

Journal of
Shoulder and
Elbow
Surgery

www.elsevier.com/locate/ymse

## Response to Yin et al regarding: "Conservative vs. operative treatment for humeral shaft fractures: a meta-analysis and systematic review of randomized clinical trials and observational studies"



In reply:

We thank Yin et al for their interest and comments regarding our recently published meta-analysis comparing conservative vs. operative treatment of humeral shaft fractures. They raised several concerns regarding the outcome parameters, subgroup analysis, and heterogeneity of the studies included in the meta-analysis. We would like to provide more insight and share our thoughts on these concerns.

It was pointed out that the results of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and observational studies are inconsistent for the analysis on nonunion rate and time to union. In our opinion, this only applies to the secondary endpoint, union time. Determining the exact moment of union is a highly subjective matter and is also influenced by the interval between follow-up visits. This may contribute to results being inconsistent both within and between studies. Furthermore, it is unclear how a difference in union time between treatments would impact clinical practice. There is frequently a discrepancy between radiologic and clinical signs of healing.4 Results regarding time to union should therefore be interpreted with caution. Regarding the primary endpoint, nonunion rate, both RCTs and observational studies consistently showed a lower nonunion rate for operative treatment, with minimal heterogeneity for both study designs.

Yin et al remarked that only 2 RCTs were included in the subgroup analysis, making the pooled estimates of RCTs unreliable.<sup>2,3</sup> As already described in the "Discussion" section of our article, it is a known limitation of our meta-analysis that we were able to include only 2 RCTs. However, the validity of the results of the meta-analysis depends on the quality of the included studies, both of which are of high quality (Methodological Index

for Non-Randomized Studies [MINORS] scores of 17 and 23). Ideally, more RCTs would have been included, had these been available.

Yin et al also advised us to conduct a subgroup analysis of plate use and non-plate use vs. conservative treatment because various operative techniques exist for humeral shaft fractures (nailing, minimally invasive osteosynthesis, and open reduction—internal fixation) and each has its specific merits and demerits when compared with conservative management. At the time of our analysis, we investigated whether such a subgroup analysis was possible. However, because the majority of studies did not describe results for each surgical modality separately, this was not possible.

Finally, Yin et al pointed out that the heterogeneity in the analysis on union time was high  $(I^2 = 84\%)$ . They made the effort to perform an additional analysis by removing the study by Westrick et al,<sup>5</sup> after which the level of heterogeneity indeed was reduced (to  $I^2 = 8\%$ , not to  $I^2 = 0\%$  as was claimed). The measure of heterogeneity used  $(I^2)$  depends on the variation in effect estimates between studies. Therefore, it should be no surprise that this measure is reduced when excluding a relatively outlying effect estimate (as in the study of Westrick et al). In accordance with the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, we think studies should not be excluded based on their results; instead, such exclusion should be based on clinical or methodologic arguments. We could not identify any obvious reason for the "outlying" result found by Westrick et al and therefore did not exclude this study from our meta-analysis.

We appreciate the observations made about our article. We agree that the results regarding the secondary outcome, union time, should indeed be interpreted with caution.

Letter to the editor e33

However, we believe that overall the results of the metaanalysis are reliable and represent the highest level of available evidence on this controversial topic.

## Disclaimer

The authors, their immediate families, and any research foundations with which they are affiliated have not received any financial payments or other benefits from any commercial entity related to the subject of this letter.

Bryan J.M. van de Wall, MD, PhD, MSc
Department of Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery
Lucerne Cantonal Hospital
Luzern, Switzerland
Department of Trauma Surgery
University Medical Center Utrecht
Utrecht, The Netherlands
E-mail: bryan\_vdwall@hotmail.com

Yassine Ochen, MD Department of Trauma Surgery University Medical Center Utrecht Utrecht, The Netherlands

Frank J.P. Beeres, MD, PhD
Department of Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery
Lucerne Cantonal Hospital
Luzern, Switzerland

Reto Babst, MD
Department of Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery
Lucerne Cantonal Hospital
Luzern, Switzerland

Björn C. Link, MD Department of Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery Lucerne Cantonal Hospital Luzern, Switzerland Marilyn Heng, MD, MPH
Department of Orthopedic Surgery
Harvard Medical School Orthopedic Trauma Initiative
Massachusetts General Hospital Boston
Boston, MA, USA

Detlef van der Velde, MD, PhD

Department of Surgery

St. Antonius Hospital

Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

Matthias Knobe, MD
Department of Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery
Lucerne Cantonal Hospital
Luzern, Switzerland

Rolf H.H. Groenwold, MD, PhD
Department of Clinical Epidemiology
Leiden University Medical Center
Leiden, The Netherlands

R. Marijn Houwert, MD, PhD Department of Trauma Surgery University Medical Center Utrecht Utrecht, The Netherlands

## References

- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, Juni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2011;343:d5928. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj. d5928
- Kumar S, Shanmugam N, Kumar S, Ramanusan K. Comparison between operative and non operative treatment of fracture shaft of humerus: an outcome analysis. Int J Res Orthop 2017;3:445-50. https:// doi.org/10.18203/issn.2455-4510.IntJResOrthop20171537
- Matsunaga FT, Tamaoki MJ, Matsumoto MH, Netto NA, Faloppa F, Belloti JC. Minimally invasive osteosynthesis with a bridge plate versus a functional brace for humeral shaft fractures: a randomized controlled trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2017;99:583-92. https://doi.org/10.2106/ JBJS.16.00628
- Morshed S. Current options for determining fracture union. Adv Med 2014;2014:708574. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/708574
- Westrick E, Hamilton B, Toogood P, Henley B, Firoozabadi R. Humeral shaft fractures: results of operative and non-operative treatment. Int Orthop 2017;41:385-95. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-016-3210-7