

RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVISED Political representation of medical doctors in

Switzerland's executive and legislative branches in 2023

[version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Alexander Smith 101, Anna Buadze 102, Petra Stute3, Michael Liebrenz1

V2 First published: 27 Feb 2023, **12**:219

https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.130986.1

Latest published: 28 Apr 2023, 12:219

https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.130986.2

Abstract

Background: Healthcare policy is an important societal concern in Switzerland, often dominating the national agenda. In other countries, studies have explored the influence of physicians in public office on healthcare policies, but little is known about the representation of medical doctors in Switzerland's political structures, despite ongoing health-related debates.

Methods: In January 2023, we examined the proportion of registered doctors currently serving in Swiss governmental branches: the executive (the Federal Council) and the legislative (the Council of States and the National Council, together the United Federal Assembly). We used publicly available information to demarcate Federal, State, and National Councillors with professional medical backgrounds. We subsequently verified physician registrations using the Federal Office of Public Health's "Register of Medical Professionals" (MedReg)

Results: Six physicians registered in MedReg were identified across the Federal Council and the United Federal Assembly in 2023, equivalent to 2.37% of the total number of Councillors in these chambers. This corresponds to 14.20% of members in the Federal Council (the executive chamber) and 2.03% of members in the United Federal Assembly (the legislative chamber).

Conclusions: Rates of physicians sitting in Switzerland's Federal Council and United Federal Assembly are higher than general population trends for doctors per person. Nonetheless, physicians in Swiss legislative positions are proportionally lower than comparative data from the United States. We highlight how existing professional frameworks may already ensure medical doctors are sufficiently participating in Swiss healthcare debates outside of formal roles. We also suggest that more international evidence is needed to determine the benefits of physicians serving in public office.



¹Department of Forensic Psychiatry, University of Bern, Bern, 3012, Switzerland

²Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Psychiatric Hospital, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Inselspital, University Hospital Bern, Bern, Switzerland

Keywords

Healthcare policy, Physicians and politics, Political representation, Medical societies, Switzerland



This article is included in the Health Services gateway.

Corresponding author: Alexander Smith (alexander.smith@unibe.ch)

Author roles: Smith A: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Buadze A**: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Writing – Review & Editing; **Stute P**: Formal Analysis, Writing – Review & Editing; **Liebrenz M**: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: Michael Liebrenz is the current President of the Federal Commission for Quality Assurance in Medical Assessment and was elected by the Swiss Federal Council to serve in this role. The authors have no other competing interests to declare.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2023 Smith A *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Smith A, Buadze A, Stute P and Liebrenz M. Political representation of medical doctors in Switzerland's executive and legislative branches in 2023 [version 2; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2023, 12:219 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.130986.2

First published: 27 Feb 2023, 12:219 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.130986.1

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

The paper now contains comparisons to the political representation of other occupations in Switzerland - agricultural workers and lawyers. Further, the article incorporates additional information on how the political influence of doctors could be measured in Swiss government.

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic and rising living costs have reanimated socioeconomic concerns about health policies in Switzerland, which regularly capture the national agenda. Owing to an ageing population and growing technological advancements, Swiss health insurance premiums were set to increase substantially in 2022, with possible consequences for long-term fiscal sustainability. Additional factors like pharmaceutical prices and procedural backlogs are exacerbating this situation. Recently, politicians have attempted to address these circumstances. For instance, the Swiss political party, The Centre, have suggested a federal vote on cost control measures and different lawmakers have recommended alternative initiatives. However, at the time of writing, no consensus exists around potential interventions, and historical proposals to modify the Swiss health system have not passed.

