



## Serum biomarkers for the differentiation of autoimmune pancreatitis from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

Octavio Caba, Carmelo Diéguez-Castillo, Joaquina Martínez-Galán, Irene González-Cebrián, Cristina Jiménez-Luna

**Specialty type:** Oncology

**Provenance and peer review:**

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): 0

Grade C (Good): C

Grade D (Fair): D

Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Masaru T, Hungary; Sun W, China

**Received:** September 20, 2022

**Peer-review started:** September 20, 2022

**First decision:** November 15, 2022

**Revised:** November 29, 2022

**Accepted:** January 17, 2023

**Article in press:** January 17, 2023

**Published online:** February 15, 2023



**Octavio Caba, Cristina Jiménez-Luna,** Institute of Biopathology and Regenerative Medicine (IBIMER), University of Granada, Granada 18016, Spain

**Octavio Caba, Cristina Jiménez-Luna,** Biosanitary Institute of Granada (ibs.GRANADA), 18014 Granada, Spain

**Carmelo Diéguez-Castillo,** Department of Gastroenterology, San Cecilio University Hospital, Granada 18016, Spain

**Joaquina Martínez-Galán,** Department of Medical Oncology, Virgen de las Nieves University Hospital, Granada 18014, Spain. Biosanitary Institute of Granada (ibs.GRANADA), 18014 Granada, Spain

**Irene González-Cebrián,** Department of Digestive Cancer, San Cecilio University Hospital, Granada 18016, Spain

**Corresponding author:** Carmelo Diéguez-Castillo, MD, PhD, Research Scientist, Department of Gastroenterology, San Cecilio University Hospital, Avenida del Conocimiento, s/n, Granada 18016, Spain. [carmelo89dc@gmail.com](mailto:carmelo89dc@gmail.com)

### Abstract

Autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP), a chronic inflammation caused by the immune system attacking the pancreas, usually presents imaging and clinical features that overlap with those of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). Serum biomarkers, substances that quantitatively change in sera during disease development, are a promising non-invasive tool with high utility for differentiating between these diseases. In this way, the presence of AIP is currently suspected when serum concentrations of immunoglobulin G4 (IgG4) antibody are elevated. However, this approach has some drawbacks. Notably, IgG4 antibody concentrations are also elevated in sera from some patients with PDAC. This review focuses on the most recent and relevant serum biomarkers proposed to differentiate between AIP and PDAC, evaluating the usefulness of immunoglobulins, autoantibodies, chemokines, and cytokines. The proposed serum biomarkers have proven useful, although most studies had a small sample size, did not examine their presence in patients with PDAC, or did not test them in humans. In addition, current evidence suggests that a single serum biomarker is unlikely to accurately differentiate these diseases and that a set of biomarkers will be needed to achieve adequate specificity and sensitivity, either alone or in

combination with clinical data and/or radiological images.

**Key Words:** Autoimmune pancreatitis; Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; Serum; Biomarkers; Differentiation

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** The imaging and clinical features of autoimmune pancreatitis commonly overlap with those of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. This study reviews the most recent and relevant serum biomarkers proposed to differentiate between these diseases of the pancreas, including serum immunoglobulins, autoantibodies, chemokines, and cytokines, evaluating their usefulness for this purpose. One of the key conclusions is that a panel of various serum biomarkers appears to be necessary for an accurate differentiation between these diseases, either alone or in combination with clinical data and/or radiological images. Importantly, further research is warranted to assess the usefulness of these promising serum biomarkers in clinical practice

**Citation:** Caba O, Diéguez-Castillo C, Martínez-Galán J, González-Cebrián I, Jiménez-Luna C. Serum biomarkers for the differentiation of autoimmune pancreatitis from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *World J Gastrointest Oncol* 2023; 15(2): 268-275

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/full/v15/i2/268.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v15.i2.268>

## INTRODUCTION

Autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) is a rare entity that represents 2%-10% of chronic pancreatitis (CP) cases [1]. Elevated serum concentrations of immunoglobulin (Ig), especially IgG4, have been observed in the majority of AIP patients [2], and Umehara *et al* [3] introduced the concept of IgG4-related disease in 2011, including AIP as one of these disorders. AIP can be classified into types 1 and 2, with more than 90% of cases corresponding to type 1 [4]. Type 1 is associated with high serum IgG4 concentrations, unlike type 2 [5]. Given the large proportion of cases that are type 1, type 1 AIP is referred to as AIP in this review.

The clinical and radiological characteristics of AIP can mimic those of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), leading to misdiagnosis and therapeutic errors that increase the morbidity and mortality of patients. This difficulty in differentiating AIP from PDAC has been well documented, with up to 15% of neoplasms being classified as AIP and up to 36% of AIP cases diagnosed as cancer. It should also be borne in mind that AIP, like other forms of CP, increases the risk of PDAC and therefore requires close follow-up [6]. The similarity between AIP and PDAC means that invasive methods must be applied, when possible, to establish the differential diagnosis using histological criteria.

