013.11.014)
- Farrington SK. [1949](#page-14-0). Fishing the Atlantic: offshore and on. New York: Coward-McCann, p. 312.
- Fréon P, Cury P, Shannon L, Roy C. [2005](#page-5-0). Sustainable exploitation of small pelagic fish stocks challenged by environmental and ecosystem changes: a review. Bull Mar Sci. 76(2):385–462.
- Fromentin J-M, Powers JE. [2005.](#page-9-0) Atlantic bluefin tuna: population dynamics, ecology, fisheries and management. Fish Fisheries. 6(4):281–306. doi:[10.1111/j.1467-2979.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2005.00197.x) [2005.00197.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2005.00197.x)
- Furukawa S, Ohshimo S, Tomoe S, Shiraishi T, Nakatsuka N, Kawabe R. [2012.](#page-15-0) Age, growth, and reproductive characteristics of dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus in the waters off west Kyushu, northern East China Sea. Fish Sci. 78(6):1153–1162. doi[:10.1007/s12562-012-0557-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-012-0557-6)
- Galea JA. [1961](#page-4-0). The "Kannizzati" fishery. Proc Gen Fish Counc Med. 55:85–91.

412 (\rightarrow) V. MOLTÓ ET AL.

- García A, Alemany F. [2011](#page-5-0). Report on Dolphinfish larval catches off the Balearic Sea. A CopeMed II contribution to the CopeMed II - MedSudMed Workshop on Fisheries and appraisal of Coryphaena hippurus (Palermo, Italy. 5–6 July, 2011). GCP/INT/028/SPA-GCP/INT/006/EC. CopeMed II Occas. Pap., 5: 4.
- García-Arteaga JP, Claro R, Valle S, Garcia-Arteaga JP, Claro R, Valle S. [1997](#page-21-0). Length-weight relationships of Cuban marine fishes. Naga, ICLARM Q. 20(1):38–43.
- Gatt M, Dimech M, Schembri PJ. [2015](#page-14-0). Age, growth and reproduction of Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus, 1758) in Maltese Waters, Central Mediterranean. Medit Mar Sci. 16(2):334–345. doi:[10.12681/mms.706](https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.706)
- GBIF.org. [2018](#page-3-0). Data from: GBIF Occurrence Download [dataset]. [10.15468/dl.wiuamk](https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.wiuamk).
- Gibbs RHJ, Collette BB. [1959](#page-1-0). On the identification, distribution, and biology of the dolphins, Coryphaena hippurus and C. equiselis. Bull. Mar Sci. 9(2):117–152.
- Gorbunova NN. [1969](#page-14-0). Breeding grounds and food of the larvae of the swordfish [Xiphias gladius Linné (Pisces, Xiphilidae)]. Probl Ichthyol. 9:375–387.
- Grau A, Caminas J. [2011](#page-25-0). State of the Dolphinfish ~ (Coryphaena hippurus L.) fishery in Majorca Island in the period 2003-2010. A CopeMed II contribution to the CopeMed II - MedSudMed Workshop on Fisheries and appraisal of Coryphaena hippurus (Palermo, Italy. 5-6 July). CopeMed II Occas. Pap., 6: 6.
- Guzman HM, Díaz-Ferguson E, Vega ÁJ, Robles YA. [2015](#page-16-0). Assessment of the dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus (Perciformes: Coryphaenidae) fishery in pacific Panama. RBT. 63(3):705–716. doi:[10.15517/rbt.v63i3.15487](https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v63i3.15487)
- Habtes S, Muller-Karger FE, Roffer MA, Lamkin JT, Muhling BA. [2014.](#page-5-0) A comparison of sampling methods for larvae of medium and large epipelagic fish species during spring SEAMAP ichthyoplankton surveys in the Gulf of Mexico. Limnol Oceanogr Methods. 12(2): 86–101. doi:[10.4319/lom.2014.12.86](https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2014.12.86)
- Harden Jones, FR. [1968](#page-4-0). Fish migration. Edward Arnold.
- Hassler WW, Rainville RP. [1975.](#page-8-0) Techniques for hatching and rearing dolphin Coryphaena hippurus, through larvae and juvenile stages, pp. 17.
- Huh S, Choi HC, Baeck GW, Kim HW, Park JM. [2013](#page-5-0). Seasonal distribution of larval fishes in the Central and Southern Surface Waters of the East Sea. Korean J Fish Aquat Sci. 46(2):216–222. doi:[10.5657/KFAS.2013.0216](https://doi.org/10.5657/KFAS.2013.0216)
- Hunte W, Oxenford HA, Mahon R. [1995.](#page-8-0) Distribution and relative abundance of flyingfish (Exocoetidae) in the eastern Caribbean. II. Spawning substrata, eggs and larvae. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 117(1–3):25–37. doi:[10.3354/](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps117025) [meps117025](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps117025)
- Hyde JR, Lynn E, Humphreys R, Musyl M, West AP, Vetter R. [2005.](#page-5-0) Shipboard identification of fish eggs and larvae by multiplex PCR, and description of fertilized eggs of blue marlin, shortbill spearfish, and wahoo. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 286:269–277. doi[:10.3354/meps286269](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps286269)
- Iglesias M, Massutí E, Reñones O, and B. Morales-Nin. [1994](#page-4-0). Three small-scale fisheries based on the island of Majorca (NW Mediterranean). Bolletí de La Soc d'Història Nat. de Les Balear. 37:35-58.
- Jeong JM, Choi J, Im Y-J, Kim JN. 2017. Feeding habits of dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus in the South Sea of Korea. Korean J Fish Aquat Sci. 50(5):541–546.
- Kingsford MJ, Defries A. [1999](#page-5-0). The ecology of and fishery for Coryphaena spp. in the waters around Australia and New Zealand. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):267–275. doi:[10.3989/sci](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4277)[mar.1999.63n3-4277](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4277)
- Kitchens LL, Rooker JR. [2014.](#page-5-0) Habitat associations of dolphinfish larvae in the Gulf of Mexico. Fish Oceanogr. 23(6):460–471. doi[:10.1111/fog.12081](https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12081)
- Koched W, Hattour A, Said K. [2011.](#page-4-0) Les larves de poissons téléostéens le long des côtes Est tunisiennes : distribution et abondance. Bull Inst Nat Sci Tech Mer. 38:29–39.
- Kojima S. [1955.](#page-24-0) A study of dorado fishing condition in the Western Part of the Japan Sea,-I. Bull Japanese Soc Sci Fish. 20(12):1044–1049. doi[:10.2331/suisan.20.1044](https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.20.1044)
- Kojima S. [1961.](#page-14-0) Studies on fishing conditions of dolphin, Coryphaena hippurus L., in the Western region of the Sea of Japan-III. On food contents of the dolphin. Bull Japanese Soc Sci Fish. 27(7):625–629. doi:[10.2331/suisan.](https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.27.625) [27.625](https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.27.625)
- Kojima S. [1964.](#page-2-0) On the distribution of the dolphin, Coryphaena hippurus L., in the Pacific Ocean and the Indian Ocean. Bull Japanese Soc Sci Fish. 30(6):472–477. doi[:10.2331/suisan.30.472](https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.30.472)