In other countries where healthcare is an important national issue, notably the United States, researchers have explored the role of physicians in governmental proceedings. In clinical subdisciplines recurrently exposed to legal matters, like forensic psychiatry, Piel has discussed expert advocacy. Separately, professional organisations and medical practitioners frequently engage in lobbying activities. In more formalised frameworks, physicians in political positions have historically attracted much inquiry, with papers dedicated to this issue from over fifty 10,11 and one hundred years ago. For example, Oberstar has outlined various cases of US doctors occupying legislative seats and their influence on health policy. The Kraus and Suarez, physician lawmakers and first-hand medical insights could be useful within political domains, particularly as research funding and care delivery can often be legislatively determined. Moreover, anecdotally, Australian doctors have advocated for better representation across public office. Moreover,

Previous work has examined the prevalence of medical doctors serving in state and federal legislative branches in the United States [e.g., Refs. 9, 15, 16]. Others have focussed on German physician-trained health ministers, ¹⁷ doctors in the United Kingdom's parliament, ¹⁸ and biographical investigations into the medical background of various national leaders. ¹⁹ Nonetheless, to the authors' knowledge, despite these studies in different national settings and ongoing Swiss healthcare policy concerns, there is scant awareness about registered physicians fulfilling formal political roles in Switzerland. Whilst Swiss media outlets accentuated the clinical expertise of the 2022 Federal President, Ignazio Cassis, during the COVID-19 pandemic, ²⁰ there is limited scholarly evidence about the holistic representation of medical doctors in national governmental spheres.

Using secondary biographical information, we sought to identify the rates of registered physicians serving in Switzerland's national executive branch (the Federal Council) and the legislative branches (the Council of States and the National Council, together the United Federal Assembly) in 2023. As Switzerland's governmental tradition is distinctive for individuals holding jobs outside of politics, ²¹ our hypothesis was that the rates of serving physician-politicians would be higher than other geographical settings.

Methods

In January 2023, we analysed publicly available information from the Swiss government and parliamentary websites, which list biographical records for executive and legislative positions: Federal Councillors, State Councillors and National Councillors (n=253). 22-24 Through these resources, we were able to gather vocational data for n=206 national politicians, with no specific filters or access restrictions. However, for certain State and National Councillors, secondary professional data were not displayed by these parliamentary sources. In these cases (n=47), two authors collected occupational details separately through an internet search of multiple sources, including the official websites of these Councillors and media reports. Full data for n=253 Councillors were then exported into a Microsoft Excel file and from this total sample, we demarcated politicians with relevant medical backgrounds.

Subsequently, we cross-referenced the information we collected by searching the "Register of Medical Professionals" (MedReg), which is managed by the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health.²⁵ This enabled us to validate the biographical data against registrations as medical physicians in MedReg, and ascertain age, clinical specialties, and gender; specifically, the latter was determined based on information presented in MedReg. Following this process of cross-

Table 1. Registered physicians serving in Switzerland's Federal Council, the State Council, and the National Councillors in 2023.

Governmental Body	Number of Councillors - 2023	Number of Councillors who are registered physicians in Switzerland per MedReg - 2023
Federal Council	7	1
State Council	46	1
National Council	200	4
Total	253	6

comparison and validation, descriptive statistics were calculated using Microsoft Excel, which are displayed in our results.

This investigation did not entail primary research involving human participation or confidential data and thus approval from an institutional review board and informed consent were not sought. Furthermore, our study was based on publicly available web resources managed by third parties, with no access requirements or permissions. This followed existing protocols used by recently published research articles that identified physician-politicians in different geographical domains [e.g., Refs. 15, 16].

Results

Our results are summarised in Table 1, which shows the total number of Federal Councillors, State Councillors, and National Councillors in 2023, alongside those who are registered doctors in MedReg.

We found that 2.37% (n=6) of the total number of Councillors (n=253) across the executive and legislative domains of Swiss national politics were registered physicians in MedReg. This corresponds to registered medical doctors representing 14.20% of members in the Federal Council, 2.17% in the Council of States, and 2.00% in the National Council in 2023; or per each governmental branch, 14.20% of Switzerland's national executive body and 2.03% of the national legislative body. These six physicians consisted of two females (33.33%) and four males (66.66%). Ages ranged from 45-49 (16.66%), 55-59 (25%), 60-64 (16.66%) and 65-69 (25%). In respect of their medical field of expertise, three were board-certified specialists in general internal medicine (50.00%), one was board-certified in general internal medicine and prevention and public health (16.66%), one was an expert in general internal medicine and endocrinology (16.66%), and one was board-certified as an ophthalmologist (16.66%).

