### Portland State University

### **PDXScholar**

Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Publications and Presentations Fariborz Maseeh Department of Mathematics and Statistics

4-2023

# Longitudinal changes in Alzheimer's-related plasma biomarkers and brain amyloid

Murat Bilgel Johns Hopkins University

Abhay Moghekar National Institutes of Health, Baltimore

Henrik Zetterberg University of Gothenburg

Bruno Jedynak Portland State University, bruno.jedynak@pdx.edu

multiple additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/mth\_fac

Part of the Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

### **Citation Details**

Bilgel, M., An, Y., Walker, K. A., Moghekar, A. R., Ashton, N. J., Kac, P. R., ... & Resnick, S. M. (2023). Longitudinal changes in Alzheimer's-related plasma biomarkers and brain amyloid. medRxiv, 2023-01.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

### RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Longitudinal changes in Alzheimer's-related plasma biomarkers and brain amyloid

Murat Bilgel<sup>1</sup> Vang An<sup>1</sup> | Keenan A. Walker<sup>1</sup> | Abhay R. Moghekar<sup>2</sup> | Nicholas J. Ashton<sup>3,4,5,6</sup> | Przemysław R. Kac<sup>3</sup> | Thomas K. Karikari<sup>3</sup> | Kaj Blennow<sup>3,7</sup> | Henrik Zetterberg<sup>3,7,8,9,10,11</sup> | Bruno M. Jedynak<sup>12</sup> | Madhav Thambisetty<sup>1</sup> | Luigi Ferrucci<sup>13</sup> | Susan M. Resnick<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Behavioral Neuroscience, National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

Revised: 25 April 2023

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

- <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Neurochemistry, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, The Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Mölndal, Sweden
- <sup>4</sup>King's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, Maurice Wohl Clinical Neuroscience Institute, London, UK
- <sup>5</sup>NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health and Biomedical Research, Unit for Dementia at South London and Maudsley, NHS Foundation, London, UK

<sup>6</sup>Centre for Age-Related Medicine, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway

<sup>7</sup>Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Mölndal, Sweden

<sup>8</sup>Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, UCL Institute of Neurology, London, UK

<sup>9</sup>UK Dementia Research Institute at UCL, London, UK

<sup>10</sup>Hong Kong Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Clear Water Bay, Hong Kong, China

<sup>11</sup>Wisconsin Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

<sup>12</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon, USA

<sup>13</sup>Translational Gerontology Branch, National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

#### Correspondence

Murat Bilgel, Laboratory of Behavioral Neuroscience, National Institute on Aging, 251 Bayview Blvd., Suite 100 Rm 04B329, Baltimore, MD 21224, USA. Email: murat.bilgel@nih.gov

#### **Funding information**

Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Aging; Swedish Research Council, Grant/Award Number: #2017-00915; Alzheimer Drug Discovery Foundation (ADDF), USA, Grant/Award Number: #RDAPB-201809-2016615; Swedish Alzheimer's Foundation, Grant/Award Number: #AF-742881; Hjärnfonden, Sweden, Grant/Award Number: #FO2017-0243; Swedish government and county councils, the ALF-agreement, Grant/Award Number: #ALFGBG-715986; European Union Joint Program for Neurodegenerative Disorders,

### Abstract

**INTRODUCTION:** Understanding longitudinal plasma biomarker trajectories relative to brain amyloid changes can help devise Alzheimer's progression assessment strategies.

**METHODS:** We examined the temporal order of changes in plasma amyloid- $\beta$  ratio (A $\beta_{42}$ /A $\beta_{40}$ ), glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), neurofilament light chain (NfL), and phosphorylated tau ratios (p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$ , p-tau231/A $\beta_{42}$ ) relative to <sup>11</sup>C-Pittsburgh compound B (PiB) positron emission tomography (PET) cortical amyloid burden (PiB–/+). Participants (n = 199) were cognitively normal at index visit with a median 6.1-year follow-up.

**RESULTS:** PiB groups exhibited different rates of longitudinal change in  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  ( $\beta = 5.41 \times 10^{-4}$ , SE =  $1.95 \times 10^{-4}$ , p = 0.0073). Change in brain amyloid correlated with change in GFAP (r = 0.5, 95% CI = [0.26, 0.68]). The greatest

© 2023 Alzheimer's Association. This article has been contributed to by U.S. Government employees and their work is in the public domain in the USA.

Alzheimer's & Dementia

Grant/Award Number: JPND2019-466-236: National Institutes of Health (NIH), USA, Grant/Award Number: 1R01AG068398-01: European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation program. Grant/Award Number: 101053962; Swedish State Support for Clinical Research, Grant/Award Number: #ALFGBG-71320; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation (ADDF), USA, Grant/Award Number: #201809-2016862; AD Strategic Fund and the Alzheimer's Association, Grant/Award Numbers: #ADSF-21-831376-C, #ADSF-21-831381-C, #ADSF-21-831377-C; Olav Thon Foundation, the Erling-Persson Family Foundation. Stiftelsen för Gamla Tjänarinnor, Hjärnfonden, Sweden, Grant/Award Number: #FO2022-0270; Marie Skłodowska-Curie, Grant/Award Number: 860197; European Union Joint Programme Neurodegenerative Disease Research, Grant/Award Number: JPND2021-00694; UK Dementia Research Institute at UCL, Grant/Award Number: UKDRI-1003; NIH NIA, Grant/Award Numbers: R01 AG027161, AG021155

# relative decline in $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ (-1%/year) preceded brain amyloid positivity by 41 years (95% CI = [32, 53]).

**DISCUSSION:** Plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  may begin declining decades prior to brain amyloid accumulation, whereas p-tau ratios, GFAP, and NfL increase closer in time.

### KEYWORDS

biomarkers, longitudinal, Pittsburgh compound B, plasma, positron emission tomography

### HIGHLIGHTS

- Plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  declines over time among PiB- but does not change among PiB+.
- Phosphorylated-tau to Aβ<sub>42</sub> ratios increase over time among PiB+ but do not change among PiB-.
- Rate of change in brain amyloid is correlated with change in GFAP and neurofilament light chain.
- The greatest decline in  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  may precede brain amyloid positivity by decades.

### 1 | BACKGROUND

Plasma biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease (AD)-related pathology and neurodegeneration are proxies of these changes in the central nervous system. Their low cost and ease of collection make them good candidates for widespread clinical use for assessing AD-related changes.