- Kojima S. [1966](#page-22-0). Fishery biology of the common dolphin, Coryphaena hippurus L., inhabiting the Pacific Ocean. Bull. Shimane Pref. Fish. Exp. Stn1:1–108.
- Kouame JK, Diaha CN, N'Da K. [2017.](#page-22-0) Etude de quelques parametres de la reproduction de Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus, 1758) de la ZEE ivoirienne (Côte d'Ivoire. Int J Bio Chem Sci.. 11(1):32–45. doi[:10.4314/ijbcs.v11i1.3](https://doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v11i1.3)
- Kraul S. [1989.](#page-5-0) Review and current status of the aquaculture potential for the Mahimahi, Coryphaena hippurus. Adv Trop Aquac. 445–459.
- Kraul SA. [1999](#page-19-0). Seasonal abundance of the dolphinfish, Coryphaena hippurus, in Hawaii and the tropical Pacific Ocean^{*}. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):261-266. doi[:10.3989/scimar.](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4267) [1999.63n3-4267](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4267)
- Lai HL, Liu H. [1979](#page-18-0). Age and growth of Lutjanus sanguineus in the Arafura Sea and North West Shelf. Acta Oceanogr Taiwanica. 10:160–171.
- Lasso J, Zapata L. [1999.](#page-16-0) Fisheries and biology of Coryphaena hippurus (Pisces: Coryphaenidae) in the Pacific coast of Colombia and Panama*. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):387–399. doi:[10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4387](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4387)
- Lessa R, Santana FM. [2016.](#page-15-0) Growth of the dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus from north-eastern Brazil with an appraisal of the efficacy of scales and otoliths for ageing. J Fish Biol. 89(1):977–989. doi[:10.1111/jfb.13002](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13002)
- Leyva-Cruz E, Vásquez-Yeomans L, Carrillo L, Valdez-Moreno M. [2016.](#page-7-0) Identifying pelagic fish eggs in the Southeast Yucatan Peninsula using DNA Barcodes. Genome. 59(12):1117–1129. doi:[10.1139/gen-2015-0151](https://doi.org/10.1139/gen-2015-0151)
- Lindo-Atichati D, Bringas F, Goni G, Muhling B, Muller-Karger FE, Habtes S. [2012.](#page-6-0) Varying mesoscale structures influence larval fish distribution in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 463:245–257. doi[:10.3354/](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09860) [meps09860](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09860)
- Lleonart J, Morales-Nin B, Massutí E, Deudero S, Reñones O. [1999.](#page-30-0) Population dynamics and fishery of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) in the western Mediterranean*. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):447–457. doi:[10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4447) [4447](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4447)
- Lleonart J, Morales-Nin B, Massutí E, Deudero S, Reñones O. [1999](#page-32-0). Biology and fisheries of dolphinfish and related species. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):447–457. doi:[10.3989/scimar.](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4447) [1999.63n3-4447](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4447)
- Longhurst A, Pauly D. [1987](#page-18-0). Dynamics of tropical fish populations. San Diego: Ecol. Trop. Ocean. Acad. Press, pp. 309–368.
- López J, Moreno G, Boyra G, Dagorn L. [2016](#page-34-0). A model based on data from echosounder buoys to estimate biomass of fish species associated with fish aggregating devices. Fishery Bulletin, United States Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. FB 114(2):166–178. doi[:10.7755/FB.114.2.4](https://doi.org/10.7755/FB.114.2.4)
- López-Jurado JL, Marcos M, Monserrat S. [2008.](#page-5-0) Hydrographic conditions affecting two fishing grounds of Mallorca island (Western Mediterranean): during the IDEA Project (2003–2004). J Mar Syst. 71(3-4):303–315. doi[:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2007.03.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2007.03.007)
- Lorenzen K. [2016](#page-33-0). Toward a new paradigm for growth modeling in fisheries stock assessments: embracing plasticity and its consequences. Fish Res.180:4–22.
- Lowerre-Barbieri SK, Catalan IA, Frugard A, Jørgensen C. [2019](#page-33-0). Preparing for the future : integrating spatial ecology into ecosystem-based management. ICES J Mar Sci.76(2): 467–476. doi[:10.1093/icesjms/fsy209](https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsy209)
- Lozano-Cabo F. [1961.](#page-23-0) Biometría, biología y pesca de la Lampuga (Coryphaena hippurus L.) de las islas Baleares. Memorias La Real Acad Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Nat Madrid. Ser Ciencias Nat. 21:93.
- Macías D, Báez JC, García-Barcelona S, Ortiz de Urbina JM. [2012](#page-5-0). Dolphinfish bycatch in Spanish Mediterranean large pelagic longline fisheries, 2000–2010. Sci World J. 2012: 1–9. doi:[10.1100/2012/104389](https://doi.org/10.1100/2012/104389)
- Macías D, Báez JC, García-Barcelona S, Saber S, Camiñas JA, Ortiz de Urbina JM. [2016](#page-27-0). Revision of dolphinfish bycatch in Spanish Mediterranean Large Pelagic Longline fisheries, 2000-2014. Malta 16-18 March 2016. GCP/INT/ 028/SPA - GCP/INT/006/EC. CopeMed II Occas Pap. 22: 37.
- Madrid JV, Beltrán-Pimienta R. [2001](#page-21-0). Longitud, Peso y Sexo Del Dorado Coryphaena hippurus (Perciformes: Coryphaenidae), Del Litoral de Sinaloa, Nayarit y Baja California Sur, Mexico, pp. 10.
- Maggio T, Allegra A, Andaloro F, Pedro Barreiros J, Battaglia P, Butler CM, Cuttitta A, Fontes MRJ, Freitas R, Gatt M, et al. [2018.](#page-2-0) Historical separation and present-day structure of common dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) populations in the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. ICES J Mar Sci. 76(4):1028–1038. doi[:10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsy174) [icesjms/fsy174](https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsy174)
- Maguire J-J, Sissenwine M, Csirke J, Grainger R. [2006](#page-1-0). The state of the world highly migratory, straddling and other high seas fish stocks, and associated species. Report No. 495, Rome, FAO.
- Manooch CS, Mason DL, Nelson RS. [1984.](#page-9-0) Food and gastrointestinal parasites of dolphin Coryphaena hippurus collected along the Southeastern and Gluf Coasts of the United States. Bull Japanese Soc Sci Fish. 50(9): 1511–1525. doi[:10.2331/suisan.50.1511](https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.50.1511)
- Marín-Enríquez E, Muhlia-Melo A. [2017](#page-3-0). Environmental and spatial preferences of dolphinfish (Coryphaena spp.)