Discussion

Whilst rates of registered physicians serving in national political positions may appear insignificant, they are higher than demographic trends for doctors per person in Switzerland, ²⁶ suggesting an overrepresentation compared to this general population-based metric. This is notable since practising physician numbers may be declining nationwide per previous studies. ²⁷ Occupational profiles from Swiss national politicians indicates that this is similar to other professions in the country, like agricultural workers and lawyers, who may also be politically overrepresented compared to national rates from vocational sources. ^{28,29} Nevertheless, the number of doctors in the Swiss federal legislature is proportionally lower than contemporaneous results in other countries; in 2022, 3.10% of federal legislators in the US had professional backgrounds as physicians, ¹⁵ as compared to our finding of 2.03% in Switzerland's United Federal Assembly in 2023. Using gender-based figures from the Swiss Medical Association (FMH), 44.90% of registered doctors in Switzerland are female, ³⁰ indicating that they might be underrepresented in national public office per our study. Likewise, this could be apposite for medical subspecialties other than general internal medicine based on occupational statistics from the FMH. ³⁰

In the authors' opinion, broader political representation of doctors in Switzerland may prove advantageous for civil society and professional medical associations. ¹³ This could be especially timely given political and socioeconomic debates surrounding Swiss healthcare policies [e.g., Refs. 1, 2]. Significantly, medical expenditure was estimated to account for 11.80% of the country's gross domestic product in 2020³¹ and a recent survey of 26,298 Swiss residents identified healthcare as a preeminent political concern. ³² Amidst these contexts, physicians could raise awareness about health-related issues and influence relevant policies as elected officials. For doctors, this could include discussions around insurance, research funding provisions, and patient care, where first-hand vocational experience of these notions has been beneficial in separate settings. ^{9,13} Further, physicians can be particularly valuable in high office positions; for instance, evidence shows that medically trained German health ministers improved hospital capacities, capital, and health insurance funding. ¹⁷ There are inherent challenges to appraising and measuring the influence of physicians in

governmental roles; Kraus and Suarez have proposed several mechanisms for this in the United States, including analysing voting records, speeches, and sponsored bills, which could also be applicable in Swiss politics. Studies from the political sciences have highlighted other proxies for productivity, such as committee assignments and successfully passed legislation, that could be used in future research on this topic. 33

Equally, the extensive coverage of medical societies and professional groups in Switzerland might mean that doctors are engaging at a political level outside of formal positions. Such organisations regularly provide policy guidance and undertake advocacy activities; notably, the FMH has a resource devoted to political matters. Additionally, Switzerland's distinctive consultation process of law-making (*Vernehmlassung*) aims to involve stakeholders in legislative procedures and may therefore already encompass physicians' perspectives. Here, again, associations periodically facilitate responses to consultative requests around legislative considerations; for example, the FMH publicly declares its positions, as does the Swiss Society of General Medicine, amongst others. Correspondingly, participation within Federal Commissions can also facilitate dialogues between doctors and governmental administrations. These extra-parliamentary institutions are founded to provide advice on specific topics and are often dedicated to specialised medical areas [e.g., Refs. 38, 39].

Limitations and directions for future research

We deemed our study to be the best available method to explore current levels of registered physicians in Switzerland's executive and legislative political bodies; yet our approach has its limitations. Firstly, although other investigations into physicians in US governmental structures have adopted similar methodologies, ^{15,16} secondary analysis can invoke data availability and reproducibility questions. ⁴⁰ For example, during data gathering, occupational information was not available on governmental or parliamentary websites for certain State (n=15) and National Councillors (n=32), and a supplementary internet search was required. This had the potential to introduce discrepancies; to mitigate against this, two authors consulted multiple internet sources, including official websites and press reports, to corroborate biographical information and the MedReg database was screened to validate physician registrations.

Moreover, we investigated Federal, State, and National Councillors in 2023 and not longitudinal trends. Due to data availability constraints, we deemed this appropriate to present current insights amidst contemporaneous healthcare debates; a comparative follow-up study after the Swiss Federal Elections in October 2023 could allow for more insights. Nonetheless, samples over a broader timeframe would allow for historical comparisons. Our work only captured physicians registered in MedReg and did not include other health-related professions or qualifications. Future research could encompass additional stakeholders involved in healthcare policy, such as nurses or insurance experts, and cantonal-level politicians for more exhaustive evaluations.