Amyloid- $\beta$  (A $\beta$ ) accumulation marks the beginning of preclinical AD among cognitively unimpaired individuals.<sup>1</sup> As highlighted in the research priorities outlined by Hansson et al.,<sup>2</sup> it is important to understand longitudinal changes in plasma biomarkers relative to the onset of this hallmark neuropathology. A better understanding of longitudinal plasma biomarker trajectories can improve patient selection and monitoring in clinical trials, facilitating the identification of individuals at high risk of developing neurodegenerative changes and cognitive impairment. Plasma biomarkers may be particularly useful in limiting the number of PET scans conducted to determine participant eligibility for trials of anti-amyloid treatments.<sup>3-7</sup>

Despite rapidly developing research on plasma biomarkers, studies investigating longitudinal change remain limited. Chatterjee et al. reported that plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ , tau phosphorylated at threonine 181 (p-tau181) and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) change more rapidly among individuals with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) compared to cognitively normal individuals.<sup>8</sup> O'Connor et al. found that longitudinal trajectories of plasma neurofilament light chain (NfL) and p-tau181 among autosomal dominant AD mutation carriers started diverging from trajectories observed for non-carriers at about 16-17 years prior to estimated symptom onset.<sup>9</sup> Plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}^{10}$  and p-tau181<sup>11</sup> also exhibit changes prior to elevated brain amyloid levels, with plasma  $A\beta$  changing prior to p-tau181.<sup>12</sup> In a cohort of individuals with and without cognitive impairment, Rauchmann et al. examined trajectories of plasma p-tau181 and NfL relative to cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or imaging measure-based definitions of amyloid (A), tau (T), and neurodegeneration (N) status and found that relative to the A–TN– group, all other groups exhibited steeper longitudinal increases in NfL<sup>13</sup> Further, recent cross-sectional and longitudinal studies have shown early changes of all plasma biomarkers but note that p-tau231 changes earliest in response to  $A\beta$  deposition.<sup>14–16</sup> These findings suggest that these plasma biomarkers may be dynamic in the preclinical phase of AD and even earlier. However, it remains unclear how closely longitudinal changes in plasma biomarkers mirror longitudinal changes in brain amyloid levels.

In this study, we focus on understanding the temporal order of changes in AD-related plasma biomarkers relative to brain amyloid levels as measured with <sup>11</sup>C-Pittsburgh compound B (PiB) PET. The plasma measures we consider are  $A\beta_{42}$ ,  $A\beta_{40}$ , GFAP, NfL, p-tau181, and p-tau231 concentrations as well as the ratios  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ , p-tau181/ $A\beta_{42}$ , and p-tau231/ $A\beta_{42}$ . In cross-sectional analyses, we first replicate previous findings regarding their accuracy in classifying amyloid PET status. We then use longitudinal data to quantify their longitudinal intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs), estimate their trajectories as a function of brain amyloid status, investigate the associations among longitudinal rates of change in plasma and brain amyloid measures, and, finally, examine the temporal order of changes in plasma measures relative to elevation in cerebral fibrillar amyloid burden.

### 2 | METHODS

### 2.1 | Participants

Our sample consisted of 199 initially cognitively normal Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging (BLSA) participants with both amyloid PET and plasma biomarkers. A total of 176 participants had at least two visits with both amyloid PET and plasma biomarkers. In addition, 21% of participants developed MCI or dementia over the course of the study. Measurements at the index visit, defined as the earliest cognitively normal visit with a full set of plasma biomarkers, were used for cross-sectional analyses. All plasma biomarker measurements for these participants were used in longitudinal analyses, allowing for inclusion of visits where a subset of plasma biomarkers was missing (because measurement was not performed or did not meet quality control).

Research protocols were conducted in accordance with United States federal policy for the protection of human research subjects contained in Title 45 Part 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations, approved by local institutional review boards, and all participants gave written informed consent at each visit.

### 2.2 Cognitive assessment

Cognitively normal status was based on either (i) no more than three errors on the Blessed Information-Memory-Concentration Test<sup>17</sup> and a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR)<sup>18</sup> of zero or (ii) the participant was assessed as being cognitively normal based on thorough review of clinical and neuropsychological data at consensus diagnostic conference. MCI and dementia diagnoses were determined according to Petersen<sup>19</sup> and Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders III-R criteria,<sup>20</sup> respectively.

### 2.3 | PET image acquisition and processing

Dynamic amyloid PET scans were acquired using <sup>11</sup>C-PiB over 70 min on either a General Electric Advance scanner or a Siemens High Resolution Research Tomograph immediately following an intravenous bolus injection of approximately 555 MBq of radiotracer. Distribution volume ratio (DVR) was calculated using a spatially constrained simplified reference tissue model with a cerebellar gray matter reference region.<sup>21</sup> Mean cortical amyloid burden was calculated as the average DVR in the cingulate, frontal, parietal (including precuneus), lateral temporal, and lateral occipital regions, excluding the pre- and post-central gyri. Mean cortical DVR (cDVR) values were harmonized between the two scanners by leveraging longitudinal data available on both scanners for 79 participants. PET acquisition and processing are described elsewhere.<sup>22,23</sup> The number of longitudinal PiB PET measurements included was 589.

### 2.3.1 | PiB group determination

PiB PET scans were categorized as -/+ based on a cDVR threshold of 1.06 derived from a Gaussian mixture model fitted to harmonized cDVR values at first PET. We imputed PiB group for visits without a PiB PET scan (Supplementary Material).

#### **RESEARCH IN CONTEXT**

- Systematic Review: We conducted a PubMed search with the keywords "blood," "plasma," "longitudinal," "amyloid," "PET," and "Alzheimer." We identified a need for further research on the longitudinal relationships among plasma biomarkers and brain amyloid positron emission tomography (PET).
- 2. Interpretation: Changes in several plasma biomarkers may be detectable decades prior to brain amyloid accumulation onset. Longitudinal change in plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ may plateau by the time of brain amyloid positivity, but other plasma biomarkers, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) in particular, may be promising proxies for monitoring change in brain amyloid levels following brain amyloid positivity.
- 3. Future Directions: The utility of plasma biomarkers for disease monitoring in clinical practice will be dependent on their ability to reliably reflect change. Temporal order and magnitude of plasma biomarker changes should be examined using individual-level data collected over longer follow-up durations. Whether early changes in plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  can predict future brain amyloid accumulation should be examined in prospective studies.

