Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



# European Journal of Internal Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejim



Original Article

# Sex differences in clinical presentation and risk stratification in the Emergency Department: An observational multicenter cohort study

Bart GJ Candel<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Saimi Dap<sup>a</sup>, Wouter Raven<sup>a</sup>, Heleen Lameijer<sup>c</sup>, Menno I Gaakeer<sup>d</sup>, Evert de Jonge<sup>e</sup>, Bas de Groot<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Emergency Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, Leiden, RC 2300, the Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> Department of Emergency Medicine, Máxima Medical Center, De Run 4600, Veldhoven, DB 5504, the Netherlands

<sup>c</sup> Department of Emergency Medicine, Medical Center Leeuwarden, Henri Dunantweg 2, Leeuwarden, AD 8934, the Netherlands

<sup>d</sup> Department of Emergency Medicine, Adrz Hospital, 's-Gravenpolderseweg 114, Goes, RA 4462, the Netherlands

e Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, Leiden, RC 2300, the Netherlands

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Gender Emergency medical services Intensive care

## ABSTRACT

*Objective:* The aim of this study was to investigate whether sex differences exist in disease presentations, disease severity and (case-mix adjusted) outcomes in the Emergency Department (ED).

*Methods*: Observational multicenter cohort study using the Netherlands Emergency Department Evaluation Database (NEED), including patients  $\geq$  18 years of three Dutch EDs. Multivariable logistic regression was used to study the associations between sex and outcome measures in-hospital mortality and Intensive Care Unit/Medium Care Unit (ICU/MCU) admission in ED patients and in subgroups triage categories and presenting complaints. *Results*: Of 148,825 patients, 72,554 (48.8%) were females. Patient characteristics at ED presentation and diagnoses (such as pneumonia, cerebral infarction, and fractures) were comparable between sexes at ED presentation. In-hospital mortality was 2.2% in males and 1.7% in females. ICU/MCU admission was 4.7% in males and 3.1% in females. Males had higher unadjusted (OR 1.34(1.25–1.45)) and adjusted (AOR 1.34(1.24–1.46)) risks for mortality, and unadjusted (OR 1.54(1.46–1.63)) and adjusted (AOR 1.46(1.37–1.56)) risks for ICU/MCU admission. Males had higher adjusted mortality and ICU/MCU admission for all triage categories, and with almost all presenting complaints except for headache.

*Conclusions:* Although patient characteristics at ED presentation for both sexes are comparable, males are at higher unadjusted and adjusted risk for adverse outcomes. Males have higher risks in all triage categories and with almost all presenting complaints. Future studies should investigate reasons for higher risk in male ED patients.

#### 1. Introduction

Sex differences affect patient outcomes in many diseases, such as Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), acute myocardial infarction, acute ischemic stroke and infectious diseases, while outcome differences in out of hospital cardiac arrest are still controversial [1–7]. Male sex was found to be an independent predictor of mortality in the Emergency Department (ED) [8–11], but it is unclear whether this higher risk for men is caused by male sex itself, by higher disease severity at ED presentation, or by sex related differences in the recognition and treatment of patients at risk in the ED.

We live in a society where sex-specific medicine acquires more

attention and physicians become more aware of sex differences in acute diseases[12]. If healthcare providers are aware of sex differences in disease presentations and clinical outcomes, they may be able to better anticipate the clinical course and provide better treatment choices for both men and women. If sex differences in characteristics at ED presentation and (case-mix adjusted) outcomes exist, risk stratification tools, triage systems, and guidelines for management of patients in the ED may need to be sex-adjusted [13–15].

The aim of this study is therefore twofold: first, to assess sex differences in ED presentations (such as vital signs, triage categories, presenting complaints, blood values, pain scores), and differences in unadjusted and case-mix adjusted clinical outcomes (in-hospital

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2021.09.001

Received 10 July 2021; Received in revised form 2 September 2021; Accepted 5 September 2021 Available online 12 September 2021

0953-6205/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of European Federation of Internal Medicine. This is an open access article under the CC



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Emergency Medicine, Máxima Medical Center, De Run 4600, Veldhoven, DB 5504, the Netherlands. *E-mail address:* bart.candel@mmc.nl (B.G. Candel).

mortality, hospital, and ICU/Medium Care Unit (MCU) admissions and ED/hospital Length of Stay (LOS)). Secondly, to assess the relation between sex and outcomes in subgroups of patients by triage-category and presenting complaints.

# 2. Methods

# 2.1. Study design and setting

This observational multicenter cohort study was conducted in three EDs in the Netherlands, with each approximately 20,000-30,000 ED visits per year. In this study an existing database was used in which all ED visits were registered of one tertiary care center (1 January 2017 – 8 June 2019), and two urban hospitals (from 1 January 2019–12 January 2020 and in one center from 1 January 2017 – 31 December 2019). The study was approved by the medical ethics committee of the Máxima Medical Center. The study was registered in the Netherlands Trials Register: NL9030.

# 2.2. Selection of participants

All consecutive ED patients  $\geq$  18 years were included if sex was registered.

# 2.3. Data collection

Data were collected from the Netherlands Emergency Department Evaluation Database (NEED), the national quality registration for EDs in the Netherlands (see www.stichting.need.nl). Data from three hospitals were available. The tertiary care center used the Manchester Triage System (MTS) and both urban hospitals the Dutch Triage Standard (NTS). Previously, we described in detail which data were collected, and how data were categorized and synchronized among hospitals before analyses was possible [16]. In addition, presenting complaints for both triage systems were merged and a National Early Warning Score (NEWS) was calculated (supplementary file 1) [17].

# 2.4. Outcome measures

The primary outcome was in-hospital mortality. Secondary outcomes were ICU/MCU admissions (including Coronary Care Unit admissions), ED-length of stay (0–4 or  $\geq$  4 h), hospital-length of stay, (0–5 or  $\geq$  5 days; 0-30 or > 30 days) and total hospital admissions. Patients who required, or were likely to require, advanced respiratory support, inotropic agents or were at high risk for cardiac arrest, were admitted to the ICU/MCU if a specialist or intensivist considered this to be necessary. ICU/MCU admission did not include patients who were first admitted to a general ward and were later admitted to an ICU/MCU. A prolonged ED-length of stay was > 4 h based on previous research [18]. Hospital-length of stay was dichotomized in two ways: shorter or longer than the median length of stay in the Netherlands (5 days) [19], and shorter or longer than 30 days as measure of prolonged hospital stay [20], Patients discharged from the ED were not included in this outcome. The total hospital admissions included deceased patients in the ED and patients transferred to other hospitals.

#### 2.5. Sample size estimation

We aimed to adjust for 12 potential confounders in the regression analyses, mentioned in the 'Statistical analyses' section. Most variables were categorized and had to be used as dummy variables in the analyses. As a result, we had to include 44 (dummy) variables in the regression analysis. Approximately five to ten events per variable are needed to prevent overfitting in association studies [21]. The NEED contained 148, 828 ED visits of patients  $\geq$  18 years of age, of whom ~50% (74,000) are women. Estimated in-hospital mortality would be ~3% of the overall population. On average we would have  $0.03 \times 74,000 = 2220$  events per group to adjust for the 44 potential confounders in the analysis with the end-point mortality. This number of events is appropriate to prevent overfitting.

# 2.6. Statistical analyses

For objective 1, descriptive statistics were used to compare ED presentation and outcome between males and females. Data were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) if normally distributed, skewed data were presented as median and interquartile range (IQR). Categorical data were presented as number and percentage (%). Paired Student's t-tests, Wilcoxon Signed rank test and Pearson x2 tests (as appropriate) were used to compare outcomes between males and females. NEWS scores were presented with the commonly used trigger levels [17]. A sample of the top five diagnoses (using the International Classification of Diseases 10th Revision (ICD-10 codes)) were presented per sex and top 10 presenting complaints, from two out of three hospitals, as information was not available in the third hospital. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the association between sex and outcomes. All potential confounders considered relevant by three researchers (BC, SD, and BdG) were used in the multivariable model. Vital signs were categorized in four or five categories based on expected distribution to overcome non-linear associations [22], including a category 'not measured' to prevent information bias by missing data. Multicollinearity was considered not to be a problem if the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) was below three. Separate models were made for the two different outcomes. Per outcome measure, two different models were constructed. The first model was built to adjust for reason of presentation in the ED and contained the following potential confounders: age, hospital location and presenting complaints (top ten most frequent presenting complaints + miscellaneous presenting complaints). The second model was also adjusted for severity of illness and treatments given in the ED, and contained 12 potential confounders: age, hospital location, presenting complaints, performed radiological tests (0= none performed, 1= X-ray, ultrasound or CT-scan performed), laboratory test score (0= no blood tests performed, 1= any blood test performed), amount of fluids administered during ED stay (0=no fluid, 1 = 0-500 ml, 2 = > 500 ml), number of consultations in the ED (0=none,  $1 = 1, 2 = 2, 3 = \ge 3$  consultations), respiratory rate (not measured, 0–9, 10–19, 20–29,  $\geq$  30 /min), peripheral oxygen saturation (not measured, 0-80, 81-85, 86-90, 91-95, 96-100%), systolic blood pressure (not measured, 0-80, 81-100, 101-120, 121-140, > 140 mmHg), heart rate (not measured, 0–50, 51–75, 76–100, 101–125, > 125beats/min.) and temperature (not measured, 0-30, 31-34, 35-37, 38-39, > 40 °C). Vital signs and fluid administration were used as a measure of disease severity. The number of consultations, radiological tests and laboratory test score were used as a measure of comorbidities/complexity as described previously [23]. Patients with missing data were excluded from the analyses. Crude and adjusted odds ratio's (AORs) were reported with 95% Confidence Intervals (95%-CIs).

For objective 2, we assessed the association between triage categories (1= non-urgent, 2= urgent, 3= very urgent, 4= most urgent), presenting complaints and mortality, and ICU/MCU admission with a new multivariable model for men and women separately, using similar potential confounders as used in model 2 described above. Mean adjusted mortality with 95% CIs for each triage category and presenting complaint were presented, which is the absolute mortality after correction for confounding.

# 2.7. Sensitivity analyses

A sensitivity analysis was performed to assess whether using different potential confounders in the multivariable logistic regression affected the impact of sex on outcome. Therefore, we repeated the multivariable model 2 as described above to assess the association between sex and outcome, but in which all vital signs were replaced as potential confounders NEWS score, and blood tests (urea, hemoglobin, and leukocytes) divided in categories, including a category 'not measured'. If vital signs were not measured, they were considered normal values in the NEWS.

In a second sensitivity analysis we excluded all patients who died in the ED. After exclusion of these patients, we repeated the multivariable logistic regression model 2 and reported the AOR for male sex.

# 2.8. Subgroup analyses

To assess whether etiology affected the association between sex and the primary outcome, subgroup analyses were performed selecting patients with suspected infection, trauma, and chest pain. Supplementary file 2 describes how these patients were selected in the database.

IBM SPSS Statistics version 25.0 was used for all statistical analyses. A P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Patient characteristics

The total cohort consisted of 148,825 ED visits, of which 48.8% were women. Supplementary file 3 shows the flow chart of the present study. The mean age for men was 56.0 (20.0) years and for women 56.8 (20.9) years. Only small differences existed between men and women in demographics, characteristics during ED visit, vital signs, and performed diagnostics (Table 1). For example, self-referral was higher among men (43.8%) compared to women (37.5%), (difference 95%-CI 5.8-6.8%). Males more often presented with chest pain (8.8%) than women (7.4%) (difference, 95%-CI 1.0-1.6%). The miscellaneous presenting complaints consisted of 41 presenting complaints (supplementary file 1), including urinary tract problems, eye problems, suicidal behavior, intoxications, diarrhea/vomiting, and fall which all had an incidence of > 1.0% without differences between men and women. All other presenting complaints had an incidence < 1.0%. Males were more often triaged as 'most urgent' (4.7%) than women (3.7%) (difference, 95%-CI 0.7–1.1%). Severe pain (Numeric Rating Scale  $\geq$  7) was more often reported by women (7.6%) compared to men (5.8%) (difference 95%-CI 1.1–2.6%). Creatinine, urea, and hemoglobin levels were higher in male sex. A sample of the top five diagnoses per sex and presenting complaints from two hospitals show roughly similar results for men and women (supplementary file 4). Patient characteristics were also described separately for patients triaged as 'very urgent' and 'most urgent', which showed similar results (supplementary file 5).

