Clinical & Translational Immunology 2022; e1372. doi: 10.1002/cti2.1372 www.wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/cti

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

### Genome-wide off-target analyses of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated T-cell receptor engineering in primary human T cells

Theresa Kaeuferle<sup>1,2</sup>, Tanja A Stief<sup>1,2</sup>, Stefan Canzar<sup>3</sup>, Nayad N Kutlu<sup>1</sup>, Semjon Willier<sup>1</sup>, Dana Stenger<sup>1</sup>, Paulina Ferrada-Ernst<sup>1</sup>, Nicola Habjan<sup>1</sup>, Annika E Peters<sup>1</sup>, Dirk H Busch<sup>2,4</sup> & Tobias Feuchtinger<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatric Hematology, Oncology, Hemostaseology and Stem Cell Transplantation, Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany

<sup>2</sup>German Center for Infection Research (DZIF), Munich, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Gene Center, Ludwig Maximilians University of Munich, Munich, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Institute for Medical Microbiology, Immunology and Hygiene, Technische Universität München (TUM), Munich, Germany

#### Correspondence

T Feuchtinger, Department of Pediatric Hematology, Oncology, Hemostaseology and Stem Cell Transplantation, Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital - Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, Lindwurmstrasse 4, 80337 Munich, Germany. E-mail: tobias.feuchtinger@med.unimuenchen.de

Received 16 February 2021; Revised 4 November 2021 and 9 January 2022; Accepted 10 January 2022

doi: 10.1002/cti2.1372

Clinical & Translational Immunology 2022; 11: e1372

#### Abstract

**Objectives.** Exploiting the forces of human T cells for treatment has led to the current paradigm of emerging immunotherapy strategies. Genetic engineering of the T-cell receptor (TCR) redirects specificity, ablates alloreactivity and brings significant progress and off-the-shelf options to emerging adoptive T-cell transfer (ACT) approaches. Targeted CRISPR/Cas9-mediated doublestrand breaks in the DNA enable knockout or knock-in engineering. Methods. Here, we perform CRISPR/Cas9-mediated TCR knockout using a therapeutically relevant ribonucleoprotein (RNP) delivery method to assess the safety of genetically engineered T-cell products. Whole-genome sequencing was performed to analyse whether CRISPR/Cas9-mediated DNA doublestrand break at the TCR locus is associated with off-target events in human primary T cells. **Results.** TCR $\alpha$  chain and TCR $\beta$  chain knockout leads to high on-target InDel frequency and functional knockout. None of the predicted off-target sites could be confirmed experimentally, whereas whole-genome sequencing and manual Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) review revealed 9 potential low-frequency off-target events genome-wide. Subsequent amplification and targeted deep sequencing in 7 of 7 evaluable loci did not confirm these low-frequency InDels. Therefore, off-target events are unlikely to be caused by the CRISPR/Cas9 engineering. Conclusion. The combinatorial approach of whole-genome sequencing and targeted deep sequencing confirmed highly specific genetic engineering using CRISPR/Cas9mediated TCR knockout without potentially harmful exonic offtarget effects.

**Keywords:** CRISPR/Cas9, genetic engineering, off-target, T-cell receptor, T-cell therapy, whole-genome sequencing

#### INTRODUCTION

T cells are a powerful tool of adaptive immunity being able to mediate tumor rejection and pathogen clearance. Adoptive T-cell transfer (ACT) of antigen-specific T cells paved the way to immunity.<sup>1</sup> transfer therapeutic Genetic engineering of T cells opens up a new era of adoptive T-cell therapies to treat a variety of infectious diseases and cancer. Engineering has become an enabler for almost unlimited options of synthetic immunity, introducing antigenspecific receptors and other attributes intended to improve therapeutic efficacy and safety.<sup>2-4</sup> Historically, the genetic manipulation of primary T cells began with recombinant retroviral vectors, still remaining the most prevalent vectors in clinical studies of TCR- or CAR-modified T cells. However, genetic engineering approaches using transposons, and retro- or lentiviral vectors bear various risks, such as random/semi-random integration into the human genome resulting in unphysiological promoter control and incidental manipulation of proto-oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes.<sup>5-8</sup> Therefore, novel virus-free methods with targeted integration strategies, such as clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/ CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9), appear to be highly attractive alternatives. 9–12

Technically, CRISPR/Cas9 approaches advance the field of genetic engineering by enabling gene knockout, and simultaneous knockout and sitedirected knock-in in a two-in-one process: CRISPR/ Cas9-induced targeted double-strand breaks result in gene knockout because of the error-prone endogenous DNA repair mechanism (nonhomologous end joining) typically leading to small insertions and deletions (InDels), often causing frameshift mutations.<sup>13</sup> In case homologycontaining templates are present at the same time, the double-strand break can be corrected via transgene integration (homology-directed repair). Homology-directed repair leads to a knockout of the endogenous function with simultaneous knock-in of a transgene in a two-inone process.<sup>14</sup>

