

PERFORMANCE OF SOFT GROUND IMPROVED BY FLOATING BOTTOM
ASH COLUMNS

RASHA ABUELGASIM ABDELRHMAN OSMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

OCTOBER 2022

DEDICATION

Especially dedicated to the soul of my father, *Abuelgasim Abdelrhman* who has always given me indefinite love, trust, constant motivation throughout my life.

To my lovely mother, *Fatima Hussein*, for her patience, endless love, prayers, and endurance of ups and downs while completing this thesis

To my respected supervisors Dr. Ahmad Safuan B. A. Rashid, Dr. Khairun Nissa Binti Mat Said, Prof. Mounir Bouassida & Dr. Ng Kok Shein, for their guidance and encouragement

To my brothers and all my friends, for their help and loyalty

This thesis is dedicated to them

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Safuan B. A. Rashid for his encouragement, guidance, and for giving me this opportunity. Special thanks to my co-supervisor Dr. Khairun Nissa Binti Mat Said, Prof. Mounir Bouassida & Dr. Ng Kok Shein for their valuable guidance and comments on my work. This research would not be completed without their patient guidance, valuable advice, and constructive encouragement throughout my entire studies. It is my honor to work with all great mentors.

I would like to convey my deepest appreciation to the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) and I would like also to extend my heartfelt thanks to all the university staff who made my research possible for their assistance and guidance during my studies. Also, I would like to take this opportunity to extend my gratitude to the Ministry of Higher Education in Sudan & University of Khartoum for the generous opportunity to support me throughout my studies.

My endless gratitude to my father, Abuelgasim Abdelrhman may Allah bless his soul. I owe it all to him and without his support nothing would have been possible. He gave me the strength to face everything I have been through. I will always remember what he did for me. Finally, my special gratitude goes to my mother, siblings and friends for their endless support during my studies and their great empathy over the years.

ABSTRACT

The stone column approach has been utilized over the past 60 years to stabilise the soft ground by partially replacing the compressible soil with more stable materials such as aggregate and sand. In practice, the granular material with a diameter of 20 mm to 75 mm is used as column filler material. In this research, the bottom ash material was used as a substitute material in the granular columns instead of the natural aggregate. A series of small-scale 1g physical modelling tests were carried out to investigate the behaviour of soft clay after being treated with a group of bottom ash columns beneath a rigid footing. A parametric study was performed to examine the effect of key design parameters such as; area replacement ratio and height penetration ratio on the bearing capacity and settlement characteristics. Whereas, a total of 19 physical model tests were conducted. A set of strain-controlled loading tests were performed to determine the ultimate bearing capacity, while a dead load method was adopted to examine the settlement characteristics. The physical modelling tests were carried out on the untreated and treated ground with bottom ash columns. Three area replacement ratios of 13 %, 20 %, and 26 % and three-column height of 50 mm, 100 mm, and 150 mm were adopted. The deformation and failure mechanism of the ground model was observed through capturing images during the loading test. Then, the Particle Image Velocimetry technique (PIV), GeoPIV: MATLAB software was used to analyse the collected images. The results clearly proved that the bearing capacity of the soft ground improves significantly with the incorporating of the bottom ash columns. Moreover, a higher area replacement ratio with longer columns demonstrated better load capacity enhancement. Also, it was found that the magnitude of total settlement reduced as the area replacement ratio increased. In addition, the total settlement of the reinforced ground showed a decreasing trend with the increase of the column height. In parallel to the physical modelling tests, three-dimensional numerical analysis was conducted via Plaxis 3D foundation software. This method was adopted to validate the experimental outcomes, since it is more economical and takes less time to complete in comparison to the full-scale model. Two different types of constitutive models were used to simulate the soft ground and bottom ash columns namely; the Soft Soil model and Mohr-Coulomb model. Comparisons between the results obtained from the physical model test and numerical simulation were made considering the different area replacement ratios and column height penetration ratios. The finite element analysis results were used to verify the experimental findings and a good agreement was found between the two methods since the difference is less than 20 % and is considered acceptable. The results revealed that the area replacement ratio and column height penetration ratio significantly influenced the overall performance of the treated ground. Whereas, the stiffness, load-bearing capacity, and settlement characteristics of the reinforced ground improved by increasing the area replacement ratio and column height penetration ratio; a 172 % enhancement in bearing capacity was attained with 26 % area replacement ratio and 0.75 column penetration ratio. A similar observation was obtained for LECA- treated ground under a constant rate of loading. The relationships between ultimate bearing capacity and area replacement ratio or column height to diameter ratio were plotted. From the relationships, six proposed design equations were developed for practical use to estimate the ultimate bearing capacity of the reinforced ground under a rigid footing. Another six design equations were also established to predict the normalised bearing capacity factor using the same parameters (area replacement ratio or column height to diameter ratio). Furthermore, the rationality of the proposed design equations was successfully verified using the finite element results.

ABSTRAK

Pendekatan tiang batu telah digunakan sejak 60 tahun yang lalu untuk menstabilkan tanah lembut dengan menggantikan sebahagian tanah boleh mampat dengan bahan yang lebih stabil seperti agregat dan pasir. Dalam praktik, bahan berbutir dengan diameter 20 mm hingga 75 mm digunakan sebagai bahan pengisi lajur. Dalam penyelidikan ini, bahan abu mendap digunakan sebagai bahan pengganti dalam tiang butiran dan bukannya agregat asli. Satu siri ujian pemodelan fizikal 1g berskala kecil telah dijalankan untuk menyiasat kelakuan tanah liat lembut selepas dirawat dengan sekumpulan tiang abu mendap di bawah tapak tegar. Kajian parametrik telah dilakukan untuk mengkaji kesan parameter rekabentuk utama seperti; nisbah penggantian luas dan nisbah penembusan ketinggian pada kapasiti gelas dan ciri-ciri penanaman. Manakala, sebanyak 19 ujian model fizikal telah dijalankan. Satu set ujian pembebanan terikan-terkawal telah dilakukan untuk menentukan kapasiti gelas muktamad, manakala kaedah beban mati digunapakai untuk memeriksa ciri-ciri penanaman. Ujian pemodelan fizikal telah dijalankan di atas tanah yang tidak dirawat dan dirawat dengan tiang abu mendap. Tiga nisbah penggantian luas 13 %, 20 %, dan 26 % dan ketinggian tiga lajur 50 mm, 100 mm dan 150 mm telah diterimapakai. Mekanisme ubahbentuk dan kegagalan model tanah diperhatikan dengan menangkap imej semasa ujian pembebanan. Kemudian, perisian Velocimetry Imej Zarah, Teknik PIV, GeoPIV: MATLAB digunakan untuk menganalisis imej yang terkumpul. Hasilnya jelas terbukti bahawa kapasiti gelas tanah lembut meningkat dengan ketara apabila digabungkan tiang abu mendap. Selain itu, nisbah penggantian luas yang lebih tinggi dengan lajur yang lebih panjang menunjukkan peningkatan kapasiti beban yang lebih baik. Juga, didapati bahawa magnitud jumlah penanaman berkurangan apabila nisbah penggantian luas meningkat. Di samping itu, jumlah penanaman tanah bertetulang menunjukkan corak menurun dengan peningkatan ketinggian lajur. Selari dengan ujian pemodelan fizikal, analisis berangka tiga dimensi telah dijalankan menggunakan perisian tapak 3D Plaxis. Kaedah ini digunapakai untuk mengesahkan hasil eksperimen, kerana ia lebih menjimatkan dan mengambil masa yang lebih sedikit untuk disiapkan berbanding dengan model skala penuh. Dua jenis model konstitutif yang berbeza digunakan untuk mensimulasikan tiang tanah lembut dan abu mendap iaitu; model tanah lembut dan Mohr-Coulomb. Perbandingan antara keputusan yang diperolehi daripada ujian model fizikal dan simulasi berangka telah dibuat dengan mengambil kira nisbah penggantian luas dan ketinggian lajur yang berbeza. Keputusan analisis unsur terhingga digunakan untuk mengesahkan dapatan eksperimen dan persetujuan yang baik didapati antara kedua-dua kaedah memandangkan perbezaannya kurang daripada 20 % dan dianggap boleh diterima. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa nisbah penggantian luas dan nisbah penembusan ketinggian tiang mempengaruhi prestasi keseluruhan tanah yang dirawat secara signifikan. Manakala, kekakuan, kapasiti gelas beban, dan ciri-ciri penanaman tanah bertetulang bertambah baik dengan meningkatkan nisbah penggantian luas dan nisbah penembusan ketinggian tiang. peningkatan sebanyak 172% dalam kapasiti gelas telah dicapai dengan nisbah penggantian kawasan 26% dan nisbah penembusan tiang 0.75. Pemerhatian yang sama diperolehi untuk tanah yang dirawat LECA di bawah kadar pemuatan yang tetap. Hubungan antara kapasiti gelas muktamad dan nisbah penggantian luas atau nisbah ketinggian lajur kepada diameter telah diplotkan. Daripada perhubungan, enam persamaan rekabentuk yang dicadangkan telah dibangunkan untuk kegunaan praktikal untuk menganggarkan kapasiti gelas muktamad tanah bertetulang di bawah tapak tegar. Enam lagi persamaan rekabentuk juga telah diwujudkan untuk meramalkan faktor kapasiti gelas ternormal menggunakan parameter yang sama (nisbah penggantian luas atau nisbah ketinggian lajur kepada diameter). Tambahan pula, rasional persamaan rekabentuk yang dicadangkan telah berjaya disahkan menggunakan keputusan unsur terhingga.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|---------------|
| | DECLARATION | iii |
| | DEDICATION | iv |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | v |
| | ABSTRACT | vi |
| | ABSTRAK | vii |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | viii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xiv |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xvii |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxvii |
| | LIST OF SYMBOLS | xxviii |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xxx |
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.3 | Aim and Objectives | 4 |
| 1.4 | Scope and Limitation of Study | 5 |
| 1.5 | Significance of Research | 6 |
| 1.6 | Thesis Outlines | 7 |
| CHAPTER 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 9 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 9 |
| 2.2 | Principle of Stone Columns | 9 |
| 2.2.1 | Stone Column Materials | 10 |
| 2.2.2 | Stone Column Construction | 10 |
| 2.2.3 | Stone Column Design Consideration and General Rules | 15 |
| 2.2.3.1 | Stone Column Diameter | 16 |

| | | |
|------------------|---|-----------|
| 2.2.3.2 | Column Spacing | 16 |
| 2.2.3.3 | Installation Arrangement Pattern | 17 |
| 2.2.3.4 | Column Height | 19 |
| 2.2.3.5 | Area Replacement Ratio | 21 |
| 2.2.4 | Estimation of Load Bearing Capacity | 22 |
| 2.2.5 | Settlement Prediction | 28 |
| 2.2.6 | Stress Concentration Ratio | 31 |
| 2.2.7 | Failure Mechanism | 32 |
| 2.2.8 | Application of Stone Columns | 35 |
| 2.3 | Finite Element Modelling | 36 |
| 2.3.1 | Material Modelling | 36 |
| 2.3.2 | Application of Constitutive Modelling on Stone Column | 39 |
| 2.4 | Particle Image Velocimetry | 40 |
| 2.5 | Bottom Ash | 42 |
| 2.5.1 | Physical and Mechanical Characteristics | 43 |
| 2.5.1.1 | Physical Appearance | 43 |
| 2.5.1.2 | Grain Size Distribution | 43 |
| 2.5.1.3 | Specific Gravity | 44 |
| 2.5.1.4 | Compaction | 45 |
| 2.5.1.5 | Permeability | 46 |
| 2.5.1.6 | Relative Density | 47 |
| 2.5.1.7 | Shear Strength | 48 |
| 2.5.2 | Chemical and Heavy Metal Characteristics | 48 |
| 2.5.3 | Bottom Ash Applications | 51 |
| 2.5.4 | Previous Studies on Bottom Ash Columns | 55 |
| 2.5.5 | Previous Studies on Light Weight Columns and Comparison with Bottom Ash Columns | 65 |
| 2.6 | Closing Remarks | 66 |
| CHAPTER 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 69 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 69 |
| 3.2 | Testing Materials | 71 |

