

SERIAL-INTEGRATED MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION-MAKING TECHNIQUE  
FOR RESILIENT SUPPLIER SELECTION IN THE MANUFACTURING  
INDUSTRY

LEONG WAN YEE

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

SERIAL-INTEGRATED MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION-MAKING TECHNIQUE  
FOR RESILIENT SUPPLIER SELECTION IN THE MANUFACTURING  
INDUSTRY

LEONG WAN YEE

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Philosophy

School of Mechanical Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2022

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed to my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Professor Dr. Wong Kuan Yew, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. Without his continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for funding my Master study. UTM librarians and E-sources also deserve special thanks for their assistance in supplying the relevant works of literature.

My fellow postgraduate classmates should also be recognized for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my friends and others who have provided assistance on various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Also, I am grateful to all my family members for their assistance.

## ABSTRACT

A supply chain is an entire system of producing and delivering a product or service, from the very beginning stage of sourcing raw material to the final stage of delivering a product or service to end-users. Several global risks and disruptions brought massive and devastating impacts on the world economy including the Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). Since the supplier is one of the important elements in a supply chain, economic resilience can be implemented by selecting a resilient supplier. However, the literature shows that previous supplier selections only focused on traditional, green and sustainable suppliers' criteria but resilience was rare to be discussed. Thus, the first objective of the study is to identify the generic criteria for selecting resilient suppliers. At the same time, there are problems in dealing with uncertainties and incomplete information while selecting suppliers. The second objective is to develop a new integrated Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) model that considers incomplete data and uncertainties in selecting resilient suppliers. In this study, the proposed criteria were quality, lead time, cost, flexibility, visibility, responsiveness and financial stability. A serial-integrated MCDM technique was proposed by combining Grey Relational Analysis (GRA) from the grey theory and the Best Worst Method-Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to an Ideal Solution (BMW-TOPSIS) technique in serial to assess the suppliers and select the best alternative. The proposed criteria and technique were applied in the metal manufacturing company (Case 1) and the food manufacturing company (Case 2) which were facing economic problems to demonstrate its effectiveness. The result was generated using MATLAB. The result for Case 1 shows that Financial Stability has the largest weight and Supplier 1 is the best supplier for the company. For Case 2, Cost shows the largest weight, and the best supplier is Supplier 4. Then, the result was verified through manual calculation and validated with Analytic Hierarchy Process-VlseKriterijska Optimizacija I Kompromisno Resenje (AHP-VIKOR). Through the identification of the generic resilience criteria and the suitable MCDM model, the managers can focus on resilience with the consideration of uncertainties and incomplete information to improve the supplier selection process. This can help to raise the supply chain performance of the companies.

## ABSTRAK

Rantainya bekal adalah keseluruhan sistem penghasilan dan penyampaian produk atau perkhidmatan, dari peringkat awal penyediaan bahan mentah hingga peringkat akhir penyampaian produk atau perkhidmatan kepada pengguna akhir. Beberapa risiko dan gangguan global membawa impak besar dan dahsyat kepada ekonomi dunia termasuk Perusahaan Kecil dan Sederhana (PKS). Memandangkan pembekal merupakan salah satu elemen penting dalam rantai bekal, daya tahan ekonomi boleh dilaksanakan dengan memilih pembekal yang berdaya tahan. Walau bagaimanapun, literatur menunjukkan bahawa pemilihan pembekal terdahulu hanya tertumpu pada kriteria pembekal tradisional, hijau dan mampan tetapi daya tahan jarang dibincangkan. Oleh itu, objektif pertama kajian adalah untuk mengenal pasti kriteria generik untuk memilih pembekal yang berdaya tahan. Pada masa yang sama, terdapat masalah dalam menangani ketidakpastian dan maklumat yang tidak lengkap semasa memilih pembekal. Objektif kedua ialah untuk membangunkan model Pembuatan Keputusan Berbilang Kriteria (MCDM) bersepadu baharu yang mempertimbangkan data yang tidak lengkap dan ketidakpastian dalam memilih pembekal yang berdaya tahan. Dalam kajian ini, kriteria yang dicadangkan ialah kualiti, masa utama, kos, fleksibiliti, keterlihatan, responsif dan kestabilan kewangan. Teknik MCDM bersepadu bersiri baharu telah dicadangkan dengan menggabungkan Analisis Hubungan Kelabu (GRA) dari teori kelabu dan teknik Kaedah-Teknik Terburuk Terbaik untuk Keutamaan Pesanan mengikut Persamaan dengan Penyelesaian Ideal (BWM-TOPSIS) secara bersiri untuk menilai pembekal dan memilih alternatif terbaik. Kriteria dan teknik yang dicadangkan telah digunakan dalam syarikat pembuatan logam (Kes 1) dan syarikat pembuatan makanan (Kes 2) yang menghadapi masalah ekonomi untuk menunjukkan keberkesanannya. Hasilnya kemudian dihasilkan dengan menggunakan MATLAB. Keputusan Kes 1 menunjukkan bahawa Kestabilan Kewangan mempunyai berat terbesar dan Pembekal 1 adalah pembekal terbaik untuk syarikat. Bagi Kes 2, Kos menunjukkan beban terbesar dan pembekal terbaik ialah Pembekal 4. Kemudian, keputusan telah disahkan melalui pengiraan manual dan disahkan dengan Proses Hierarki Analitik-VlseKriterijumska Optimizacija I Kompromisno Resenje (AHP-VIKOR). Melalui pengenalan kriteria daya tahan generik dan model MCDM yang sesuai, pengurus dapat memberi tumpuan kepada daya tahan dengan pertimbangan ketidakpastian dan maklumat yang tidak lengkap untuk menambah baik proses pemilihan pembekal. Hal ini dapat meningkatkan prestasi rantai bekal syarikat.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Research Background	1
1.3	Problem Statement	7
1.4	Research Questions	8
1.5	Research Objectives	8
1.6	Research Scopes	9
1.7	Research Significance	9
1.8	Outline of Thesis	10
1.9	Summary	10
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Supply Chain Management	11
2.3	Supplier Selection	12
2.3.1	Traditional Supplier Selection	14
2.3.2	Green Supplier Selection	16
2.3.3	Sustainable Supplier Selection	20
2.4	Resilient Supplier Selection	24

2.4.1	Definition of Resilience	25
2.4.2	Resilience Criteria	27
2.4.2.1	Quality	32
2.4.2.2	Lead Time	33
2.4.2.3	Cost	33
2.4.2.4	Flexibility	33
2.4.2.5	Visibility	33
2.4.2.6	Responsiveness	34
2.4.2.7	Financial Stability	34
2.4.3	Resilient Supplier Selection Techniques	35
2.5	Comparison between MCDM techniques	38
2.6	Research Gap	45
2.7	Summary	46
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	Methodology	47
3.2.1	Literature Review	49
3.2.2	Resilient Criteria Determination	50
3.2.3	Resilient Supplier Selection Technique Determination	51
3.2.4	Data Collection	53
3.2.5	Model Development	53
3.2.5.1	GRA	56
3.2.5.2	BWM	57
3.2.5.3	TOPSIS	57
3.2.6	Model Programming	58
3.2.7	Verification	59
3.2.7.1	Stage 1: GRA	59
3.2.7.2	Stage 2: BWM	60
3.2.7.3	Stage 3: TOPSIS	61
3.2.8	Validation	62