Genetic engineering of the T-cell receptor (TCR) does not only redirect specificity by concurrent preservation of physiological TCR regulation,<sup>6–8</sup> but also ablates alloreactivity, thereby bringing significant progress to future off-the-shelf options of emerging adoptive T-cell transfer approaches.<sup>15</sup>

However, the transfer of genetically engineered T cells has to meet particularly high safety standards, which has so far limited the approach in its applicability. A complication of CRISPR/Cas9mediated knockout of endogenous TCRs could be the binding of the Cas9 nuclease to unintended genomic sites that share a sequence homology with the on-target site.<sup>16</sup> In addition to typical double-strand breaks and InDel mutations, Cas9 nucleases can also lead to chromosome rearrangements.<sup>16</sup> Therefore, the identification of putative off-target sites is of utmost importance for the safety of the generated T-cell products considering future clinical application.

In the present study, the safety of CRISPR/Cas9mediated TCR-engineered T-cell products was assessed in terms of unspecific nuclease-induced off-target events using not only a biased target prediction-based approach but also an unbiased whole-genome sequencing and targeted deep sequencing combinatorial approach. This approach allows the genome-wide identification of off-target events and a quantitative analysis of their frequencies in the T-cell product.

#### RESULTS

## CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of the endogenous TCR

Genetic engineering of the TCR in donor-derived T cells opens up a new era of emerging adoptive T-cell transfer (ACT) approaches (Figure 1a). Aiming to study TCR-engineered primary human T cells, we knocked out the genes encoding for TCR $\alpha$  chain (TRAC) and TCR $\beta$  chain (TRBC) using CRISPR/Cas9 technology. The knockout was phenotypically and genetically highly efficient with 87% (TRAC KO) and 79% (TRBC KO) TCRnegative T cells and insertion/deletion (InDel) frequencies of 87% (TRAC KO) and 78% (TRBC KO; Figure 1b and c). Locus-dependent InDel patterns after CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene knockout have been determined after PCR amplification of the TRAC and TRBC loci and are shown in Figure 1d. Although there seems to be a trend towards slightly higher TRAC knockout rates, frequencies of TCR-negative T cells and InDel frequencies were not significantly different between TRAC and TRBC loci (P = 0.3 and P = 0.2, respectively: Figure 1b). Functionally, TCR knockout was confirmed by significantly impaired  $IFN-\gamma$ production upon super-antigen

staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) stimulation (2.4% IFN- $\gamma^+$  TCR knockout cytotoxic T cells vs. 22.7% IFN- $\gamma^+$  MOCK cytotoxic T cells; Figure 1e). Read coverage of next-generation sequencing revealed reduced read frequencies at the doublestrand break sites, thereby confirming successful knockout of the *TRAC* and *TRBC* genes (Figure 1f).

#### Analysis of predicted gRNA-dependent Cas9-induced off-target sites

The safety of the T-cell product was assessed in terms of unspecific nuclease-induced off-target events in T cells genetically engineered at these TCR loci. CRISPR/Cas9-induced off-target events



**Figure 1.** CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of *TRAC* and *TRBC* in primary human T cells. (a) Adoptive transfer of TCR-engineered T-cell product approach. (b) Frequencies of TCR-negative (TCR<sup>-</sup>) T cells after *TRAC* or *TRBC* knockout detected by flow cytometric analysis. Data show mean  $\pm$  SD of  $\geq$  3 independent experiments. Total insertion and deletion frequency (InDel freq.) at on-target site determined by TIDE after knockout of either *TRAC* or *TRBC*. Mean  $\pm$  SD of 2 WGS donors. Student's *t*-test; n.s. = not significant. (c) Flow cytometric density plots of anti-TCRa/β-stained CD4 and CD8 T cells exemplary shown for *TRBC* knockout. (d) TIDE-generated insertion and deletion patterns at on-target sites of *TRAC* and *TRBC* KO T cells. Mean  $\pm$  SD of 2 WGS donors. (e) Intracellular cytokine staining for IFN- $\gamma$  without stimulation (Unstim.) or Staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) stimulus in MOCK-electroporated and TCR KO T cells. Mean  $\pm$  SD of 5 independent experiments. Student's *t*-test; \*\* *P* < 0.01. (f) Schematic *TRAC* and *TRBC* gene at CRISPR/Cas9 on-target site region. Read coverage in untreated, MOCK, and *TRAC/TRBC* KO cells based on IGV exemplary shown for one donor. CRISPR/Cas9 cut sites are indicated with dotted lines.

were investigated using whole-genome sequencing (WGS), and InDel mutations were evaluated on predicted sites and genome-wide.