in the eastern Pacific Ocean off the coast of Mexico. FB 116(1):9–20. doi:[10.7755/FB.116.1.2](https://doi.org/10.7755/FB.116.1.2)

- Marín-Enríquez E, Seoane J, Muhlia-Melo A. [2018](#page-3-0). Environmental modeling of occurrence of dolphinfish (Coryphaena spp.) in the Pacific Ocean off Mexico reveals seasonality in abundance, hot spots and migration patterns. Fish Oceanogr. 27(1):28–40. doi:[10.1111/fog.12231](https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12231)
- Maroso F, Franch R, Dalla Rovere G, Arculeo M, Bargelloni L. [2016](#page-22-0). RAD SNP markers as a tool for conservation of dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus in the Mediterranean Sea: identification of subtle genetic structure and assessment of populations sex-ratios. Mar Genomics. 28:57–62. doi:[10.1016/j.margen.2016.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margen.2016.07.003)
- Martínez-Rincón RO, Ortega-García S, Vaca-Rodriguez JG. [2009](#page-3-0). Incidental catch of dolphinfish (Coryphaena spp.) reported by the Mexican tuna purse seiners in the eastern Pacific Ocean. Fish Res. 96(2-3):296–302. doi:[10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2008.12.008) [fishres.2008.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2008.12.008)
- Massutí E, Deudero S, Sánchez P, Morales-Nin B. [1998](#page-9-0). Diet and feeding of dolphin (Coryphaena hippurus) in Western Mediterranean Waters. Bull Mar Sci. 63(2): 329–341.
- Massutí E, Morales-Nin B, and, Moranta J. [1999](#page-2-0). Otolith microstructure, age, and growth patterns of dolphin, Coryphaena hippurus, in the western Mediterranean. Fish Bull. 97(4):891–899. (
- Massutí E, Morales-Nin B, Deudero S. [1999](#page-5-0). Fish fauna associated with floating objects sampled by experimental and commercial purse nets*. Sci Mar. $63(3-4):219-227$. doi:[10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4229](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4229)
- Massutí E, Morales-Nin B. [1997.](#page-4-0) Reproductive biology of dolphin-fish (Coryphaena hippurus L.) off the island of Majorca (Western Mediterranean). Fish Res. 30(1-2): 57–65. doi[:10.1016/S0165-7836\(96\)00562-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7836(96)00562-0)
- Massutí E, Morales-Nin B. [1997](#page-28-0). Seasonality and reproduction of dolphin-fish (Coryphaena hippurus) in the Western Mediterranean*. Sci Mar. 30(1-2):57-364. doi: [10.1016/S0165-7836\(96\)00562-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7836(96)00562-0)
- Massutí EM, Bonet B, Oliver M, Sansó B, Soler SV. [1997](#page-24-0). La Llampuga: Un mite de la tardor. Ed. D ocumenta Balear.
- Mather FJ, Day CG. [1954](#page-22-0). Observations of pelagic fishes of the tropical Atlantic. Copeia 1954(3):179–188. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.2307/1439189) [2307/1439189](https://doi.org/10.2307/1439189)
- Maynou F, Morales-Nin B, Cabanellas-Reboredo M, Palmer M, García E, Grau AM. [2013.](#page-27-0) Small-scale fishery in the Balearic Islands (W Mediterranean): a socio-economic approach. Fish Res. 139:11–17. doi[:10.1016/j.fishres.2012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2012.11.006) [11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2012.11.006)
- McBride RS, Snodgrass DJG, Adams DH, Rider SJ, Colvocoresses JA. [2012.](#page-23-0) An indeterminate model to estimate egg production of the highly iteroparous and fecund fish, dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus). BMS 88(2): 283–303. doi[:10.5343/bms.2011.1096](https://doi.org/10.5343/bms.2011.1096)
- Merten W, Appeldoorn R, Hammond D. [2014a.](#page-4-0) Movements of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) along the U.S. east coast as determined through mark and recapture data. Fish Res. 151:114–121. doi[:10.1016/j.fishres.2013.10.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2013.10.021)
- Merten W, Appeldoorn R, Hammond D. [2014b.](#page-4-0) Spatial differentiation of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) movements relative to the Bahamian archipielago. BMS 90(3): 849–864. doi[:10.5343/bms.2013.1078](https://doi.org/10.5343/bms.2013.1078)
- Merten W, Appeldoorn R, Hammond D. [2016](#page-4-0). Movement dynamics of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) in the northeastern Caribbean Sea: Evidence of seasonal re-entry into domestic and international fisheries throughout the western central Atlantic. Fish Res. 175:24–34. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2015.10.021) [1016/j.fishres.2015.10.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2015.10.021)
- Millot C. [1987](#page-5-0). Circulation in the hydrodynamics general circulation Mediterranean Sea mesoscale phenomena. Oceanol Acta 10(2):143–149.
- Mito S. [1960.](#page-5-0) Egg development and hatched larvae of the common dolphin-fish. Coryphaena hippurus Linné Bull Japanese Soc Sci Fish. 26(3):223–226. doi:[10.2331/suisan.](https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.26.223) [26.223](https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.26.223)
- Morales-Nin B, Cannizzaro L, Massuti E, Potoschi A, Andaloro F. [2000.](#page-2-0) An overview of the FADs fishery in the Mediterranean Sea. Proceedings of the Tuna Fishing and Fish Aggregating Devices Symposium, pp. 184–207.
- Morales-Nin B, di Stefano M, Potoschi A, Massutí E, Rizzo P, Gancitano S. [1999](#page-15-0). Differences between the sagitta, lapillus and vertebra in estimating age and growth in juvenile Mediterranean dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippu-rus. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):447-336.)*. doi[:10.3989/scimar.](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4447) [1999.63n3-4447](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4447)
- Morales-Nin B, Grau AM, Palmer M. [2010](#page-25-0). Managing coastal zone fisheries: a Mediterranean case study. Ocean Coast Manag. 53(3):99–106. doi:[10.1016/j.ocecoaman.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2010.01.003) [2010.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2010.01.003)
- Morales-Nin B. [2003](#page-3-0). FAO/COPEMED CORY03 Final Report Mediterranean Dolphinfish Fishery, pp. 1–13.
- Morales-Nin B. [2011](#page-33-0). Mediterranean FADs fishery: an overview. Second International Symposium on Tuna Fisheries and Fish Aggregation Devices.
- Moser HG. [1996.](#page-5-0) The early stages of fishes in the California Current Region. Calcofi Atlas (33). ISBN 0-935868-82-8.
- Moteki M, Arai Tsuchiya MK, Okamoto H. 2001. Composition of piscine prey in the diet of large pelagic fish in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. Fish Sci. 67(6): 1063–1074. doi:[10.1046/j.1444-2906.2001.00362.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1444-2906.2001.00362.x)