3.3	Summary	62
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>DATA COLLECTION</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1	Introduction	63
4.2	Companies' Background	63
4.3	Respondents' Background	65
4.4	Scoring Method	66
4.5	Summary	70
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>71</b>
5.1	Introduction	71
5.2	Result	71
5.2.1	GRA-BWM-TOPSIS (Case 1)	72
5.2.1.1	GRA	72
5.2.1.2	BWM	73
5.2.1.3	TOPSIS	74
5.2.2	GRA-BWM-TOPSIS (Case 2)	77
5.2.2.1	GRA	77
5.2.2.2	BWM	78
5.2.2.3	TOPSIS	80
5.3	Verification	83
5.3.1	Manual Calculation for GRA-BWM-TOPSIS	83
5.4	Validation	85
5.4.1	AHP-VIKOR (Case 1)	85
5.4.1.1	AHP	85
5.4.1.2	VIKOR	86
5.4.2	AHP-VIKOR (Case 2)	87
5.4.2.1	AHP	87
5.4.2.2	VIKOR	88
5.4.3	Comparison between GRA-BWM-TOPSIS and AHP-VIKOR	89
5.4.3.1	Criteria Weights	89
5.4.3.2	Suppliers' Ranks	90



5.5	Summary	92
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>93</b>
6.1	Introduction	93
6.2	Achievement of Research Objectives	93
6.3	Contributions of the Research	94
6.4	Limitations of Study	95
6.5	Future Work Recommendations	95
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>96</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>		<b>198</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Previous studies on traditional supplier selection	15
Table 2.2	Previous studies on green supplier selection	18
Table 2.3	Previous studies on sustainable supplier selection	22
Table 2.4	Summary on definitions of resilience	25
Table 2.5	Previous resilience criteria	28
Table 2.6	Previous resilient supplier selection techniques	36
Table 2.7	Comparison between MCDM techniques	39
Table 3.1	Criteria definition	51
Table 4.1	Scoring scale for GRA	67
Table 4.2	Score collection template for criteria judgment	67
Table 4.3	Scoring scale for BWM	68
Table 4.4	Score collection template of best criteria over others	68
Table 4.5	Score collection template of others over worst criteria	69
Table 4.6	Scoring Scale for TOPSIS	69
Table 4.7	Score collection template for supplier rating	70
Table 5.1	Respondents' judgments on each criterion (Case 1)	72
Table 5.2	Differences from the reference score (Case 1)	72
Table 5.3	Grey relational coefficient for each criterion (Case 1)	73
Table 5.4	Grey relational grade (GRG) and rank for each criterion (Case 1)	73
Table 5.5	The best and the worst criterion (Case 1)	73
Table 5.6	Scoring of the best criterion to other criteria (Case 1)	73
Table 5.7	Scoring of other criteria to the worst criterion (Case 1)	74
Table 5.8	Criteria weights (Case 1)	74
Table 5.9	Consistency (Case 1)	74

Table 5.10	Average scores on each supplier based on each criterion (Case 1)	75
Table 5.11	Root sum square (RSS) of suppliers (Case 1)	75
Table 5.12	Criterion scores with weightage (Case 1)	75
Table 5.13	Weighted criteria scores (Case 1)	76
Table 5.14	PIS and NIS for each supplier (Case 1)	76
Table 5.15	Performance score and ranking of criteria (Case 1)	76
Table 5.16	Experts' judgments of each criterion (Case 2)	77
Table 5.17	Differences from the reference score (Case 2)	78
Table 5.18	Grey relational coefficient of each criterion (Case 2)	78
Table 5.19	Grey relational grade (GRG) and rank of each criterion (Case 2)	78
Table 5.20	The best and the worst criteria (Case 2)	79
Table 5.21	Scoring of the best criterion to other criteria (Case 2)	79
Table 5.22	Scoring of other criteria to the worst criterion (Case 2)	79
Table 5.23	Criteria weights (Case 2)	79
Table 5.24	Consistency (Case 2)	79
Table 5.25	Average judgments on each supplier based on each criterion (Case 2)	80
Table 5.26	Root sum square (RSS) of suppliers (Case 2)	80
Table 5.27	Criteria scores with weightage (Case 2)	81
Table 5.28	Weighted criteria (Case 2)	81
Table 5.29	PIS and NIS for each supplier (Case 2)	81
Table 5.30	Performance score and ranking of suppliers (Case 2)	82
Table 5.31	Criteria weights (Case 1)	86
Table 5.32	Average scores of suppliers based on each criterion (Case 1)	87
Table 5.33	Suppliers' ranks using VIKOR (Case 1)	87
Table 5.34	Criteria weights (Case 2)	88
Table 5.35	Average scores of suppliers based on each criterion (Case 2)	88

Table 5.36	Suppliers' ranks using VIKOR (Case 2)	89
Table 5.37	Criteria weights (Case 1)	89
Table 5.38	Criteria weights (Case 2)	90
Table 5.39	Supplier ranking (Case 1)	90
Table 5.40	Supplier ranking (Case 2)	91

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 3.1	Methodology flow chart	48
Figure 3.2	Proposed resilience criteria	50
Figure 3.3	Flow chart of proposed GRA-BWM-TOPSIS technique	54
Figure 4.1	Products of Company X	64
Figure 4.2	Product of Company Y	64

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Raw Data	111
Appendix B	Programming Codes	134

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

Due to global risks and disruptions, many Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) faced an economic crisis and some of them ended up with bankruptcy. To overcome the problem, a new integrated MCDM model was developed by applying the knowledge of Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) in Industrial Engineering. This chapter discusses the study background, problem statement, research questions, research objectives, research scope, research significance, and finally chapter summary.

### 1.2 Research Background

A supply chain is a whole system that produces and delivers a product or service, from the starting stage of sourcing raw materials to the last stage of delivering products or services. A supply chain involves all the aspects of the production process, including the activities of transforming natural resources into finished products. A supply chain consists of five main elements which are suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, retailers and customers. Along the supply chain, there are uncertain risks and interruptions that can affect many areas of work.

Generally, there are two categories of supply chain risks which are operational and disruption (Ivanov, 2018). Operational risk refers to the inherent events that occur in a supply chain, such as transportation cost uncertainties, fluctuation in demand of the customer, and changes in the workforce (Hosseini & Barker, 2016a). Disruption risk refers to serious disruptive activities including natural disasters, human-made threats, or employee strikes such as pandemics,

earthquakes, tsunamis, fires, floods, logistics accidents, and labor strikes. These events may bring short-term or long-term negative effects on supply chain financial status or economic crisis in more serious cases. An economic crisis is a situation in which the country's economy experiences a sudden downturn in its aggregate output or real gross domestic product (GDP). The economic crisis brings a decline in the real income per capita and an increase in the rate of unemployment and poverty. This brought a strong impact to the world industries and they took a long period to recover. There are several cases of economic crisis in the past decades.