#### 2.4 | Plasma biomarkers

 $A\beta_{40}, A\beta_{42}, GFAP$ , and NfL were measured at Johns Hopkins University (Baltimore, MD, USA) on a Quanterix (Billerica, MA, USA) HD-X instrument using the Quanterix Simoa Neurology 4-plex-E assay in duplicate and averaged (intra-assay coefficient of variation was 2.8, 1.9, 5.0, and 5.1, respectively<sup>24</sup>). Three outlying NfL measurements > 125pg/mL were excluded based on examination of within-individual longitudinal data. p-tau181 and p-tau231 were measured at the Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory, University of Gothenburg (Mölndal, Sweden), on a Quanterix HD-X instrument using Simoa assays developed in-house.<sup>25,26</sup> Repeatability coefficients were 5.1% and 5.5% for the p-tau181 assay at concentrations of 11.6 and 15.5 pg/mL, respectively. Repeatability coefficients were 3.4% and 7.4% for the p-tau231 assay at concentrations of 31.6 and 42.7 pg/mL, respectively. For p-tau, concentrations below the limit of detection were imputed at 0 and values below the lower limit of quantitation were retained as is. In the main paper, we focus on the ratios  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ , p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$ , and p-tau231/A $\beta_{42}$ , in addition to the concentrations of GFAP and NfL, and report results for the individual proteins  $A\beta_{40}$ ,  $A\beta_{42}$ , p-tau181, and p-tau231 in the Supplementary Material. We divided p-tau concentrations by  $A\beta_{42}$  based on the performance of CSF p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$ in discriminating between PiB+ and PiB-<sup>27,28</sup> as well as other amyloid PET tracer-based positivity definitions<sup>29</sup> and in predicting conversion from a CDR of 0 to >0.<sup>30</sup> Since reduction in CSF or plasma  $A\beta_{42}$ 

THE JOURNAL OF THE ALZHEIMER'S ASSOCIATION

rather than  $A\beta_{40}$  is a better indicator of AD,<sup>31</sup> dividing by  $A\beta_{42}$  yields a ratio more specific to AD. Plasma p–tau/A $\beta_{42}$  is also associated with amyloid and tau PET.<sup>32,33</sup> The number of longitudinal measurements included across 199 participants was 685 for  $A\beta_{40}$ ,  $A\beta_{42}$ , and GFAP, 682 for NfL, 671 for p-tau181, 676 for p-tau231, 597 for p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$ , and 602 for p-tau231/A $\beta_{42}$ .

We estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) at each plasma visit from serum creatinine levels using the Chronic Kidney Disease-Epidemiology collaboration formula. For visits without serum creatinine measurements, we imputed eGFR by carrying it forward or backward in time within person.

### 2.5 | Statistical analysis

### 2.5.1 | Classification of brain amyloid status using plasma biomarkers

We assessed the performance of each plasma measure in classifying individuals into PiB groups at the index visit. We examined the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and the area under the curve (AUC) separately for each measure. We also assessed the performance of plasma measures and demographics (age, sex, race, and APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 genotype) in multivariable analyses for classifying the PiB group. As multivariable analyses involved estimating model parameters, we used 10-fold stratified (i.e., the proportion of PiB+ individuals in each fold were approximately the same) cross-validation to obtain ROC curves by estimating model parameters in the training set and obtaining predictions in the testing set. The models investigated included elastic net logistic regression models (with varying levels of  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ penalties to span the spectrum from least absolute shrink and selection operator to ridge regression), distributed random forests, gradient boosting machines, and extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost). Multivariable classifiers were fitted using automl in the H2O package (version 3.36.0.3)<sup>34,35</sup> in R version 4.0.3.<sup>36</sup>

### 2.5.2 | Longitudinal intraclass correlation coefficients

To assess the longitudinal reliability of biomarkers after accounting for expected population-level changes, we computed longitudinal ICCs using a linear mixed-effects model (LMEM) for each biomarker that included an intercept and time from index visit term as fixed effects and a random intercept per participant. ICC was calculated as the ratio of the variance of the random intercept to the sum of the variances of the random intercept and noise. We calculated longitudinal ICC using data for (i) all, (ii) only PiB–, and (iii) only PiB+ individuals.

### 2.5.3 | Longitudinal plasma biomarker trajectories by brain amyloid status

We examined longitudinal plasma biomarker trajectories by brain amyloid status using a separate LMEM per biomarker. Unadjusted models

included PiB group at index visit, time from index visit, and their interaction. Adjusted models additionally included age at index visit, sex, race, APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 status, and age  $\times$  time interaction. We also included eGFR and body mass index (BMI) concurrent with plasma measurement as covariates given their associations with plasma biomarkers.<sup>37</sup> The main goals of this analysis were to examine PiB group differences in (i) plasma concentrations at index visit and (ii) longitudinal rates of change in plasma concentrations for each of the following five measures:  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ , p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$ , p-tau231/A $\beta_{42}$ , GFAP, and NfL. Statistical significance was defined as two-tailed p < 0.01. This threshold is based on Bonferroni correction to achieve a 5% family-wise error rate based on five hypothesis tests in each family of hypotheses. In addition to examining PiB group differences, we conducted post hoc analyses to examine the slope within each PiB group, but we do not report these in the main text unless the PiB group  $\times$  time interaction was statistically significant.

### 2.5.4 | Associations among longitudinal rates of change in plasma biomarkers and brain amyloid

We used bivariate LMEMs to examine the association between rates of change in pairs of biomarkers. We considered longitudinal data for two biomarkers simultaneously as dependent variables. Independent variables were age at index visit, time from index visit, age x time interaction, sex, race, and APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 status. For plasma biomarkers, we additionally adjusted for eGFR and BMI concurrent with plasma measurement. We estimated a separate noise variance per outcome. We included a random intercept and slope over time per participant for each outcome. The covariance of these four random effects was modeled using an unstructured covariance matrix, from which we extracted the correlation between random slopes to assess the association between rates of biomarker change. Bivariate LMEMs were fitted using the "Ime" function, and correlation parameter confidence intervals were computed using the intervals function in the nlme package.<sup>38</sup> Statistical significance was defined as two-tailed p < 0.0033. This threshold is based on Bonferroni correction to achieve a 5% familywise error rate based on 15 hypothesis tests (one for each pair among six biomarkers, including five plasma biomarkers in the main analysis and one PiB PET measure).

### 2.5.5 | Temporal order of changes in plasma biomarkers and brain amyloid

We assessed the temporal order of changes in plasma biomarkers and cDVR using a Bayesian implementation of the progression score (PS) model (modified from Bilgel & Jedynak<sup>39</sup>). The PS model accounts for individual differences in the onset of biomarker changes by estimating a time shift per individual to better align longitudinal measurements. We modeled biomarker trajectories using sigmoid functions. This analysis was limited to 577 longitudinal visits across 199 participants where the full set of plasma biomarkers and cDVR were available.

To confirm that PS reflects disease progression, we assessed whether PS at last visit and the time-shift variable  $\tau$  were higher among individuals with MCI or dementia compared to cognitively normal individuals. Since cognitive diagnosis is not used in the fitting of the PS model, this variable provides an independent way of validating the PS.