- Munro JL, Pauly D. [1983.](#page-20-0) A simple method for comparing the growth of fishes and invertebrates. Fishbyte. 1(1):5–6.
- Murphy RC. [1914.](#page-14-0) Notes on pelagic fishes. Copeia. 6(6): 1–3. doi[:10.2307/1436845](https://doi.org/10.2307/1436845)
- Nakamura EL. [1971](#page-23-0). An analysis of the catches and the biology of big game fishes caught by the New Orleans big game fishing club, 1966-1970. East Gulf Sport Fish Mar Lab Rep.
- Neilson JD, Campana SE. [2008](#page-17-0). A validated description of age and growth of western Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus). Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 65(8): 1523–1527. doi:[10.1139/F08-127](https://doi.org/10.1139/F08-127)
- Nikolic N, Morandeau G, Hoarau L, West W, Arrizabalaga H, Hoyle S, Nicol SJ, Bourjea J, Puech A, Farley JH, et al. [2017](#page-9-0). Review of albacore tuna, Thunnus alalunga, biology, fisheries and management. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 27(4): 775–810. doi[:10.1007/s11160-016-9453-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-016-9453-y)
- Nikolsky GV. [1963.](#page-4-0) The ecology of fishes. London and New York: Academic Press.
- Norton JG. [1999](#page-3-0). Apparent habitat extensions of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) in response to climate transients in the California Current*. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):239-260. doi: [10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4261](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4261)
- Nunes JDACC, Freitas RHA, Reis-Filho JA, Loiola M, Sampaio CLS. [2015.](#page-9-0) Feeding behavior of the common dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus: Older fish use more complex foraging strategies. J Mar Biol Ass. 95(6): 1277–1284. doi:[10.1017/S002531541500065X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S002531541500065X)
- Olson RJ, Galván-Magaña F. [2002](#page-9-0). Food habits and consumption rates of common dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) in the eastern Pacific Ocean. Fish Bull. 100(2): 279–298.
- Ortega-García S, Jakes U, Diaz J, Rodriguez R. [2018.](#page-21-0) Length-weight relationships of top predator fish caught by the sport fishing fleet off Cabo San Lucas, Baja California Sur, Mexico. Lajar. 46(1):10–14. doi[:10.3856/](https://doi.org/10.3856/vol46-issue1-fulltext-2) [vol46-issue1-fulltext-2](https://doi.org/10.3856/vol46-issue1-fulltext-2)
- Ortiz AF. [2013](#page-8-0). Efecto de la Variabilidad Ambiental Interanual en la Distribución y Abundancia de Larvas de Dorado (Coryphaena Spp.) en el Pacıfico Central Oriental. MS Thesis. Instituto Politécnico Nacional. Centro interdisciplinario de ciencias marinas. 81. p.
- Oxenford H, Mahon R, Hunte W. [1995.](#page-8-0) Distribution and relative abundance of flyingfish (Exocoetidae) in the eastern Caribbean. III. Juveniles. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 117: 11–23. doi:[10.3354/meps117011](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps117011)
- Oxenford HA, Hunte W. [1983](#page-15-0). Age and growth of dolphin, Coryphaena hippurus, as determined by growth rings in otoliths. Fish Bull. 84(4):906–909.
- Oxenford HA, Hunte W. [1999](#page-3-0). Feeding habits of the dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) in the eastern Caribbean*. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):303-315. doi:[10.3989/sci](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4317)[mar.1999.63n3-4317](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4317)
- Oxenford HA. [1985](#page-26-0). Biology of the Dolphin Coryphaena Hippurus and Its Implications for the Barbatian Fishery Phd Thesis. University of the West Indies, Cave Hill, Barbados, 366. p.
- Oxenford HA. [1999](#page-2-0). Biology of the dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) in the western central Atlantic: a review. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):277–301. doi[:10.3989/scimar.](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4303) [1999.63n3-4303](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4303)
- Ozawa T, Tsukahara H. [1971](#page-5-0). On the distribution of pelagic fish larvae and juveniles in the East China Sea and its adjacent regions. Japanese J Ichthyol. 18(3):139–146.
- Pace R, Dimech M, Camilleri M, Cabalenas A. [2007.](#page-33-0) Distribution and density of discarded limestone slabs used in the traditional Maltese lampuki fishery. CIESM Congr Proc. 38.
- Palko BJ, Beardsley GL, Richards WJ. [1982.](#page-2-0) Synopsis of the biological data on dolphin-fishes, Coryphaena hippurus Linnaeus and Coryphaena equiselis Linnaeus. Report. 43. NOAA Technical Report NMFS Circular. pp: 1–28.
- Palmer M, Tolosa B, Grau AM, Gil M. D M, Obregón C, Morales-Nin B. [2017](#page-25-0). Combining sale records of landings and fishers knowledge for predicting metiers in a smallscale, multi-gear, multispecies fishery. Fish Res. 195: 59–70. doi:[10.1016/j.fishres.2017.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2017.07.001)
- Panfili J, Morales-Nin B. [2002.](#page-18-0) Validation and verification methods: semi-direct validation, pp. 129–134.
- Park JM, Huh SH, Choi HC, Kwak SN. [2017.](#page-5-0) Larval distribution of the common dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus Linnaeus, 1758 (Coryphaenidae) in the East Sea/Sea of Japan. J Appl Ichthyol.Ichthyol33(4):815–818. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13387) [1111/jai.13387](https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13387)
- Pauly D, Froese R, Sa-A P, Palomares M, Christensen V, Rius J. [2000.](#page-3-0) Trophlab manual. ICLARM, 115. pp.
- Peck MA, Moyano M. [2016](#page-5-0). Measuring respiration rates in marine fish larvae: challenges and advances. J Fish Biol. 88(1):173–205. doi[:10.1111/jfb.12810](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12810)
- Pérez RN, Roman AM, Rivera GA. [1992.](#page-22-0) Investigation of the reproductive dynamics and preliminary evaluation of landings data of the dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus, L.
- Perez RN, Sadovy Y. [1996.](#page-25-0) Preliminary data on landing records and reproductive biology of Coryphaena hippurus L., in Puerto Rico. pp. 651–670.
- Perrichon P, Stieglitz JD, Xu EG, Magnuson JT, Pasparakis C, Mager EM, Wang Y, Schlenk D, Benetti DD, Roberts AP, et al. [2019](#page-5-0). Mahi-mahi (Coryphaena hippurus) life development: morphological, physiological, behavioral and molecular phenotypes. Dev Dyn. 248(5):337–350. doi[:10.1002/dvdy.27](https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.27)