## 3.2. The association between sex and clinical outcomes

In-hospital mortality was 2.2% in males and 1.7% in females (Table 2). ICU/MCU admission was 4.7% in males and 3.1% in females. Females were more often discharged home from the ED (49.7%) compared to males (47.3%), however, 7-day revisit to the ED was similar for men and women.

Males had higher unadjusted risk (AOR 1.34; 1.25–1.45) and adjusted risk for in-hospital mortality with both model 1 (AOR 1.36;1.26–1.47) and model 2 (AOR 1.34; 1.24–1.46). Also, for high dependency care unit admission, unadjusted risk (AOR 1.54;1.46–1.63) and adjusted risk with model 1 (AOR 1.47;1.38–1.55) and model 2 (AOR 1.46; 1.37–1.56) were higher in males (see Table 3). Males had lower unadjusted risk (AOR 0.90; 0.88–0.92) and adjusted risk (model 2) (AOR 0.89; 0.87–0.92) for a long ED length of stay of  $\geq$  4 h.

# 3.3. The association between triage categories, presenting complaints, and outcomes

Men had higher risks on mortality or ICU/MCU admission in all

Table 1

InductionNate of the set of th	Patient characteristics.			
PerspectationJetaJetaJetaN°mo164,80.050,10.0.030,20.0.0.0Serioral participation50,40.0.032,40.0.0.0Serioral participation50,40.0.032,40.0.0.0Serioral participation50,40.0.032,40.0.0.0Serioral participation50,40.0.032,40.0.0.0Serioral participation10,40.0.032,40.0.0.0Serioral participation10,40.0.032,40.0.0Serioral participation10,40.0.0		Total cohort	Male patients	Female patients
N(%)148.82576.271 (51.2)72,554 (48.8)News56.46 (20.5)56.0 (20.0)56.81 (20.9)Tertiary care center55.069 (37.0)28,786 (37.7)28,283 (36.2)Arrived by ambulance, N(%)959/18 (40.1)32,811 (43.8)26,907 (37.5)Traum/shock room, N(%)959/18 (40.1)32,811 (43.8)26,907 (37.5)Traum/shock room, N(%)26,981 (18.1)13,003 (17.0)13,978 (19.3)2. Feeling unwell24,139 (16.2)12,567 (16.5)11,572 (15.9)3. Addominal pain16,032 (10.8)6457 (8.5)6592 (9.1)5. Chest pain12,077 (8.1)657 (8.6)6292 (9.1)5. Chest pain12,077 (8.1)657 (8.6)6292 (9.1)5. Chest pain12,077 (8.1)657 (8.6)6292 (9.1)7. Trauma505 (6.14)2769 (6.2)835 (2.9)154 (3.2)8. Collapse3186 (2.9)254 (3.3)1843 (2.5)9. Palpitations3746 (2.2)1704 (2.3)10.40 (2.1)10. Headach2503 (1.7)1068 (1.4)143 (2.5)Miscellaneous33,090 (2.3)17,42 (2.2.9)15,648 (2.5)10. Headach530,90 (2.3)12,378 (13.3)135 (71.3)10. Headach530,90 (2.3)12,378 (13.3)135 (71.3)10. Headach530,90 (2.3)17,442 (2.2.9)15,648 (2.5)10. Headach530,90 (2.3)17,442 (2.2.9)15,648 (2.5)10. Headach530,90 (2.3)17,442 (2.3.9)15,614 (3.5)10. Headach530,90 (2.3)17,44 (1.5.3	Demographics			
Age, gars, mean (BD)    56.4 (20.5)    56.0 (20.0)    56.8 (20.9)      Tertiary care center    55.069 (20.7)    28.28 (36.2)      Self-referral, (%)    59.718 (40.1)    32.811 (48.3)    26.907 (37.5)      Trauma/shock room, N (%)    9689 (10.5)    5040 (10.8)    4649 (10.2)      Characteristies during ED-visit    T    10    12.807 (16.5)    11.572 (15.9)      Tay 10 presenting    26.981 (18.1)    13.003 (17.0)    13.978 (19.3)      2. Feeling unwell    24.139 (16.2)    12.567 (16.5)    11.572 (15.9)      3. Abdominal pain    12.002 (16.8)    6851 (0.0)    498 (3.4)      7. Trauma    505 (3.4)    2769 (3.6)    2296 (3.2)      8. Collaps    4385 (2.9)    2542 (3.2)    16.404 (2.1)      Miscellaneous    33.746 (2.2.7)    1701 (2.3)    16.404 (2.1)      Indical actions    33.746 (2.2.7)    1706 (3.2)    16.404 (2.1)      Indical actions    33.746 (2.2.7)    1706 (3.2)    16.404 (2.1)      Indical actions    33.904 (3.2)    2.9772 (4.0.8)    30.537 (4.3.7)      Non-urgent    6	N (%)	148,825	76,271 (51.2)	72,554 (48.8)
Tertary care center    50,090 (37.0)    28,283 (36.2)    22,233 (3.2.7)      Arrived by anbulance, N(%)    59,718 (40.1)    32,811 (43.8)    26,907 (37.5)      Self-referal, N (%)    5969 (10.5)    5040 (10.8)    4649 (10.2)      Characteristics during ED-visit    T    5000 (10.8)    4649 (10.2)      Characteristics during ED-visit    T    13,978 (19.3)    13,978 (19.3)      2. Feeling unvell    24,139 (16.2)    12,557 (16.5)    11,572 (15.9)      3. Abdominal pain    16,002 (10.8)    6835 (9.0)    9197 (12.7)      4. Dyspace    13,049 (8.8)    6457 (8.8)    5402 (7.4)      6. Wounds    7102 (4.8)    4604 (6.0)    2498 (3.4)      7. Trauma    5056 (3.4)    2769 (13.3)    1843 (2.5)      9. Palpitations    3746 (2.57)    170 (12.3)    16.040 (22.1)      Triage category, N (%)    Triage category, N (%)    13367 (22.7)    17.02 (3.2)      Very urgent    33,046 (2.7)    17.442 (2.9)    15.648 (2.5.7)      News median (QR)    3 (3.5)    3 (3.5)    13.5      Very urgent    33,0	Age, years, mean (SD)	56.4 (20.5)	56.0 (20.0)	56.8 (20.9)
Arrived by annotany (we)    43,148 (3.3.1)    23,423 (3.3.4)    24,723 (3.2.7)      Characteristics during ED-visit    32,811 (4.3.8)    26,907 (37.5)      TraumAshock room, N (%)    9689 (10.5)    5040 (10.8)    4649 (10.2)      Characteristics during ED-visit    13,903 (17.0)    13,978 (19.3)      1. Extremity problems    26,981 (18.1)    13,003 (17.0)    13,978 (19.3)      2. Feeling unwell    24,139 (16.2)    12,567 (16.5)    11,572 (15.9)      3. Abdominal pain    16,032 (10.8)    6835 (3.0)    917 (12.7)      4. Dyspaca    13,049 (8.8)    6457 (8.5)    6592 (9.1)      5. Chest pain    12,077 (8.1)    6675 (8.8)    5402 (7.4)      6. Wounds    7102 (4.8)    4604 (6.0)    2498 (3.4)      7. Trauma    5050 (1.7)    1068 (1.4)    1435 (2.0)      Miscellaneous    33,746 (2.2.7)    17,706 (23.2)    16,040 (22.1)      Triage category, N (%)	Tertiary care center	55,069 (37.0)	28,786 (37.7)	28,283 (36.2)
$\begin{aligned} & \text{Schart}(0, 0) & Scha$	Self-referral N(%)	45,148 (33.1) 59 718 (40 1)	23,425 (33.4)	21,723 (32.7)
Characteristics during ED-visit    Characteristics    Characteristics      Top 10 presenting complaints, N(%)    1.    Strennity problems    26,981 (18.1)    13,003 (17.0)    13,978 (19.3)      2. Feeling nurwell    24,139 (16.2)    12,567 (16.5)    11,572 (15.9)      3. Abdominal pain    16,032 (10.8)    6835 (9.0)    9197 (12.7)      4. Dyspace    13,049 (8.8)    6457 (8.5)    6592 (9.1)      5. Chest pain    12,077 (8.1)    6675 (8.6)    2269 (3.2)      7. Trauma    5056 (3.4)    2509 (1.7)    1701 (2.3)      10. Headache    2503 (1.7)    1068 (1.4)    1435 (2.0)      Miscellaneous    3746 (2.2.7)    17,706 (2.3.2)    16,040 (22.1)      Urgent    63,090 (2.3.2)    17,442 (2.2.9)    15,648 (22.5)      Most urgent    33,090 (2.3.2)    17,442 (22.9)    15,648 (22.5)      Most urgent    53,090 (2.3.2)    17,442 (22.9)    15,648 (22.5)      Most urgent    33,090 (2.3.2)    17,442 (22.9)    15,648 (22.5)      Most urgent    53,090 (2.3.2)    17,442 (22.9)    15,648 (22.5) <t< td=""><td>Trauma/shock room, N (%)</td><td>9689 (10.5)</td><td>5040 (10.8)</td><td>4649 (10.2)</td></t<>	Trauma/shock room, N (%)	9689 (10.5)	5040 (10.8)	4649 (10.2)
Top 10 presenting complaints, N(%)36,981 (18.1)3,003 (17.0)13,978 (19.3)1. Extremity problems26,981 (18.1)13,003 (17.0)13,978 (19.3)2. Feeling unwell16,032 (10.8)6853 (0.0)9172 (27)3. Abdominal pain16,032 (10.8)6857 (8.5)6592 (9.1)5. Chest pain12,077 (8.1)6675 (8.8)5402 (7.4)6. Wounds12,077 (8.1)6675 (8.8)2542 (3.2)8. Collaps4385 (2.9)2542 (3.2)143 (2.5)9. Palpitations33,744 (2.5)2463 (2.7)1700 (2.3)10. Headache33,744 (2.5)23,883 (30.7)10.680 (1.4)10. Hadache33,390 (2.3)2,772 (40.8)30,571 (4.3)Non-urgent43,259 (3.0)32,573 (3.7)15,648 (2.5)Most urgent5970 (4.0)3306 (1.2)15,674 (2.5)Non-urgent16,039 (2.3)5,778 (7.18)8,2155 (7.19)(%)(7.9)(7.9)11,774 (16.2)NEWS trigger level 2(5-A)13,370 (1.3)31,431 (3.13)N(%)13,37113 (2.1)13,313 (3.2)N(%)13,371812 (3.1)13,312N(%)13,61214,714 (16.2)13,612N(%)13,61214,714 (16.2)13,612N(%)13,61214,61414,714Margen fabre13,61214,614N(%)13,61214,614N(%)13,61213,612N(%)13,61213,612N(%)13,61213,612N(%)13,612 </td <td>Characteristics during ED-vi</td> <td>sit</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Characteristics during ED-vi	sit		
1. Extremity problems    26,981 (18.1)    13,003 (17.0)    13,978 (19.3)      2. Feeding unwell    24,139 (16.2)    12,567 (16.5)    11,572 (15.9)      3. Abdominal pain    16,032 (10.8)    6835 (9.0)    9197 (12.7)      4. Dyspnea    13,049 (8.8)    6457 (8.5)    6502 (91)      5. Chest pain    12,077 (8.1)    6675 (8.8)    5402 (7.4)      6. Wounds    7102 (4.8)    4604 (6.0)    2498 (3.4)      7. Trauma    5056 (3.4)    2769 (3.6)    2263 (2.2)      8. Collapse    4385 (2.9)    2542 (3.3)    1843 (2.5)      9. Palpitations    3746 (2.7)    17.06 (1.4)    1435 (2.0)      Mon-urgent    43,259 (30.3)    22,388 (30.7)    20.871 (30.0)      Urgent    03,309 (42.3)    29,772 (40.8)    30,537 (43.9)      Very urgent    33,030 (2.3)    17,444 (2.2.9)    15,648 (2.2.5)      Most urgent    5070 (4.0)    336 (4.7)    2574 (3.7)      News meger level 1 (0-4), N    16,933    54,778 (1.8)    52,155 (71.9)      News trigger level 2 (5-6.) N    23,522 (15.8)    11,748 (1	Top 10 presenting complaints, <i>N</i> (%)			