### 3 | RESULTS

### 3.1 Descriptives

Participant demographics are presented in Table 1. Compared to PiB-, PiB+ individuals were more likely to be APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4+, had lower plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ , higher  $A\beta_{40}$ , p-tau181, p-tau231, p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$ , p-tau231/A $\beta_{42}$ , GFAP, and NfL at index visit, and were less likely to remain cognitively normal. At index visit, eGFR was positively correlated with  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  (r = 0.18, 95% CI = [0.039, 0.31], p = 0.013) and negatively correlated with the remaining plasma measures (r ranging from -0.45 to -0.17, all p < 0.018). BMI was negatively correlated with p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$  (r = -0.14, 95% CI = [-0.28, -0.0044], p = 0.043), GFAP (r = -0.28, 95% CI = [-0.41, -0.0044], p = 0.043), GFAP (r = -0.28, -0.0044], p = 0.043), GFAP (r = -0.28, -0.0044], p = 0.043), GFAP (r = -0.28, -0.0044), p = 0.043), dFAP (r = -0.28, -0.0044), p = 0.044), q = 0.044-0.15],  $p = 5.17 \times 10^{-5}$ ), and NfL (r = -0.27, 95% CI = [-0.4, -0.14],  $p = 8.60 \times 10^{-5}$ ). Men had lower  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  and higher  $A\beta_{40}$ , p-tau181, p-tau231, p-tau181/ $A\beta_{42}$ , p-tau231/ $A\beta_{42}$ , GFAP, and NfL compared to women. White participants had higher ptau181, p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$ , GFAP, and NfL compared to non-White participants. Relationships of plasma and PiB PET measures with eGFR, BMI, sex, and race are shown in Figures S1-S4. We did not observe associations of eGFR, BMI, sex, or race with PiB cDVR. Correlations among plasma and PiB PET measures at index visit are presented in Figure S5 and longitudinal measures versus age in Figure S6.

### 3.2 | Classification of brain amyloid status using plasma biomarkers

### 3.2.1 | Univariate models based on a single plasma biomarker or biomarker ratio

ROC curves for univariate models are presented in Figures 1 and Figure S7. The best univariate classifiers were p-tau231/A $\beta_{42}$ , p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$ , and p-tau231, with AUCs in the range 0.76-0.78 (Table S1). The performance of the NfL-only classifier (AUC = 0.64, 95% CI = [0.55-0.72]) was similar to that of the age-only classifier (AUC = 0.63, 95% CI = [0.54-0.71]), whereas A $\beta_{42}$ /A $\beta_{40}$  (AUC = 0.72, 95% CI = [0.65-0.79]), p-tau181 (AUC = 0.72, 95% CI = [0.63-0.8]), p-tau231 (AUC = 0.76, 95% CI = [0.67-0.85]), p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$  (AUC = 0.77, 95% CI = [0.7-0.84]), p-tau231/A $\beta_{42}$  (AUC = 0.78, 95% CI = [0.71-0.86]), and GFAP (AUC = 0.71, 95% CI = [0.63-0.79]) outperformed age.



THE JOURNAL OF THE ALZHEIMER'S ASSOCIATION

#### ROC curves for PiB group classifiers



**FIGURE 1** Receiver operating characteristic curves for univariate classifiers and the best multivariable classifier (including all plasma measures, age, sex, race, and *APOE*  $\varepsilon$ 4 status as features) for predicting PiB group. A $\beta$ , amyloid- $\beta$ ; *APOE*, apolipoprotein E; bio., biomarkers; demog., demographics; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; NfL, neurofilament light chain; PiB, Pittsburgh compound B; p-tau, phosphorylated tau; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.

### 3.2.2 | Multivariable models

Classifiers based on multiple predictors had slightly better performance than classifiers based on single predictors. The highest AUC classifier was a gradient boosting machine, yielding an AUC = 0.88 (95% CI = [0.73, 0.89]). At the operating point with the highest balanced accuracy, this classifier achieved 79% specificity and 81% sensitivity (Figure 1). This classifier outperformed the best demographicsonly multivariate classifier (stacked ensemble with AUC = 0.70).

To identify the most parsimonious model, we first calculated feature importance from the best gradient boosting machine classifier. Variables with the highest importance were p-tau231 and  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ . A gradient boosting machine classifier with these two variables yielded an AUC = 0.89, suggesting that this model with only two plasma measures achieves a PiB group classification performance comparable to that of the model with all demographics and plasma measures.

### 3.3 Longitudinal intraclass correlation coefficients

Longitudinal ICCs over a median follow-up of 6.1 years (IQR: 4, 8.6) are presented in Table 2 and Table S2. Plasma measures had lower longitudinal ICC than that of cDVR in the whole sample and among PiB+ individuals, suggesting that their longitudinal rates of change are not as reliable as that of cDVR.

**TABLE 1** Participant characteristics. Time-varying variables are summarized at index visit. For continuous and categorial variables, we report the median and interquartile range and the *N* and percentage, respectively. PiB group comparisons are based on Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous variables and Pearson's chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables

Characteristic	Overall, N = 199	PiB-, <i>N</i> = 141	PiB+, N = 58	p value
Age (years)	76 (69, 82)	74 (68, 81)	79 (73, 84)	0.005
Male	97 (49%)	66 (47%)	31 (53%)	0.4
Race				>0.9
API and other	9 (4.5%)	7 (5.0%)	2 (3.4%)	
Black	34 (17%)	25 (18%)	9 (16%)	
White	156 (78%)	109 (77%)	47 (81%)	
APOE ε4+	59 (30%)	36 (26%)	23 (40%)	0.047
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	73 (63, 85)	74 (64, 86)	72 (59, 79)	0.079
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	26.7 (24.2, 30.1)	27.4 (24.2, 31.1)	26.3 (24.2, 29.0)	0.2
Αβ <sub>40</sub> (pg/mL)	139 (114, 169)	133 (111, 163)	150 (123, 184)	0.011
A $\beta_{42}$ (pg/mL)	6.95 (5.62, 8.16)	7.24 (5.67, 8.17)	6.48 (5.51, 7.84)	0.2
p-tau181 (pg/mL)	7 (5, 11)	7 (5, 9)	11 (8, 18)	< 0.001
p-tau231 (pg/mL)	18 (13, 24)	16 (13, 20)	27 (16, 36)	<0.001
$A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$	0.050 (0.044, 0.056)	0.052 (0.047, 0.060)	0.046 (0.040, 0.051)	<0.001
p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$	1.11 (0.77, 1.72)	0.93 (0.70, 1.43)	1.71 (1.28, 2.89)	< 0.001
p-tau231/Aβ <sub>42</sub>	2.51 (1.87, 3.56)	2.22 (1.81, 2.99)	4.05 (2.62, 5.94)	<0.001
GFAP (pg/mL)	185 (131, 251)	173 (122, 217)	229 (185, 301)	<0.001
NfL (pg/mL)	23 (17, 31)	22 (16, 28)	27 (20, 36)	0.002
Hypertension	107 (54%)	83 (59%)	24 (41%)	0.025
Diabetes	38 (19%)	26 (18%)	12 (21%)	0.7
High cholesterol	114 (57%)	84 (60%)	30 (52%)	0.3
Obesity	53 (27%)	44 (31%)	9 (16%)	0.023
Smoking				0.5
Never	101 (52%)	73 (53%)	28 (50%)	
Former	90 (46%)	62 (45%)	28 (50%)	
Current	4 (2.1%)	4 (2.9%)	0 (0%)	
Unknown	4	2	2	
Number of visits	3 (2, 5)	3 (2, 5)	4 (2, 5)	0.8
Follow-up duration (years)	6.1 (4.0, 8.6)	6.1 (4.0, 8.6)	6.1 (3.8, 8.7)	0.6
Final diagnosis				0.001
Cognitively normal	157 (79%)	121 (86%)	36 (62%)	
MCI	20 (10%)	9 (6.4%)	11 (19%)	
Other impairment	1 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.7%)	
Dementia	21 (11%)	11 (7.8%)	10 (17%)	