Cas-OFFinder *in silico* predicted 138 off-target sites for *TRAC* gRNA and 208 off-target sites for *TRBC* gRNA allowing four mismatches between gRNA and target sequence (Supplementary tables 1 and 2). Therefore, no off-target sites allowing 0 or 1 mismatch between gRNA and target sequence were predicted for *TRAC* or *TRBC* gRNA, 1 off-target site allowing 2 mismatches was predicted for *TRBC* gRNA, and 5 or 26 off-target sites allowing 3 mismatches were predicted for *TRAC* or *TRBC* gRNA. Allowing up to 4 mismatches led to 133 additional predicted off-targets for *TRAC* gRNA and 181 for *TRBC* gRNA (Figure 2a).

In silico predicted off-target sites were experimentally analysed for verification on wholegenome sequencing data sets of 2 independent CRISPR/Cas9-engineered T-cell products. T-cell samples from healthy male and female donors were engineered with either *TRAC* or *TRBC* gRNA, whereas untreated and MOCK (electroporated only) T cells served as controls (Figure 2b). Wholegenome sequencing (WGS) yielded a stable overall depth of genomic coverage over all samples and donors (32.9x mean of untreated, 32.6x of MOCK, 33.5x of *TRAC* and 33.1x of *TRBC* sample;



**Figure 2.** Predicted gRNA-dependent Cas9-induced off-target sites. (a) Numbers of Cas-OFFinder predicted gRNA-dependent off-target sites with up to 4 mismatches to the target sequence. (b) Samples subjected to whole-genome sequencing. (c) Depth of average genomic coverage (x-fold) including duplicated fragments. (d) Coverage plot showing base coverage distribution (including duplicated fragments) from high-quality aligned data of Donor 1 and Donor 2 samples. (e) Schematic *LINC00377* and *ZNF609* genes at CRISPR/Cas9 off-target site region. Read coverage in untreated, MOCK and *TRAC/TRBC* KO cells based on IGV exemplary shown for one donor. Identified CRISPR/Cas9 cut sites are indicated with blue dotted lines. Predicted cut site (if different from identified) is indicated with black dotted line. (f) Frequency of mutated reads among total reads at candidate off-target InDel sites. Mean  $\pm$  SD of 2 donors.

Figure 2c). Figure 2d demonstrates the uniformity of coverage distribution of aligned data in all analysed samples. The samples of Donor 1 reached 30x coverage in 51–61% of the respective reference genome, and Donor 2 samples reached 30x coverage in 66–74%.

One of the in silico predicted off-target sites nuclease-induced candidate showed InDel mutation (TRBC INDEL\_P2) upon Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) review. TRBC INDEL P2 is located in the intronic region of ZNF609 encoding a zinc finger protein (Figure 2e) and showed a mutated read frequency of  $7\% \pm 3.8$  (Figure 2f). Extending IGV-based mutation analysis to offtarget regions up to 40 nucleotides up- and downstream of the predicted off-target site revealed a second candidate InDel in the TRBC sample (TRBC INDEL\_P1). TRBC INDEL\_P1 was located 7 bases downstream of the predicted locus, in the intronic region of non-coding RNA LINC00377 (Figure 2e), and showed mutated read frequencies of  $3.8\% \pm 2.9$  (Figure 2f). However, neither candidate InDel TRBC INDEL P1 nor candidate InDel TRBC INDEL P2 passed the quality filters for genome-wide variant calling (GATK).

#### Whole-genome analysis of gRNA-dependent Cas9-induced off-target effects

In order to extend CRISPR/Cas9-induced off-target identification from predicted sites to an unbiased analysis approach, sequencing data were analysed genome-wide. Starting from high numbers of InDel variants, various filtering steps were performed (Figure 3a). After filtering high-quality calls, InDels of untreated and MOCK control samples were subtracted from InDels in the CRISPR/Cas9-treated samples to identify mutations attributed to TCR genetic engineering. From 33,284 InDels in the *TRAC*-engineered sample and 31,692 InDels in the *TRBC* sample, 316 and 272 InDels were present in the respective samples of both donors (Figure 3c, Supplementary tables 3 and 4).

In order to exclude false positives because of agnostic filtering, candidate InDels were reviewed *via* Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) leading to



**Figure 3.** Whole-genome analysis of gRNA-dependent Cas9-induced off-target effects. (a) Workflow and InDel numbers after variant calling and filtering. After GATK variant discovery, InDels in control samples were subtracted from *TRAC* and *TRBC* KO samples. The respective TRAC and TRBC candidate InDels present in both donors were reviewed manually using IGV. (b) Frequency of mutated reads among total reads at the IGV-confirmed off-target sites. Mean  $\pm$  SD of 2 donors. (c) Venn diagram of candidate CRISPR/Cas9-induced off-target InDels identified by subtraction of control sample InDels from CRISPR/Cas9-treated samples and overlapping InDels of both donors. UT = untreated control.

confirmation of 3 InDels in the TRAC sample (TRAC INDEL 1-3) and 6 InDels in the TRBC sample (TRBC INDEL\_1-6). Frequencies of mutated reads for the confirmed InDels were ranging from 5.4% to 10.1% in the TRAC samples and 1.7% to 8.2% in the TRBC sample (Figure 3b). Of those 9 IGVconfirmed InDels, 3 were located in non-coding genomic regions and 2 in intronic regions of pseudogenes, whereas 4 InDels were located in introns of the coding genes MAP4, DLG2, DMGDH or WNK1 (Table 1). No common InDels have been observed in both TRAC and TRBC samples. The size of confirmed insertions varied from 1 to 3 bp and of confirmed deletions from 1 to 12 bp (Table 1): thus, no structural variants were detected. None of these potential off-target InDels have been predicted in silico.