More generally, the extent to which physicians feel they are sufficiently participating in the governmental process through multiple professional mechanisms could form the basis for additional investigations. Whilst our study presents quantitative data about physicians in formal federal roles, qualitative findings about the wider political representation of Swiss physicians could better contextualise their influence on civil and health-related issues. This could be further complimented by extensive research in different countries and about how doctors serving in other national political structures can shape health policy debates, through the mechanisms for assessing political influence and productivity proposed elsewhere [e.g., Refs. 9, 33].

Conclusion

Healthcare policy is a substantial socio-political and economic concern in Switzerland, frequently capturing the national agenda. However, there is limited knowledge about the number of registered physicians serving in the Swiss Federal, State, and National Councils, despite similar studies in other countries.

Based on our findings, national political representation of medical doctors was above populational trends in Switzerland. Nevertheless, the number of physicians serving in the Swiss legislature (the United Federal Assembly) was lower than in the United States' federal legislature, where comparable investigations have occurred. Further, females and clinical subspecialties other than general internal medicine may be professionally underrepresented in formal political roles.

Detailed work is needed to understand the implications of medical doctors occupying political offices and their representative mechanisms outside of formal federal positions in Switzerland. Moreover, further investigations should be encouraged in different political systems internationally. In general, this may help determine whether more physicians should be encouraged to run for elected office.

Data availability

Underlying data

Publicly available biographical information is obtainable from the Swiss government and parliamentary websites, which list occupational records for executive and legislative positions. Information about physician registrations is available by searching The Register of Medical Professionals. Data used in this study are:

The "The seven members of the Federal Council" dataset of 2023, available from The portal of the Swiss government.

The "National Council Members A-Z" dataset of 2023, available from The Federal Assembly - The Swiss Parliament.

The "Council Of States Members A-Z" dataset of 2023, available from The Federal Assembly - The Swiss Parliament.

The "Register of Medical Professionals" dataset of 2023, available from the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health.

References

- O'Dea C: How spiralling costs are jeopardising Switzerland's healthcare system. [Accessed 15 Jan 2023].
- SwissInfo: Health insurance premiums to take biggest jump in a decade. [Accessed 24 Dec 2022].
- Brändle T, Bruchez PA, Colombier C, et al.: Do the COVID-19 Crisis, Ageing and Climate Change Put Swiss Fiscal Sustainability at Risk? Int. Econ. 2022; 57(1): 48-55.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kaiser B, Schmid C: Does Physician Dispensing Increase Drug Expenditures? Empirical Evidence from Switzerland. Health Econ. 2016; 25(1): 71–90.
 PubMed Abstract Publisher Full Text
- Bundeskanzlei BK: Federal popular initiative 'For lower premiums - cost control in healthcare (cost control initiative)' [Eidgenössische Volksinitiative 'Für tiefere Prämien -Kostenbremse im Gesundheitswesen (Kostenbremse-Initiative)']. [Accessed 16 Nov 2022].
 Reference Source
- De Pietro C, Crivelli L: Swiss popular initiative for a single health insurer ... once again! Health Policy. 2015; 119(7): 851–855.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Piel J: Legislative Advocacy and Forensic Psychiatry Training. J. Am. Acad. Psychiatry Law. 2018; 46(2): 147–154.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Volgman AS: It's essential for doctors to be involved in politics. [Accessed 15 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- Kraus CK, Suarez TA: Is there a doctor in the house? ... Or the Senate? Physicians in US Congress, 1960-2004. JAMA. 2004; 292(17): 2125-2129.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 10. Editor: **Doctors in Politics.** Can. Med. Assoc. J. 1933; **29**(5): 557–558.
- Glaser W: Doctors and Politics. Am. J. Sociol. 1960; 66(3): 230–245.
 Publisher Full Text
- Whalen C: The Doctor as a Politician. JAMA. 1899; XXXII(14): 756–759.
- Publisher Full Text
- Oberstar JV: Physician-legislators: physicians practicing public service. JAMA. 1999; 281(9): 862.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 14. Australian Medical Association: **Doctors in politics.** [Accessed 30 Dec 2022].
- Varma T, Goldenberg M: Physician-Legislators in Federal and State Government in 2022 [in press]. JAMA. 2022; 328: 2450–2452.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kumar B, Suneja M, Swee ML: The Doctors in the House: Examining Physician Legislators in the US Congress from 2011 to 202. South. Med. J. 2022; 115(5): 322-327. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Pilny A, Roesel F: Are Doctors Better Health Ministers? American Journal of Health Economics. 2020; 6(4): 498–532.
 Publisher Full Text