Abbreviations: API, Asian/Pacific Islander; APOE, apolipoprotein E; A $\beta$ , amyloid-beta; BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; NfL, neurofilament light chain; PiB, Pittsburgh compound B; p-tau, phosphorylated tau.

## 3.4 Longitudinal plasma biomarker trajectories by brain amyloid status

At the index visit, PiB+ individuals had lower  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  ( $\beta = -7.58 \times 10^{-3}$ , SE = 1.41 ×  $10^{-3}$ ,  $p = 2.36 \times 10^{-7}$ ) and higher p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$  ( $\beta = 0.599$ , SE = 0.129,  $p = 6.16 \times 10^{-6}$ ), p-tau231/A $\beta_{42}$  ( $\beta = 1.86$ , SE = 0.243,  $p = 1.28 \times 10^{-12}$ ), and GFAP ( $\beta = 44.1$ , SE = 11.6,

 $p = 1.81 \times 10^{-4}$ ) in adjusted models (Figure 2 and Table S3). PiB groups exhibited different rates of longitudinal change in  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  (PiB group × time interaction  $\beta = 5.41 \times 10^{-4}$ , SE = 1.95 × 10<sup>-4</sup>, p = 0.0073); post hoc analyses showed that rate of change was not statistically significant among PiB+ individuals while PiB- individuals exhibited decreases ( $\beta = -3.85 \times 10^{-4}$ , SE = 9.77 × 10<sup>-5</sup>,  $p = 1.96 \times 10^{-4}$ ) (Table S4). We did not find statistically significant PiB group

#### TABLE 2 Longitudinal intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs)

THE JOURNAL OF THE ALZHEIMER'S ASSOCIATION

	Overall		PiB-		PiB+	
Biomarker	ICC	95% CI	ICC	95% CI	ICC	95% CI
$A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$	0.66	(0.6–0.72)	0.67	(0.57–0.75)	0.68	(0.56–0.78)
p-tau181/Aβ <sub>42</sub>	0.67	(0.59–0.73)	0.61	(0.5–0.7)	0.62	(0.47–0.73)
p-tau231/Aβ <sub>42</sub>	0.75	(0.69–0.8)	0.57	(0.46–0.66)	0.75	(0.63–0.83)
GFAP	0.78	(0.72–0.82)	0.79	(0.73–0.84)	0.77	(0.67–0.85)
NfL	0.67	(0.6–0.72)	0.72	(0.64–0.79)	0.63	(0.48–0.74)
PiB cDVR	0.96	(0.94–0.97)	0.70	(0.62–0.77)	0.96	(0.94–0.98)

Abbreviations:  $A\beta$ , amyloid-beta; cDVR, cortical distribution volume ratio; CI, confidence interval; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; NfL, neurofilament light chain; PiB, Pittsburgh compound B; p-tau, phosphorylated tau.



**FIGURE 2** Plasma biomarker trajectories estimated using linear mixed-effects models. A linear mixed-effects model was fitted per biomarker. Models included PiB group at index visit, time from index visit, and their interaction, allowing for the calculation of an average biomarker trajectory per PiB group. Models additionally adjusted for age at index visit, sex, race,  $APOE \varepsilon 4$  status, and age  $\times$  time interaction. Bands indicate 95% confidence intervals. A $\beta$ , amyloid- $\beta$ ; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; NfL, neurofilament light chain; PiB, Pittsburgh compound B; p-tau, phosphorylated tau.

differences in rates of change for the remaining plasma measures in adjusted models.

### 3.5 | Associations among longitudinal rates of change in plasma biomarkers and brain amyloid

The correlation between longitudinal rates of change in p-tau181/A $\beta_{42}$  and p-tau231/A $\beta_{42}$  was high and statistically significant (r = 0.87, 95% CI = [0.62, 0.96], p < 0.001) (Figure S9). We additionally found statistically significant correlations between

the rates of change in GFAP and NfL (r = 0.88 [0.63, 0.97], p < 0.001) and GFAP and cDVR (r = 0.5 [0.26, 0.68], p < 0.001). The correlation between rates of change in NfL and cDVR (r = 0.4 [0.13, 0.62], p = 0.0043) did not survive multiple comparison correction.

## 3.6 | Temporal order of changes in plasma biomarkers and brain amyloid

Estimated PS and biomarker trajectories, along with observed biomarker data, are shown in Figure 3. Consistent with expectation,



**FIGURE 3** Biomarker trajectories estimated after alignment of individual-level longitudinal data using the PS model. Bands indicate 95% confidence intervals for the trajectory estimates. PS scale was calibrated after model fitting such that at PS = 0, the estimated trajectory for PiB cDVR attained the value 1.06, which is the PiB positivity threshold. Since PS is time-shifted age, it is in units of years. A $\beta$ , amyloid- $\beta$ ; cDVR, cortical distribution volume ratio; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; NfL, neurofilament light chain; PiB, Pittsburgh compound B; PS, progression score; p-tau, phosphorylated tau.

both PS at last visit and the subject-specific time-shift parameter were higher among individuals with MCI or dementia compared to cognitively normal individuals (Wilcoxon rank-sum test  $p = 4.51 \times 10^{-6}$  for PS, p = 0.0032 for time-shift variable  $\tau$ ).

To understand the relative order of biomarker changes, we computed percent relative change by dividing the derivative in PS of the estimated trajectory by the trajectory itself for each biomarker (Figure 4 and Figure S10) and examined where the peak percent relative change occurs relative to the PS value corresponding to the PiB+ threshold. This analysis suggested that the earliest change occurs in  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ . Peak relative decline in  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  (-1% per year) preceded brain amyloid positivity onset by 41 years (95% CI = [32, 53]) (Table S5). Time intervals between brain amyloid positivity onset and peak relative change in the remaining plasma biomarkers were not statistically significant.