- Pimentel M, Pegado M, Repolho T, Rosa R. [2014.](#page-5-0) Impact of ocean acidification in the metabolism and swimming behavior of the dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) early larvae. Mar Biol. 161(3):725–729. doi:[10.1007/s00227-013-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2365-7) [2365-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2365-7)
- Potoschi A, Cannizzaro L, Milazzo A, Scalisi M, Bono G. [1999](#page-4-0). Sicilian dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) fishery*. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):439–445. doi[:10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4439) [4439](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4439)
- Potoschi A, Reñones O, Cannizzaro L. [1999.](#page-25-0) Sexual development, maturity and reproduction of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) in the western and central Mediterranean*. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):367-372. doi[:10.3989/](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4367) [scimar.1999.63n3-4367](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4367)
- Potthoff T. [1971.](#page-3-0) Observations on two species of Dolphin (Coryphaena) from the tropical mid-Atlantic. Fish Bull. 69:877–879.
- Quetglas A, Merino G, Ordines F, Guijarro B, Garau A, Grau AM, Oliver P, Massutí E. [2016.](#page-25-0) Assessment and management of western Mediterranean small-scale fisheries. Ocean Coast Manag. 133:95–104. doi[:10.1016/j.oce](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2016.09.013)[coaman.2016.09.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2016.09.013)
- R Core Team. [2019](#page-3-0). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. [https://www.r-projecto.org.](https://www.r-projecto.org)
- Rajesh KM, Rohit P, Abdussamad EM. [2016](#page-22-0). Fishery, diet composition and reproductive biology of the dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus, 1758) off Karnataka, south-west coast of India. Indian J Fish. 63(4):35–40. doi: [10.21077/ijf.2016.63.4.60190-06](https://doi.org/10.21077/ijf.2016.63.4.60190-06)
- Retheesh TB, Roul SK, Prakasan D, Beni N, Thangaraja R, Abdussamad EM. [2017](#page-22-0). First record of abnormal hermaphroditism in the common dolphin fish, Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus, 1758). Thalassas 33(2):173–177. doi: [10.1007/s41208-017-0034-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41208-017-0034-1)
- Richardson DE, Llopiz JK, Guigand CM, Cowen RK. [2010.](#page-6-0) Larval assemblages of large and medium-sized pelagic species in the Straits of Florida. Prog. Oceanogr.86(1-2): 8–20. doi[:10.1016/j.pocean.2010.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2010.04.005)
- Rivera GA, Appeldoorn RS. [2000.](#page-15-0) Age and growth of dolphinfish, Coryphaena hippurus, off Puerto Rico. Fish Bull. 98:345–352.
- Rodríguez JM, Alemany F, García A. [2017](#page-5-0). A guide to the eggs and larvae of 100 common Western Mediterranean Sea bony fish species. FAO, Rome, Italy, pp. 256.
- Rose CD, Hassler WW. [1968.](#page-15-0) Age and growth of the dolphin, Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus), in North Carolina Waters. Trans Am Fish Soc. 97(3):271–276. doi:[10.1577/](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1968)97271:AAGOTD2.0.CO;2) [1548-8659\(1968\)97\[271:AAGOTD\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1968)97271:AAGOTD2.0.CO;2)
- Rose CD, Hassler WW. [1974](#page-3-0). Food habits and sex ratios of dolphin Coryphaena hippurus captured in the Western Atlantic Ocean off Hatteras, North Carolina. Trans Am Fish Soc. 103(1):94–100. doi:[10.1577/1548-](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1974)10394:FHASRO2.0.CO;2) [8659\(1974\)103](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1974)10394:FHASRO2.0.CO;2) < 94:FHASRO>2.0.CO;2
- Rose CD. [1966.](#page-24-0) The biology and catch distribution of the dolphin, Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus), in North Carolina Waters PhD Thesis. North Carolina State University.
- Rothschild BJ. 1964. Observations on Dolphins (Coryphaena spp.) in. the Central Pacific Ocean. Copeia.1964(2): 445–447. doi[:10.2307/1441039](https://doi.org/10.2307/1441039)
- Rudershausen PJ, Buckel JA, Edwards J, Gannon DP, Butler CM, Averett TW. [2010.](#page-14-0) Feeding ecology of blue marlins, dolphinfish, yellowfin tuna, and wahoos from the North Atlantic Ocean and Comparisons with other Oceans. Trans Am Fish Soc. 139(5):1335–1359. doi[:10.1577/T09-](https://doi.org/10.1577/T09-105.1) [105.1](https://doi.org/10.1577/T09-105.1)
- Ruiz J, Macias D, Rincon MM, Pascual A, Catalan IA, Navarro G. [2013.](#page-5-0) Recruiting at the edge: kinetic energy inhibits anchovy populations in the Western Mediterranean. PLoS One. 8(2):e55523. doi[:10.1371/jour](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0055523)[nal.pone.0055523](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0055523)
- Sacco F, Marrone F, Lo Brutto S, Besbes A, Nfati A, Gatt M, Saber S, Fiorentino F, Arculeo M. [2017](#page-2-0). The Mediterranean Sea hosts endemic haplotypes and a distinct population of the dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus Linnaeus, 1758 (Perciformes, Coryphaenidae). Fish Res.186:151–158. doi:[10.1016/j.fishres.2016.08.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2016.08.021)
- SAFMC. [2003](#page-2-0). Fishery management plan for the dolphinfish and wahoo of the Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 1 Southpark Cir. Ste 306, Charleston, S.C. pp. 386.
- Sakamoto R, Taniguchi N. [1993](#page-9-0). Stomach contents of dolphinfish, Coryphaena hippurus, caught around bamboo rafts in Tosa Bay, the waters southwestern Japan. Bull Japanese Soc Fish Oceanogr. 57(2):17–29.
- Sánchez NA. [2008](#page-5-0). Distribución de larvas de dorado Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus, 1758) y Coryphaena equiselis (Linnaeus, 1758) en el Pacıfico Oriental mexicano MS Thesis. Instituto Politécnico Nacional. Centro interdisciplinariode ciencias marinas. 90. p.
- Santiago J, Lopez J, Moreno G, Murua H, Quincoces I, Soto M. [2016](#page-34-0). Towards a tropical tuna buoy-derived abundance index (TT-BAI). Collect Vol Sci Pap ICCAT. 72(3):714–724.
- Saroj J, Koya KM, Mathew KL, Panja T. [2018](#page-22-0). Reproductive biology and feeding habits of the common dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus (Linnaeus, 1758) off Saurashtra coast, India. Indian J Fish. 65(4):44–49. doi[:10.21077/ijf.](https://doi.org/10.21077/ijf.2018.65.4.74184-05) [2018.65.4.74184-05](https://doi.org/10.21077/ijf.2018.65.4.74184-05)
- Schwenke KL, Buckel JA. [2008.](#page-15-0) Age, growth, and reproduction of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) caught off the coast of North Carolina. Fish Bull. 106(1):82–92.
- Shcherbachev YN. [1973.](#page-1-0) The biology and distribution of the dolphins (Pisces, Coryphaenidae). Vopr Ikhtiol. 13: 182–191.