In 1997, the Asian economic crisis happened due to the speculative attacks on the Thai Baht. Malaysian Ringgit depreciated against the dollar by nearly 50 percent. The collapse of the stock market was even more drastic than the plunge in the exchange rate. The property bubble burst and it was accompanied by the massive capital outflows. The drop in stock prices, the slump of the property market and the depreciation of Malaysia Ringgit together led to the contraction of the economy. It resulted in a slowdown of economic growth which brought an inevitable impact on Malaysia's social sphere. The gross domestic product (GDP) contracted and resulted in the retardation of the growth of employment and the increase in the rate of unemployment. In 1998, the declined economy plunged the country into the first time of recession and caused all the economic sectors to shrink. Consequently, Malaysia's GDP dropped 6.2%. Among all the sectors, the construction sector contracted 23.5%, the manufacturing sector shrunk 9% and the agriculture sector dropped 5.9%. The economic shrinkage was then led to industries' bankruptcy. This crisis took several years to recover the economy (Ariff & Abubakar, 1999). This scenario implies the importance of resilience to deal with the economic crisis.

The attack of the Japanese earthquake and tsunami in 2011 have caused another economic crisis around the world. The suspension of Toyota in much of its production at Japan plants caused a worldwide shortage of components from suppliers (Reuters, 2016). The segregation of geography among suppliers has been practiced as a resilience driver for most of the automotive manufacturing companies after the disasters, where many of Toyota's auto part suppliers failed to fulfil the demand due to their location in the disruption zone. Toyota since then tried to collaborate with suppliers who were geographically dispersed, rather than being in a



short-distance zone. The unfulfillment of some critical suppliers led to a significant loss in profit during disruption due to the problem of replacing the suppliers. This shows that suppliers play an important role to deal with world disruptions.

In 2020, the worldwide pandemic COVID-19 which is not only an operational risk but also a disruption risk has brought a great global impact upon supply chain logistics, suppliers, and workforces. In Malaysia, Entrepreneurship Development and Cooperatives Ministry stated that a total of 50,269 SMEs faced an economic crisis and most of the companies faced bankruptcy when the Movement Control Order (MCO) was first implemented to stem the Covid-19 pandemic in March 2020 (Carvalho et al., 2020). Companies Commission of Malaysia provided a statistic that there were 9,675 SMEs shutting down from March 18 to June 9 in 2020 which was the first phase of MCO. From June to September 2020 which was the recovery MCO phase, 22,794 SMEs have folded (Carvalho et al., 2020). From the global view, supply chain risks and market disruptions were at an alarmingly high level. Based on a survey in April 2020, Institute for Supply Management reported that the reason 95% of businesses experienced operational problems was due to the pandemic (Ventura, 2020). US economy suffered the most severe contraction due to COVID-19 in December 2020. Because of lockdowns, social distancing measures, and travel restrictions, most of the companies found themselves losing workers and customers thus unable to operate the companies. As a result, there was a typical impact against financial insolvencies such as budget cuts and employee layoffs, which finally ended up with bankruptcy (Ventura, 2020).

COVID-19 hit the world economy including all the manufacturing industries. The metal manufacturing industry experienced a serious economy downturn which affected demand, production and revenues as the pandemic intensified. Although several countries have reported steel as one of the metal categories is an essential item, but the demand for steel production has dropped dramatically during the pandemic. Many minor construction projects have been halted as a consequence of the COVID-19 outbreak which has also negatively affected demand for steel (Research and Markets, 2020). On the other hand, as the government restricted the goods' movement locally and across countries, the problem of lack of materials

caused the manufacturers to halt their production (GlobeNewswire, 2021). This caused several problems along the supply chain. To deal with these, resilience is necessary to be considered in a supply chain to avoid the deterioration of the problems.

Other than that, manufacturers from food manufacturing industry also faced financial problems. However, the food manufacturing industry is slightly different from other industries in that it is producing essential needs (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2020a). The issue of factory shutdown causes consequences along the food manufacturing supply chain. If one factory closes, a certain number of workers at the factory face the risk to get unemployed and get starved, but if the suppliers and manufacturers are infected, more people are at risk (Staniforth, 2020). As the consequence, some food companies are working hard to meet the growing demand of retailers, whereas others are facing various challenges due to a drop in income. Due to the pandemic, governments around the world have made significant restrictions on the transportation of goods, as well as in the migration of labor. Therefore, the supply chain is significantly affected by the absence of local or migrant workers due to sickness or travel restrictions imposed by the lockdown. Resilience is required to be incorporated to deal with sudden changes in supply chains.

A resilient supply chain has a good flow of materials, information, and capital, with the collaboration between suppliers and customers by considering dimensions of having the ability to quickly respond to disruptions and possessing a flexible contingency plan to get ready with any disruptions. A definition of resilient from the National Association of Counties (NACo) (2013) stated that an economy resilient supply chain is able to foresee, adapt to, and leverage the uncertain conditions to the economic advantage. Similarly, U.S. Economic Development Administration's Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy Content Guidelines reported that there are three main attributes of resiliency which are the ability to withstand a shock, the ability to recover quickly from a shock, and the ability to avoid a shock altogether. There are different kinds of shocks such as any downturns in the national or global economy affecting local goods' demands and spending, external impacts such as a major changing climate, natural or man-made disasters, or military base

closures and any downturns of particular industries due to local economic activities (EDA, 2016). SAP Insights (2020) stated that a resilient supply chain is defined by its capacity for resistance and recovery which are the capability to resist supply chain disturbances and the ability to recover from disruptions within a short duration. A supply chain should be resilient enough from the economic perspective to respond to the supply chain challenges (Aday & Seckin Aday, 2020). In this case, Supply Chain Management (SCM) is very important. SCM is complicated and it includes all kinds of processes such as evaluation and selection of suppliers, negotiations of pricing and delivery and sharing of demand and supply (Chopra & Meindl, 2007). Rajesh and Ravi (2015) reported that supplier is one of the main elements in a supply chain whereas Munir et al. (2020) stated that supplier acts as the main source in developing a supply chain economy resiliency. Therefore, suppliers are the main concern in managing a resilient SCM.

A supplier can be a person, company, or organization that sells or supplies goods or equipment to customers. In this study, a supplier is a party that provides the raw materials by ensuring that the communications between all the parties are forthcoming and the stocks are of satisfactory quality. Suppliers play a vital role at each stage of the production process. Overall, suppliers are an inevitable source of economic issues. To deal with the economic crisis, an appropriate supplier selection is one of the effective ways. Generally, the selection can be carried out through a regular review of the financial and business performance of the suppliers. This can raise the confidence of customers about the suppliers and ensure the appropriate continuity plans are in place. By establishing certain performance indicators for suppliers, the managers will find it easier in building up a resilient supply chain.

In achieving a competitive supply chain, Supplier Selection Process (SSP) is one of the key activities, which further becomes a challenge for decision-makers (DMs) within today's globalized and strategic sourcing business framework (Li et al., 2007). SSP is important to improve future development and organizational competitiveness (Ahmed et al., 2018). SSP involves four subprocesses which are defining the problem, identifying the evaluation criteria, determining the potential suppliers, and selecting the best suppliers (Chen et al., 2018). Over the decades, SSP

has become more complicated due to the occurrence of more uncertainties, in addition to the traditional criteria such as cost, lead time, and quality. Accordingly, the literature is strengthened with a new approach that incorporates resilience criteria into the assessment process. This shows that decision-making is vital in SCM (Achilles, 2021). As such, Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) which is a user-friendly decision-making tool is conceptualized and developed, theorizing, and proposing to evaluate and select the best suppliers among the potential alternatives based on several traditional and resilience performance attributes.