2. Feeling unwell  24,139 (16.2)  12,567 (16.5)  11,572 (15.9)    3. Abdominal pain  16,032 (10.8)  6835 (9.0)  9197 (12.7)    4. Dyspnea  13,049 (8.8)  6457 (8.5)  6592 (9.1)    5. Chest pain  12,077 (8.1)  6675 (8.8)  5402 (7.4)    6. Wounds  7102 (4.8)  4604 (6.0)  2498 (3.4)    7. Trauma  5056 (3.4)  2769 (3.6)  2296 (3.2)    8. Collapse  4385 (2.9)  2542 (3.3)  1843 (2.5)    9. Palpitations  3746 (2.5)  1066 (1.4)  1435 (2.0)    Miscellanecous  33,746 (2.5)  17,706 (2.32)  16,040 (22.1)    Urigent  60,309 (42.3)  29,772 (40.8)  30,537 (43.9)    Very urgent  33,090 (23.2)  17,442 (22.9)  15,648 (22.5)    Most urgent level 1 (0-4), N  106,933  54,778 (71.8)  52,155 (71.9)    (%)  (71.9)	1. Extremity problems	26,981 (18.1)	13,003 (17.0)	13,978 (19.3)
3. Abdominal pain 16,032 (10.8) 6835 (9.0) 9197 (12.7) 4. Dyspnea 13,049 (8.8) 6457 (8.5) 6592 (9.1) 5. Chest pain 12,077 (8.1) 6675 (8.8) 5402 (7.4) 6. Wounds 7102 (4.8) 4604 (6.0) 2498 (3.4) 7. Trauma 5055 (3.4) 2769 (3.6) 2296 (3.2) 8. Collapse 4385 (2.9) 2542 (3.3) 1843 (2.5) 9. Palpitations 3746 (2.7) 1066 (1.4) 1435 (2.0) Miscellaneous 33,746 (2.7) 1076 (3.2) 16,040 (22.1) Triage category, N(%) Very urgent 43,259 (30.3) 22,388 (30.7) 20.871 (30.0) Urgent 63,039 (4.2,3) 29,772 (40.8) 30,537 (43.9) Very urgent 33,090 (2.3) 17,442 (22.9) 15,648 (22.5) Most urgent 53070 (4.0) 3396 (4.7) 2574 (3.7) NEWS trigger level 1 (0.4), N 106,933 54,778 (71.8) 55,448 (22.5) Most urgent 5970 (4.0) 3396 (4.7) 2574 (3.7) NEWS trigger level 2 (5-6), N 23,522 (15.8) 11,748 (15.4) 11,774 (16.2) (%) 71.9 NEWS trigger level 3 (7-23), 18,370 (12.3) 9745 (12.8) 8625 (11.9) N (%) 71.9 NEWS trigger level 3 (7-23), 18,370 (12.3) 9745 (12.8) 8625 (11.9) N (%) Vial signs SBP, mmHg, mean (SD) 99 (19) 99 (19) 98 (19) Temperature, °C, median 130 (31) 133 (32) DBP, mmHg, mean (SD) 83 (17) 84 (17) 81 (17) MAP, mmHg, mean (SD) 99 (19) 99 (19) 98 (19) Temperature, °C, median 16,9 (136.5-37.4] 136.6-37.4] 136.4-37.3] R, /min, median [IQR] 17 [14-20] 77.0 [14-20] PAO2, % median [IQR] 98 [96-100] 98.0 [96-90] 98.0 [96.100] HR, /min, mean (SD) 86 (21) 85 (22) 87 (20) Pain scores, Numeric Ratig Scale, N (%) Not measured 79,948 (52.4) 39,812 (52.2) 38,136 (52.6) 0 18,979 (12.8) 10,476 (13.7) 8503 (11.7) 1-3 22,357 (15.0) 12,154(15.9) 10,203 (14.1) 7-10 9959 (6.7) 4434 (5.8) 5525 (7.6) Diagnostics Radiology performed <sup>6</sup> , N (%) 33,57 (13.8) 7261 (14.8) 6096 (12.8) 14,204 (56.9) 47,981 (62.4) 47,981 (62.4) 47,082 (64.9) Number of consultations, N (%) None 58,570 (41.4) 29,944 (41.5) 28,626 (41.3) 1 4.204 (56.9) 47,981 (62.4) 47,082 (64.9) Number of consultations, N (%) None 58,570 (41.4) 29,944 (41.5) 28,626 (41.3) 1 4.204 (35.4) 41,624.43 37,651 (52.2) 38,489 (52.7) 2 3 1032 (0.7) 570 (0.8) 462 (0.7)	2. Feeling unwell	24,139 (16.2)	12,567 (16.5)	11,572 (15.9)
4. Dyspite a 13,049 (8.8) 645 (8.5) 645 (8.5) 6592 (9.1) 5. Chest pain [2,077 (8.1) 6675 (8.8) 5402 (7.4) 6. Wounds 7102 (4.8) 4604 (6.0) 2498 (3.4) 7. Trauma 5056 (3.4) 2769 (3.6) 2296 (3.2) 8. Collapse 4388 (2.9) 2542 (3.3) 1843 (2.5) 9. Palpitations 3746 (2.5) 2045 (2.7) 1701 (2.3) 10. Headache 2503 (1.7) 1066 (1.4) 1435 (2.0) Miscellaneous 33,746 (2.7) 17,706 (23.2) 16,040 (22.1) Triage category, N (%) Non-urgent 43,259 (30.3) 22,388 (30.7) 20,871 (30.0) Urgent 5970 (4.0) 3396 (4.7) 2574 (3.7) Netwy trigger level 10 (-4), N 106,93 (3.6) (71.9) Netwy trigger level 10 (-4), N 106,93 (3.6) (71.9) Netwy trigger level 2 (5-6), N 2,522 (15.8) 11,748 (15.4) 11,774 (16.2) (%) Netwy trigger level 2 (5-6), N 2,522 (15.8) 11,748 (15.4) 11,774 (16.2) (%) Netwy trigger level 3 (7-23), 18,370 (12.3) 9745 (12.8) 8625 (11.9) N (%) Vital sign SBP, mnHg, mean (SD) 33 (31) 132 (31) 133 (32) DBP, mnHg, mean (SD) 33 (31) 132 (31) 133 (32) DBP, mnHg, mean (SD) 33 (31) 132 (31) 133 (32) DBP, mnHg, mean (SD) 88 (17) 84 (17) 81 (17) MAP, mmHg, mean (SD) 99 (19) 99 (19) 98 (19) Temperature, °C, median 36.9 37.0 36.8 I[QR] (36.5-37.4] [36.6-37.4] [36.6-37.4] [36.4-37.3] RR, /min, median [IQR] 98 (96-100] 98.0 [96-100] PAR, /min, median [IQR] 98 (96-100] 98.0 [96-29] Pala scores, Numeric Rating Scole 3, 10, 437 (13.7) Scale, N(%) Not measured 77.948 (52.4) 39,812 (52.2) 38,136 (52.6) 0 18,000 (1.17) 12,201 (1.4) (1.7) (1.4-20] Potastione, N(%) 13,357 (13.8) 7261 (1.4.8) 6096 (12.8) Laboratory test score <sup>2</sup> , N(%) None 58,570 (41.4) 29,944 (41.5) 5525 (7.6) Diagnostics Radiology performed <sup>2</sup> , N(%) 32,856 (56.4) 42,682 (56.0) 41,204 (56.9) Urine scilment, N(%) 32,857 (56.1) 22,227 (24.4) 13,649 (27.9) Potastione, N(%) 13,357 (13.8) 7261 (14.8) 6096 (12.8) Laboratory test score <sup>2</sup> , N(%) 94,973 (	3. Abdominal pain	16,032 (10.8)	6835 (9.0)	9197 (12.7)
3. Curse pain (1, 2, 0) (8, 1) abs 5 (8, 5) 3 402 (1, 4) 6. Wounds 7102 (4, 8) 4604 (6) 2296 (3, 2) 8. Collapse 4385 (2, 9) 2542 (3, 3) 1843 (2, 5) 9. Palpitations 3746 (2, 5) 2045 (2, 7) 1701 (2, 3) 10. Headache 2503 (1, 7) 1068 (1, 4) 1435 (2, 0) Miscellaneous 33, 746 (2, 7) 17,706 (23, 2) 16,040 (22, 1) Triage category, N (%) Non-urgent 43,259 (3, 3) 22,388 (30, 7) 20.871 (30, 0) Urgent 60,309 (23, 2) 27,72 (40, 8) 30,537 (43, 9) Very urgent 33,090 (23, 2) 17,442 (22, 9) 15,648 (22, 5) Most urgent 9309 (23, 2) 17,442 (22, 9) 15,648 (22, 5) Most urgent 9309 (23, 2) 17,442 (22, 9) 15,648 (22, 5) Most urgent 9309 (23, 2) 17,442 (22, 9) 15,648 (22, 5) Most urgent 9309 (23, 2) 17,442 (22, 9) 15,648 (22, 5) Most urgent 9309 (23, 2) 17,442 (24, 9) 15,648 (22, 5) Most urgent 93 (7, 1, 9) NEWS trigger level 1 (0-4), N 106,933 54,778 (71, 8) 52,155 (71, 9) (%) NEWS trigger level 3 (7-23), 18,370 (12, 3) 9745 (12, 8) 8625 (11, 9) N (%) Vital signs SBP, mmHg, mean (SD) 43 (31) 132 (31) 133 (32) DBP, mmHg, mean (SD) 43 (17) 84 (17) 81 (17) MAP, mmHg, mean (SD) 48 (17) 84 (17) 81 (17) MAP, mmHg, mean (SD) 89 (19) 99 (19) 98 (19) Temperature, °C, median 102N 86 (21) 85 (22) 87 (20) Pain scores, Numeric Rating Scale, N (%) Not measured 77,948 (52, 4) 39,812 (52, 2) 38,136 (52, 6) 0 18,979 (12, 8) 10,476 (13, 7) 8503 (11, 7) 1-3 22,357 (15, 0) 12,154 (15, 9) 10,203 (14, 1) 4-6 19,582 (13, 2) 3935 (12, 3) 10,187 (14, 0) 7-10 9959 (c, 7) 443 (45, 8) 552 (7, 6) Diagnosties Radiology performed', N (%) 83,886 (56, 4) 42,682 (56, 0) 41,204 (56, 9) Urine sediment, N (%) 25,876 (26, 1) 12,227 (24, 4) 13,649 (27, 9) Blood gas, N (%) 22,491 (15, 1) 11,969 (15, 7) 10,522 (14, 5) Blood cultures, N (%) 49,373 (63, 9) 47,981 (62, 8) 47,082 (64, 9) Number of consultations, N (%) None 58,570 (41, 4) 42,682 55,70 (14, 8) 462 (0, 7) Blood gas, N (%) 22,491 (15, 1) 11,969 (15, 7) 10,522 (14, 5) Blood gas, N (%) 22,491 (15, 1) 11,969 (15, 7) 10,522 (14, 5) Blood gas, N (%) 23,577 (53, 40, 55, 5) 3717	4. Dyspnea	13,049 (8.8)	6457 (8.5)	6592 (9.1)
5. Trauma  505 (3.4)  2769 (3.6)  2296 (3.2)    8. Collapse  4385 (2.9)  2542 (3.3)  1843 (2.5)    9. Palpitations  3746 (2.5)  2045 (2.7)  1701 (2.3)    10. Headache  2503 (1.7)  1068 (1.4)  1435 (2.0)    Miscellaneous  33,746 (22.7)  1068 (1.4)  1435 (2.0)    Virgent  60,309 (42.3)  29,388 (30.7)  20,871 (30.0)    Urgent  5300 (23.2)  17,442 (22.9)  15,648 (22.5)    Most urgent  5970 (4.0)  3396 (4.7)  2574 (3.7)    NEWS trigger level 1 (0-4), N  106,933  54,778 (71.8)  52,155 (71.9)    (%)  (71.9)  NEWS trigger level 3 (7-23),  18,370 (12.3)  9745 (12.8)  8625 (11.9)    N (%)  (3.5)  33 (31)  132 (31)  133 (32)  138  137    DBP, mmHg, mean (SD)  93 (17)  84 (17)  81 (17)  84 (17)  81 (17)    MAP, minkg, mean (SD)  99 (19)  99 (19)  98 (19)  190    Pemperature, *C, median [QR]  17 (14-201  17.0 (14-201  17.0 (14-201    Stol2, Stop (62.9)  98.0 [96-100	6 Wounds	12,077 (8.1) 7102 (4.8)	4604 (6.0)	2498 (3.4)
8. Collapse 4385 (2.9) 2542 (3.3) 1843 (2.5) 9. Palpitations 3746 (2.5) 2045 (2.7) 1701 (2.3) 10. Headche 2503 (1.7) 1068 (1.4) 1435 (2.0) Miscellaneous 33,746 (2.2.7) 17,706 (23.2) 16,040 (22.1) Triage category, N (%) Non-urgent 43,259 (30.3) 22,388 (30.7) 20.871 (30.0) Urgent 50,009 (42.3) 29,772 (40.8) 30,537 (43.9) Very urgent 30,009 (42.3) 29,772 (40.8) 30,537 (43.9) Very urgent 30,300 (23.2) 17,442 (22.9) 15,648 (22.5) Most urgent 5970 (4.0) 3396 (4.7) 2574 (3.7) NEWS, median (1QR) 3 (3-5) 3 (3-5) 3 (3-5) NEWS trigger level 1 (0-4), N 106,933 54,778 (71.8) 52,155 (71.9) (%) NEWS trigger level 3 (7-23), 18,370 (12.3) 9745 (12.8) 8625 (11.9) N (%) Vital signs SBP, mmHg, mean (SD) 43 (31) 132 (31) 133 (32) DBP, mmHg, mean (SD) 93 (19) 99 (19) 98 (19) Temperature,*C, median 36.9 37.0 36.8 [IQR] 136.5-37.4] 136.6-37.3] RR, /min, median [IQR] 48 (96-100] 98.0 (96-99] 98.0 (96-100] Pain scores, Numeric Rating Scale, N (%) Not measured 77,948 (52.4) 39,812 (52.2) 38,136 (52.6) 0 18,979 (12.8) 10,476 (13.7) 8503 (11.7) 1-3 22,357 (15.0) 12,154 (15.9) 10,203 (14.1) 7-10 9959 (6.7) 4434 (5.8) 5525 (7.6) Diagnostics Hadiology performed", N (%) 23,876 (26.1) 12,227 (24.4) 13,649 (27.9) Blood cultures, N (%) 22,876 (26.1) 12,227 (24.4) 13,649 (27.9) Blood cultures, N (%) 23,876 (26.1) 12,227 (24.4) 13,649 (27.9) Blood cultures, N (%) 23,876 (26.1) 12,227 (24.4) 13,649 (27.9) Blood cultures, N (%) 23,876 (26.1) 12,227 (24.4) 13,649 (27.9) Blood cultures, N (%) 23,876 (26.1) 12,227 (24.4) 13,649 (27.9) Blood cultures, N (%) 23,876 (26.1) 12,227 (24.4) 13,649 (27.9) Blood cultures, N (%) 13,357 (13.8) 7261 (14.8) 6096 (12.8) A7,082 (64.9) Number of consultations, N (%) None 58,570 (41.4) 29,944 (41.5) 28,626 (41.3) 1 47,410 (52.4) 37,651 (52.2) 38,489 (52.7) 1 (137-142] (137-142] (137-142) (137-142) Blood cultures, N (%) 13,357 (13.8) 7261 (14.8) 6096 (12.6) 1 (27.9) N (%) Nome 51,52 (13.9) 737 (53.8) 720 (14.8) (14.7) 2 4,103 (15.2) 10,187 (14.2) 2 3 (132 (137 (137 (137 (1	7. Trauma	5056 (3.4)	2769 (3.6)	2296 (3.2)
