



## Early View

Original research article

### **Effects of intubation timing in patients with COVID-19 throughout the four waves of the pandemic: a matched analysis**

Jordi Riera, Enric Barbeta, Adrián Tormos, Ricard Mellado-Artigas, Adrián Ceccato, Anna Motos, Laia Fernández-Barat, Ricard Ferrer, Darío García-Gasulla, Oscar Peñuelas, José Ángel Lorente, Rosario Menéndez, Oriol Roca, Andrea Palomeque, Carlos Ferrando, Jordi Solé-Violán, Mariana Novo, María Victoria Boado, Luis Tamayo, Ángel Estella, Cristóbal Galban, Josep Trenado, Arturo Huerta, Ana Loza, Luciano Aguilera, José Luís García Garmendia, Carme Barberà, Víctor Gumucio, Lorenzo Socias, Nieves Franco, Luis Jorge Valdivia, Pablo Vidal, Víctor Sagredo, Ángela Leonor Ruiz-García, Ignacio Martínez Varela, Juan López, Juan Carlos Pozo, Maite Nieto, José M Gómez, Aaron Blandino, Manuel Valledor, Elena Bustamante-Munguira, Ángel Sánchez-Miralles, Yhivian Peñasco, José Barberán, Alejandro Ubeda, Rosario Amaya-Villar, María Cruz Martín, Ruth Jorge, Jesús Caballero, Judith Marin, José Manuel Añón, Fernando Suárez Sipmann, Guillermo Muñiz, Álvaro Castellanos-Ortega, Berta Adell-Serrano, Mercedes Catalán, Amalia Martínez de la Gándara, Pilar Ricart, Cristina Carbajales, Alejandro Rodríguez, Emili Díaz, Mari C de la Torre, Elena Gallego, Luisa Cantón-Bulnes, Nieves Carbonell, Jessica González, David de Gonzalo-Calvo, Ferran Barbé, Antoni Torres, on behalf of the CiberesUCICOVID Consortium

Please cite this article as: Riera J, Barbeta E, Tormos A, *et al.* Effects of intubation timing in patients with COVID-19 throughout the four waves of the pandemic: a matched analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2022; in press (<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01426-2022>).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *European Respiratory Journal*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJ online.

Copyright ©The authors 2022. This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact [permissions@ersnet.org](mailto:permissions@ersnet.org)

# **Effects of intubation timing in patients with COVID-19 throughout the four waves of the pandemic: a matched analysis**

Jordi Riera\*<sup>1,2</sup>; Enric Barbeta\*<sup>2,3,4</sup>; Adrián Tormos<sup>5</sup>; Ricard Mellado-Artigas<sup>2,3</sup>; Adrián Ceccato<sup>6</sup>; Anna Motos<sup>4</sup>; Laia Fernández-Barat<sup>4</sup>; Ricard Ferrer<sup>1</sup>; Darío García-Gasulla<sup>5</sup>; Oscar Peñuelas<sup>7</sup>; José Ángel Lorente<sup>7</sup>; Rosario Menéndez<sup>8</sup>; Oriol Roca<sup>1,2</sup>; Andrea Palomeque<sup>4,9</sup>; Carlos Ferrando<sup>2,3</sup>; Jordi Solé-Violán<sup>10</sup>; Mariana Novo<sup>11</sup>; María Victoria Boado<sup>12</sup>; Luis Tamayo<sup>13</sup>; Ángel Estella<sup>14</sup>; Cristóbal Galban<sup>15</sup>; Josep Trenado<sup>16</sup>; Arturo Huerta<sup>17</sup>; Ana Loza<sup>18</sup>; Luciano Aguilera<sup>19</sup>; José LuíS García Garmendia<sup>20</sup>; Carme Barberà<sup>21</sup>; Víctor Gumucio<sup>22</sup>; Lorenzo Socias<sup>23</sup>; Nieves Franco<sup>24</sup>; Luis Jorge Valdivia<sup>25</sup>; Pablo Vidal<sup>26</sup>; Víctor Sagredo<sup>27</sup>; Ángela Leonor Ruiz-García<sup>28</sup>; Ignacio Martínez Varela<sup>29</sup>; Juan López<sup>30</sup>; Juan Carlos Pozo<sup>31</sup>; Maite Nieto<sup>32</sup>; José M Gómez<sup>33</sup>; Aaron Blandino<sup>34</sup>; Manuel Valledor<sup>35</sup>; Elena Bustamante-Munguira<sup>36</sup>; Ángel Sánchez-Miralles<sup>37</sup>; Yhivian Peñasco<sup>38</sup>; José Barberán<sup>39</sup>; Alejandro Ubeda<sup>40</sup>; Rosario Amaya-Villar<sup>41</sup>; María Cruz Martín<sup>42</sup>; Ruth Jorge<sup>43</sup>; Jesús Caballero<sup>44</sup>; Judith Marin<sup>45</sup>; José Manuel Añón<sup>46</sup>; Fernando Suárez Sipmann<sup>47</sup>; Guillermo Muñiz<sup>2,48</sup>; Álvaro Castellanos-Ortega<sup>49</sup>; Berta Adell-Serrano<sup>50</sup>; Mercedes Catalán<sup>51</sup>; Amalia Martínez de la Gándara<sup>52</sup>; Pilar Ricart<sup>53</sup>; Cristina Carbajales<sup>54</sup>; Alejandro Rodríguez<sup>55</sup>; Emili Díaz<sup>6</sup>; Mari C de la Torre<sup>56</sup>; Elena Gallego<sup>57</sup>; Luisa Cantón-Bulnes<sup>58</sup>; Nieves Carbonell<sup>59</sup>; Jessica González<sup>60</sup>; David de Gonzalo-Calvo<sup>60</sup>; Ferran Barbé<sup>60</sup> and Antoni Torres<sup>2,4,9</sup> on behalf of the CiberesUCICOVID Consortium.

## **Affiliations:**

1. Critical Care Department, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron; SODIR, Vall d'Hebron Institut de Recerca, Barcelona, Spain.
2. CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.
3. Surgical Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.
4. Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi I Sunyer (IDIBAPS), University of Barcelona (UB), Barcelona, Spain.

5. Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC), Barcelona, Spain.
6. Critical Care Center, Parc Taulí Hospital Universitari, Institut d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí I3PT, Sabadell, Spain. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Spain.
7. Hospital Universitario de Getafe, Universidad Europea, Madrid, Spain.
8. Pneumology Department, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe/Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria (IIS) La Fe, 46026 Valencia, Spain; Pneumology Department, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Avda, Fernando Abril Martorell 106, 46026 Valencia, Spain.
9. Respiratory Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.
10. Critical Care Department, Hospital Dr. Negrín Gran Canaria. Universidad Fernando Pessoa. Las Palmas, Gran Canaria, Spain.
11. Servei de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitari Son Espases, Palma de Mallorca, Illes Balears, Spain.
12. Hospital Universitario de Cruces, Barakaldo, Spain.
13. Critical Care Department, Hospital Universitario Río Hortega de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain.
14. Departamento Medicina Facultad Medicina Universidad de Cádiz. Hospital Universitario de Jerez, Jerez de la Frontera, Spain.
15. Department of Medicine, CHUS, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago, Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
16. Servicio de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitario Mútua de Terrassa, Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain.
17. Pulmonary and Critical Care Division; Emergency Department, Clínica Sagrada Família, Barcelona, Spain.
18. Hospital Virgen de Valme, Sevilla, Spain.
19. Hospital de Basurto, Bilbao, Spain.
20. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital San Juan de Dios del Aljarafe, Bormujos, Sevilla, Spain.
21. Hospital Santa Maria; IRBLleida, Lleida, Spain
22. Department of Intensive Care. Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona,

- Spain. Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain.
23. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Son Llàtzer, Palma de Mallorca, Illes Balears, Spain.
  24. Hospital Universitario de Móstoles, Madrid, Spain.
  25. Hospital Universitario de León, León, Spain.
  26. Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Ourense, Ourense, Spain.
  27. Hospital Universitario de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain.
  28. Servicio de Microbiología Clínica, Hospital Universitario Príncipe de Asturias – Departamento de Biomedicina y Biotecnología, Universidad de Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain.
  29. Critical Care Department, Hospital Universitario Lucus Augusti, Lugo, Spain.
  30. Complejo Asistencial Universitario de Palencia, Palencia, Spain.
  31. UGC-Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofia, Instituto Maimonides IMIBIC, Córdoba, Spain.
  32. Hospital Universitario de Segovia, Segovia, Spain.
  33. Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain.
  34. Servicio de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain.
  35. Hospital Universitario "San Agustín", Avilés, Spain.
  36. Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Hospital Clínico Universitario Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain.
  37. Servicio de Medicina Intensiva. Hospital Universitario Sant Joan d'Alacant, Alicante, Spain
  38. Servicio de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain.
  39. Hospital Universitario HM Montepíncipe, Universidad San Pablo-CEU, Madrid, Spain.
  40. Servicio de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Punta de Europa, Algeciras, Spain.
  41. Intensive Care Clinical Unit, Hospital Universitario Virgen de Rocío, Sevilla, Spain.
  42. Hospital Universitario Torrejón- Universidad Francisco de Vitoria, Madrid, Spain.
  43. Intensive Care Department, Hospital Nuestra Señora de Gracia, Zaragoza, Spain.
  44. Critical Care Department, Hospital Universitari Arnau de Vilanova; IRBLleida, Lleida, Spain.
  45. Critical Care Department, Hospital del Mar-IMIM, Barcelona, Spain.
  46. Hospital Universitario la Paz, Madrid, Spain.

47. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Universitario La Princesa, Madrid, Spain.
48. Departamento de Biología Funcional. Instituto Universitario de Oncología del Principado de Asturias, Universidad de Oviedo; Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Principado de Asturias, Hospital Central de Asturias, Oviedo, Spain.
49. Hospital Universitario y Politécnico la Fe, Valencia, Spain.
50. Hospital de Tortosa Verge de la Cinta, Tortosa, Tarragona, Spain.
51. Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain.
52. Hospital Universitario Infanta Leonor, Madrid, Spain.
53. Servei de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias, Badalona, Spain.
54. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Álvaro Cunqueiro, Vigo, Spain.
55. Hospital Universitari Joan XXIII de Tarragona, Tarragona, Spain.
56. Hospital de Mataró de Barcelona, Spain.
57. Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos, Hospital Universitario San Pedro de Alcántara, Cáceres, Spain.
58. Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos, Hospital Virgen Macarena, Sevilla, Spain.
59. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Clínico y Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain.
60. Translational Research in Respiratory Medicine, Respiratory Department, Hospital Universitari Aranu de Vilanova and Santa Maria, IRBLleida, Lleida, Spain.

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Funding:** Financial support was provided by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III de Madrid (COV20/00110, ISCIII), Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER), "Una manera de hacer Europa", and the Centro de Investigación Biomedica En Red – Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES). DdGC has received financial support from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (Miguel Servet 2020: CP20/00041), co-funded by European Social Fund (ESF)/"Investing in your future".

**Contributions:** AT, JR, EB AM, LFB and AC participated in protocol development, study design, study management, statistical analysis and data interpretation. AT and DG participated in statistical analysis and data interpretation. AP, OP, JAL, CF, RMA, FB, JBM, RL, LB and RF participated in study design, study management and interpretation, and critical review of the first report draft. OR participated in data interpretation and critical review of the manuscript. CiberesUCICOVID consortium participated in data collection. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Conflicts of interest:** Authors declare no conflicts of interest. OR discloses a research grant from Hamilton Medical AG; speaker fees from Hamilton Medical AG, Ambu, Fisher & Paykel Ltd. and Aerogen Ltd.; and non-financial research support from Timpel and Masimo. RMA reports speaker fees from Medtronic and Fisher & Paykel—all outside the submitted work.

**Consent for publication:** not applicable.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate:** The study was approved by the Institution's Internal Review Board (Comité Ètic d'Investigació Clínica, registry number HCB/2020/0370) and informed consent was obtained from either patients or their relatives.

**Corresponding author:** Prof. Antoni Torres Martí.

Email: [atorres@clinic.cat](mailto:atorres@clinic.cat)

**Acknowledgements:** CIBERESUCICOVID Collaborators to be included as individual members of the CIBERESUCICOVID group. Immaculada Salvador-Adell, Alexander Agrifoglio, María Aguilar Cabello, Luciano Aguilera, Victoria Alcaraz-Serrano, Cesar Aldecoa, Cynthia Alegre, Sergio Álvarez, Antonjo Álvarez Ruiz, Rut Andrea, José Ángel, Marta Arrieta, J Ignacio Ayestarán, Joan Ramon Badía, Mariona Badía, Orville Báez Pravia, Ana Balan Mariño, Begoña Balsera, Laura Barbena, Tommaso Bardi, Patricia Barral Segade, Marta Barroso, José Ángel Berezo García, Judit Bigas, Rafael Blancas, María Luisa Blasco