SSP is a MCDM problem since the process deals with more than one criterion. To manage the whole supply chain, companies have to determine the relationship between each criterion, which in turn impacts the performance of the supply chain. MCDM method is a decision-making technique that combines supplier's performance across numerous, contradicting, qualitative and quantitative criteria and requires a solution with consensus (Seydel, 2006). The objective of MCDM is not only to suggest the best decision but also to aid decision-makers in selecting alternatives that are in line with their requirements. Belton & Stewart (2002) mentioned that at early stages, knowledge of MCDM methods and an appropriate understanding of the perspectives of decision-makers themselves are important for efficient and effective decision-makers.

MCDM is one of the fast-growing problem areas in supplier selection (Triantaphyllou, 2000). Instead of restricting its application to a specific MCDM approach, another idea is employing several MCDM techniques to improve the evaluation process. There are several MCDM methods available including Analytical Hierarchal Process (AHP), Analytical Network Process (ANP), VlseKriterijumska Optimizacija I Kompromisno Resenje (VIKOR) and Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS). Previously, many researchers have applied these techniques in the field of supplier selection. Some of the researchers have integrated different MCDM techniques to form a new technique such as AHP-TOPSIS, GRA-TOPSIS and VIKOR-DEMATEL. However, some techniques faced difficulties to deal with uncertainties and incomplete data. In this

study, a new serial-integrated MCDM technique is proposed to solve the difficulties in resilient supplier selection.

### **1.3 Problem Statement**

Along with unexpected global disruptions such as pandemics, people are accustomed to surviving in their comfort zones as they enter the globalization period. As a result, there is a lack of preparedness and readiness in dealing with unforeseen risks and disruptions, which pose several supply chain problems, causing most of the manufacturing sectors to face financial issues. To deal with the financial problem, resilience is very important to be incorporated to select suitable suppliers. The literature shows that most of the previous supply chains only emphasized on traditional, green and sustainable supply chain elements whereas less resilient supply chains have been explored. Therefore, resilience was focused in supplier selection to deal with various SC challenges. However, from the review on previous resilient supplier selection, each of the studies has introduced different sets of resilience criteria respectively and the sets are only suitable for certain industries rather than all, thus, there is a lack of a generic set of resilience criteria in supplier assessment and selection process. Generic criteria are defined as the characteristics relating to a whole group or class which can be generally applied in all kinds of situations. In this study, a generic set of resilience criteria was proposed to be applied in all kinds of manufacturing industries.

From the literature, MCDM techniques have been applied widely in solving previous supplier selection problems. Previous resilient supplier selection studies have utilized several MCDM methods either individual technique or integrated technique of AHP, ANP, TOPSIS, DEMATEL, and VIKOR in selecting resilient suppliers. After a comparison between the previous techniques, there is still room to improve the supplier selection process. In this study, the literature review was studied and the supply chain requirements were analyzed, finally a new serial-integrated MCDM method was proposed to improve the resilient supplier selection process. On the other hand, there are problems of uncertainties and incomplete

information in the supplier selection process. Unexpected risks and disruptions bring various uncertainties to the supply chain. In this case, the scorings on suppliers are usually incommensurate and fuzzy in nature. At the same time, decision-makers may have varying levels of knowledge and opinions when it comes to evaluating the suppliers. Also, there may be insufficient information due to data confidentiality which causes difficulties for the evaluation process. To deal with this, most of the studies have introduced fuzzy theory but the fuzzy theory was repaired to solve the uncertainties rather than incomplete information. Thus, the grey theory was applied. Also, literature shows that grey theory is still new and not yet being explored widely. Therefore, this study is proposing a serial-integrated MCDM technique and combining it with the grey theory which is not yet being investigated. The technique is applied to the manufacturing industries and the choice of case company is based on the impact of pandemic towards the supply chain.

#### **1.4 Research Questions**

The research questions are:

- (a) What are the generic criteria for selecting resilient suppliers?
- (b) What is the suitable MCDM model that considers incomplete and uncertain information in selecting suppliers?

#### **1.5 Research Objectives**

The objectives of the research are:

- (a) To identify the generic criteria of selecting resilient suppliers.
- (b) To develop a new integrated MCDM model that considers incomplete and uncertain information in selecting suppliers.

## **1.6 Research Scopes**

There are several kinds of resilience in the supply chain. This study is focusing on the economic aspect of a resilient SC. To deal with this, a set of resilience criteria is determined. The resilience criteria set identified is generic rather than specific to a particular industry. Traditional criteria, as well as resilience criteria, are considered in the supplier selection process. In this study, two case studies were carried out in the manufacturing industries to validate the results. MATLAB is the tool applied for programming the technique.

## **1.7 Research Significance**

It is highly crucial to introduce the resilience element into the supplier selection process rather than only conventional, green and sustainable elements due to its ability to deal with unforeseen risks and disruptions. Resilience can reduce the supply chain vulnerability towards the worldwide crisis and manage to recover from impact within a short period. In this case, a resilient supplier can make sure that the supply chain is free of economic disturbance and all the production line processes can be carried out as usual. Other than that, uncertainties factor is needed to be focused on the supplier selection process to deal with incomplete data and various uncertainties. In the case of pandemics which has brought uncertain disruptions to the supply chains, the impact is clearly shown from the economic problem. Before the pandemic, traditional criteria were being focused and resilience has been neglected in the supplier selection process which caused several economic effects and industries bankruptcy after the pandemic. To deal with the problem, resilience was incorporated in supplier selection. Other than that, the proposed set of resilience criteria was identified based on the review of literature and consideration of current supply chain needs. A set of generic resilience criteria was identified and a serial-integrated MCDM method was proposed to select resilient suppliers. The proposed criteria do not only consider the basic traditional elements, but also resilience and financial elements. With this, the company's managers could focus on different aspects by clearly identifying the resilience criteria with their importance to be

prioritized in the assessment process. Also, a more effective technique was proposed to improve the supplier selection process. Therefore, this study is significant to overcome the current needs of the metal and the food manufacturing industries and the proposed solution can enhance competitive advantages for organizations in the way of improving supply chain resiliency through resilient supplier selection. With this, future supply chain managers can assess the suppliers easily based on the proposed generic criteria and the new technique, thus saving time and effort in improving the supply chain performance. By applying the knowledge, the case company would be able to achieve its objective of building up a resilient supply chain through resilient supplier selection.

## **1.8 Outline of Thesis**

Chapter 1 introduced the thesis, and the left of chapters are discussed as follows: Chapter 2 explains the literature review of the study. Chapter 3 presents the methodology of the study while Chapter 4 describes the data collection process for this study. The outcomes of the study were presented in Chapter 5 while Chapter 6 concludes the whole study.

## **1.9 Summary**

This chapter has illustrated a brief understanding of the project. A supply chain includes the beginning process of providing raw materials by suppliers until the end process of delivering products to customers. Supplier is the main element of a supply chain and its selection is vital to improve the supply chain performance to deal with uncertain risks and disruptions. The next chapter is going to explain about literature review of the study on SCM, type of supplier selection, supplier selection criteria and methods, research gap and finally chapter summary.