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| 3.2.1 | Physical and Mechanical Characteristics of Testing Materials | 72 |
| 3.2.2 | Supplementary Tests | 73 |
| 3.2.2.1 | Oedometer Consolidation | 73 |
| 3.2.2.2 | Permeability Tests | 74 |
| 3.2.2.3 | Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test (CU) | 75 |
| 3.2.2.4 | Relative Density Test | 76 |
| 3.2.3 | Chemical Characteristics of Bottom Ash | 77 |
| 3.2.4 | Toxicity Characteristic and Leaching Procedure (TCLP) | 78 |
| 3.3 | Physical Modelling | 78 |
| 3.3.1 | Laboratory Equipment | 79 |
| 3.3.1.1 | Testing Chamber | 79 |
| 3.3.1.2 | Pressure System | 81 |
| 3.3.1.3 | Column Installation Equipment | 83 |
| 3.3.1.4 | Loading Plates | 85 |
| 3.3.1.5 | Rigid Footing | 86 |
| 3.3.1.6 | Driving Unit | 86 |
| 3.3.1.7 | Dead Load System | 88 |
| 3.3.2 | Instrumentation and Measurement Devices | 90 |
| 3.3.2.1 | Load Cell | 90 |
| 3.3.2.2 | Linear Vertical Displacement Transducer (LVDT) | 90 |
| 3.3.2.3 | Miniature Pore Water Pressure Transducer (MPPT) | 91 |
| 3.3.2.4 | Miniature Soil Pressure Transducer (MSPT) | 92 |
| 3.3.2.5 | Calibration | 92 |
| 3.3.2.6 | Data Logger | 97 |
| 3.3.2.7 | Hand Vane Shear Test | 98 |
| 3.3.3 | Physical Model Preparation | 99 |
| 3.3.3.1 | Kaolin Slurry | 99 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|------------|
| 3.3.3.2 | Consolidation Process | 100 |
| 3.3.3.3 | Bottom Ash Columns | 102 |
| 3.3.3.4 | Columns Configuration | 103 |
| 3.3.3.5 | Column Installation | 105 |
| 3.3.3.6 | Texturing of Sample | 106 |
| 3.3.4 | Testing Programme | 107 |
| 3.3.4.1 | Test Notation | 108 |
| 3.3.4.2 | Constant Stress Procedure | 108 |
| 3.3.4.3 | Dead Load Procedure | 111 |
| 3.3.5 | Particle Image Velocimetry and Close-Range Photogrammetry | 114 |
| 3.3.5.1 | Target Marker | 114 |
| 3.3.5.2 | PIV Analysis | 115 |
| 3.4 | Numerical Modelling | 116 |
| 3.4.1 | Input Parameter for Numerical Simulation | 117 |
| 3.4.1.1 | Model Geometry | 117 |
| 3.4.1.2 | Selection of the Suitable Constitutive Model | 120 |
| 3.4.1.3 | Material Characteristics | 120 |
| 3.4.1.4 | Boundary Conditions | 122 |
| 3.4.1.5 | Loading Stage | 123 |
| 3.4.2 | Mesh Generation | 125 |
| 3.5 | Preliminary Design Guidelines | 126 |
| CHAPTER 4 | LABORATORY AND PHYSICAL MODELLING TESTS RESULTS | 127 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 127 |
| 4.2 | Material Characteristics | 127 |
| 4.3 | Chemical and Toxicity Characteristics of Bottom Ash | 140 |
| 4.3.1 | Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) | 140 |
| 4.3.2 | Energy-Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX) | 142 |
| 4.3.3 | X-ray Diffraction (XRD) | 144 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|------------|
| 4.3.4 | Heavy Metal Concentration | 145 |
| 4.4 | Physical Modelling Results | 147 |
| 4.4.1 | Bearing Capacity. | 147 |
| 4.4.1.1 | Untreated Condition | 147 |
| 4.4.1.2 | Treated Condition | 151 |
| 4.4.1.3 | Effect of Area Replacement Ratio | 157 |
| 4.4.1.4 | Effect of Column Penetration Ratio | 162 |
| 4.4.1.5 | Normalised Bearing Capacity Factor | 165 |
| 4.4.1.6 | Deformation Behaviour of the Ground Model using PIV Technique | 166 |
| 4.4.1.7 | Column Failure Pattern | 173 |
| 4.4.2 | Settlement | 178 |
| 4.4.2.1 | Untreated Condition | 178 |
| 4.4.2.2 | Treated Condition | 179 |
| 4.4.2.3 | Effect of Area Replacement Ratio | 180 |
| 4.4.2.4 | Effect of Column Penetration Ratio | 184 |
| 4.4.2.5 | Parametric Study | 187 |
| 4.4.2.6 | Relationship between Excess of Pore Water Pressure and Time | 194 |
| CHAPTER 5 | NUMERICAL RESULTS OF BOTTOM ASH COLUMN | 197 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 197 |
| 5.2 | Input Parameters | 198 |
| 5.3 | Parametric Study | 201 |
| 5.3.1 | Verification of Bearing Capacity Analysis | 201 |
| 5.3.1.1 | Effect of Area Replacement Ratio | 205 |
| 5.3.1.2 | Effect of Height Penetration Ratio | 206 |
| 5.3.1.3 | Comparison Between Experimental Results and Numerical Simulation | 209 |
| 5.3.1.4 | Deformation Behaviour of the Soft Soil Reinforced with Floating Bottom Ash Columns | 216 |

| | | |
|-----------------------------|--|------------|
| 5.3.1.5 | Comparison Between Bottom Ash and Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregate (LECA) Columns Reinforced Models | 221 |
| 5.3.2 | Verification of Settlement Analysis | 225 |
| 5.3.2.1 | Effect of Area Replacement Ratio | 226 |
| 5.3.2.2 | Effect of Column Penetration Ratio | 230 |
| 5.4 | Design Guidelines Establishment | 233 |
| 5.4.1 | Introduction | 233 |
| 5.4.2 | Practical Equation for Ultimate Bearing Capacity | 233 |
| 5.4.3 | Practical Equations for Bearing Capacity Factor | 235 |
| 5.4.4 | Validation of the Design Equation | 237 |
| CHAPTER 6 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 245 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 245 |
| 6.2 | Laboratory Testing and Physical Modelling | 245 |
| 6.3 | Numerical Simulation | 248 |
| 6.4 | Recommendation for Future Work | 249 |
| REFERENCES | | 251 |
| LIST OF PUBLICATIONS | | 271 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| Table 2.1 | Previous researches on soft soil treated with bottom ash columns | 67 |
| Table 3.1 | Laboratory experiments for kaolin and bottom ash | 73 |
| Table 3.2 | Comparison between prototype and physical model parameters | 103 |
| Table 3.3 | Mass of bottom ash for three-column height | 106 |
| Table 3.4 | Tests notation for physical model – Bearing capacity | 110 |
| Table 3.5 | Tests notation for physical model – Settlement | 112 |
| Table 3.6 | Dimension of the physical and numerical modelling | 118 |
| Table 3.7 | Input parameters for numerical modelling | 121 |
| Table 3.8 | Phases of the finite element analysis | 123 |
| Table 4.1 | Physical properties of kaolin obtained from laboratory tests | 129 |
| Table 4.2 | Physical properties of bottom ash obtained from laboratory tests | 130 |
| Table 4.3 | Comparison on the specific gravity of Tanjung bin bottom ash obtained from previous researchers and current study | 134 |
| Table 4.4 | Comparison on the compaction test results of Tanjung bin bottom ash achieved from previous researchers and current study | 135 |
| Table 4.5 | Comparison on the coefficient of permeability of bottom ash from different power plant | 137 |
| Table 4.6 | EDX results for bottom ash | 143 |
| Table 4.7 | Chemical compounds of Tanjung bin bottom ash | 144 |
| Table 4.8 | TCLP Agilent 710 ICPOES Method | 146 |
| Table 4.9 | Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS) - Perkin Elmer FIMS 100 Method | 147 |
| Table 4.10 | Comparison of the ultimate bearing capacity between the model test result and analytical theories | 151 |
| Table 4.11 | Physical modelling tests results for the treated condition | 155 |

