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## 1. ÜBERBLICK UND FAHRTVERLAUF

Mario Hoppema

DE.AWI

Die Expedition PS129 des deutschen Polarforschungsschiffes Polarstern führte von Kapstadt in südwestlicher Richtung zum Nullmeridian und dort entlang weiter in die Antarktis (Abb. 1.1). Die Neumayer-Station wurde zu logistischen Zwecken (Übergabe von Material) kurz angefahren. Danach wurde die Arbeit in einem von der EWOS-Gruppe definierten Gebiet ausgeführt. Anschließend wurde der Transekt quer durch das Weddellmeer zwischen Kapp Norvegia und der Spitze der Antarktischen Halbinsel mit zwischendurch zwei Exkursionen nach Süden abgefahren, vor allem um Schallquellen zu verankern (Abb. 1.1). Diese Expedition war Teil einer Fortsetzung der Langzeit-Zeitreihen im Rahmen des HAFOS Projekts (Hybrid Antarctic Float Observation System). HAFOS ist dem Klima, dem Ozean und der ÖkosystemDynamik gewidmet. Die HAFOS-Zeitreihe geht zurück bis auf die 1980/1990-er Jahre, insbesondere bezüglich der Hydrografie, der Nährstoffe, des gelösten Sauerstoffs und des $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-Systems. Ein weiterer, substantieller Teil von PS129 betrifft EWOS (Eastern Weddell Sea Observation System); dies beinhaltet die koordinierten und systematischen Beobachtungen der sympagischen, pelagischen und benthischen Teile des Ökosystems des Weddellmeers. Nach der letzten Verankerung nahe Elephant Island und dem Überqueren der Drakestraße endete die Expedition am 28. April 2022 in Punta Arenas, Chile (Abb. 1.1).

Ein erheblicher Teil der Ziele der wissenschaftlichen Vorhaben wurden in bewährter Zusammenarbeit zwischen Schiff und Wissenschaft erreicht. Jedoch wurden nicht alle Ziele erreicht, erstens durch einige Tage stürmischen Wetters, die leider in der eng bemessenen Zeit der Fahrt nicht einkalkuliert waren und zweitens durch einen Schaden am Schiff, der eine schnellere Fahrt durch das anwesende Meereis verhinderte und das Brechen des Eises nicht zuließ. Insbesondere konnten verschiedene Schallquellen, die für HAFOS von großer Bedeutung sind, nicht auf der im Süden des Weddellmeers vorgesehenen Position verankert werden; außerdem konnte der Großteil der EWOS-Arbeiten im Weddellmeer außerhalb der EWOS-Box nicht stattfinden.

Während der Expedition PS129 wurden wissenschaftlichen Projekte aus den Bereichen physikalische Ozeanographie, Meeresbiologie und Meereschemie bearbeitet, die gemeinsam darauf abzielten, die Entwicklung der Wassermassen des Weddellmeers und seiner ökologischen und chemischen Kreisläufe zu verstehen. Die Projekte und die damit verbundenen Aktivitäten an Bord waren im einzelnen:

1. HAFOS (Hybrid Antarctic Float Observing System) untersucht mittels ozeanographischer Tiefseeverankerungen, hydrographischen Schnitten und autonomen Floats die Zirkulation und Entwicklung des Warmen Tiefenwassers (WDW) und Bodenwassers (WSBW) des Weddellmeers. Biologische Aspekte von HAFOS betreffen die akustische Ökologie des Weddellmeers und seiner Fauna, wofür Verankerungen mit autonomen Unterwasserrekordern ausgestattet wurden.

- Fahren von 55 CTD-Stationen mit Rosette und L-ADCP
- Aufnahme von 9 ozeanographischen Verankerungen sowie Auslage von 17 ozeanographischen Verankerungen
- (3 Verankerungen konnten nicht aufgenommen werden)
- Auslegung von 6 Argo Floats (für das Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie, Deutschland)
- Auslegung von 22 AWI Floats
- 21 Kalibrierungsvorgänge von 8 Schallquellen
- Kontinuierliche Erfassung von Temperatur, Salzgehalt (Thermosalinograph) und Strömungsprofilen (sADCP)

2. Als Ziel des Teilprojekt HAFOS-nutrients wurden die Nährstoffe Phosphat, Nitrat, Silikat, Nitrit und Ammonium in Wasserproben aus der ganzen Wassersäule bestimmt um auf dieser Weise Nährstoff-Schnitte durchs Weddellmeer zu bekommen; diese wiederum sollen mit früheren Schnitten verglichen werden. Zusätzlich wurden Proben für $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ und $\delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ Isotopen von partikulärem Material (POM) genommen.

- 954 Wasserproben genommen
- 4770 Datenpunkte für die verschiedenen Nährstoffe

3. HAFOS-BGC (Biogeochemie) befasst sich mit dem Kohlenstoff-Kreislauf des Weddellmeers. Die Daten werden mit früheren Daten aus demselben Gebiet verglichen um (anthropogene) Trends und Variabilität zu bestimmen. Anorganischer Kohlenstoff (und gelöster Sauerstoff) wurden beprobt in Wasser aus der ganzen Wassersäule. Analysiert wurden:

- 881 Proben auf Gesamt-CO $\left(=\right.$ TCO $_{2}$ oder DIC oder $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\top}\right)$
- 881 Proben auf Gesamt-Alkalinität $\left(A_{T}\right)$
- 430 Proben auf gelöstem Sauerstoff

4. SOCCOM (Southern Ocean Carbon and Climate Observations and Modelling) beobachtet und modelliert biogeochemische Kreisläufe des Südpolarmeers; die Beobachtungen werden mittels biogeochemischer, profilierender Treibbojen durchgeführt, die über das gesamte Südpolarmeer hinweg verteilt, ausgelegt wurden.

- Auslegung von 9 biogeochemischen Argo Floats

5. COMA (Chemical controls on Organic Matter Aggregation/ Chemische Regulierung von Aggregation organischen Materials) erforscht die Übergänge zwischen gelösten, kolloidalen und partikulären organischen Stoffen im Ozean. Es widmet sich der Frage, ob bestimmte chemische Klassen im Pool von organischen Molekülen im Südpolarmeer die Aggregation und die Metall-Komplexion bestimmen.

- Probennahme bei 48 CTD-Stationen
- Probennahme von 38 Stationen mit Wasser aus dem Snorchel im Brunnenschacht
- Die Gesamtmenge an verschiedenen Proben:
- Gelöster organischer Kohlenstoff (DOC): ..... 994
- Partikulärer organischer Kohlenstoff (POC): ..... 241
- Gesamtorganischer Kohlenstoff (TOC): ..... 127
- Gelöstes organisches Material (DOM) Extraktion, großes Volumen: 4 ..... 44
- $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$-Ionen: ..... 25
- $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$-Ionen: ..... 23
- Liganden: ..... 25
- Gelöstes organisches Material (DOM) Extraktion, kleines Volumen: ..... 451
- Nährstoffe: ..... 28
- Bakterien: ..... 107
- Fluoreszenz: ..... 808
- Community-Proben (TARA): ..... 44

6. DEFIANT (Drivers and Effects of Fluctuations in sea Ice in the ANTarctic/Antrieb und Effekte von Fluktuationen im Meereis in der Antarktis) liefert mechanistische Kenntnisse des Antriebs und des Einflusses der Meereisvariabilität, wobei es die dramatische Meereisabnahme aus dem Jahr 2016 miteinschließt. Messungen an verschiedenen Eis-Stationen, sowohl vom Schiff aus als auch per Hubschrauber, wurden durchgeführt:

- Auslegung eines ITP (Ice Thetered platform)
- Auslegung einer WIMBO-Boje
- Radar-Messungen über Pfannkucheneis
- $\quad 4$ mal Radar-Messungen am Eis auf Eisschollen
- 3 mal Licht-Messungen am Eis auf Eisschollen
- Wasserproben an CTD-Stationen für ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-Messungen

7. EWOS (Eastern Weddell Sea Observation System) fand vor allem auf dem Schelf und im Einstromgebiet vor Kapp Norvegia im östlichen Weddellmeer statt. Es hat zum Zweck eine quantitative Abschätzung zu geben hinsichtlich der biogeochemischen Flüsse zwischen Phytoplankton- und Zooplankton-Gemeinschaften, dem marinen Leben, wie Krill und RiesenAntarktisdorsch, in Beziehung zu den treibenden Umweltkräften, und den dazugehörenden passiven und trophischen Kohlenstoff-Flüssen von der Oberfläche in den tiefen Ozean. EWOS-II erforscht die Schlüsselvariablen und treibenden Kräfte, die die Hauptkompartimente des Ökosystems strukturieren; obendrein werden die Zusammensetzung und die Biodiversität von eis-assoziierten pelagischen und benthischen Biota untersucht. EWOS-III hat die benthischen Biodiversitätsmuster, den Sauerstoffverbrauch und die Nährstoffflüsse untersucht und zwar durch optische und akustische Meeresboden-Abbildungen, Probennahme von Makrobenthos und ex situ Inkubationsexperimente von Sedimentkernen.

- 4 Einsätze vom Fisch-Lander
- 2 mal Long Lines ausgelegt und aufgenommen
- 4 Agassiz-Trawls wurden gezogen
- 5 SUITS (Surface and Under-Ice Trawl) gefahren
- 7 Multinetze eingesetzt
- 8 mal wurde das RMT (Rectangular Midwater Trawl) benutzt
- 6 Eis-Stationen wurden gemacht, entweder mit dem Hubschrauber oder vom Schiff
- 7 mal wurde das ROV (Remotely Operated Vehicle) eingesetzt, vom Schiff oder vom Hubschrauber
- TV-MUC (Multicorer) wurde 7 mal eingesetzt
- Der Multigrab wurde 9 mal benutzt
- Das OFOBS (Ocean Floor Observation and Bathymetry System) kam 9 mal zum Einsatz

Letztendlich dienten die Hubschrauber als Transportmittel, um vom Schiff abgesetzte Arbeiten, logistische Aufgaben und Beobachtungsflüge durchzuführen. Insgesamt ergaben sich 57 Flüge von 66:39 Stunden kumulativer Dauer wie folgt:

- $\quad 3$ Flüge für Technik (1:32 h)
- $\quad 2$ Flüge zur Eiserkundung (2:20 h)
- 5 Trainingsflüge (4:30 h)
- 4 logistische Flüge (Transporte, 1:38 h)
- 1 Passagierflug (0:50 h)
- 25 Flüge für wissenschaftliche Arbeiten (u.a. zu Eisschollen für Eisarbeiten und ROVEinsätze (27:43 h))
- 17 Flüge zur Vögel- und Säugetierbeobachtung (28:06 h).