416 $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ V. MOLTÓ ET AL.

- Shung S-H. [1987](#page-16-0). Study on the Age and Growth of Coryphaena hippurus Linnaeus in Coastal Waters off Eastern and South-Western Taiwan. Bull. Taiwan Fish Res Inst. 42:91–109.
- Sinopoli M, Badalamenti F, D'Anna G, Gristina M, Andaloro F. [2011.](#page-9-0) Size influences the spatial distribution and fish-aggregating device use of five Mediterranean fish species. Fish Manag Ecol. 18(6):456–466. doi[:10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2400.2011.00800.x) [1365-2400.2011.00800.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2400.2011.00800.x)
- Sinopoli M, Battaglia P, Barreiros JP. 2017. Unusual presence of Coryphaena hippurus Linnaeus, 1758 (Perciformes: Coryphaenidae) under an offshore oil platform in Southern Brazil. JCLM. 5(6):239–241., doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.12980/jclm.5.2017J7-48) [12980/jclm.5.2017J7-48](https://doi.org/10.12980/jclm.5.2017J7-48)
- Sinopoli M, Castriota L, Vivona P, Gristina M, Andaloro F. [2012](#page-5-0). Assessing the fish assemblage associated with FADs (Fish Aggregating Devices) in the southern Tyrrhenian Sea using two different professional fishing gears. Fish Res. 123-124:56–61. doi[:10.1016/j.fishres.2011.11.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2011.11.020)
- Sinopoli M, Cattano C, Andaloro F, Sara G, Butler CM, Gristina M. [2015](#page-33-0). Influence of fish aggregating devices (FADs) on anti-predator behavior within experimental mesocosms. Mar Environ Res. 112:152–159. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2015.10.008) [j.marenvres.2015.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2015.10.008)
- Sinopoli M, D'Anna G, Badalamenti F, Andaloro F. [2007](#page-33-0). FADs influence on settlement and dispersal of the young-of-the-year greater amberjack (Seriola dumerili). Mar Biol. 150(5):985–991. doi[:10.1007/s00227-006-0368-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-006-0368-3)
- Sinopoli M, Lauria V, Garofalo G, Maggio T, Cillari T. [2019](#page-33-0). Extensive use of fish aggregating devices together with environmental change influenced the spatial distribution of a tropical affinity fish. Sci Rep. 9(1):1–12. doi: [10.1038/s41598-019-41421-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41421-9)
- Sinopoli M, Pipitone C, Campagnuolo S, Campo D, Castriota L, Mostarda E, Andaloro F. [2004](#page-12-0). Diet of young-of-the-year bluefin tuna, Thunnus thynnus (Linnaeus, 1758), in the southern Tyrrhenian (Mediterranean) Sea. J Appl Ichthyol. 20(4):310–313. doi: [10.1111/j.1439-0426.2004.00554.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2004.00554.x)
- Solano A, Alvaro T, García V, Goicochea C, Blaskovic' V, Buitrón B, Chacón G. [2015.](#page-16-0) Biología y Pesquería Del Perico o Dorado (Coryphaena hippurus), Febrero 2010. Callao, Perú, 40p.
- Solano-Fernández M, Montoya-Márquez JA, Gallardo-Cabello M, Espino-Barr E. [2015.](#page-16-0) Age and growth of the Dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus in the coast of Oaxaca and Chiapas, Mexico. Rev Biol Mar Oceanogr. 50(3): 491–505. doi[:10.4067/S0718-19572015000400008](https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-19572015000400008)
- Stergiou KI, Karpouzi VS. [2001](#page-9-0). Feeding habits and trophic levels of Mediterranean fish. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 11(3): 217–254. doi[:10.1023/A:1020556722822](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020556722822)
- Sund PN, Girigorie H. [1966.](#page-14-0) Dolphin impaled on Marlin's bill. Sea Front. 12:326.
- Takahashi M, Mori K. [1973](#page-13-0). Studies on relative growth in body parts compared in Coryphaena hippurus and C. equiselis, and notes on gonadal maturation in the latter species. Bull Far Seas Fish Res Lab. 8:79–113.
- Tester AL, Nakamura EL. 1957. Catch rate, size, sex, and food of tunas and other pelagic fishes taken by trolling off Oahu, Hawaii, 1951-1955. Spec Sci Rep Fish. 96.
- Thompson NB. [1999.](#page-21-0) Characterizacion of the dolphinfish (Coryphaenidae) fishery of the United States Western