- Moberly T, Iacobucci G: General election: 10 doctors are elected as MPs. BMJ. 2019; 367: l6976.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lass P, Szarszewski A, Gaworska-Krzemińska A, et al.: Lekarze w roll prezydentów i premierów-analiza biograficzna [Medical doctors as the state presidents and prime ministers-a biographical analysis]. Przegl. Lek. 2012; 69(8): 642-646.
- SwissInfo: President Ignazio Cassis: a man who resembles Switzerland. [accessed 11 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- 21. SwissInfo: Swiss politicians: just don't call me a professional.
 [accessed 18 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- The Federal Council: The seven members of the Federal Council. [accessed 5 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- The Federal Assembly The Swiss Parliament: Council of States Members A-Z. [accessed 5 Jan 2023].
- The National Assembly The Swiss Parliament: National Council Members A-Z. [accessed 5 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- Federal Office of Public Health: MedReg register of medical professionals. [accessed 6 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- The Global Economic: Switzerland: Doctors per 1,000 people. [accessed 15 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- Streit S, da Costa BR, Christensen S, et al.: One in seven Swiss physicians has left patient care - results from a national cohort study from 1980-2009. Swiss Med. Wkly. 2019; 149: w20116. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Federal Statistic Office: Farming at a Glance. 2021. [accessed 20 Apr 2023].
 Reference Source
- Council of Bars and Law Societies of Europe CCBE: CCBE Lawyers' Statistics 2020 - Full Members. [accessed 20 Apr 2023]. Reference Source
- Swiss Medical Association FMH: Practicing physicians by main discipline and gender; 2021 [Médecins en exercice par discipline principale et par sexe; 2021]. [accessed 15 Jan 2023]. Reference Source
- Federal Statistic Office: Total health expenditure in 2020 at CHF 83.3 billion. [accessed 14 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- Le News: The 3 biggest current concerns in Switzerland, based on survey. [accessed 15 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- Cox GW, Terry C: Legislative Productivity in the 93rd-105th Congresses. Legis. Stud. Q. 2008; 33(4): 603–618.
 Publisher Full Text
- Swiss Medical Association FMH: Political Business [Politische Geschäfte]. [accessed 15 Jan 2023]. Reference Source

- Schwarz D: Switzerland: Agenda-Setting Power of the Government in a Separation-of-Powers Framework. Björn ER, Tsebelis G, editors. The Role of Governments in Legislative Agenda Setting. London: Routledge; 2011; 127–143
- Swiss Medical Association FMH: Consultation Responses [Vernehmlassungsantworten]. [accessed 15 Jan 2023]. Reference Source
- Swiss Society of General Internal Medicine: Consultations/ Positions [Vernehmlassungen/Positionen]. [accessed 16 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- Federal Administration: Federal Commission for Quality
 Assurance in Medical Assessment. [Eidgenössische Kommission
 für Qualitätssicherung in der medizinischen Begutachtung].
 [accessed 15 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- Federal Office for Public Health: Federal Commission for Issues relating to Sexually Transmitted Infections (FCSTI). [accessed 14 Jan 2023].
 Reference Source
- 40. Vartanian TP: Secondary Data Analysis. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:





Version 2

Reviewer Report 11 July 2023

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.147317.r185606

© 2023 Deml M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Michael J. Deml 🗓



Department of Sociology, Institute of Sociological Research, Universite de Geneve, Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

This was a well-written and clear investigation into the prevalence of registered physicians who hold political positions within the Swiss context. I only have a minor comment concerning the contextualization of health-system issues being on the research agenda in Switzerland, which was a result of the political will to finance the National Research Programme 74 "Smarter Health Care" through the Swiss National Science Foundation. It might be helpful for readers to know about this funding mechanism that funded 34 projects from 2017-2023 (my time period may not be fully accurate).