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| Table 4.12 | Bearing capacity results for untreated and treated ground with column height of 50 mm | 158 |
| Table 4.13 | Bearing capacity results for untreated and treated ground with column height of 100 mm | 159 |
| Table 4.14 | Bearing capacity results for untreated and treated ground with column height of 150 mm | 160 |
| Table 4.15 | Results of the normalised bearing capacity factor for untreated and treated clay | 165 |
| Table 4.16 | Physical model results for the final settlement for the untreated and treated condition | 181 |
| Table 4.17 | The coefficient of correlation for the different area replacement ratios and column heights | 184 |
| Table 4.18 | Settlement improvement factor corresponding to application of 3.125 kPa | 188 |
| Table 4.19 | Settlement improvement factor corresponding to application of 6.25 kPa | 188 |
| Table 4.20 | Settlement improvement factor corresponding to application of 12.5 kPa | 189 |
| Table 5.1 | Input parameters for soft ground | 200 |
| Table 5.2 | Input parameters for bottom ash columns | 200 |
| Table 5.3 | Input parameters for rigid footing | 201 |
| Table 5.4 | Numerical modelling tests results | 204 |
| Table 5.5 | Comparison between numerical and physical modelling results | 213 |
| Table 5.6 | Input parameters for LECA material (Zukri, 2019) | 221 |
| Table 5.7 | Comparison between bottom ash and LECA modelling results | 224 |
| Table 5.8 | Comparison between physical and numerical results for the final settlement for the untreated and treated condition | 230 |
| Table 5.9 | Ultimate bearing capacity, q_u comparison for numerical and proposed method (effect of area replacement ratio) | 238 |
| Table 5.10 | Ultimate bearing capacity, q_u comparison for numerical and proposed method (effect of normalised column length) | 239 |
| Table 5.11 | Normalised bearing capacity factor, N_c comparison for Numerical and proposed method (effect of area replacement ratio) | 240 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 5.12 | Normalised bearing capacity factor, N_c comparison for Numerical and proposed method (effect of normalised column length) | 241 |
| Table 5.13 | Comparison of ultimate bearing capacity, q_u of LECA reinforced ground, and the proposed method (effect of area replacement ratio) | 242 |
| Table 5.14 | Comparison of ultimate bearing capacity, q_u of LECA reinforced ground, and the proposed method (effect of normalised column length) | 243 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------|---|------|
| Figure 2.1 | (a) Floating columns (b) End bearing columns | 11 |
| Figure 2.2 | Soils that are applicable for vibroflotation method (McCabe et al., 2007) | 12 |
| Figure 2.3 | Granular column construction using displacement method (Alkhorshid, 2017) | 13 |
| Figure 2.4 | Schematic view for the dry-top feed method process (Taube and Herridge, 2002) | 14 |
| Figure 2.5 | Schematic view for the wet-top feed method process (Taube and Herridge, 2002) | 14 |
| Figure 2.6 | Schematic view for the dry-bottom feed method process (Taube and Herridge, 2002) | 15 |
| Figure 2.7 | Stone columns installation arrangements (a) Square (b) Triangular (c) Hexagonal (d) Radial (J. Jayarajan and Karpurapu, 2020) | 18 |
| Figure 2.8 | Settlement improvement factor versus column diameter for the uncased and encased stone column (Jayarajan & Karpurapu, 2019) | 19 |
| Figure 2.9 | Relationship between N_c and ϕ (Afshar and Ghazavi, 2014) | 23 |
| Figure 2.10 | Relationship between N_q and ϕ (Afshar and Ghazavi, 2014) | 23 |
| Figure 2.11 | Relationship between N_γ and ϕ (Afshar and Ghazavi, 2014) | 24 |
| Figure 2.12 | Bearing capacity factor, N_c (Madhav and Vitkar, 1978) | 25 |
| Figure 2.13 | Bearing capacity factors, N_γ and N_q (Madhav and Vitkar, 1978) | 26 |
| Figure 2.14 | Pressure–settlement curve for floating and end bearing stone column (Fattah et al., 2017) | 27 |
| Figure 2.15 | Design charts for vibro replacement method (Priebe, 1995) | 29 |
| Figure 2.16 | Stress distribution model (Han, 2015) | 32 |
| Figure 2.17 | Failure mechanism of a single stone column in a homogeneous soil layer (Barksdale and Bachus, 1983) | 33 |
| Figure 2.18 | Failure mechanism of a single stone column in non-homogeneous soil (Barksdale and Bachus, 1983) | 33 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 2.19 | Failure mechanism of stone columns group (Barksdale and Bachus, 1983) | 35 |
| Figure 2.20 | Elastic-perfectly plastic of Mohr-Coulomb model (Ti et al., 2009). | 38 |
| Figure 2.21 | Pile movement displacement vectors (White and Bolton, 2004) | 41 |
| Figure 2.22 | Pile movement contours (White and Bolton, 2004) | 41 |
| Figure 2.23 | Bottom ash fragments | 43 |
| Figure 2.24 | Grain size distribution of coal bottom ash according to different authors (Singh et al., 2018) | 44 |
| Figure 2.25 | Chemical composition of bottom ash for different countries (Gooi <i>et al.</i> , 2020) | 50 |
| Figure 2.26 | Bottom ash utilization in various applications (Mir and Malik, 2017) | 53 |
| Figure 2.27 | Reinforced kaolin sample (a) Hole drilling (b) Column installation (c) Singular column (d) Group of columns (Hasan et al., 2011) | 55 |
| Figure 2.28 | Column details and arrangement (a) Singular column (b) Column group (Marto et al., 2014) | 56 |
| Figure 2.29 | Apparent cohesion against height column penetration ratio (Marto et al., 2014) | 57 |
| Figure 2.30 | Column details and arrangement (a) Rectangular pattern (b) Triangular pattern (Hasan et al., 2016) | 58 |
| Figure 2.31 | Column arrangements (Marto et al., 2016) | 59 |
| Figure 2.32 | Bearing capacity versus normalised vertical displacement ratio (Marto et al., 2016) | 60 |
| Figure 2.33 | Vertical stress versus displacement/ footing width (Moradi <i>et al.</i> , 2018) | 61 |
| Figure 2.34 | Bearing capacity factor against improvement area ratio (Moradi <i>et al.</i> , 2018) | 62 |
| Figure 2.35 | Vertical stress versus displacement/ footing width (Moradi et al., 2019) | 63 |
| Figure 2.36 | Bearing capacity factor against the improvement area ratio (Moradi et al., 2019) | 63 |
| Figure 2.37 | Floating columns failure pattern (a) Uncased bottom ash columns, (b) Geotextile encased bottom ash columns (Moradi et al., 2019) | 64 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 2.38 | End bearing columns failure pattern (a) Uncased bottom ash columns, (b) Geotextile encased bottom ash columns (Moradi <i>et al.</i> , 2018) | 65 |
| Figure 3.1 | Operational framework of the research | 70 |
| Figure 3.2 | Plan view of Tanjung bin power plant | 71 |
| Figure 3.3 | (a) Sample of kaolin clay powder (b) Sample of bottom ash fragment | 72 |
| Figure 3.4 | Oedometer test setup | 74 |
| Figure 3.5 | Constant head permeability test: (a) Permeameter cell with bottom ash sample (b) Testing setup | 75 |
| Figure 3.6 | Consolidated undrained test | 76 |
| Figure 3.7 | Relative density test tools: (a) Mould (b) Vibrating table | 77 |
| Figure 3.8 | (a) Front view of the testing chamber (b) Testing chamber configuration | 79 |
| Figure 3.9 | Side view of the testing chamber | 80 |
| Figure 3.10 | Schematic diagram of the testing chamber | 81 |
| Figure 3.11 | A Pneumatic cylinder with plate form | 82 |
| Figure 3.12 | A Schematic diagram of Pneumatic cylinder | 82 |
| Figure 3.13 | A dial gauge and air pressure regulator | 83 |
| Figure 3.14 | Loading plate | 83 |
| Figure 3.15 | Templates with area replacement ratios, α of a) 26 %, b) 20 %, c) 13 % | 84 |
| Figure 3.16 | Column installation equipment (a) Thin wall brass tubes (b) Auger (c) Plastic rod | 85 |
| Figure 3.17 | (a) Photograph of rigid footing (b) Schematic diagram of the rigid footing | 86 |
| Figure 3.18 | Photograph of drive unit system | 87 |
| Figure 3.19 | Schematic diagram of the driving unit | 88 |
| Figure 3.20 | Photograph of dead load system | 89 |
| Figure 3.21 | Schematic diagram of the dead load system | 89 |
| Figure 3.22 | Load cell | 90 |
| Figure 3.23 | Linear vertical displacement transducer (LVDT) | 91 |
| Figure 3.24 | Miniature pore water pressure transducer (MPPT) | 91 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 3.25 | Miniature soil pressure transducer (MSPT) | 92 |
| Figure 3.26 | Digital micrometer caliper model no.CD-12C" | 93 |
| Figure 3.27 | Load cell calibration | 93 |
| Figure 3.28 | Load cell calibration results | 94 |
| Figure 3.29 | Instrumentations for calibration of the miniature pressure transducers | 95 |
| Figure 3.30 | Soil pressure transducer calibration results | 95 |
| Figure 3.31 | Pore pressure transducer calibration results | 96 |
| Figure 3.32 | Digital camera | 97 |
| Figure 3.33 | Data logger (a) CR800 (b) TML | 98 |
| Figure 3.34 | Hand vane shear test | 98 |
| Figure 3.35 | Plan of view of the hand vane shear test | 99 |
| Figure 3.36 | (a) Mixing of the sample using spatulas (b) Mixing of the sample using hand machine drill (c) Porous plastic sheet | 100 |
| Figure 3.37 | Porewater pressure transducer installation | 101 |
| Figure 3.38 | Consolidation setup | 101 |
| Figure 3.39 | The schematic diagram of the consolidation setup | 102 |
| Figure 3.40 | Column configuration for area replacement ratios of 13 %, 20 %, and 26 % | 104 |
| Figure 3.41 | Column installation process | 105 |
| Figure 3.42 | Column installation methods | 106 |
| Figure 3.43 | Photograph of texturing of the kaolin sample | 107 |
| Figure 3.44 | Constant stress loading system and testing setup | 109 |
| Figure 3.45 | Vane shear test setup | 111 |
| Figure 3.46 | Installation of soil pressure transducers | 113 |
| Figure 3.47 | Installation of soil pressure transducers | 113 |
| Figure 3.48 | Dead load system and testing setup | 114 |
| Figure 3.49 | Target marker | 115 |
| Figure 3.50 | PIV analysis procedure | 116 |
| Figure 3.51 | The procedure for the numerical simulation | 117 |
| Figure 3.52 | Model geometry | 118 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 3.53 | Model geometry of the reinforced ground model with four columns ($\alpha = 13\%$) | 119 |
| Figure 3.54 | Model geometry of the reinforced ground model with six columns ($\alpha = 20\%$) | 119 |
| Figure 3.55 | Model geometry of the reinforced ground model with eight columns ($\alpha = 26\%$) | 119 |
| Figure 3.56 | Finite element modelling for ultimate bearing capacity analysis | 124 |
| Figure 3.57 | Finite element modelling for total settlement analysis | 124 |
| Figure 3.58 | Generated mesh for untreated ground | 125 |
| Figure 3.59 | Generated mesh for the composite ground model | 126 |
| Figure 4.1 | Bottom ash collected from Tanjung bin power plant | 128 |
| Figure 4.2 | Grain size distribution for bottom ash and kaolin clay | 131 |
| Figure 4.3 | Moisture content – cone penetration relationship for kaolin clay | 132 |
| Figure 4.4 | Plasticity chart for classification of fine-grain soils (ASTM D2487) | 133 |
| Figure 4.5 | Compaction curve for Tanjung bin bottom ash | 135 |
| Figure 4.6 | Compaction curve for kaolin clay | 136 |
| Figure 4.7 | Mohr-Coulomb results | 138 |
| Figure 4.8 | Consolidation curve for untreated condition | 140 |
| Figure 4.9 | SEM photomicrographs of bottom ash at a magnification of $2\ \mu\text{m}$ | 141 |
| Figure 4.10 | SEM photomicrographs of bottom ash at a magnification of $5\ \mu\text{m}$ | 141 |
| Figure 4.11 | SEM photomicrographs of bottom ash at a magnification of $10\ \mu\text{m}$ | 142 |
| Figure 4.12 | EDS spectrum for bottom ash | 143 |
| Figure 4.13 | X-ray diffraction pattern of the bottom ash | 145 |
| Figure 4.14 | Vertical stress versus vertical displacement/ footing width for untreated clay | 148 |
| Figure 4.15 | Normalised bearing capacity factor versus vertical displacement/width of the footing for untreated clay | 150 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.16 | Vertical stress versus displacement/footing width for treated clay for floating bottom ash columns (H = 50 mm) | 152 |
| Figure 4.17 | Vertical stress versus vertical displacement/footing width for treated clay for floating bottom ash columns (H = 100 mm) | 152 |
| Figure 4.18 | Vertical stress versus displacement/ footing width for treated clay for floating bottom ash columns (H = 150 mm) | 153 |
| Figure 4.19 | Normalised bearing capacity factor versus vertical displacement/ footing width for treated ground (H = 50 mm) | 154 |
| Figure 4.20 | Normalised bearing capacity factor versus vertical displacement/ footing width for treated ground (H = 100 mm) | 154 |
| Figure 4.21 | Normalised bearing capacity factor versus vertical displacement/footing width for treated ground (H = 150 mm) | 155 |
| Figure 4.22 | Vertical stress versus displacement/width of the footing for untreated and treated clay (H = 50 mm) | 158 |
| Figure 4.23 | Vertical stress versus displacement/width of the footing for untreated and treated clay (H = 100 mm) | 159 |
| Figure 4.24 | Vertical stress versus displacement/width of the footing for untreated and treated clay (H = 150 mm) | 160 |
| Figure 4.25 | Relationship between area replacement ratio and the improvement in bearing capacity | 161 |
| Figure 4.26 | Vertical stress versus displacement/width of the footing for untreated and treated clay ($\alpha = 13\%$) | 162 |
| Figure 4.27 | Vertical stress versus displacement/width of the footing for untreated and treated clay ($\alpha = 20\%$) | 163 |
| Figure 4.28 | Vertical stress versus displacement/width of the footing for untreated and treated clay ($\alpha = 26\%$) | 164 |
| Figure 4.29 | Relationship between column height and the improvement in bearing capacity | 164 |
| Figure 4.30 | Variation of the improvement in the bearing capacity factor with the column height | 166 |
| Figure 4.31 | Vectors of cumulative displacement for unreinforced soil | 167 |
| Figure 4.32 | Contour of cumulative displacement for unreinforced soil | 168 |
| Figure 4.33 | Vectors of cumulative displacement for the reinforced soil (P4CH50B) | 169 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.34 | Vectors of cumulative displacement for the reinforced soil (P6CH50B) | 169 |
| Figure 4.35 | Vectors of cumulative displacement for the reinforced soil (P8CH50B) | 170 |
| Figure 4.36 | Vectors of cumulative displacement for the reinforced soil (P4CH100B) | 170 |
| Figure 4.37 | Vectors of cumulative displacement for the reinforced soil (P6CH100B) | 171 |
| Figure 4.38 | Vectors of cumulative displacement for the reinforced soil (P8CH100B) | 171 |
| Figure 4.39 | Vectors of cumulative displacement for the reinforced soil (P4CH150B) | 172 |
| Figure 4.40 | Vectors of cumulative displacement for the reinforced soil (P6CH150B) | 172 |
| Figure 4.41 | Vectors of cumulative displacement for the reinforced soil (P8CH150B) | 173 |
| Figure 4.42 | Failure pattern reinforced test (P4CH50B) | 174 |
| Figure 4.43 | Failure pattern reinforced test (P6CH50B) | 174 |
| Figure 4.44 | Failure pattern reinforced test (P8CH50B) | 175 |
| Figure 4.45 | Failure pattern reinforced test (P6CH100B) | 175 |
| Figure 4.46 | Failure pattern reinforced test (P8CH100B) | 176 |
| Figure 4.47 | Failure pattern reinforced test (P4CH150B) | 176 |
| Figure 4.48 | Failure pattern reinforced test (P6CH150B) | 177 |
| Figure 4.49 | Failure pattern reinforced test (P8CH150B) | 177 |
| Figure 4.50 | Settlement and time relationship at the centre of the footing for untreated sample | 179 |
| Figure 4.51 | Surface settlement versus time for column height, H, of 50 mm, 100 mm and 150 mm | 181 |
| Figure 4.52 | Surface settlement versus bearing pressure for column height of 50 mm | 182 |
| Figure 4.53 | Surface settlement versus bearing pressure for column height of 100 mm | 183 |
| Figure 4.54 | Surface settlement versus bearing pressure for column height of 150 mm | 183 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.55 | Surface settlement versus bearing pressure for area replacement ratio of 13 % | 185 |
| Figure 4.56 | Surface settlement versus bearing pressure for area replacement ratio of 20 % | 186 |
| Figure 4.57 | Surface settlement versus bearing pressure for area replacement ratio of 26 % | 186 |
| Figure 4.58 | Variation of settlement reduction factor with area replacement ratio for different heights | 190 |
| Figure 4.59 | Variation of settlement reduction ratio with area replacement ratio for different column heights | 191 |
| Figure 4.60 | Variation of settlement reduction factor with column height for different area replacement ratios | 192 |
| Figure 4.61 | Variation of settlement reduction ratio with column height for different area replacement ratios | 193 |
| Figure 4.62 | Change of excess water pressure with the time for unreinforced and reinforced ground | 195 |
| Figure 5.1 | Variation of the vertical stress versus vertical displacement/width of the footing for untreated and treated clay (H = 50 mm) | 202 |
| Figure 5.2 | Variation of the vertical stress versus vertical displacement/width of the footing for untreated and treated clay (H = 100 mm) | 202 |
| Figure 5.3 | Variation of the vertical stress versus vertical displacement/width of the footing for untreated and treated clay (H = 150 mm) | 203 |
| Figure 5.4 | Variation of the improvement in bearing capacity for the composite ground with the area replacement ratio | 206 |
| Figure 5.5 | The stress – displacement response on unreinforced and reinforced bed ($\alpha = 13\%$) | 207 |
| Figure 5.6 | The stress – displacement response on unreinforced and reinforced bed ($\alpha = 20\%$) | 207 |
| Figure 5.7 | The stress-displacement response on unreinforced and reinforced bed ($\alpha = 26\%$) | 208 |
| Figure 5.8 | Variation of the improvement in the bearing capacity of the composite ground with the column height | 209 |
| Figure 5.9 | Comparison between untreated physical and numerical modelling | 210 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 5.10 | Comparison between physical and numerical for the treated clay (H = 50 mm) | 211 |
| Figure 5.11 | Comparison between physical and numerical for the treated clay (H = 100 mm) | 211 |
| Figure 5.12 | Comparison between physical and numerical for the treated clay (H = 150 mm) | 212 |
| Figure 5.13 | Comparison between bearing capacity results from physical modelling and numerical simulation | 213 |
| Figure 5.14 | Comparison between normalised bearing capacity results from physical modelling and numerical simulation | 214 |
| Figure 5.15 | Variation of the improvement in ultimate bearing capacity with the area replacement ratio for physical modelling and numerical simulation results | 215 |
| Figure 5.16 | Variation of the improvement in ultimate bearing capacity with column height for physical modelling and numerical simulation results | 215 |
| Figure 5.17 | Improvement in the bearing capacity from physical modelling and numerical simulation | 216 |
| Figure 5.18 | Mesh deformation for unreinforced condition | 217 |
| Figure 5.19 | Displacement shading for the unreinforced condition | 217 |
| Figure 5.20 | Displacement shading for reinforced soil (N4CH50B) | 218 |
| Figure 5.21 | Displacement shading for the reinforced soil (N6CH50B) | 219 |
| Figure 5.22 | Displacement shading for the reinforced soil (N8CH50B) | 219 |
| Figure 5.23 | Displacement shading for the reinforced soil (N6CH100B) | 220 |
| Figure 5.24 | Displacement shading for the reinforced soil (N6CH150B) | 220 |
| Figure 5.25 | Comparison between bottom ash and LECA treated ground (H = 50 mm) | 222 |
| Figure 5.26 | Comparison between bottom ash and LECA treated ground (H = 100 mm) | 222 |
| Figure 5.27 | Comparison between bottom ash and LECA treated ground (H = 150 mm) | 223 |
| Figure 5.28 | Comparison between experimental and finite element results (H = 50 mm) | 227 |
| Figure 5.29 | Comparison between experimental and finite element results (H = 100 mm) | 228 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 5.30 | Comparison between experimental and finite element results ($H = 150$ mm) | 228 |
| Figure 5.31 | Comparison between experimental and finite element results ($\alpha = 13$ %) | 231 |
| Figure 5.32 | Comparison between experimental and finite element results ($\alpha = 20$ %) | 232 |
| Figure 5.33 | Comparison between experimental and finite element results ($\alpha = 26$ %) | 232 |
| Figure 5.34 | Relationship between ultimate bearing capacity and area replacement ratio | 234 |
| Figure 5.35 | Relationship between ultimate bearing capacity and normalised column length | 235 |
| Figure 5.36 | Relationship between bearing capacity factor and area replacement ratio | 236 |
| Figure 5.37 | Relationship between bearing capacity factor and normalised column length | 237 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|------|---|---|
| ACAA | - | American Coal Ash Association |
| BS | - | British Standards |
| ASTM | - | American Society of Testing Material |
| XRD | - | X-Ray Diffraction |
| SEM | - | Scanning Electron Microscopy |
| OMC | - | Optimum Moisture Content |
| MDD | - | Maximum Dry Density |
| BCR | - | Bearing Capacity Ratio |
| PIV | - | Particle Image Velocimetry |
| LVDT | - | Direct Displacement Measurement |
| EP | - | Extraction Procedure Toxicity Test |
| SLS | - | Serviceability Limit State |
| SSM | - | Soft Soil Model |
| USCS | - | Unified Soil Classification System |
| LL | - | Liquid Limit |
| PL | - | Liquid Limit |
| PI | - | Plasticity Index |
| CU | - | Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test |
| UCT | - | Uniaxial Compression Test |
| USCS | - | Unified Soil Classification System |
| TCLP | - | Toxicity Characteristics Leaching Procedure |
| ULS | - | Ultimate Limit State |
| MC | - | Mohr-Coulomb |
| IF | - | Bearing Capacity Improvement Factor |
| IC | - | Increase in ultimate bearing capacity |
| AAS | - | Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | | |
|----------|---|---|
| α | - | Area Replacement Ratio |
| β | - | Column height penetration ratio |
| A_c | - | Column area |
| A_s | - | Area of the sample |
| A | - | Total area |
| H, L | - | Column height |
| H_s | - | Height of sample |
| S_c | - | Column spacing |
| D, D_c | - | Column diameter |
| D_e | - | Equivalent diameter |
| D_g | - | Gradation of granular material |
| γ | - | Unit weight |
| c | - | Cohesion of soil |
| ϕ | - | Friction angle |
| q_u | - | Ultimate carrying capacity |
| D_f | - | Foundation depth |
| B | - | Foundation width |
| N_s | - | Number of stone columns |
| ν | - | Poisson's ratio |
| K_{ac} | - | Coefficient of active earth pressure, |
| K_0 | - | Coefficient of lateral earth pressure at rest |
| I | - | Second moment of inertia |
| R^2 | - | Coefficient of correlation |
| η | - | Settlement reduction factor |
| SRR | - | Settlement reduction ratio |
| S_u | - | Settlement of the unreinforced soil |
| S_r | - | Settlement of the unreinforced soil |
| ψ | - | Dilatancy angle |
| c' | - | Effective cohesion |
| ϕ' | - | Effective friction angle |