Abb. 1.1: Fahrtverlauf der Expedition PS129 mit Beginn in Kapstadt, Südafrika und Ende in Punta Arenas, Chile. Der Ausschnitt rechts unten zeigt das Gebiet bei Kapp Norvegia, wo hauptsächlich die EWOS-Arbeiten stattfanden ('EWOS-Box'). Für die Daten dieser Fahrt siehe auch: https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA. 947251

Fig. 1.1: Cruise track of the expedition PS129 starting in Cape Town, South Africa, and ending in Punta Arenas, Chile. The detail of the map at bottom right shows the area off Kapp Norvegia where mainly the work of the EWOS group occurred (the 'EWOS box') For data of this expedition, see also:
https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA. 947251

## SUMMARY AND ITINERARY

The expedition PS129 of the German polar research vessel Polarstern headed south-west from Cape Town to the Prime Meridian and from there further south to the Antarctic (Fig. 1.1). Neumayer Station III was briefly visited for logistical purposes (transfer of material). After that, the work was carried out in a region defined by the EWOS group. Still further, the transect was occupied across the Weddell Sea between Kapp Norvegia and the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula, with two excursions to the south in between, mainly to deploy sound sources (Fig. 1.1). This expedition was part of a continuation of the long-term time series within the framework of the HAFOS project (Hybrid Antarctic Float Observation System). HAFOS is dedicated to climate, ocean and ecosystem dynamics. The HAFOS time series started in the 1980s/1990s, particularly with respect to the hydrography, nutrients, dissolved oxygen and the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ system. Another substantial part of PS129 concerns the EWOS programme (Eastern Weddell Sea Observation System); this includes the coordinated and systematic observations of the sympagic, pelagic and benthic parts of the Weddell Sea ecosystem. After the last mooring work near Elephant Island and crossing the Drake Passage, the expedition ended on 28 April 2022 in Punta Arenas, Chile (Fig. 1.1).

A significant number of goals of the scientific projects were achieved in successful cooperation between ship and science. However, not all objectives were met, firstly, due to a number of days of stormy weather, which unfortunately were not taken into account in the tight planning of the expedition, and secondly, due to damage to the ship, which prevented faster steaming through the sea ice and which did not allow the breaking of the ice. In particular, various sound sources, which are of great importance for HAFOS, could not be moored at the positions planned further south in the Weddell Sea; moreover, most of the EWOS work in the Weddell Sea outside the EWOS box could not be conducted.

During expedition PS129, scientific projects in the fields of physical oceanography, marine biology and marine chemistry were executed, which together aim to shed light on the evolution of the water masses of the Weddell Sea and its ecological and chemical cycles. The projects and related activities on board were in detail:

1. HAFOS (Hybrid Antarctic Float Observing System) investigates the circulation and evolution of the Warm Deep Water (WDW) and the bottom water (WSBW) of the Weddell Sea using oceanographic deep-sea moorings, hydrographic sections and autonomous floats. Biological aspects of HAFOS concern the acoustic ecology of the Weddell Sea and its fauna, for which moorings were equipped with autonomous underwater recorders.

- Casting 55 CTD stations with rosette sampler and L-ADCP
- Recovery of 9 oceanographic moorings and deployment of 17 oceanographic moorings
- (3 moorings could not be recovered)
- Deployment of 6 Argo Floats (for the Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency, Germany)
- Deployment of 22 Argo floats of AWI
- 21 calibrations of 8 sound sources
- Continuous acquisition of temperature, salinity (i.e., thermosalinograph) and current profiles (sADCP)

2. The aim of the sub-project HAFOS-nutrients was to determine the nutrients phosphate, nitrate, silicate, nitrite and ammonium in water samples from the entire water column in order to obtain nutrient sections through the Weddell Sea; these in turn will be compared with earlier realizations of the sections. In addition, $\delta{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ und $\delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ isotopes were sampled from particulate matter (POM).

- 954 water samples taken
- 4770 data points for all the different nutrients

3. HAFOS-BGC (Biogeochemistry) deals with the carbon cycle of the Weddell Sea. The data will be compared to previous data from the same area to determine (anthropogenic) trends and variability. Inorganic carbon (and dissolved oxygen) were determined in water from the entire water column. Number of samples analysed:

- $\quad 881$ samples for total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(=\mathrm{TCO}_{2}\right.$ or DIC or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$
- 881 samples for total alkalinity $\left(A_{T}\right)$
- 430 samples for dissolved oxygen

4. SOCCOM (Southern Ocean Carbon and Climate Observations and Modelling) observes and models biogeochemical cycles of the Southern Ocean; observations are made by means of biogeochemical profiling floats deployed throughout the Southern Ocean.

- Deplyoment of 9 biogeochemical Argo Floats

5. COMA (Chemical controls on Organic Matter Aggregation) investigates the transitions between dissolved, colloidal and particulate organic matter in the ocean. It addresses the question of whether certain chemical classes in the pool of organic molecules in the Southern Ocean determine aggregation and metal complexation.

- Sampling at 48 CTD stations
- Sampling of 38 stations with water from the snorkel in the moon pool
- The total amount of different samples:Dissolved organic carbon (DOC): 994
- Particulate organic carbon (POC): 241
- Total organic carbon (TOC): 127
- Dissolved organic matter (DOM) extraction, large volume: 44
- Fe ${ }^{2+}$ ions: 25
- Fe ${ }^{3+}$ ions: 23
- Ligands: 25
- Dissolved organic matter (DOM) extraction, small volume: 451
- Nutrients: 28
- Bacteria: 107
- Fluorescence: 808
- Community samples (TARA): 44

6. DEFIANT (Drivers and Effects of Fluctuations in sea Ice in the ANTarctic) delivers a mechanistic understanding of the drivers and inpact of sea ice variability, including the dramatic sea ice decline of 2016. Measurements at various ice stations, both from the ship and by helicopter, were carried out:

- Deployment of an ITP (Ice Thetered platform)
- Deploymant of a WIMBO buoy
- Radar measurements over pancake ice
- 4 times radar measurements on ice at ice floes
- 3 times light measurements on ice at ice floes
- Water sampling at CTD stations for ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ measurements

7. EWOS (Eastern Weddell Sea Observation System) took place mainly on the shelf and in the inflow area off Kapp Norvegia in the eastern Weddell Sea. It aims to quantitatively estimate the biogeochemical fluxes between phytoplankton and zooplankton communities, marine life, such as krill and giant Antarctic toothfish, in relation to environmental drivers, and the associated passive and trophic carbon fluxes from the surface to the deep ocean. EWOS-II explores the key variables and drivers that structure the main ecosystem compartments; in addition, the composition and biodiversity of ice-associated pelagic and benthic biota are studied. EWOS-III studied benthic biodiversity patterns, oxygen consumption and nutrient fluxes by means of optical and acoustic seabed imaging, sampling of macrobenthos and ex situ sediment core incubation experiments.

- 4 deployments of the Fish Lander
- 2 long lines laid out and recorded
- 4 Agassiz trawls were drawn
- 5 SUITS (Surface and Under-Ice Trawl) were deployed
- 7 multinets deployed
- RMT (Rectangular Midwater Trawl) was used 8 times
- 6 ice stations were occupied, either by helicopter or from the ship
- ROV (Remotely Operated Vehicle) was deployed 7 times, from the ship or from helicopter
- TV-MUC (multicorer) was used 7 times
- Multigrab was used 9 times
- OFOBS (Ocean Floor Observation and Bathymetry System) was deployed 9 times

Finally, the helicopters served as a means of transport to carry out work away from the ship, including logistical tasks and observation flights. A total of 57 flights of 66:39 hours cumulative duration was flown as follows:

- $\quad 3$ flights for technology (1:32 h)
- $\quad 2$ sea-ice reconaissance flights (2:20 h)
- $\quad 5$ training flights (4:30 h)
- 4 logistic flights (transports, 1:38 h)
- 1 passenger flight (0:50 h)
- 25 flights for scientific work (including to ice floes for ice work and ROV missions (27:43 h))
- 17 flights for bird and mammal observation (28:06 h).


# WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING PS129 

Patrick Suter

DE.DWD

Expedition PS129 was conceived to contribute to scientific projects with the aim of understanding the evolution of the water masses of the Weddell Sea and neighbouring regions, as well as their ecological and chemical cycles; in particular, by continuing the long-term time series of the HAFOS and the EWOS projects. The first individual samplings, as well as the recovery and deployment of deep-water moorings were done on the transit from Cape Town to the Neumayer Station III. Close to Neumayer Station, Polarstern attempted to dock at the edge of the ice shelf, but unfortunately the sea state was too rough. The helicopter was then able to supply Neumayer. After that, the main part of the projects was conducted to the west in Weddell Sea. The HAFOS project served oceanographic deep-sea moorings, hydrographic sections with measurements of chemical variables and measurements of autonomous floats.

On the shelf and in the area off Kapp Norvegia in the eastern Weddell Sea, i.e., the main EWOS area, the aim was to quantitatively assess the biogeochemical fluxes between phytoplankton and zooplankton communities, and the marine resources living there, such as krill and the Antarctic toothfish. After leaving the Weddell Sea near Elephant Island, further floats were released on the transit to Punta Arenas. The aim was to collect hydrographic data with which the measurements of the deep-water moorings can be calibrated.