## REFERENCES

- Aburn , G., Gott, M., & Hoare, K. (2016). What is resilience? An Integrative Review of the empirical literature. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 72(5), 980-1000.
- Achilles. (2021). *A silent threat – Insolvency risks in global supply chain management*. Scotland: Achilles Information Limited.
- Aday, S., & Seckin Aday, M. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on the food supply chain. *Food Quality and Safety*, 4(4), 167-180. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/fqsafe/fyaa024>.
- Afzali , M. (2021). An Efficient Hybrid Decision-Making Model for Sustainable Supplier Selection (Case Study: Parts Supply Industry). *Environmental Energy and Economic Research*, 5(4), S021.
- Ahmed, M., Li, X., Filip, M., Setchi, R., & Harris, I. (2018). An integrated methodology for a sustainable two-stage supplier selection and order allocation problem. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 192, 99-114.
- Amindoust, A. (2018). A resilient-sustainable based supplier selection model using a hybrid intelligent method. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 126, 122-135.
- Amindoust, A., Ahmed, S., & Saghafinia, A. (2012). A taxonomy And Review On Supplier Selection Methods. *International Journal of Information Technology and Business Management*, 7(1).
- Ariff, M., & Abubakar, S. (1999). The Malaysian Financial Crisis: Economic Impact and Recovery Prospects. *The Developing Economics*, XXXVII(4), 417-438.
- Awasthi, A., Chauhan, S., & Goyal, S. (2010). A fuzzy multicriteria approach for evaluating environmental performance of suppliers. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 120(2), 370-378.
- Azadi, M., Jafarian, M., Saen, R., & Mirhedayatian, S. (2014). A new fuzzy DEA model for evaluation of efficiency and effectiveness of suppliers in sustainable supply chain management context. *Computers & Operations Research*, 54, 274-285.

- Azizi, A., Aikhuele, D., & Souleman, F. (2015). A Fuzzy TOPSIS Model to Rank Automotive Suppliers. *2nd International Materials, Industrial, and Manufacturing Engineering Conference, MIMEC2015*, (pp. 159-164).
- Bai, C., & Sarkis, J. (2010). Integrating sustainability into supplier selection with grey system and rough set methodologies. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *124*(1), 252-264.
- Banaeiana, N., Mobli, H., Fahimnia, B., Ewa Nielsen, I., & Omid, M. (2018). Green supplier selection using fuzzy group decision making methods: A case study from a agri-food industry. *Computers and Operation Research*, *89*, 337-347.
- Baskaran, V., Nachiappan, S., & Rahman, S. (2012). Indian textile suppliers' sustainability evaluation using the grey approach. *135*(2), 647-658.
- Belenson, S., & Kapur, K. (1973). An algorithm for solving multicriterion linear programming problems with examples. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, *24*(1), 65-77.
- Belton, V., & Stewart, T. (2002). *Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis: An Integrated Approach*. Springer.
- Benitez, R., López, C., & Real, J. (2017). Environmental benefits of lean, green and resilient supply chain management: The case of the aerospace sector. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *167*, 850-862.
- Brandon-Jones, E., Squire, B., & van Rossenberg, Y. (2015). The impact of supply base complexity on disruptions and performance: the moderating effects of slack and visibility. *International Journal of Production Research*, *53*(22), 6903-6918.
- Büyüközkan, G., & Çifçi, G. (2011). A novel fuzzy multi-criteria decision framework for sustainable supplier selection with incomplete information. *Computers in Industry*, *62*(2), 164-174.
- Çalik, A. (2021). A novel Pythagorean fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS methodology for green supplier selection in the Industry 4.0 era. *Soft Computing*, *25*, 2253-2265.
- Carpenter, S., Walker, B., Anderies, J., & Abel, N. (2001). From Metaphor to Measurement: Resilience of What to What? *Ecosystems*, *4*, 765-781.
- Carvalho, M., Sivanandam, H., Rahim, R., & Tan, T. (2020, November 10). 50,269 SMEs have closed down since March.

- Cengiz, A., Aytakin, O., Ozdemir, I., Kusan, H., & Cabuk, A. (2017). A Multi-Criteria Decision Model for Construction Material Supplier Selection. *Creative Construction Conference 2017, CCC 2017, 19-22 June 2017, Primosten, Croatia* (pp. 294-231). Procedia Engineering.
- Chatzikontidou, A., Longinidis, P., Tsiakis, P., & Georgiadis, M. (2017). Flexible supply chain network design under uncertainty. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design, 128*, 290-305.
- Chen, A., Hsieh, C.-Y., & Wee, H. (2014). A resilient global supplier selection strategy - A case study of an automotive company. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 76*(8), 1252-1268.
- Chen, J., Kou, g., & Peng, Y. (2018). The Dynamic Effects of Online Product Reviews on Purchase Decisions. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy, 24*(5), 2045-2064.
- Chen, K.-S., Wang, C.-H., & Tan, K.-H. (2019). Developing a fuzzy green supplier selection model using six sigma quality indices. *International Journal of Production Economics, 212*, 1-7.
- Cheng, S., Chan, C., & Huang, G. (2002). Using multiple criteria decision analysis for supporting decisions of solid waste management. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A., 37*(6), 975-990.
- Choi, T., & Hartley, J. (1996). An exploration of supplier selection practices across the supply chain. *Journal of Operations Management, 14*(4), 333-343.
- Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2007). *Supply Chain Management: Strategy, Planning, and Operation*. Standford: Pearson.
- Christopher, M. (2010). *Logistics & Supply*. Great Britain: PEARSON EDUCATION LIMITED.
- Christopher, M., & Peck, H. (2004). Building the Resilient Supply Chain. *The International Journal of Logistics Management, 15*, 1-14.
- Davoudabadi, R., Mousavi, S., Mohagheghi, V., & Vahdani, B. (2019). Resilient Supplier Selection Through Introducing a New Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Evaluation and Decision-Making Framework. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 44*, 7351-7360.
- Demir, L., Akpınar, M., Araz, C., & Ilgın, M. (2018). A green supplier evaluation system based on a new multicriteria sorting method: VIKORSORT. *Expert Systems with Applications, 114*, 479-487.