# Targeted deep sequencing of potential off-target events identified in whole-genome sequencing

In order to further evaluate potential lowfrequency off-target events identified by wholegenome sequencing, the respective loci were PCRamplified and subjected to targeted deep sequencing (Figure 4a). The quality control parameter high-quality bases (Q30) showed stable values ranging from 82% to 92% in all samples (Figure 4b). GC content and mean read length showed minor amplicon-dependent variations from 34% to 47% and from 250 to 287 bp, respectively (Figure 4b).

Table	1.	Summary	of	WGS-identified	confirmed	off-target	InDels
lane	•••	Summary	UI	vvus-luentineu	commeu	Un-target	Indela

2022 | Vol. 11 | e1372 Page 6

For technical reasons because of 2 highly repetitive microsatellite and poly(T) regions (TRAC INDEL\_3 and TRBC INDEL\_2), targeted deep sequencing results from 7 of 9 loci were eligible for further off-target analysis. Targeted deep sequencing revealed that TRAC/TRBC knockout samples did not show any mutations in all 3 donors at the pre-identified genomic positions of 4 loci (TRAC INDEL\_1, TRBC INDEL\_4, TRBC INDEL 5 and TRBC INDEL 6: Supplementary table 5). Knockout independent InDel mutations have been detected at TRBC INDEL 1 locus in 2 of 3 donors and at TRBC INDEL\_3 locus in 3 of 3 donors, InDel mutation frequencies being not significantly different in TRAC/TRBC knockout samples and MOCK controls (Figure 4c). Wholegenome sequencing showed a 6AT deletion at TRBC INDEL 1 locus, while targeted deep sequencing additionally revealed 5AT and 7AT deletions at lower frequencies (Supplementary table 5). At TRBC INDEL 3 locus, whole-genome sequencing showed a GC insertion and targeted deep sequencing additionally revealed GCAC and GCACAC insertions at lower frequencies (Supplementary table 5). TRAC INDEL\_2 locus showed a low-frequency SNP (8.64%) in one donor (Supplementary table 5).

## Influence of electroporation procedure on the genome's mutation frequency

In order to assess whether the electroporation procedure itself induces genetic mutations in

Name	Chromosome	Position	Ref	Alt	Mutation	Size	Region	Gene	Function
TRAC									
TRACINDEL_1	3	48121439	С	CA	Insertion	1	Intron	MAP4	Microtubule-associated protein
TRACINDEL_2	5	161864874	G	GT	Insertion	1	-	_	_
TRACINDEL_3	11	84145161	CA	С	Deletion	1	Intron	DLG2	Membrane-associated guanylate kinase
TRBC									
TRBCINDEL_1	1	148187613	CATATATATATAT	С	Deletion	12	_	-	-
TRBCINDEL_2	5	78433265	Т	TAC	Insertion	2	Intron	DMGDH	Catabolism of choline
TRBCINDEL_3	6	67152308	А	AGC	Insertion	2	_	-	-
TRBCINDEL_4	7	57660861	ACG	А	Deletion	2	Intron	pseudogene	-
TRBCINDEL_5	12	913582	G	GT	Insertion	1	Intron	WNK1	Controlling the transport of sodium and chloride ions
TRBCINDEL_6	16	33848983	СТСТ	С	Deletion	3	Intron	pseudogene	-

Name = identified mutation, chromosome and position of affected chromosome, Ref = reference (hg19) sequence, Alt = altered (identified) sequence, mutation and mutation size (nucleotides), region, gene name and function at the altered locus. WGS = whole-genome sequencing.

general, SNP, insertion and deletion frequencies were compared between untreated samples and MOCK-electroporated samples. The untreated samples showed a mean of  $4.1 \times 10^6$  SNPs,  $3.8 \times 10^5$  insertions and  $3.9 \times 10^5$  deletions compared with human reference genome hg19, which was not significantly influenced by electroporation (P = 0.94, P = 0.28 and P = 0.34, respectively; Figure 4d). Thus, the total number of genetic mutations did not differ between and MOCK-electroporated sample untreated (P = 0.78). Further, comparison of the insertion and deletion size leads to a non-significant reduction (P = 0.08) in maximum deletion size in the MOCK-electroporated sample (313 vs. 218 bp; Figure 4e).