If the authors are interested, I would suggest consulting the synthesis reports from NRP74: https://www.nfp74.ch/en/WW3T81gCZ6vTbLpX/page/findings for further information.

There was also a peer-reviewed review conducted by myself and colleagues for the NRP74 steering committee. I am including it here out of potential interest to the authors and have no expectations whatsoever about this article being cited.

https://www.ssph-journal.org/articles/10.3389/phrs.2022.1604434/full

My suggestion would be to add 2-3 sentences about the political will for changes to the healthcare system in Switzerland through the funding of health-related research.

References

1. Deml MJ, Jungo KT, Maessen M, Martani A, et al.: Megatrends in Healthcare: Review for the Swiss National Science Foundation's National Research Programme 74 (NRP74). Public Health Rev. 2022; 43: 1604434 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? $\ensuremath{\text{Yes}}$

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? γ_{es}

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Health system research, vaccine hesitancy, health prevention, social sciences, infectious disease prevention

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 02 May 2023

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.147317.r171339

© **2023 Seror V.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Valerie Seror 🗓

IRD, AP-HM, SSA, VITROME, Aix-Marseille Universite, Marseille, Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur, France

My comments had been addressed in this new version of the manuscript.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound? Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Health economics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 18 April 2023

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.143788.r167296

© **2023 Seror V.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



- ¹ IRD, AP-HM, SSA, VITROME, Aix-Marseille Universite, Marseille, Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur, France
- ² IRD, AP-HM, SSA, VITROME, Aix-Marseille Universite, Marseille, Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur, France

This is a very well-written manuscript that explored the issue of the influence of physicians in political positions on health policy. As a first step, this study aimed at providing insight based on data gathered from publicly information accessible on the Internet without requirements or permissions. Data on age and gender of the members of the Federal, State and National Councils had been collected as well as data on the medical background of the physicians involved in these councils. Among a total number of 253 Councillors in 2023, 6 had been identified as medical doctors.

Main comments:

1. Considering the relatively low number of physicians involved in the Councils, the authors pointed out a likely over-representation of the members of the Councils with medical background as compared to their rates in the general population. In order to get more insight on this issue, the authors could also question whether and to what extent occupational backgrounds of the members of the councils are representative of the Swiss

population.

2. Considering the major issue of potential influence of physicians in political positions on health policy, the Discussion section could provide suggestions on whether to appraise such influence.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound? Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? γ_{es}

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results? ${\hbox{\sc Partly}}$

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Health economics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 21 Apr 2023

Alexander Smith

Authors: Dear Dr Seror, we would like to thank you for taking the time to review our paper and providing constructive and supportive feedback. We very much appreciate your valuable insights and have responded to the feedback you raised point-by-point below. We believe that these suggestions now strengthen the paper by providing additional contextualisation and reinforcing the theoretical basis for future international studies.

Reviewer: Considering the relatively low number of physicians involved in the Councils, the authors pointed out a likely over-representation of the members of the Councils with medical background as compared to their rates in the general population. In order to get more insight on this issue, the authors could also question whether and to what extent occupational backgrounds of the members of the councils are representative of the Swiss

population.

Authors: This is an excellent point, thank you for raising this. In paragraph 1 of the discussion, we have now added other occupational comparisons (namely to farmers and lawyers from sources showing national trends) to better frame the representation of doctors in a national context.

Reviewer: Considering the major issue of potential influence of physicians in political positions on health policy, the Discussion section could provide suggestions on whether to appraise such influence.

Authors: Thank you for this suggestion, which will strengthen the basis for further studies. At the end of paragraph 2 of the discussion, we have included information about how to assess the political influence of doctors from a related study, alongside some suggestions from the political science literature. We have also acknowledged this at the end of the "Limitations and directions for future research section". Thank you again for this important point.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- · Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