The current diagnostic criteria for AIP, displayed in Table 1, were established in 2011 by consensus among international experts [7], who recognized that AIP has two different histopathological and clinical subtypes, types 1 and 2, as noted above.

Although serum IgG4 antibodies are used for the diagnosis of AIP, elevated IgG4 concentrations are not AIP-specific and are observed in other diseases, including PDAC [8]. In a study of 510 patients, Ghazale *et al* [9] observed increased serum IgG4 in around 10% of PDAC cases, yielding false positives. In addition, not all patients with AIP have elevated serum IgG4 levels, resulting in false negatives and an inadequate diagnostic accuracy [10]. Hence, this serological biomarker alone does not define the disease, and its usefulness is more limited in type 2 AIP.

Radiological criteria should also not be used alone, because they can lead to an erroneous differential diagnosis between AIP and PDAC [11]. Current recommendations require an exhaustive study to establish the diagnosis, including histological and morphological criteria and the response of patients to corticosteroid treatment [8]. Although not included in recommendations, clinical characteristics can also help the differential diagnosis of PDAC and the two AIP subtypes. Weight loss and anorexia are more frequently observed in PDAC, while other organs are more commonly involved in AIP [12]. Ultrasound endoscopy plays a key role in the diagnosis, allowing the morphology to be assessed and a core needle biopsy to be obtained before the proposal of a percutaneous biopsy or videolaparoscopy [13].

Hence, new serological biomarkers other than IgG4 antibodies are needed for the differential diagnosis in order to rule out malignancy and establish the appropriate treatment, avoiding unnecessary surgical resection and the erroneous treatment of patients. Some authors have increased the diagnostic potential of IgG4 by combining it with other serum biomarkers. Chang *et al* [14] increased the diagnostic accuracy to differentiate between AIP and PDAC by combining IgG4 and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9) levels, with cutoff values of > 140 mg/dL and < 37 U/mL, respectively, obtaining

**Table 1 Diagnostic criteria for autoimmune pancreatitis**

Radiology	Serology	Histology	Response to steroid
Parenchyma: Diffuse enlargement with enhancement	IgG4 > 2 x upper limit of normal value	At least three	< 2 wk radiologically demonstrable resolution or marked improvement pancreatic/extrapancreatic manifestations
Duct: > 1/3 length of the main pancreatic duct		Periductal lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate without granulocytic infiltration	
Atypical: Segmental/focal narrowing with duct < 5 mm		Storiform fibrosis	
Other organ involvement: Bile duct: Segmental/multiple proximal or distal stricture		Obliterative phlebitis	
Retroperitoneal fibrosis		> 10 IgG4-positive cells/high power field	
Salivary/lachrymal glands: Symmetrically enlarged			
Renal involvement			

IgG4: Immunoglobulin G4.

a sensitivity of 64%, specificity of 92%, and diagnostic accuracy of 82%. When the authors increased the cutoff to 280 mg/mL for IgG4 and 85 U/mL for CA 19-9, they reported a higher diagnostic accuracy of 86.9%. These results differ from those described by van Heerde *et al*[15], who considered less strict cutoff levels (1 g/L for IgG4 and 74 U/mL for CA 19-9) and obtained a sensitivity of 94% and specificity of 100%. These discrepancies highlight the need to study large samples of patients with homogeneous clinical characteristics to ensure the reproducibility of data on diagnostic accuracy. However, the search for new biomarkers is hampered by the fact that AIP is a rare entity, limiting sample sizes. In this review, we summarize and discuss the progress made in the search for new serum biomarkers for the diagnosis of AIP.

## CLASSIC SEROLOGICAL MARKERS IN AIP AND PDAC

### **IgG4**

IgG4 is the only serological biomarker currently included in diagnostic criteria for AIP, specifically type 1 AIP[7]. Values above 135-140 mg/dL were previously established as the cut-off point for the diagnosis, varying in sensitivity and specificity according to the population under study[16].

Absolute values are not taken into account in the diagnosis because of the interlaboratory variability in normal values, and patients are considered positive when their IgG4 concentrations are two-fold higher than the upper limit of normality[17]. European guidelines on IgG4 disease published in 2020 describe the IgG4 concentration has having diagnostic value when concentrations are four-fold higher than the upper limit of normality, which is only observed in a minority of patients[18]. Indeed, when jaundice secondary to a pancreatic mass is present, only a value 92-fold higher than the upper limit of normality is considered strongly suggestive of AIP[7].

Besides its elevation in PDAC patients[8,19], the usefulness of this serum biomarker is also reduced by its lack of specificity and sensitivity to differentiate between primary sclerosing cholangitis and cholangiocarcinoma, which can increase the false positive rate by up to 40%[20]. Serum IgG4 is also not useful for the diagnosis of type 2 AIP associated with inflammatory bowel disease[16] and must be accompanied at least by suggestive radiological findings to have diagnostic value in AIP[21]. Finally, normal IgG4 concentrations have been reported in up to 20% of AIP patients, even in the active phase [22].