9. Palpiations    3746 (2.5)    2045 (2.7)    1701 (2.3)      10. Headache    2503 (1.7)    1068 (1.4)    1435 (2.0)      Miscellancous    33,746 (22.7)    17,06 (23.2)    16,040 (22.1)      Triage category, N (%)    22,388 (30.7)    20,871 (30.0)      Very urgent    60,309 (23.2)    17,442 (22.9)    15,648 (22.5)      Most urgent    5970 (4.0)    3(3-5)    3 (3-5)      NEWS median (IQR)    3 (3-5)    3 (3-5)    3 (3-5)      NEWS trigger level 2 (5-6), N    23,522 (15.8)    11,748 (15.4)    11,774 (16.2)      (%)    .    .    .    .    .      N (%)    .    .    .    .    .      N (%)    .    .    .    .    .    .    .    .    .    . <td>8. Collapse</td> <td>4385 (2.9)</td> <td>2542 (3.3)</td> <td>1843 (2.5)</td>	8. Collapse	4385 (2.9)	2542 (3.3)	1843 (2.5)
10. Headache  2503 (1.7)  1068 (1.4)  1435 (2.0)    Miscellaneous  33,746 (22.7)  17,706 (23.2)  16,040 (22.1)    Triage category, N (%)  29,772 (40.8)  30,537 (43.9)    Very urgent  33,090 (23.2)  17,442 (22.9)  15,648 (22.5)    Most urgent  5970 (4.0)  3396 (4.7)  2574 (3.7)    NEWS trigger level 1 (0-4), N  106,933  54,778 (71.8)  52,155 (71.9)    (%)  (71.9)  NEWS trigger level 3 (7-23),  18,370 (12.3)  9745 (12.8)  8625 (11.9)    N(%)  (71.9)  NEWS trigger level 3 (7-23),  18,370 (12.3)  9745 (12.8)  8625 (11.9)    N(%)  N(%)  33 (31)  132 (31)  133 (32)    DBP, mmHg, mean (SD)  93 (12)  84 (17)  81 (17)    MAP, mmHg, mean (SD)  99 (19)  99 (19)  98 (19)    Temperature,*C, median  166.5-37.41  136.6-37.4]  136.6-37.4]    RR /min, median [IQR]  98 (96-100]  98.0 (96-199)  98.0 (96-100]    Stole, N (%)  32,357 (15.0)  12,154 (15.9)  10,187 (14.0)    Not measured  77,948 (52.4)  99,812 (52.2)<	9. Palpitations	3746 (2.5)	2045 (2.7)	1701 (2.3)
Miscellaneous33,746 (22.7)17,706 (23.2)16,040 (22.1)Triage category, N (%)722,388 (30.7)20.871 (30.0)Urgent60,309 (42.3)22,772 (40.8)30,537 (43.9)Very urgent33,090 (23.2)17,442 (22.9)15,648 (22.5)Most urgent5770 (4.0)3396 (4.7)2574 (3.7)NEWS, median (UR)3 (3-5)3 (3-5)3 (3-5)NEWS trigger level 1 (0-4), N106,93354,778 (71.8)52,155 (71.9)(%)(71.9)78625 (11.9)NEWS trigger level 3 (7-23), N (3.5)11,748 (15.4)11,774 (16.2)(%)(71.9)9745 (12.8)8625 (11.9)N (%)133 (31)132 (31)133 (32)DBP, mmHg, mean (SD)83 (17)84 (17)81 (17)MAP, mmHg, mean (SD)83 (17)84 (17)81 (17)MAP, mmHg, mean (SD)86 (21)85 (22)87 (20)Pain scores, Numeric Rating5287 (20)81 (16.10)Scale, N (%)86 (21)85 (22)38,136 (52.6)O8,979 (12.8)10,476 (13.7)8503 (11.7)1-322,357 (15.0)12,154 (15.9)10,203 (14.1)4-619,582 (13.2)39,812 (52.2)38,136 (52.6)O18,979 (12.8)10,476 (13.7)8503 (11.7)1-322,357 (15.0)12,154 (15.9)10,203 (14.1)4-619,582 (13.2)39,812 (52.2)38,136 (52.6)019,582 (13.2)39,512 (52.2)38,136 (52.6)019,582 (13.2) <td< td=""><td>10. Headache</td><td>2503 (1.7)</td><td>1068 (1.4)</td><td>1435 (2.0)</td></td<>	10. Headache	2503 (1.7)	1068 (1.4)	1435 (2.0)
Triage category, N (%)    Non-urgent    43,259 (30,3)    22,388 (30,7)    20,871 (30,0)      Very urgent    33,090 (23,2)    17,442 (22,9)    15,648 (22,5)      Most urgent    5970 (4,0)    3396 (4,7)    25,74 (3,7)      NEWS, median (UQR)    3 (3-5)    3 (3-5)    3 (3-5)      NEWS trigger level 1 (0-4), N    106,933    54,778 (71.8)    52,155 (71.9)      (%)    7(7.9)    11,748 (15.4)    11,774 (16.2)      (%)    NEWS trigger level 3 (7-23),    18,370 (12.3)    9745 (12.8)    8625 (11.9)      N (%)     745 (12.8)    8625 (11.9)    10.1774 (16.2)      (%)    N (%)    83 (31)    132 (31)    133 (32)      DBP, mmHg, mean (SD)    99 (19)    99 (19)    98 (19)      Temperature, °C, median    66.6    37.0    36.8      [QR]    (36.5-37.4)    (36.6-37.4)    (36.6-37.4)      Pian scores, Numeric Rating    Scale, N (%)    86 (21)    85.0 (22)    81.17      Pid, //min, median [IQR]    17 (14-20)    17.0 (14-20)    17.0 (14-20)    17.0 (14-	Miscellaneous	33,746 (22.7)	17,706 (23.2)	16,040 (22.1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Triage category, N (%)			
Urgent $60,309$ (42.3) $29,772$ (40.8) $30,537$ (43.9)Very urgent $33,090$ (23.2) $17,442$ (22.9) $15,648$ (22.5)Most urgent $5970$ (4.0) $3396$ (4.7) $2574$ (3.7)NEWS trigger level 1 (0-4), N $106,933$ $54,778$ (71.8) $52,155$ (71.9)(%)(71.9)	Non-urgent	43,259 (30.3)	22,388 (30.7)	20.871 (30.0)
Very urgent  35,050 (23.2)  17,442 (22.9)  15,048 (22.5)    Most urgent  5970 (4.0)  3396 (4.7)  2574 (3.7)    NEWS, median (IQR)  3 (3-5)  3 (3-5)  3 (3-5)    NEWS trigger level 1 (0–4), N  106,933  54,778 (71.8)  52,155 (71.9)    (%)  (71.9)  N  11,774 (16.2)  11,774 (16.2)    (%)  (%)  11,774 (16.2)  11,774 (16.2)  11,774 (16.2)    (%)  11,748 (15.4)  11,774 (16.2)  11,774 (16.2)    (%)  11,748 (15.4)  11,774 (16.2)  11,774 (16.2)    (%)  133 (31)  132 (31)  133 (32)    DBP, mmHg, mean (SD)  99 (19)  99 (19)  98 (19)    Temperature, *C, median  36.9  37.0  36.8    [IQR]  17 (14-20)  17.0 [14-20]  17.0 [14-20]    Scale, N (%)  86 (21)  85 (22)  87 (20)    Pain scores, Numeric Rating Scale, N (%)  83,886 (56.4)  42,682 (56.0)  41,204 (56.9)    Diagnostics  12,257 (15.0)  12,257 (15.0)  10,203 (14.1)    4-6  19,582 (13.2)  9395 (12.3)	Urgent	60,309 (42.3)	29,772 (40.8)	30,537 (43.9)
Note median (LQR) $3(3-5)$ $3(3-5)$ $3(3-5)$ NEWS, median (LQR) $3(3-5)$ $3(3-5)$ NEWS trigger level $1(0-4)$ , N $106,933$ $54,778$ (71.8) $52,155$ (71.9)(%)(T1.9)NNEWS trigger level $3(7-23)$ , $18,370$ (12.3) $9745$ (12.8) $8625$ (11.9)N (%)NNNVital signsSSSSBP, mmHg, mean (SD) $133$ (31) $132$ (31) $133$ (32)DBP, mmHg, mean (SD)83 (17)84 (17)81 (17)MAP, mmHg, mean (SD)83 (17)84 (17)18 (17)Temperature, °C, median36.937.036.8[IQR][16,5-37.4][36,6-37.4][13,6-4-37.3]RR, /min, median [IQR]98 [96-100]98.0 [96-99]98.0 [96-100]Scale, N (%)SSSNot measured77,948 (52.4)39,812 (52.2)87 (20)Pain scores, Numeric Rating Scale, N (%)SSSNot measured79,948 (52.4)39,812 (52.2)803 (31.7)1-322,357 (15.0)12,154 (15.9)10,203 (14.1)4-619,582 (13.2)9395 (12.3)10,187 (14.0)7-109959 (6.7)443 (58)5525 (7.6)DiagnoticsSS11,204 (56.9)Urine sediment, N (%)23,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Blood cultures, N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Laboratory test score <sup>1</sup> , N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8) </td <td>Very urgent</td> <td>33,090 (23.2) 5070 (4.0)</td> <td>17,442 (22.9)</td> <td>15,648 (22.5)</td>	Very urgent	33,090 (23.2) 5070 (4.0)	17,442 (22.9)	15,648 (22.5)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	NEWS median (IOR)	3 (3-5)	3 (3-5)	2374 (3.7)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	NEWS trigger level 1 (0–4). N	106.933	54,778 (71.8)	52,155 (71,9)
NEWS trigger level 2 (5-6), N (%)23,522 (15.8)11,748 (15.4)11,774 (16.2)NEWS trigger level 3 (7-23), N (%)18,370 (12.3)9745 (12.8)8625 (11.9)Vital signsIIIISBP, mmHg, mean (SD)133 (31)132 (31)133 (32)DBP, mmHg, mean (SD)99 (19)99 (19)98 (19)Temperature, °C, median36.937.036.8[IQR]17 (14-20)17.0 (14-20)17.0 (14-20)SPO2, %, median [IQR]98 [96-100]98.0 [96-99]98.0 [96-100]Pain scores, Numeric Rating Scale, N (%)86 (21)85 (22)87 (20)Not measured77,948 (52.4)39,812 (52.2)38,136 (52.6)018,979 (12.8)10,476 (13.7)8503 (11.7)1-322,357 (15.0)12,154 (15.9)10,030 (14.1)1-419,582 (13.2)9395 (12.3)10,187 (14.0)7-109959 (6.7)4434 (5.8)5525 (7.6)DiagosticsRadiogy performed", N(%)83,586 (56.4)42,682 (56.0)41,204 (56.9)Urine sediment, N (%)25,876 (26.1)12,227 (24.4)13,649 (27.9)Blood caltures, N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Laboratory test score", N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Laboratory test score", N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Number of consultations, N (%)103 (20.7)570 (0.8)47,082 (64.7)None58,570 (41.4)29,944 (41.5)28,626 (41.3) <td>(%)</td> <td>(71.9)</td> <td>, (,,</td> <td>,,</td>	(%)	(71.9)	, (,,	,,
NEWS trigger level 3 (7–23), N (%)    18,370 (12.3)    9745 (12.8)    8625 (11.9)      Vital signs    5	NEWS trigger level 2 (5–6), N (%)	23,522 (15.8)	11,748 (15.4)	11,774 (16.2)
Vital signsSBP, mmHg, mean (SD)133 (31)132 (31)133 (32)DBP, mmHg, mean (SD)83 (17)84 (17)81 (17)MAP, mmHg, mean (SD)99 (19)99 (19)98 (19)Temperature,°C, median36.937.036.8[IQR][36.5–37.4][36.6–37.4][36.4–37.3]RR, /min, median [IQR]17 [14–20]17.0 [14–20]17.0 [14–20]SPO2, %, median [IQR]98 [96–100]98.0 [96–99]98.0 [96–100]HR, /min, mean (SD)86 (21)85 (22)87 (20)Pain scores, Numeric Rating Scale, N (%)86 (21)85 (22)38,136 (52.6)Not measured77,948 (52.4)39,812 (52.2)38,136 (52.6)018,979 (12.8)10,476 (13.7)8503 (11.7)1–322,357 (15.0)12,154(15.9)10,203 (14.1)4–619,582 (13.2)9395 (12.3)10,187 (14.0)7–109959 (6.7)4434 (5.8)5525 (7.6)DiagnosticsRadiology performed <sup>a</sup> , N (%)83,886 (56.4)42,682 (56.0)41,204 (56.9)Urine sediment, N (%)23,876 (26.1)12,227 (24.4)13,649 (27.9)Blood gas, N (%)23,577 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Laboratory test score <sup>b</sup> , N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Laboratory test score <sup>b</sup> , N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)174,140 (52.4)37,651 (52.2)38,489 (52.7)2722 (5.5)4005 (5.5)3717 (5.4)<	NEWS trigger level 3 (7–23), N (%)	18,370 (12.3)	9745 (12.8)	8625 (11.9)