## Transit Cape Town - Neumayer Station III

After the crew and science change had taken place the previous day, the expedition began with the departure from Cape Town on 4 March 2022. It started under calm weather conditions. Between a subtropical high over the South Atlantic Ocean and a low southeast of South Africa, moderate southeasterly winds occurred in the harbour of Cape Town. It was mostly sunny and pleasantly warm with temperatures up to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On the open sea, the wind increased to 6-7 Bft and the waves reached 1.5 to 2 m for a time. On the following day, Polarstern, sailing south-southwest, crossed a broad subtropical high-pressure zone which extended eastwards from the South Atlantic to south of the Cape of Good Hope. As a result, the southeast wind weakened to around 2 Bft by the evening.

On 6 March, Polarstern crossed $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and reached the northern flank of a low-pressure complex with its main centre near the Antarctic coast. This marked the transition from the warm subtropics to the colder mid latitudes. Triggered by a large north-south pressure gradient, a strong and repeatedly wet westerly flow set in. Winds from the northwest reached 6-7 Bft with the passage of a shallow cold front with weak precipitation. Behind the front, changeable conditions with a mix of sun and cumulus clouds set in. The wind shifted to the west with a temporary slight decrease. In the following night, 7 March, another cold front followed, bringing in much cooler air and causing the temperature to drop below $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The westerly wind reached an average of 7 Bft with gale-force gusts at times. The waves from the west also increased gradually from an initial 2.5-3 m to 4 m by the evening and to around 5 m at night. In the course of the day, a high-pressure ridge from the west provided temporary calmer conditions. Afterwards, the lowpressure complex near $60^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ took over again. On 8 March, a strong to partly stormy
northwesterly flow was established, in which a next cold front crossed the area. The associated frontal nimbostratus clouds led to a cloudy and at times wet day. In the afternoon, the overcast clouds temporarily turned into fog. Unstable polar air flowed in behind the cold front. Thus, on 9 March, changeable showery weather set in in the area near $50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, with additional forcing from an upper-level trough, as well as upper-level cold air. Temperatures ranged from 2 to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and precipitation turned to snow, including small hail. The westerly wind increased to 7-8 Bft on average. In combination with showers, the gusts repeatedly reached gale force and in isolated cases even slightly over 50 kt . With swells from the southwest with $2.5-3 \mathrm{~m}$ and strong wind sea, the significant wave height rose to around 4.5 m ; some waves were even higher.

On 10 March, Polarstern was situated between two low-pressure zones; one in the south near the Antarctic coast and another one northwest of the cruising area. Between the low-pressure formations, the pressure contrasts decreased and the westerly current weakened. The air mass also stabilised somewhat after a final morning shower of small hail. The waves, with mainly swell from the southwest, still reached $3-3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ at first, slowly decreasing to 2-2.5 m. However, the wave length was about the same as the length of the ship, which led to a pronounced stamping motion and made the planned helicopter training flights impossible for this day. Subsequently, a high-pressure ridge approached from the west, extending southeastwards from a high near $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 15^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. With temperatures around freezing level and winds from the southwest to northwest with 4-6 Bft, snow showers occurred from time to time during the day. On 13 March, the ridge moved eastwards over the area.

In the evening of 13 March, a low-pressure system approached from the west. On its front, the current turned to the north, later to the northeast, and reached near-gale force from the night onwards. At the same time, the working area near $60^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ was hit from the north by a frontal system, which brought in a lot of moisture. Thus, 14 March was in the middle of the warm front, cloudy all day with low cloud ceilings. With the inflow of milder sea air and thus temperatures just above freezing, the snow that started in the second half of the day changed from sleet to drizzle. With the stormy winds, the waves temporarily increased to $3.5-4 \mathrm{~m}$. During the day, the gale with a core near $57^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 15^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ slowly began to weaken and shifted southeastward. This resulted in the formation of a two-core low-pressure complex, which moved over the working area until 15 March. The northeast wind weakened to 3-4 Bft. The sea state also flattened out again to 2 m . On 16 March, Polarstern was still under the influence of a low-pressure zone and was flanked by a low-pressure core in the west and east. The weather was characterised by low stratus clouds, which occasionally turned into fog. At the same time, 16 March marked the last day with temperatures above freezing, until 24 April. While Polarstern was moving further south, the pressure contrasts at the southern edge of the low-pressure zone increased and a strong easterly flow developed by the evening. At the same time, somewhat drier air flowed in again near the ground. The conditions on 17 March were comparable, while still being located at the southern edge of the low-pressure zone near $66^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. However, the cloud base of the stratocumulus clouds was rising, as cooler and drier air slowly flowed in. As a result of this also the visibility improved. On 18 March, a gale moved southeastwards from the north with slight strengthening to east of the cruising area near $63^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 20^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. Polarstern moved west at the same time. This turned the wind to the south at $4-5 \mathrm{Bft}$. This dry southerly current of continental origin caused a large hole in the stratocumulus cloud cover and the sun shone repeatedly during the day. On 19 March, station work near $66^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 12^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ was completed by noon, after which the expedition continued to the ice shelf edge near the Neumayer Station III research station. On the way to the ice shield, Polarstern was accompanied by southwesterly winds of 6-7 Bft and an often very cloudy sky.

## Neumayer Station III - EWOS Box

In the afternoon of 20 March, Polarstern reached the ice shelf edge near Atka Bay. Shortly before that, the sea ice cover also increased. The ship was caught between a high-pressure ridge, which extended from a high north of the Falkland Islands into the northern Weddell Sea, and a low-pressure zone in the east. Dry and very cold polar air flowed in with the strong to near-gale southwesterly current. The temperature dropped to $-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the evening, and due to the so-called wind chill effect, the felt temperature was $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The helicopter flights for the Neumayer supply could be carried out in the afternoon with only little cloud cover. These were supported by meteorological observations and forecasts from the meteorologist at Neumayer.

On 21 March, Polarstern continued southwest into the so-called EWOS box. There, the aforementioned ridge moved eastwards over the working area and caused the wind to decrease. Behind the ridge, the current turned south to southeast. At the same time, a low over the Weddell Sea steered a gale from the Antarctic Peninsula eastwards. By Tuesday morning, the gale was just west of the ship. As a result, winds increased strongly from east to northeast during the night to 22 March. During the day the wind reached gale force for more than seven hours, with severe storm gusts of up to 56 kt . In addition, a frontal system with massive warm air advection passed over the working area. With repeated heavy snowfall, a veritable blizzard prevailed at times. The research work had to be interrupted due to these weather conditions. The initially existing loose sea ice was broken up by the storm and the wind sea rose to $2.5-3 \mathrm{~m}$ within a very short time. The gale moved east along the coast over Polarstern. As a result, the wind decreased somewhat in the afternoon and station work could be resumed in the sea ice.

On 23 March, a high-pressure ridge from the west temporarily provided calmer conditions. This fair-weather window was used for further necessary helicopter flights to Neumayer Station III: The ship set off again to Neumayer Station III. On the way thereto, the clouds dissipated and, in the afternoon with southwesterly winds of 5-6 Bft, flight conditions were excellent. In the evening, the high-pressure ridge moved eastwards over Polarstern. As a result, the wind decreased further and the return to the EWOS box was characterised by very calm conditions. However, this calm was only short-lived and only the calm before the (next) storm.

In the night to 24 March, a rapidly intensifying low followed from the Weddell Sea and lay just west of Polarstern until the morning. As a result, the northeasterly wind increased quickly and reached gale force in the second half of the night, when the associated frontal system was reached. During the course of the day, the strong gale shifted slightly north of the research area towards the east. After a temporary slight decrease to 8 Bft , the wind increased again in the afternoon and reached 51 kt on a 10-minute average, as well as severe gale-force gusts up to 61 kt . Because most of the ship was in the sea ice, there were only little waves. At times, swell coming in from the north reached up to 1.5 m .

In the night to 25 March, the gale moved off to the east. On its rear side, drier air flowed in and the current had turned to the south with a significant decrease. A high-pressure ridge approached from the northern Weddell Sea, starting from a high-pressure zone in the South Atlantic Ocean. Until 27 March, this provided quite calmer conditions in the EWOS box and moderate to occasionally strong winds from the southwest. Dry and increasingly cold polar air sneeked in from the southern Weddell Sea. At the same time, the sun was shining repeatedly on 25 and 26 March. In the course of 27 March, the ridge began to retreat to the north and a shallow low-pressure zone, coming from the Weddell Sea, slowly gained influence. As a result, the flow slowly strengthened and turned to east, and a weak frontal system brought a little snow at times. On 28 March, the low-pressure zone decayed slowly and the easterly wind decreased to around 4 Bft .

29 March was the prelude to another series of storms. A severe gale was located at the northern edge of the Weddell Sea near $65^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 38^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and slowly extended its large storm field towards Polarstern until the night to 30 March. As a result, the easterly wind increased steadily and reached $9-10 \mathrm{Bft}$ on average in the first half of the day on 30 March, some hurricane-force gusts were also recorded. In addition, a warm front and strong warm air advection caused cloudy conditions with intermittent snowfall. The research work had to be stopped because of the strong wind and Polarstern moved to a somewhat sheltered position in the lee of a large iceberg. In addition, the water there was only relatively shallow and covered extensively by sea ice, which should prevent the build-up of high waves. The chosen location in the lee of the iceberg caused the wind to drop temporarily to 6-7 Bft on 31 March, but it was very gusty and gale-force gusts were recorded repeatedly. Subsequently, the gale moved eastward and was followed from the north by another rapidly intensifying low. This secondary low was caught by the gale directly north of the working area by 1 April and a hurricane-force low developed. Accordingly, the wind increased again to 8-9 Bft on average by early morning and hurricaneforce winds of 12 Bft were already measured. As the hurricane-force low deepened further and reached 947 hPa at its core, the pressure gradient over the working area also increased further. As a result, the easterly wind further increased and for 9 hours heavy hurricane-force winds swept over the ship. The maximum recorded 3 -second gust reached 81 kt , and over 90 kt were observed in the 1 -second gusts! At the 10 -minute average wind speed force 11 Bft was measured. It must be assumed that without the direct protection in the lee of the iceberg a few hundred metres away, wind speed would even have been somewhat higher. Because of the extreme winds, the thin sea ice was broken up and pushed away. However, due to the favourable location with only a small area of water, no high waves could build up.