### **CA 19-9**

CA 19-9 is a tumor marker that is detectable in serum and widely used in the clinical management of PDAC[23]. CA 19-9 is elevated in the majority of PDAC patients and is useful for monitoring purposes; however, this biomarker is not useful for the early diagnosis of PDAC detection because of the substantial number of false positives and negatives[24].

Furthermore, CA 19-9 is commonly elevated in AIP patients, almost 40% of whom have concentrations above 100 U/mL[15]. In this way, individual measurements of either CA 19-9 or IgG4 are unable to distinguish AIP from PDAC[25].

The numerous limitations of CA 19-9 include the influence on its concentrations of the presence of jaundice and cholangitis, among many other factors. Nevertheless, it is widely used because it is accessible and cheap, and the sensitivity and specificity can be improved by its combination with other clinical, serological, histological and/or morphological criteria[26].

Hence, the combination of various serological biomarkers appears necessary to distinguish between AIP and PDAC. In this line, Yan *et al*[27] proposed combining CA 19-9 with globulin, eosinophils, and hemoglobin for the differential diagnosis. Elevated concentrations of eosinophils and globulin together with reduced concentrations of Hb and CA 19-9 were found to identify patients with AIP with a sensitivity of 92% and specificity of 79%, a relatively high diagnostic value.

---

## NOVEL SERUM BIOMARKERS PROPOSED FOR THE DIFFERENTIATION OF AIP FROM PDAC

---

### IgG

IgG1 and IgG2 have been studied in relation to AIP. IgG1 has been proposed as a diagnostic marker for AIP and IgG4-associated disease due to its involvement in the immunogenesis of the disease[28]. However, IgG2 concentrations were lowest in AIP and highest in IgG4-associated disease with orbital involvement[29].

There are different glycoforms of IgG subclasses, and different patterns of glycosylation have been described between patients with AIP and PDAC. Quantitative analysis of the IgG glycosylation profile may therefore allow the differential diagnosis between these entities to be established with high precision[30].

In addition, the proportion of different types of Igs has also shown some promise as a biomarker. An increase in IgG and inversely proportional reduction in IgA and IgM have been reported in AIP and IgG4-associated disease. In addition, elevated IgE has been described as having diagnostic and prognostic value for disease relapse in both entities[31].

### Autoantibodies

Anti-annexin A11, anti-laminin 511-E8, and anti-galectin-3 autoantibodies have been implicated in the pathogenesis of AIP over recent years. Hubers *et al*[32], proposed annexin 11, a calcium-dependent phospholipid-binding protein, as an autoantigen in AIP. They showed that annexin A11-specific IgG4 competitively inhibited the pathogenic binding of annexin A11-specific IgG1 to shared epitopes, suggesting that the IgG1-mediated pro-inflammatory response could be downregulated by IgG4. Laminin 511-E8 is a truncated form of laminin 511, which is part of the extracellular matrix of the pancreas. Shiokawa *et al*[33] detected laminin 511-E8 in 51% of AIP patients ( $n = 51$ ) compared with 1.6% of controls ( $n = 122$ ) and suggested that it is an autoantigen in this disease. Galectin-3, which has been associated with fibrotic disorders, has also been proposed as a candidate biomarker[34]. In addition, anti-trypsinogen autoantibodies have been observed in sera from AIP patients and related to the loss of acinar cells[35].

Autoantibodies to amylase-2A and heat-shock protein 10 (HSP10) were previously found to be present not only in AIP but also in fulminant type 1 diabetes. Amylase-2A autoantibodies have not been detected in toxic CP or PDAC, while anti-HSP10 antibodies have been reported in a small percentage of patients[36,37].

Anti-plasminogen-binding protein autoantibodies have been observed in almost 95% of AIP patients ( $n = 35$ ). Interestingly, these antibodies were presented by IgG4-negative patients with AIP but not by IgG4-positive patients with type 2 AIP[38]. Anti-pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor has also been suggested as a potential AIP-specific antibody, although it was detected in serum from less than half of AIP patients[39].

Other proposed autoantibodies have been those against carbonic anhydrase II, but they are not AIP-specific and are observed at high levels in other disorders such as Sjögren's syndrome[40]. In the same way, high concentrations of anti-lactoferrin antibodies have been described in immune diseases other than AIP such as ulcerative colitis[41], and anti-prohibitin antibodies are detectable not only in AIP patients (73.5%,  $n = 34$ ) but also in patients with Mikulicz's disease (53%,  $n = 15$ ) or retroperitoneal fibrosis (54%,  $n = 11$ )[42].