- Deng, J. (1989). The Journal of Grey System. *Introduction to Grey system theory*, 1(1), pp. 1-24.
- Dickson, G. (1966). An Analysis Of Vendor Selection Systems And Decisions. *Journal of Purchasing*, 2(1), 5-17.
- Dobos, I., & Vörösmarty, G. (2019). Inventory-related costs in green supplier selection problems with Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA). *International Journal of Production Economics*, 209, 374-380.
- Dweiri, F., Kumar, S., Khan, S., & Jain, V. (2016). Designing an integrated AHP based decision support system for supplier selection in automotive industry. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 62, 273-283.
- EDA, U. S. (2016). *Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) Content Guidelines*. Retrieved from <https://www.eda.gov/ceds/>.
- Elleuch, H., Dafaoui, E., Elmhamedi, A., & Chabchoub, H. (2016). Resilience and Vulnerability in Supply Chain: Literature review. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 49(12), 1448-1453.
- Evan, J., & Lindsay, W. (2002). *Management and control of quality*. New York: WEST PUBLISHING COMPANY.
- Falasca, M., Zobel, C., & Cook, D. (2008). A decision support framework to assess supply chain resilience. *Proceedings of the 5th International ISCRAM Conference*, (pp. 596-605). Washington.
- Fallahpour, A., Wong, K., Rajoo, S., Fathollahi-Fard, A., Antucheviciene, J., & Nayeri, S. (2021). An integrated approach for a sustainable supplier selection based on Industry 4.0 concept. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2021.
- Fei, L., Deng, Y., & Hu, Y. (2019). DS-VIKOR: A New Multi-criteria Decision-Making Method for Supplier Selection. *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 21(1), 157-175.
- Fiksel, J. (2006). Sustainability and resilience: toward a systems approach. *Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy*, 2(2), 14-21.
- Food and Agriculture Organization, F. (2020a, May 6). Adjusting business models to sustain agri-food enterprises during COVID-19.
- Genovese, A., Koh, S., Bruno, G., & Esposito, E. (2013). Greener supplier selection: State of the art and some empirical evidence. *International Journal of Production Research*, 51(10).

- Ghorabae, M., Amiri, M., Zavadskas, E., & Antucheviciene, J. (2017). Supplier evaluation and selection in fuzzy environments: A Review of MADM Approaches. *Economic Research*, 30(1), 1073-1118.
- GlobeNewswire. (2021). *Iron And Steel Mills And Ferroalloy Global Market Report 2021: COVID 19 Impact and Recovery to 2030*. Report Linker.
- Golmohammadi, D., & Parast, M. (2012). Developing a grey-based decision-making model for supplier selection. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 137(2), 191-200.
- Gören, H. (2018). A decision framework for sustainable supplier selection and order allocation with lost sales. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 183, 1156-1169.
- Govindan, K., Rajendran, S., Sarkis, J., & Murugesan, P. (2015). Multi criteria decision making approaches for green supplier evaluation and selection: a literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 98, 66-83.
- Gregory, R. (1986). Source selection: A matrix approach. *Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, 22, 24-69.
- Gunderson, L. (2000). Resilience in theory and practice. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 31, 425-439.
- Hamel, G., & Välikangas, L. (2003). The quest for resilience. *Innovation*, 81, 52-63.
- Hasan, M., Dizuo, J., SharifUllah, A., & Alam, M.-E. (2020). Resilient supplier selection in logistics 4.0 with heterogeneous information. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 139, 112799.
- Hashemi, S., Karimi, A., & Tavana, M. (2015). An integrated green supplier selection approach with analytic network process and improved Grey relational analysis. *International Journal Production Economics*, 159, 178-191.
- Holling, C. (1973). Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 4, 1-23.
- Hosseini, S., & Al Khaled , A. (2016). A hybrid ensemble and AHP approach for resilient supplier selection. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 30, 207-228.
- Hosseini, S., & Barker, K. (2016a). Modeling infrastructure resilience using Bayesian networks: a case study of inland waterway ports. *Computer Industrial Engineering*, 93, 252-266.

- Hosseini, Z., Flapper, S., & Pirayesh, M. (2022). Sustainable supplier selection and order allocation under demand, supplier availability and supplier grading uncertainties. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, *165*, 107811.
- Hsu, C.-W., & Hu, A. (2009). Applying hazardous substance management to supplier selection using analytic network process. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *17*(2), 255-264.
- Hwang, C.-L., & Yoon, K. (1981). Methods for Multiple Attribute Decision Making. In *Multiple Attribute Decision Making. In: Multiple Attribute Decision Making. Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems* (pp. 58-191). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- Insights, S. (2020). *What Is a Resilient Supply Chain?* United States: SAP.
- Ivanov, D. (2018). Revealing interfaces of supply chain resilience and sustainability: a simulation study. *International Journal of Production Research*, *56*(10), 3507-3523.
- Jackson, D., Firtko, A., & Edenborough, M. (2007). Personal resilience as a strategy for surviving and thriving in the face of workplace adversity: A literature review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *60*(1), 1-9.
- Julong, D. (1989). Introduction to Grey System Theory. *The Journal of Grey System*, *1*, 1-24.
- Junior, F., Osiro, L., & Carpinetti, L. (2014). A comparison between Fuzzy AHP and Fuzzy TOPSIS methods to supplier selection. *Applied Soft Computing*, *21*, 194-209.
- Jüttner, U., & Maklan, S. (2011). Supply chain resilience in the global financial crisis : an empirical study. *Supply Chain Management*, *16*, 246-259.
- Kar, A. (2015). A hybrid group decision support system for supplier selection using analytic hierarchy process, fuzzy set theory and neural network. *Journal of Computational Science*, *6*, 23-33.
- Kaur, P., Dutta, V., Pradhan, B., Haldar, S., & Chauhan, S. (2021). A Pythagorean Fuzzy Approach for Sustainable Supplier Selection Using TODIM. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, *2021*, 1-11.
- Keskin, G., İlhan, S., & Özkan, C. (2010). The Fuzzy ART algorithm: A categorization method for supplier evaluation and selection. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *37*(2), 1235-1240.

- Kim, G., Park, C., & Yoon, K. (1997). Identifying investment opportunities for advanced manufacturing systems with comparative-integrated performance measurement. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 50(1), 23-33.
- Klibi, W., Martel, A., & Guitouni, A. (2010). The design of robust value-creating supply chain networks: A critical review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 203(2), 283-293.
- Kogantia, V., Menikondab, N., Anbuudayasankar, S., Krishnarajc, T., Athhukurid, R., & Vastave, M. (2019). GRAHP TOP model for supplier selection in Supply Chain: A hybrid MCDM approach. *Decision Science Letters*, 8(1), 65-80.
- Kung, C.-Y., & Wen, K.-L. (2007). Applying Grey Relational Analysis and Grey Decision-Making to evaluate the relationship between company attributes and its financial performance—A case study of venture capital enterprises in Taiwan. *Decision Support Systems*, 43(3), 842-852.
- Kuo, R., Wang, Y., & Tien, F. (2010). Integration of artificial neural network and MADA methods for green supplier selection. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 18(12), 1161-1170.
- Lee, H. (2004). A triple-A supply chain. *Harvard Business Review* V, 82(10), 102-112.
- Li, G.-D., Yamaguchi, D., & Nagai, M. (2007). A grey-based decision-making approach to the supplier selection problem. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 46(3-4), 573-581.
- Liao, C.-N., & Kao, H.-P. (2011). An integrated fuzzy TOPSIS and MCGP approach to supplier selection in supply chain management. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38, 10803-10811.
- Liaqait, R., Warsi, S., Zahid, T., Ghafoor, U., Ahmad, M., & Selvaraj, J. (2021). A Decision Framework for Solar PV Panels Supply Chain in Context of Sustainable Supplier Selection and Order Allocation. *Sustainability*, 13(23), 13216.
- Liu, C.-L., Shang, K.-C., Lirn, T.-C., Lai, K.-H., & Lun, Y. (2018). Supply chain resilience, firm performance, and management policies in the liner shipping industry. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 110, 202-219.