| | | |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------|
| N_c, N_q, N_γ | - | Bearing capacity factor |
| q | - | Surcharge pressure |
| W | - | Weight |
| σ_c | - | Stress in the stone column |
| σ_s | - | Stress in the soil |
| λ^* | - | Modified compression index |
| κ^* | - | Modified swelling index |
| E | - | Young Modulus |
| c_s | - | Swelling index |
| c_c | - | Compression index |
| e | - | Void ratio |
| k | - | Permeability |
| G_s | - | Specific gravity |
| σ | - | Stress |
| P | - | Point load |
| mm | - | Millimetres |
| cm | - | Centimetres |
| m | - | Meter |
| Pa | - | Pascal |
| N | - | Newton |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|--------------------------------|------|
| Appendix A | Calibration of Instrumentation | 269 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Construction on soft soil deposits poses a significant challenge in the field of geotechnical engineering. Due to the low shear strength and high compressibility of soft soil, numerous engineering issues in the form of; bearing capacity failure, slope instability, or excessive settlement might occur during or, in most cases, after the construction phase.

Ground improvement method is the best option to improve the inadequate characteristics of soft soil. Although the ground improvement techniques can enhance the characteristics of the soft soil, not all techniques are economical, suitable, and preferable. Out of the several techniques, granular column is one of the most preferred, cost-effective, and widely used in construction. Stone column is a technique that uses granular materials such as crushed rock, gravel, and sand as supplements in the soft soil. Alternative materials are required to prevent the consumption of natural resources and promote sustainable development. One of the best alternatives involves the use of recycled waste materials.

The generation of electricity in coal-fired thermal power plants annually generates a large quantity of ashes which are categorised as waste materials (Singh and Siddique, 2013). The coal waste products that are generated from coal-fired power plants mainly comprise of fly ash, bottom ash, and boiler slag (Feuerborn, 2005). According to Muhandi et al. (2010), the huge amount of coal ash has become a great concern to the power plants companies given the increase in demand for ash storage areas. Since the disposal costs are rising, this might result in significant social and environmental problems.

Kumar & Stewart (2003) proved that the characteristics of bottom ash material are very similar to those of natural sand. Thus, there is a good potential of using bottom ash as a replacement material to sand in granular columns. By introducing bottom ash columns, the construction cost of projects can be considerably decreased meanwhile the need for a discharge area for bottom ash can be significantly reduced.

1.2 Problem Statement

Construction on soft soil deposits requires ground modification or improvement to enhance its mechanical characteristics. Granular column is a technique that involves replacing a part of the soil with granular material such as sand, gravel, or crushed rocks. It is constructed in soft cohesive soil because it can enhance the bearing capacity, decrease the settlement, and speed up the dissipation of pore water pressure. In order to prevent the uncontrollable usage of natural materials, there is an urgent need for substitute materials such as waste or other by-products.

In general, two important criteria are governing the design of the foundation; allowable bearing capacity and tolerable settlement. The design of granular columns in soft clays is usually governed by settlement characteristics rather than the bearing capacity to support the applied loads. Granular columns have been used to support structures and embankments, especially when the settlement or differential settlement is involved because of their higher strength and stiffness compared to the soft soil. Furthermore, it has the ability to sustain a larger portion of the applied load, which enhances the performance of foundation ground. Hence, by using the bottom ash as a replacement for the granular material in the stone column application, the construction costs can be considerably decreased while the strength of soft clay can be further improved on treatment with bottom ash columns.