Felix *et al*[43], studied the spectrum of autoantibodies in patients with AIP ( $n = 14$  with type 1 and 11 with type 2) or PDAC ( $n = 26$ ) and healthy controls ( $n = 22$ ), showing elevated titers of both novel and previously reported antibodies against a variety of autoantigens, including carboxypeptidase A1 precursor, procarboxypeptidase A2, trypsin-1-preproprotein, and vimentin, among others. The authors found 68 autoantigens in AIP, 26 in PDAC and 21 in both diseases. The researchers selected 13 autoantibodies with potential to discriminate between the two types of AIP and also proposed antitransaldolase antibody as a biomarker to differentiate between type 2 AIP and PDAC.

### Chemokines and cytokines

The Th2 immune response is a prominent feature of AIP, and some Th2 chemokines might therefore be useful as AIP biomarkers. Increased serum concentrations of C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 17 have been reported in patients with IgG4-related disease, but this biomarker has not been explored in AIP [44].

Increased expressions of C-X-C motif chemokine ligand (CXCL) 9 and CXCL10 were recently demonstrated in an experimental model of AIP, but data on their concentrations in patients are not yet available [45].

Th2 cytokines have also been proposed as AIP biomarkers. Thus, interleukin (IL)-5 was found to be upregulated in patients with IgG4-related sclerosing cholangitis and suggested as a biomarker of AIP [46].

Serum concentrations of interferon (IFN)-alpha and IL-33 were found to be higher in patients with AIP than in those with chronic alcoholic pancreatitis or healthy controls. These concentrations were positively correlated with the serum concentrations of IgG4 antibodies. In addition, the observation of decreased IFN-alpha and IL-33 after treatment with corticosteroids, unlike IgG4 concentrations, suggests that they may be useful for following the response to treatment [47].

In a very interesting study, Ghassem-Zadeh *et al* [48] investigated the serum cytokine profile of patients with AIP ( $n = 29$ ), CP ( $n = 17$ ), and PDAC ( $n = 27$ ) and its capacity to discriminate AIP from the other conditions. The authors found that serum levels of IL-1 beta, IL-7, IL-13, and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) were higher in patients with AIP *vs* PDAC. The best diagnostic utility to differentiate AIP from PDAC was shown by IL-7 alone [area under the curve (AUC) = 0.780], obtaining a marginal added value when it was combined with G-CSF (AUC = 0.782). In the same line, G-CSF alone evidenced a better capacity to identify patients with CP from those with AIP (AUC = 0.804). In addition, the expression of tumor necrosis factor was found to be higher in PDAC tissue lysates than in either type of AIP.

Other cytokines suggested as potential AIP biomarkers include B cell-activating factor and proliferation-inducing ligand, which were found to be higher in patients with AIP than in healthy controls [49]. A decrease in these cytokines has also been observed after treatment with corticosteroids [45].

---

## CONCLUSION

AIP and PDAC often course with similar symptoms, and biomarkers that can differentiate between them are needed for early initiation of the appropriate clinical action protocol. If this milestone is reached, it will be possible to avoid pancreatic resection in patients with AIP and incorrect steroid treatment in patients with PDAC.

Serum markers may be useful in patients with the presence of compatible symptoms and radiological findings, which have a low positive predictive value. Thus, some symptoms, such as abdominal pain and diabetes, may be present in both entities.

In addition, radiological criteria for suspicion of AIP are frequently not all present to establish a given diagnosis. Given the improved safety and performance of histological sampling of the pancreas by endosonography, this procedure should be added in cases of diagnostic doubt. However, the absence of malignancy does not definitively rule out neoplasia and, in the absence of histological criteria for a definitive AIP diagnosis, active suspicion of neoplasia should be maintained. In this context, the combination of serum biomarkers with all these tests can have a high qualitative and quantitative value to achieve a reliable diagnosis in these patients. This review describes serological biomarkers proposed for this purpose.

Increased serum concentrations of IgG4 antibody are a feature of AIP, but there are two main drawbacks to its usefulness as optimal AIP biomarker: (1) It is elevated in PDAC patients; and (2) It is not increased in a fraction of AIP patients. These problems have been addressed by numerous studies of new biomarkers for AIP diagnostics. These include biomarkers related to AIP immunopathogenesis, such as certain cytokines and chemokines, which have shown usefulness in research involving a small number of patients, although most studies did not examine the presence of these biomarkers in patients with PDAC. Some potential biomarkers have also been identified in experimental models but need to be tested in humans.

Another aspect to highlight is that, given the nature of these diseases, the use of a single serum biomarker is unlikely to accurately differentiate between AIP and PDAC. As observed in this study, almost all authors propose the utilization of a set of biomarkers to achieve high specificity and sensitivity for their reliable differentiation.

Translational application in this field will be achieved over the medium term, but further research is required on the numerous biomarkers proposed to date, recruiting larger samples of patients with AIP and assessing their presence in patients with PDAC. This is needed to verify their true specificity and to analyze their possible application in combination with clinical symptoms and/or radiological tests to achieve accurate differentiation between AIP and PDAC.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Layla and Richard Davies for assistance with the English version.