- Lu, J., Zhang, S., Wu, J., & Wei, Y. (2021). COPRAS method for multiple attribute group decision making under picture fuzzy environment and their application to green supplier selection. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 27(2), 369-385.
- Luthra, S., Govindan, K., Kannan, D., Mangla, S., & Gargd, C. (2017). An integrated framework for sustainable supplier selection and evaluation in supply chains. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 140(3), 1686-1698.
- Mafakheri, F., Breton, M., & Ghoniem, A. (2011). Supplier selection-order allocation: A two-stage multiple criteria dynamic programming approach. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 132(1), 52-57.
- Mari, S., Memon , M., Ramzan, M., Qureshi, S., & Iqbal , M. (2019). Interactive Fuzzy Multi Criteria Decision Making Approach for Supplier Selection and Order Allocation in a Resilient Supply Chain. *Mathematics*, 7(2), 137.
- Mensah, P., & Merkurjev, Y. (2014). Developing a Resilient Supply Chain. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 110, 309-319.
- Mishra, S., Datta, S., & Mahapatra, S. (2013). Grey-based and fuzzy TOPSIS decision-making approach for agility evaluation of mass customization systems. *Benchmarking An International Journal*, 20(4), 440-462.
- Mohammed, A. (2020). Towards ‘gresilient’ supply chain management: A quantitative study. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*, 155, 104641.
- Mohammed, A., Yazdani, M., Oukil, A., & Santibanez Gonzalez, E. (2021). A Hybrid MCDM Approach towards Resilient Sourcing. *Sustainability*, 13(5), 2695. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052695>.
- Mohanavelu, T., Krishnaswamy, R., & Marimuthu, P. (2017). Simulation modelling and development of analytic hierarchy process-based priority dispatching rule for a dynamic press shop. *International Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering*, 27(3), 340.
- Mondal, P. (2020). *Integration: Meaning and Methods of Integration*. Your Article Library.
- Mousavi-Nasab, S., & Sotoudeh-Anvari, A. (2017). A comprehensive MCDM-based approach using TOPSIS, COPRAS and DEA as an auxiliary tool for material selection problems. *Materials & Design*, 121, 237-253.



- Munir, M., Jajja, M., Chatha, K., & Farooq, S. (2020). Supply chain risk management and operational performance: The enabling role of supply chain integration. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 227, 107667.
- NACo, N. A. (2013). *Strategies to Bolster Economic Resilience*. Retrieved from <http://www.naco.org/sites/default/files/documents/Strategies%20to%20Bolster%20Economic%20Resilience.pdf>.
- Nielsen, I., Banaeian, N., Golińska, P., Mobli, H., & Omid, M. (2014). Green Supplier Selection Criteria: From a Literature Review to a Flexible Framework for Determination of Suitable Criteria. *Logistics Operations, Supply Chain Management and Sustainability*, 79-99.
- Nyaoga, R., Magutu, P., & Wang, M. (2016). Application of Grey-TOPSIS approach to evaluate value chain performance of tea processing chains. *Decision Science Letters*, 5, 431-446.
- Nydick, R., & Hill, R. (1992). Using the Analytic Hierarchy Process to structure the supplier selection procedure. *International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, 28, 31-36.
- Parkouhi, S., & Ghadikolaei, A. (2017). A resilience approach for supplier selection: Using Fuzzy Analytic Network Process and grey VIKOR technique. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 161, 431-451.
- Parkouhi, S., Ghadikolaei, A., & Lajimi, H. (2019). Resilient supplier selection and segmentation in grey environment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 207, 1123-1137.
- Peck, H. (2005). Drivers of supply chain vulnerability: an integrated framework. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 35(4), 210-232.
- Pendall, R., Foster, K., & Cowell, M. (2010). Resilience and regions: building understanding of the metaphor. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, 3(1), 71-84.
- Peng, A.-H., & Xiao, X.-M. (2013). Material selection using PROMETHEE combined with analytic network process under hybrid environment. *Materials & Design*, 47, 643-652.
- Pettit, T., Croxton, K., & Fiksel, J. (2013). Ensuring supply chain resilience: development and implementation of an assessment tool. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 34(1), 46-76.

- Pettit, T., Fiksel, J., & Croxton, K. (2010). Ensuring supply chain resilience: development of a conceptual framework. *Journal of business logistics*, 31(1), 1-21.
- Piprani, A., Jaafar, N., & Ali, S. (2020). Prioritizing resilient capability factors of dealing with supply chain disruptions: an analytical hierarchy process (AHP) application in the textile industry. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 27(9), 2537-2563.
- Ponomarev, S., & Holcomb, M. (2009). Understanding the concept of supply chain resilience. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 20(1), 124-143.
- Pramanik, D., Mondal, S., & Haldar, A. (2020). Resilient supplier selection to mitigate uncertainty: soft-computing approach. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 15(4), 1339-1361.
- Punniyamoorthy, M., Mathiyalagan, P., & Parthiban, P. (2011). A strategic model using structural equation modelling and fuzzy logic in supplier selection. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(1), 458-474.
- Qu, S., Zhou, Y., Zhang, Y., Wahab, M., Zhang, G., & Ye, Y. (2019). Optimal strategy for a green supply chain considering shipping policy and default risk. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 172-186.
- Rajagopal, V., Venkatesan, S. P., & Goh, M. (2017). Decision-making models for supply chain risk mitigation: a review. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 113, 646-682.
- Rajesh, R., & Ravi, V. (2015). Supplier selection in resilient supply chains: a grey relational analysis approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 86, 343-359.
- Rajesh, R., & Ravi, V. (2015). Supplier Selection In Resilient Supply Chains: A Grey Relational Analysis Approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 86, 343-359.
- Ramakrishnan, K., & Chakraborty, S. (2020). A CLOUD TOPSIS MODEL FOR GREEN SUPPLIER SELECTION. *FACTA UNIVERSITATIS*, 18(3), 375-397.
- Research and Markets. (2020). *Steel Industry: COVID-19 Impact*. U.S: Research and Markets. Retrieved from Research and Markets.
- Resende, C., Geraldes, C., & Junior, F. (2021). Decision models for supplier selection in industry 4.0 era: A systematic literature review. *30th*

- International Conference on Flexible Automation and Intelligent Manufacturing (FAIM2021)* (pp. 492-499). Athens, Greece: Procedia Manufacturing.
- Reuters. (2016, April 17). *Toyota, Other Major Japanese Firms Hit by Quake Damage, Supply Disruptions*. Retrieved from FORTUNE: <http://fortune.com/2016/04/17/toyota-earthquake-disruptions/>.
- Rezaei, J. (2016). Best-worst multi-criteria decision-making method: some properties and a linear model. *Omega*, 64, 126-130.
- Rostamzadeh, R., Govindan, K., Esmaili, A., & Sabaghi, M. (2015). Application of fuzzy VIKOR for evaluation of green supply chain management practices. *Ecological Indicators*, 188-203.
- Sadeghi, M., Hajiagha, S., & Saberi, N. (2013). Application of Grey TOPSIS in Preference Ordering of Action Plans in Balanced Scorecard and Strategy Map. *Informatica*, 24(4), 619-635.
- Sahu, A., Datta, S., & Mahapatra, S. (2016). Evaluation and selection of resilient suppliers in fuzzy environment Exploration of Fuzzy VIKOR. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 23(3), 651-673.
- Schinasi, G. (2004, February 9). *Defining Financial Stability*. Retrieved from SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=879012>.
- Seydel, J. (2006). Data envelopment analysis for decision support. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 106(1), 81-95.
- Seyed Haeri, S., & Rezaei, J. (2019). A grey-based green supplier selection model for uncertain environments. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 221, 768-784.
- Sheffi, Y., & Rice, J. (2015). *A supply chain view of the resilient enterprise*. Massachusetts Institute of Technology: MITSloan Management Review.
- Sheffi, Y. (2006). Resilience reduces risk. *Logistics*, 12, 12-14.
- Shukla, O., Soni, G., & Anand, G. (2014). An application of grey based decision making approach for the selection of manufacturing system. *Grey Systems: Theory and applications*, 4(3), 2043-9377.
- Simchi-Levi, D., Simchi-Levi, E., & Kaminsky, P. (2001). *Designing and Managing the Supply Chain: Concepts, Strategies, and Case Studies* (Vol. 22). New York.