#### DISCUSSION

Genetic engineering of the T-cell receptor (TCR) redirects specificity and ablates alloreactivity, thereby bringing significant progress and off-the-shelf options to emerging adoptive T-cell transfer (ACT) approaches. Targeted CRISPR/Cas9-mediated manipulation revolutionised genetic engineering approaches, but raises legitimate and serious concerns regarding their safety profile to exclude additional unwanted Cas9 off-target activity when used in humans.<sup>17</sup>

Studies analysing Cas9 off-target activity in a broad range of organisms and with various gRNAs have already been published.<sup>17</sup> However, offtarget activity is dependent not only on the sequence similarity of on- and off-target sites but also on the target cell type, the method used to deliver the gRNA/nuclease and the variant of the nuclease.<sup>17</sup> Further, identification of off-target activity is dependent on the method used for its detection.<sup>13</sup> Various methods have been developed during the last years: Off-target detection by biased methods, such as targeted deep sequencing, is restricted to pre-selected sites, whereas unbiased approaches, such as GUIDE-Seq and whole-genome sequencing, allow detection of off-target sites anywhere in the genome.<sup>13</sup> Biased targeted deep sequencing of predicted sites is on the one hand fast and widely available, but on the other hand depends on the reliability of in silico off-target prediction tools.<sup>18</sup> GUIDE-Seq is an unbiased method to detect off-target effects with InDel frequencies as low as 0.1%.<sup>19</sup> However, through the dependency on the incorporation of its tags into break sites, it is

dependent on various factors, such as efficiency of tag transfection.<sup>20</sup> Furthermore, this method can only detect double-strand breaks present at the time of labelling, and thus may miss earlier breaks that have already been repaired.<sup>18</sup> Whole-genome sequencing, as an unbiased approach, does not only detect off-target sites at expected and unexpected sites but is also capable of identifying other than InDel mutations, such as structural variants of up to 800 bp in size.<sup>13,20,21</sup> Our analysis showed that CRISPR/Cas9 engineering did not lead to any off-target structural variants, the mutation biggest in size passing variant calling was a deletion of 12 bp. Because of its disadvantage of high volume, data on unbiased whole-genome analyses of Cas9 off-target events in primary human T cells are rare and such data of T-cell products as a whole or analysed by combinatorial approaches of whole-genome sequencing/targeted deep sequencing are lacking. Thus, the present study aimed to assess the safety of TRAC- and TRBC-engineered T-cell products in terms of CRISPR/ Cas9-induced off-targets and their frequencies among bulk T cells by whole-genome sequencing and subsequent targeted deep sequencing of whole-genome sequencing-identified potential offtarget events.

Whole-genome sequencing, variant analyses and quality filtering revealed low off-target activity with 3 low-frequency InDels for TRAC gRNA and 6 low-frequency InDels for TRBC gRNA in the present study. Knipping et al. performed a similar approach delivering Cas9/gRNA-expressing plasmids to a cultured human erythroleukaemic cell line (K562) to knock out the T-cell receptor.14 Subsequently, tagging double-strand breaks genome-wide by linear double-stranded integrase-defective lentiviral vectors (IDLV) was used to identify nuclease off-target sites in an unbiased approach.<sup>14</sup> Because of the differences in gRNAs, target cell type, delivery of gRNA/ nuclease and off-target detection method, it is not surprising that the off-target sites detected by Knipping et al. were different compared with those identified in the present study. However, with 1 identified off-target site for a TRBC gRNA with high 'quality score' and 24 for a TRBC gRNA with low 'quality score', numbers of identified off-targets were in the same range as in the present study.<sup>14</sup>

Because of the putative technical limitation of whole-genome sequencing in terms of evaluation of rare events,<sup>22</sup> amplicons of the whole-genome



**Figure 4.** Targeted deep sequencing of WGS-pre-identified off-target events. (a) Whole-genome sequencing (WGS)-pre-identified off-target sites of three healthy donors were amplified with PCR and subjected to next-generation sequencing. (b) Sequence Quality overview at 7 pre-identified InDels. HQ bases (Q30) shows the percentage of high-quality bases having at least Phred quality 30. GC Content: GC content in percentile of high-quality sequencing. Mean read length (bp): average read length in bp of high-quality sequencing reads. (c) Mutated read frequency in untreated (MOCK) and *TRAC/TRBC* knockout samples (KO) in per cent (%). Mean of n = 3. Dots represent individual donors. n.s. = not significant. (d) Numbers of total variants, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), insertions and deletions in untreated and MOCK-electroporated samples. Mean  $\pm$  SD of 2 donors. (e) Maximum size of insertions and deletions in untreated and MOCK-electroporated samples. Mean  $\pm$  SD of 2 donors.

sequencing-identified low-frequency off-target sites were additionally subjected to targeted deep sequencing. Off-target events that have been preidentified in whole-genome sequencing and variant calling may be because of technical artefacts. Actually, higher depth in targeted deep sequencing analysis revealed that 7 of 7 pre-identified off-target mutations were either not detectable or also detectable in the non-engineered controls. Two loci consisted of highly repetitive microsatellite and poly (T) regions. Therefore, it was technically not possible to PCR-amplify or deep sequence these genomic regions. Hence, our combinatorial approach using whole-genome sequencing, variant calling and targeted deep sequencing confirmed that no CRISPR/Cas9-mediated off-target events were detectable. For evaluation of *in silico* predictions versus experimental confirmation of gRNA-specific off-target cleavage, using a positive control gRNA with known off-target sites will be an added value and provide additional proof of concept for the whole-genome sequencing approach.