### 4 DISCUSSION

This study focused on longitudinal changes in plasma biomarkers of AD neuropathology and neurodegeneration relative to amyloid plaques whose emergence marks the beginning of preclinical AD. We first replicated prior findings of the extent to which plasma biomarkers predict PET brain amyloid status. In our sample of cognitively normal individuals, the plasma measures with the best amyloid PET status classification performance were the p-tau to  $A\beta_{42}$  ratios. Our AUCs based on single plasma biomarkers are consistent with AUCs reported in other studies of cognitively normal individuals based on Simoa immunoassays.<sup>15,40–42</sup> Our findings also corroborate previous studies indicating that plasma p-tau measures more closely reflect brain amyloid levels compared to plasma measures of amyloid<sup>16</sup> and that ptau231 has the highest AUC at the preclinical stage.<sup>14–16</sup> As expected based on our univariate results, plasma p-tau, specifically p-tau231, and  $A\beta$  measures were the most important variables in the best multivariable classifier, which outperformed univariate classifiers and had a sensitivity and specificity of about 80% at its optimal operating point.

The main contribution of our paper is the longitudinal examination of changes in plasma biomarkers. Longitudinal reliability, as measured by ICC, of plasma measures was lower than that of the brain amyloid PET measure in the whole sample and among PiB+ but comparable among PiB-. Longitudinal decrease in plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  was statistically significant among PiB- individuals but not among PiB+. This, along with the finding that PiB+ individuals have lower  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ at index visit compared to PiB-, suggests that plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ declines prior to the emergence of elevated levels of brain amyloid and then may reach a plateau. Other studies have also found that amyloid PET is elevated or increases only when plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  is low.<sup>43,44</sup>



**FIGURE 4** Percent relative change in biomarkers per PS as a function of PS. A $\beta$ , amyloid- $\beta$ ; cDVR, cortical distribution volume ratio; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; NfL, neurofilament light chain; PiB, Pittsburgh compound B; PS, progression score; p-tau, phosphorylated tau.

The plasma measure that most closely changed in conjunction with brain amyloid levels was GFAP. Rates of change in NfL also aligned with rate of change in the brain amyloid level. Plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  did not correlate longitudinally with brain amyloid or any other plasma biomarker. This difference in the longitudinal correlations for brain and plasma amyloid is likely due to the different time windows in which these two measures are dynamic, with plasma amyloid exhibiting changes decades prior to brain amyloid. Our findings agree with the plasma biomarker findings from the TRAILBLAZER-ALZ clinical trial, where longitudinal change in brain amyloid correlated with change in plasma GFAP but not  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ .

Our PS model suggests that  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  may decline over several decades, leading up to the onset of brain amyloid accumulation. However, these changes in plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  are subtle, with relative change peaking at -1% per year. Brain PET measures fibrillar amyloid, an advanced stage in the amyloid aggregation process, whereas plasma biomarkers reflect earlier soluble forms.<sup>46</sup> This difference is one possible explanation of the timing difference between plasma and brain amyloid measures. These results suggest that if it can be measured with high accuracy and longitudinal reliability, plasma  $A\beta_{A2}/A\beta_{A0}$  may allow for detecting early changes prior to the emergence of brain amyloid plaques. Given that plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  may plateau by the time one has high levels of brain amyloid, its utility in a longitudinal context among amyloid PET positive individuals is likely limited. Other plasma biomarkers we investigated exhibited more pronounced changes over time, with p-tau ratios exhibiting relative changes around 2% per year, and these changes occurred closer in time to brain amyloid accumulation. This finding is consistent with studies demonstrating that plasma p-tau measurements better align with brain amyloid rather than tau levels as measured with PET.<sup>47,48</sup> Our results regarding longitudinal changes and temporal order are consistent with other studies that investigated longitudinal plasma measurements.<sup>10-12</sup> More extensive longitudinal data will allow examination of temporal order variation at the individual level.

Our study has several limitations. More recent measures of plasma  $A\beta$  exhibit stronger associations with brain amyloid compared to the Quanterix Simoa measure that we used.<sup>49</sup> It is possible that we were unable to detect a statistically significant PiB group difference in the longitudinal rates of change in p-tau, GFAP, and NfL due to the limited number of participants included in our study and the lower longitudinal ICC of plasma measures, in particular, the p-tau ratios. The characterization of biomarker trajectories was informed mainly by data from cognitively normal individuals, and the lack of data from late dementia stages prevented us from describing the full extent of the natural history of these biomarkers. The longitudinal follow-up duration was much shorter than the estimated time intervals over which plasma biomarkers change, preventing us from verifying our estimates using individual-level data. It will be important to validate these findings using independent samples with more individuals and longer follow-up.

Our study also has important strengths. The median follow-up duration for our plasma measures, 6.1 years, was higher than the followup duration of 2–4 years in existing longitudinal plasma biomarker studies.<sup>8–13</sup> We used advanced multivariable classifiers and employed cross-validation to calculate ROCs and classification performance metrics to prevent overestimating classifier performance. When investigating associations among rates of longitudinal change, instead of calculating slopes and then correlating them, we employed bivariate LMEMs, which factor in the uncertainty in the slopes when estimating correlations.

In conclusion, our results corroborate p-tau231 as a superior biomarker of amyloid burden in preclinical disease but suggest that plasma  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  is dynamic prior to amyloid PET positivity. Other plasma measures, GFAP in particular, may more closely align with longitudinal change in brain amyloid accumulation. Plasma biomarkers are promising tools for detecting and monitoring longitudinal change along the disease spectrum and can help identify candidates for an amyloid PET scan. Given the emerging anti-amyloid therapies, assessing brain amyloid using easy and low-cost measures such as plasma biomarkers will be particularly useful and important.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health. Kaj Blennow is supported by the Swedish Research Council (#2017-00915), the Alzheimer Drug Discovery Foundation (ADDF), USA (#RDAPB-201809-2016615), the Swedish Alzheimer's Foundation (#AF-742881), Hjärnfonden, Sweden (#FO2017-0243), the Swedish state under the agreement between the Swedish government and county councils, the ALF-agreement (#ALFGBG-715986), the European Union Joint Program for Neurodegenerative Disorders (JPND2019-466-236), and the National Institutes of Health (NIH), USA (Grant 1R01AG068398-01). Henrik Zetterberg is a Wallenberg Scholar supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council (#2022-01018), the European Union's Horizon Europe

are governed by the applicable Creative Commons 1

### 10 | Alzheimer's & Dementia

THE JOURNAL OF THE ALZHEIMER'S ASSOCIATION

research and innovation program under Grant Agreement No. 101053962, Swedish State Support for Clinical Research (#ALFGBG-71320), the Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation (ADDF), USA (#201809-2016862), the AD Strategic Fund and the Alzheimer's Association (#ADSF-21-831376-C, #ADSF-21-831381-C, and #ADSF-21-831377-C), the Bluefield Project, the Olav Thon Foundation, the Erling-Persson Family Foundation, Stiftelsen för Gamla Tjänarinnor, Hjärnfonden, Sweden (#FO2022-0270), the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 860197 (MIRIADE), the European Union Joint Programme - Neurodegenerative Disease Research (JPND2021-00694), and the UK Dementia Research Institute at UCL (UKDRI-1003). Bruno M. Jedynak was partially funded by NIH NIA R01 AG027161 and AG021155.

### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Murat Bilgel, Yang An, Keenan A. Walker, Abhay R. Moghekar, Nicholas J. Ashton, Przemysław R. Kac, Thomas K. Karikari, Bruno M. Jedynak, Madhav Thambisetty, Luigi Ferrucci, Susan M. Resnick: None. Kaj Blennow has served as a consultant, on advisory boards, or ondata monitoring committees for Abcam, Axon, Biogen, JOMDD/Shimadzu, Julius Clinical, Lilly, MagQu, Novartis, Prothena, Roche Diagnostics, and Siemens Healthineers and is a cofounder of Brain Biomarker Solutions in Gothenburg AB (BBS), which is a part of the GU Ventures Incubator Program (outside submitted work). Henrik Zetterberg has served on scientific advisory boards and/or as a consultant for Abbvie, Acumen, Alector, Alzinova, ALZPath, Annexon, Apellis, Artery Therapeutics, AZTherapies, CogRx, Denali, Eisai, Nervgen, Novo Nordisk, Optoceutics, Passage Bio, Pinteon Therapeutics, Prothena, Red Abbey Labs, reMYND, Roche, Samumed, Siemens Healthineers, Triplet Therapeutics, and Wave, has given lectures in symposia sponsored by Cellectricon, Fujirebio, Alzecure, Biogen, and Roche, and is a cofounder of Brain Biomarker Solutions in Gothenburg AB (BBS), which is a part of the GU Ventures Incubator Program (outside submitted work). Author disclosures are available in the Supporting information.

#### CONSENT STATEMENT

Research protocols were conducted in accordance with United States federal policy for the protection of human research subjects contained in Title 45 Part 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations, approved by local institutional review boards, and all participants gave written informed consent at each visit.

#### ORCID

Murat Bilgel D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5042-7422

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Jack CR, Bennett DA, Blennow K, et al. NIA-AA research framework: toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2018;14(4):535-562. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2018.02.018
- Hansson O, Edelmayer RM, Boxer AL, et al. The Alzheimer's Association appropriate use recommendations for blood biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2022(June):1-18. doi:10. 1002/alz.12756

- Schindler SE, Bollinger JG, Ovod V, et al. High-precision plasma β-amyloid 42/40 predicts current and future brain amyloidosis. *Neurology*. 2019;93(17):e1647-e1659. doi:10.1212/WNL. 000000000008081
- 4. Tosun D, Veitch D, Aisen P, et al. Detection of  $\beta$ -amyloid positivity in Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative participants with demographics, cognition, MRI and plasma biomarkers. *Brain Commun.* 2021;3(2). doi:10.1093/braincomms/fcab008
- Cianflone A, Coppola L, Mirabelli P, Salvatore M. Predictive accuracy of blood-derived biomarkers for amyloid-β brain deposition along with the Alzheimer's disease continuum: a systematic review. J Alzheimer's Dis. 2021;84(1):393-407. doi:10.3233/JAD-210496
- Ashford MT, Veitch DP, Neuhaus J, Nosheny RL, Tosun D, Weiner MW. The search for a convenient procedure to detect one of the earliest signs of Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review of the prediction of brain amyloid status. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2021;17(5):866-887. doi:10. 1002/alz.12253
- Benedet AL, Brum WS, Hansson O, et al. The accuracy and robustness of plasma biomarker models for amyloid PET positivity. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. 2022;14(1):1-11. doi:10.1186/s13195-021-00942-0
- Chatterjee P, Pedrini S, Doecke JD, et al. Plasma Aβ42/40 ratio, ptau181, GFAP, and NfL across the Alzheimer's disease continuum: a cross-sectional and longitudinal study in the AIBL cohort. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2022(February):1-18. doi:10.1002/alz.12724
- O'Connor A, Karikari TK, Poole T, et al. Plasma phospho-tau181 in presymptomatic and symptomatic familial Alzheimer's disease: a longitudinal cohort study. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2021;26(10):5967-5976. doi:10.1038/s41380-020-0838-x
- Burnham SC, Fandos N, Fowler C, et al. Longitudinal evaluation of the natural history of amyloid-β in plasma and brain. *Brain Commun.* 2020;2(1):1-7. doi:10.1093/braincomms/fcaa041
- Moscoso A, Grothe MJ, Ashton NJ, et al. Time course of phosphorylated-tau181 in blood across the Alzheimer's disease spectrum. *Brain*. 2021;144(1):325-339. doi:10.1093/brain/awaa399
- Chen TB, Lai YH, Ke TL, et al. Changes in plasma amyloid and tau in a longitudinal study of normal aging, mild cognitive impairment, and Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2020;48(3-4):180-195. doi:10.1159/000505435
- Rauchmann BS, Schneider-Axmann T, Perneczky R. Associations of longitudinal plasma p-tau181 and NfL with tau-PET, Aβ-PET and cognition. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2021;92(12):1289-1295. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2020-325537
- Ashton NJ, Janelidze S, Mattsson-Carlgren N, et al. Differential roles of Aβ42/40, p-tau231 and p-tau217 for Alzheimer's trial selection and disease monitoring. *Nat Med.* 2022;28(12):2555-2562. doi:10.1038/ s41591-022-02074-w
- Milà-Alomà M, Ashton NJ, Shekari M, et al. Plasma p-tau231 and p-tau217 as state markers of amyloid-β pathology in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Med.* 2022;28(9):1797-1801. doi:10.1038/ s41591-022-01925-w
- Meyer PF, Ashton NJ, Karikari TK, et al. Plasma p-tau231, p-tau181, PET biomarkers, and cognitive change in older adults. *Ann Neurol.* 2022;91(4):548-560. doi:10.1002/ana.26308
- Fuld PA. Psychological testing in the differential diagnosis of the dementias. In: Katzman R, Terry RD, Bick KL, eds. Alzheimer's Disease: Senile Dementia and Related Disorders. Raven Press; 1978:185-193.
- 18. Morris JC. The clinical dementia rating (CDR). *Neurology*. 1993;43(11):2412.2-2412-a. doi:10.1212/WNL.43.11.2412-a
- Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. J Intern Med. 2004;256(3):183-194. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2796.2004.01388.x
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-III-R. 3rd ed. re. American Psychiatric Association; 1987.
- 21. Zhou Y, Resnick SM, Ye W, et al. Using a reference tissue model with spatial constraint to quantify [11C] Pittsburgh compound B PET for

early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage*. 2007;36(2):298-312. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.03.004

