ASO AUTHOR REFLECTIONS



# ASO Author Reflections: Objective Outcome Measure of Upper Limb Function Following Axillary Lymph Node Dissection and Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy

Nur Amalina Che Bakri, MBChB, BMedSc (Hons), MPhil<sup>1,2</sup>, Richard M. Kwasnicki, PhD, MRCS<sup>1,2</sup>, Emmanuel Giannas, BSc<sup>1</sup>, Luqman Tenang, BSc<sup>1</sup>, Naairah Khan, MBChB, BSc (Hons)<sup>1</sup>,

Catharina Moenig, BSc<sup>1</sup>, Zoha Imam, BSc<sup>1</sup>, Kieran Dhillon, MBBS, BSc<sup>1,2</sup>,

Hutan Ashrafian, MBA, MRCS, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Ara Darzi, FRCS, FRS<sup>1,2</sup>, and

Daniel R. Leff, PhD, MS (Hons), FRCS<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Academic Surgical Unit, Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London, London, UK; <sup>2</sup>Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, St. Mary's Hospital, London, UK

### PAST

Breast and axillary surgery can impair arm movement, restrict physical function and limit quality of life.<sup>1</sup> The misperception that impairments resolve swiftly without intervention has led to a diminished emphasis on monitoring upper limb disabilities, particularly using objective measures.<sup>2</sup> Instead, subjective measurements such as quality of life questionnaires and self-reported outcomes have previously been used to assess post-operative morbidity. These tools are vulnerable to bias, and do not objectively assess functional morbidity associated with sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) and axillary lymph node dissection (ALND).<sup>3</sup> Objective measures, such as goniometry and tape arm measurements, rely on the operator's skills and may be problematic owing to inter-observer variation.<sup>4</sup> A recent systematic review emphasized the need for quantitative and validated outcome measures, as well as the lack of standardization in the measurement of upper limb morbidity.<sup>1</sup>

#### PRESENT

We previously validated the use of wearable activity monitors (WAMs) in the peri-operative period to objectively

First Received: 7 July 2023 Accepted: 10 July 2023

N. A. Che Bakri, MBChB, BMedSc (Hons), MPhil e-mail: nchebakr@ic.ac.uk

quantify physical activity (PA) levels following breast surgery.<sup>5</sup> An objective, longitudinal measurement of PA during the post-operative period would provide more information to the patient and surgeon about the upper limb and total activity levels associated with their surgery. WAMs could reduce measurement and operator variability while monitoring postoperative upper limb activity levels in a non-invasive and unbiased manner. WAMs objectively demonstrate return of PA to pre-operative baseline and differentiate recovery across different surgical treatments. This study investigated the use of WAMs to compare physical recovery between ALND and SLNB.<sup>6</sup> Based on the analysis of 56 patients (SLNB 35, ALND 21), the findings demonstrated that ALND resulted in a significantly lower PA level in week 2. In addition, physical restrictions following SLNB persisted for 2 weeks following surgery. This is an important finding because it suggests that even de-escalated axillary procedures are associated with prolonged morbidity.

## FUTURE

In the future, WAMs could be implemented routinely to map long-term physical recovery following breast and axillary surgery. WAMs might be used in conjunction with structured exercise regimens to enable healthcare providers to track patients' levels of activity and offer tailored, realtime feedback depending on PA levels. WAMs can deliver behavioral signals or motivational messages to patients that encourage them to perform the prescribed exercises. As evidence begins to strengthen the relationship between PA and survivorship after breast surgery, these strategies

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2023

are pertinent.<sup>7</sup> WAMs have the potential to improve patient satisfaction, cost efficiency, and functional outcomes, if they are utilized appropriately.

**FUNDING** National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Imperial Biomedical Research Centre (BRC). Award numbers: WSSS\_P69945 and WSGG\_PA3152. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study, collection, management, analysis and interpretation of the data, preparation, review or approval of the manuscript, and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

**DISCLOSURE** Dr Che Bakri and Dr Kwasnicki have received grants from the National Institute for Health Research Imperial Biomedical Research Centre during the conduct of the study. Dr Darzi is the Chair of the Health Security initiative at Flagship Pioneering UK Ltd and Dr Ashrafian is the Chief Scientific Officer of Preemptive Medicine and Health Security initiative at Flagship Pioneering UK. Dr Leff has received grants from Cancer Research UK, Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) and National Institute for Health Research during the conduct of the study. No other disclosures were reported.

**OPEN ACCESS** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

## REFERENCES

- Che Bakri NA, Kwasnicki RM, Khan N, et al. Impact of axillary lymph node dissection and sentinel lymph node biopsy on upper limb morbidity in breast cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg.* 2022. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA. 000000000005671.
- Cheville AL, Tchou J. Barriers to rehabilitation following surgery for primary breast cancer. J Surg Oncol. 2007;95(5):409–18.
- 3. Hidding JT, Beurskens CH, van der Wees PJ, van Laarhoven HW, Nijhuis-van der Sanden MW. Treatment related impairments in arm and shoulder in patients with breast cancer: a systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(5):e96748.
- Mansel RE, Fallowfield L, Kissin M, et al. Randomized multicenter trial of sentinel node biopsy versus standard axillary treatment in operable breast cancer: the ALMANAC Trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2006;98(9):599–609.
- Che Bakri NA, Kwasnicki RM, Dhillon K, et al. Objective assessment of postoperative morbidity after breast cancer treatments with wearable activity monitors: the "BRACELET" study. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2021;28(10):5597–609.
- Che Bakri NA, Kwasnicki RM, Giannas E, et al. The use of wearable activity monitors to measure upper limb physical activity after axillary lymph node dissection and sentinel lymph node biopsy. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2023. https://doi.org/10.1245/ s10434-023-13966-7.
- Irwin ML, Smith AW, McTiernan A, et al. Influence of pre- and postdiagnosis physical activity on mortality in breast cancer survivors: the health, eating, activity, and lifestyle study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(24):3958–64.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.