SBP, mmHg, mean (SD)  133 (31)  132 (31)  133 (32)    DBP, mmHg, mean (SD)  83 (17)  84 (17)  81 (17)    MAP, mmHg, mean (SD)  99 (19)  99 (19)  98 (19)    Temperature,°C, median  36.9  37.0  36.8    [IQR]  [36.5–37.4]  [36.6–37.4]  [36.4–37.3]    RA, /min, median [IQR]  17 [14–20]  17.0 [14–20]  17.0 [14–20]    SPO2, %, median [IQR]  98 [96–100]  98.0 [96–99]  98.0 [96–100]    PAI, min, median [IQR]  98 [96–100]  98.0 [25.2)  38,136 (52.6)    Not measured  77,948 (52.4)  39,812 (52.2)  38,136 (52.6)    0  18,979 (12.8)  10,476 (13.7)  8503 (11.7)    1–3  22,357 (15.0)  12,154(15.9)  10,203 (14.1)    4–6  19,582 (13.2)  9395 (12.3)  10,187 (14.0)    7–10  9959 (6.7)  4434 (5.8)  5525 (7.6)    Diagnostics  Radiology performed <sup>5</sup> , N (%)  23,357 (13.8)  7261 (14.8)  6096 (12.8)    Laboratory test score <sup>5</sup> , N (%)  13,357 (13.8)  7261 (14.8)  606 (12.8)    Blood cultures, N (%)  13,	Vital signs			
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	SBP, mmHg, mean (SD)	133 (31)	132 (31)	133 (32)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	DBP, mmHg, mean (SD)	83 (17)	84 (17)	81 (17)
$\begin{array}{l lend label{eq:linear}} \begin{array}{ lend label{eq:linear}}{linear} & 50.5 & 50.5 & 50.5 \\ [IQR] & [IQR] & [36.5-37.4] & [36.6-37.4] & [36.4-37.3] \\ RR, /min, median [IQR] & 98 [96-100] & 98.0 [96-99] & 98.0 [96-100] \\ HR, /min, mean (SD) & 86 (21) & 85 (22) & 87 (20) \\ Pain scores, Numeric Rating \\ Scale, N (%) & & & & & & & \\ Not measured & 77,948 (52.4) & 39,812 (52.2) & 38,136 (52.6) \\ 0 & 18,979 (12.8) & 10,476 (13.7) & 8503 (11.7) \\ 1-3 & 22,357 (15.0) & 12,154 (15.9) & 10,203 (14.1) \\ 4-6 & 19,582 (13.2) & 9395 (12.3) & 10,187 (14.0) \\ 7-10 & 959 (6.7) & 4434 (5.8) & 5525 (7.6) \\ Diagnostics & & & & & \\ Radiology performeda, N (%) & 83,886 (56.4) & 42,682 (56.0) & 41,204 (56.9) \\ Urine sediment, N (%) & 25,876 (26.1) & 12,227 (24.4) & 13,649 (27.9) \\ Blood gas, N (%) & 22,491 (15.1) & 11,969 (15.7) & 10,522 (14.5) \\ Blood cultures, N (%) & 13,357 (13.8) & 7261 (14.8) & 6096 (12.8) \\ Laboratory test scoreb, N (%) & 94,973 (63.9) & 47,981 (62.8) & 47,082 (64.9) \\ Number of consultations, N (%) & & & & \\ (\%) & & & & & & \\ (\%) & & & & & & & \\ (\%) & & & & & & & & \\ Sodium, median [IQR] & 140 & 140 & 140 \\ (137-142] & (137-142) & (137-142) \\ Potassium, median [IQR] & 140 & 140 & 140 \\ [137-142] & (137-142) & (137-142) \\ Potassium, median [IQR] & 4.1 (3.8-4.4] & 4.2 (3.9-4.5) & 4.1 (3.8-4.4) \\ Creatinine, mmol/L, median [IQR] & 5.8 [4.3-7.9] & 6.3 [4.9-8.5] & 5.2 [3.9-7.1] \\ [IQR] & & & & & & \\ Hb, mmol/L, median [IQR] & 5.8 [4.3-7.9] & 6.3 [4.9-8.5] & 5.2 [3.9-7.1] \\ [IQR] & & & & & & \\ Hb, mmol/L, median [IQR] & 10 [4-47] & 12 [5-53] & 10 [4-42] \\ Leucocytes, x10°9/L, median [IQR] & 5.8 [4.3-7.9] & 6.3 [4.9-8.5] & 5.2 [3.9-7.1] \\ [IQR] & & & & & \\ Hb, mmol/L, median [IQR] & 1.6 [1.1-2.3] & 1.6 [1.1-2.5] & 1.5 [1.0-2.2] \\ [IQR] & & & & & \\ \end{array}$	MAP, mmHg, mean (SD)	99 (19) 36 0	99 (19) 37 0	98 (19) 36 8
ItersItersItersItersItersItersItersItersRR, /min, median [IQR]17 [14-20]17.0 [14-20]17.0 [14-20]17.0 [14-20]SPO2, %, median [IQR]98 [96-100]98.0 [96-99]98.0 [96-100]HR, /min, mean (SD)86 (21)85 (22)87 (20)Pain scores, Numeric Rating Scale, N (%)86 (21)85 (22)87 (20)Not measured77,948 (52.4)39,812 (52.2)38,136 (52.6)018,979 (12.8)10,476 (13.7)8503 (11.7)1-322,357 (15.0)12,154(15.9)10,203 (14.1)4-619,582 (13.2)9395 (12.3)10,187 (14.0)7-10995 (6.7)4434 (5.8)5555 (7.6)DiagnosticsRadiology performed <sup>5</sup> , N (%)83,886 (56.4)42,682 (56.0)41,204 (56.9)Urine sediment, N (%)25,876 (26.1)12,227 (24.4)13,649 (27.9)Blood gas, N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Laboratory test score <sup>5</sup> , N (%)94,373 (63.9)47,981 (62.8)47,082 (64.9)Number of consultations, N(%)13325 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)(%)1032 (0.7)570 (0.8)462 (0.7)Sodium, median [IQR]140140140(137–142](137–142)(137–142)Potassium, median [IQR]4.1 (3.8–4.4]4.2 (3.9–4.5)4.1 (3.8–4.4)Creatinine, mmol/L, median76(3–97)6.3 [4.9–8.5]5.2 [3.9–7.1]CRP, mg/L, median [IQR]5.8 [4.3–7.9]6.3 [4.9–8.5] <td>[IOR]</td> <td>[36,5-37,4]</td> <td>[36 6-37 4]</td> <td>[36 4-37 3]</td>	[IOR]	[36,5-37,4]	[36 6-37 4]	[36 4-37 3]
SPO2, %, median [IQR]  98 [96–100]  98.0 [96–99]  98.0 [96–100]    HR, /min, mean (SD)  86 (21)  85 (22)  87 (20)    Pain scores, Numeric Rating  5cale, N (%)  39,812 (52.2)  38,136 (52.6)    Not measured  77,948 (52.4)  39,812 (52.2)  38,136 (52.6)    0  18,979 (12.8)  10,476 (13.7)  8503 (11.7)    1–3  22,357 (15.0)  12,154(15.9)  10,203 (14.1)    4–6  19,582 (13.2)  9395 (12.3)  10,187 (14.0)    7–10  9959 (6.7)  4434 (5.8)  5525 (7.6)    Diagnostics  83,886 (56.4)  42,682 (56.0)  41,204 (56.9)    Urine sediment, N (%)  25,876 (26.1)  12,227 (24.4)  13,649 (27.9)    Blood gas, N (%)  13,357 (13.8)  7261 (14.8)  6096 (12.8)    Laboratory test score <sup>b</sup> , N (%)  94,973 (63.9)  47,981 (62.8)  47,082 (64.9)    Number of consultations, N  (%)  13,357 (13.4)  29,944 (41.5)  28,626 (41.3)    1  74,140 (52.4)  37,651 (52.2)  38,489 (52.7)  2    2  7722 (5.5)  4005 (5.5)  3717 (5.4)  2	RR, /min, median [IOR]	17 [14-20]	17.0 [14-20]	17.0 [14-20]
HR, /min, mean (SD)86 (21)85 (22)87 (20)Pain scores, Numeric Rating Scale, N (%)39,812 (52.2)38,136 (52.6)Not measured77,948 (52.4)39,812 (52.2)38,136 (52.6)018,979 (12.8)10,476 (13.7)8503 (11.7)1–322,357 (15.0)12,154(15.9)10,203 (14.1)4–619,582 (13.2)9395 (12.3)10,187 (14.0)7–109959 (6.7)4434 (5.8)5525 (7.6)DiagnosticsRadiology performed <sup>8</sup> , N (%)83,886 (56.4)42,682 (56.0)41,204 (56.9)Urine sediment, N (%)25,876 (26.1)12,227 (24.4)13,649 (27.9)Blood gas, N (%)22,491 (15.1)11,969 (15.7)10,522 (14.5)Blood cultures, N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Laboratory test score <sup>b</sup> , N (%)94,973 (63.9)47,981 (62.8)47,082 (64.9)Number of consultations, N (%)29,944 (41.5)28,626 (41.3)174,140 (52.4)37,651 (52.2)38,489 (52.7)27722 (5.5)4005 (5.5)3717 (5.4) $\geq$ 31032 (0.7)570 (0.8)462 (0.7)Biomarkers137-142](137-142)Potassium, median [IQR]140140140(137-142)(137-142)(137-142)(137-142)Potassium, median [IQR]5.8 [4.3-7.9]6.3 [4.9-8.5]5.2 [3.9-7.1](IQR]Urea, mmol/L, median [IQR]5.8 [4.3-7.9]6.3 [4.9-8.5]5.2 [3.9-7.1]CRP, mg/L, med	SPO2, %, median [IQR]	98 [96–100]	98.0 [96–99]	98.0 [96–100]
Pain scores, Numeric Rating Scale, N (%)    Not measured  77,948 (52.4)  39,812 (52.2)  38,136 (52.6)    0  18,979 (12.8)  10,476 (13.7)  8503 (11.7)    1–3  22,357 (15.0)  12,154(15.9)  10,203 (14.1)    4–6  19,582 (13.2)  9395 (12.3)  10,187 (14.0)    7–10  9959 (6.7)  4434 (5.8)  5525 (7.6)    Diagnostics    Radiology performed <sup>6</sup> , N (%)  83,886 (56.4)  42,682 (56.0)  41,204 (56.9)    Urine sediment, N (%)  25,876 (26.1)  12,227 (24.4)  13,649 (27.9)    Blood gas, N (%)  22,491 (15.1)  11,969 (15.7)  10,522 (14.5)    Blood cultures, N (%)  13,357 (13.8)  7261 (14.8)  6096 (12.8)    Laboratory test score <sup>b</sup> , N (%)  94,973 (63.9)  47,981 (62.8)  47,082 (64.9)    Number of consultations, N  (%)  29,944 (41.5)  28,626 (41.3)    1  74,140 (52.4)  37,651 (52.2)  38,489 (52.7)    2  7722 (5.5)  4005 (5.5)  3717 (5.4)    ≥ 3  1032 (0.7)  570 (0.8)  462 (0.7)    Biomarkers  I137-142]  <	HR, /min, mean (SD)	86 (21)	85 (22)	87 (20)
Not measured    77,948 (52.4)    39,812 (52.2)    38,136 (52.6)      0    18,979 (12.8)    10,476 (13.7)    8503 (11.7)      1-3    22,357 (15.0)    12,154(15.9)    10,023 (14.1)      4-6    19,582 (13.2)    9395 (12.3)    10,187 (14.0)      7-10    9959 (6.7)    4434 (5.8)    5525 (7.6)      Diagnostics         Radiology performed <sup>1</sup> , N (%)    83,886 (56.4)    42,682 (56.0)    41,204 (56.9)      Urine sediment, N (%)    25,876 (26.1)    12,227 (24.4)    13,649 (27.9)      Blood gas, N (%)    22,491 (15.1)    11,969 (15.7)    10,522 (14.5)      Blood cultures, N (%)    13,357 (13.8)    7261 (14.8)    6096 (12.8)      Laboratory test score <sup>b</sup> , N (%)    94,973 (63.9)    47,981 (62.8)    47,082 (64.9)      Number of consultations, N    (%)    7722 (5.5)    4005 (5.5)    3717 (5.4)      2    7722 (5.5)    4005 (5.5)    3717 (5.4)    2      2    1032 (0.7)    570 (0.8)    462 (0.7)      Biomarkers     (137-142)	Pain scores, Numeric Rating Scale, N (%)			