## FOOTNOTES

**Author contributions:** Caba O designed the overall concept and outline of the manuscript; Diéguez-Castillo C, Martí nez-Galán J, and González-Cebrián I contributed to the discussion, and carried out the design of the manuscript; Dié guez-Castillo C and Jiménez-Luna C contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript and review of the literature.

**Supported by** Fondos Feder, No. A-CTS-436-UGR20.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Country/Territory of origin:** Spain

**ORCID number:** Octavio Caba 0000-0003-3426-8294; Carmelo Diéguez-Castillo 0000-0002-4304-5853; Joaquina Martínez-Galán 0000-0002-6814-2748; Cristina Jimenez-Luna 0000-0002-2395-1728.

**Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies:** Sociedad Española De Patología Digestiva.

**S-Editor:** Liu GL

**L-Editor:** A

**P-Editor:** Liu GL

## REFERENCES

- 1 **Lopes Vendrami C**, Shin JS, Hammond NA, Kothari K, Mittal PK, Miller FH. Differentiation of focal autoimmune pancreatitis from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Abdom Radiol (NY)* 2020; **45**: 1371-1386 [PMID: 31493022 DOI: 10.1007/s00261-019-02210-0]
- 2 **Hamano H**, Kawa S, Horiuchi A, Unno H, Furuya N, Akamatsu T, Fukushima M, Nikaido T, Nakayama K, Usuda N, Kiyosawa K. High serum IgG4 concentrations in patients with sclerosing pancreatitis. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **344**: 732-738 [PMID: 11236777 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM200103083441005]
- 3 **Umehara H**, Okazaki K, Masaki Y, Kawano M, Yamamoto M, Saeki T, Matsui S, Yoshino T, Nakamura S, Kawa S, Hamano H, Kamisawa T, Shimosegawa T, Shimatsu A, Ito T, Notohara K, Sumida T, Tanaka Y, Mimori T, Chiba T, Mishima M, Hibi T, Tsubouchi H, Inui K, Ohara H. Comprehensive diagnostic criteria for IgG4-related disease (IgG4-RD), 2011. *Mod Rheumatol* 2012; **22**: 21-30 [PMID: 22218969 DOI: 10.1007/s10165-011-0571-z]
- 4 **Kamisawa T**, Chari ST, Lerch MM, Kim MH, Gress TM, Shimosegawa T. Recent advances in autoimmune pancreatitis: type 1 and type 2. *Gut* 2013; **62**: 1373-1380 [PMID: 23749606 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-304224]
- 5 **Chari ST**, Kloepfel G, Zhang L, Notohara K, Lerch MM, Shimosegawa T. Histopathologic and clinical subtypes of autoimmune pancreatitis: the honolulu consensus document. *Pancreatol* 2010; **10**: 664-672 [PMID: 21242705 DOI: 10.1159/000318809]
- 6 **Dickerson LD**, Farooq A, Bano F, Kleeff J, Baron R, Raraty M, Ghaneh P, Sutton R, Whelan P, Campbell F, Healey P, Neoptolemos JP, Yip VS. Differentiation of Autoimmune Pancreatitis from Pancreatic Cancer Remains Challenging. *World J Surg* 2019; **43**: 1604-1611 [PMID: 30815742 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-019-04928-w]
- 7 **Shimosegawa T**, Chari ST, Frulloni L, Kamisawa T, Kawa S, Mino-Kenudson M, Kim MH, Klöppel G, Lerch MM, Löhner M, Notohara K, Okazaki K, Schneider A, Zhang L; International Association of Pancreatology. International consensus diagnostic criteria for autoimmune pancreatitis: guidelines of the International Association of Pancreatology. *Pancreas* 2011; **40**: 352-358 [PMID: 21412117 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.0b013e3182142fd2]
- 8 **Umehara H**, Okazaki K, Nakamura T, Satoh-Nakamura T, Nakajima A, Kawano M, Mimori T, Chiba T. Current approach to the diagnosis of IgG4-related disease - Combination of comprehensive diagnostic and organ-specific criteria. *Mod Rheumatol* 2017; **27**: 381-391 [PMID: 28165852 DOI: 10.1080/14397595.2017.1290911]
- 9 **Ghazale A**, Chari ST, Smyrk TC, Levy MJ, Topazian MD, Takahashi N, Clain JE, Pearson RK, Pelaez-Luna M, Petersen BT, Vege SS, Farnell MB. Value of serum IgG4 in the diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis and in distinguishing it from pancreatic cancer. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007; **102**: 1646-1653 [PMID: 17555461 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01264.x]
- 10 **Liu B**, Tang N, Yao Y, Li H, Xu L, Zhou B, Liu B. Steroid treatment response combined with serological mark in differentiating type-1 autoimmune pancreatitis from pancreatic cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2022; **101**: e31660 [PMID: 36397434 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000031660]