Many studies have been conducted to investigate the performance of granular columns in soft clay. However, few have attempted to study the utilisation of bottom ash as a substitute material. Most of the researches that were conducted on the bearing capacity of the treated soil with bottom ash columns either used the end bearing or

floating type (Moradi et al., 2018, 2019). Hasan et al., (2011, 2014) performed laboratory model tests to investigate the improvement of the shear strength of soft kaolin clay after treatment with single and group of bottom ash columns. In a parametric study, Marto et al., (2014) similarly examined the influence of different parameters regarding the consolidation and shear strength characteristics of soft clay after being treated with single and group of bottom ash columns.

The influence of geosynthetic bottom ash columns on the shear strength of soft clay was observed by Hasan et al., (2016), the parametric study was carried out in a small-scale laboratory test on a single column and group of bottom ash columns encased with polyester non-woven geotextile material. The effect of the encasement length was studied and a comparison between a single column and a group of columns was made. The study revealed that the encasement of the bottom ash column significantly enhanced the overall shear strength of the soft clay and that the improvement was dependent on the column diameter and height. Recent attempts at utilising a series of physical modelling tests to determine the bearing capacity and failure mechanism of floating and end-bearing encased bottom ash columns installed in a soft ground have been conducted by (Moradi et al., 2018, 2019). The study was adopted to examine the effect of area replacement ratio and geosynthetic encasement.

The limited laboratory investigations that have been reported in the literature were mainly concerning shear strength and bearing capacity. However, there are no published data in the literature on settlement characteristics of bottom ash columns in soft clay. In many cases, it is more likely that settlement under operating conditions is more critical than bearing capacity. In order to understand the effect of installing a group of floating bottom ash columns in soft clay under a rigid footing, an experimental investigation could be performed. Since there are lots of parameters that influence the behaviour of bottom ash columns and the time-consuming nature of evaluating all of them using experimental investigation, simulating the columns numerically can be beneficial. Also, this will facilitate execution of the parametric study and be helpful when considering various parameters affecting the behaviour of the bottom ash column simultaneously.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

This research aims to examine the performance of soft kaolin clay treated with a small group of floating bottom ash columns beneath a rigid footing. A series of small-scale laboratory models will be performed to study the effect of area replacement ratio (ratio of the column area, A_c , to the area of the sample, A_s) and height penetration ratio (ratio of the column height, H , to the height of sample, H_s) of a group of floating bottom ash columns on the bearing capacity and settlement characteristics of soft clay after treatment with bottom ash columns. Moreover, the ground deformation and column failure mode will observe using PIV technology. The results from experimental tests will be validated using the numerical simulation with PLAXIS 3D software. In order to attain the aim of this study, the specific objectives are as follows:

- (a) To determine the enhancement of bearing capacity attained by installing a small group of floating bottom ash columns using experimental modelling.
- (b) To measure the settlement under the design load of the soft ground reinforced with a group of floating bottom ash columns.
- (c) To compare the physical model tests results of the bearing capacity and settlement with finite element analysis.
- (d) To develop a preliminary design equation to estimate the ultimate bearing capacity of reinforced ground with a small group of floating bottom ash columns using experimental modelling and validate it with numerical simulation.

1.4 Scope and Limitation of Study

This research is aimed at examining the role of a small group of floating bottom ash columns in enhancing the bearing capacity and settlement characteristics of soft clay beneath a rigid footing through physical and numerical modelling. Two main materials were used for the preparation of the physical model. Commercial kaolin clay powder (L2B20) was used for preparing the soft ground model, whereas, bottom ash obtained from Tanjung bin power plant, Johor was utilized as granular material.

The physical and mechanical characteristics of kaolin and bottom ash were performed based on British Standards (BS) and the American Society of Testing Material (ASTM). The tests that were conducted on kaolin include; Atterberg limit test, sieve analysis test, specific gravity test, standard compaction test, falling head permeability test, one-dimensional consolidation test, and vane shear test. On the other hand, the laboratory testing that was performed on bottom ash, includes sieve analysis test, specific gravity test, relative density test, standard compaction test, direct shear box test, consolidated undrained triaxial test, and constant head permeability test. The microstructure of the bottom ash was studied through X-ray diffraction (XRD) and X-ray energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS) while the leaching characteristics were examined with atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES).

The physical modelling tests were carried out in a small rigid testing chamber of dimension, 400 mm×150 mm×430 mm. The kaolin sample was consolidated to a depth of 200 mm to obtain undrained shear strength of about 7.5 kPa. The experimental testing was conducted on the untreated (clay bed only) and improved ground model with bottom ash columns under a rigid footing of size 100 mm width and 150 mm long. The bottom ash columns were installed in three different depths of 50 mm, 100 mm, and 150 mm. Three area replacement ratios of 13 %, 20 %, and 26 % were adopted; referring to four, six, and eight columns respectively and corresponding to 25 mm column diameter.

For numerical simulation, Plaxis 3D Foundation was used to compare and validate the results achieved from the physical model tests. The two constitutive models that were used to simulate the ground model and bottom ash columns are Soft Soil and the Mohr-Coulomb models.

1.5 Significance of Research

In recent decades, a variety of geotechnical construction methods have been developed to improve the performance of soft soil. Ground improvement with stone columns is one of the popular methods for supporting footings and embankments constructed on soft soil. Stone column is considered an effective technique for decreasing the settlement and increasing the time-rate of consolidation of soft clay soil. In most cases, end-bearing columns are typically used for the design. However, due to the construction costs and machine depth limitations, floating columns are occasionally used. Since bottom ash, a by-product of coal-burning, has proven properties similar to sand soil, there is a possibility of it being used as sand replacement in stone column applications. To avoid the consumption of gradually depleting natural materials, the use of recycling material from the waste of coal, such as bottom ash, is an excellent solution. Therefore, by utilizing bottom ash as granular material in granular columns and floating columns instead of end bearing columns, the construction cost can be reduced while ensuring sustainable development

This research studies the behaviour of small groups of floating bottom ash columns in soft kaolin clay using physical and finite element modelling (PLAXIS 3D). The laboratory experiments and numerical results provide a further understanding of the improvement of the soft ground treated with floating bottom ash columns using varying area replacement and column height penetration ratios. In addition, it promotes the development of appropriate analytical methods. The importance of this research is that it will set guidelines for the design of floating bottom ash columns in soft kaolin clay. Although Moradi et al., (2019) had studied the bearing capacity of soft clay treated with floating bottom ash columns, there is a lack of information on the important parameters such as the effect of height penetration ratio.

1.6 Thesis Outlines

This thesis is organized into six chapters and each chapter consists of the following information:

Chapter 1 outlines the research background, problem statement, objectives of the research, the scope, limitation, and the significance of the study.

Chapter 2 presents the review of the available literature from the previous researches relating to the study. The review includes a brief description of the stone column ground improvement method; principle, materials, construction, design considerations, and applications. In addition, the basic concept for the estimation of the load-bearing capacity, settlement prediction, and failure mechanism are also highlighted. This chapter also presents the numerical finite element modelling related to the theme of the research which includes; materials description and constitutive modelling. This chapter also covers the characteristics of bottom ash material and its application in construction. In addition, previous studies on similar work such as; physical modelling, numerical simulation PLAXIS 3D are also addressed. Furthermore, past researches on Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregate (LECA) columns are highlighted and a comparison with bottom ash columns is also presented.

Chapter 3 explains the materials used in the preparation of the ground model, the collection of testing material, experimental equipment, and the detailed testing procedure used in the laboratory testing. The laboratory equipment used to prepare the physical modelling which includes sample preparation tools, column installation, pressure system, and loading devices are also presented. Additionally, the calibration of the instrumentation, setting of physical modelling, and supplementary tests are discussed. The procedure for observing the ground deformation through the Particle Image Velocimetry technique is also highlighted. This chapter also explains the methodology used in numerical modelling which includes model geometry, selection of suitable constitutive model, boundary conditions, loading stages, mesh generation, and material properties.

Chapter 4 contains the obtained results from the laboratory tests and the physical modelling experiments. The laboratory tests results include the physical and mechanical properties of kaolin and bottom ash. The chemical and leaching characteristics of bottom ash are also presented. The discussion of the physical modelling results including the analysis of the supplementary tests, PIV test and column deformation mechanism are also presented in this chapter. The physical modelling and PIV test results cover the bearing capacity and settlement aspects. A comparison between the obtained results with LECA material is also discussed.

Chapter 5 presents the findings from the finite element analysis using PLAXIS 3D software. The discussion of the results is divided into four main parts. The first part is carried out to obtain the ultimate bearing capacity of the untreated and treated ground model. The second part focuses on determining the settlement of the ground model under the design load. Comparison with the obtained results from the physical model is also made. The third part elaborates the development of the design guidelines based on the results obtained from physical and numerical modelling. The last part validates the proposed design equations with the LECA material.

Finally, Chapter 6 summarises the main conclusions from the findings of this research as well as suggestions and recommendations for future researches.

REFERENCES

- Abbil, A., Kassim, A., Rashid, A. S. A., Hainin, M. R., Ullah, A., Matusin, S. and Giwangkara, G. G. (2020) 'Effect of alkali-activator to bottom ash ratio on the undrained shear strength of bottom ash based geopolymer', in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. IOP Publishing., p. 012041.
- Abubakar, A. U. and Baharudin, K. S. (2012) 'Potential use of Malaysian thermal power plants coal bottom ash in construction', *International journal of sustainable construction engineering & technology*, 3(2), pp. 25–37.
- Adrian, R. J. (1991) 'Particle-imaging techniques for experimental fluid mechanics', *Annual review of fluid mechanics*, 23(1), pp. 261–304.
- Afshar, J. N. and Ghazavi, M. (2014) 'Experimental studies on bearing capacity of geosynthetic reinforced stone columns', *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 39(3), pp. 1559–1571.
- Al-Ani, W. and Wanatowski, D. (2017) 'Settlement analysis of floating stone columns', in *numerical modeling of construction processes in geotechnical engineering for urban environment: Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*. Seoul, pp. 2469–2472.
- Alexiew, D., Horgan, G. J. and Brokemper, D. (2003) 'Geotextile encased columns (GEC): Load capacity & geotextile selection', in *BGA International Conference on Foundations: Innovations, observations, design and practice: Proceedings of the international conference organised by British Geotechnical Association and held in Dundee, Scotland*. Thomas Telford Publishing, pp. 81–90.
- Alkhorshid, N. R. (2017) *Analysis of geosynthetic encased columns in very soft soil*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Brasilia.
- Ambily, A. P. and Gandhi, S. R. (2007a) 'Behavior of stone columns based on experimental and FEM analysis', *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 133(4), pp. 405–415.

- Ambily, A. P. and Gandhi, S. R. (2007b) 'Behavior of stone columns based on experimental and FEM analysis', *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 133(4), pp. 405–415.
- Andrade, L., Rocha, J. C. and Cheriaf, M. (2007) 'Evaluation of concrete incorporating bottom ash as a natural aggregates replacement', *Waste Management*, 27(9), pp. 1190–1199.
- Argiz, C., Sanjuán, M. Á. and Menéndez, E. (2017) 'Coal bottom ash for Portland cement production', *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, 2017, pp. 1–7.
- Awang, A. R. (2015) *Characteristics of coal ash mixtures as replacement materials in ground improvement works*. Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Awang, A. R., Marto, A. and Makhtar, A. M. (2011) 'Geotechnical properties of Tanjung bin coal ash mixtures for backfill materials in embankment construction', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering (Ejge)*, 16, pp. 1515–1531.
- Awang, A. R., Marto, A. and Makhtar, A. M. (2012) 'Morphological and strength properties of Tanjung bin coal ash mixtures for applied in geotechnical engineering work', *International Journal on Advance Science Engineering Information Technology*, 2(2), pp. 168–175.
- Aydin, E. (2016) 'Novel coal bottom ash waste composites for sustainable construction', *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 124, pp. 582–588.
- Le Ba, V. and Le Ba, K. (2017) 'Study on the settlement and the load-bearing capacity of Long An soft ground reinforced by the stone columns', *Japanese Geotechnical Society Special Publication*, 5(2), pp. 124–129.
- Babu, M. D., Nayak, S. and Shivashankar, R. (2013a) 'A Critical review of construction, analysis and behaviour of stone columns', *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 31(1), pp. 1–22.
- Babu, M. D., Nayak, S. and Shivashankar, R. (2013b) 'A Critical review of construction, analysis and behaviour of stone columns', *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 31(1), pp. 1–22.
- Balaam, N. P. (1978) *Load- settlement behaviour of granular piles*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Sydney.