018,979 (12.8)10,476 (13.7)8503 (11.7)1-322,357 (15.0)12,154(15.9)10,203 (14.1)4-619,582 (13.2)9395 (12.3)10,187 (14.0)7-109959 (6.7)4434 (5.8)5525 (7.6)DiagnosticsRadiology performed <sup>1</sup> , N (%)83,886 (56.4)42,682 (56.0)41,204 (56.9)Urine sediment, N (%)22,876 (26.1)12,227 (24.4)13,649 (27.9)Blood gas, N (%)22,491 (15.1)11,969 (15.7)10,522 (14.5)Blood cultures, N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Laboratory test score <sup>b</sup> , N (%)94,973 (63.9)47,981 (62.8)47,082 (64.9)Number of consultations, N(%)7722 (5.5)4005 (5.5)3717 (5.4)27722 (5.5)4005 (5.5)3717 (5.4)227722 (5.5)4005 (5.5)3717 (5.4)2<3	Not measured	77,948 (52.4)	39,812 (52.2)	38,136 (52.6)
1-322,357 (15.0)12,154(15.9)10,203 (14.1)4-619,582 (13.2)9395 (12.3)10,187 (14.0)7-109959 (6.7)4434 (5.8)5525 (7.6)DiagnosticsRadiology performed <sup>4</sup> , N (%)83,886 (56.4)42,682 (56.0)41,204 (56.9)Urine sediment, N (%)25,876 (26.1)12,227 (24.4)13,649 (27.9)Blood gas, N (%)22,491 (15.1)11,969 (15.7)10,522 (14.5)Blood cultures, N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Laboratory test score <sup>b</sup> , N (%)94,973 (63.9)47,981 (62.8)47,082 (64.9)Number of consultations, N(%)74,140 (52.4)37,651 (52.2)38,489 (52.7)27722 (5.5)4005 (5.5)3717 (5.4)≥ 31032 (0.7)570 (0.8)462 (0.7)Biomarkers1032 (0.7)570 (0.8)462 (0.7)Biomarkers1137-142](137-142)(137-142)Potassium, median [IQR]4.1 [3.8-4.4]4.2 (3.9-4.5)4.1 (3.8-4.4)Creatinine, mmol/L, median77 [63-97]87 [74-108]67 [57-82][IQR]10 [4-47]12 [5-53]10 [4-42]Leucocytes, x10°9/L, median9.1 [7.0-12.0]9.1 [7.0-12.1]9.1 [7.0-12.0][IQR]K3 (1.3)8.5 (1.4)8.0 (1.1)Lactate, mmol/L, median1.6 [1.1-2.3]1.6 [1.1-2.5]1.5 [1.0-2.2][IQR]KK3 (1.3)K5 (1.4)K0 (1.1)	0	18,979 (12.8)	10,476 (13.7)	8503 (11.7)
4-619,82 [13,2]9395 [12,3)10,187 (14.0)7-109959 (6.7)4434 (5.8)5525 (7.6)DiagnosticsRadiology performed <sup>8</sup> , N (%)83,886 (56.4)42,682 (56.0)41,204 (56.9)Urine sediment, N (%)25,876 (26.1)12,227 (24.4)13,649 (27.9)Blood gas, N (%)22,491 (15.1)11,969 (15.7)10,522 (14.5)Blood cultures, N (%)13,357 (13.8)7261 (14.8)6096 (12.8)Laboratory test score <sup>b</sup> , N (%)94,973 (63.9)47,981 (62.8)47,082 (64.9)Number of consultations, N(%)7722 (5.5)4005 (5.5)3717 (5.4)≥ 31032 (0.7)570 (0.8)462 (0.7)Biomarkers1032 (0.7)570 (0.8)462 (0.7)Biomarkers1137-142](137-142)(137-142)Potassium, median [IQR]4.1 [3.8-4.4]4.2 (3.9-4.5)4.1 (3.8-4.4)Creatinine, mmol/L, median [IQR]5.8 [4.3-7.9]6.3 [4.9-8.5]5.2 [3.9-7.1]QRP, mg/L, median [IQR]10 [4-47]12 [5-53]10 [4-42]Leucocytes, x10°9/L, median9.1 [7.0-12.0]9.1 [7.0-12.1]9.1 [7.0-12.0][IQR]8.3 (1.3)8.5 (1.4)8.0 (1.1)Lactate, mmol/L, median1.6 [1.1-2.3]1.6 [1.1-2.5]1.5 [1.0-2.2][IQR]9.11.0 [1.1-2.5]1.5 [1.0-2.2]	1–3	22,357 (15.0)	12,154(15.9)	10,203 (14.1)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4–6 7–10	19,582 (13.2)	9395 (12.3) 4434 (5.8)	10,187 (14.0)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Diagnostics	JJJJ (0.7)	4454 (5.6)	3323 (7.0)
$\begin{array}{ccccc} Urine sediment, N (\%) & 25,876 (26.1) & 12,227 (24.4) & 13,649 (27.9) \\ Blood gas, N (\%) & 22,491 (15.1) & 11,969 (15.7) & 10,522 (14.5) \\ Blood cultures, N (\%) & 13,357 (13.8) & 7261 (14.8) & 6096 (12.8) \\ Laboratory test score5, N (\%) & 94,973 (63.9) & 47,981 (62.8) & 47,082 (64.9) \\ Number of consultations, N (\%) & 29,944 (41.5) & 28,626 (41.3) \\ (\%) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Radiology performed <sup>a</sup> , N (%)	83,886 (56.4)	42,682 (56.0)	41,204 (56.9)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Urine sediment, N (%)	25,876 (26.1)	12,227 (24.4)	13,649 (27.9)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Blood gas, N (%)	22,491 (15.1)	11,969 (15.7)	10,522 (14.5)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Blood cultures, N (%)	13,357 (13.8)	7261 (14.8)	6096 (12.8)
$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	Laboratory test score <sup>D</sup> , $N$ (%) Number of consultations, $N$	94,973 (63.9)	47,981 (62.8)	47,082 (64.9)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	None	58,570 (41 4)	29,944 (41 5)	28.626 (41 3)
$\begin{array}{ccccc} & 7722 (5.5) & 4005 (5.5) & 3717 (5.4) \\ \geq 3 & 1032 (0.7) & 570 (0.8) & 462 (0.7) \\ \hline \textbf{Biomarkers} \\ \\ Sodium, median [IQR] & 140 & 140 & 140 \\ & [137-142] & (137-142) & (137-142) \\ \\ Potassium, median [IQR] & 4.1 [3.8-4.4] & 4.2 (3.9-4.5) & 4.1 (3.8-4.4) \\ \\ Creatinine, mmol/L, median & 77 [63-97] & 87 [74-108] & 67 [57-82] \\ \\ [IQR] & & & & \\ \\ Urea, mmol/L, median [IQR] & 5.8 [4.3-7.9] & 6.3 [4.9-8.5] & 5.2 [3.9-7.1] \\ \\ CRP, mg/L, median [IQR] & 10 [4-47] & 12 [5-53] & 10 [4-42] \\ \\ Leucocytes, x10^9/L, median & 9.1 [7.0-12.0] & 9.1 [7.0-12.1] & 9.1 [7.0-12.0] \\ \\ [IQR] \\ \\ Hb, mmol/L, median & 1.6 [1.1-2.3] & 1.6 [1.1-2.5] & 1.5 [1.0-2.2] \\ \\ [IQR] \end{array}$	1	74,140 (52.4)	37,651 (52.2)	38,489 (52.7)
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } & $1032(0.7)$ & $570(0.8)$ & $462(0.7)$ \\ \hline $Biomarkers$ & $$1032(0.7)$ & $570(0.8)$ & $462(0.7)$ \\ \hline $Biomarkers$ & $$1032(0.7)$ & $140$ & $140$ & $140$ & $$140$ & $$140$ & $$140$ & $$140$ & $$140$ & $$140$ & $$140$ & $$140$ & $$137-142$ & $$$137-142$ & $$$137-142$ & $$$137-142$ & $$$137-142$ & $$$$$137-142$ & $$$$$$$137-142$ & $$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$	2	7722 (5.5)	4005 (5.5)	3717 (5.4)
Biomarkers      Sodium, median [IQR]    140    140      [137-142]    (137-142)    (137-142)      Potassin, median [IQR]    4.1 (3.8-4.4]    4.2 (3.9-4.5)    4.1 (3.8-4.4)      Creatinine, mmol/L, median    77 (63-97)    87 [74-108]    67 [57-82]      IQR]    Urea, mmol/L, median [IQR]    5.8 [4.3-7.9]    6.3 [4.9-8.5]    5.2 [3.9-7.1]      CRP, mg/L, median [IQR]    10 [4-47]    12 [5-53]    10 [4-42]      Leucocytes, x10°9/L, median    9.1 [7.0-12.0]    9.1 [7.0-12.0]    9.1 [7.0-12.0]      IQR]    8.3 (1.3)    8.5 (1.4)    8.0 (1.1)      Lactate, mmol/L, median    1.6 [1.1-2.3]    1.6 [1.1-2.5]    1.5 [1.0-2.2]      [IQR]    1.6 [1.1-2.5]    1.5 [1.0-2.2]    1.5 [1.0-2.2]	$\geq 3$	1032 (0.7)	570 (0.8)	462 (0.7)
Sodium, median [IQR]    140    140    140      [137-142]    (137-142)    (137-142)      Potassium, median [IQR]    4.1 [3.8-4.4]    4.2 (3.9-4.5)    4.1 (3.8-4.4)      Creatinine, mmol/L, median    77 [63-97]    87 [74-108]    67 [57-82]      I[QR]    Urea, mmol/L, median [IQR]    5.8 [4.3-7.9]    6.3 [4.9-8.5]    5.2 [3.9-7.1]      CRP, mg/L, median [IQR]    10 [4-47]    12 [5-53]    10 [4-42]      Leucocytes, x10°9/L, median    9.1 [7.0-12.0]    9.1 [7.0-12.0]    9.1 [7.0-12.0]      [IQR]    Hb, mmol/L, mean (SD)    8.3 (1.3)    8.5 (1.4)    8.0 (1.1)      Lactate, mmol/L, median    1.6 [1.1-2.3]    1.6 [1.1-2.5]    1.5 [1.0-2.2]      [IQR]    IIQR]    1.6 [1.1-2.5]    1.5 [1.0-2.2]	Biomarkers			
[137-142]  (137-142)  (137-142)    Potassium, median [IQR]  4.1 [3.8-4.4]  4.2 (3.9-4.5)  (1.3.8-4.4)    Creatinine, mmol/L, median  77 [63-97]  87 [74-108]  67 [57-82]    [IQR]  Urea, mmol/L, median [IQR]  5.8 [4.3-7.9]  6.3 [4.9-8.5]  5.2 [3.9-7.1]    CRP, mg/L, median [IQR]  10 [4-47]  12 [5-53]  10 [4-42]    Leucocytes, x10°9/L, median  9.1 [7.0-12.0]  9.1 [7.0-12.1]  9.1 [7.0-12.0]    [IQR]  Hb, mmol/L, mean (SD)  8.3 (1.3)  8.5 (1.4)  8.0 (1.1)    Lactate, mmol/L, median  1.6 [1.1-2.3]  1.6 [1.1-2.5]  1.5 [1.0-2.2]    [IQR]  IQR]  III  IIII  IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Sodium, median [IQR]	140	140	140
rotasstum, median [IQR]  4.1 [3.8–4.4]  4.2 (3.9–4.5)  4.1 (3.8–4.4)    Creatinine, mmol/L, median  77 [63–97]  87 [74–108]  67 [57–82]    [IQR]  Urea, mmol/L, median [IQR]  5.8 [4.3–7.9]  6.3 [4.9–8.5]  5.2 [3.9–7.1]    CRP, mg/L, median [IQR]  10 [4–47]  12 [5–53]  10 [4–42]    Leucocytes, x10°9/L, median  9.1 [7.0–12.0]  9.1 [7.0–12.1]  9.1 [7.0–12.0]    [IQR]  Hb, mmol/L, mean (SD)  8.3 (1.3)  8.5 (1.4)  8.0 (1.1)    Lactate, mmol/L, median  1.6 [1.1–2.3]  1.6 [1.1–2.5]  1.5 [1.0–2.2]    [IQR]  II  III  III  IIII  IIIII	Determine mailer from	[137–142]	(137-142)	(137–142)
Urea, mol/L, median [IQR]    5.8 [4.3–7.9]    6.3 [4.9–8.5]    5.2 [3.9–7.1]      CRP, mg/L, median [IQR]    10 [4-47]    12 [5–53]    10 [4-42]      Leucocytes, x10°9/L, median    9.1 [7.0–12.0]    9.1 [7.0–12.1]    9.1 [7.0–12.0]      [IQR]    Hb, mmol/L, mean (SD)    8.3 (1.3)    8.5 (1.4)    8.0 (1.1)      Lactate, mmol/L, median    1.6 [1.1–2.3]    1.6 [1.1–2.5]    1.5 [1.0–2.2]	Creatinine, mmol/L, median	4.1 [3.8–4.4] 77 [63–97]	4.2 (3.9–4.5) 87 [74–108]	4.1 (3.8–4.4) 67 [57–82]
CRP, mg/L, median [IQR]    10 [4-47]    12 [5-53]    10 [4-42]      Leucocytes, x10°9/L, median    9.1 [7.0-12.0]    9.1 [7.0-12.1]    9.1 [7.0-12.0]      [IQR]    Hb, mmol/L, mean (SD)    8.3 (1.3)    8.5 (1.4)    8.0 (1.1)      Lactate, mmol/L, median    1.6 [1.1-2.3]    1.6 [1.1-2.5]    1.5 [1.0-2.2]	Urea, mmol/L, median [IOR]	5.8 [4.3–7.9]	6.3 [4.9-8.5]	5.2 [3.9–7.1]
[IQR] Hb, mmol/L, mean (SD) 8.3 (1.3) 8.5 (1.4) 8.0 (1.1) Lactate, mmol/L, median 1.6 [1.1–2.3] 1.6 [1.1–2.5] 1.5 [1.0–2.2] [IQR]	CRP, mg/L, median [IQR] Leucocytes, x10 <sup>°</sup> 9/L, median	10 [4–47] 9.1 [7.0–12.0]	12 [5–53] 9.1 [7.0–12.1]	10 [4-42] 9.1 [7.0-12.0]
Lactate, mmol/L, median 1.6 [1.1–2.3] 1.6 [1.1–2.5] 1.5 [1.0–2.2] [IQR]	[IQR] Hb, mmol/L, mean (SD)	8.3 (1.3)	8.5 (1.4)	8.0 (1.1)
	Lactate, mmol/L, median [IQR]	1.6 [1.1–2.3]	1.6 [1.1–2.5]	1.5 [1.0–2.2]