Cortés, María Boado, María Bodi Saera, Neus Bofill, María Teresa Bouza Vieiro, Leticia Bueno, Juan Bustamante-Munguira, Lucia Cachafeiro, David Campi Hermoso, Sandra Campos Fernández, Iosune Cano, Maria Luisa Cantón-Bulnes, Pablo Cardina Fernández, Laura Carrión García, Sula Carvalho, Núria Casacuberta-Barberà, Manuel Castellà, Andrea Castellví, Pedro Castro, Ramon Cicuendez Ávila, Catia Cillóniz, Luisa Clar, Cristina Climent, Jordi Codina, Pamela Conde, Sofía Contreras, María Cruz Martin, Raul de Pablo Sánchez, Diego De Mendoza, Cecilia del Busto Martínez, Yolanda Díaz, María Digna Rivas Vilas, Cristina Dólera Moreno, Irene Dot, Pedro Enríquez Giraudo, Inés Esmorís Arijón, Teresa Farre Monjo, Javier Fernández, Carlos Ferrando, Albert Figueras, Eva Forcadell-Ferrerres, Lorena Forcelledo Espina, Nieves Franco, Àngels Furro, Felipe García, Beatriz García, Emilio García Prieto, Carlos García Redruello, Amaia García Sagastume, Maria Luisa Gascón Castillo, Gemma Gomà, Vanesa Gómez Casal, Silvia Gómez, Carmen Gómez Gonzalez, Jessica González, Federico Gordo, Maria Pilar Gracia, Alba Herraiz, Rubén Herrán-Monge, Mercedes Ibarz, Silvia Iglesias, Maria Teresa Janer, Gabriel Jiménez, Mar Juan Díaz, Karsa Kiarostami, Juan I Lazo Álvarez, Miguel León, Alexandre López-Gavín, Ana López Lago, Desire Macias Guerrero, Nuria Mamolar Herrera, Rafael Mañez Mendiluce, Cecilia L Mantellini, Gregorio Marco Naya, Pilar Marcos, Enrique Marmol Peis, Paula Martín Vicente, María Martínez, Carmen Eulalia Martínez Fernández, Maria Dolores Martínez Juan, Juan Fernando Masa Jimenez, Joan Ramon Masclans, Emilio Maseda, Eva María Menor Fernández, Mar Miralbés, Josman Monclou, Juan Carlos Montejo-González, Neus Montserrat, María Mora Aznar, Pedro Moral-Parras, Dulce Morales, Sara Guadalupe Moreno Cano, David Mosquera Rodríguez, Rosana Muñoz-Bermúdez, José María Nicolás, Ramon Nogue Bou, Rafaela Nogueras Salinas, Marta Ocón, Ana Ortega, Sergio Ossa, Pablo Pagliarani, Anna Parera Pous, Francisco Parrilla, Leire Pérez Bastida, Purificación Pérez, Gloria Pérez Planelles, Eva Pérez Rubio, David Pestaña Laguna, Esther Sauras-Colón, Javier Prados, Andrés Pujol, Núria Ramon Coll, Gloria Renedo Sanchez-Giron, Ferran Roche-Campo, Laura Rodriguez, Felipe Rodríguez de Castro, Silvia Rodríguez, Covadonga Rodríguez Ruiz, Jorge Rubio, Alberto Rubio López, Miriam Ruiz Miralles, Pablo Ryan Murúa, Eva Saborido Paz, Ana Salazar Degracia, Miguel Sanchez, Ana Sánchez, Bitor Santacoloma, Maria Teresa Sariñena, Marta Segura Pensado, Lidia Serra, Mireia Serra-Fortuny, Ainhoa Serrano Lázaro, Lluís Servià, Laura Soliva, Carla Speziale, Daniel Tognetti, Adrián Tormos, Mateu Torres, Sandra Trefler, Javier

Trujillano, Alejandro Úbeda, Luis Urrelo-Cerrón, Estela Val, Luis Valdivia Ruiz, Montse Vallverdú, Maria Van der Hofstadt Martin-Montalvo, Sabela Vara Adrio, Nil Vázquez, Javier Vengoechea, Pablo Vidal Cortes, Clara Vilà-Vilardel, Judit Vilanova, Tatiana Villada Warrington, Hua Yang, Minlan Yang, Ana Zapatero, Jesús F Bermejo-Martín.



**Abstract:**

**Background:** The primary aim of our study was to investigate the association between intubation timing and hospital mortality in critically ill patients with COVID-19-associated respiratory failure. We also analysed both the impact of such timing throughout the first four pandemic waves and the influence of prior non-invasive respiratory support on outcomes.

**Methods:** This is a secondary analysis of a multicentre, observational and prospective cohort study that included all consecutive patients undergoing invasive mechanical ventilation due to COVID-19 from across 58 Spanish intensive care units (ICU) participating in the CIBERESUCICOVID project. The study period was between 29 February 2020 and 31 August 2021. Early intubation was defined as that occurring within the first 24 hours of intensive care unit (ICU) admission. Propensity score (PS) matching was used to achieve balance across baseline variables between the early intubation cohort and those patients who were intubated after the first 24 hours of ICU admission. Differences in outcomes between early and delayed intubation were also assessed. We performed sensitivity analyses to consider a different timepoint (48 hours from ICU admission) for early and delayed intubation.

**Results:** Of the 2725 patients who received invasive mechanical ventilation, a total of 614 matched patients were included in the analysis (307 for each group). In the unmatched population, there were no differences in mortality between the early and delayed groups. After PS matching, patients with delayed intubation presented higher hospital mortality (27.3% vs. 37.1%,  $p=0.01$ ), ICU mortality (25.7% vs. 36.1%,  $p=0.007$ ) and 90-day mortality (30.9% vs. 40.2%,  $p=0.02$ ) when compared to the early intubation group. Very similar findings were observed when we used a 48-hour timepoint for early or delayed intubation. The use of early intubation decreased after the first wave of the pandemic (72%, 49%, 46% and 45% in the first, second, third and fourth wave, respectively; first vs. second, third and fourth waves  $p < 0.001$ ). In both the main and sensitivity analyses, hospital mortality was lower in patients receiving high-flow nasal cannula ( $n=294$ ) who were intubated earlier. The subgroup of patients undergoing NIV ( $n=214$ ) before intubation showed higher mortality when delayed intubation was set as that occurring after 48 hours from ICU admission, but not when after 24 hours.

**Conclusions:** In patients with COVID-19 requiring invasive mechanical ventilation, delayed intubation was associated with a higher risk of hospital mortality. The use of early intubation significantly decreased throughout the course of the pandemic. Benefits of such an approach occurred more notably in patients who had received high-flow nasal cannula.

**Key words:** COVID-19, intubation timing, early intubation, delayed intubation.

**Take-home message:** In patients who require intubation and invasive mechanical ventilation due to COVID-19-associated acute respiratory failure, delays in implementation may increase the risk of mortality.

## **Introduction**

Debate has arisen as it relates to determining when to start invasive mechanical ventilation (MV) in critically ill patients with coronavirus infectious disease 2019 (COVID-19) presenting with respiratory failure [1-3]. Clinicians who advocate treating such patients with non-invasive respiratory support [i.e., high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC), non-invasive ventilation (NIV) and continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP)] argue that this strategy may avoid intubation and minimise the likelihood of well-known complications associated with invasive MV [2,3]. On the other hand, increasing time on non-invasive support with spontaneous ventilation has been shown to possibly put patients at risk of self-inflicted lung injury (PSILI) and increase their chances of death if invasive MV is finally needed [4,5]. In randomised clinical trials, NIV and CPAP have shown to reduce intubation requirements in patients with COVID-19-associated acute respiratory failure [6,7]. However, patients in whom such interventions failed presented a longer time elapsing since the start of non-invasive respiratory support to intubation [6]. It remains unknown whether this delay in invasive MV start worsens clinical outcomes. Whilst there have been investigations exploring intubation timing and clinical outcomes, results have been conflicting. Some studies in which intubation was delayed have reported an association with worse outcomes [5, 8], whereas others have found none [9].

The main objective of this study was to compare the risk of hospital mortality between patients intubated within the first 24 hours of ICU admission (early intubation) and those intubated after that time frame (delayed intubation). As a secondary objective, we analysed changes in these practices and their impact throughout the initial four pandemic waves in Spain. Furthermore, we investigated whether the prior use of NIV or HFNC had any influence on mortality between the early and delayed intubation groups. Finally, we performed a sensitivity analysis considering a different timepoint (intubation within or after 48 hours from ICU admission) for early and delayed intubation.

## **Materials and Methods**

### *Study design*

This is a secondary analysis of a multicentre, observational and prospective cohort study that included all consecutive patients undergoing invasive MV due to COVID-19 from across 58 Spanish intensive care units (ICU) participating in the CIBERESUCICOVID project (NCT04457505) (details of participating centres in Table 1, supplemental material). The study period was between 29 February 2020 and 31 August 2021. Two cohorts were established: early intubation and delayed intubation. The former was defined as those patients receiving the procedure within the first 24 hours of ICU admission, whilst the latter as those receiving the procedure after the first day of ICU admission. Clinical outcomes were compared between the early and delayed intubation groups. Moreover, we analysed the influence of the Spanish epidemic waves on the proportion of patients intubated within or after 24 hours of ICU admission, determining whether these different periods had any impact on outcomes. We also did a subgroup analysis based on the non-invasive respiratory support used prior to intubation and performed sensitivity analyses considering a 48-hour timepoint (intubation within or after 48 hours from ICU admission) to explore other understandings of early or delayed intubation. Table 2 (supplemental material) lists the periods that comprised each wave.

The study was approved by the Institution's Internal Review Board (Comité Ètic d'Investigació Clínica, registry number HCB/2020/0370). De-identified data were collected and stored in Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap). Trained local researchers incorporated data from patients' medical records into a separate database. Prior to statistical analyses, three independent and experienced data collectors trained in critical care (PC, AM, CS) reviewed the data; in cases of query, site investigators were contacted. Missing analyses were performed, and site investigators were approached to obtain as much reliable and complete data as possible.

### *Study population and data collection*

Inclusion criteria comprised the following characteristics: age  $\geq$  18 years; ICU admission and a confirmed diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 by real-time reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) testing on nasopharyngeal swabs or lower respiratory tract aspirates. Exclusion criteria included the following: no requirement for orotracheal intubation; requirement for emergent intubation at hospital admission or outside of the ICU; no available data at either baseline or hospital discharge; and ICU admission due to other reasons (Figure 1).

After enrolment, prior epidemiologic data regarding demographics, comorbidities, clinical symptoms and disease chronology were recorded. Site researchers subsequently collected data acquired at hospital and ICU admission. Follow-up was extended to death or hospital discharge. Data registered included vital signs; non-invasive respiratory support devices (i.e., conventional oxygen, HFNC and NIV); the use of adjunctive therapies (i.e., prone position); laboratory findings and arterial blood gases. We, furthermore, collected data on pharmacologic treatments administered at and during hospital or ICU admission until either ICU or hospital discharge, or death. Worst event values were preferentially recorded.

### *Objectives and outcomes*

The primary aim of this study was to evaluate hospital mortality in relation to intubation timing implemented (i.e., early or delayed intubation). For secondary objectives, we analysed differences in ICU and 90-day mortality; duration of both ICU admission and invasive MV; and the need for rescue therapies. We also evaluated changes in intubation timing and their respective effects throughout the pandemic. Finally, we assessed both primary and secondary outcomes, considering the type of non-invasive respiratory support used before intubation.

### *Statistical analysis*

Number and percentage of patients were reported as categorical variables, whilst the median (first quartile–third quartile) as continuous variables. Percentages were calculated, excluding missing data. Categorical variables were compared using either the Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test, whereas

continuous variables were compared using the nonparametric Mann–Whitney U test or the parametric T-test.

Propensity score (PS) matching [10,11] was used to achieve balance between the early and delayed intubation groups. To match the two cohorts in both the 24- and 48-hour timepoint analyses, we used a 1:1 nearest-neighbour matching, without replacement and within a caliper width of 0.005 for the general population and 0.05 for subgroup analyses. The propensity score was determined—irrespective of outcome—using a multivariable logistic regression to predict the influence of several predetermined variables on early/delayed intubation. Variables were chosen for inclusion in PS calculations according to methods set forth by Brookhart et al. [12]. Criteria to include variables in this model were based on those that could affect the likelihood of outcome occurrence and study treatments to be received. When determining independent variables to predict the likelihood of intubation within the first 24 hours of ICU admission or afterwards, we selected age, sex, chronic immunosuppression, respiratory rate at hospital admission,  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2$  at hospital admission (categorised as  $>300$  mmHg;  $200$  mmHg  $< \text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2 \leq 300$  mmHg;  $100$  mmHg  $< \text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2 \leq 200$  mmHg;  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2 \leq 100$  mmHg), time from hospital admission to ICU admission ( $\leq$  or  $> 2$  days), treatment with corticosteroids for COVID-19, and COVID-19 wave. An adequate model fit with calibration of the PS was demonstrated by logistic modelling that included covariates (24-hour timepoint: goodness-of-fit,  $p = 0.28$  for general population;  $p = 0.16$  for HFNC and  $p = 0.13$  for NIV; 48-hour timepoint: goodness-of-fit,  $p = 0.07$  for general population;  $p = 0.53$  for HFNC and  $p = 0.08$  for NIV). Proper adjustment was assessed with standardised mean differences (SMD) in the matched population, whilst covariate imbalance was defined with an SMD threshold  $> 0.2$  [13].

First, to evaluate the effect of timing of intubation on in-hospital mortality, a logistic regression model was used in the matched population; odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated. Then, to describe in-hospital mortality, we also employed a competing risk model [14], considering hospital discharge as a competing risk for mortality. Survival curves for patients with early and delayed intubation were obtained and compared using the cumulative incidence function and Gray's test, respectively [15]. Patients who were transferred to another hospital were censored in the survival analyses.

Finally, we performed exploratory subgroup analyses for the type of non-invasive respiratory support used before intubation and each epidemic wave in Spain.

The level of significance was set at 0.05 (two-tailed), and all statistical analyses were performed with Python 3.7 and R version 4.0.3.

## **Results**

During the study period, 7301 patients required admission to participating ICUs. Of these, 2835 were not intubated; 1741 were excluded for other reasons (Figure 1). The study, therefore, included a total of 2725 subjects, of whom 1694 received early intubation and 1031 received delayed intubation.

### *Characteristics of the population*

Median age was 64 (56-71) years, and most patients were male (71.2%). More than half of the cohort (57.9%) was recruited during the first wave of the pandemic. The most frequent comorbidity was hypertension (52.6%). Chronic immunosuppression was present in 523 (19.1%) patients, and most patients (86.5%) received corticosteroids at ICU admission for COVID-19. Despite this, patients exhibited a high inflammatory response and lymphopenia. At hospital admission, median  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2$  and respiratory rate were 219 (128; 281) mmHg and 24 (20; 30) breaths per minute, respectively. At this time point, 576 (21.6%) and 346 (12.8%) patients received support with HFNC and NIV, respectively. Table 1 describes the characteristics of the cohort according to intubation timing. In summary, those receiving early intubation were older and less chronically immunocompromised. They also presented a slightly lower body mass index. At hospital admission,  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2$  of patients intubated early was slightly higher and respiratory rate mildly lower. Time since symptom onset to intubation was shorter in the early intubation group.