- Bilgel M, Beason-Held L, An Y, Zhou Y, Wong DF, Resnick SM. Longitudinal evaluation of surrogates of regional cerebral blood flow computed from dynamic amyloid PET imaging. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2020;40(2):288-297. doi:10.1177/0271678X19830537
- Bilgel M, Wong DF, Moghekar AR, Ferrucci L, Resnick SM. Causal links among amyloid, tau, and neurodegeneration. *Brain Commun.* 2022;4(4):2021.07.01.21259866. doi:10.1093/braincomms/fcac193
- 24. Peng Z, Duggan MR, Dark HE, et al. Association of liver disease with brain volume loss, cognitive decline, and plasma neurodegenerative disease biomarkers. *Neurobiol Aging.* 2022;120:34-42. doi:10.1016/j. neurobiolaging.2022.08.004
- 25. Karikari TK, Pascoal TA, Ashton NJ, et al. Blood phosphorylated tau 181 as a biomarker for Alzheimer's disease: a diagnostic performance and prediction modelling study using data from four prospective cohorts. *Lancet Neurol*. 2020;19(5):422-433. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30071-5
- 26. Ashton NJ, Pascoal TA, Karikari TK, et al. Plasma p-tau231: a new biomarker for incipient Alzheimer's disease pathology. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2021;141(5):709-724. doi:10.1007/s00401-021-02275-6
- Schindler SE, Gray JD, Gordon BA, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers measured by Elecsys assays compared to amyloid imaging. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2018;14(11):1460-1469. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2018.01.013
- Campbell MR, Ashrafzadeh-Kian S, Petersen RC, et al. P-tau/Aβ42 and Aβ42/40 ratios in CSF are equally predictive of amyloid PET status. Alzheimer's Dement: Diagn Assess Dis Monit. 2021;13(1):1-9. doi:10. 1002/dad2.12190
- Hansson O, Seibyl J, Stomrud E, et al. CSF biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease concord with amyloid-β PET and predict clinical progression: a study of fully automated immunoassays in BioFINDER and ADNI cohorts. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2018;14(11):1470-1481. doi:10.1016/j. jalz.2018.01.010
- Fagan AM, Roe CM, Xiong C, Mintun MA, Morris JC, Holtzman DM. Cerebrospinal fluid tau/β-Amyloid42 ratio as a prediction of cognitive decline in nondemented older adults. *Arch Neurol*. 2007;64(3):343. doi:10.1001/archneur.64.3.noc60123
- 31. Hansson O. Biomarkers for neurodegenerative diseases. *Nat Med.* 2021;27(6):954-963. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01382-x
- Park JC, Han SH, Yi D, et al. Plasma tau/amyloid-β1-42 ratio predicts brain tau deposition and neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease [published correction appears in Brain. 2019 May 1;142(5):e19]. Brain. 2019;142(3):771-786. doi:10.1093/brain/awy347
- Altomare D, Stampacchia S, Ribaldi F, et al. Plasma biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease: a field-test in a memory clinic [published online ahead of print, 2023 Apr 3]. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2023;94:420-427. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2022-330619
- LeDell E, Poirier S. H2O AutoML: scalable Automatic Machine Learning. 7th ICML Workshop on Automated Machine Learning (AutoML). Published online 2020.
- 35. LeDell E, Gill N, Aiello S, et al. H2O: R Interface for the H2O Scalable Machine Learning Platform. 2022.
- 36. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2022.
- Binette AP, Janelidze S, Cullen N, et al. Confounding factors of Alzheimer's disease plasma biomarkers and their impact on clinical performance. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2023;19:1403-1414. doi:10.1002/ alz.12787

- Pinheiro J, Bates D, R Core Team. Nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. 2022.
- Bilgel M, Jedynak BM. Predicting time to dementia using a quantitative template of disease progression. Alzheimer's Dement: Diagn Assess Dis Monit. 2019;11(1):205-215. doi:10.1016/j.dadm.2019.01.005
- Vergallo A, Mégret L, Lista S, et al. Plasma amyloid β 40/42 ratio predicts cerebral amyloidosis in cognitively normal individuals at risk for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement. 2019;15(6):764-775. doi:10. 1016/j.jalz.2019.03.009
- Suárez-Calvet M, Karikari TK, Ashton NJ, et al. Novel tau biomarkers phosphorylated at T181, T217 or T231 rise in the initial stages of the preclinical Alzheimer's continuum when only subtle changes in Aβ pathology are detected. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2020;12:e12921. doi:10. 15252/emmm.202012921
- Pereira JB, Janelidze S, Smith R, et al. Plasma GFAP is an early marker of amyloid-β but not tau pathology in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*. 2021;144(11):3505-3516.doi:10.1093/brain/awab223
- Rembach A, Faux NG, Watt AD, et al. Changes in plasma amyloid beta in a longitudinal study of aging and Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement. 2014;10(1):53-61. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2012.12.006
- Pereira JB, Janelidze S, Stomrud E, et al. Plasma markers predict changes in amyloid, tau, atrophy and cognition in non-demented subjects. Brain. 2021;144(9):2826-2836. doi:10.1093/brain/awab163
- Pontecorvo MJ, Lu M, Burnham SC, et al. Association of donanemab treatment with exploratory plasma biomarkers in early symptomatic Alzheimer disease. JAMA Neurol. 2022;79(12):1250. doi:10.1001/ jamaneurol.2022.3392
- 46. Chong JR, Ashton NJ, Karikari TK, et al. Blood-based high sensitivity measurements of beta-amyloid and phosphorylated tau as biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease: a focused review on recent advances. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2021;92(11):1231-1241. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2021-327370
- Mattsson-Carlgren N, Andersson E, Janelidze S, et al. Aβ deposition is associated with increases in soluble and phosphorylated tau that precede a positive tau PET in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Adv.* 2020;6(16). doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaz2387
- Therriault J, Vermeiren M, Servaes S, et al. Association of phosphorylated tau biomarkers with amyloid positron emission tomography vs tau positron emission tomography. JAMA Neurol. 2023;80(2):188-189. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.4485
- Janelidze S, Teunissen CE, Zetterberg H, et al. Head-to-head comparison of 8 plasma amyloid-β 42/40 assays in Alzheimer disease. JAMA Neurol. 2021;78(11):1375. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.3180

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Bilgel M, An Y, Walker KA, et al. Longitudinal changes in Alzheimer's-related plasma biomarkers and brain amyloid. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2023;1-11. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.13157