Abbreviations= N: number, SD: standard deviation, IQR: inter quartile range, ED: Emergency Department, NEWS: National Early Warning Score, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, MAP: mean arterial pressure, RR: respiratory rate, HR: heart rate, SPO2: peripheral oxygen saturation, mmHg= millimetre mercury, °C: °C, CRP: C-reactive Protein, Hb: haemoglobin.

<sup>a</sup> If one or more of the following radiological tests were performed: Ultrasound, radiography, and computer-tomography.

<sup>b</sup> Laboratory test score reflects whether one or more blood tests were performed. Missing values (male;female)= age (0:0); arrival type (6220;6056) referral status (1321;850) location (0;0) triage category (3273;2924) presenting complaints (3626;2995) trauma room (29,499;26,773) radiology performed (107;87) consultations (4101;3260) blood gas (52;48) blood culture (27,112;24977) laboratory test score (52;48) sodium (29,872;27,330) Potassium (30,625;28,349) creatinine (30,910;28,124) urea (31,198;28,804) lactate (65,083;62,751) CRP (37,053;33,798) Leucocytes (30,681;27,572) Hb (29,712;26,805) SBP (31,158;28,136) DBP (31,181;28,169) MAP (31,160;28,153) temperature (34,931;31,530) HR (33,355;30,694) RR (40,815;39,347) SPO2 (30,076;26,964).

#### Table 2

Relevant clinical outcomes in male and female ED patients.

	Total cohort N: 148,825	Males <i>N</i> = 76,271	Females <i>N</i> = 72,554	P value
In hospital-mortality <sup>a</sup> ,	2851 (1.9)	1663 (2.2)	1188 (1.7)	<
N (%)				0.01
Disposition, N (%)				
Total hospital	62,472 (42.0)	32,797	29,675	<
admissions		(43.0)	(40.9)	0.01
ICU/MCU	5425 (3.9)	3317 (4.7)	2108 (3.1)	<
admission				0.01
Ward	54,175 (38.9)	27,936	26,239	<
admission		(39.4)	(38.4)	0.01
Transfers to other	2519 (1.8)	1289 (1.8)	1230 (1.8)	0.84
hospitals				
Discharged	67,472 (48.5)	33,554	33,918	<
home		(47.3)	(49.7)	0.01
ED-LOS, hours,	2.7 [1.7-3.8]	2.6	2.8 [1.8-3.8]	<
median [IQR]		[1.7 - 3.7]		0.01
H-LOS, days, median	4.0 [2.0–7.0]	4.0	4.0 [2.0–7.0]	<
[IQR]		[2.0–7.0]		0.01
7-day revisit to the ED, N (%)	8015 (5.4)	4068 (5.3)	3947 (5.4)	0.79

Abbreviations= *N*: number, SD: standard deviation, IQR: inter quartile range, ICU: intensive care unit, MCU: medium care unit, ED-LOS: Emergency Department-Length Of Stay, H-LOS: hospital-Length Of Stay.

<sup>a</sup> in-hospital mortality included patients who died in the ED.

Missing values (male;female)= mortality (1242;914) Disposition (5306;4279) ED-LOS (15;19) H-LOS (28,682;27,437)

triage categories (Fig. 1). In the 'non-urgent' triage-category, adjusted mortality was 0.52% (0.51-0.53%) in men and 0.46% (0.45-0.47%) for women. Adjusted ICU/MCU admission was 0.74% (0.72-0.76%) for men and 0.57% (0.56-0.59%) for women. In the 'most urgent' triage category, adjusted mortality was 16.0% (15.4-16.6%) in men and 12.2% (11.7-12.8%) in women. Adjusted ICU/MCU admission was 23.6% (23.0-24.2%) in men and 18.7% (18.1-19.3%) in women.

With all presenting complaints, except wounds and headache, men had higher adjusted mortality and ICU/MCU admission (see Fig. 2). Mean adjusted mortality in men and women was 0.34% (0.33-0.35%) and 0.33% (0.32-0.34%) for extremity complaints, 4.5% (4.4-4.6%) and 3.7% (3.6-3.8%) for feeling unwell, 1.6% (1.5-1.7%) and 0.85% (0.81-0.89%) for abdominal pain, 5.6% (5.4-5.8%) and 4.1% (3.9-4.2%) for dyspnea, 1.0% (0.98-1.1%) and 0.70% (0.66-0.73%) for chest pain, 0.090 (0.084-0.093%) and 0.12% (0.11-0.13%) for wounds, 1.4% (1.3-1.5%) and 1.1% (1.0-1.2%) for trauma, 4.7% (4.5-5.0%) and 3.3% (3.1-3.6%) for collapse, 0.45% (0.41-0.48%) and 0.18% (0.16-0.19%) for palpitations, 0.86% (0.78-0.94%) and 1.9% (1.8-2.1%) for headache and 2.1% (2.0-2.2%) and 1.5% (1.4-1.5%) for miscellaneous.

#### Table 3

The	risk	for	clinical	outcomes	for	male	ED	patients	compared	to	female	ED
natie	ents.											

	Crude OR (95%- CI)	Model 1 AOR (95%-CI)	Model 2 AOR (95%-CI)
In-hospital mortality	1.34 (1.25–145)	1.36 (1.26–1.47)	1.34 (1.24–1.46)
ICU/MCU admission	1.54 (1.46–1.63)	1.47 (1.38–1.55)	1.46 (1.37–1.56)
Total hospital	1.09	1.16 (1.14–1.19)	1.18 (1.15–1.21)
ED-LOS ( $\geq$ 4 h)	0.90	0.92 (0.90–0.94)	0.89 (0.87–0.92)
H-LOS ( $\geq$ 5d)	(0.88–0.92) 0.96	0.98 (0.95–1.02)	0.97 (0.94–1.00)
H-LOS ( $\geq$ 30d)	(0.93–1.00) 1.14 (1.01–1.30)	1.14 (1.00–1.29)	1.11 (0.98–1.26)

Abbreviations= OR: odds ratio, AOR: adjusted odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, MCU: medium care unit, ICU: intensive care unit, ED-LOS: emergency department-Length Of Stay, H-LOS: hospital-Length Of Stay.

For H-LOS, only patients who were admitted were included in the analyses. ORs > 1 means a higher risk on the outcome for males compared to females. Model 1 is adjusted for age, top 10 presenting complaints, and hospital location. Model 2 is adjusted for age, top 10 presenting complaints, hospital location, performed diagnostics (radiology, blood tests), fluid administration, number of consultations and vital signs.

#### 3.4. Sensitivity subgroup analyses

The sensitivity analyses showed similar results with higher risks for men if other potential confounders were used for adjustment for severity of illness, and if patients who died in the ED were excluded from the analysis (supplementary file 6). Also, the subgroup analyses for suspected infection, trauma and patients with chest pain showed similar results with higher risks for men (supplementary file 2).