### *Mortality according to intubation timing*

Overall hospital mortality was 37.6%. The unmatched analysis found no differences in hospital mortality—37.8% for patients receiving early intubation and 37.2% for those receiving delayed intubation ( $p=0.77$ ). Patients with a similar probability of belonging to the early or delayed intubation group were selected based on the variables chosen for PS matching. After excluding patients with missing values in the variables used for the PS, we identified a cohort of 307 cases and 307 controls. Time since both symptom onset and hospital admission to intubation was two days more in the delayed intubation group. In the matched cohort, hospital mortality in patients receiving delayed intubation was significantly higher (37.1% vs. 27.3%,  $p=0.01$ ). Logistic regression analyses revealed that, in comparison with delayed intubation,



intubation within the first 24 hours of ICU admission was associated with a reduction in hospital mortality risk; the odds ratio was 0.63 (95% CI 0.45–0.89,  $p=0.01$ ). Figure 2 shows survival curves obtained by the cumulative incidence function. Similarly, 90-day mortality was 30.9% 40.2% in the in the early and delayed intubation groups, respectively ( $p = 0.02$ ). ICU mortality was also higher in patients intubated after 24 hours of ICU admission (25.7% in the early intubation group vs. 36.1% in the delayed intubation group,  $p = 0.007$ ). In the sensitivity analyses, we considered intubation within the first 48 hours from ICU admission as early. We found that hospital mortality was higher in the delayed intubation group (43.27% vs. 27.07 %  $p = <0.001$ ). Time since symptom onset and hospital admission to intubation were three days more in the delayed intubation group (Table 3, supplemental material).

Table 1. Characteristics and outcomes of critically ill patients with COVID-19 according to intubation timing.

Variables	Before PS matching (N = 2725)			After PS matching (N = 614)		
	Early intubation (n = 1694)	Delayed intubation (n = 1031)	<i>p value</i>	Early intubation (n = 307)	Delayed intubation (n = 307)	<i>p value</i>
Age, years, median, (IQR)	65 (57; 72)	63 (55;71)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	64 (56; 71)	64 (57; 71)	0.83
Sex, female, n (%)	499 (29.4)	285 (27.6)	0.31	100 (32.5)	87 (28.3)	0.29
BMI, Kg/m <sup>2</sup> , median, (IQR)	28.4 (25.7; 31.8)	29.1 (26.2; 32.3)	<b>0.01</b>	29.4 (26.4; 33.5)	29.3 (26.1; 32.3)	0.19
Hypertension, n (%)	885 (52.2)	549 (53.2)	0.63	165 (53.7)	169 (54.2)	0.80
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	416 (24.5)	265 (25.7)	0.52	78 (25.4)	84 (27.3)	0.64
Chronic cardiac failure, n (%)	209 (12.3)	133 (12.9)	0.67	37 (12)	45 (14.6)	0.40
COPD, n (%)	164 (9.4)	98 (9.5)	0.89	38 (12.3)	35 (11.4)	0.80
Immunodepression, n (%)	286 (16.8)	237 (22.9)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	53 (17.2)	45 (14.6)	0.44
Received corticosteroids, n	1425(84.9)	910 (89.2)	<b>0.002</b>	281 (91.5)	274 (89.2)	0.41

(%)						
<b>Clinical characteristics at hospital admission</b>						
PaO <sub>2</sub> /F <sub>I</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , median, (IQR)	230.1 (156.7; 290.4)	190.7 (98.6; 267.7)	<0.001	214.2 (142.8; 267.2)	216.6 (114; 275.7)	0.97
Respiratory rate, respiration per minute, median, (IQR)	24 (20; 29)	25 (20; 31)	<0.001	25 (20; 31.5)	25 (20; 30)	0.43
PaCO <sub>2</sub> , mmHg, median, (IQR)	33.2 (30; 38)	34 (30.3; 38)	0.18	34 (30; 38)	33.6 (29.9; 37.7)	0.46
pH, median, (IQR)	7.45 (7.41; 7.47)	7.45 (7.41; 7.47)	0.37	7.45 (7.42; 7.48)	7.45 (7.42; 7.48)	0.63
HFNC, n (%)*	836 (61.7)	876 (91.1)	<0.001	178 (71.7)	263 (91.9)	<0.001
NIV n (%)**	588 (35.8)	375 (36.6)	0.53	90 (29.7)	127 (41.6)	0.002
CRP, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	12.5 (6.9; 20.3)	12.6 (6.9; 21.4)	0.31	13.5 (8; 20.7)	13.7 (7.9; 20.8)	0.66
Lymphocyte count, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)	0.8 (0.58; 1.1)	0.8 (0.58; 1.09)	0.73	0.8 (0.6; 1.1)	0.8 (0.6; 1.06)	0.49
Platelets, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)*	179 (143; 231)	186 (142; 239)	0.18	189 (154; 233)	178 (145; 233)	0.12
D-dimer, mg/L, median, (IQR)	0.67 (0.4; 1.11)	0.64 (0.37; 1.17)	0.29	0.63 (0.38; 1.1)	0.63 (0.37; 1.16)	0.83
Creatinine, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	0.98 (0.8; 1.2)	0.95 (0.7; 1.2)	0.61	0.96 (0.8; 1.21)	0.93 (0.7; 1.2)	0.62
Lactate, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	12.6 (9; 17.1)	13.4 (9.9; 17.1)	0.31	12.61 (9; 16.2)	11.71 (9; 16)	0.88
<b>Disease chronology</b>						
Time since symptom onset to ICU admission, days, median, (IQR)	10 (7; 13)	8 (6; 11)	<0.001	9 (7; 11)	8 (7; 11)	0.38
Patients spending >2 days in hospital before ICU admission, n (%)	1002 (59.18)	359 (34.82)	<0.001	108 (35.18)	100 (32.57)	0.55
Time since symptom onset to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	10 (7; 13)	11 (8; 14)	<0.001	9 (7; 11)	11 (8; 14)	<0.001
Time since hospital admission to IMV,	3 (2; 5)	4 (2; 6)	<0.001	2 (1; 3)	4 (2; 6)	<0.001

days, median, (IQR)						
Time since ICU admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	0 (0; 0)	2 (1; 3)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0 (0; 0)	2 (1; 3)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Waves</b>						
1 <sup>st</sup> wave, n (%)	1148 (67.7)	430 (41.7)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	150 (48.8)	145 (47.2)	0.74
2 <sup>nd</sup> wave, n (%)	369 (21.7)	383 (37.1)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	102 (33.2)	122 (39.7)	0.11
3 <sup>rd</sup> wave, n (%)	139 (8.2)	157 (15.2)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	50 (16.2)	38 (12.3)	0.20
4 <sup>th</sup> wave, n (%)	20 (1.18)	24 (2.3)	<b>0.02</b>	5 (1.6)	2 (0.6)	0.45
<b>Outcomes</b>						
IMV days, median, (IQR)†	13 (8; 25)	14 (8; 29)	0.22	13 (8; 24)	18 (9; 31.5)	<b>0.01</b>
ICU days, median, (IQR)†	17.5 (11; 33)	23 (14; 40)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	17 (11; 32)	27 (16; 44)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Prone position, n (%)	1292 (76.6)	797 (77.6)	0.57	220 (71.6)	220 (78.4)	0.06
Neuromuscular blockade, n (%)	1442 (85.2)	860 (83.6)	0.27	247 (80.7)	262 (85.3)	0.13
ECMO, n (%)	27 (1.5)	31 (3)	<b>0.01</b>	6 (1.9)	6 (1.9)	1
ICU mortality, n (%)	598 (35.3)	360 (35)	0.86	79 (25.7)	111 (36.1)	<b>0.007</b>
Hospital mortality, n (%)	641 (37.8)	384 (37.2)	0.77	84 (27.3)	114 (37.1)	<b>0.01</b>
90-day mortality, n (%)	636 (41)	381 (40.5)	0.83	85 (30.9)	113 (40.2)	<b>0.02</b>

Early intubation was considered as  $\leq 24$  hours and delayed intubation, as  $> 24$  hours from ICU admission. Definition of abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP: C reactive protein; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; HFNC: high-flow nasal cannula; ICU: intensive care unit; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation; IQR: interquartile range; NIV: non-invasive ventilation; PaCO<sub>2</sub>: partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the arterial blood; and PS: propensity score. Percentages calculated with non-missing data only. Variables used to perform PS matching included age, sex, respiratory rate at hospital admission, PaO<sub>2</sub>/F<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at hospital admission (categorised as  $>300$  mmHg;  $200$  mmHg  $<$  PaO<sub>2</sub>/F<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>  $\leq 300$  mmHg;  $100$  mmHg  $<$  PaO<sub>2</sub>/F<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>  $\leq 200$  mmHg; PaO<sub>2</sub>/F<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>  $\leq 100$  mmHg), days between hospital and ICU admission (categorised as  $\leq$  or  $>$  2 days), chronic immunosuppression, corticosteroid treatment and COVID-19 wave. After excluding patients with missing values, we had a population of

n=1117. Table 4 in supplemental material shows standardised mean differences in baseline covariates from the matched population. \*HFNC with or without NIV; \*\* NIV with or without HFNC. \* and \*\* received at least one session of NIV and/or HFNC since hospital admission before intubation. † Analysed only in survivors. Boldface entries indicate statistical significance.

### *Changes in intubation timing throughout the pandemic*

During the first wave of the pandemic, most patients receiving MV were intubated within the first 24 hours of ICU admission (n = 1148; 72%). The mortality rate in this early intubation cohort was higher than those receiving delayed intubation; however, these patients were older and the time since symptom onset to intubation was not shorter than that in patients with delayed intubation (Table 6, supplemental material). In the subsequent waves, the number of patients receiving early intubation progressively decreased (49% in the second wave, 46% in the third wave and 45% in the fourth wave, with a  $p < 0.001$  vs. the first wave) (Figure 3). The mortality rate also changed: the second wave saw a significant decrease in death in patients who underwent early intubation. In the third and fourth waves, mortality was also lower in the early intubation cohort; however, this was not statistically significant. Findings about the impact of early intubation on mortality remained intact when we balanced cohorts and considered the wave during which patients received the intervention (Table 1).

### *Subgroup analysis of patients treated with NIV vs HFNC*

Before intubation, whereas 963 (35.5%) underwent NIV with or without HFNC, 1712 (73.95%) patients received at least one session of HFNC with or without NIV and 1082 (39.5%) patients exclusively received HFNC (without NIV) (supplementary table 7). After PS matching, we obtained a population of 294 patients treated only with HFNC and 214 patients with NIV (Table 2). We identified a higher mortality risk in patients with HFNC and delayed intubation (21.7% vs. 34.6%,  $p = 0.01$ ). However, we did not observe such a difference in those patients receiving NIV before intubation (32.7% vs. 39.2%,  $p = 0.39$ ). In the sensitivity analyses, we found higher mortality in patients receiving both HFNC and NIV and with delayed intubation. In patients treated with HFNC, hospital mortality was 37.3% in those with delayed intubation and 19.1% in

those with early intubation ( $p = 0.003$ ). For patients with NIV, it was 46.6% and 30.5% in the delayed and early intubation groups, respectively ( $p = 0.01$ ) (Table 8 and 9, supplemental material).

Table 2 – Characteristics and outcomes of critically ill patients with COVID-19 receiving either early or delayed intubation depending on prior use of HFNC or NIV (matched population).

Variables	High-flow nasal cannula N = 294			Non-invasive mechanical ventilation with or without high- flow nasal cannula N = 214		
	Early intubation (n = 147)	Delayed intubation (n = 147)	<i>p value</i>	Early intubation (n = 107)	Delayed intubation (n = 107)	<i>p value</i>
Age, years, median, (IQR)	63 (56; 69)	66 (57; 71)	0.23	64 (56; 70)	64 (58; 71)	0.73
Sex, female, n (%)	46 (31.2)	44 (29.9)	0.89	29 (27.1)	23 (21.5)	0.42
BMI, Kg/m <sup>2</sup> , median, (IQR)	28.5 (26; 31.6)	28.3 (25.9; 31.9)	0.71	30.9 (27.5; 34.1)	29.8 (26.5; 32)	0.06
Hypertension, n (%)	65 (44.2)	77 (52.3)	0.19	62 (57.9)	57 (53.2)	0.58
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	34 (23.1)	34 (23.1)	1	29 (27.1)	29 (27.1)	1
Chronic cardiac failure, n (%)	15 (10.2)	18 (12.2)	0.71	13 (12.1)	14 (13)	1
COPD, n (%)	16 (10.8)	18 (12.2)	0.85	13 (12.1)	14 (13)	1
Immunodepression, n (%)	20 (13.6)	19 (12.9)	1	23 (21.5)	20 (18.6)	0.73
Received corticosteroids, n (%)	133 (90.4)	134 (91.1)	1	98 (91.5)	95 (88.7)	0.64
<b>Clinical characteristics at hospital admission</b>						
PaO <sub>2</sub> /F <sub>i</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , median,	230 (172;	231.4		194.2	207.1	

(IQR)	290.4)	(146.5; 307.3)	0.80	(130.9; 263.5)	(114; 271.1)	0.81
Respiratory rate, respiration per minute, median, (IQR)	25 (20; 30)	25 (20; 30)	0.99	24 (20; 32)	25 (22; 30)	0.88
PaCO <sub>2</sub> , mmHg, median, (IQR)	34 (30; 37)	34 (30.1; 38)	0.41	33 (30; 37)	32 (29; 37)	0.46
pH, median, (IQR)	7.45 (7.42; 7.48)	7.45 (7.43; 7.47)	0.75	7.45 (7.41; 7.48)	7.46 (7.43; 7.48)	0.35
CRP, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	13.9 (8.4; 21.2)	13 (7; 18.5)	0.11	14.1 (8.2; 21)	14.6 (10.3; 24.8)	0.11
Lymphocyte count, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)	0.8 (0.6; 1)	0.8 (0.6; 1)	0.85	0.8 (0.6; 1.13)	0.7 (0.5; 1.01)	0.13
Platelets, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)	188 (145; 238)	176 (139; 232)	0.34	179 (147; 220)	193 (152; 233)	0.82
D-dimer, mg/L, median, (IQR)	0.57 (0.38; 0.9)	0.54 (0.38; 0.99)	0.74	0.65 (0.42; 1)	0.71 (0.39; 1.29)	0.31
Creatinine, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	0.94 (0.8; 1.16)	0.92 (0.79; 1.17)	0.56	0.99 (0.81; 1.28)	0.95 (0.79; 1.3)	0.75
Lactate, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	12.7 (9; 16.8)	10.8 (8.9; 16.2)	0.31	12 (9.9; 14.4)	11.4 (9.2; 15.5)	0.79
<b>Disease chronology</b>						
Time since symptom onset to ICU admission, days, median, (IQR)	9 (7; 11)	8 (7; 11)	0.057	9 (7; 13)	9 (8; 12)	0.92
Patients spending >2 days in hospital before ICU admission, n (%)	52 (35.3)	50 (34)	0.90	54 (50.4)	51 (47.6)	0.78
Time since symptom onset to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	9 (7; 12)	11 (8; 13)	<b>0.001</b>	9 (7; 13)	12 (9; 15)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Time since hospital admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	2 (1; 3)	4 (2; 6)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	3 (2; 5)	6 (3; 9)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Time since ICU admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	0 (0; 0)	1 (1; 3)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0 (0; 0)	2 (1; 4)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Waves</b>						
1 <sup>st</sup> wave, n (%)	70 (47.6)	59 (40.1)	0.68	57 (53.2)	51 (47.6)	0.49
2 <sup>nd</sup> wave, n (%)	55 (37.4)	67 (45.5)	0.19	30 (28)	41 (38.3)	0.14
3 <sup>rd</sup> wave, n (%)	18 (12.2)	18 (12.2)	1	19 (17.7)	15 (14)	0.57
4 <sup>th</sup> wave, n (%)	4 (2.7)	3 (2)	1	1 (0.9)	0 (0)	1
<b>Outcomes</b>						
IMV days, median,	13 (8; 24)	15 (9; 31)	0.24	16 (9; 27)	18 (9; 31)	0.42

