DOI: 10.1111/bcpt.13911

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



The Patient Typology about deprescribing and medicationrelated decisions: A quantitative exploration

Kristie Rebecc	a Weir ^{1,2} 💿 Aa	ron M. Scherer ³	Sarah E. Vordenberg ⁴
Sven Streit ¹	Jesse Jansen ⁵	Katharina Tabea	a Jungo ¹

¹Institute of Primary Health Care (BIHAM), University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

²Sydney School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

³University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

⁴Department of Clinical Pharmacy, University of Michigan College of Pharmacy, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

⁵Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, School for Public Health and Primary Care, Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands

Correspondence

Kristie Rebecca Weir, Institute of Primary Health Care (BIHAM), University of Bern, Mittelstrasse 43, 3012 Bern, Switzerland. Email: kristie.weir@unibe.ch

Funding information

National Institute on Aging, Grant/Award Numbers: R24AG064025, 1K01AG065440; Swiss Government Excellence Scholarship; Swiss National Science Foundation, Grant/Award Number: P500PM_206728

Abstract

This study aimed to test the adequacy of a quantitative measure of our qualitatively developed Patient Typology-categories of older adults' attitudes towards medicines and medicine decision-making-and identify characteristics associated with each Typology. We conducted secondary data analyses of a subset of survey item measures of adults (≥65 years) who were members of online survey panels in Australia, the United Kingdom, the United States and the Netherlands (n = 4688). Multinomial logistic regression analyses assessed associations between demographic, psychosocial and medication-related measures. Mean age was 71.5 (5), and 47.5% of participants were female. Factors associated with an increased likelihood of identifying with Typology 1 'Attached to medicines' over Typology 2 'Open to deprescribing' were higher positive attitude towards polypharmacy (RRR = 1.12, $p = \langle 0.001 \rangle$) and higher need for certainty (RRR = 1.11, p = 0.039). Factors associated with an increased likelihood of identifying with Typology 3 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others' over Typology 2 were older age (RRR = 1.47 per 10-year age increase, $p = \langle 0.001 \rangle$ and a decreased likelihood of prior deprescribing experience (RRR = 0.73, p = 0.033). This study provides validation of the Typology with large samples from four countries, with the quantitatively-measured typologies generally aligning with the qualitatively derived categories. Our Patient Typology measure provides a succinct way researchers can assess attitudes towards deprescribing.

K E Y W O R D S

attitudes towards medicines, communication, consumer preferences, quantitative research methods polypharmacy, shared decision-making

1 | INTRODUCTION

Internationally, there is increasing focus on the harms of prolonged medication use in the older population. Recent international data indicate that 39%–45% of older adults

engage in polypharmacy: taking five or more medications daily.¹⁻⁴ A medication is considered *inappropriate* when potential harms of continuing the medication outweigh its potential benefits for an individual.⁵ A medication could also be considered inappropriate when it does not

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2023 The Authors. Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Nordic Association for the Publication of BCPT (former Nordic Pharmacological Society).

align with an individual's goals and preferences.⁶ One way to reduce medication-related harm is by deprescribing through dose reduction or discontinuing selected medicines.⁶ However, deprescribing can be a challenging process, and consideration of the clinician and patient attitudes towards medicines is necessary for collaborative deprescribing.

Attitudes towards medicines and openness to deprescribing influence how willing older persons are to make changes to their medicines. Older adults can feel reluctant to deprescribe and may have unrealistic beliefs about the benefits of their medications.^{7,8} If older adults have been told they probably need to take a medication for the rest of their life, discussion of possible deprescribing may make them anxious or sceptical.⁹ Older adults may presume their medication is of high importance if they have been taking medication for many years.¹⁰ Further to this, older adults' preferences may change over time,¹¹ and deprescribing decisions can be influenced by specific medications or with a change of the patients' health status.^{12,13}

Even if aspects of the deprescribing recommendation might make people more or less likely to deprescribe, people may differ in their baseline attitudes towards medicines. Researchers have used typologies to make sense of patterns and to categorize differences in how older adults perceive their medications. Previous typologies have categorized participants in relation to deprescribing cardiovascular¹⁴ and cardiometabolic medication,¹⁵ self-management of medications¹⁶ and decision-making preferences.^{17,18} However, none of these typologies focused on deprescribing nonspecific medications.

Our previous qualitative work has explored the nuances of older adults' deprescribing and decisionmaking preferences, where positive and negative attitudes towards medicines can often coincide.⁸ This has led to the development of the Patient Typology,⁸ which categorizes three typologies of patients in terms of their attitudes towards medicines, willingness to deprescribe and their decision-making styles ('Attached to medicines', 'Would consider deprescribing' and 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others'). Our Patient Typology (Figure 1) has been rigorously developed using qualitative methods and informed by a theoretical shared decision-making framework.¹⁹ It is gaining interest in the deprescribing field and has been used in interventional studies,^{20,21} a qualitative study¹⁵ and survey study.²² This indicates that it is applicable in a variety of deprescribing studies. However, qualitative methods can be time consuming. Thus, a short, quantitative Patient Typology measure would be easier to incorporate into research and practice. Our study aims were to develop a quantitative Patient Typology measure and identify demographic, psychosocial and medication-related characteristics associated with each Typology category.