In conclusion, the present study assesses the safety of the TCR knockout T cell in terms of nuclease-induced off-target events. In а combinatorial approach usina biased target prediction and unbiased whole-genome followed seauencina bv targeted deep sequencing, the study confirmed high CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated TCR knockout efficiency without any confirmed off-target InDel frequencies. Still, further in vivo investigations are needed to draw conclusions about the clinical safety of the genetically engineered T-cell product.

#### **METHODS**

#### **CRISPR/Cas9-mediated TCR knockout**

Target-specific crRNAs 5'-GAGAATCAAAATCGGTGAAT-3' TRAC crRNA<sup>23</sup> and 5'-GGAGAATGACGAGTGGACCC-3' TRBC crRNA<sup>24</sup> were mixed with universal tracrRNA according to instructions tho manufacturer's (Integrated DNA Technologies). Cas9 and Electroporation Enhancer (both Integrated DNA Technologies) were added according to the manufacturer's instructions. CD3/CD28 Dynabeads were magnetically removed from activated T cells prior to electroporation. Cells were electroporated with RNP complex in Buffer M<sup>25</sup> using pulse code T-023 in an Amaxa Nucleofector IIb (Lonza). MOCK control cells were electroporated without CRISPR/Cas9 reagents. After electroporation, all cells were cultured in TexMACS medium supplemented with human AB serum, interleukin-7 and interleukin-15.

#### **Determination of TCR knockout efficiency**

For phenotypic determination of TCR knockout efficiency, CRISPR/Cas9-engineered T cells were stained with eFluor 780 for life/dead discrimination, CD56, CD20, CD14, CD8, CD4 and human TCR  $\alpha/\beta$  (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA). Subsequently, the cells were analysed by flow cytometry.

For genotypic investigation of TCR knockout, PCR from genomic DNA was performed using the following primers:

TRAC fwd 5'-ATCACGAGCAGCTGGTTTCT-3',

TRAC rev 5'-CCCGTGTCATTCTCTGGACT-3'; and

*TRBC* fwd 5'-TACCAGGACCAGACAGCTCTTAGA-3', *TRBC* rev 5'-TCTCACCTAATCTCCTCCAGGCAT-3'.

TRBC primers were adapted from Ren *et al.* Sangersequenced PCR products (Eurofins Scientific, Luxemburg) were further analysed using TIDE software as described elsewhere.  $^{\rm 26}$ 

#### **Functional knockout confirmation**

Genetically engineered T cells were stimulated with Staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) for 6h. Brefeldin A (Sigma-Aldrich<sup>®</sup>, Merck KG) was added for 4 h. CD8, CD4 and CD3 surface markers were stained, and Viobility Dye was used for live/dead discrimination (all reagents from Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach). Subsequently, cells were fixated and permeabilised using Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and intracellularly stained with IFN- $\gamma$ -APC (BD) for flow cytometric analysis.

#### Whole-genome sequencing

PBMCs were isolated from peripheral blood of male and female healthy donors (n = 2) after informed consent. Ethics approval was obtained from the local Research Ethics Committee, and the study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Four days after electroporation with *TRAC* or *TRBC* gRNA, respectively, genomic DNA was isolated (QIAamp DNA Mini Kit, Qiagen) and sequencing was performed on the Genome Sequencer Illumina HiSeq platform by GATC Biotech.

## Whole-genome analysis of Cas9 off-target sites

Reads were mapped to the reference genome hg19 using BWA v0.7.15. PCR duplicates from amplification within library preparation were removed using Picard v1.131 (http://picard.sourceforge.net). InDel calling was performed using Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK)'s HaplotypeCaller v3.7. Parameters for variant calling were set as follows: QD < 2.0, FS > 200.0, MQ < -12.5; MQRankSum -12.5, LowCov  $\leq 20$ ; HaplotypeFilter > 13.0and ReadPosFilter < -20.0; ReadPosRankSum < -20.0; and 15 < DP < 100. Variants detected were annotated based on their gene context using snpEff V4.3. GATK's VariantAnnotator module was used to evaluate the quality of variants based on several metrics. GATKidentified variants that were identically reported in both donors, but neither in untreated control nor in MOCK control samples, were defined as candidate off-target events. In order to remove false positives, candidate offtarget sites were manually reviewed using Integrative Genomics Viewer.<sup>27</sup> If variants were confirmed by IGV to appear in CRISPR/Cas9-treated sample of both donors, but neither in the untreated control nor in MOCK control samples, these were defined as confirmed variants.