(IQR) †						
ICU days, median, (IQR) †	17 (11; 34)	24 (14; 42)	<b>0.004</b>	22 (12; 31)	24 (15; 39)	0.08
Prone position, n (%)	97 (66.4)	117 (79.5)	<b>0.01</b>	92 (85.9)	87 (81.3)	0.46
ECMO, n (%)	3 (2)	2 (1.3)	1	5 (4.6)	3 (2.8)	0.72
Neuromuscular blockade, n (%)	113 (76.8)	123 (83.6)	0.18	95 (89.6)	94 (87.8)	0.82
ICU mortality, n (%)	31 (21)	48 (32.6)	<b>0.003</b>	34 (31.7)	41 (38.3)	0.39
Hospital mortality, n (%)	32 (21.7)	51 (34.6)	<b>0.01</b>	35 (32.7)	42 (39.2)	0.39
90-day mortality, n (%)	31 (23.8)	51 (37.7)	<b>0.01</b>	36 (34.2)	41 (40.2)	0.39

Early intubation was considered as  $\leq 24$  hours and delayed intubation, as  $> 24$  hours from ICU admission.

Definition of abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP: C reactive protein; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; ICU: intensive care unit; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation; IQR: interquartile range; and PaCO<sub>2</sub>: partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the arterial blood.

Percentages calculated with non-missing data only. Patients included in the subgroup analysis received at least one session of NIV and/or HFNC before intubation. Variables used to perform PS matching included age, sex, respiratory rate at hospital admission, PaO<sub>2</sub>/F<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at hospital admission (categorised as  $>300$  mmHg;  $200 \text{ mmHg} < \text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2 \leq 300 \text{ mmHg}$ ;  $100 \text{ mmHg} < \text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2 \leq 200 \text{ mmHg}$ ;  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2 \leq 100$  mmHg), days between hospital and ICU admission (categorised as  $\leq$  or  $> 2$  days), chronic immunosuppression, corticosteroid treatment and COVID-19 wave. After excluding patients with missing values, we had a population of 455 patients treated only with HFNC and 396 for patients with NIV +/- HFNC. Table 3 in supplemental material shows standardised mean differences in baseline covariates from the matched population. † Analysed only in survivors. Boldface entries indicate statistical significance.

## **Discussion**

In this large cohort study focusing on the effects of intubation timing in patients with COVID-19, we identified a higher risk of hospital mortality in those individuals with delayed intubation (> 24 hours of ICU admission) compared to those intubated within 24 hours of ICU admission. Likewise, we observed an increase in both ICU and 90-day mortality and ICU length of stay and MV duration in those patients intubated after the first 24 hours of ICU admission. Very similar findings were also confirmed when we considered early or delayed intubation with a different timepoint (intubation within 48 hours of ICU admission for early intubation).

The subgroup of patients treated with HFNC in whom intubation was delayed presented higher mortality irrespective of the timepoint used for early or delayed intubation. However, the group of patients treated with NIV prior to intubation (delayed) presented with higher mortality when the timepoint was considered as after 48 hours of ICU admission. Finally, we also found that patients more frequently received intubation and invasive MV within 24 hours of ICU admission in the first wave than in subsequent ones.

Whilst the association between delayed intubation and mortality has been well documented in acute respiratory failure [5,8, 16 - 18], it remains to be clarified in patients with COVID-19. In this population, the association between longer intubation timing and worse clinical outcomes has been controversial, given that several studies reported benefits from early intubation and others showed opposite results. A meta-analysis that comprised 12 observational studies comparing early and delayed intubation (also defined as occurring within or after the first 24 hours of ICU admission) did not report differences in mortality [9]. However, most of the studies included had relevant limitations, such as retrospective nature [19-28] and lack of covariate adjustment [20-27]; significant heterogeneity in study design and clinical characteristics [19-28]; and analyses circumscribed to the first waves of each region [19-28]. On the other hand, similar to our results, one study reported higher chances of survival in patients in whom intubation and invasive MV were started within the first 48 hours of non-invasive respiratory support [29]. Two important factors may explain the differences in outcomes noted in this study [29] and ours when compared to that mentioned earlier [9]. First and foremost, to minimise confounding bias, we selected a population with a similar baseline risk of intubation and mortality using PS matching. We considered covariates that were known to play a significant



role in both the intubation strategy and survival. Second, in PS matching, we accounted for time since hospitalisation to ICU admission. The resulting population, therefore, had a longer time (2 days) of spontaneous breathing from both symptom onset and hospital admission to intubation. Considering that PSILI is inevitably related to spontaneous breathing, this ensured that the delayed intubation group had longer exposure to such event. This message has yet to be suggested in literature and could prove useful in other types of acute respiratory failure.

Importantly, in patients intubated after 24 hours of ICU admission, we found worse respiratory mechanics compared to those intubated earlier (higher driving and positive end-inspiratory pressures with lower tidal volumes and similar positive-end expiratory pressures). This finding is consistent with prior literature [30] and may suggest further lung damage as a result of longer exposure to uncontrolled and spontaneous ventilation. When we considered a 48-hour timepoint for early or delayed intubation, we also observed worse oxygenation during the first day of MV in patients belonging to the latter group. Whilst this could partially explain differences observed in survival, the exact mechanisms that increase the risk of death due to a delayed start in invasive MV are not completely known.

We also examined the potential effect of the type of non-invasive respiratory support used before invasive MV. In the subgroup of patients treated exclusively with HFNC, we reported an increased risk of hospital mortality in those with delayed intubation, irrespective of the timepoint used. However, we did not observe the same association in patients treated with NIV before intubation when we considered early intubation as that occurring within 24 hours of ICU admission. The negative results in this subgroup should not be misinterpreted. The sensitivity analyses performed in the subgroup of patients with NIV, in whom we explored intubation ranges from a 48-hour-timepoint perspective, showed higher mortality in those with delayed treatment. The lack of differences in mortality between the early and delayed intubation groups in the 24-hour timepoint analysis could be explained by the already high mortality of patients treated with NIV. This would suggest that NIV failure could increase the risk of mortality even if intubation is not delayed. The association of NIV failure and higher mortality has been widely reported in acute respiratory failure and has a strong physiologic background [31-33]. The higher mortality found in patients treated with

HFNC would rather suggest that spontaneous ventilation, even without the presence of positive inspiratory pressure, may also be deleterious.

In our study, we evaluated trends in intubation timing throughout the different waves included, finding a significant decrease in early intubation rates. The probable rationale for this finding is the increased confidence of clinicians in treating COVID-19-associated acute respiratory failure non-invasively. In fact, a greater use of NIV and HFNC have been reported in the later periods of the pandemic [34]. In light of our results and others [6,7,29], caution is warranted when assessing the potential benefits (avoidance of intubation) and risks (delayed intubation) of applying such non-invasive therapies in COVID-19.

The strengths of our study include the large population included, the assessment across different periods (four waves), the granularity of data with 58 ICUs included, and the PS analyses performed.

Our study has limitations, though. First, it was not designed in the framework of a target-emulated trial. We excluded patients who were not intubated, and a high proportion of individuals did not need this intervention. Therefore, as some patients may benefit from a wait-and-see approach, we cannot draw firm conclusions on the best strategy for intubation. Second, the arbitrary cut-off point of 24 hours used to define early intubation may elicit critique. However, we defined this time point in accordance with prior literature [9] and clinical prudence. Sensitivity analyses showed robustness of the findings. Third, as result of our design, immortal time bias may have occurred; patients intubated after the 24 hours of ICU admission had to survive to be included. Fourth, since treatment was not randomly allocated, both residual and unmeasured confounding are possible, even after careful covariate adjustment. Fifth, we did not have solid information about the clinical situation immediately before intubation so we used data from hospital admission. Further, we do not have the total time spent on neither non-invasive respiratory support nor in NIV and HFNC settings. Sixth, generalisation of the results may be hindered due to the prevalence of immunisation and changes made in clinical management after the patient recruitment period.

In conclusion, in patients with COVID-19 requiring invasive MV, delayed intubation was associated with a higher risk of hospital mortality when compared to earlier intubation. Patients undergoing HFNC before intubation presented an increased risk of mortality when intubation was delayed irrespective of the timepoint used to consider early or delayed intubation. Patients with NIV presented a higher mortality risk when

delayed intubation was that occurring after 48 hours of ICU admission. Finally, more patients received intubation within 24 hours of ICU admission in the first pandemic wave.

## References

1. John J Marini, Luciano Gattinoni. Management of COVID-19 Respiratory Distress. JAMA. 2020 Jun 9;323(22):2329-2330.
2. Martin J Tobin, Franco Laghi, Amal Jubran. P-SILI is not justification for intubation of COVID-19 patients. Ann Intensive Care. 2020 Aug 3;10(1):105.
3. Martin J Tobin, Franco Laghi, Amal Jubran. Caution about early intubation and mechanical ventilation in COVID-19. Ann Intensive Care. 2020 Jun 9;10(1):78.
4. Takeshi Yoshida, Akinori Uchiyama, Nariaki Matsuura, Takashi Mashimo, Yuji Fujino. The comparison of spontaneous breathing and muscle paralysis in two different severities of experimental lung injury. Crit Care Med. 2013 Feb;41(2):536-45.
5. Kang BJ, Koh Y, Lim CM, Huh JW, Baek S, Han M, et al. Failure of high-flow nasal cannula therapy may delay intubation and increase mortality. Intensive Care Med 2015;41:623–632.
6. Perkins GD, Ji C, Connolly BA, et al. Effect of Noninvasive Respiratory Strategies on Intubation or Mortality Among Patients With Acute Hypoxemic Respiratory Failure and COVID-19: The RECOVERY-RS Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2022 Feb 8;327(6):546-558.
7. Grieco LD, Menga LS, Cesarano M, et al. Effect of Helmet Noninvasive Ventilation vs High-Flow Nasal Oxygen on Days Free of Respiratory Support in Patients With COVID-19 and Moderate to Severe Hypoxemic Respiratory Failure: The HENIVOT Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2021 May 4;325(17):1731-1743.

8. Dumas G, Lemiale V, Rathi N, et al. Survival in Immunocompromised Patients Ultimately Requiring Invasive Mechanical Ventilation: A Pooled Individual Patient Data Analysis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2021 Jul 15;204(2):187-196.
9. Eleni Papoutsis , Vassilis G Giannakoulis , Eleni Xourgia, Christina Routsis, Anastasia Kotanidou, Ilias I Siempos. Effect of timing of intubation on clinical outcomes of critically ill patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of non-randomized cohort studies. *Crit Care*. 2021 Mar 25;25(1):121.
10. Austin PC. An introduction to propensity score methods for reducing the effects of confounding in observational studies. *Multivar Behav Res*. 2011;46(3):399–424.
11. Rosenbaum PR, Rubin DB. The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects. *Biometrika*. 1983;70(1):41–55.
12. Brookhart M.A., Schneeweiss S., Rothman K.J., Glynn R.J., Avorn J., Sturmer T. Variable selection for propensity score models. *Am J Epidemiol*. 163, 1149-1156 (2006).
13. Austin PC. A tutorial and case study in propensity score analysis: An application to estimating the effect of in-hospital smoking cessation counseling on mortality. *Multivariate Behav Res*. 2011;46(1):119–51.
14. Austin PC, Lee DS, Fine JP. Introduction to the Analysis of Survival Data in the Presence of Competing Risks. *Circulation* 2016; 133: 601–9.
15. Gray RJ. A Class of K-Sample Tests for Comparing the Cumulative Incidence of a Competing Risk. *The Annals of Statistics* 1988; 16: 1141–54.

16. Carrillo A, Gonzalez-Diaz G, Ferrer M. Non-invasive ventilation in community-acquired pneumonia and severe acute respiratory failure. *Intensive Care Med.* 2012 Mar;38(3):458-66.
17. Esteban A, Frutos-Vivar F, Ferguson ND. Noninvasive positive-pressure ventilation for respiratory failure after extubation. *N Engl J Med.* 2004 Jun 10;350(24):2452-60.
18. Wood KA, Lewis L, Von Harz B, Kollef MH. The use of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in the emergency department: results of a randomized clinical trial. *Chest.* 1998 May;113(5):1339-46.
19. Hernandez-Romieu AC, Adelman MW, Hockstein MA, Robichaux CJ, Edwards JA, Fazio JC, et al. Timing of intubation and mortality among critically ill coronavirus disease 2019 patients: a single-center cohort study. *Crit Care.* 2020;2020:E1045–53.
20. Karagiannidis C, Mostert C, Hentschker C, Voshaar T, Malzahn J, Schillinger G, et al. Case characteristics, resource use, and outcomes of 10 021 patients with COVID-19 admitted to 920 German hospitals: an observational study. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2020;8:853–862.
21. Lee YH, Choi K-J, Choi SH, Lee SY, Kim KC, Kim EJ, et al. Clinical significance of timing of intubation in critically ill patients with COVID-19: a multi-center retrospective study. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9:2847.
22. Matta A, Chaudhary S, Bryan Lo K, DeJoy R, Gul F, Torres R, et al. Timing of intubation and its implications on outcomes in critically ill patients with coronavirus disease 2019 Infection. *Crit Care.* 2020;2:0262.