FIGURE 1 Patient Typology (qualitatively-developed) Legend: Figure from Weir et al., 2018

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Development of the quantitative measure of the Patient Typology

We used an iterative process to develop a quantitative Patient Typology measure that included descriptions that summarized the key aspects of the three Patient categories.⁸ Input was received Typology from 10 multi-disciplinary researchers and clinicians, which included experts in geriatrics, general practice, pharmacy, health literacy, ethics, health psychology and shared decision-making and a consumer representative. Feedback was provided in one-to-one in-person discussions, in meetings, over the phone or via email. This was followed by informal pilot testing in Australia with seven older adults and two caregivers of older adults (in person and over the phone), resulting in minor wording changes so the descriptions were easier to understand.

2.2 | Study design and sample

This study was part of a larger survey-based online experiment testing medication-related factors that influence older adults' preferences for deprescribing.²³ Participants were asked to reflect on a scenario in which a general practitioner recommended stopping either (1) simvastatin for the prevention of heart disease and stroke or (2) lansoprazole for the treatment of indigestion. The survey was completed by older adults aged 65 years and above, recruited from Australia, the United Kingdom, the United States and the Netherlands. Participants were recruited through a panel of Internet users administered by Qualtrics Research Panels (Provo, UT) from December 2020 through March 2021. Opt-in methods, sample requirements and sample quotas were utilized to ensure the samples were demographically diverse and eligible panellists were randomly invited. Full details of the study have been reported elsewhere.²³ This study received exempt status approval from the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board (IRB). The original study was conducted in accordance with the Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology policy for experimental and clinical studies and was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04676282.24

2.3 | Survey

The self-assessed survey was administered in English for participants in the United Kingdom, the United States and Australia and in Dutch for participants in the Netherlands. The survey was translated from English to Dutch by one of the co-authors (JJ) and a medical student from the Netherlands. Minor changes to wording were made when necessary to fit with the context of each country (e.g. primary care provider vs. general practitioner).

2.4 | Primary outcome measure

The primary outcome for this study was which of the three Patient Typology descriptions⁸ participants most closely identified with. The order in which the three descriptions were presented was randomized to prevent order bias. See Supporting Information for the descriptions.

2.5 | Patient characteristics

The demographic, psychosocial and medication-related variables included were based on hypothesized relationships with the Patient Typology, a systematic review and metaanalysis of peoples' attitudes towards deprescribing,²⁵ and prior deprescribing research about barriers and facilitators, communication and shared decision-making by the coauthors.^{7,19} The variables included in the analysis were attitudes towards medications and deprescribing, personality traits and health preferences, health characteristics, demographics and medication use.

Attitudes towards medications and deprescribing:

- Agreement with deprescribing recommendation (for simvastatin or lansoprazole) from a general practitioner. Participant agreement with a hypothetical deprescribing recommendation on a 6-point Likert scale, with strongly disagree (1) and strongly agree (6) as the scale anchors²³
- *Perception of harmfulness of deprescribing*: Perceived potential harm of deprescribing on a 10-point Likert scale, with *not harmful* (1) to *very harmful* (10) as the scale anchors^{26,27}
- Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire (BMQ) Harm and Overuse subscales (eight items): Beliefs about medicines in general focusing on harmfulness and overuse on a 5-point Likert scale, with strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5) as the scale anchors^{28,29}
- *Attitudes towards polypharmacy*: Attitude towards taking 11 medications on a 10-point Likert scale, with *very negative* (1) and *very positive* (10) as the scale anchors³⁰

WEIR ET AL.

Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicolo

Personality traits and health preferences:

- *Medical Maximizer-Minimizer (MM1)*: Preferences for seeking more or less medical care, ranging from 'I strongly lean towards waiting and seeing (1)' to 'I strongly lean towards taking action (6)'³¹
- *Need for certainty scale*: Comfort or discomfort with uncertainty on a 5-point Likert scale, with *strongly disagree* (1) and *strongly agree* (5) as the scale anchors³²
- *Health Regulatory Focus Scale (HRFS) Health Promotion subscale (six items)*: Preference for engaging in actions to promote health on a 7-point Likert scale, with *not at all* (1) to *to a great extent* (7) as the scale anchors³³

Health characteristics:

- *Self-rated general health*: General health rating on a 5-point Likert scale, with *poor* (1) to *excellent* (5) as the scale anchors³⁴
- *Health literacy*: Confidence in filling out medical forms on a 5-point Likert scale, with *not at all* (1) to *extremely* (5) as the scale anchors^{35,36}

Mean values were calculated for the following variables: BMQ General, HRFS Health Promotion and the Need for Certainty scale. Due to high collinearity, we did not include the BMQ Specific or the HRFS Health Prevention subscales. See Supporting Information for variables included in the current analyses and specific item wording.

Demographics and medication use:

Demographics included age, gender, education, relationship status and living situation. Medication use measured included self-reported number of medications (prescription, non-prescription and/or dietary supplements), level of support for managing their medications and prior experience taking a medication from the same therapeutic class as the medication in the scenario (HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor or proton pump inhibitor).