In the night to 2 April, the hurricane-force low had shifted to the west, weakening, as well as its frontal system. At the same time, a second low-pressure core formed east of Polarstern. As a result, the easterly wind decreased to 7-8 Bft by morning and to 6-7 Bft by noon. This allowed station work to start near the Weddell Sea transect $70^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 11^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. In the evening, as the ship headed northwest out of the sea ice, the sea increased to 2.5 m .

## Transect across the Weddell Sea

On 3 April, Polarstern stayed under the two-core low-pressure zone from the former hurricaneforce low. The low-pressure core, which initially lay in the west, was crossed by mid-afternoon and the fresh to strong northeasterly current turned to the southwest after a short phase of light winds and then quickly increased to 7-8 Bft. With the increase in wind, the sea also rose from $1.5-2 \mathrm{~m}$ at the beginning to around 3 m . At the same time, with some uplifting und the moist unstable air mass, snow showers occurred. In the night to 4 April, a high-pressure ridge started its influence from the west, which pushed the low-pressure zone eastwards. This caused a tightening of the gradient, which led to a gale-force southwesterly wind. Sea with swell from the west to northwest also temporarily increased to $3.5-4 \mathrm{~m}$, with a significantly much higher single wave hitting the ship shortly after midnight. In the course of the day, the ridge moved eastwards over the research area and the wind weakened to $4-5$ Bft. On 5 April, Polarstern arrived at the front of an extensive low-pressure zone which extended northwards from the Weddell Sea. Within a warm front, the northeast wind briefly increased to 7 Bft during the night. Behind the front, the wind shifted temporarily to the west and decreased to 4-5 Bft. At the same time, on 5 April embedded in the low-pressure zone, a developing gale moved from the Falkland Islands to the northern edge of the Weddell Sea by the evening. This caused a strong pressure drop on board: Within 24 hours (2022-04-05 12:00 UTC to 2022-04-06 12:00 UTC), the air pressure on board dropped from 992 to 947.7 hPa . The northeast wind increased again 7 to 8 Bft by the evening within a next warm front. With the inflow of moist, mild sea air, the snow temporarily changed to rain and the temperature scraped at the $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ mark with a maximum of $-0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Until the morning of 6 April, the severe gale lay with its core ( 945 hPa ) just east of Polarstern. As a result, the northeasterly wind once again reached full gale force in the morning, causing severe gale-force winds of up to 61 kt . In the early morning, the sea reached $3.5-4 \mathrm{~m}$ with swell from northerly directions. In the course of the morning, the stormy wind led to a significant increase in wind sea within a very short time. The waves reached up to 6 m and at times almost 10 m . Single waves were even higher and, logically, the research work was stopped. In the afternoon, the severe gale shifted slightly to the southwest, which led to a brief decrease in wind to 6-7 Bft. By the evening, Polarstern had moved to the northeastern flank of the storm, which led to a renewed tightening of the gradient and an increase in wind to around 8 Bft from the northwest. At the same time, however, the waves had decreased considerably, so the ship headed south along the Weddell Sea transect and the research work was resumed on 7 April. As the ship headed south, the sea ice became thicker and more extensive. Due to the approach to the low core, the northwesterly wind decreased to 5 Bft by the afternoon. In the night to 8 April, the ship crossed the core of the former gale and was during the day in the southern part of the low, located at the southernmost station point in the thick sea ice of the central Weddell Sea near $70^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 29^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$.

On 9 and 10 April, Polarstern was located in the southwest of a large-scale low-pressure zone with a former gale-force low to the north of the ship and another low to the south in the Weddell Sea. This resulted in fresh to occasionally strong winds from south to south-west. These directed very cold polar air into the working area. The temperature dropped to $-21.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the night to 10 April. With the temporary wind shift to the west, the temperature rose again to $-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, before even colder air flowed in with the renewed south-westerly wind in the night to 11 April. This led to a minimum of $-23.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which was also the lowest temperature recorded on this expedition. With wind speeds of $5-6 \mathrm{Bft}$, the wind-chill temperature was below $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at times. The weather situation changed only little on 11 April. Only towards the northwest another low-pressure system appeared which subsequently moved east of the working area towards the southeast. Due to favourable weather conditions, helicopter flights were done daily from 8 to 11 April in order to create additional stations on the ice. On 12 April, a highpressure ridge approached from the west. A strong westerly flow was established downstream of it. Near the ground, the air mass remained quite dry and the temperature rose to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by evening. Polarstern temporarily left the ice on its way north. The sea with swell from northwest to west increased to 2-2.5 m during the day. During the following night, the ridge moved over the working area. On 13 April, another gale approached from the north with an associated frontal system. As a result, the wind shifted from initially west to northwest over north to east by the evening and increased to 8-9 Bft. With the inflow of milder and moister air, low stratus clouds developed, which also changed to fog at times. Temperatures around $-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to wet snowfall in the afternoon. Polarstern then continued west along the transect. The gale nestled over the Weddell Sea, with its core just southwest of the ship. The barograph on board reported a minimum air pressure of 949.6 hPa , which was almost equal to the low-core pressure of 949 hPa calculated by the weather model. With the proximity to the core, the wind already decreased again in the night to 14 April and then jumped between 5 and 7 Bft , but temporarily also at 3 Bft . In the afternoon, fog again occurred within the low core, before a bent-back occlusion again provided light snowfall in the evening.
Continuing westwards, Polarstern entered the northwestern part of the gale. This led to galeforce southwesterly winds from the night to 15 April. After another station near $65^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 41^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, another trip south-southwestwards into the thicker sea ice of the Weddell Sea followed in the course of 15 April. The working area was once again flooded by very cold polar air, so that temperatures around $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were recorded again until the morning of 16 April. In the meantime, the low-pressure zone had shifted somewhat towards the east and a high-pressure ridge approached from the Antarctic Peninsula. As a result, the southwesterly wind dropped to around 6 Bft during the day. The very cold air mass remained. The dry and very cold air led to
the dissipation of stratocumulus and stratus clouds by the morning of 16 April. This provided optimal conditions for helicopter flights to support ice stations away from the ship. Over the few open water spots, the formation of shallow sea smoke was observed due to the large temperature differences between water and air.

By 17 April, the aforementioned high-pressure ridge strengthened into an independent high-pressure system and continued to approach from the west. Starting from another high northeast of the Falkland Islands, a high-pressure bridge extended southward to the Weddell Sea. The wind weakened to 3 Bft from noon onwards and there was pure sunshine from early morning until late in the evening. The formation of sea smoke was also suppressed compared to the previous day, as most open water areas were frozen over due to the persistent cold. In the afternoon of 18 April, the high-pressure connection was temporarily interrupted by a lowpressure system moving northeastwards from the northern tip of the Antarctic Peninsula. The still cold air mass was slightly moistened from the west, which resulted in low-lying stratus during the first half of the day. On 19 April, the high moved northwards from the central Weddell Sea and moved over the working area near $64^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 43^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. As the high centre was slightly east of Polarstern, a slowly increasing northerly flow started in the afternoon. Apart from midand upper-level clouds, it was quite sunny, which led to very favourable flying conditions. By 20 April, the high-pressure system had moved further northeast. At the same time, a low-pressure zone formed in the west. Less cold and more humid air sneeked in between the pressure formations with a strong northerly flow. As this milder air moved in, low stratus or fog prevailed throughout the day. In the afternoon, wet snow began to fall at temperatures of around $-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. By 21 April, the low-pressure zone had moved from the west directly over the working area in the northwest of the Weddell Sea. With the resulting wind shift to the west, later south, and its decrease, wetter and colder air was brought in. Between two embedded lows, one southeast of the ship and the second northeast of Elephant Island, the converging air in between led to a frontal system that approached from the north from afternoon onwards and eventually caused snow fall.

On 22 April, a new high-pressure ridge approached from the west and pushed the low-pressure zone eastwards. As a result, the south to southwest wind reached 4-5 Bft on average. The colder air that was brought in caused the temperature to drop down to $-14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Because the air was very dry at the same time, the initial clouds dissipated and it was mostly sunny. Towards evening, the wind temporarily dropped almost completely as the ridge approached. In the night of 23 April, the ridge moved east over the area. On the back side of the ridge, the northeast to north wind increased to $4-5 \mathrm{Bft}$. With the approach of a low-pressure zone in the northwest and west, the pressure gradient and consequently the north wind increased to 6 Bft by the evening. With intermittent snow showers, helicopter operations had to be cancelled early that day. The work on the Weddell Sea transect ended in the evening of 23 April near Joinville Island.

## Transit Elephant Island - Punta Arenas

The last CTD and mooring work of this expedition took place on 24 April during the transit from Joinville Island to Elephant Island, as well as west of Elephant Island. On the transit during the night to 24 April, a strong and humid north to northwest current continued to prevail. At the same time, Polarstern left the sea ice. The milder sea air flowing in caused the temperature to rise above $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the precipitation changed from sleet to rain. During the day, Polarstern slowly moved to the southern flank of a low-pressure complex over Patagonia and the Falkland Islands. This caused the northeasterly flow to turn to the east by the evening, and drier air was able to prevail temporarily from the morning hours onwards. However, from the afternoon onwards, pre-frontal clouds again appeared from the north. At first high, later also medium-level clouds spread across the sky. In addition, shallow fog banks persisted at times near Elephant Island, but never really formed into fog. After the successful completion of the station work near

Elephant Island, Polarstern began its return transit through the notorious Drake Passage to Punta Arenas in the late afternoon of 24 April.