- Barksdale, R. D. and Bachus, R. C. (1983) *Design and construction of stone columns, FHWA/RD-83/026, Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center*. Virginia.
- Basirun, N. F., Wan Ibrahim, M. H., Jamaludin, N. and Putra Jaya, R. (2017) ‘A review: The effect of grinded coal bottom ash on concrete’, in *MATEC Web of Conferences*. EDP Sciences, p. 01007.
- Black, J. A., Sivakumar, V., & Bell, A. (2011) ‘The settlement performance of stone column foundations’, *Géotechnique*, 61(11), pp. 909–922.
- Black, J. A., Sivakumar, V. and Bell, A. L. (2010) ‘Performance and observations of model stone column foundations’, *In Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Physical Modelling in Geotechnics (ICPMG 2010)*, pp. 1313–1318.
- Black, J., Sivakumar, V. and McCabe, B. (2006) ‘An improved experimental test set-up to study the performance of granular columns’, *Geotechnical Testing Journal*, 29(3), pp. 193–199.
- Bouziane, A., Jamin, F., El Mandour, A., El Omari, M., Bouassida, M. and El Youssoufi, M. S. (2020) ‘Experimental study on a scaled test model of soil reinforced by stone columns’, *European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering*. Taylor & Francis, pp. 1–20.
- Budhu, M. (2015) *Soil mechanics fundamentals*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Bunawan, A. R., Momeni, E., Armaghani, D. J. and Rashid, A. S. A. (2018) ‘Experimental and intelligent techniques to estimate bearing capacity of cohesive soft soils reinforced with soil-cement columns’, *Measurement*, 124, pp. 529–538.
- Cadersa, A. S., Rana, J. and Ramjeawon, T. (2014) ‘Assessing the durability of coal bottom ash as aggregate replacement in low strength concrete’, *Emerging Trends in Engineering and Applied Sciences (JETEAS)*, 5(5), pp. 344–349.
- Castro, J. (2014) ‘Numerical modelling of stone columns beneath a rigid footing’, *Computers and Geotechnics*. Elsevier Ltd, 60, pp. 77–87.
- Castro, J. (2016) ‘An analytical solution for the settlement of stone columns beneath rigid footings’, *Acta Geotechnica*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 11(2), pp. 309–324.
- Chai, J. C., Miura, N., Kirekawa, T. and Hino, T. (2010) ‘Settlement prediction for soft ground improved by columns’, *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Ground Improvement*, 163(2), pp. 109–119.

- Chandrawanshi, S., Kumar, R., Rokade, S. and Jain, P. K. (2016) 'Bearing pressure and settlement analysis of soft ground reinforced with stone columns', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 21(25), pp. 10081–10094.
- Chandrawanshi, Sareesh, Kumar, R., Rokade, S. and Jain, P. K. (2016) 'Bearing pressure and settlement analysis of soft ground reinforced with stone columns', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 21(25), pp. 10081–10094.
- Colonna, P., Berloco, N., Ranieri, V. and Shuler, S. T. (2012) 'Application of bottom ash for pavement binder course', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 53, pp. 961–971.
- Consoli, N. C., Heineck, K. S., Coop, M. R., Da Fonseca, A. V. and Ferreira, C. (2007) 'Coal bottom ash as a geomaterial: Influence of particle morphology on the behavior of granular materials', *Soils and Foundations*, 47(2), pp. 361–373.
- Das, B. M. (2014a) *Principles of foundation engineering*. 8th edn, Cengage Learning. 8th edn.
- Das, B. M. (2014b) *Principles of foundation engineering*, Cengage Learning. Edited by T. L. Anderson.
- Das, B. M. (2015) *Principles of foundation engineering*, Cengage Learning.
- Demir, A., Laman, M., Yildiz, A. and Ornek, M. (2013) 'Large scale field tests on geogrid-reinforced granular fill underlain by clay soil', *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. Elsevier, 38, pp. 1–15.
- Dungca, J. R. and Jao, J. A. L. (2017) 'Strength and permeability characteristics of road base materials blended with fly ash and bottom ash', *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 12(31), pp. 9–15.
- Edil, T. B. (2013) 'Characterization of recycled materials for sustainable construction', in *Proc. 18th ICSMGE., International Conference on soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, pp. 3195–3198.
- Effendi, R. (2007) *Modelling of the settlement interaction of neighbouring buildings on soft ground*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Sheffield.
- Faisal, S. K., Mazonan, P. N., Shahidan, S. and Irwan, J. M. (2018) 'Review of coal bottom ash and coconut shell in the production of concrete', in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, p. 012032.
- Fattah, M. Y., Al-Neami, M. A. and Shamel Al-Suhaily, A. (2017) 'Estimation of bearing capacity of floating group of stone columns', *Engineering Science and*

- Technology, an International Journal*. Karabuk University, 20(3), pp. 1166–1172.
- Fattah, Mohammed Y., Shlash, K. T. and Al-Waily, M. J. M. (2011) ‘Stress concentration ratio of model stone columns in soft clays’, *Geotechnical Testing Journal*, 34(1), p. 1.
- Fattah, M. Y., Shlash, K. T. and Al-Waily, M. J. M. (2011) ‘Stress concentration ratio of model stone columns in soft clays’, *Geotechnical Testing Journal*, 34(1), p. 1.
- Feuerborn, H. J. (2005) ‘Coal ash utilisation over the world and in Europe’, in *In Workshop on Environmental and Health Aspects of Coal Ash Utilization*.
- Gallage, C. and Gunasekara, J. (2019) ‘Use of Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) technique to measure strains in geogrids’, in *Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on Deformation Characteristics of Geomaterials*. Glasgow: EDP Sciences, p. 12007.
- Gallardo, S., Van Hullebusch, E. D., Pangayao, D., Salido, B. M. and Ronquillo, R. (2015) ‘Chemical, leaching, and toxicity characteristics of coal ashes from circulating fluidized bed of a philippine coal-fired power plant’, *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 226(9), pp. 1–11.
- Gimhan, P. G. S., Disanayaka, J. P. B. and Nasvi, M. C. M. (2018) ‘Geotechnical engineering properties of fly ash and bottom ash: use as civil engineering construction material’, *Engineer: Journal of the Institution of Engineers*, LI(01), pp. 49–57.
- Gniel, J. and Bouazza, A. (2009) ‘Improvement of soft soils using geogrid encased stone columns’, *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. Elsevier Ltd, 27(3), pp. 167–175.
- Goodarzi, F. (2009) ‘Environmental assessment of bottom ash from canadian coal-fired power plants’, *The Open Environmental & Biological Monitoring Journal*, 2(1), pp. 1–10.
- Gooi, S., Mousa, A. A. and Kong, D. (2020) ‘A critical review and gap analysis on the use of coal bottom ash as a substitute constituent in concrete’, *Journal of Cleaner Production*. Elsevier Ltd, 268, p. 121752.
- Guétif, Z., Bouassida, M. and Debats, J. M. (2007) ‘Improved soft clay characteristics due to stone column installation’, *Computers and Geotechnics*, 34(2), pp. 104–111.

- Gupta, A. K. (2021) 'A Review article on construction , parametric study and settlement behavior of stone column', in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. IOP Publishing, p. 012021.
- Hafeezi, A. M., Rasha, A., A, R. A. S. and Zurairahetty, M. N. (2018) 'Engineering properties of Tanjung bin bottom ash', in *MATEC Web of Conferences*. EDP Sciences, p. 01006.
- Hamzah, A. F., Ibrahim, M. H. W., Jamaluddin, N., Jaya, R. P., Arshad, M. F. and Abidin, N. E. Z. (2015) 'Fresh characteristic and mechanical compressive strength development of self-compacting concrete integrating coal bottom ash as partial fine aggregates replacement', *International Journal of Mechanical and Mechatronics Engineering*, 15(4), pp. 61–67.
- Han, J., & Ye, S. L. (2001) 'Simplified method for consolidation rate of stone column reinforced foundations', *Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 127(8), pp. 597–603.
- Han, J. (2015) *Principles and practice of ground improvement*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hanna, A. M., Etezad, M. and Ayadat, T. (2013) 'Mode of failure of a group of stone columns in soft soil', *International Journal of Geomechanics*, 13(1), pp. 87–96.
- Hannan, N. I. R. R., Shahidan, S., Ali, N. and Maarof, M. Z. (2017) 'A comprehensive review on the properties of coal bottom ash in concrete as sound absorption material', in *MATEC Web of Conferences*. EDP Sciences, p. 01005.
- Hasan, M. (2013) *Strength and compressibility of soft soil reinforced with bottom ash columns*. Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Hasan, M. Bin, Marto, A. B., Hyodo, M. and Makhtar, A. M. Bin (2011a) 'The strength of soft clay reinforced with singular and group bottom ash columns', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering (EJGE)*, 16, pp. 1215–1227.
- Hasan, M. Bin, Marto, A. B., Hyodo, M. and Makhtar, A. M. Bin (2011b) 'The strength of soft clay reinforced with singular and group bottom ash columns', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering (EJGE)*, 16, pp. 1215–1227.
- Hasan, M., Husaini, N. A. and Pangee, N. (2017) 'Shear strength of clay reinforced with square and triangular arrangement of group encapsulated bottom ash columns', *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 12(33), pp. 127–133.

- Hasan, M., Jusoh, W. N. W., Chee, W. S. and Hyodo, M. (2018) 'The undrained shear strength of soft clay reinforced with group encapsulated lime bottom ash columns', *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 14(46), pp. 46–50.
- Hasan, M., Marto, A., Mahir, A. and Muttaqin, M. (2014) 'Strength of soft soil reinforced with single and group of bottom ash columns', in *The 2014 world congress on Advanced in Civil, Environmental and Materials Research (ACEM14), Busan, Korea, August 24-28*, pp. 24–28.
- Hasan, M., Pangee, N. and Husaini, N. A. (2016) 'Strength of soft clay reinforced with square and triangular pattern encapsulated bottom ash columns', in *Second International Conference on Science, Engineering & Environment*, pp. 21–23.
- Hasan, M., Pangee, N., Nor, M. and Suki, S. (2016) 'Shear strength of soft clay reinforced with single encased bottom ash columns', *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 11(3), pp. 1562–1569.
- Hasan, M., Yee, K. H., Pahrol, M. F. A. J. and Hyodo, & M. (2019) 'Shear strength of soft clay reinforced with encased Lime bottom ash column (ELBAC)', *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 16(57), pp. 62–66.
- Hasan, M., Zaini, M. S. I., Hashim, N. A. A., Wahab, A., Masri, K. A., Jaya, R. P. and Haribowo, R. (2021) 'Stabilization of kaolin clay soil reinforced with single encapsulated 20 mm diameter bottom ash column', in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. IOP Publishing, p. 012099.
- Hassen, G., de Buhan, P. and Abdelkrim, M. (2010) 'Finite element implementation of a homogenized constitutive law for stone column-reinforced foundation soils, with application to the design of structures', *Computers and Geotechnics*. Elsevier Ltd, 37(1–2), pp. 40–49.
- Hu, W. E. T. (1995) *Physical modelling of group behaviour of stone column foundations*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Glasgow.
- Huang, W. (1990) *The use of bottom ash in highway embankments, subgrades, and subbases*.
- Huang, W., Lovell, C. and Lafayette, W. (1991) *Bottom ash as a highway material, Transportation Research Record 1310*.
- Hussain, S., Fahim, M., Khan, F. A. and Zaman, S. (2021) 'Experimental evaluation of lime column as a ground improvement method in soft soils', *SN Applied Sciences*. Springer International Publishing, 3(10), pp. 1–9.