2.6 | Statistical analysis

We calculated descriptive statistics for each typology. Categorical variables are presented as frequencies and percentages; means and the standard deviations are presented for scales and continuous measures. We used multilevel multinomial logistic regression analyses accounting for the clustering effect at country level to calculate the relative risk of choosing a certain Patient Typology (Supporting Information). Typology 2 'Would consider deprescribing' was used as the base outcome in these analyses as it was the most selected typology. Demographic characteristics (age, gender, education, health literacy, health status), risk attitudes, personality traits and medication-related characteristics (number of medications used, personal use of the medication presented in the scenario) were included in the models as predictor variables. Subgroup analyses revealed no major differences between the two medication types (simvastatin and lansoprazole), so we chose to report results collapsed across the two medication types for simplicity. All analyses were conducted with Stata, version Stata SE 16.0 (StataCorp).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Participant characteristics

In total, 5693 individuals started the survey, and 5311 completed it.²³ We excluded 301 participants who were ineligible for participation (less than 65 years or did not reside in a participating country) and 81 participants who did not agree to give high-quality answers. We excluded 623 participants who had not responded to the Typology question (Table S1).

Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. In total, 4688 participants (88% of the final sample) completed the Patient Typology question. In each of the four countries, Typology 2 'Would consider deprescribing' was the most selected typology, and Typology 3 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others' was the least selected typology.

3.2 | Multinomial logistic regression analysis

The results from the multinomial logistic regression analysis are shown in Table 2 and summarized in Box 1. The multinomial logistic regression shows the likelihood of a participant choosing 'Attached to medicines' Typology 1 or 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others' Typology 3 over 'Would consider deprescribing' Typology 2, which has been defined as the base outcome. Variables that were significantly associated with the likelihood of selecting a specific typology over the reference category are summarized in Table S2.

3.2.1 | Selecting Typology 1 'Attached to medicines' over Typology 2 'Would consider deprescribing'

Factors associated with an increased likelihood of primarily identifying with Typology 1 'Attached to

TABLE1Baseline characteristics.



	Total (n = 4688) n (%)	Typology 1 (n = 1446) n (%)	Typology 2 (n = 2464) n (%)	Typology 3 (<i>n</i> = 778) <i>n</i> (%)
Country				
Australia	1098 (23.4)	371 (25.7)	554 (22.5)	173 (22.2)
Netherlands	1021 (21.8)	267 (18.5)	566 (23.0)	188 (24.2)
United Kingdom	1231 (26.3)	345 (23.9)	630 (25.6)	256 (33.0)
United States	1338 (28.5)	463 (32.0)	714 (29.0)	161 (20.7)
Gender				
Female	2226 (47.5)	631 (43.6)	1311 (53.2)	284 (36.5)
Male	2450 (52.3)	811 (56.1)	1147 (46.6)	492 (63.2)
Missing	12 (0.3)	4 (0.3)	6 (0.2)	2 (0.2)
Education				
High school diploma or less	1425 (30.4)	478 (33.1)	644 (26.1)	303 (39.0)
Trade school/some college/associate's degree	1671 (35.6)	522 (36.1)	885 (35.9)	264 (33.9)
Bachelor's degree	1057 (22.6)	280 (19.4)	633 (25.7)	144 (18.5)
Master's degree or higher	532 (11.4)	165 (11.4)	300 (12.2)	67 (8.6)
Missing	3 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	0 (0)
Marital status				
Partnered/married	3073 (65.6)	949 (65.6)	1597 (64.8)	527 (67.7)
Not partnered/married	1613 (34.4)	497 (34.4)	865 (35.1)	251 (32.3)
Missing	2 (0.5)	0 (0)	2 (0.1)	0 (0)
Living situation				
Alone	1289 (27.5)	403 (27.9)	682 (27.7)	204 (26.2)
With someone	3221 (68.7)	974 (67.4)	1695 (68.8)	552 (71.0)
Nursing home or retirement village	21 (0.5)	7 (0.5)	11 (0.5)	3 (0.4)
Missing	157 (3.4)	62 (4.3)	76 (3.1)	19 (2.4)
Health literacy				
None	82 (1.8)	38 (2.6)	25 (1.0)	19 (2.4)
A little bit	145 (3.1)	43 (3.0)	62 (2.5)	40 (5.1)
Somewhat (potential for lower health literacy)	453 (9.7)	151 (10.4)	197 (8.0)	105 (13.5)
Quite a bit	1846 (39.4)	523 (36.2)	973 (39.5)	350 (45.0)
Extremely	2160 (46.1)	691 (47.8)	1206 (48.9)	263 (33.8)
Missing	2 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	1 (0.1)
Support for managing medications				
None	4080 (87.0)	1241 (85.8)	2174 (88.2)	665 (85.5)
Occasional support	373 (8.0)	118 (8.2)	195 (7.9)	60 (7.7)
Complete assistance	169 (3.6)	72 (5.0)	63 (2.6)	34 (4.4)
Missing	66 (1.4)	15 (1.0)	32 (1.3)	19 (2.4)
Self-reported health				
Poor	220 (4.7)	76 (5.3)	109 (4.4)	35 (4.5)
Fair	1209 (25.8)	420 (29.1)	617 (25.0)	172 (22.1)
Good	2041 (43.5)	605 (41.8)	1086 (44.1)	350 (45.0)
Very good	1023 (21.8)	292 (20.2)	555 (22.5)	176 (22.6)
				(Continues)



TABLE 1 (Continued)