At the beginning of the Drake Passage, Polarstern sailed along the southern edge of the lowpressure zone over Patagonia and the Falkland Islands. This set up a strong easterly current in which a frontal system on 25 April provided a lot of moisture, a high-reaching nimbostratus cloud cover and repeated precipitation. The sea with swell from the northeast increased to about 2.5 m during the night of 25 April. During the day, the low-pressure zone led by a gale near the Falkland Islands moved slowly eastwards. As a result, the current weakened and turned southeast to south. The waves also decreased slightly to around 2 m . On 26 April, the ship was on the southwestern edge of the low-pressure zone in the area of only small pressure contrasts. This resulted in moderate southwesterly winds at the beginning and weak winds from the afternoon onwards. Due to the unstable stratification in the lower part of the troposphere, there were rain showers in the vicinity of the ship, otherwise the sun was able to assert itself a little at times through the broken cloud cover. The sea with swell from the northeast and also southwest decreased from an initial 2 m to $1-1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in the course of the day. From the night to 27 April, the ship reached the southwestern flank of a low-pressure system that was simultaneously moving from Argentina to the Falkland Islands. This established a southerly current of 7 Bft , rarely 8 Bft , which turned southwesterly in the night to 28 April. The increase in wind caused the sea with swell from the southeast to rise again to $2-2.5 \mathrm{~m}$. The sky showed a various and constantly changing cloud pattern with intermittent sunshine and rain showers. When Polarstern reached the Strait of Magellan in the evening, the swell subsided again; at the same time, a much drier air mass flowed in. Due to local jet effects, the wind strengthened locally in the Strait of Magellan, reaching 7-8 Bft at times, before the wind dropped to $5-6 \mathrm{Bft}$ by Thursday morning and turned to the west. On the morning of 28 April, Polarstern eventually reached the port of Punta Arenas, bringing expedition PS129 to an end.

## 2. HAFOS: MAINTAINING THE AWI'S LONG TERM OCEAN OBSERVATORY IN THE WEDDELL SEA

### 2.1 Physical Oceanography
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## Outline and Objectives

Due to its potential to internally store and transport vast amounts of heat and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the ocean is a key element of the global climate system. Its response to changes in the forcing is expressed and controlled by its stratification, which is governed by the vertical distribution of temperature and salinity. Until the turn of the 21 century, shipborne observations were the only means of obtaining sufficiently accurate vertical profiles of water mass properties, but progress in sensor technology now allows using autonumous systems. The current backbone of the Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS) is the Argo system, which consists of an array of more than 3,000 profiling floats, distributed throughout the world oceans. Provided by an international group of oceanographic institutions, it aims at establishing a real-time data stream of mid- and upper ( $<2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ ) ocean temperature and salinity profiles augmented by a mid-depth oceanic circulation pattern due to the floats' intrinsic drift between profiles.

However, core Argo instrumentation is restricted to oceanic regions that are ice free yearround, as the floats need to surface to be localized and to transmit their profile data via satellite link. HAFOS (Hybrid Antarctic Float Observing System) constitutes an extension of core Argo into seasonally ice-covered waters of the Weddell Gyre, overcoming these limitations through a combination of well tested technologies to close the observational gaps in the Antarctic Ocean (Boebel, 2013, 2017, 2019). To this end, AWI pushed technological developments to extend the operational range of Argo floats into seasonally ice-covered regions by initiating the development of ice-resilient floats featuring an ice-sensing algorithm (ISA; Klatt et al., 2007) which aborts a floats' ascent to the sea surface when the presence of sea ice is likely, as determined from the existence of a layer of near-surface winter water. To be able to (retrospectively) track the floats that continued their mission under sea ice, RAFOS (Ranging And Fixing Of Sound) technology (Rossby et al., 1986) is used, based on an array of RAFOS sound sources.

To determine trends and fluctuations in the characteristics of the main Antarctic water masses (i.e., Warm Deep Water, WDW, and Antarctic Bottom Water, AABW), a set of about a dozen hydrographic moorings has been maintained by AWI and expanded throughout the past 30 years. HAFOS builds on this backbone by having added RAFOS sound sources for underice tracking of Argo floats since 2002. Near-bottom recorders continue truly climatological time series as sentinels for climate change in the formation areas of bottom waters, whereas the profiling floats record the water mass properties in the upper ocean layers. Passive acoustic
monitoring allows linking marine mammal distribution in the open ocean to ongoing ecosystem changes, thereby complementing the physical measurements with biosphere observations at its highest trophic level. These efforts focus on a region where year-round oceanographic and marine mammal observations are notoriously sparse and difficult to obtain.
HAFOS complements international efforts to establish an ocean observing system in the Antarctic as a legacy of the International Polar Year 2007/2008 (IPY) and as a contribution to the Southern Ocean Observing System (SOOS), which is under development under the auspices of the Scientific Committee of Antarctic Research (SCAR) and the Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research (SCOR).

## Work at sea

Most moored oceanographic instruments are, by and large, designed for deployment periods of maximum 3 years before they need to be recovered for maintenance and battery replacement. Hence, one major goal of expedition PS129 was to recover and redeploy moorings deployed during PS117 in 2018/19, to secure the collected data and to continue these observations for another 2-3 years.
The oceanographic studies during PS129 focused on two major areas, the Prime Meridian and the Weddell Sea, continuing more than 30 years of in situ-observations in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean. Recovering moored instruments, we obtained time series of water mass properties throughout the oceanic deep and surface layers. For this purpose, moorings featuring current meters, temperature and salinity sensors, sound sources and passive acoustic recorders were recovered (Tab. 2.2 and Fig. 2.1) and (re-)deployed (Tab. 2.3 and Fig. 2.2). Provisioning against any failure of the ultra-short baseline hydroacoustic positioning and recovery system (POSIDONIA), we kept the mini-ROV Fiona (ROV = remotely operated vehicle) ready for ROV-assisted mooring recovery, if need would arise. Mooring work was conducted by a team of 5 crew (first mate, boatswain, crane operator and 2 capstan operators) and $4-5$ scientists ( 2 for instrument handling, 1 or 2 at the winder and a reporter).
To enhance the vertical resolution and to calibrate moored sensors, CTD (Conductivity Temperature Depth) stations were occupied at and in-between the mooring locations. The CTD/water sampler consisted of a SBE911plus CTD system in combination with a rosette water sampler SBE32 with 24 12-litre bottles (Tab. 2.4). To determine the distance to the bottom, an altimeter from Benthos was mounted. A transmissometer from Wetlabs, a SBE43 oxygen sensor from Seabird Electronics and a fluorometer were incorporated in the sensor package. Additionally, two RDI-150 kHz ADCPs (Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler), one pointing upward, one pointing downward were attached to the rosette sampler to measure the current velocity profile. A CTD/L-ADCP section was conducted between Kapp Norvegia and the Antarctic Peninsula, towards which the stations' resolution was enhanced between mooring 257-5 (near $45^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) and the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula (Fig. 2.3) aiming at delineating the export plume of Antarctic Bottom Water. The CTD was operated by a crew of two (winch operator and deck hand) and a scientific CTD watch of two. Data management was done under the auspices of the CTD team leader.

Redeployed moorings host sound sources (Tab. 2.3 and Fig. 2.4), providing RAFOS (Ranging and Fixing of Sound) signals for retrospective under-ice tracking of the ISA-Argo floats deployed during PS129 and passive acoustic recorders to record ambient (biotic and abiotic) sounds. ISA (ice sensing algorithm) and RAFOS receiver equipped Argo floats were launched to capture temperature-salinity profiles in the Weddell Sea proper. Numbering 22 floats (Tab. 2.10 and Fig. 2.5), these receive hydroacoustic RAFOS signals from a total of 9 RAFOS sound sources hosted by the aforementioned moorings, allowing retrospective tracking of their drift under the sea ice and hence localization of the profiles they collected.

Additionally, in support of the international Argo programme, 6 core Argo floats were deployed en route for the Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie (BSH; Tab. 2.11 and Fig. 2.5) between Cape Town and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. A total of 9 biogeochemical Argo floats (Tab. 2.12 and Fig 2.5) were deployed in support of the American SOCCOM project (Southern Ocean Carbon and Climate Observations and Modeling, https://soccom.princeton.edu).

### 2.1.1 Oceanographic moorings

Our moorings serve three purposes:

- to host oceanographic instruments that take local measurements at typically hourly intervals, like temperature, salinity or current velocity at the very location of the instrument (Tab. 2.1),
- to host passive acoustic recorders which monitor for marine mammal presence at 10 s of km ranges, and
- to host RAFOS sound sources which facilitate the tracking of RAFOS receiver equipped Argo floats roaming freely throughout the Weddell Sea.

Standard mooring design includes Aquadopp velocity profilers and CTD loggers at 800 m (the floats' park depth) and CT loggers near the sea floor (Tab. 2.1). Moorings along the continental shelf break near Kapp Norvegia (EWS001-01 and EWS002-01) and the Antarctic Peninsula (AWI207-12 and AWI261-02) are augmented with additional temperature sensors, ADCPs and bottom pressure sensors to delineate the coastal current's structure.

Tab. 2.1: Moored instrument types, recorded parameters and sampling scheme

| Instrument Type | Type | Parameter | typical sample period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SBE 37 SMP | CTD recorder | $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S}$ | 1800 s |
| SBE 37 SM | CT recorder | T | 1800 s |
| SBE 39 | $\mathrm{T}(+\mathrm{p})$ recorder | $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{T}$ | 600 s |
| SBE 53 | Bottom pressure <br> logger | p | 900 s |
| SBE 56 | Temperature logger | T | 60 s |
| Aquadopp | Current profiler | $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}$ | 1800 s |

Moorings AWI251-03/04, close to Elephant Island, feature an AZFP (Acoustic Zooplankton and Fish Profiler, ASL), which record the backscatter of acoustic pings emitted at four different frequencies ( $38 \mathrm{kHz}, 125 \mathrm{kHz}, 455 \mathrm{kHz}, 769 \mathrm{kHz}$ ) to detect zooplankton. Furthermore, a 75 kHz ADCP (Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler) is included in that mooring for information on the oceanic currents at the mooring position.

Generally, near each mooring location, a CTD was cast to be able to reference the moored temperature and salinity recorder's accuracy. Due to temporal limitations resulting from the ship-speed constraints imposed on this expedition, calling at the south-westernmost mooring AWI250-3 was not attempted.