- 11 **Ha J**, Choi SH, Byun JH, Kim KW, Kim SY, Kim JH, Kim HJ. Meta-analysis of CT and MRI for differentiation of autoimmune pancreatitis from pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Eur Radiol* 2021; **31**: 3427-3438 [PMID: 33146798 DOI: 10.1007/s00330-020-07416-1]
- 12 **Ardila-Suarez O**, Abril A, Gómez-Puerta JA. IgG4-related disease: A concise review of the current literature. *Reumatol Clin* 2017; **13**: 160-166 [PMID: 27329319 DOI: 10.1016/j.reuma.2016.05.009]
- 13 **Baenas DF**, Miretti VS, Caeiro F, Paira S. Differential diagnosis between pancreatic involvement in IgG4-related disease and pancreatic cancer. *Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2021; **44**: 144-155 [PMID: 32718841 DOI: 10.1016/j.gastrohep.2020.05.019]
- 14 **Chang MC**, Liang PC, Jan S, Yang CY, Tien YW, Wei SC, Wong JM, Chang YT. Increase diagnostic accuracy in differentiating focal type autoimmune pancreatitis from pancreatic cancer with combined serum IgG4 and CA19-9 levels. *Pancreatol* 2014; **14**: 366-372 [PMID: 25278306 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2014.07.010]
- 15 **van Heerde MJ**, Buijs J, Hansen BE, de Waart M, van Eijck CH, Kazemier G, Pek CJ, Poley JW, Bruno MJ, Kuipers EJ, van Buuren HR. Serum level of Ca 19-9 increases ability of IgG4 test to distinguish patients with autoimmune pancreatitis from those with pancreatic carcinoma. *Dig Dis Sci* 2014; **59**: 1322-1329 [PMID: 24385012 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-013-3004-3]
- 16 **Yokode M**, Shiokawa M, Kodama Y. Review of Diagnostic Biomarkers in Autoimmune Pancreatitis: Where Are We Now? *Diagnostics (Basel)* 2021; **11** [PMID: 33923064 DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics11050770]
- 17 **Hara A**, Watanabe T, Minaga K, Yoshikawa T, Kamata K, Kudo M. Biomarkers in autoimmune pancreatitis and immunoglobulin G4-related disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2021; **27**: 2257-2269 [PMID: 34040320 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i19.2257]
- 18 **Löhr JM**, Beuers U, Vujasinovic M, Alvaro D, Frøkjær JB, Buttgerit F, Capurso G, Culver EL, de-Madaria E, Della-Torre E, Detlefsen S, Dominguez-Muñoz E, Czubkowski P, Ewald N, Frulloni L, Gubergits N, Duman DG, Hackert T, Iglesias-García J, Kartalis N, Laghi A, Lammert F, Lindgren F, Okhlobystin A, Oracz G, Parniczky A, Mucelli RMP, Rebours V, Rosendahl J, Schleinitz N, Schneider A, van Bommel EF, Verbeke CS, Vullierme MP, Witt H; UEG guideline working group. European Guideline on IgG4-related digestive disease - UEG and SGF evidence-based recommendations. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2020; **8**: 637-666 [PMID: 32552502 DOI: 10.1177/2050640620934911]
- 19 **Pak LM**, Schattner MA, Balachandran V, D'Angelica MI, DeMatteo RP, Kingham TP, Jarnagin WR, Allen PJ. The clinical utility of immunoglobulin G4 in the evaluation of autoimmune pancreatitis and pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *HPB (Oxford)* 2018; **20**: 182-187 [PMID: 29033025 DOI: 10.1016/j.hpb.2017.09.001]
- 20 **Martín-Nares E**, Hernández-Molina G, Baenas DF, Paira S. IgG4-Related Disease: Mimickers and Diagnostic Pitfalls. *J Clin Rheumatol* 2022; **28**: e596-e604 [PMID: 34538846 DOI: 10.1097/RHU.0000000000001787]
- 21 **Löhr JM**, Vujasinovic M, Rosendahl J, Stone JH, Beuers U. IgG4-related diseases of the digestive tract. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2022; **19**: 185-197 [PMID: 34750548 DOI: 10.1038/s41575-021-00529-y]
- 22 **Paik WH**, Ryu JK, Park JM, Song BJ, Park JK, Kim YT, Lee K. Clinical and pathological differences between serum immunoglobulin G4-positive and -negative type 1 autoimmune pancreatitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2013; **19**: 4031-4038 [PMID: 23840149 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v19.i25.4031]