	Total (n = 4688) n (%)	Typology 1 (<i>n</i> = 1446) <i>n</i> (%)	Typology 2 (n = 2464) n (%)	Typology 3 (n = 778) n (%)
Excellent	195 (4.2)	53 (3.7)	97 (3.9)	45 (5.8)
Missing	195 (4.16)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Previous experience with deprescribing (vs. none)	538 (11.5)	131 (9.1)	338 (13.7)	69 (8.9)
Missing	3 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Age	71 (4.9)	72 (5.2)	71 (4.6)	72 (5.3)
# of prescribed medications	4.9 (8.7)	5.7 (8.4)	4.9 (8.7)	3.7 (9.1)
# of over-the-counter medications/supplements	2.1 (4.3)	2.1 (4.8)	2.3 (4.2)	1.6 (3.2)
Medical Maximizing-Minimizing Preferences ^a (<i>range: 1–6</i>)	3.4 (1.4)	3.7 (1.4)	3.4 (1.3)	3.1 (1.3)
Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire General ^b ($\alpha = 0.85$, range: 1–5)	2.6 (0.8)	2.3 (0.7)	2.7 (0.8)	2.8 (0.8)
Agreement with hypothetical deprescribing recommendation (range: 1–6)	4.8 (1.4)	4.8 (1.4)	4.8 (1.3)	4.9 (1.2)
Polypharmacy attitudes ^c (range: 1–10)	4.0 (2.2)	4.7 (2.3)	3.7 (2.0)	3.5 (2.1)
Perceived harmfulness of deprescribing (range: 1-10)	4.0 (2.4)	4.2 (2.6)	3.9 (2.3)	3.8 (2.1)
Need for Certainty scale ($\alpha = 0.85$, range: 1–5)	3.6 (0.8)	3.7 (0.8)	3.6 (0.8)	3.4 (0.9)
Health Promotion scale ^d ($\alpha = 0.87$, range: 1–7)	5.1 (1.1)	5.1 (1.1)	5.2 (1.1)	4.9 (1.2)

^aHigher values indicating a stronger preference towards medical interventions.

^bHigher values indicating a stronger belief that medicines are over-used or harmful.

^cHigher values indicating more positive attitudes.

^dHigher values indicating a stronger preference for engaging in actions to promote health.

medicines' (vs. Typology 2 'Would consider deprescribing') were older age (RRR = 1.26 per 10-year increase in age, p < 0.01), higher positive attitude towards polypharmacy (RRR = 1.12, p = <0.001) a higher need for certainty (RRR = 1.11, p = 0.039) and perceiving deprescribing as potentially more harmful (RRR = 1.04, p = 0.047) (Table 2).

Factors associated with a reduced likelihood of primarily identifying with 'Attached to medicines' Typology (vs. 'Would consider deprescribing' Typology) were being female (RRR = 0.78, p < 0.01), higher education level including trade school/college or associate's degree (RRR = 0.78, p < 0.01), bachelor's degree (RRR = 0.58, p < 0.001) or Master's degree (RRR = 0.76, p = 0.04), higher confidence filling out medical forms ('somewhat' RRR = 0.49, p = 0.04; 'quite a bit' RRR = 0.39, p < 0.01; 'extremely' RRR = 0.35, p < 0.01) belief that medicines were over-used or harmful (RRR = 0.47, p < 0.001) and previous experience with deprescribing (RRR = 0.73, p < 0.01).

Results from the multinomial logistic regression analyses in relation to the hypotheses are summarized in Table S2. Consistent with the hypotheses, participants who selected 'Attached to medicines' Typology (vs. 'Would consider deprescribing' Typology) were more likely to: perceive deprescribing as harmful, have less experience with deprescribing, more positive attitudes towards polypharmacy, lower beliefs that medicines are over-used or harmful, lower health literacy, lower education level, and a higher need for certainty. Contrary to the hypotheses, less agreement with deprescribing was not confirmed to increase/decrease the likelihood of choosing 'Attached to medicines' Typology over 'Would consider deprescribing' Typology.

3.2.2 | Selecting Typology 3 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others' over Typology 2 'Would consider deprescribing'

Factors associated with an increased likelihood of primarily identifying with Typology 3 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others' (vs. Typology 2 'Would consider deprescribing') were older age (RRR = 1.47 per **TABLE 2** Multinomial logistic regression of the associations between patient characteristics and the three typologies^a (n = 4153).