Fig. 2.1: Map of the Weddell Sea depicting the locations of successful (blue triangles) and unsuccessful (red crosses) mooring recoveries during PS129. Moorings are labelled "AWIxxx-nn", with xxx indicating Mooring ID and nn the number of consecutive deployments.


Fig. 2.2: Map of the Weddell Sea depicting the locations of mooring deployments during PS129. Moorings are labelled "ZZZxxx-nn", with ZZZxxx indicating the Mooring ID and nn the number of consecutive deployments.

Tab. 2.2: Instrumentation of moorings recovered during PS129. The column "CTD" gives the station number of the CTD casts carried out near the mooring location at deployment and recovery

For further information see the end of the Chapter.

Tab. 2.3: Instrumentation of mooring deployments during PS129
For further information see the end of the Chapter.

### 2.1.2 CTD observations

## Ship borne CTD/rosette deployments

CTD casts were conducted pursuing three independent objectives:

- to revisit the CTD/CO $/ \mathrm{O}_{2}$ section across the Weddell Sea from Kapp Norvegia to the tip of the Anarctic Peninsula (WOCE repeat section SR4) across its entire length after nine years (last occupancy 2010/11),
- to collect temperature and salinity profiles at the mooring positions to tie the moored sensors single point time series to the full depth density profile,
- to revisit the spatially highly resolved repeat CTD section at the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula three years after its last occupation,
- to obtain pre- and post calibrations of the moored sensors.

The CTD/rosette was operated using the standard SeaBird SBE911plus setup, equipped with double sensors for temperature, salinity and oxygen, and one sensor each for pressure, substance fluorescence chlorophyll a and beam transmission. In addition, 24 12-litre OTE bottles for water sampling were attached. An altimeter was mounted to monitor the distance to the seafloor. Additionally, a high precision thermometer (SBE35, sn77/sw6345), as well as up and downward looking L-ADCPs were mounted to the rosette; ADCP SN 23293 (sw1309) as master, looking down, and ADCP SN 23292 (sw1258) as slave, looking up. Serial numbers as well as sensor web IDs are given in Table 2.4.

Tab. 2.4: CTD configurations for PS129

| Sensor | Serial numbers | Sensor web ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SBE9/Druck | 321 | 3214 |
| SBE3plus (primary) | 1374 | 5844 |
| SBE4c (primary) | 3590 | 5870 |
| SBE5 pump (primary) | 5843 | 8544 |
| SBE3plus (secondary) | 1338 | 5842 |
| SBE4c (secondary) | 3173 | 4121 |
| SBE5 pump (secondary) | 5840 | 5865 |
| SBE43 (primary) | 4070 | 8542 |


| Sensor | Serial numbers | Sensor web ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SBE43(secondary) | 4062 | 8543 |
| Transmissometer, CStar | 1220 | 4126 |
| Fluorometer, EcoFLR | 1346 | 5906 |
| Altimeter | 51533 | 4122 |
| SBE35 | 0077 | 6345 |
|  |  |  |
| ADCP down, master | 23293 | 1309 |
| ADCP up, slave | 23292 | 1258 |

CTD Configuration 2 for PS129, only sensor changes are given here

| Sensor | Serial numbers | Sensor web ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Transmissometer, CStar | 814 | 5913 |
| Altimeter | 1229 | 5904 |

CTD Configuration 3 for PS129, only sensor changes are given here

| Sensor | Serial numbers | Sensor web ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SBE43 (secondary) | 1605 | 8546 |

CTD Configuration 4 for PS129, only sensor changes are given here

| Sensor | Serial numbers | Sensor web ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SBE43 (secondary) | 0743 | 5881 or 6182 |
| Altimeter | 51533 | 4122 |

CTD Configuration 5 for PS129, only sensor changes are given here

| Sensor | Serial numbers | Sensor web ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SBE43 (secondary) | 1834 | 5883 |

During this expedition, data from 54 full ocean depth CTD profiles were collected (Tab. 2.5). A map of the locations of the CTD stations is provided by Figure 2.3.

Tab. 2.5: CTD casts of PS129
For further information see the end of the Chapter.


Fig. 2.3: Map of locations of CTD stations (top).
Enlarged map of the CTD section near Kaap Norvegia (middle).
Enlarged map of the CTD section towards the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula (bottom)

Labels indicate station and cast numbers as given in the station list.

## Ocean Floor Observation and Bathymetry System borne CTD measurements

The Ocean Floor Observation and Bathymetry System (OFOBS) is used to assess distribution patterns of larger epibenthic organisms and other objects (see Section 7.3). It carries a still image camera, a forward-facing acoustic camera, a 2-band sidescan sonar system, an UltraShort BaseLine system transponder (USBL) and an HD-Video camera. It is lowered to the seafloor and then towed with typically 0.5 kt with an altitude between 1.5 and 10 m above ground. To access the basic physical conditions the observed organisms are living in, a CTD probe was mounted to the OFOBS frame. The CTD was a battery powered, pre-programmed, internally recording SBE-37. A list with station names, positions and file names is given in Table 2.6.

Tab. 2.6: OFOBS CTD deployments
For further information see the end of the Chapter.

### 2.1.3. CTD-mounted ADCP (L-ADCP)

## Set-up

Two 300 kHz RDI Workhorse ADCPs were mounted on the CTD/rosette to act as lowered ADCPs (L-ADCP). The L-ADCP assembly consists of the two 300 kHz ADCPs (SN 23292 slave, SN23293 - master) and a battery container. When on deck, communication was established to a computer in the winch control room via two cables (for master and slave), which were attached before and after each cast to the ADCPs to start and stop the ADCPs and to download the data. The ADCPs were operated using the GUI of the LADCP tool V1.7 from GEOMAR.

## Data collection

L-ADCP measurements were conducted at all CTD stations. The data were downloaded after each cast, time between casts permitting. The master (downward looking device) and slave (upward looking device) data file names consist of the station number (three digits), an abbreviation indicating the viewing direction (UP for upward and DN for downward) and a running number with three digits beginning with 000, representing the file number, in case there are multiple files. For example, at station 4, data is saved in the files "004DN000.000" and "004UP000.000". These files are stored in a folder named alike the station number. In these folders, log files documenting all actions conducted as starting (with configurations), stopping and downloading are kept as well.

When starting a new cast, the ADCP software automatically counts upwards to define the new cast number. This results in the fact that cast numbers are not counting up continuously but have some gaps, in case where the ADCP was started and stopped in between casts. L-ADCP and CTD cast numbers are given inTable 2.5. A battery change was done after L-ADCP cast number 23 and again after cast 31 .

## Configurations

The settings documented in Table 2.7 were used during the entire expedition. Specifically, we used 20 bins with a bin size of 10 m (i.e., a maximum range of 200 m ), beam coordinates, no blanking after transmission, narrow band processing, one ping per ensemble and 1.2 seconds per ensemble.

Tab. 2.7: Configuration file of the Master L-ADCP

```
[LADCP]
last_profile_number=1
base_path=C:\ladcp\scripts\..\
network_path=L:Iscientists\ladcp\raw\
cruise_id=PS129
up_installed=1
down_installed=1
total_pings=213259
total_ping_time=37.0
erase_button=enabled
download_files=all
last_action=stop
ntp_server=192.168.20.3
[Master-Commands]
mode_15=1
ambiguity_velocity=250
bin_number=20
bin_length=1000
blank_after_transmit=0
broadband=1
sensor_source=0111101
coordinate_transformation=00111
flow_control=11101
pings_per_ensemble=1
time_between_pings=0
time_per_ensemble=1.2
master_slave=1
wait_ensembles_before_sync=0
master_slave_when_to_sync=011
wait_time_before_sync=5500
power_output=255
[Slave-Commands]
mode_15=1
ambiguity_velocity=250
bin_number=20
bin_length=1000
blank_after_transmit=0
broadband=1
sensor_source=0111101
coordinate_transformation=00111
flow_control=11101
pings_per_ensemble=1
time_between_pings=0
time_per_ensemble=1.2
master_slave=2
master_slave_when_to_sync=011
wait_time_before_start_without_sync=200
power_output=255
```


## Data processing

On board, data processing was carried out with the GEOMAR L-ADCP software version 10, which is executed in Matlab. The software combines, if available, data from the L-ADCP, CTD, navigational data, and a vessel mounted ADCP to conduct the velocity inversion method.

The CTD data were provided in two files, one containing data averaged onto 1 dbar and another one averaged onto 1 second. Both files where prepared unsing the programme Manage CTD. For the sADCP data, the output from the GEOMAR sADCP software (OSSI19) was used.

The software package executed in Matlab produces significant amounts of diagnostic output stored in a log file in the log folder and displayed in 16 figures saved in the folder plots. The output not only displays the calculated velocities in zonal (u) and meridional (v) directions, but also additional figures that help to identify error sources and problems of the acquisition process.

### 2.1.4. HAFOS sound source array

A major goal of this expedition was to reinstall the RAFOS sound source array which is used to retrospectively track the ISA-Apex floats while under ice. All previously moored HAFOS sources had been removed already during Polarstern expedition PS117 as, at that time, no ISA floats were present in the Weddell Gyre.
During PS129, a total of 7 sound sources were deployed. We used the opportunity of a complete reinstallation of the array to reduce the temporal spread of signals emitted by the sources from 12:00-14:10 UTC to 12:40-13:20 UTC. This allowed float-side a reduction of listening windows from 11:30-14:30 UTC ( 180 min duration) to 12:30-13:30 ( 60 min duration), resulting is significant savings in energy. A summary of sound source activities is given in Table 2.8 as well as in Figure 2.4.


Fig. 2.4: HAFOS sound source array as deployed during PS129 (red dots with red dotted circles at 200 km radius). Presumably active sources deployed near $74^{\circ}$ S during PS124 a year earlier are marked by small blue circles with red dotted circles. Moorings deployed during PS129 without sound sources are marked by small blue circles. Top-right to the marked position: sound source array ID

Tab. 2.8: Metadata of RAFOS sound sources deployed during PS129
For further information see the end of the Chapter.