- Jahanger, Z. K. and Antony, S. J. (2017) 'Application of particle image velocimetry in the analysis of scale effects in granular soil', *International Journal of Civil, Environmental, Structural, Construction and Architectural Engineering*, 11(7), pp. 832–837.
- Jayarajan, J. and Karpurapu, R. (2020) 'Bearing capacity and settlement response of ordinary and geosynthetic encased granular columns in soft clay soils: Analysis and design charts', *Indian Geotechnical Journal*. Springer India, 51(2), pp. 237–253.
- Jayarajan, Jayapal and Karpurapu, R. (2020) 'Settlement analysis of geosynthetic encased granular column treated soft clay deposits', *International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. Taylor & Francis, 14(5), pp. 473–489.
- Jorat, M. E., Marto, A., Namazi, E. and Amin, M. F. M. (2011) 'Engineering characteristics of kaolin mixed with various percentages of bottom ash', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 16(2), pp. 841–850.
- Kadam, M. P. and Patil, Y. D. (2013) 'Effect of coal bottom ash as sand replacement on the properties of concrete with different w/c ratio', *International Journal of Advanced Technology in Civil Engineering*, 2(1), pp. 2231–5721.
- Kim, B., Yoon, S., Balunaini, U. and Salgado, R. (2006) *Determination of ash mixture properties and construction of test embankment-Part A, Joint Transportation Research Program*.
- Kim, Y. H., Kim, H. Y., Yang, K. H. and Ha, J. S. (2020) 'Evaluation of workability and mechanical properties of bottom ash aggregate concrete', *Applied Sciences*, 10(22), p. 8016.
- Klai, M., Bouassida, M. and Tabchouche, S. (2015) 'Numerical modelling of Tunis soft clay', *Geotechnical Engineering Journal of the SEAGS & AGSSEA*, 46(4), pp. 87–95.
- Kolekar, Apparao, Y. and Murty, D. S. (2011) 'Numerical simulation of behavior of partially penetrating stone columns', in *Pan-Am CGS Geotechnical Conference*, pp. 107–112.
- Kumar, S. and Stewart, J. (2003) 'Evaluation of Illinois pulverized coal combustion dry bottom ash for use in geotechnical engineering applications', *Journal of Energy Engineering*, 129(2), pp. 42–55.
- Kurama, H. and Kaya, M. (2008) 'Usage of coal combustion bottom ash in concrete mixture', *Construction and Building Materials*, 22(9), pp. 1922–1928.

- Lade, P. V. (2005) 'Overview of constitutive models for soils', *Soil constitutive models: Evaluation, selection, and calibration*, pp. 1–34.
- Latifi, N., Marto, A., Rashid, A. S. A. and Yii, J. L. J. (2015) 'Strength and physico-chemical characteristics of fly ash–bottom ash mixture', *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 40(9), pp. 2447–2455.
- Liew, J. R., & Shanmugam, N. E. (2003) *The civil engineering handbook: Theory and analysis of structures*. Boca Raton: CRC Press LLC. Rossato,.
- López López, E., Vega-Zamanillo, Á., Calzada Pérez, M. A. and & Hernández-Sanz, A. (2015) 'Bearing capacity of bottom ash and its mixture with soils', *Soils and Foundations*. Elsevier, 55(3), pp. 529–535.
- M, S. T., C, F. C. and Brema, J. (2015) 'Performance of different types of stone columns in soil stabilization – A Review', *International Journal of Engineering Technology Science and Research*, 2, pp. 73–82.
- Madhav, M. R. and Vitkar, P. P. (1978) 'Strip footing on weak clay stabilized with a granular trench or pile.', *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 15(4), pp. 605–609.
- Maghvan, S. V., Imam, R. and McCartney, J. S. (2020) 'Physical modeling of stone columns in unsaturated soil deposits', *Geotechnical Testing Journal*, 43(1), pp. 253–274.
- Maliki, A. A., Shahidan, S., Ali, N., Hannan, N. R., Zuki, S. M., Ibrahim, M. W. and Rahim, M. A. (2017) 'Compressive and tensile strength for concrete containing coal bottom ash', in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. IOP Publishing, p. 012055.
- Mangi, S. A., Memon, Z. A., Khahro, S. H., Memon, R. A. and Memon, A. H. (2020) 'Potentiality of industrial waste as supplementary cementitious material in concrete production', *International Review of Civil Engineering*, 11(5), pp. 214–221.
- Mangi, S. A., Wan Ibrahim, M. H., Jamaluddin, N., Arshad, M. F., Memon, F. A., Putra Jaya, R. and Shahidan, S. (2018) 'A review on potential use of coal bottom ash as a supplementary cementing material in sustainable concrete construction', *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 10(9), pp. 28–36.
- Mangi, S. A., Wan Ibrahim, M. H., Jamaluddin, N., Shahidan, S., Arshad, M. F., Memon, S. A., Putra Jaya, R., Mudjanarko, S. W. and Setiawan, M. I. (2019)

- ‘Effects of ground coal bottom ash on the properties of concrete’, *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 14(1), pp. 338–350.
- Marto, A., Hasan, M., Hyodo, M. and Makhtar, A. M. (2014) ‘Shear strength parameters and consolidation of clay reinforced with single and group bottom ash columns’, *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 39(4), pp. 2641–2654.
- Marto, A., Hassan, M. A., Makhtar, A. M. and Othman, B. A. (2013a) ‘Shear strength improvement of soft clay mixed with Tanjung bin coal ash’, *APCBEE Procedia*. Elsevier B.V., 5, pp. 116–122.
- Marto, A., Hassan, M. A., Makhtar, A. M. and Othman, B. A. (2013b) ‘Shear strength improvement of soft clay mixed with Tanjung bin coal ash’, *APCBEE Procedia*, 5, pp. 116–122.
- Marto, A., Moradi, R., Helmi, F., Latifi, N. and Oghabi, M. (2013) ‘Performance analysis of reinforced stone columns using finite element method’, *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 18, pp. 315–323.
- Marto, A., Rosly, N. A., Tan, C. S., Kasim, F., Yunus, N. Z. M. and Moradi, R. (2016) ‘Bearing capacity of soft clay installed with singular and group of encased bottom ash columns’, *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(7–3), pp. 105–110.
- Marto, A. and Tan, C. S. (2016) ‘Properties of coal bottom ash from power plants in Malaysia and its suitability as geotechnical engineering material’, *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(8–5), pp. 1–10.
- McCabe, B. A., McNeill, J. A. and Black, J. A. (2007a) *Ground improvement using the vibro-stone column technique*, Paper presented at a Joint meeting of Engineers Ireland West Region and the Geotechnical Society of Ireland.
- McCabe, B. A., McNeill, J. A. and Black, J. A. (2007b) *Ground Improvement Using the Vibro-Stone Column Technique*, Paper presented at a Joint meeting of Engineers Ireland West Region and the Geotechnical Society of Ireland.
- McCabe, B. A., Nimmons, G. J. and Egan, D. (2009a) ‘A review of field performance of stone columns in soft soils’, *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers: Geotechnical Engineering*, 162(6), pp. 323–334.
- McCabe, B. A., Nimmons, G. J. and Egan, D. (2009b) ‘A review of field performance of stone columns in soft soils’, *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Geotechnical Engineering*, 162(6), pp. 323–334.

- McKelvey, D., Sivakumar, V., Bell, A. and Graham, J. (2004) 'Modelling vibrated stone columns in soft clay', *Geotechnical Engineering*, 157(3), pp. 137–149.
- Mir, B. A. and Malik, A. (2017) 'Studies on the mechanical behavior of bottom ash for a sustainable environment', *International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, 11(7), pp. 857–863.
- Mohammed, S. A., Koting, S., Katman, H. Y. B., Babalghaith, A. M., Abdul Patah, M. F., Ibrahim, M. R. and Karim, M. R. (2021) 'A review of the utilization of coal bottom ash (CBA) in the construction industry', *Sustainability*, 13(14), pp. 1–16.
- Mokhtari, M., & Kalantari, B. (2012) 'Soft soil stabilization using stone columns-A review', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 17, pp. 1459–1466.
- Monika, F., Prayuda, H., Cahyati, M. D., Augustin, E. N., Rahman, H. A. and Prasintasari, A. D. (2022) 'Engineering properties of concrete made with coal bottom ash as sustainable construction materials', *Civil Engineering Journal*, 8(1), pp. 181–194.
- Moradi, R. (2016a) *Physical and numerical modelling of bottom ash columns installed in soft soil*. Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Moradi, R. (2016b) *Physical and numerical modelling of bottom ash columns installed soft clay*. Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Moradi, R., Marto, A., Rashid, A. S. A., Moradi, M. M., Ganiyu, A. A., Abdullah, M. H. and Horpibulsuk, S. (2019a) 'Enhancement of soft soil behaviour by using floating bottom ash columns', *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 23(6), pp. 2453–2462.
- Moradi, R., Marto, A., Rashid, A. S. A., Moradi, M. M., Ganiyu, A. A., Abdullah, M. H. and Horpibulsuk, S. (2019b) 'Enhancement of soft soil behaviour by using floating bottom ash columns', *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 23(6), pp. 2453–2462.
- Moradi, R., Marto, A., Rashid, A. S. A., Moradi, M. M., Ganiyu, A. A. and Horpibulsuk, S. (2018a) 'Bearing capacity of soft soil model treated with end-bearing bottom ash columns', *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 77(3), pp. 1–9.
- Moradi, R., Marto, A., Rashid, A. S. A., Moradi, M. M., Ganiyu, A. A. and Horpibulsuk, S. (2018b) 'Bearing capacity of soft soil model treated with end-bearing bottom ash columns', *Environmental Earth Sciences*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 77(3), pp. 1–9.

- Muhardi, A., Marto, A., Kassim, K. A., Makhtar, A. M., Wei, L. F. and Lim, Y. S. (2010) 'Engineering characteristics of Tanjung bin coal ash', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 15, pp. 1117–1129.
- Muir Wood, D., Hu, W., & Nash, D. F. (2000) 'Group effects in stone column foundations : model tests', *Geotechnique*, 50(6), pp. 689–698.
- Murugesan, S. and Rajagopal, K. (2007) 'Model tests on geosynthetic-encased stone columns', *Geosynthetics International*, 14(6), pp. 346–354.
- Najjar, S. S., Sadek, S. and Maakaroun, T. (2010) 'Effect of sand columns on the undrained load response of soft clays', *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 136(9), pp. 1263–1277.
- Najjar, S. S., Sadek, S., Zakharia, M. and Khalaf, S. (2012) 'Effect of sand column inclusions on the drained response of soft clays', *In GeoCongress 2012: State of the Art and Practice in Geotechnical Engineering*, pp. 4079–4088.
- Nanda, B. and Rout, S. (2021) 'Properties of concrete containing fly ash and bottom ash mixture as fine aggregate', *International Journal of Sustainable Engineering*. Taylor & Francis, 14(4), pp. 809–819.
- Nasaba, M. J. and Asakereh, A. (2016) 'Numerical analysis of the bearing capacity of stone columns improved ground', *International Journal of Integrative Sciences, Innovation and Technology (IJIT)*, 5(1), pp. 1–5.
- Nazari Afshar, J. and Ghazavi, M. (2014) 'Geotechnical engineering a simple analytical method for calculation of bearing capacity of stone- column', *International Journal of Civil Engineering*, 12(1), pp. 15–25.
- Ng, K. S. (2017) 'Settlement ratio of floating stone columns for small and large loaded areas', *Journal of GeoEngineering*, 12(2), pp. 89–96.
- Ng, K. S. and Tan, S. A. (2014) 'Design and analyses of floating stone columns', *Soils and Foundations*, 54(3), pp. 478–487.
- Nissa, K. (2019) *Performance of floating soil cement column on soft soil*. Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Nissa Mat Said, K., Safuan A Rashid, A., Osouli, A., Latifi, N., Zurairahetty Mohd Yunus, N. and Adekunle Ganiyu, A. (2019) 'Settlement evaluation of soft soil improved by floating soil cement column', *International Journal of Geomechanics*, 19(1), p. 04018183.