Typology 2 Base outcome of the model	Typology 1			Typology 3		
<i>Typology 2</i> ^a 'Would consider deprescribing': Ambivalent attitudes towards medicines, preferred a proactive role in decision-making, were open to deprescribing. Knowledgeable about their health or medications, accessed information. Reported very good or higher self-rated health	 Typology 1^a 'Attached to medicines': Positive attitudes towards medicines, left decisions to their doctor, resistant to deprescribing. Some knowledge about their health or medications. Reported good self- rated health 			 Typology 3^a 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others': Gave medicines little thought, deferred decisions to their doctor or companion, unaware deprescribing is an option. Perceived they lacked knowledge about their health or medications. Majority male, frail. Reported fair or poor self-rated health 		
Variables	Relative risk ratio	95% CI	P value	Relative risk ratio	95% CI	P value
Gender						
Male	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Female	0.78	0.66-0.91	<0.01	0.48	0.39-0.58	<0.001
Education						
High school diploma or less	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Trade school/some college/associate's degree	0.78	0.65–.94	0.01	0.59	0.47-0.73	<0.001
Bachelor's degree	0.58	0.47-0.71	0.00	0.41	0.31-0.53	<0.001
Master's degree or higher	0.76	0.59-0.98	0.04	0.43	0.31-0.61	<0.001
Marital status						
Partner/married	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Not partnered/married	0.90	0.67-1.22	0.51	1.12	0.78-1.62	0.52
Living situation						
Alone	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
With someone	0.87	0.64-1.20	0.40	1.12	0.76-1.64	0.57
Nursing home or retirement village	0.78	0.24-2.55	0.68	0.97	0.25-3.80	0.96
Health literacy						
None	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
A little bit	0.52	0.24-1.13	0.10	0.90	0.39-2.09	0.81
Somewhat	0.49	0.25-0.97	0.04	0.55	0.26-1.17	0.12
Quite a bit	0.39	0.21-0.75	<0.01	0.41	0.20-0.84	0.02
Extremely	0.35	0.18-0.66	<0.01	0.28	0.13-0.57	<0.01
Support for managing medications						
None	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Occasional support	0.99	0.75-1.32	0.95	1.06	0.75-1.50	0.73
Complete assistance	1.45	0.95-2.20	0.09	1.32	0.80-2.18	0.28
Self-reported health						
Poor	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Fair	1.25	0.86-1.82	0.24	1.04	0.65-1.68	0.86
Good	1.08	0.74–1.56	0.70	1.37	0.86-2.18	0.18
Very good	1.12	0.75-1.67	0.58	1.68	1.03-2.76	0.04
Excellent	1.13	0.66-1.95	0.66	3.04	1.64-5.63	<0.001
Previous experience with deprescribing (vs. none)	0.73	0.57-0.93	0.01	0.73	0.54-0.98	0.03

7

17427843, 0, Downloaded from https://nlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library on [18/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://online.library.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität Bern, Wiley Online Library.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bcpt.13911 by Universität

(Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Variables	Relative risk ratio	95% CI	P value	Relative risk ratio	95% CI	P value
Age (years) (per 10-year increase)	1.26	1.08-s1.46	<0.01	1.47	1.23–1.77	<0.001
# of prescribed medications	1.00	0.99-1.00	0.31	0.99	0.98-1.00	0.13
# of over-the-counter medications/supplements	0.99	0.97-1.01	0.52	0.95	0.92-0.99	0.01
Medical Maximizing-Minimizing Preferences ^b (range: 1–7)	1.05	0.99–1.12	0.10	0.88	0.82-0.95	<0.01
Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire General ^c ($\alpha = 0.85$, range: 1-5)	0.47	0.4253	<0.001	1.13	1.00-1.29	0.06
Agreement with hypothetical deprescribing recommendation (<i>range: 1–6</i>)	1.06	0.99–1.13	0.10	1.09	1.01–1.19	0.03
Polypharmacy attitudes ^d (range: 1–10)	1.12	1.08-1.16	<0.001	0.95	0.90-1.00	0.05
Perceived harmfulness of deprescribing (range: 1–10)	1.04	1.00-1.08	0.05	1.00	0.96-1.05	0.87
Need for Certainty scale ($\alpha = 0.85$, range: 1–5)	1.11	1.01-1.23	0.04	0.96	0.86-1.08	0.54
Health Promotion scale ^e ($\alpha = 0.87$, range: 1–7)	1.03	0.96-1.11	0.37	0.80	0.73-0.87	<0.001

^aThe qualitative typology descriptions are based on the previous qualitative research in which these typologies were created.⁸ The analyses were adjusted for the cluster effect by country.

^bHigher values indicating a stronger preference towards medical interventions.

^cHigher values indicating a stronger belief that medicines are over-used or harmful.

^dHigher values indicating more positive attitudes.

^eHigher values indicating a stronger preference for engaging in actions to promote health.

10-year increase in age, p < 0.001), higher agreement with deprescribing (RRR = 1.09, p = 0.034), and general health reported as excellent (RRR = 3.04, p < 0.001).

Factors associated with a reduced likelihood of primarily identifying with 'Defers (medication decisionmaking) to others' Typology (vs. 'Would consider deprescribing' Typology) were being female (RRR = 0.48, p < 0.001), higher education level including trade school/ college or associate's degree (RRR = 0.59, p < 0.001), bachelor's degree (RRR = 0.41, p < 0.001) or master's degree (RRR = 0.43, p < 0.001), confidence filling out medical forms ('quite a bit' RRR = 0.41, p = 0.02; 'extremely' RRR = 0.28, p < 0.01), leaned towards taking action in relation to their health (RRR = 0.88, p < 0.01), greater desire of participants to engage in actions to promote good health (RRR = 0.80, p < 0.001) and experience with deprescribing (RRR = 0.73, p = 0.03) (Table 2).

For 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others' Typology, the multinomial logistic regression results that were consistent with the hypotheses (Table S2) were older age, male, slightly higher agreement with the deprescribing recommendation, less experience with deprescribing, lower health literacy, lower education level, less desire to engage in actions to promote their health and a preference towards waiting and seeing. Contrary to the hypotheses, excellent health increased the likelihood of choosing 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others' Typology over 'Would consider deprescribing' Typology. Also, lower beliefs that medicines are over-used or harmful or less need for certainty were not confirmed to increase/decrease the likelihood of choosing 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others' Typology over 'Would consider deprescribing' Typology.