### 2.1.5 Argo float deployments

During PS129, a total of 22 ice resilient APEX floats, produced by Teledyne Webb Research, U.S.A., were deployed. All floats had been appropriated by AWI and are equipped with identical sensor suits. They feature an adjustable Ice Sensing Algorithm (ISA-2), set to $-1.70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (parameter IceCriticalT) between 40 and 15 dbar (parameters IceDetectionP and Ice EvasionP), with a surfacing response retarded by 11 days (parameter IceBreakupDays, equivalent of one profile). Interim data storage internally saves all profiles that could not be transmitted in real-time due to ISA-triggered aborts of surfacing attempts and transmits these profiles during ice-free conditions. RAFOS technology is used for under ice tracking. For data transmission Iridium SBD is used. The floats were ballasted to drift at a depth of 800 m and acquire profiles from $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ depth upwards every 10 days, for the first 4 profiles every 3 days. The first profile is taken directly after deployment after having sagged to $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$. Floats were launched using the pressure activated autostart option, with and wake-up period of 120 m . The float's boot was filled with seawater prior to deployment, to ensure floats would sink right away after launch and not interfere with any potentially present sea ice (in fact, most floats were launched at or near $100 \%$ sea-ice coverage into the ship's swath from the ship's stern. Attempts to enlarge the spot of open water by steering hard starboard proved little helpful, as this introduced an undercurrent which carried the floats to the portside edge of the open water wake and upwards into the sea ice present there.

All floats were subjected to a self-test aboard Polarstern a few days prior to deployment. To this end, floats were placed outside the meteorologist's office in good view of open skies. Nevertheless, satellite communication repeatedly did not work on the first try (see Tab. 2.9). To prevent freezing of residual water in the CTD during the around 30 min long satellite communication tests, foot and hand warmers were draped around the CTD, with variable success as indicated by the temperatures reported during the self-test. One float failed to communicate by SailLoop during the test phase and will be returned to the manufacturer. Float deployment information is presented in Table 2.10 and Figure 2.5.


Fig. 2.5: Locations of deployments of floats provided by AWI, BSH and SOCCOM

Tab. 2.9: Ice resilient APEX float tests and clock readings
For further information see the end of the Chapter.

Tab. 2.10: Ice resilient APEX float deployments, all featuring Ice Sensing Algorithm (ISA) and RAFOS receivers

For further information see the end of the Chapter.

### 2.1.6. Argo float deployments on behalf of BSH / Argo Germany

During PS129, a total of 6 ARVOR-I floats were deployed on behalf of Argo Germany. Four of them are equipped with an adjustable Ice Sensing Algorithm (ISA), set to $-1.79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ between 50 and 20 dbar, with a surfacing response retarded by 1 profile. Profiles that could not be transmitted in real-time due to ISA-triggered aborts of surfacing attempts are stored into the float internal memory and transmitted next time the float surfaces. For data transmission Iridium SBD is used. The floats were ballasted to drift at a depth of $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ and acquire profiles from $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ depth upwards 1 day after deployment for the first profile and every 10 days for the next profiles. The first profile is taken 2 hours after activation and after having sagged to $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$. Float identification information given in float profiles can be downloaded on https:// www.jcommops.org/board/wa/Platform?ref=xxxxxxx with $x x x x x x x$ standing for the WMO number. Float deployment information is presented in Table 2.11 and plotted in Figure 2.5 (dark red dots).

Tab. 2.11: ARVOR float deployments *Ice Sensing Algorithm (ISA)
For further information see the end of the Chapter.

### 2.1.7. BGC float deployments on behalf of SOCCOM

During PS129, a total of 9 BGC (biogeochemical) SOCCOM floats were deployed -SOCCOM, Southern Ocean Carbon and Climate Observations and Modeling, is a multi-institutional U.S. programme. Floats were lowered by rope to the sea surface. Float deployment information can be found in Table 2.12 and is plotted in Figure 2.5 (orange dots).

Tab. 2.12: SOCCOM float deployments
For further information see the end of the Chapter.

### 2.1.8. Salinity calibrations by salinometer

To calibrate the conductivity sensors, water samples for salinity were taken regularly and measured with the Optimare Precision Salinometer (OPS). Prior to measurement, samples where degassed by heating them to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour, venting the overpressure and let them adjust to room temperature. In total, 220 salinity samples were measured using the OPS-006 or OPS-007 instruments. As a standard, the OPS-006 is the one to be used by scientists, while OPS-007 is used by the ship's crew. As OPS-006 exhibited a discontinuity in the salinity readings on 3 April 2022, the OPS-007 was used for the subsequent measurements while the OPS-006 was cleaned. Once the OPS-006 showed stable readings again it was reemployed. On 25 April 2022, however, the OPS-006 became unusable as the pre-bath stirrer broke.

Table 2.13 lists all salinity measurements. Out of the 220 salinity measurements, 5 were unusable due to unstable readings of the OPS. For 2 out of these 5 instances, the reason is unknown. To examine the impact of the degassing procedure on the salinity measurements, outgassing was skipped for 3 samples. For those 3 samples, the OPS measurement did not stabilize, indicating that the OPS cannot obtain stable measurements if samples are not degassed properly. In addition to the samples measured on board, 68 samples were shipped to AWI, for later analyses and comparisons between an Optimare Precision Salinometer and an Autosal instrument, the latter of which was used on Polarstern cruises earlier in time. Final calibration of the CTD data will be performed using the salinometer measurements together with the post-cruise manufacturer calibration of the sensors.

Tab. 2.13: Salinity measurements by salinometer. Numbers printed red indicate values that exhibited a discontinuity in the OPS reading, resulting in their exclusion from the calibration process.

For further information see the end of the Chapter.

### 2.1.9. Vessel mounted ADCP (sADCP)

Polarstern is equipped with an Ocean Surveyor 150 kHz (RD-Instruments) Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (sADCP) to monitor ocean currents. The sADCP was operated using the settings given in Table 2.14. During most of the expedition the sADCP was operated in slave mode, triggered by the K-Synch unit. Pinging was synchronized between the sADCP and the EK-80, which uses four different frequencies ( $38 \mathrm{kHz}, 70 \mathrm{kHz}, 120 \mathrm{kHz}, 200 \mathrm{kHz}$ ) in FM-mode (broadband) and a single 18 kHz ping for echosounding (depth). Zero waiting time was set for the sADCP and EK-80. For the 18 kHz depth sounder, a depth dependent waiting time was set. Before those settings where found, the sADCP was stopped and restarted several times for changing the configuration of the synch-unit as well as EK-80 calibrations.

For protection against ice, the Ocean Surveyor is mounted in a water filled cavity behind a window, in the ship's hull. When steaming through ice, air accumulates inside the window over time, which negatively affects the data quality. During PS129 the air was regularly released by the laboratory electrician.

The data from the sADCP were merged online with the corresponding navigation data (i.e., the vessel's GPS system) and stored on the hard disk using the program VMDAS. Pitch, roll and heading data are converted from NMEA. Current velocity data were collected in beam coordinates to apply corrections during post processing. Processing during the cruise was conducted using GEOMAR s-ADCP software (OSSI19). Final data processing and quality control will be performed at AWI.

Tab. 2.14: Configuration file of the sADCP

```
; Restore factory default settings in the ADCP
cr1h
; set the data collection baud rate to 9600 bps,
; no parity, one stop bit, 8 data bits
; NOTE: VmDas sends baud rate change command after all other commands in
; this file, so that it is not made permanent by a CK command.
cb411
; Set for narrowband single-ping profile mode (NP), 80 (NN), 4-meter bins (NS),
; 4-meter blanking distance (NF)
WP000
NP001
NN080
NS0400
NF0400
;WV390 (default)
; Disable single-ping bottom track (BP),
BP000
; output velocity, correlation, echo intensity, percent good
ND111100000
; Ping as fast as possible
TP000000
; Since VmDas uses manual pinging, TE is ignored by the ADCP
; and should not be set.
;TE0000000
; Set to calculate speed-of-sound, no depth sensor, external synchro heading
; sensor, pitch or roll being used, no salinity sensor, use internal transducer
; temperature sensor
EZ1011101
; Output beam data (rotations are done in software)
EX00000
; Set transducer misalignment (hundredths of degrees).
; Ignored here but set in VmDAS options.
;EA00000
; Set transducer depth (decimeters)
ED00110
; Set Salinity (ppt)
ES35
;set external triggering and output trigger; no trigger
CX0,0 (either on or off)
;set external triggering and output trigger
;CX1,3 (either on or off)
; save this setup to non-volatile memory in the ADCP
CK
```


### 2.1.10. Thermosalinograph

There are two SBE21 SeaCAT thermosalinographs with additional external thermometers SBE38 for minimum thermal contamination from the ship. The two systems are operated in parallel on the same seawater intake. The pumped system is equipped with a flow meter and set to pump $60 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. Position and time information is added via NMEA telegram. The system is located in the ships keel with the water intake at about 11 m depth, depending on the ships draft.

During PS129, the system was running continuously and switched off only for short maintenance and cleaning. For calibration, salinity samples are taken irregularly, depending on the ice conditions. On average, samples are taken every other week and measured with an Optimare Precision Salinometer on board by the ship's laboratory electrician. The sensors are usually operated for one full expedition season (about half a year, depending on expedition schedule) and changed during port calls in Bremerhaven. Once post-cruise calibration of sensors has been performed, the data is processed and calibrated by Fielax GmbH and stored in the PANGAEA data repository.

### 2.1.11. RAFOS source tuning

A detailed description of the objectives and approach of tuning the RAFOS sources in situ is given in the expedition report of ANT-XXIX/2 (Boebel, 2015). During PS129, the frequency response of 7 sound sources was determined using 19 tuning runs: all systems, except one, featured new anodized aluminum resonance tubes and had a total length of $2220-2230 \mathrm{~mm}$. One system had already been tuned on a previous expedition (D0046, end length: 1,900 mm). Due to a refurbishment of this source by the manufacturer, its tuning required checking.