- Sirisawat, P., & Kiatcharoenpol, T. (2018). Fuzzy AHP-TOPSIS approaches to prioritizing solutions for reverse logistics barriers. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, *117*, 303-318.
- Soni, U., Jain, V., & Kumar, S. (2014). Measuring supply chain resilience using a deterministic modeling approach. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, *74*, 11-25.
- Soukup, W. (1987). Supplier Selection Strategies. *Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, *23*(2), 7-12.
- Southwick, S., Bonanno, G., Masten, A., Panter-Brick, C., & Yehuda, R. (2014). Resilience definitions, theory, and challenges: interdisciplinary perspectives. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, *5*(1), 25338.
- Staniforth, J. (2020). COVID-19 Update: Worker Health, Absenteeism Present Largest Risks to U.S. Food Supply Chain. *Food Quality and Safety*.
- Stevenson, M., & Spring, M. (2007). Flexibility from a supply chain perspective: definition and review. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, *27*(7), 685-713.
- Stojić, G., Stević, Ž., Antucheviciene, J., Pamucar, D., & Vasiljević, M. (2018). A Novel Rough WASPAS Approach for Supplier Selection in a Company Manufacturing PVC Carpentry Products. *Information*.
- Sun, X., Gollnick, V., Li, Y., & Stumpf, E. (2014). Intelligent Multicriteria Decision Support System for Systems Design. *Journal of Aircraft*, *51*(1), 214-225.
- Tamošaitienė, J., Zavadskas, E., Šileikaitė, I., & Turskis, Z. (2017). A novel hybrid MCDM approach for complicated supply chain management problems in construction. *Modern Building Materials, Structures and Techniques, MBMST 2016*, (pp. 1137-1145).
- Thompson, K. (1990). Vendor profile analysis. *Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, *26*, 11-18.
- Timmerman, E. (1986, December 1). *An approach to vendor performance evaluation*. Retrieved from Wiley Online Library: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.1986.tb00168.x>.
- Torabi, S., Baghersad, M., & Mansouri, S. (2015). Resilient supplier selection and order allocation under operational and disruption risks. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, *79*, 22-48.

- Triantaphyllou, E. (2000). Multi-Criteria Decision Making Methods. *Multi-criteria Decision Making Methods: A Comparative Study*, 44, 5-21.
- Tseng, M.-L., & Chiu, A. (2013). Evaluating firm's green supply chain management in linguistic preferences. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 40, 22-31.
- Um, J., & Han, N. (2020). Understanding the relationships between global supply chain risk and supply chain resilience: The role of mitigating strategies. *Supply Chain Management*, 26(2), 240-255.
- Vahdani, B., Mousavi, S., Moghaddam, R., & Hashemi, H. (2017). A new enhanced support vector model based on general variable neighborhood search algorithm for supplier performance evaluation: A case study. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*, 10(1), 293-311.
- Vahidi, F., Torabi, S., & Ramezankhani, M. (2018). Sustainable supplier selection and order allocation under operational and disruption risks. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 174, 1351-1365.
- Ventura, L. (2020). *The World's Biggest Bankruptcies 2020*. New York: Global Finance.
- Wang, J., Muddada, R., Wang, H., Ding, J., Lin, Y., Liu, C., & Zhang, W. (2016). Toward a Resilient Holistic Supply Chain Network System: Concept , Review and Future Direction. *IEEE Systems Journal*, 10(2), 410-421.
- Weber, C., Current, J., & Benton, W. (1991). Vendor selection criteria and methods. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 50(1), 2-18.
- Wei, C., Wu, J., Guo, Y., & Wei, G. (2021). Green supplier selection based on CODAS method in probabilistic uncertain linguistic environment. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 27(3), 530-549.
- Wei, G., Wei, C., & Guo, Y. (2021). EDAS method for probabilistic linguistic multiple attribute group decision making and their application to green supplier selection. *Soft Computing*, 25, 9045-9053.
- Wieland, A., & Wallenburg, C. (2013). The influence of relational competencies on supply chain resilience: a relational view. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 43(4), 300-320.
- Wu, H. H. (2002). A comparative study of using grey relational analysis in multiple attribute decision-making problems. *Quality Engineering*, 15(2), 209-217.
- Xiong, L., Zhong, S., Liu, S., Zhang, X., & Li, Y. (2020, July 22). An Approach for Resilient-Green Supplier Selection Based on WASPAS, BWM and TOPSIS

- under Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets. *Data-driven Fuzzy Multiple Criteria Decision Making and its Potential Applications*, 2020, p. 1761893.
- Yao, Y., & Meurier, B. (2012). Understanding the supply chain resilience: A Dynamic Capabilities approach. *In Proceedings of the 9th International Meetings of Research in Logistics*, (pp. 1-17). Paris.
- Yeh, W.-C., & Chuang, M.-C. (2011). Using multi-objective genetic algorithm for partner selection in green supply chain problems. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(4), 4244-4253.
- Zelany, M. (1974). A concept of compromise solutions and the method of the displaced ideal. *Computers & Operations Research*, 1(3-4), 479-496.
- Zhang, S.-f., & Liu, S.-y. (2011). A GRA-based intuitionistic fuzzy multi-criteria group decision making method for personnel selection. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(9), 11401-11405.
- Zougari, A., & Benyoucef, L. (2012). Simulation based fuzzy TOPSIS approach for group multi-criteria supplier selection problem. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 25(3), 507-519.
- Zhu, Q., Dou, Y., & Joseph, S. (2010). A portfolio-based analysis for green supplier management using the analytic network process. *Supply Chain Management*, 15(4), 306-319.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Indexed Journal

1. Leong, W.Y.; Wong, K.Y.; Wong, W.P. A New Integrated Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Model for Resilient Supplier Selection. *Appl. Syst. Innov.* **2022**, *5(1)*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/asi5010008> (indexed by Scopus and Web of Science)

### Conference Proceeding

1. Leong, W.Y.; Wong, K.Y. Trend and Assessment of Resilience. *2nd International Professional Doctorate and Postgraduate Symposium 2021 (iPDOCs'21)*, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 25 Sept 2021
2. Leong, W.Y.; Wong, K.Y. Review on Resilient Supplier Selection. *International Conference on Applied Computing 2021 (ICAC'21)*, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 2-6 February 2021