#### Analysis of predicted Cas9 off-target sites

Off-target events were predicted using Cas-OFFinder.<sup>28</sup> Parameters used for subsequent GATK analysis were the same as for whole-genome analysis of Cas9 off-target sites (see above). Because of the limited numbers of Cas-OFFinder predicted off-target sites, all of those were analysed by Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV<sup>27</sup>). During IGV review, sequences of knockout and control cell samples were compared. IGV review defined candidate InDels as those that appeared only in sequences of the respective CRISPR/Cas9-treated cells in both donors, but not in MOCK or untreated cells

#### Analysis of electroporation-dependent increase in mutation rate

Reads were mapped to the reference genome hg19 using BWA V0.7.15, and PCR duplicates from amplification within library preparation were removed using Picard V1.131 (http://picard.sourceforge.net) as for whole-genome analysis of Cas9 off-target sites. The SNP and InDel calling was done using GATK's Haplotype Caller according to McKenna et al. and DePriso et al.29,3

#### **Targeted deep sequencing**

After electroporation with TRAC and TRBC gRNA, samples from 3 additional healthy donors were prepared as described above. Potential off-target loci (identified in WGS) were amplified by PCR using the following primer pairs:

TRAC INDEL 1 fwd: 5'-TGACACAGCAAGACTCCATC-3', rev: 5'-CCACCTTTTTCTTTTCCACAC-3';

TRAC INDEL\_2 fwd: 5'-TTTAGACATGAAGTCCTTGCC-3',

rev: 5'-ACTGAGCACAGATTATCACAAC-3':

TRAC INDEL 3 fwd: 5'-AGCAATGAGTACAAATGCCAACG-3'.

rev: 5'-CCTTGTGTCTCTAGCGCCTT-3';

TRBC INDEL\_1 fwd: 5'-TCTCCCGCTTGCTCTATAC-3',

rev: 5'-TGATGCCTTGACCTCACAC-3';

TRBC INDEL 3 fwd: 5'-GGCCTGTACCACTGCTTCAA-3'. rev: 5'-TGGAGAGCCAGAAGAACTCC-3';

TRBC INDEL 4 fwd: 5'-GCAACAAGAGTGAAACCCC-3',

rev: 5'-CATAGATGAAAGAGTTCCCATGAAG-3';

TRBC INDEL\_5 fwd: 5'-AGTAACATCTCCCTGCCTCC-3',

rev: 5'-AGCCTGTAATCTCAGCTACTC-3'; and

TRBC INDEL 6 fwd: 5'-GCACACACAGAAGTCATGAG-3', rev: 5'-GCGATGAAGAATTACAGCACC-3'.

Amplicons were generated by using Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (TRAC INDELS\_1-3 and TRBC INDELS\_2-6) and Physion DNA Polymerase (TRBC INDEL 1) according to the manufacturer's instructions (both from New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA).

Targeted deep sequencing was carried out by Eurofins Genomics Europe Sequencing GmbH. Therefore, integrity and quantity of the starting material were determined followed by an indexing PCR with flow cell adapters. After a bead-based purification and an additional Ouality Control check, deep sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform using 2x300 bp Sequence mode. Highquality sequence reads were aligned to the reference genome hg19 using BWA with default parameters 22. Variant calling was done using GATK, and variants were annotated using GATK's Variant Annotator module. Following filters were applied for single nucleotide

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by the German Center for Infection Research (DZIF), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - SFB - TRR 338/1 2021 -452881907, Kinderkrebshilfe Ebersberg e.V., Bettina-Braeu-Stiftung and Renate & Roland Gruber Stiftung.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Theresa Kaeuferle: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Software; Supervision; Validation; Visualization; Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing. Tanja A Stief: Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Validation; Visualization. Stefan Canzar: Formal analysis: Investigation: Methodology; Software; Validation; Visualization. Nayad Natali Kutlu: Data curation; Investigation; Visualization; Writing - review & editing. Semjon Willier: Formal analysis; Methodology; Validation. Dana Stenger: Investigation; Validation. Paulina Ferrada-Ernst: Investigation. Nicola Habjan: Investigation; Methodology. Annika Peters: Formal analysis; Investigation. Dirk H Busch: Conceptualization; Formal analysis: Methodology; Supervision. Tobias Feuchtinger: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Supervision; Visualization; Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Feucht J, Opherk K, Lang P et al. Adoptive T-cell therapy with hexon-specific Th1 cells as a treatment of refractory adenovirus infection after HSCT. Blood 2015; **125**: 1986–1994.
- 2. Bonini C, Mondino A. Adoptive T-cell therapy for cancer: the era of engineered T cells. Eur J Immunol 2015: 45: 2457-2469.
- 3. June CH, O'Connor RS, Kawalekar OU, Ghassemi S, Milone MC. CAR T cell immunotherapy for human cancer. Science 2018; 359: 1361-1365.
- 4. Sadelain M, Riviere I, Riddell S. Therapeutic T cell engineering. Nature 2017; 545: 423-431.
- 5. Berdien B, Reinhard H, Meyer S et al. Influenza virusspecific TCR-transduced T cells as a model for adoptive immunotherapy. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2013; 9: 1205-1216.
- 6. Eyguem J, Mansilla-Soto J, Giavridis T et al. Targeting a CAR to the TRAC locus with CRISPR/Cas9 enhances tumour rejection. Nature 2017; 543: 113-117.