- Nu, N. T., Son, B. T. and Ngoc, D. M. (2019) 'An experimental study of reusing coal ash for base course of road pavement in Vietnam', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 24(4), pp. 945–960.
- Ong, D. E. L., Sim, Y. S. and Leung, C. F. (2018) 'Performance of field and numerical back-analysis of floating stone columns in soft clay considering the influence of dilatancy', *International Journal of Geomechanics*, 18(10), p. 04018135.
- Pant, A., Datta, M. and Ramana, G. V. (2019) 'Bottom ash as a backfill material in reinforced soil structures', *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. Elsevier, 47(4), pp. 514–521.
- Park, J. H., Jung, S. H. and Yang, I. H. (2021) 'Selected strength properties of coal bottom ash (CBA) concrete containing fly ash under different curing and drying conditions', *Materials*, 14(18), p. 5381.
- Pongsivasathit, S., Chai, J. and Ding, W. (2013) 'Consolidation settlement of floating-column-improved soft clayey deposit', *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Ground Improvement*, 166(1), pp. 44–58.
- Potts, D. M. (2003) 'Numerical analysis: a virtual dream or practical reality?', *Géotechnique*, 53(6), pp. 535–573.
- Priebe, H. J. (1995) 'The design of vibro replacement', *Ground engineering*, 28(10), pp. 1–16.
- Rafieizonooz, M., Mirza, J., Salim, M. R., Hussin, M. W. and Khankhaje, E. (2016a) 'Investigation of coal bottom ash and fly ash in concrete as replacement for sand and cement', *Construction and building materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 116, pp. 15–24.
- Rafieizonooz, M., Mirza, J., Salim, M. R., Hussin, M. W. and Khankhaje, E. (2016b) 'Investigation of coal bottom ash and fly ash in concrete as replacement for sand and cement', *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 116, pp. 15–24.
- Rafieizonooz, M., Salim, M. R., Mirza, J., Hussin, M. W., Khan, R. and Khankhaje, E. (2017) 'Toxicity characteristics and durability of concrete containing coal ash as substitute for cement and river sand', *Construction and Building Materials*, 143, pp. 234–246.
- Rafieizonooz, Mahdi, Salimb, M. R., Hussinc, M. W., Mirzac, J., Yunusb, S. M. and Khankhaje, E. (2017) 'Workability, compressive strength and leachability of

- coal ash concrete’, *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 56(April), pp. 439–444.
- Raftari, M. (2015) *Settlement behaviour of soft soil stabilized with soil-cement columns using constant rate of strain method*. Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Ramzi, N. I. R., Shahidan, S., Maarof, M. Z. and Ali, N. (2016a) ‘Physical and chemical properties of coal bottom ash (CBA) from Tanjung bin power plant’, in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. IOP Publishing, p. 012056.
- Ramzi, Nurul Izzati Raihan, Shahidan, S., Maarof, M. Z. and Ali, N. (2016) ‘Physical and chemical properties of coal bottom ash (CBA) from Tanjung bin power plant’, in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. IOP Publishing, p. 012056.
- Ramzi, N. I. R., Shahidan, S., Maarof, M. Z. and Ali, N. (2016b) ‘Physical and chemical properties of coal bottom ash (CBA) from Tanjung bin power plant’, in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. IOP Publishing, p. 012056.
- Rashid, A. S. A. (2011) *Behaviour of weak soils reinforced with soil columns formed by the deep mixing method*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Sheffield.
- Rashid, A. S. A., Black, J. A., Kueh, A. B. H. and Noor, N. M. (2015) ‘Behaviour of weak soils reinforced with soil cement columns formed by the deep mixing method: rigid and flexible footings’, *Measurement*. Elsevier Ltd, 68, pp. 262–279.
- Rashid, A. S. A., Kueh, A. B. H. and Mohamad, H. (2018) ‘Behaviour of soft soil improved by floating soil-cement columns’, *International Journal of Physical Modelling in Geotechnics*, 18(2), pp. 95–116.
- Sadon, S. N., Beddu, S., Naganathan, S., Kamal, N. L. M. and Hassan, H. (2017) ‘Coal bottom ash as sustainable material in concrete—A review’, *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 10(36), pp. 1–10.
- Serridge, C. J. (2005) ‘Achieving sustainability in vibro stone column techniques’, in *In Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Engineering Sustainability*. Thomas Telford Ltd, pp. 211–222.
- Shahu, J. T. and Reddy, Y. R. (2014) ‘Estimating long-term settlement of floating stone column groups’, *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 51(7), pp. 770–781.

- Singh, M. and Siddique, R. (2013) 'Effect of coal bottom ash as partial replacement of sand on properties of concrete', *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. Elsevier B.V., 72, pp. 20–32.
- Singh, M. and Siddique, R. (2014a) 'Compressive strength, drying shrinkage and chemical resistance of concrete incorporating coal bottom ash as partial or total replacement of sand', *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 68, pp. 39–48.
- Singh, M. and Siddique, R. (2014b) 'Strength properties and micro-structural properties of concrete containing coal bottom ash as partial replacement of fine aggregate', *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 50, pp. 246–256.
- Singh, M. and Siddique, R. (2015) 'Properties of concrete containing high volumes of coal bottom ash as fine aggregate', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 91, pp. 269–278.
- Singh, M. and Siddique, R. (2016a) 'Effect of coal bottom ash as partial replacement of sand on workability and strength properties of concrete', *Journal of Cleaner Production*. Elsevier Ltd, 112, pp. 620–630.
- Singh, M. and Siddique, R. (2016b) 'Effect of coal bottom ash as partial replacement of sand on workability and strength properties of concrete', *Journal of Cleaner Production*. Elsevier Ltd, 112, pp. 620–630.
- Singh, N., M., M. and Arya, S. (2018a) 'Influence of coal bottom ash as fine aggregates replacement on various properties of concretes: A review', *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*, 138, pp. 257–271.
- Singh, N., M., M. and Arya, S. (2018b) 'Influence of coal bottom ash as fine aggregates replacement on various properties of concretes: A review', *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 138, pp. 257–271.
- Sohaib, N., Sarfrazfaiz, M. and Sami, M. F. (2020) 'Experimental study on improvement of soft clay using sand columns', *Journal of Civil Engineering and Architecture*, 14, pp. 391–401.
- Taube, M. G. and Herridge, J. (2002) 'Stone columns for industrial fills', in *In 33rd Ohio River Valley Soil Seminar (ORVSS)*. Cuddy, Pennsylvania: Nicholson Construction Company, pp. 1–20.
- Terzaghi, K., Peck, R. B. and Mesri, G. (1996) *Soil mechanics in engineering practice*. John Wiley & Sons.

- Thakur, A., Rawat, S. and Gupta, A. K. (2021) 'Experimental and numerical modelling of group of geosynthetic-encased stone columns', *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions*. Springer International Publishing, 6(1), pp. 1–17.
- Ti, K. S., Huat, B. B., Noorzaei, J., Jaafar, M. D. S. and Sew, G. S. (2009) 'A Review of basic soil constitutive models for geotechnical application', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 14, pp. 1–18.
- Ullah, A., Kassim, A., Abbil, A., Matusin, S., Rashid, A. S. A., Yunus, N. Z. M. and Abuelgasim, R. (2020) 'Evaluation of coal bottom ash properties and its applicability as engineering material', in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. IOP Publishing, p. 010244.
- Umar Abubakar, A. and Baharudin, K. S. (2012) 'Properties of concrete using Tanjung bin power plant coal bottom ash and fly ash', *International Journal of Sustainable Construction Engineering & Technology*, 3(2), pp. 56–69.
- Vahedian, A., Mahini, S. and Aghdaei, S. (2014) 'A Short state-of-the-art review on construction and settlement of soft clay soil reinforced with stone column', *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 6(5), pp. 420–426.
- Vaitkus, A., Grazulyte, J., Vorobjovas, V., Šernas, O. and Kleiziene, R. (2018) 'Potential of MSWI bottom ash to be used as aggregate in road building materials', *The Baltic Journal of Road and Bridge Engineering*, 13(1), pp. 77–86.
- Vasudevan, G. (2013) 'Performance on coal bottom ash in hot mix asphalt', *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 2(8), pp. 24–33.
- Westerweel, J. (1997) 'Fundamentals of digital particle image velocimetry', *Measurement science and technology*, 8(12), pp. 1379–1392.
- White, D. J. and Bolton, M. D. (2004) 'Displacement and strain paths during plane-strain model pile installation in sand', *Géotechnique*, 54(6), pp. 375–397.
- White, D. J., Take, W. A. and Bolton, M. D. (2003) 'Soil deformation measurement using particle image velocimetry (PIV) and photogrammetry', *Geotechnique*, 53(7), pp. 619–631.
- Wood, D. M. (2017) *Geotechnical modelling*. CRC press.
- Zaman, N. B. (2019) *Sustainable ground improvement method using recycled plastic pins*. Ph.D. thesis, The University of Texas at Arlington.

- Zhang, X. (2014) 'Management of coal combustion wastes', *IEA Clean Coal Centre*, pp. 2–68.
- Zhou, H., Diao, Y., Zheng, G., Han, J. and Jia, R. (2017) 'Failure modes and bearing capacity of strip footings on soft ground reinforced by floating stone columns', *Acta Geotechnica*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 12(5), pp. 1089–1103.
- Zukri, A. (2019) *Soft clay stabilisation using lightweight aggregate for raft and column matrices*. Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Zukri, A. and Nazir, R. (2018) 'Sustainable materials used as stone column filler: A short review', in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. IOP Publishing, p. 012001.
- Zukri, A., Nazir, R. and Ng, K. S. (2018a) 'Settlement prediction of a group of Lightweight Aggregate (LECA) columns using finite element modelling', *Int J Eng Technol*, 7(4.35), p. 59.
- Zukri, A., Nazir, R. and Ng, K. S. (2018b) 'The settlement evaluation of improved soft clay using LECA replacement technique', in *Geotechnical Engineering Journal of the SEAGC*.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Abdullah, M. H., Abuelgasim, R., Rashid, A. S. A., & Zurairahetty, M. N. (2018). Engineering properties of Tanjung bin bottom ash. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 250, 01006.
- Abdullah, M. H., Rashid, A. S. A., Anuar, U. H. M., Marto, A., & Abuelgasim, R. (2019). Bottom ash utilization: A review on engineering applications and environmental aspects. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 527(1), 012006.
- Abuelgasim, R., Rashid, A. S. A., Bouassida, M., Said, K. N. M., & Abdullah, M. H. (2021). Settlement of soft soil treated with group of floating bottom ash columns. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 239(November), 270–277.
- Abuelgasim, R., Rashid, A. S. A., Bouassida, M., & Shein, N. K. (2020). Bearing capacity of floating bottom ash Columns: Experimental study. *4th International Conference on Geotechnical Engineering (ICGE20)*, 37–46.
- Abuelgasim, R., Rashid, A. S. A., Bouassida, M., Shien, N., & Abdullah, M. H. (2020). Geotechnical characteristics of Tanjung bin coal bottom ash. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 932(1), 012055.
- Abuelgasim, R., Rashid, A. S. A., Said, K. N. M., & Bouassida, M. (2021). Experimental study on ground improvement using bottom ash columns. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2401(1), 020015.
- Ullah, A., Kassim, A., Abbil, A., Matusin, S., Rashid, A. S. A., Yunus, N. Z. M., & Abuelgasim, R. (2020). Evaluation of coal bottom ash properties and its applicability as engineering material. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 010244.