23. Roedl K, Jarczak D, Thasler L, Bachmann M, Schulte F, Bein B et al. Mechanical ventilation and mortality among 223 critically ill patients with coronavirus disease 2019: a multicentric study in Germany. *Aust Crit Care*; 2020.
24. Ben SI, Ennouri E, Nachi R, Meddeb K, Mahmoud J, Thabet N, et al. Very severe covid-19 in the critically ill in tunisia. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2020;35:1–12.
25. Siempos II, Xourgia E, Ntaidou TK, Zervakis D, Magira EE, Kotanidou A, et al. Effect of early vs delayed or no intubation on clinical outcomes of patients with COVID-19: an observational study. *Front Med Front*. 2020;7:614152. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2020.614152.
26. Schmidt M, Hajage D, Demoule A, Pham T, Combes A, Dres M, et al. Clinical characteristics and day-90 outcomes of 4244 critically ill adults with COVID-19: a prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care*. 2020
27. Grasselli G, Greco M, Zanella A, Albano G, Antonelli M, Bellani G, et al. Risk factors associated with mortality among patients with COVID-19 in intensive care units in Lombardy, Italy. *JAMA Intern Med Am Med Assoc*. 2020;180:1345–1355.
28. Mellado-Artigas R, Ferreyro BL, Angriman F, Hernández-Sanz M, Arruti E, Torres A, et al. High-flow nasal oxygen in patients with COVID-19-associated acute respiratory failure. *Crit Care*. 2021;25:58.
29. González J, Benítez ID, de Gonzalo-Calvo D, et al. Impact of time to intubation on mortality and pulmonary sequelae in critically ill patients with COVID-19: a prospective cohort study. *Crit Care*. 2022 Jan 10;26(1):18.
30. Ball L, Robba C, Herrmann J, et al. Early versus late intubation in COVID-19 patients failing helmet CPAP: A quantitative computed tomography study. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol*. 2022 Jul; 301: 103889.


31. Frat JP, Thille AW, Mercat A, et al. High-flow oxygen through nasal cannula in acute hypoxemic respiratory failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2015 Jun 4;372(23):2185-96.
32. Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, et al. Noninvasive Ventilation of Patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. Insights from the LUNG SAFE Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2017 Jan 1;195(1):67-77.
33. Coppola S, Chiumello D, Busana M, et al. Role of total lung stress on the progression of early COVID-19 pneumonia. *Intensive Care Med*. 2021 Oct;47(10):1130-1139.
34. Raquel Carbonell, Silvia Urgelés, Alejandro Rodríguez, María Bodí, Ignacio Martín-Loeches, Jordi Solé-Violán, Emili Díaz, Josep Gómez, Sandra Trefler, Montserrat Vallverdú, Josefa Murcia, Antonio Albaya, Ana Loza, Lorenzo Socias, Juan Carlos Ballesteros, Elisabeth Papiol, Lucía Viña, Susana Sancho, Mercedes Nieto, Maria Del Carmen Lorente, Oihane Badallo, Virginia Fraile, Fernando Arméstar, Angel Estella, Laura Sanchez, Isabel Sancho, Antonio Margarit, Gerard Moreno, COVID-19 SEMICYUC Working Group. Mortality comparison between the first and second/third waves among 3,795 critical COVID-19 patients with pneumonia admitted to the ICU: A multicentre retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Reg Health Eur*. 2021 Dec;11:100243.






Figure 1. Study flowchart. Inclusion period was from 29 February 2020 to 31 August 2021. Propensity score matching was performed with the following variables: sex, age, respiratory rate at hospital admission, PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio at hospital admission (categorised as >300 mmHg; 200 mmHg < PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ≤ 300 mmHg; 100 mmHg < PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ≤ 200 mmHg; PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ≤ 100 mmHg), days between hospital and ICU admission (categorised as ≤ or > 2 days), immunodepression, corticosteroid treatment and COVID-19 wave. Definition of abbreviations: ETI: endotracheal intubation; ICU: intensive care unit; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation; PS: propensity score.

Figure 2. Survival curves of both the overall cohort and adjusted population, as obtained by propensity score matching. In total, 81 of 614 (13.19%) patients were transferred to another hospital and censured from the survival analysis. Definition of abbreviations: ETI: endotracheal intubation; ICU: intensive care unit.

Figure 3. Proportion of patients with COVID-19 intubated ≤24h; >24 and ≤48h; >48 and ≤72 or >72h since ICU admission in the first, second, third and fourth waves. Proportion of patients intubated ≤24h since ICU admission in the first, second, third and fourth waves. First vs. second, third and fourth waves, p < 0.001.







## SUPPLEMENTARY ONLINE CONTENT

### **Effects of intubation timing in patients with COVID-19 throughout the four waves of the pandemic: a matched analysis**

Jordi Riera\*<sup>1,2</sup>; Enric Barbeta\*<sup>2,3,4</sup>; Adrián Tormos<sup>5</sup>; Ricard Mellado-Artigas<sup>2,3</sup>; Adrián Ceccato<sup>6</sup>; Anna Motos<sup>4</sup>; Laia Fernández-Barat<sup>4</sup>; Ricard Ferrer<sup>1</sup>; Darío García-Gasulla<sup>5</sup>; Oscar Peñuelas<sup>7</sup>; José Ángel Lorente<sup>7</sup>; Rosario Menéndez<sup>8</sup>; Oriol Roca<sup>1,2</sup>; Andrea Palomeque<sup>4,9</sup>; Carlos Ferrando<sup>2,3</sup>; Jordi Solé-Violán<sup>10</sup>; Mariana Novo<sup>11</sup>; María Victoria Boado<sup>12</sup>; Luis Tamayo<sup>13</sup>; Ángel Estella<sup>14</sup>; Cristóbal Galban<sup>15</sup>; Josep Trenado<sup>16</sup>; Arturo Huerta<sup>17</sup>; Ana Loza<sup>18</sup>; Luciano Aguilera<sup>19</sup>; José Luís García Garmendia<sup>20</sup>; Carme Barberà<sup>21</sup>; Víctor Gumucio<sup>22</sup>; Lorenzo Socias<sup>23</sup>; Nieves Franco<sup>24</sup>; Luis Jorge Valdivia<sup>25</sup>; Pablo Vidal<sup>26</sup>; Víctor Sagredo<sup>27</sup>; Ángela Leonor Ruiz-García<sup>28</sup>; Ignacio Martínez Varela<sup>29</sup>; Juan López<sup>30</sup>; Juan Carlos Pozo<sup>31</sup>; Maite Nieto<sup>32</sup>; José M Gómez<sup>33</sup>; Aaron Blandino<sup>34</sup>; Manuel Valledor<sup>35</sup>; Elena Bustamante-Munguira<sup>36</sup>; Ángel Sánchez-Miralles<sup>37</sup>; Yhivian Peñasco<sup>38</sup>; José Barberán<sup>39</sup>; Alejandro Ubeda<sup>40</sup>; Rosario Amaya<sup>41</sup>; María Cruz Martín<sup>42</sup>; Ruth Jorge<sup>43</sup>; Jesús Caballero<sup>44</sup>; Judith Marin<sup>45</sup>; José Manuel Añón<sup>46</sup>; Fernando Suárez Sipmann<sup>47</sup>; Guillermo Muñiz<sup>2,48</sup>; Álvaro Castellanos-Ortega<sup>49</sup>; Berta Adell-Serrano<sup>50</sup>; Mercedes Catalán<sup>51</sup>; Amalia Martínez de la Gándara <sup>52</sup>; Pilar Ricart<sup>53</sup>; Cristina Carbajales<sup>54</sup>; Alejandro Rodríguez<sup>55</sup>; Emili Díaz<sup>6</sup>; Mari C de la Torre<sup>56</sup>; Elena Gallego<sup>57</sup>; Luisa Cantón-Bulnes<sup>58</sup>; Nieves Carbonell<sup>59</sup>, Jessica González<sup>60</sup>, David de Gonzalo-Calvo<sup>60</sup>, Ferran Barbé<sup>60</sup> and Antoni Torres<sup>2,4,9</sup> on behalf of the CiberesUCICOVID Consortium.

#### **Affiliations:**

1. Critical Care Department, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron; SODIR, Vall d'Hebron Institut de Recerca, Barcelona, Spain.

2. CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.
3. Surgical Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.
4. Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi I Sunyer (IDIBAPS), University of Barcelona (UB), Barcelona, Spain.
5. Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC), Barcelona, Spain.
6. Critical Care Center, Parc Taulí Hospital Universitari, Institut d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí I3PT, Sabadell, Spain. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Spain.
7. Hospital Universitario de Getafe, Universidad Europea, Madrid, Spain.
8. Pneumology Department, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe/Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria (IIS) La Fe, 46026 Valencia, Spain; Pneumology Department, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Avda, Fernando Abril Martorell 106, 46026 Valencia, Spain.
9. Respiratory Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.
10. Critical Care Department, Hospital Dr. Negrín Gran Canaria. Universidad Fernando Pessoa. Las Palmas, Gran Canaria, Spain.
11. Servei de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitari Son Espases, Palma de Mallorca, Illes Balears, Spain.
12. Hospital Universitario de Cruces, Barakaldo, Spain.
13. Critical Care Department, Hospital Universitario Río Hortega de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain.
14. Departamento Medicina Facultad Medicina Universidad de Cádiz. Hospital Universitario de Jerez, Jerez de la Frontera, Spain.
15. Department of Medicine, CHUS, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago, Santiago de

Compostela, Spain.

16. Servicio de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitario Mútua de Terrassa, Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain.

17. Pulmonary and Critical Care Division; Emergency Department, Clínica Sagrada Família, Barcelona, Spain.

18. Hospital Virgen de Valme, Sevilla, Spain.

19. Hospital de Basurto, Bilbao, Spain.

20. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital San Juan de Dios del Aljarafe, Bormujos, Sevilla, Spain.

21. Hospital Santa Maria; IRBLleida, Lleida, Spain

22. Department of Intensive Care. Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona,

Spain. Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain.

23. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Son Llàtzer, Palma de Mallorca, Illes Balears, Spain.

24. Hospital Universitario de Móstoles, Madrid, Spain.

25. Hospital Universitario de León, León, Spain.

26. Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Ourense, Ourense, Spain.

27. Hospital Universitario de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain.

28. Servicio de Microbiología Clínica, Hospital Universitario Príncipe de Asturias – Departamento de

Biomedicina y Biotecnología, Universidad de Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain.

29. Critical Care Department, Hospital Universitario Lucus Augusti, Lugo, Spain.

30. Complejo Asistencial Universitario de Palencia, Palencia, Spain.

31. UGC-Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofia, Instituto Maimonides IMIBIC, Córdoba,

Spain.

32. Hospital Universitario de Segovia, Segovia, Spain.

33. Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain.

34. Servicio de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain.

35. Hospital Universitario "San Agustín", Avilés, Spain.

36. Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Hospital Clínico Universitario Valladolid,  
Valladolid, Spain.

37. Servicio de Medicina Intensiva. Hospital Universitario Sant Joan d'Alacant, Alicante, Spain

38. Servicio de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander,  
Spain.

39. Hospital Universitario HM Montepríncipe, Universidad San Pablo-CEU, Madrid, Spain.

40. Servicio de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Punta de Europa, Algeciras, Spain.

41. Intensive Care Clinical Unit, Hospital Universitario Virgen de Rocío, Sevilla, Spain.

42. Hospital Universitario Torrejón- Universidad Francisco de Vitoria, Madrid, Spain.

43. Intensive Care Department, Hospital Nuestra Señora de Gracia, Zaragoza, Spain.

44. Critical Care Department, Hospital Universitari Arnau de Vilanova; IRBLleida, Lleida,  
Spain.

45. Critical Care Department, Hospital del Mar-IMIM, Barcelona, Spain.

46. Hospital Universitario la Paz, Madrid, Spain.

47. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Universitario La Princesa, Madrid, Spain.

48. Departamento de Biología Funcional. Instituto Universitario de Oncología del Principado de  
Asturias,

Universidad de Oviedo; Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Principado de Asturias,  
Hospital Central

de Asturias, Oviedo, Spain.

49. Hospital Universitario y Politécnico la Fe, Valencia, Spain.

50. Hospital de Tortosa Verge de la Cinta, Tortosa, Tarragona, Spain.



51. Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain.
52. Hospital Universitario Infanta Leonor, Madrid, Spain.
53. Servei de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias, Badalona, Spain.
54. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Álvaro Cunqueiro, Vigo, Spain.
55. Hospital Universitari Joan XXIII de Tarragona, Tarragona, Spain.
56. Hospital de Mataró de Barcelona, Spain.
57. Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos, Hospital Universitario San Pedro de Alcántara, Cáceres, Spain.
58. Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos, Hospital Virgen Macarena, Sevilla, Spain.
59. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Clínico y Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain.
60. Translational Research in Respiratory Medicine, Respiratory Department, Hospital Universitari Aranu de Vilanova and Santa Maria, IRBLleida, Lleida, Spain.