#### 4. Discussion

Although characteristics at ED arrival are roughly similar, male ED patients have substantially higher crude and adjusted in-hospital mortality and were more often admitted to high dependency care units compared to female ED patients. These increased risks were also present in all triage categories and with almost all presenting complaints (including chest pain), as well as in subgroups of patients with suspected infection and trauma.

To study the nature of the increased risk in males, we first explored whether this could be explained by higher age or different reasons for ED admission. We found that the differences in mortality and admission to high dependency care units persisted after correction for age and presenting complaints. Our results are in line with earlier studies, showing that male sex was found to be an independent predictor of adverse outcomes in patients admitted to an ED with AORs ranging from 1.14 to 1.62 [8,9,11]. However, in these studies it was not reported whether disease severity in males and females were similar at ED presentation. In our study the increased risk in male patients for adverse outcomes compared with females was still present after adjusting for initial disease severity before ED treatment, suggesting that factors other than age, presenting complaints and initial severity of illness were responsible for higher risk for adverse outcomes.

We can only speculate which other factors may contribute to the increased risk for males compared to females. First, sex itself could be responsible for adverse outcomes in males, meaning that the male phenotype is associated with a more serious course of disease despite similar severity of illness at ED presentation. Differences in immune response, cardiovascular function and sex hormones have been suggested as the possible explanations for better outcomes of females in many diseases, like in sepsis patients [24]. Alternatively, it is possible that male patients present with more serious reasons for admission





**Fig. 1.** The first panel shows mean adjusted in-hospital mortality with 95% Confidence intervals for men and women per triage category, according to the Manchester Triage System or the Dutch Triage Standard. The multivariable model was adjusted for age, presenting complaints, disease severity, vital signs, and proxies for comorbidities/complexity. Panel B shows mean adjusted Intensive Care Unit/Medium Care Unit admission per triage category in men and women.

despite similar presenting complaints and vital signs. Male patients had higher ICU admissions than females, which may illustrate that they were more often non-responders to ED treatment, which is a measure of disease severity not captured in the initial variables. In addition, similar presenting complaints could represent more severe diseases in males. However, females are more likely to present with nonspecific complaints in for example acute myocardial infarction or stroke[2,25]. Atypical presentation of disease was associated with adverse outcome [26]. Consequently, one would expect that nonspecific presenting complaints like feeling unwell, palpitations, or dyspnea would most likely represent serious disease in women with consequently higher risk for bad outcomes. In contrast we found lower risks for women, also in these subgroups. Furthermore, we showed that for each presenting complaint, diagnoses were comparable between men and women. Alternatively, we cannot exclude the possibility that differences in presenting complaints between males and females may lead to a different risk estimation in triage systems resulting in delayed initial management in the ED for males.

Differences in ED treatment may be another possible explanation for outcome differences between men and women. Also, males with similar vital signs may be sicker than females due to differences in reference values. For example, a seemingly normal blood pressure in men may actually be relative hypotension, due to higher incidence of hypertension in males, causing end-organ hypoperfusion leading to adverse Mean adjusted in-hospital mortality (%)





Top 10 presenting complaints

**Fig. 2.** The first panel shows mean adjusted in-hospital mortality with 95% Confidence intervals for men and women per top 10 presenting complaints, according to the Manchester Triage System or the Dutch Triage Standard. The multivariable model was adjusted for age, presenting complaints, disease severity, vital signs, and proxies for comorbidities/complexity. Panel B shows mean adjusted Intensive Care Unit/Medium Care Unit admission per top 10 presenting complaints in men and women.

outcome [27]. If so, risk scores such as the triage system, which are mainly based on vital signs, may have different associations with clinical outcomes for men and women. In our study, in the non-urgent triage category, the absolute risk difference between men and women was only 0.06% while in the most-urgent triage category the difference was 3.8%, which suggests that disease severity is not equally valued in triage systems. Possibly, the same is true for other risk scores such as the NEWS, SIRS or PIRO score. In daily practice, risk stratification tools are used to guide treatment and disposition decisions. For example, in chest pain, the HEART and TIMI scores are used to identify low-risk patients who could be safely discharged from the ED [28,29]. Studies that evaluated the HEART and TIMI score independently in men and women with chest pain, found a two times higher six-week risk for major adverse cardiac events in men than in women with low risk scores [29,30]. By using risk stratification tools in the ED, like the HEART or TIMI score, or triage systems, physicians may not recognize potential sex differences in disease severity, which may cause inappropriate disposition decisions (i.e. to a ward instead of to ICU) or wrong initial treatment. Our findings suggest that male sex is an independent risk factor for adverse outcome, and thus, including male sex in scoring systems may improve risk stratification.

Only in patients with headache as chief complaint, women had an increased risk compared to men. It is known that there is an increased risk for subarachnoid hemorrhage in women compared to men, and that women have an increased risk of death due to stroke [3]. Unfortunately, in our dataset, we had no information on incidence of stroke in patients presenting with headache.

Our study has implications for clinical practice. First, sex differences in outcome cannot be explained by differences in age, presenting complaints, or disease severity before ED treatment. Secondly, in future studies, risk stratification tools and acute care guidelines may be improved if sex is implemented as a predictor of adverse outcomes, or if different risk scores are used for men and women, which is currently not done [13–15,31].

Despite several strengths like the large sample size and a multicenter design, this study has several limitations. First, the NEED did not contain information about comorbidities/complexity or frailty scores. To overcome this, we used variables that are associated with comorbidities and complexity [18]. Secondly, we had to assume that patients discharged from the ED did not have adverse outcomes as we did not follow-up on them. Nonetheless, we expect this would be similar for both sexes as 7-day ED revisit was also similar. Lastly, the observational nature of our study could have been subjected to errors of documentation and data entry, although this was largely automatized which minimalized accidental misregistration.

In summary, male ED patients had higher risks for crude and adjusted in-hospital mortality and high dependency care unit admission compared to female ED patients, while patient characteristics at ED arrival were roughly similar. Furthermore, in all triage categories and with almost all presenting complaints, men had higher risks than women. Future studies should investigate reasons for higher risk in male ED patients, and whether sex-adjusted risk scores aid to identify patients at risk which may improve outcomes.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

Bart GJ Candel: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. Saimi Dap: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. Wouter Raven: Writing – review & editing. Heleen Lameijer: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. Menno I Gaakeer: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. Evert de Jonge: Writing – review & editing. Bas de Groot: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing.

#### Funding

BC, BdG received financial funding from the Dutch Emergency Medicine Research Fund (SGO-fonds, award number NA). The other authors declared no external funding was received for this study.

## Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2021.09.001.

#### References

- McGregor AJ, Beauchamp GA, Wira CR, Perman SM, Safdar B. Sex as a biological variable in emergency medicine research and clinical practice: a brief narrative review. West J Emerg Med 2017;18(6):1079.
- [2] Coventry LL, Finn J, Bremner AP. Sex differences in symptom presentation in acute myocardial infarction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart Lung 2011;40 (6):477–91.
- [3] Reeves MJ, Bushnell CD, Howard G, et al. Sex differences in stroke: epidemiology, clinical presentation, medical care, and outcomes. Lancet Neurol 2008;7(10): 915–26.

- [4] Washington DL, Bird CE. Sex differences in disease presentation in the emergency department. Ann Emerg Med 2002;40(5):461–3.
- [5] Hubert H, Jaeger D, Baert V, et al. Effect of gender on out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survival: a registry-based study. Eur J Emerg Med 2021;28(1):50–7.
- [6] Blom MT, Oving J, Berdowski J, van Valkengoed IG, Bardai A, Tan HL. Women have lower chances than men to be resuscitated and survive out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Eur Heart J 2019;40(47):3824–34.
- [7] Wenham C, Smith J, Morgan R. COVID-19: the gendered impacts of the outbreak. Lancet 2020;395(10227):846–8.
- [8] Teubner DJ, Considine J, Hakendorf P, Kim S, Bersten AD. Model to predict inpatient mortality from information gathered at presentation to an emergency department: the triage information mortality model (TIMM). Emerg Med Aust 2015;27(4):300–6.
- [9] Xie F, Liu N, Wu SX, et al. Novel model for predicting inpatient mortality after emergency admission to hospital in Singapore: retrospective observational study. BMJ Open 2019;9(9):e031382.
- [10] Beglinger B, Rohacek M, Ackermann S, et al. Physician's first clinical impression of emergency department patients with nonspecific complaints is associated with morbidity and mortality. Medicine 2015;94(7):e374.
- [11] Ha DT, Dang TQ, Tran NV, Pham TN, Nguyen ND, Nguyen TV. Development and validation of a prognostic model for predicting 30-day mortality risk in medical patients in emergency department (ED). Sci Rep 2017;7(1):1–10.
- [12] Safdar B, Ona Ayala KE, Ali SS, et al. Inclusion of sex and gender in emergency medicine research–a 2018 update. Acad Emerg Med 2019;26(3):293–302.
- [13] Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock: 2016. Intensive Care Med 2017;43(3):304–77.
- [14] American College of S, Committee on T. ATLS : advanced trauma life support for doctors : student course manual. Chicago, IL: American College of Surgeons; 2008.
- [15] Adams Jr HP, Zoppo GD, Alberts MJ, et al. Guidelines for the early management of adults with ischemic stroke: a guideline from the American Heart Association/ American Stroke Association Stroke Council, Clinical Cardiology Council, Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention Council, and the Atherosclerotic Peripheral Vascular Disease and Quality of Care Outcomes in Research Interdisciplinary Working Groups: the American Academy of Neurology affirms the value of this guideline as an educational tool for neurologists. Stroke. 2007;38(5): 1655–711.
- [16] De Hond A, Raven W, Schinkelshoek L, et al. Machine learning for developing a prediction model of hospital admission of Emergency Department patients: hype or hope? Int J Med Inform 2021;152:104496.
- [17] RoyalCollegeofPhysicians. National early warning score 2. standardizing the assessment of acute-illness severity in the NHS. National Early Warning Score (NEWS); 2012.
- [18] van der Veen D, Remeijer C, Fogteloo AJ, Heringhaus C, de Groot B. Independent determinants of prolonged Emergency Department length of stay in a tertiary care center: a prospective cohort study. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2018;26(1): 81.
- [19] OECDHealthStatistics. Eurostat Database. 2020.
- [20] Barba R, Marco J, Canora J, et al. Prolonged length of stay in hospitalized internal medicine patients. Eur J Intern Med 2015;26(10):772–5.
- [21] Vittinghoff E, McCulloch CE. Relaxing the rule of ten events per variable in logistic and cox regression. Am J Epidemiol 2007;165(6):710–8.
- [22] Candel B.G., Duijzer R., Gaakeer M.I., de Jonge E., de Groot B.. The association between vital signs and relevant clinical outcomes in Emergency Department patients of different age categories. Unpublished Data. 2021.
- [23] van der Veen D, Heringhaus C, de Groot B. Appropriateness, reasons and independent predictors of consultations in the Emergency Department (ED) of a Dutch tertiary care center: a prospective cohort study. PLoS ONE 2016;11(2): e0149079.
- [24] Angele MK, Pratschke S, Hubbard WJ, Chaudry IH. Gender differences in sepsis: cardiovascular and immunological aspects. Virulence 2014;5(1):12–9.
- [25] Labiche LA, Chan W, Saldin KR, Morgenstern LB. Sex and acute stroke presentation. Ann Emerg Med 2002;40(5):453–60.
- [26] El-Menyar A, Zubaid M, Sulaiman K, et al. Atypical presentation of acute coronary syndrome: a significant independent predictor of in-hospital mortality. J Cardiol 2011;57(2):165–71.
- [27] Sandberg K, Ji H. Sex differences in primary hypertension. Biol Sex Differ 2012;3 (1):1–21.
- [28] Backus BE, Six AJ, Kelder JC, et al. Chest pain in the emergency room: a multicenter validation of the HEART Score. Crit Pathw Cardiol 2010;9(3):164–9.
- [29] Karounos M, Chang AM, Robey JL, et al. TIMI risk score: does it work equally well in both males and females? Emerg Med J 2007;24(7):471–4.
- [30] Bank IE, de Hoog VC, de Kleijn DP, et al. Sex-based differences in the performance of the HEART score in patients presenting to the Emergency Department with acute chest pain. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6(6):e005373.
- [31] Hinson JS, Martinez DA, Cabral S, et al. Triage performance in emergency medicine: a systematic review. Ann Emerg Med 2019;74(1):140–52.