North Atlantic Ocean. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):421–427. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4421) [3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4421](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4421)

- Torres FJ, Pauly D. [1991](#page-15-0). Tabular data on marine fishes from Southern Africa, Part II: growth Parameters^{*}. Fishbyte. 9(2):37–38.
- Torres FJ. [1991.](#page-21-0) Tabular data on marine fishes from Southern Africa, Part I: length-weight relationships. Fishbyte. 9(1):50–53.
- Torres-Rojas YE, Hernández-Herrera A, Ortega-García S, Soto-Jiménez MF. [2014.](#page-9-0) Feeding habits variability and trophic position of dolphinfish in waters south of the Baja California Peninsula, Mexico. Trans Am Fish Soc. 143(2):528–542. doi:[10.1080/00028487.2013.866981](https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2013.866981)
- Tripp-Valdez A, Galván-Magaña F, Ortega-García S. [2010.](#page-12-0) Feeding habits of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) in the southeastern Gulf of California, Mexico. J Appl Ichthyol. 26(4):578–582. doi[:10.1111/j.1439-0426.2010.01483.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2010.01483.x)
- Uchiyama JH, Burch RK, Kraul SA. [1986](#page-16-0). Growth of dolphins, Coryphaena hippurus and C. equiselis, in Hawaiian waters as determined by daily increments on otoliths. Fish Bull. 84(1):186–191.
- Varela JL, Lucas-Pilozo CR, Gonzalez-Duarte MM. [2017.](#page-9-0) Diet of common dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) in the Pacific coast of Ecuador. J Mar Biol Ass. 97(1): 207–213. doi:[10.1017/S0025315416000175](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315416000175)
- Varghese SP, Somvanshi VS, John ME, Dalvi RS. [2013.](#page-9-0) Diet and consumption rates of common dolphinfish, Coryphaena hippurus, in the eastern Arabian Sea. J Appl Ichthyol. Ichthyol29(5):1022–1029. doi[:10.1111/jai.12166](https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.12166)
- Vella A. [1999](#page-4-0). Dolphinfish fishery around the Maltese Islands *. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):465–467. doi[:10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4465](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4465)
- Vinod Kumar M, Farejiya M, Kiran SM, Sahu K, Rahulkumar T. [2017.](#page-22-0) Observations on the food preferences, growth parameters and biological aspects of Coryphaena hippurus Linnaeus, 1758 exploited through the longline survey operations along the West coast of India. Int J Fish Aquat Stud. 5(2):240–248.
- Wang CH. [1979.](#page-24-0) A study of population dynamics of dolphin fish (Coryphaena hippurus) in water adjacent to eastern Taiwan. Acta Oceanogr Taiwanica. 10:233–251.
- Wells RJD, Rooker JR. [2009](#page-5-0). Feeding ecology of pelagic fish larvae and juveniles in slope waters of the Gulf of Mexico. J Fish Biol. 75(7):1719–1732. doi:[10.1111/j.1095-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02424.x) [8649.2009.02424.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02424.x)
- Whitney NM, Taquet M, Brill RW, Girard C, Schwieterman GD, Dagorn L, Holland KN. [2016.](#page-4-0) Swimming depth of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) associated and unassociated with fish aggregating devices. FB114(4): 426–434. doi:[10.7755/FB.114.4.5](https://doi.org/10.7755/FB.114.4.5)
- Williams F, Newell BS. [1957.](#page-22-0) Notes on the biology of the dorade or dolphin-fish (Coryphaena hippurus) in East African waters. East African Agric J. 23(2):113–118. doi: [10.1080/03670074.1957.11665131](https://doi.org/10.1080/03670074.1957.11665131)
- Wu C, Su W, Kawasaki T. [2001](#page-23-0). Reproductive biology of the dolphin fish Coryphaena hippurus on the east coast of Taiwan. Fisheries Sci. 67(5):784–793. doi[:10.1046/j.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1444-2906.2001.00324.x) [1444-2906.2001.00324.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1444-2906.2001.00324.x)
- Yoo JM, Lee EK, Kim S. [1999](#page-5-0). Distribution of ichthyoplankton in the adjacent waters of Yousu. J Korean Fish Soc. 32(3):295–302.
- Young T, Pincin J, Neubauer P, Ortega-García S, Jensen OP. 2018. Investigating diet patterns of highly mobile

marine predators using stomach contents, stable isotope, and fatty acid analyses. ICES J Mar Sci. 75(5):1583–1590. doi[:10.1093/icesjms/fsy025](https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsy025)

- Zaouali J, Missaoui H. [1999.](#page-4-0) Small-scale Tunisian fishery for dolphinfish*. Sci Mar. 63(3-4):469-472. doi[:10.3989/](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4469) [scimar.1999.63n3-4469](https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1999.63n3-4469)
- Zavala-Camin LA. [1986.](#page-14-0) Conteúdo Estomacal e Distribuição Do Dourado Coryphaena Hippurus e Ocorrência de C. Equiselis No Brasil (24 S–33 S):5–14.
- Zúñiga-Flores MS, Ortega-García S, Rodríguez-Jaramillo MDC, López-Martínez J. [2011.](#page-4-0) Reproductive dynamics of the common dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus in the southern Gulf of California. Mar Biol Res. 7(7):677–689. doi[:10.1080/17451000.2011.554558](https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2011.554558)

Appendix

This section contains the extended information regarding the fleet, fishing gears and the management regulations for the Mediterranean dolphinfish FAD fishery.

Fleet

The Spanish fleet is composed of artisanal boats, locally known as "llauts", traditionally built in local shipyards, offering a traditional job in this region since the beginning of the last century. The active fleet fluctuate around 50 vessels. Although these boats operate in established regions near their base harbors, the landings must be disembarked at the Mallorca central fish auction wharf, due to the commercial requirements and for a better control of landings.