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Supplementary Table 1**

---

<b>Site and Region</b>
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO DE GRAN CANARIA DR. NEGRÍN / Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARI SON ESPASES / Palma de Mallorca
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARI SAGRAT COR / Barcelona
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO DE CRUCES / Barakaldo
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO RIO HORTEGA / Valladolid
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO DE JEREZ DE LA FRONTERA / Jerez de la Frontera
HOSPITAL CLINICO UNIVERSITARIO DE SANTIAGO / Santiago de Compostela
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARI MÚTUA DE TERRASSA / Terrassa
CLÍNICA SAGRADA FAMÍLIA / Barcelona
HOSPITAL VIRGEN DE VALME / Sevilla
HOSPITAL DE BASURTO / Bilbao
HOSPITAL SAN JUAN DE DIOS DEL ALJARAFE / Bormujos
HOSPITAL SANTA MARIA / Lleida
HOSPITAL CLÍNIC DE BARCELONA / Barcelona
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARI DE BELLVITGE / Hospitalet de Llobregat, L'
HOSPITAL SON LLATZER / Palma de Mallorca
HOSPITAL DE LEÓN / León
COMPLEXO HOSPITALARIO UNIVERSITARIO DE OURENSE / Ourense
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO DE SALAMANCA / Salamanca
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO PRÍNCIPE DE ASTURIAS / Alcalá de Henares
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO LUCUS AUGUSTI / Lugo
COMPLEJO ASISTENCIAL UNIVERSITARIO DE PALENCIA / Palencia
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO REINA SOFÍA / Córdoba
HOSPITAL GENERAL DE SEGOVIA / Segovia
HOSPITAL GENERAL UNIVERSITARIO GREGORIO MARAÑÓN / Madrid
HOSPITAL RAMÓN Y CAJAL / Madrid
HM UNIVERSITARIO PUERTA DEL SUR / Móstoles
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO "SAN AGUSTÍN" / Avilés
HOSPITAL CLÍNICO UNIVERSITARIO DE VALLADOLID / Valladolid
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO SAN JUAN DE ALICANTE / Sant Joan d'Alacant
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO MARQUÉS DE VALDECILLA / Santander
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO HM NUEVO BELEN / Madrid
HOSPITAL PUNTA DE EUROPA / Algeciras
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO HM MONTEPRÍNCIPE / Boadilla del Monte
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO VIRGEN DEL ROCÍO / Sevilla
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO DE TORREJÓN / Torrejón de Ardoz
HOSPITAL NUESTRA SEÑORA DE GRACIA / Zaragoza
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARI ARNAU DE VILANOVA DE LLEIDA. / Lleida
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO MADRID SANCHINARRO / Madrid
HOSPITAL DEL MAR. / Barcelona
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO DE GETAFE / Getafe
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO LA PAZ / Madrid
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO DE LA PRINCESA / Madrid
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO HM TORRELODONES / Torrelorones
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO CENTRAL DE ASTURIAS / Oviedo
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO Y POLITÉCNICO LA FE / Valencia
HOSPITAL DE TORTOSA VERGE DE LA CINTA / Tortosa
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO 12 DE OCTUBRE / Madrid

---

HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARI VALL D'HEBRON / Barcelona  
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO INFANTA LEONOR / Madrid  
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARI GERMANS TRIAS I PUJOL DE BADALONA / Badalona  
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO ALVARO CUNQUEIRO / Vigo  
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARI JOAN XXIII DE TARRAGONA / Tarragona  
HOSPITAL DE SABADELL / Sabadell  
HOSPITAL DE MATARÓ / Mataró  
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO SAN PEDRO DE ALCÁNTARA / Cáceres  
HOSPITAL VIRGEN MACARENA / Sevilla  
HOSPITAL CLÍNICO UNIVERSITARIO DE VALENCIA / Valencia

---

## Supplementary Table 2

Periods that define the first, second, third and fourth waves in Spain.

<b>Wave</b>	<b>Begin Date of Wave</b>	<b>End Date of Wave</b>
Wave 1	02/29/2020	06/30/2020
Wave 2	06/30/2020	12/10/2020
Wave 3	12/10/2020	03/20/2021
Wave 4	03/20/2021	06/20/2021

**Supplementary Table 3** Characteristics and outcomes of critically ill patients with COVID-19 according to intubation timing (48-hour timepoint analysis).

Variables	Before PS matching (N = 2725)			After PS matching (N = 458)		
	Early intubation (n = 2164)	Delayed intubation (n = 561)	<i>p</i> value	Early intubation (n = 229)	Delayed intubation (n = 229)	<i>p</i> value
Age, years, median, (IQR)	65 (57; 72)	64 (55;70)	<b>0.007</b>	63 (56; 71)	64 (56; 71)	0.85
Sex, female, n (%)	630 (29.11)	154 (27.45)	0.46	65 (28.3)	66 (28.8)	1
BMI, Kg/m <sup>2</sup> , median, (IQR)	28.7 (25.9; 32.2)	28.7 (25.9; 31.9)	0.70	29.3 (26.6; 33.6)	29.2 (26.2; 32)	0.16
Hypertension, n (%)	1135 (52.4)	299 (53.2)	0.74	129 (56.3)	121 (52.8)	0.51
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	537 (24.8)	144 (25.6)	0.70	60 (26.2)	60 (26.2)	1
Chronic cardiac failure, n (%)	272 (12.5)	70 (12.4)	1	33 (14.4)	31 (13.5)	0.89
COPD, n (%)	205 (9.4)	57 (10.1)	0.63	27 (11.7)	27 (11.7)	1
Immunodepression, n (%)	373 (17.2)	150 (26.7)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	44 (19.2)	40 (17.4)	0.71
Received corticosteroids, n (%)	1824(85.1)	511 (92)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	213 (93)	211 (92.1)	0.85
<b>Clinical characteristics at hospital admission</b>						
PaO <sub>2</sub> /F <sub>i</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , median, (IQR)	221.4 (136.2; 282.8)	207.1 (111.2; 274.7)	<b>0.04</b>	202.3 (122; 271.4)	195.2 (114; 271.4)	0.97
Respiratory rate, respiration per minute, median, (IQR)	24 (20; 30)	25 (20; 30)	0.12	25 (20; 31)	26 (20; 32)	0.29
PaCO <sub>2</sub> , mmHg, median, (IQR)	34 (30; 38)	34 (30.1; 37.4)	0.93	34 (30; 37.3)	33 (30; 37.1)	0.40
pH, median, (IQR)	7.45 (7.41; 7.47)	7.45 (7.41; 7.47)	0.36	7.45 (7.42; 7.48)	7.45 (7.42; 7.48)	0.90
HFNC, n (%)*	795 (36.7)	287 (51.1)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	94 (41)	102 (44.5)	0.50

NIV n (%)**	727 (34.2)	236 (42.3)	<0.001	76 (33.6)	113 (49.5)	0.001
CRP, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	12.7 (6.9; 20.6)	12 (6.6; 21.5)	0.76	13.3 (7.8; 22.1)	14.7 (8.3; 21.2)	0.72
Lymphocyte count, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)	0.8 (0.58; 1.1)	0.8 (0.59; 1.08)	0.61	0.79 (0.58; 1.04)	0.8 (0.6; 1.03)	0.69
Platelets, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)*	180 (144; 234)	184 (139; 238)	0.89	181 (143; 231)	190 (148; 246)	0.14
D-dimer, mg/L, median, (IQR)	0.66 (0.39; 1.13)	0.65 (0.37; 1.16)	0.63	0.66 (0.38; 1.1)	0.66 (0.4; 1.35)	0.40
Creatinine, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	0.97 (0.8; 1.2)	0.96 (0.7; 1.2)	0.99	0.96 (0.8; 1.27)	0.92 (0.7; 1.17)	0.13
Lactate, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	13.5 (9.9; 17.1)	12.6 (9.1; 17.1)	0.37	13.51 (9.9; 16.2)	11.71 (9; 15)	0.09
<b>Disease chronology</b>						
Time since symptom onset to ICU admission, days, median, (IQR)	9 (7; 12)	8 (6; 11)	<0.001	8 (6; 11)	8 (7; 11)	0.63
Patients spending >2 days in hospital before ICU admission, n (%)	1165 (53.86)	196 (34.94)	<0.001	67 (29.2)	64 (27.9.57)	0.83
Time since symptom onset to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	10 (7; 12)	12 (10; 16)	<0.001	9 (7; 11)	12 (10; 16)	<0.001
Time since hospital admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	3 (2; 5)	5 (3; 9)	<0.001	2 (1; 3)	5 (3; 8)	<0.001
Time since ICU admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	0 (0; 0)	3 (2; 5)	<0.001	0 (0; 0)	3 (2; 5)	<0.001
<b>Waves</b>						
1 <sup>st</sup> wave, n (%)	1382 (63.8)	196 (34.9)	<0.001	117 (51)	93 (40.6)	0.03
2 <sup>nd</sup> wave, n (%)	524 (24.2)	228 (40.6)	<0.001	63 (27.5)	102 (44.5)	<0.001
3 <sup>rd</sup> wave, n (%)	198 (9.1)	98 (17.4)	<0.001	43 (18.7)	32 (13.9)	0.20
4 <sup>th</sup> wave, n (%)	30 (1.3)	14 (2.5)	0.08	6 (2.6)	2 (0.87)	0.28
<b>Outcomes</b>						
IMV days, median, (IQR)†	13 (8; 29)	17 (8; 29)	0.21	13 (8; 24)	18 (9; 31.5)	0.01
ICU days, median, (IQR)†	18 (12; 36)	28 (18; 43)	<0.001	17 (11; 32)	27 (16; 44)	<0.001

Prone position, n (%)	1638 (76.04)	451 (80.68)	<b>0.02</b>	167 (72.9)	192 (84.2)	<b>0.004</b>
Neuromuscular blockade, n (%)	1839 (85.06)	463 (82.97)	0.23	189 (82.5)	198 (86.4)	0.30
ECMO, n (%)	35 (1.6)	23 (4.11)	<b>0.01</b>	6 (2.6)	11 (4.8)	0.32
ICU mortality, n (%)	740 (34.2)	218 (38.8)	<b>0.04</b>	62 (27.07)	94 (41.05.1)	<b>0.002</b>
Hospital mortality, n (%)	792 (36.6)	233 (41.5)	<b>0.03</b>	62 (27.07)	99 (43.23)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
90-day mortality, n (%)	784 (39.8)	233 (45)	<b>0.03</b>	62 (30.2)	97 (44.5)	<b>0.003</b>

Early intubation is considered as  $\leq 48$  hours and delayed intubation, as  $> 48$  hours from ICU admission.

Definition of abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP: C reactive protein; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; HFNC: high-flow nasal cannula; ICU: intensive care unit; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation; IQR: interquartile range; NIV: non-invasive ventilation; PaCO<sub>2</sub>: partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the arterial blood; and PS: propensity score. Percentages calculated with non-missing data only. Variables used to perform PS matching included age, sex, respiratory rate at hospital admission, PaO<sub>2</sub>/FIO<sub>2</sub> at hospital admission (categorised as  $>300$  mmHg;  $200$  mmHg  $< PaO_2/FIO_2 \leq 300$  mmHg;  $100$  mmHg  $< PaO_2/FiO_2 \leq 200$  mmHg;  $PaO_2/FIO_2 \leq 100$  mmHg), days between hospital and ICU admission (categorised as  $\leq$  or  $> 2$  days), chronic immunosuppression, corticosteroid treatment and COVID-19 wave. After excluding patients with missing values, we had a population of n=1117. Table 43 in supplemental material shows standardised mean differences in baseline covariates from the matched population. \*HFNC with or without NIV; \*\* NIV with or without HFNC. \* and \*\* received at least one session of NIV and/or HFNC since hospital admission before intubation. † Analysed only in survivors. Boldface entries indicate statistical significance.

### Supplementary Table 4

Distribution of baseline characteristics from the matched sample of patients with severe COVID-19 undergoing invasive mechanical ventilation.

Covariate	SMD early vs delayed general population	SMD early vs delayed HFNC	SMD early vs delayed NIV+/- HFNC
Age	0.04	0.16	0.04
Sex	0.09	0.03	0.13
BMI	0.13	0.02	<b>0.27</b>
Hypertension	0.026	0.16	0.09
Diabetes mellitus	0.04	0	0
Chronic cardiac failure	0.07	0.06	0.02
COPD	0.03	0.04	0.02
Immunodepression	0.07	0.02	0.07
Received corticosteroids	0.07	0.02	0.09
<b>Clinical characteristics at hospital admission</b>			
PaO <sub>2</sub> /F <sub>I</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	0.002	0.11	0.01
Respiratory rate	0.06	0.01	0.03
PaCO <sub>2</sub>	0.03	0.11	<b>0.2</b>
pH	0.07	0.07	0.19
HFNC	<b>0.64</b>	-	-
NIV	<b>0.25</b>	-	-
CRP	0.07	0.16	<b>0.21</b>
Lymphocyte count	0.02	0.02	<b>0.21</b>
Platelets	0.11	0.12	0.15
D-dimer	0.09	0.06	0.06
Creatinine	0.03	0.01	0.14
Lactate	0.04	0.12	0.04
<b>Disease chronology</b>			
Time since symptom onset to ICU admission	0.001	0.16	0.15
Patients spending >2 days in hospital before ICU admission	0.05	0.02	0.05
Time since symptom onset to IMV	0.1	<b>0.27</b>	0.02
Time since hospital admission to IMV	<b>0.21</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.40</b>
Time since ICU admission to IMV	<b>0.26</b>	<b>1.04</b>	<b>1.5</b>
<b>Waves</b>			
1st wave	0.03	0.15	0.12
2nd wave	0.13	0.16	<b>0.22</b>
3rd wave	0.11	0	0.10
4th wave	0.09	0.04	0.13

Early intubation is considered as  $\leq 24$  hours and delayed intubation, as  $> 24$  hours from ICU admission. Definition of abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP: C reactive protein; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; HFNC: high-flow nasal cannula; ICU: intensive care unit; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation; IQR: interquartile range; NIV: non-invasive ventilation; PaCO<sub>2</sub>: partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the arterial blood; PS: propensity score; SMD: standardised mean differences. Boldface entries indicate significant SMD.



### Supplementary Table 5

Distribution of baseline characteristics from the matched sample of patients with severe COVID-19 undergoing invasive mechanical ventilation (48-hour timepoint analysis).

Covariate	SMD early vs delayed general population	SMD early vs delayed HFNC	SMD early vs delayed NIV+/- HFNC
Age	0.06	0.20	0.11
Sex	0.08	0.05	0.05
BMI	0.16	0.03	<b>0.49</b>
Hypertension	0.07	0.03	0.01
Diabetes mellitus	0.000	0.000	0.07
Chronic cardiac failure	0.025	0.05	0.07
COPD	0.000	0.03	<b>0.21</b>
Immunodepression	0.04	0.000	0.000
Received corticosteroids	0.03	0.03	0.03
<b>Clinical characteristics at hospital admission</b>			
PaO <sub>2</sub> /F <sub>I</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	0.003	0.17	0.03
Respiratory rate	0.08	<b>0.22</b>	0.07
PaCO <sub>2</sub>	0.07	0.08	0.09
pH	0.02	0.04	0.12
HFNC	0.07	-	-
NIV	<b>0.33</b>	-	-
CRP	0.01	0.005	0.07
Lymphocyte count	0.12	0.15	0.08
Platelets	0.08	0.09	0.02
D-dimer	0.14	0.06	0.13
Creatinine	0.001	0.12	0.05
Lactate	0.15	0.06	<b>0.32</b>
<b>Disease chronology</b>			
Time since symptom onset to ICU admission	0.10	0.02	0.17
Patients spending >2 days in hospital before ICU admission	0.02	0.08	0.03
Time since symptom onset to IMV	0.19	<b>0.52</b>	0.03
Time since hospital admission to IMV	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>1.04</b>
Time since ICU admission to IMV	<b>0.32</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>1.74</b>
<b>Waves</b>			
1st wave	0.2	<b>0.21</b>	0.17
2nd wave	<b>0.36</b>	0.20	<b>0.35</b>
3rd wave	0.11	0	0.18
4th wave	0.09	0.05	0.18

Early intubation is considered as  $\leq 48$  hours and delayed intubation, as  $> 48$  hours from ICU admission. Definition of abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP: C-reactive protein; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; HFNC: high-flow nasal cannula; ICU: intensive care unit; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation; IQR: interquartile range; NIV: non-invasive ventilation; PaCO<sub>2</sub>: partial pressure of

CO<sub>2</sub> in the arterial blood; PS: propensity score; SMD: standardised mean differences. Boldface entries indicate significant SMD.