4 | DISCUSSION

In the current study, we tested for changes in the relative risk of identifying with one of the three Patient Typologies for demographic, psychosocial and medication-related variables theorized to be associated with each Typology. Across the three Typologies, the quantitative results were generally consistent with the hypotheses.

To our knowledge, this is the first validation of a qualitative-derived deprescribing and medication decision-making typology using quantitative methods in a large sample of older adults from multiple countries. Our findings were consistent with the hypotheses for most measures within these categories: deprescribing, attitudes towards medicines, knowledge about medicines and health, decision-making preferences and characteristics. We conclude that our quantitative measure can be

Selection of Selection of Typology 1 'Attached to medicines' over Typology 3 'defers (medication decision-making) to Typology 2 'Would consider deprescribing' others' over Typology 2 'Would consider deprescribing' Categories ↓ previous experience with deprescribing Deprescribing previous experience with deprescribing ↑ perceived deprescribing as harmful ↑ slightly higher agreement with deprescribing recommendation Attitudes towards ↑ positive attitudes towards polypharmacy None medicines belief that medicines are over-used or harmful ↑ need for certainty Knowledge about ↓ confidence filling out medical forms ↓ confidence filling out medical forms medicines and ↓ obtained higher education levels ↓ obtained higher education levels health \downarrow desire to engage in actions to promote good health Decision-making None Leaning towards waiting and seeing preferences Characteristics | female | female ↑older age ↑ older age

BOX 1 Summary of the significant results from the multinomial logistic regression

used to assess the Patient Typology and the few discrepancies found, which will be discussed in detail, do not undermine its validity.

For 'Attached to medicines' Typology participants, we would expect to see resistance to deprescribing. Although participants who selected 'Attached to medicines' over 'Would consider deprescribing' Typology perceived deprescribing to be more harmful and had less experience with deprescribing—consistent with the hypotheses—less agreement with a deprescribing recommendation was not significant. This may be due to a ceiling effect—meaning there was not enough variability to detect differences, as the majority of participants in the larger study agreed with the deprescribing recommendation (>80%).²³

With 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others' Typology 3, participants who reported their general health as excellent had an increased likelihood of more than three times of identifying with this Typology over the 'Would consider deprescribing' Typology. From the hypotheses, we would expect participants identifying with 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others' Typology to report their health as fair or poor. A consideration is that few participants overall (approximately 5% or lower) reported their health level as poor, which was seen across all participants and typologies. Also, agreement with a deprescribing recommendation was associated with an increased likelihood of identifying with 'Defers (medication decision-making) to others' Typology over 'Would consider deprescribing' Typology. Given that participants had less experience with deprescribing, this may reflect this group's agreement with a general practitioner's recommendation rather than

deprescribing itself—which would be consistent with our qualitative work.

There is utility in using the Patient Typology as a target for deprescribing intervention development. It is important that interventions consider the complex nature and interaction of older adults' attitudes, beliefs and decision-making in deprescribing. Current deprescribing interventional research frequently relies on the notion that older adults want to reduce or stop their medications. However, this does not align with real-life clinical practice, where clinicians find it difficult to stop medications due to patient preferences and older adults commonly prefer to continue them.³⁷ This is reflected in deprescribing studies where up to 75% of older adults decline to participate,³⁸⁻⁴⁰ and the challenges of implementing deprescribing are well known.⁴¹ Additional work is needed to develop a validated measure that uses a more nuanced approach to categorize older adults who are more attached to their medications and may be concerned about the potential harms of deprescribing.

A strength of our work is that it examined the typologies in a sample of older adults across four countries with different healthcare systems. This important work offers further confirmation of the Patient Typology, giving insight into whether participants can self-select their typology and provides evidence for using these questions to assess the typologies in deprescribing research. Exploring the Typologies quantitatively is a useful way to gain further understanding and to develop more practical ways to apply the measure in 'real life'. Another strength of our study is that we included commonly used, validated scales. Although deprescribing decisions are often influenced by medication type, our findings were similar for both medication scenarios (simvastatin and lansoprazole). This suggests the Typology may be applicable for different medications and deprescribing decisions. However, contextual factors that influence people to be more or less likely to deprescribe does not mean that people cannot systematically differ in their baseline attitudes towards medicines and deprescribing.

A limitation of this study is that participants were well enough to participate in an online survey and we may have recruited less older adults who vary in their health status, function and frailty level. For example, most participants in this study reported relatively high levels of self-rated health given the age of the population and were quite a bit or extremely confident filling out medical forms. The variables included in our analysis were determined by the data collected as part of a larger study. Therefore, we may be missing important variables such as older adults' trust in their doctor and decisionmaking preferences, which will be examined in our future work. Also, there remains a need to explore the relationship between the typologies and actual deprescribing as the design of our study did not allow for that. Although the findings were generally in line with the hypotheses, it is understandable that a single quantitative measure may not perfectly capture the nuances of an indepth interview. Therefore, our future work will split these items so that participants are able to select their own combinations of attitudes towards medicines, knowledge, deprescribing and decision-making preferences to identify other typologies that may exist.