In Italy, most of the vessels are concentrated along the Sicilian coasts (mainly in the southeastern Ionian and the northern Tyrrhenian coasts). There is an estimated number of 150 vessels plus another 30–50 vessels in other Italian regions such as Calabria, and other areas of the Tyrrhenian Sea, such as Campania and Liguria. In the case of Sicily, there are differences between the western and eastern fleet. These differences are related with the different fishing methods carried out throughout the year. In the western Sicily, the boats generally operate near the coast, and are engaged in fishing dolphinfish from September to December, while the rest of the year they fish using "trammel-net", bottom long-line or gill-nets. On the other hand, the eastern Sicily fleet is involved in the dolphinfish fishery only a limited period of the year, when this species is present. Then, they engage in other fisheries, some of them farther away from the coast, where the length and power of the boats take considerable importance, reaching 14–15 m in some cases. As a result, from the end of the dolphinfish fishery until March they fish with hand lines or bottom long lines. From March to August, they are involved in the swordfish (Xiphias gladius) fishery using pelagic long lines, or fishing small and medium-sized pelagic species with purse-seine nets (Potoschi et al. [1999](#page-40-0); Morales-Nin et al. [2000](#page-39-0)).

Tunisia has the largest fleet dedicated to this fishery, with almost 300 fishing boats from 20 different harbors. Most of them (approximately 200 boats, 72% of the fleet) are located througout the eastern coast, while the rest is distributed througout the northern coast (approximately 100 boats, 24% of the fleet) and the southern coast (approximately 20 boats, 6% of the fleet) (Besbes Benseddik et al. [2000](#page-35-0); Besbes Benseddik and Besbes, [2005](#page-35-0)). The elevated number of boats operating in the eastern coast reflects

the importance of this traditional activity and the relative abundance of this resource in that region. Nonetheless, the fishing activity in the northern and southern regions has incrased the recent years (Besbes Benseddik and Besbes, [2005](#page-35-0)).

The technical specifications of the fleets operating in different countries are summarized in the following table:

Table A1. Characteristics of the Mediterranean artisanal smallscale fleet.

Region / Strata	Length (m)	Gross tonnage (Gt)	Power (Kw)	Number
Spain (Mallorca island)	8.3	5.6	$64*$	45
Malta $1**$	9.9 ± 3.42	$6. + 7.66$	97.8 ± 70.7	45
Malta 2^{**}	11.6 ± 4.37	9.0 ± 8.14	113.7 ± 76.5	19
Malta $3**$	13.3 ± 4.82	17.5 ± 14.66	188.8 ± 107.8	27
Sicily West	9.9	5.8		150
Sicily East	11.4	10.4		
Tunisia North	9.8 ± 1.60	8.3 ± 3.2	$54.8 + 23.6$	71
Tunisia East	10.2 ± 1.50	8.4 ± 3.3	57.4 ± 27.5	205
Tunisia South	12.3 ± 1.70	15.5 ± 4.8	118.4 ± 64.4	18

Data in hp units.

**Fleet data based on 2000 data.

- 11111) ngaman
Spain

This fishery is managed by the agriculture, food and environment ministry of Spain, advised by the fisheries directorate of the Balearic Islands regional government (Orden OAA/1688/2013).

Briefly, each boat involved in the fishery is provided with a mooring area that is raffled among all fishermen at the beginning of the fishing season. This raffle is conducted by the representative entities of the fishing sector before the July $15th$. Afterward, the ministry is informed of the assignated mooring areas. To participate in the raffle, each boat owner or boat master must prove the ownership of a "llampuguera" and a minimum of two crew members enrolled in the boat. The boats authorized to fish dolphinfish can not fish with other fishing gears or target other species during the fishing season (Orden OAA/1688/2013).

Malta

The importance of this fishery led to the development of a management plan for the lampuki FAD fishery in 2013 (DFA 2013), with two main objectives: (i) to ensure the sustainability of the dolphinfish stock, with the target of maintaining stable the trends of the local annual catches, which are around 350 tons on average; and (ii) to ensure the financial stability of the fishers, considering landing data of local catches and socio-economic data (the gross profit per vessel) as indicators.

Other measures indicated in the management plan are that no more than 130 vessels will be authorized to take part in the FAD fishery and all the vessels, including those smaller than 10 m, would be forced to land in the designated ports and annotate their landings in catch logbooks (DFA, [2013\)](#page-36-0). Apart from these national measures, the management plan emphasizes that, to ensure the sustainability and stability of Maltese catches, a regional management plan is required to manage the stock, as dolphinfish could be considered a shared stock among other Mediterranean regions.

Italy

The boats involved in the FAD fishery must be specifically authorized. The fishing operations are always conducted

Table A2. Summary of legislation applicable to dolphinfish fishery for each region.

by a multi-gear fleet that can use different gears throughout the fishing season. The fishing activity commonly starts in the Ionian (eastern part of Sicily) and progressively extends to the other areas.

The number of FAD deployed in Sicily is regulated by local agreements, set up by 7 different COGEPA (fishers associations). These agreements are part of a local management plan supported by the EU Fisheries Funds to implement local regulations.

Tunisia

This fishery is regulated by annual ministerial decrees issued by a national steering committee. The committee is constituted by researchers from the Institut National des Sciences et Technologies de la Mer (INSTM), professionals of the fishing sectors (fishers or fishers unions), the regional delegate of fishers, the heads of ports, health authorities and the supervisory authorities (defense and national security). This committee meets as many times as needed until the end of July or early August, through the proposal of the general director of fisheries and aquaculture.

At the end of July, exploratory surveys are conducted by scientists of the INSTM in the framework of the steering committee, to detect the presence of dolphinfish and determine the length distribution of the dolphinfish beneath the FAD. If the size of the dolphinfish do not reach the minimum regulated size, which is established in 30 cm FL, the opening of the fishery can be delayed.

The ministry of agriculture publishes an annual decree before the fishing season opening considering the measures described above, which fixes the restrictions for the current fishing season. The boats must have a special authorization; however, the dolphinfish fishery is not exclusive during the season. Vessels are allowed to fish dolphinfish in a multigear fishery context. Thus, depending on the weather conditions, or on the success of the first hauls, they can also fish other species on the same trip.

Additional details of regional regulations are summarized in the [table A2](#page-43-0).