## Supplementary Table 6

Changes throughout the pandemic as it relates to the use of non-invasive respiratory support therapies before invasive mechanical ventilation, time to intubation, and outcomes.

Variables	First wave N= 1578		Second wave N= 752		Third wave N= 296		Fourth wave N = 44	
	Early n =1148	Delayed n = 430	Early n =383	Delayed n =369	Early n = 139	Delayed n =157	Early n = 20	Delayed n = 24
Age, years, median, (IQR)	65 (57; 72) ¶	63 (54; 69)	65 (57; 73)	64 (56; 72)	68 (60; 72) ¶	64 (56; 70)	67 (58; 70)	64 (52; 71)
HFNC, n (%)*	492 (55.9) ¶	331 (88.7)	217 (71.6) ¶	341 (91.6)	97 (72.3) ¶	147 (94.2)	18 (90)	24 (100)
NIV, n (%)**	413 (14.7)	153 (36)	118 (32.5)	136 (35.5)	48 (34.5)	66 (42.5)	8 (40)	8 (33.3)
Time since symptom onset to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	10 (8; 13)	10 (8; 13)	9 (7; 12) ¶	11 (9; 15)	9 (7; 11) ¶	11 (8; 14)	11.5 (8; 13.5)	12 (9.75; 15)
Time since hospital admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	3 (2; 5)	3 (2; 5)	3 (2; 5) ¶	4 (3; 7)	3 (2; 4) ¶	4 (2; 7)	4 (2; 7.2)	3.5 (2.7.2)
Time since ICU admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	0 (0; 0) ¶	1 (1; 3)	0 (0; 0) ¶	2 (1; 4)	0 (0; 0) ¶	2 (1; 4)	0 (0; 0) ¶	2 (1; 4)
IMV days, median, (IQR)†	13 (8; 25)	14 (9; 26)	12.5 (7; 26)	14 (8; 32.3)	13 (7; 26)	14.5 (8; 37)	20 (11; 30.5)	11 (7.7; 17)
ICU days, median, (IQR)†	18 (11; 32.7) ¶	22 (13; 35.7)	17 (10; 33) ¶	25 (14; 45.7)	15.5 (9; 30) ¶	24 (12; 50)	29 (16; 36)	19 (16; 26.7)
Prone position, n (%)	907 (79.5)	321 (75.3)	225 (69.1) ¶	305 (79.6)	101 (72.6)	125 (79.6)	18 (90)	19 (79.1)
ECMO, n (%)	21 (1.8)	12 (2.7)	3 (0.8) ¶	16 (4.1)	1 (0.7)	2 (1.2)	1 (5)	1 (4.1)
Neuromuscular blockade, n (%)	995 (86.6)	360 (83.9)	298 (81.2)	312 (81.8)	120 (86.3)	136 (86.6)	14 (70)	20 (83.3)
ICU mortality, n (%)	436 (37.9)	143 (33.2)	113 (30.6) ¶	147 (38.3)	39 (28)	50 (31.8)	3 (15)	6 (25)
Hospital mortality, n (%)	462 (40.2) ¶	148 (34.4)	122 (33) ¶	157 (40.9)	47 (33.8)	59 (37.5)	3 (15)	6 (25)
90-day mortality, n (%)	457 (42.5) ¶	147 (35)	122 (37) ¶	155 (44.6)	46 (37.1)	59 (42.4)	4 (30.7)	6 (40)

Early intubation is considered as  $\leq 24$  hours and delayed intubation, as  $> 24$  hours from ICU

admission. Definition of abbreviations: ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; HFNC: high-flow nasal cannula; ICU: intensive care unit; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation; IQR: interquartile range; NIV: non-invasive ventilation. Percentages calculated with non-missing data only. Fifty-five patients from the general cohort were not included in this analysis as they did not belong to any of the four waves. \*High-flow nasal cannula with or without NIV; \*\* NIV with or without high-flow nasal cannula. \* and \*\* received at least one session of NIV and/or high-flow nasal cannula since hospital admission before intubation. † Analysed only in survivors. ¶ p <0.05 vs. late intubation.

### Supplementary Table 7

Characteristics and outcomes of critically ill patients with COVID-19 receiving early or delayed intubation depending on prior use of high-flow nasal cannula or non-invasive ventilation (unmatched population).

Variables	High-flow nasal cannula N = 1082			Non-invasive mechanical ventilation with or without high-flow nasal cannula N = 963		
	Early intubation (n = 522)	Delayed intubation (n = 560)	<i>p value</i>	Early intubation (n = 588)	Delayed intubation (n = 375)	<i>p value</i>
Age, years, median, (IQR)	65 (56; 72)	63 (54; 70)	<b>0.01</b>	64 (56; 70)	64 (56; 72)	0.46
Sex, female, n (%)	163 (31.2)	152 (27.1)	0.14	155 (26.3)	105 (28)	0.60
BMI, Kg/m <sup>2</sup> , median, (IQR)	28.6 (25.9; 31.9)	28.7 (26.1; 32.4)	0.57	29.3 (25.9; 32.7)	29.5 (26.7; 32.6)	0.17
Hypertension, n (%)	248 (47.5)	280 (50)	0.42	298 (50.6)	209 (55.7)	0.12
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	128 (24.5)	137 (24.4)	1	144 (24.4)	108 (28.8)	0.15
Chronic cardiac failure, n (%)	57 (10.9)	58 (10.3)	0.76	61 (10.3)	64 (17)	<b>0.003</b>
COPD, n (%)	48 (9.2)	55 (9.8)	0.75	46 (7.8)	34 (9)	0.55
Immunodepression, n (%)	91 (17.4)	119 (21.2)	0.12	108 (18.3)	98 (26.1)	<b>0.005</b>
Received corticosteroids, n (%)	447 (85.8)	498 (90.3)	<b>0.02</b>	519 (88.5)	340 (90.9)	0.28
<b>Clinical characteristics at hospital admission</b>						
PaO <sub>2</sub> /F <sub>i</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , median, (IQR)	242.8 (178.7; 298.8)	215.2 (118.3; 283.4)	<b>0.001</b>	222.5 (149.6; 280.9)	150 (89; 252.2)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Respiratory rate, respiration per	24 (20; 28)	24 (20; 30)	0.19	24 (20; 30)	27 (22; 32)	<b>0.005</b>

minute, median, (IQR)						
PaCO <sub>2</sub> , mmHg, median, (IQR)	34 (30.1; 38.5)	34 (30; 38)	0.84	33 (30; 38)	34.2 (30.3; 38)	0.12
pH, median, (IQR)	7.45 (7.41; 7.47)	7.45 (7.41; 7.47)	0.66	7.45 (7.41; 7.47)	7.44 (7.41; 7.48)	0.76
CRP, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	12.3 (7; 19.7)	11.9 (6.6; 20.6)	0.96	13.3 (6.9; 21.2)	13.5 (8.1; 22.2)	0.16
Lymphocyte count, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)	0.8 (0.6; 1.1)	0.8 (0.6; 1.1)	0.67	0.8 (0.58; 1.1)	0.73 (0.51; 1.02)	0.17
Platelets, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)	179 (138; 229)	182 (142; 238)	0.27	184 (148; 236)	193 (147; 245)	0.38
D-dimer, mg/L, median, (IQR)	0.62 (0.37; 1.09)	0.57 (0.34; 1.08)	0.42	0.66 (0.42; 1.08)	0.69 (0.39; 1.25)	0.78
Creatinine, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	0.98 (0.79; 1.17)	0.96 (0.79; 1.22)	0.70	0.97 (0.8; 1.2)	0.93 (0.76; 1.2)	0.25
Lactate, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	12.6 (9; 17.3)	13.3 (9.9; 17.9)	0.61	13.5 (9.9; 18)	13.4 (9.9; 16.5)	0.59
<b>Disease chronology</b>						
Time since symptom onset to ICU admission, days, median, (IQR)	9 (7; 11)	8 (6; 10)	<b>0.026</b>	10 (6; 12)	9 (7; 11)	0.33
Patients spending >2 days in hospital before ICU admission, n (%)	293 (56.1)	196 (35)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	371 (63.1)	127 (33.8)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Time since symptom onset to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	9 (7; 12)	11 (8; 14)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	10 (8; 14)	11 (9; 15)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Time since hospital admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	3 (2; 5)	4 (2; 6)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	3 (2; 6)	4 (2; 7)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Time since ICU admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	0 (0; 0)	2 (1; 3)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0 (0; 0)	2 (1; 4)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Waves</b>						
1 <sup>st</sup> wave, n (%)	307 (58.8)	211 (37.6)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	413 (70.2)	153 (40.8)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>

2 <sup>nd</sup> wave, n (%)	134 (25.6)	226 (40.3)	< <b>0.001</b>	118 (20)	136 (36.2)	< <b>0.001</b>
3 <sup>rd</sup> wave, n (%)	57 (10.9)	85 (15.1)	<b>0.03</b>	48 (8.1)	66 (17.6)	< <b>0.001</b>
4 <sup>th</sup> wave, n (%)	12 (2.3)	16 (2.8)	0.7	8 (1.3)	8 (2.1)	0.44
<b>Outcomes</b>						
IMV days, median, (IQR) †	13 (8; 25)	13 (8; 29)	0.92	12.5 (8; 24)	15.5 (9; 28.7)	<b>0.02</b>
ICU days, median, (IQR) †	18 (11; 33)	22 (13; 39)	<b>0.001</b>	17 (10; 29.2)	24 (14; 41)	< <b>0.001</b>
Prone position, n (%)	382 (73.3)	429 (76.8)	0.18	496 (84.6)	301 (80.4)	0.09
ECMO, n (%)	9 (1.7)	12 (2.1)	0.66	13 (2.2)	18 (4.8)	<b>0.03</b>
Neuromuscular blockade, n (%)	432 (82.9)	480 (85.8)	0.2	509 (86.7)	304 (81.5)	<b>0.03</b>
ICU mortality, n (%)	143 (27.3)	176 (31.4)	0.16	187 (31.8)	145 (38.6)	<b>0.03</b>
Hospital mortality, n (%)	183 (32.6)	157 (30)	0.36	204 (34.6)	159 (42.4)	<b>0.01</b>
90-day mortality, n (%)	156 (33.1)	183 (36.4)	0.28	205 (36.9)	156 (44.9)	<b>0.01</b>

Early intubation is considered as  $\leq 24$  hours and delayed intubation, as  $> 24$  hours from ICU admission. Definition of abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP: C-reactive protein; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; ICU: intensive care unit; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation; IQR: interquartile range; PaCO<sub>2</sub>: partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the arterial blood. Percentages calculated with non-missing data only. † Analysed only in survivors. Boldface entries indicate statistical significance.

### Supplementary Table 8

Characteristics and outcomes of critically ill patients with COVID-19 receiving early or delayed intubation depending on prior use of high-flow nasal cannula or non-invasive ventilation (48-hour timepoint analysis, unmatched population).

Variables	High-flow nasal cannula N = 1082			Non-invasive mechanical ventilation with or without high-flow nasal cannula N = 963		
	Early intubation (n = 795)	Delayed intubation (n = 287)	<i>p value</i>	Early intubation (n = 727)	Delayed intubation (n = 236)	<i>p value</i>
Age, years, median, (IQR)	64 (56; 71)	63 (53; 70)	0.07	64 (56; 70)	64 (56; 71)	0.43
Sex, female, n (%)	234 (29.4)	81 (28.2)	0.76	196 (26.9)	64 (27.1)	1
BMI, Kg/m <sup>2</sup> , median, (IQR)	28.7 (26; 32.2)	28.4 (25.7; 31.9)	0.40	29.4 (26.2; 33)	29.5 (26.2; 32)	0.28
Hypertension, n (%)	382 (48)	146 (50.8)	0.49	378 (51.9)	129 (54.6)	0.5
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	192 (24.1)	73 (25.4)	0.68	189 (26)	63 (26.6)	0.86
Chronic cardiac failure, n (%)	89 (11.1)	26 (9)	0.37	85 (11.6)	40 (16.9)	<b>0.04</b>
COPD, n (%)	77 (9.6)	26 (9)	0.81	55 (7.5)	25 (10.5)	0.17
Immunodepression, n (%)	140 (17.6)	70 (24.3)	<b>0.01</b>	138 (18.9)	68 (28.8)	<b>0.002</b>
Received corticosteroids, n (%)	689 (87.2)	256 (90.7)	0.13	637 (87.8)	222 (94.4)	<b>0.003</b>
<b>Clinical characteristics at hospital admission</b>						
PaO <sub>2</sub> /F <sub>i</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , median, (IQR)	230 (152.5; 292.3)	225 (129.8; 285.9)	0.45	203.3 (119.5; 269.7)	163.1 (98.2; 261.1)	0.07
Respiratory rate, respiration per minute, median,	24 (20; 30)	24 (20; 30)	0.82	25 (20; 32)	28 (22; 32)	0.11