- 23 **O'Neill RS**, Stoita A. Biomarkers in the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer: Are we closer to finding the golden ticket? *World J Gastroenterol* 2021; **27**: 4045-4087 [PMID: 34326612 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i26.4045]
- 24 **Zhang L**, Sanagapalli S, Stoita A. Challenges in diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2018; **24**: 2047-2060 [PMID: 29785074 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v24.i19.2047]
- 25 **Dugic A**, Verdejo Gil C, Mellenthin C, Vujasinovic M, Löhr JM, Mühldorfer S. The Clinical Utility of Soluble Serum Biomarkers in Autoimmune Pancreatitis: A Systematic Review. *Biomedicines* 2022; **10** [PMID: 35884816 DOI: 10.3390/biomedicines10071511]
- 26 **Chang JC**, Kundranda M. Novel Diagnostic and Predictive Biomarkers in Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. *Int J Mol Sci* 2017; **18** [PMID: 28335509 DOI: 10.3390/ijms18030667]
- 27 **Yan T**, Ke Y, Chen Y, Xu C, Yu C, Li Y. Serological characteristics of autoimmune pancreatitis and its differential diagnosis from pancreatic cancer by using a combination of carbohydrate antigen 19-9, globulin, eosinophils and hemoglobin. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**: e0174735 [PMID: 28369140 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0174735]
- 28 **Shiokawa M**, Kodama Y, Kuriyama K, Yoshimura K, Tomono T, Morita T, Kakiuchi N, Matsumori T, Mima A, Nishikawa Y, Ueda T, Tsuda M, Yamauchi Y, Minami R, Sakuma Y, Ota Y, Maruno T, Kurita A, Sawai Y, Tsuji Y, Uza N, Matsumura K, Watanabe T, Notohara K, Tsuruyama T, Seno H, Chiba T. Pathogenicity of IgG in patients with IgG4-related disease. *Gut* 2016; **65**: 1322-1332 [PMID: 26964842 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-310336]
- 29 **Chan ASY**, Mudhar H, Shen SY, Lang SS, Fernando M, Hilmy MH, Guppy NJ, Rennie I, Dunkley L, Al Jajeh I. Serum IgG2 and tissue IgG2 plasma cell elevation in orbital IgG4-related disease (IgG4-RD): Potential use in IgG4-RD assessment. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2017; **101**: 1576-1582 [PMID: 28351925 DOI: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2017-310148]
- 30 **Shih HC**, Chang MC, Chen CH, Tsai IL, Wang SY, Kuo YP, Chang YT. High accuracy differentiating autoimmune pancreatitis from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma by immunoglobulin G glycosylation. *Clin Proteomics* 2019; **16**: 1 [PMID: 30622446 DOI: 10.1186/s12014-018-9221-1]
- 31 **Culver EL**, Sadler R, Bateman AC, Makuch M, Cargill T, Ferry B, Aalberse R, Barnes E, Rispens T. Increases in IgE, Eosinophils, and Mast Cells Can be Used in Diagnosis and to Predict Relapse of IgG4-Related Disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017; **15**: 1444-1452.e6 [PMID: 28223204 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2017.02.007]
- 32 **Hubers LM**, Vos H, Schuurman AR, Erken R, Oude Elferink RP, Burgering B, van de Graaf SFJ, Beuers U. Annexin A11 is targeted by IgG4 and IgG1 autoantibodies in IgG4-related disease. *Gut* 2018; **67**: 728-735 [PMID: 28765476 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314548]
- 33 **Shiokawa M**, Kodama Y, Sekiguchi K, Kuwada T, Tomono T, Kuriyama K, Yamazaki H, Morita T, Marui S, Sogabe Y, Kakiuchi N, Matsumori T, Mima A, Nishikawa Y, Ueda T, Tsuda M, Yamauchi Y, Sakuma Y, Maruno T, Uza N, Tsuruyama T, Mimori T, Seno H, Chiba T. Laminin 511 is a target antigen in autoimmune pancreatitis. *Sci Transl Med* 2018; **10** [PMID: 30089633 DOI: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aag0997]
- 34 **Perugino CA**, AlSalem SB, Mattoo H, Della-Torre E, Mahajan V, Ganesh G, Allard-Chamard H, Wallace Z, Montesi SB, Kreuzer J, Haas W, Stone JH, Pillai S. Identification of galectin-3 as an autoantigen in patients with IgG(4)-related disease.