- 7. Schober K, Muller TR, Gokmen F *et al.* Orthotopic replacement of T-cell receptor  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -chains with preservation of near-physiological T-cell function. *Nat Biomed Eng* 2019; **3**: 974–984.
- van Loenen MM, Hagedoorn RS, de Boer R, van Egmond EH, Falkenburg JH, Heemskerk MH. Rapid reexpression of retrovirally introduced versus endogenous TCRs in engineered T cells after antigen-specific stimulation. J Immunother 2011; 34: 165–174.
- 9. Roth TL, Puig-Saus C, Yu R *et al.* Reprogramming human T cell function and specificity with non-viral genome targeting. *Nature* 2018; **559**: 405–409.
- Kaeuferle T, Deisenberger L, Jablonowski L et al. CRISPR-Cas9-mediated glucocorticoid resistance in virusspecific T cells for adoptive T cell therapy posttransplantation. *Mol Ther* 2020; 28: 1965–1973.
- 11. Muller TR, Jarosch S, Hammel M et al. Targeted T cell receptor gene editing provides predictable T cell product function for immunotherapy. *Cell Rep Med* 2021; **2**: 100374.
- Stief TA, Kaeuferle T, Muller TR et al. Protective T cell receptor identification for orthotopic reprogramming of immunity in refractory virus infections. *Mol Ther* 2022; 30: 198–208.
- Zischewski J, Fischer R, Bortesi L. Detection of on-target and off-target mutations generated by CRISPR/Cas9 and other sequence-specific nucleases. *Biotechnol Adv* 2017; 35: 95–104.
- Knipping F, Osborn MJ, Petri K et al. Genome-wide specificity of highly efficient TALENs and CRISPR/Cas9 for T cell receptor modification. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev* 2017; 4: 213–224.
- Stenger D, Stief TA, Kaeuferle T et al. Endogenous TCR promotes in vivo persistence of CD19-CAR-T cells compared to a CRISPR/Cas9-mediated TCR knockout CAR. Blood 2020; 136: 1407–1418.
- 16. Yee JK. Off-target effects of engineered nucleases. *FEBS* J 2016; **283**: 3239–3248.
- 17. Zhang XH, Tee LY, Wang XG, Huang QS, Yang SH. Offtarget effects in CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome engineering. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* 2015; **4**: e264.
- Tsai SQ, Joung JK. Defining and improving the genome-wide specificities of CRISPR-Cas9 nucleases. Nat Rev Genet 2016; 17: 300–312.
- Tsai SQ, Zheng Z, Nguyen NT et al. GUIDE-seq enables genome-wide profiling of off-target cleavage by CRISPR-Cas nucleases. Nat Biotechnol 2015; 33: 187–197.
- Kosicki M, Tomberg K, Bradley A. Repair of doublestrand breaks induced by CRISPR-Cas9 leads to large deletions and complex rearrangements. *Nat Biotechnol* 2018; 36: 765–771.

- Abel H, Pfeifer J, Duncavage E. Chapter 10 -Translocation Detection Using Next-Generation Sequencing. In: Kulkarni S, Pfeifer J eds. Clinical Genomics. Boston: Academic Press, 2015: 151–164.
- 22. Wu X, Kriz AJ, Sharp PA. Target specificity of the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Quant Biol* 2014; 2: 59–70.
- 23. Osborn MJ, Webber BR, Knipping F et al. Evaluation of TCR gene editing achieved by TALENs, CRISPR/Cas9, and megaTAL Nucleases. *Mol Ther* 2016; 24: 570–581.
- Ren J, Liu X, Fang C, Jiang S, June CH, Zhao Y. Multiplex genome editing to generate universal CAR T cells resistant to PD1 inhibition. *Clin Cancer Res* 2017; 23: 2255–2266.
- 25. Chicaybam L, Sodre AL, Curzio BA, Bonamino MH. An efficient low cost method for gene transfer to T lymphocytes. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e60298.
- Brinkman EK, Chen T, Amendola M, van Steensel B. Easy quantitative assessment of genome editing by sequence trace decomposition. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014; 42: e168.
- 27. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W et al. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol 2011; 29: 24–26.
- Bae S, Park J, Kim JS. Cas-OFFinder: a fast and versatile algorithm that searches for potential off-target sites of Cas9 RNA-guided endonucleases. *Bioinformatics* 2014; 30: 1473–1475.
- 29. DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R et al. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat Genet* 2011; **43**: 491–498.
- McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res 2010; 20: 1297–1303.

#### **Supporting Information**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.



This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.