(IQR)						
PaCO <sub>2</sub> , mmHg, median, (IQR)	34 (30.2; 38.5)	33.4 (30; 36.6)	0.08	33 (30; 38)	34 (30.4; 37.7)	0.36
pH, median, (IQR)	7.45 (7.41; 7.47)	7.45 (7.41; 7.47)	0.43	7.45 (7.41; 7.47)	7.44 (7.41; 7.48)	0.54
CRP, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	12.3 (7; 20.2)	11.3 (6.1; 20.8)	0.40	13.5 (7.1; 21.4)	13.4 (8; 22)	0.56
Lymphocyte count, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)	0.8 (0.6; 1.1)	0.8 (0.6; 1.1)	0.68	0.78 (0.57; 1.09)	0.73 (0.52; 1.02)	0.4
Platelets, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)	180 (140; 233)	183 (140; 237)	0.91	185 (149; 237)	189 (142; 246)	0.84
D-dimer, mg/L, median, (IQR)	0.61 (0.36; 1.2)	0.56 (0.33; 1)	0.64	0.68 (0.41; 1.1)	0.69 (0.39; 1.24)	0.79
Creatinine, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	0.97 (0.79; 1.17)	0.98 (0.79; 1.28)	0.20	0.96 (0.8; 1.2)	0.93 (0.74; 1.1)	0.12
Lactate, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	12.6 (9.3; 17.1)	13.5 (9.9; 18)	0.29	13.5 (9.9; 18)	11.7 (9; 15.7)	<b>0.004</b>
<b>Disease chronology</b>						
Time since symptom onset to ICU admission, days, median, (IQR)	9 (7; 12)	8 (7; 11)	0.07	10 (7; 13)	8 (6; 11)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Patients spending >2 days in hospital before ICU admission, n (%)	387 (48.6)	102 (35.5)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	414 (56.9)	84 (35.5)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Time since symptom onset to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	9 (7; 12)	12 (10; 15)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	10 (8; 13)	12 (10; 17)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Time since hospital admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	3 (1; 5)	5 (3; 8)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	3 (2; 5)	6 (3; 9)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Time since ICU admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	0 (0; 1)	3 (2; 4)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0 (0; 0)	3 (2; 5)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Waves</b>						
1 <sup>st</sup> wave, n (%)	426 (53.5)	92 (32)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	487 (66.9)	79 (33.4)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>

2 <sup>nd</sup> wave, n (%)	236 (29.6)	226 (40.3)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	159 (21.8)	95 (40.2)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
3 <sup>rd</sup> wave, n (%)	90 (11.3)	52 (18.1)	<b>0.04</b>	69 (9.4)	45 (19)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
4 <sup>th</sup> wave, n (%)	20 (2.5)	8 (2.7)	0.82	10 (1.3)	6 (2.5)	0.24
<b>Outcomes</b>						
IMV days, median, (IQR) †	13 (8; 26)	13 (7; 29)	0.82	12.5 (8; 24)	15.5 (9; 28.7)	<b>0.02</b>
ICU days, median, (IQR) †	18 (12; 35)	24 (15; 41)	<b>0.001</b>	17 (10; 29.2)	24 (14; 41)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Prone position, n (%)	585 (73.7)	226 (79)	0.08	600 (82.8)	197 (83.4)	0.92
ECMO, n (%)	13 (1.6)	8 (2.7)	0.22	16 (2.2)	15 (6.3)	<b>0.004</b>
Neuromuscular blockade, n (%)	668 (84.1)	244 (85.3)	0.70	624 (85.9)	189 (80.7)	0.06
ICU mortality, n (%)	223 (28)	96 (33.4)	0.09	226 (31)	106 (44.9)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Hospital mortality, n (%)	240 (30.1)	100 (34.8)	0.15	248 (34.1)	115 (48.7)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
90-day mortality, n (%)	239 (33.5)	100 (38.3)	0.17	247 (36.1)	114 (52)	<b>&lt;0.01</b>

Early intubation is considered as  $\leq 48$  hours and delayed intubation, as  $> 48$  hours from ICU admission. Definition of abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP: C-reactive protein; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; ICU: intensive care unit; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation; IQR: interquartile range; PaCO<sub>2</sub>: partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the arterial blood. Percentages calculated with non-missing data only. † Analysed only in survivors. Boldface entries indicate statistical significance.

### Supplementary Table 9

Characteristics and outcomes of critically ill patients with COVID-19 receiving early or delayed intubation depending on prior use of high-flow nasal cannula or non-invasive ventilation (48-hour timepoint analysis, matched population).

Variables	High-flow nasal cannula N = 230			Non-invasive mechanical ventilation with or without high-flow nasal cannula N = 236		
	Early intubation (n = 115)	Delayed intubation (n = 115)	<i>p</i> value	Early intubation (n = 118)	Delayed intubation (n = 118)	<i>p</i> value
Age, years, median, (IQR)	60 (52; 68)	64 (54; 71)	0.08	63 (55; 70)	64 (58; 72)	0.37
Sex, female, n (%)	38 (33)	35 (30)	0.77	35 (29.6)	32 (27.1)	0.77
BMI, Kg/m <sup>2</sup> , median, (IQR)	29.3 (27.1; 33.5)	28 (25.7; 31.9)	<b>0.03</b>	31.1 (28.1; 35.7)	29.1 (26.1; 32)	<b>0.001</b>
Hypertension, n (%)	58 (50.4)	56 (48.7)	0.89	65 (55)	64 (54.2)	1
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	29 (25.2)	29 (25.2)	1	37 (31.3)	33 (27.9)	0.66
Chronic cardiac failure, n (%)	13 (11.3)	15 (13)	0.84	14 (11.8)	14 (14.4)	0.70
COPD, n (%)	10 (8.7)	9 (7.8)	1	9 (7.6)	17 (14.4)	0.14
Immunodepression, n (%)	20 (17.3)	20 (17.3)	1	26 (22)	26 (22)	1
Received corticosteroids, n (%)	107 (93)	106 (92.1)	1	110 (93.2)	111 (94)	1
<b>Clinical characteristics at hospital admission</b>						
PaO <sub>2</sub> /F <sub>i</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , median, (IQR)	214.2 (149; 280.3)	193.3 (132; 275.2)	0.48	173.7 (95.8; 238.3)	164.2 (98.7; 261.4)	0.71
Respiratory rate, respiration per minute, median,	24 (20; 29)	25 (20; 31)	0.11	27 (22; 35)	28 (22; 32)	0.61

(IQR)						
PaCO <sub>2</sub> , mmHg, median, (IQR)	34 (31; 37)	33 (30; 37)	0.19	33 (30; 38)	33 (29; 38)	0.79
pH, median, (IQR)	7.45 (7.42; 7.47)	7.45 (7.43; 7.48)	0.89	7.45 (7.41; 7.48)	7.46 (7.42; 7.48)	0.45
CRP, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	12.7 (8.2; 21.4)	13 (7.3; 21.2)	0.71	14.1 (7.8; 20.8)	13.6 (8.3; 22.2)	0.36
Lymphocyte count, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)	0.8 (0.6; 1)	0.8 (0.6; 1)	0.60	0.8 (0.6; 1.08)	0.8 (0.6; 1.02)	0.92
Platelets, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L, median, (IQR)	191 (156; 243)	182 (146; 232)	0.49	187 (150; 231)	196 (149; 254)	0.33
D-dimer, mg/L, median, (IQR)	0.56 (0.41; 0.9)	0.54 (0.38; 0.97)	0.84	0.65 (0.4; 1)	0.69 (0.39; 1.39)	0.37
Creatinine, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	0.95 (0.78; 1.17)	0.94 (0.79; 1.23)	0.99	0.99 (0.8; 1.28)	0.91 (0.71; 1.11)	<b>0.05</b>
Lactate, mg/dL, median, (IQR)	12.6 (9.7; 16.2)	12.6 (9; 17.1)	0.95	13.4 (10.1; 16.2)	11.4 (9; 14.4)	<b>0.04</b>
<b>Disease chronology</b>						
Time since symptom onset to ICU admission, days, median, (IQR)	8 (6; 11)	8 (6; 10)	0.66	8 (6; 11)	8 (7; 12)	0.75
Patients spending >2 days in hospital before ICU admission, n (%)	31 (26.9)	27 (23.4)	0.64	39 (33)	37 (31.3)	0.88
Time since symptom onset to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	9 (6; 11)	11 (9; 14)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	9 (7; 13)	12 (9; 16)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Time since hospital admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	2 (1; 3)	4 (3; 6)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	2 (1; 3)	5.5 (3; 8)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Time since ICU admission to IMV, days, median, (IQR)	0 (0; 0)	3 (2; 4)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0 (0; 1)	3 (2; 5)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Waves</b>						
1 <sup>st</sup> wave, n (%)	54 (46.9)	41 (35.6)	0.10	53 (44.9)	43 (36.4)	0.23
2 <sup>nd</sup> wave, n (%)	39 (33.9)	51 (44.3)	0.13	34 (28.8)	55 (46.6)	<b>0.007</b>
3 <sup>rd</sup> wave, n (%)	19 (16.5)	19 (16.5)	1	29 (24.5)	20 (16.9)	0.57
4 <sup>th</sup> wave, n (%)	3 (2.6)	4 (3.4)	1	2 (1.6)	0 (0)	0.49
<b>Outcomes</b>						
IMV days, median, (IQR) †	13 (9; 25)	15 (8; 32)	0.61	12 (8; 24)	19 (8; 30)	0.28
ICU days, median, (IQR) †	18 (12; 35)	27 (18; 46)	<b>0.003</b>	21 (12; 31)	29 (15; 45)	<b>0.02</b>

Prone position, n (%)	82 (71.3)	96 (83.4)	<b>0.04</b>	97 (82.9)	98 (83)	1
ECMO, n (%)	2 (1.7)	3 (2.6)	1	1 (0.8)	6 (5)	0.11
Neuromuscular blockade, n (%)	93 (80.8)	98 (85.2)	0.48	106 (90.6)	104 (88.1)	0.67
ICU mortality, n (%)	31 (21)	48 (32.6)	<b>0.003</b>	35 (29.6)	53 (44.9)	<b>0.02</b>
Hospital mortality, n (%)	22 (19.1)	43 (37.3)	<b>0.003</b>	36 (30.5)	55 (46.6)	<b>0.01</b>
90-day mortality, n (%)	31 (23.8)	51 (37.7)	<b>0.01</b>	37 (33.9)	54 (48.2)	<b>0.04</b>

Early intubation is considered as  $\leq 48$  hours and delayed intubation, as  $> 48$  hours from ICU admission. Definition of abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP: C reactive protein; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; ICU: intensive care unit; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation; IQR: interquartile range; and PaCO<sub>2</sub>: partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the arterial blood. Percentages calculated with non-missing data only. Patients included in the subgroup analysis received at least one session of NIV and/or HFNC before intubation. Variables used to perform PS matching included age, sex, respiratory rate at hospital admission, PaO<sub>2</sub>/F<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at hospital admission (categorised as  $>300$  mmHg;  $200 \text{ mmHg} < \text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2 \leq 300 \text{ mmHg}$ ;  $100 \text{ mmHg} < \text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2 \leq 200 \text{ mmHg}$ ;  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_1\text{O}_2 \leq 100 \text{ mmHg}$ ), days between hospital and ICU admission (categorised as  $\leq$  or  $> 2$  days), chronic immunosuppression, corticosteroid treatment and COVID-19 wave. After excluding patients with missing values, we had a population of 455 patients treated only with HFNC and 396 for patients with NIV +/- HFNC. Table 5 in the supplemental material shows standardised mean differences in baseline covariates from the matched population. † Analysed only in survivors. Boldface entries indicate statistical significance.

**Supplementary Table 10**

Gas exchange, mechanical ventilation parameters and respiratory mechanics of critically ill patients with COVID-19 receiving either early or delayed intubation (matched population).

Variables			
	Early	Delayed	
	intubation	intubation	
	N = 307	N = 307	<i>p value</i>
PaO <sub>2</sub> /F <sub>I</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , median, (IQR)	109 (79; 156)	112 (79; 163)	0.78
Ventilatory ratio, median, (IQR)	1.61 (1.36; 1.98)	1.62 (1.36; 2.06)	0.59
Tidal volume /PBW, median, (IQR)	7.1 (6.32; 8.17)	6.86 (6.18; 7.56)	<b>0.01</b>
Respiratory rate, rpm, median, (IQR)	20 (18; 24)	21 (18; 24)	0.73
PEEP, cmH <sub>2</sub> O, median, (IQR)	12 (10; 14)	12 (10; 14)	0.80
Peak inspiratory pressure, cmH <sub>2</sub> O, median, (IQR)	31 (28; 36)	32 (29; 36)	0.27
Positive end-inspiratory pressure, cmH <sub>2</sub> O, median, (IQR)	24 (21; 28)	25 (23; 28)	<b>0.01</b>
Driving pressure, cmH <sub>2</sub> O, median, (IQR)	12 (9; 15)	13 (10; 15)	<b>0.03</b>

Early intubation is considered as  $\leq 24$  hours and delayed intubation, as  $> 24$  hours from ICU admission. Definition of abbreviations: IQR: interquartile range; PBW: predicted body weight; PEEP: positive end-expiratory pressure; RPM: respirations per minute. Percentages calculated with non-missing data only. Boldface entries indicate statistical significance.

### Supplementary Table 11

Gas exchange, mechanical ventilation parameters and respiratory mechanics of critically ill patients with COVID-19 receiving either early or delayed intubation (48-hour timepoint analysis, matched population).

Variables	Early	Delayed	<i>p value</i>
	intubation	intubation	
	N = 229	N = 229	
PaO <sub>2</sub> /F <sub>I</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , median, (IQR)	125 (83.3; 178.3)	101.8 (75.6; 148.7)	<b>0.007</b>
Ventilatory ratio, median, (IQR)	1.68 (1.39; 2.19)	1.59 (1.36; 1.92)	0.13
Tidal volume /PBW, median, (IQR)	7.02 (6.30; 7.79)	6.81 (6.18; 7.39)	<b>0.01</b>
Respiratory rate, rpm, median, (IQR)	20 (18; 24)	22 (20; 24)	0.13
PEEP, cmH <sub>2</sub> O, median, (IQR)	12 (10; 14)	12 (10; 14)	0.32
Peak inspiratory pressure, cmH <sub>2</sub> O, median, (IQR)	32 (29; 36)	32 (29; 37)	0.35
Positive end-inspiratory pressure, cmH <sub>2</sub> O, median, (IQR)	25 (22; 28)	26 (23; 28)	0.16
Driving pressure, cmH <sub>2</sub> O, median, (IQR)	12 (10; 15)	13 (10; 16)	0.14

Early intubation is considered as  $\leq 48$  hours and delayed intubation, as  $> 48$  hours from ICU admission. Definition of abbreviations: IQR: interquartile range; PBW: predicted body weight; PEEP: positive end-expiratory pressure; RPM: respirations per minute. Percentages calculated with non-missing data only. Boldface entries indicate statistical significance.