- J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2019; **143**: 736-745.e6 [PMID: 29852256 DOI: 10.1016/j.jaci.2018.05.011]
- 35 **Löhr JM**, Faissner R, Koczan D, Bewerunge P, Bassi C, Brors B, Eils R, Frulloni L, Funk A, Halangk W, Jesenofsky R, Kaderali L, Kleeff J, Krüger B, Lerch MM, Lösel R, Magnani M, Neumaier M, Nittka S, Sahin-Tóth M, Sängler J, Serafini S, Schnölzer M, Thierse HJ, Wandschneider S, Zamboni G, Klöppel G. Autoantibodies against the exocrine pancreas in autoimmune pancreatitis: gene and protein expression profiling and immunoassays identify pancreatic enzymes as a major target of the inflammatory process. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010; **105**: 2060-2071 [PMID: 20407433 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2010.141]
- 36 **Endo T**, Takizawa S, Tanaka S, Takahashi M, Fujii H, Kamisawa T, Kobayashi T. Amylase alpha-2A autoantibodies: novel marker of autoimmune pancreatitis and fulminant type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2009; **58**: 732-737 [PMID: 19001184 DOI: 10.2337/db08-0493]
- 37 **Takizawa S**, Endo T, Wanja X, Tanaka S, Takahashi M, Kobayashi T. HSP 10 is a new autoantigen in both autoimmune pancreatitis and fulminant type 1 diabetes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2009; **386**: 192-196 [PMID: 19520060 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.06.009]
- 38 **Detlefsen S**, de Vos JD, Tanassi JT, Heegaard NHH, Fristrup C, Schaffalitzky de Muckadell OB. Value of anti-plasminogen binding peptide, anti-carbonic anhydrase II, immunoglobulin G4, and other serological markers for the differentiation of autoimmune pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018; **97**: e11641 [PMID: 30075546 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000011641]
- 39 **Sah RP**, Chari ST. Serologic issues in IgG4-related systemic disease and autoimmune pancreatitis. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2011; **23**: 108-113 [PMID: 21124093 DOI: 10.1097/BOR.0b013e3283413469]
- 40 **Pertovaara M**, Booterabi F, Kuuslahti M, Pasternack A, Parkkila S. Novel carbonic anhydrase autoantibodies and renal manifestations in patients with primary Sjogren's syndrome. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2011; **50**: 1453-1457 [PMID: 21427176 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/ker118]
- 41 **Okazaki K**, Uchida K, Ohana M, Nakase H, Uose S, Inai M, Matsushima Y, Katamura K, Ohmori K, Chiba T. Autoimmune-related pancreatitis is associated with autoantibodies and a Th1/Th2-type cellular immune response. *Gastroenterology* 2000; **118**: 573-581 [PMID: 10702209 DOI: 10.1016/s0016-5085(00)70264-2]
- 42 **Du H**, Shi L, Chen P, Yang W, Xun Y, Yang C, Zhao L, Zhou Y, Chen G. Prohibitin Is Involved in Patients with IgG4 Related Disease. *PLoS One* 2015; **10**: e0125331 [PMID: 25932630 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125331]
- 43 **Felix K**, Hauck O, Schnölzer M, Kempf T, Warnken U, Schneider K, Bergmann F, Fritz S, Werner J. Identification of Novel Serum Autoantibodies for Differential Diagnosis of Autoimmune Pancreatitis and Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Pancreas* 2016; **45**: 1309-1319 [PMID: 27623556 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.0000000000000647]
- 44 **Umeda M**, Origuchi T, Kawashiri SY, Koga T, Ichinose K, Furukawa K, Sato T, Tsuji S, Endo Y, Takatani A, Shimizu T, Fukui S, Iwamoto N, Igawa T, Tamai M, Nakamura H, Kawakami A. Thymus and Activation-regulated Chemokine as a Biomarker for IgG4-related Disease. *Sci Rep* 2020; **10**: 6010 [PMID: 32265499 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-62941-9]
- 45 **Arai Y**, Yamashita K, Kuriyama K, Shiokawa M, Kodama Y, Sakurai T, Mizugishi K, Uchida K, Kadowaki N, Takaori-Kondo A, Kudo M, Okazaki K, Strober W, Chiba T, Watanabe T. Plasmacytoid Dendritic Cell Activation and IFN- $\alpha$  Production Are Prominent Features of Murine Autoimmune Pancreatitis and Human IgG4-Related Autoimmune Pancreatitis. *J Immunol* 2015; **195**: 3033-3044 [PMID: 26297761 DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.1500971]
- 46 **Yamamoto M**, Takano K, Kamekura R, Suzuki C, Ichimiya S, Himi T, Nakase H, Takahashi H. Stage classification of IgG4-related dacryoadenitis and sialadenitis by the serum cytokine environment. *Mod Rheumatol* 2018; **28**: 1004-1008 [PMID: 29385874 DOI: 10.1080/14397595.2018.1436029]
- 47 **Minaga K**, Watanabe T, Hara A, Kamata K, Omoto S, Nakai A, Otsuka Y, Sekai I, Yoshikawa T, Yamao K, Takenaka M, Chiba Y, Kudo M. Identification of serum IFN- $\alpha$  and IL-33 as novel biomarkers for type 1 autoimmune pancreatitis and IgG4-related disease. *Sci Rep* 2020; **10**: 14879 [PMID: 32938972 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-71848-4]
- 48 **Ghassem-Zadeh S**, Gaida MM, Szanyi S, Acha-Orbea H, Frossard JL, Hinz U, Hackert T, Strobel O, Felix K. Distinct pathophysiological cytokine profiles for discrimination between autoimmune pancreatitis, chronic pancreatitis, and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *J Transl Med* 2017; **15**: 126 [PMID: 28578701 DOI: 10.1186/s12967-017-1227-3]
- 49 **Kiyama K**, Kawabata D, Hosono Y, Kitagori K, Yukawa N, Yoshifuji H, Omura K, Fujii T, Mimori T. Serum BAFF and APRIL levels in patients with IgG4-related disease and their clinical significance. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2012; **14**: R86 [PMID: 22531553 DOI: 10.1186/ar3810]





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**Help Desk:** <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