We have explored a typology of older people with regards to deprescribing using quantitative methods. Participants selecting Typologies 1, 2 and 3 differed in terms of their attitudes towards medicines, deprescribing preferences and demographic characteristics. Understanding the differences and commonalities of older adults in the context of medication-use is important. Utilizing the Patient Typology could be helpful to guide more effective decision-making and management of medicines in the older population. With this information, clinicians could target their communication to focus on the preferences of the older adult and perhaps streamline discussions about deprescribing. A measure such as this would not substitute a patient-GP relationship rather it may support tailored communication by bringing patient preferences to the foreground. Additionally, knowing which typology an individual identifies with could be useful for older adults themselves, by encouraging self-reflection, and may empower them in other health care interactions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Institute on Aging (R24AG064025). Kristie Weir was supported by a

NHMRC Leader Research Fellowship Emerging (2017295), a Swiss Government Excellence Scholarship and a Swiss National Science Foundation Scientific Exchanges grant. Aaron Scherer received support via a K01 award through the National Institute on Aging (1K01AG065440). Katharina Jungo was supported by a Postdoc. Mobility Fellowship from the Swiss National Science Foundation (P500PM 206728). We would like to thank the following people for contributing to the development of the quantitative measure of the Patient Typology: Isabelle Baker, Carissa Bonner, Stacy M. Carter, Jim Colvin, Kirsten McCaffery, Andrew J McLachlan, Vasi Naganathan, Debbie Rigby, Michael Tam and Lyndal Trevena. Open access funding provided by Universitat Bern.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions. The quantitative measure of the Patient Typology will be made available upon request.

ORCID

Kristie Rebecca Weir ^b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9507-5050

REFERENCES

- Guthrie B, Makubate B, Hernandez-Santiago V, Dreischulte T. The rising tide of polypharmacy and drug-drug interactions: population database analysis 1995–2010. *BMC Med.* 2015; 13(1):1-10. doi:10.1186/s12916-015-0322-7
- Kantor ED, Rehm CD, Haas JS, Chan AT, Giovannucci EL. Trends in prescription drug use among adults in the United States from 1999-2012. *Jama*. 2015;314(17):1818-1830. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.13766
- Morin L, Johnell K, Laroche M-L, Fastbom J, Wastesson JW. The epidemiology of polypharmacy in older adults: registerbased prospective cohort study. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2018;10:289-298. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S153458
- Wylie CE, Daniels B, Brett J, Pearson SA, Buckley NA. A national study on prescribed medicine use in Australia on a typical day. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2020;29(9):1046-1053. doi:10.1002/pds.5093
- Panel AGSBCUE, Fick DM, Semla TP, et al. American Geriatrics Society 2019 updated AGS Beers criteria[®] for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. *J am Geriatr Soc.* 2019;67(4):674-694. doi:10.1111/jgs.15767
- Scott IA, Hilmer SN, Reeve E, et al. Reducing inappropriate polypharmacy: the process of deprescribing. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2015;175(5):827-834. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed. 2015.0324

11

- Ailabouni NJ, Weir KR, Reeve E, Turner JT, Norton JW, Gray SL. Barriers and enablers of older adults initiating a deprescribing conversation. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2022;105(3): 615-624. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2021.06.021
- Weir K, Nickel B, Naganathan V, et al. Decision-making preferences and deprescribing: perspectives of older adults and companions about their medicines. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci.* 2018;73(7):e98-e107. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbx138
- Straand J, Sandvik H. Stopping long-term drug therapy in general practice. How well do physicians and patients agree? *Fam Pract.* 2001;18(6):597-601. doi:10.1093/fampra/18.6.597
- Lau DT, Briesacher BA, Mercaldo ND, et al. Older patients' perceptions of medication importance and worth. *Drugs Aging*. 2008;25(12):1061-1075. doi:10.2165/0002512-200825120-00007
- Fried TR, Byers AL, Gallo WT, et al. Prospective study of health status preferences and changes in preferences over time in older adults. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006;166(8):890-895. doi:10. 1001/archinte.166.8.890
- Fried TR, O'Leary J, Van Ness P, Fraenkel L. Inconsistency over time in the preferences of older persons with advanced illness for life-sustaining treatment. *J am Geriatr Soc.* 2007;55(7): 1007-1014. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01232.x
- Muth C, van den Akker M, Blom JW, et al. The Ariadne principles: how to handle multimorbidity in primary care consultations. *BMC Med.* 2014;12(1):1-11. doi:10.1186/s12916-014-0223-1
- Luymes CH, Boelhouwer NJ, Poortvliet RK, de Ruijter W, Reis R, Numans ME. Understanding deprescribing of preventive cardiovascular medication: a Q-methodology study in patients. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2017;11:975-984. doi:10. 2147/PPA.S131625
- Crutzen S, Baas G, Abou J, et al. Barriers and enablers of older patients to deprescribing of cardiometabolic medication: a focus group study. *Front Pharmacol.* 2020;11:1268. doi:10. 3389/fphar.2020.01268
- Møller M, Herborg H, Andersen SE, Tjørnhøj-Thomsen T. Chronic medicine users' self-managing medication with information-a typology of patients with self-determined, security-seeking and dependent behaviors. *Res Social Adm Pharm.* 2021;17(4):750-762. doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2020. 06.021
- Flynn KE, Smith MA, Vanness D. A typology of preferences for participation in healthcare decision making. *Soc Sci Med.* 2006;63(5):1158-1169. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.03.030
- Wrede-Sach J, Voigt I, Diederichs-Egidi H, Hummers-Pradier E, Dierks M-L, Junius-Walker U. Decision-making of older patients in context of the doctor-patient relationship: a typology ranging from "self-determined" to "doctor-trusting" patients. *Int J Family Med.* 2013;2013:478498. doi:10.1155/ 2013/478498
- Jansen J, Naganathan V, Carter SM, et al. Too much medicine in older people? Deprescribing through shared decision making. *BMJ*. 2016;353:353. doi:10.1136/bmj.i2893
- Clinical Trials Government website. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT05019027
- Goyal P. Personal communication. In: Weir K, editor. 2023. p. 1.
- 22. Lüthold RVJ, Tabea K, Weir K, et al. Understanding older patients' willingness to have medications deprescribing in

primary care: a protocol for a cross-sectional survey study in nine European countries. *BMC Geriatr.* 2022;22(1):920. doi:10. 1186/s12877-022-03562-x

- Vordenberg SE, Weir KR, Jansen J, Todd A, Schoenborn N, Scherer AM. Harm and medication-type impact agreement with hypothetical deprescribing recommendations: a vignettebased experiment with older adults across four countries. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2022;38(6):1439-1448. doi:10.1007/s11606-022-07850-5
- Tveden-Nyborg P, Bergmann TK, Jessen N, Simonsen U, Lykkesfeldt J. BCPT policy for experimental and clinical studies. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2021;128(1):4-8. doi:10.1111/ bcpt.13492
- Weir KR, Ailabouni NJ, Schneider CR, Hilmer SN, Reeve E. Consumer attitudes towards deprescribing: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gerontol a Biol Sci Med Sci. 2022;77(5): 1020-1034. doi:10.1093/gerona/glab222
- Dormandy E, Hankins M, Marteau TM. Attitudes and uptake of a screening test: the moderating role of ambivalence. *Psychol Health*. 2006;21(4):499-511. doi:10.1080/14768320500380956
- Scherer LD, Shaffer VA, Caverly T, et al. The role of the affect heuristic and cancer anxiety in responding to negative information about medical tests. *Psychol Health*. 2018;33(2):292-312. doi:10.1080/08870446.2017.1316848
- Horne R, Weinman J. Patients' beliefs about prescribed medicines and their role in adherence to treatment in chronic physical illness. *J Psychosom Res.* 1999;47(6):555-567. doi:10.1016/ s0022-3999(99)00057-4
- Horne R, Weinman J, Hankins M. The beliefs about medicines questionnaire: the development and evaluation of a new method for assessing the cognitive representation of medication. *Psychol Health*. 1999;14(1):1-24. doi:10.1080/ 08870449908407311
- Ferrer RA, Klein WM, Persoskie A, Avishai-Yitshak A, Sheeran P. The tripartite model of risk perception (TRIRISK): distinguishing deliberative, affective, and experiential components of perceived risk. *Ann Behav Med.* 2016;50(5):653-663. doi:10.1007/s12160-016-9790-z
- Scherer LD, Zikmund-Fisher BJ. Eliciting medical maximizing-minimizing preferences with a single question: development and validation of the MM1. *Med Decis Making*. 2020;40(4):545-550. doi:10.1177/0272989X20927700
- 32. Braithwaite D, Sutton S, Steggles N. Intention to participate in predictive genetic testing for hereditary cancer: the role of attitude toward uncertainty. *Psychol Health*. 2002;17(6):761-772. doi:10.1080/0887044021000054764
- Ferrer RA, Lipkus IM, Cerully JL, McBride CM, Shepperd JA, Klein WM. Developing a scale to assess health regulatory focus. *Soc Sci Med.* 2017;195:50-60. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed. 2017.10.029
- DeSalvo KB, Bloser N, Reynolds K, He J, Muntner P. Mortality prediction with a single general self-rated health question. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2006;21(3):267-275. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1497. 2005.00291.x
- Chew LD, Bradley KA, Boyko EJ. Brief questions to identify patients with inadequate health literacy. *Fam Med.* 2004;36(8): 588-594.
- Wallace LS, Rogers ES, Roskos SE, Holiday DB, Weiss BD. Brief report: screening items to identify patients with limited

12 BCDT Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology

health literacy skills. J Gen Intern Med. 2006;21(8):874-877. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1497.2006.00532.x

- Gillespie RJ, Harrison L, Mullan J. Deprescribing medications for older adults in the primary care context: a mixed studies review. *Health Sci Rep.* 2018;1(7):e45. doi:10.1002/hsr2.45
- Komagamine J, Sugawara K, Hagane K. Characteristics of elderly patients with polypharmacy who refuse to participate in an in-hospital deprescribing intervention: a retrospective cross-sectional study. *BMC Geriatr.* 2018;18(1):96. doi:10.1186/ s12877-018-0788-1
- McCarthy C, Flood M, Clyne B, et al. Association between patient attitudes towards deprescribing and subsequent prescription changes. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2023. doi:10. 22541/au.167407899.90617773/v1
- Potter K, Flicker L, Page A, Etherton-Beer C. Deprescribing in frail older people: a randomised controlled trial. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(3):e0149984. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149984
- Zimmerman KM, Linsky AM. A narrative review of updates in deprescribing research. J am Geriatr Soc. 2021;69(9):2619-2624. doi:10.1111/jgs.17273

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Weir KR, Scherer AM, Vordenberg SE, Streit S, Jansen J, Jungo KT. The Patient Typology about deprescribing and medication-related decisions: A quantitative exploration. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2023; 1-12. doi:10.1111/bcpt.13911