Tuning, i.e., shortening the length of a resonator tube until it resonates at the RAFOS center frequency of 260 Hz , of the new resonator tubes was performed in up to four tuning runs per resonator. Before each shortening, the frequency response of resonators was determined by using up to four consecutive $80-\mathrm{sec}$ long frequency sweeps per run. Start times of sweeps were spaced by 10 minutes to allow the electronics to cool down before starting a new sweep. As the tuning procedure was adapted a number of times during the cruise, the frequency range of each sweep within a run varied.

Before shortening the tubes, the frequency response of the source was determined by using consecutive 80 -sec sweeps over a total frequency range from $230-265 \mathrm{~Hz}$. In the first and second tuning step, 3 sweeps were configured to cover the current expected resonance frequency $+/-8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and the RAFOS frequency. In the third and last tuning step, one sweep from $256-264 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and the RAFOS sweep were performed to obtain the final resonance frequency and source level. Details of the steps were adapted during the expedition according to new findings in the resonance frequency behavior (Tab. 2.15).

The sound source's configuration for the tuning was stored on an SD card and set to start on a fictional future date. Prior to the lowering of the sound source, a time approximately 45 minutes before this fictional date/time was set to give the system enough time to be lowered to the measurement depth of 800 m before starting the first sweep.

Sound sources were lowered horizontally to 800 m depth in waters of at least $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ depth using winch \#32. A 10-m-long rope with a Sound Velocity Profiler (Valeport) was hanging below the sound source for sound velocity measurements. An additional 25 kg weight was attached below the Sound Velocity Profiler and two 25 kg weights were attached directly underneath the sound source. During the first measurements, the sound sources were directly attached to the winch cable using a sling around the middle part and the electronics of the source.

Starting 18 March 2022, a metal bar was attached to the empty brackets on the opposite side to the electronics with the intention to prevent the sound source from swinging during the tuning measurements. For redundancy of the acoustic recordings, 3 icListen (SN 1413, 1414 and 1415) were attached 40 m above the sound source at $1 / 8$ wavelength spacing directly to the winch cable. Results, however, showed inconsistent sound pressure levels. To minimize potential near-field effects, the distances between the source and the recorders was changed to around 84 m .

Tab. 2.15: Sound source tunings with sweep parameters by step

| Event Time | Resonator | Electronics | Run | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sweep } 1 \\ & {[\mathrm{~Hz}]} \end{aligned}$ | sweep $2[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ | sweep 3 [Hz] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sweep } 4 \\ & {[\mathrm{~Hz}]} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.03.2022 18:55 | D0047 | El0043 | Run 1 | 230-240 | 240-250 | 250-260 |  |
| 12.03.2022 20:35 | D0048 | El0061 | Run 1 | 230-240 | 240-250 | 250-260 |  |
| 13.03.2022 10:48 | D0046 | El0066 | Run 1 | 255-265 | RAFOS |  |  |
| 15.03.2022 19:58 | D0046 | El0066 | Run 2 | 257-263 | RAFOS |  |  |
| 17.03.2022 03:52 | D0048 | El0061 | Run 2 | 249-255 | 255-261 | RAFOS |  |
| 18.03.2022 14:11 | D0046 | El0043 | Run 3 | 257-263 | RAFOS |  |  |
| 18.03.2022 15:58 | D0048 | El0061 | Run 3 | 257-263 | RAFOS |  |  |
| 18.03.2022 17:29 | D0017 | El0067 | Run 1 | 230-238 | 238-246 | 246-254 |  |
| 03.04.2022 01:07 | D0017 | El0058 | Run 2 | 240-248 | 248-256 | 256-264 | RAFOS |
| 03.04.2022 03:19 | D0043 | El0047 | Run 1 | 230-238 | 238-246 | 246-254 | RAFOS |
| 03.04.2022 05:04 | D0018 | El0050 | Run 1 | 230-238 | 238-246 | 246-254 | RAFOS |
| 04.04.2022 16:46 | D0043 | El0047 | Run 2 | 246-254 | 254-262 | RAFOS |  |
| 04.04.2022 18:31 | D0017 | El0058 | Run 3 | 246-254 | 254-262 | RAFOS |  |
| 04.04.2022 20:11 | D0018 | El0050 | Run 2 | 246-254 | 254-262 | RAFOS |  |
| 08.04.2022 13:06 | D0043 | El0047 | Run 3 | 256-264 | RAFOS |  |  |
| 08.04.2022 21:40 | D0018 | El0050 | Run 3 | 256-264 | RAFOS |  |  |
| 08.04.2022 23:15 | D0017 | El0058 | Run 4 | 256-264 | RAFOS |  |  |
| 15.04.2022 17:00 | D0030 | El0066 | Run 1 | 235-243 | 239.38-240.90 |  |  |
| 17.04.2022 08:08 | D0030 | El0066 | Run 2 | 256-264 | RAFOS |  |  |

After completion of each run, recordings from the acoustic recorders were saved from the icListen's internal storage to hard disk. Using Audacity, sweeps belonging to a given sound source were manually cut at their boundaries from the displayed spectrogram and saved as single files.

A python script was used to determine the (current) resonance frequency of the highest root-mean-square amplitude SLmax sweep [dB] (Tab. 2.16). A MATLAB ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ script used the current resonance frequency, current tube length and environmental parameters (e.g., sound velocity at tuning depth, water density) to derive the target resonance length and the excess length to be cut. During the first cut, a length of only about two third of the calculated difference was cut, while on the second cut, the remaining calculated length difference was cut. Additionally, 3 boreholes were drilled on each side with a diameter of 12 mm and the center 30 cm from the edge. Isolators were glued into the borehole. After this the last remaining tuning measurement was performed.

Tab. 2.16: Sound source tunings. A block of three lines each represents a single tuning run with the three lines distinguishing the measurements from the three icListen hydrophones. Dist. [ m ] gives the distance from the source to the hydrophone. Length [mm] gives the overall tube length for both tubes end to end. fpk sweep = frequency of spectral peak, SLmax sweep = maximum band-passed filtered source level during sweep, SL RAFOS = source level during RAFOS sweep re $1 \mu \mathrm{~Pa}$

| Sound Source | electr. <br> ID | icListen ID | Run | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pk}} . \\ & \text { sweep } \\ & {[\mathrm{Hz}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SL}_{\text {max }} \\ & \text { sweep } \\ & \text { [dB] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SL } \\ & \text { RAFOS } \end{aligned}$ [dB] | Coil | Amp. | Dist. <br> [m] | Length [mm] | DateTime |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D0017 | El0067 | 1413 | 1 | 242.5 | 186.4 | NA | off | 100 | 82 | 2220 | 202203181802 |
| D0017 | El0067 | 1414 | 1 | 242.5 | 188.48 | NA | off | 100 | 82 | 2220 | 202203181802 |
| D0017 | El0067 | 1415 | 1 | 241.0 | 187.46 | NA | off | 100 | 82 | 2220 | 202203181802 |
| D0017 | El0058 | 1413 | 2 | 241.0 | 177.63 | 168.21 | off | 95 | 84.2 | 2220 | 202204030147 |
| D0017 | El0058 | 1414 | 2 | 249.0 | 170.49 | 167.44 | off | 95 | 81.4 | 2220 | 202204030147 |
| D0017 | El0058 | 1415 | 2 | 240.5 | 178.35 | 167.74 | off | 95 | 82.8 | 2220 | 202204030147 |
| D0018 | El0050 | 1413 | 1 | 240.0 | 173.2 | 168.64 | off | 95 | 84.2 | 2230 | 202204030545 |
| D0018 | El0050 | 1414 | 1 | 241.5 | 168.68 | 167.45 | off | 95 | 81.4 | 2230 | 202204030545 |
| D0018 | El0050 | 1415 | 1 | 240.0 | 177.44 | 170.03 | off | 95 | 82.8 | 2230 | 202204030545 |
| D0043 | El0047 | 1413 | 1 | 239.0 | 175 | 156.92 | off | 95 | 82.2 | 2230 | 202204030350 |
| D0043 | El0047 | 1414 | 1 | 239.0 | 172.31 | 155.82 | off | 95 | 81.4 | 2230 | 202204030350 |
| D0043 | El0047 | 1415 | 1 | 237.5 | 174.21 | 155.46 | off | 95 | 82.8 | 2230 | 202204030350 |
| D0046 | El0066 | 1413 | 1 | NA | NA | 162.23 | off | 100 | 42.8 | 1900 | 202203131123 |
| D0046 | El0066 | 1414 | 1 | NA | NA | 161.35 | off | 100 | 41.4 | 1900 | 202203131123 |
| D0046 | El0066 | 1415 | 1 | NA | NA | 165.44 | off | 100 | 40.0 | 1900 | 202203131123 |
| D0046 | El0066 | 1413 | 2 | NA | NA | 180.09 | on | 100 | 40.0 | 1900 | 202203152034 |
| D0046 | El0066 | 1414 | 2 | NA | NA | 176.91 | on | 100 | 41.4 | 1900 | 202203152034 |
| D0046 | El0066 | 1415 | 2 | NA | NA | 188.46 | on | 100 | 42.8 | 1900 | 202203152034 |
| D0046 | El0043 | 1413 | 3 | NA | NA | 173.98 | on | 90 | 82.0 | 1900 | 202203181445 |
| D0046 | El0043 | 1414 | 3 | NA | NA | 168.66 | on | 90 | 82.0 | 1900 | 202203181445 |
| D0046 | El0043 | 1415 | 3 | NA | NA | 177.11 | on | 90 | 82.0 | 1900 | 202203181445 |
| D0047 | El0043 | 1413 | 1 | 232.5 | 170.23 | NA | off | 100 | 42.8 | 2240 | 202203122106 |
| D0047 | El0043 | 1414 | 1 | 243.0 | 163.6 | NA | off | 100 | 41.4 | 2240 | 202203122106 |
| D0047 | El0043 | 1415 | 1 | 238.5 | 176 | NA | off | 100 | 40.0 | 2240 | 202203122106 |
| D0048 | El0061 | 1413 | 1 | 241.5 | 178.26 | NA | off | 100 | 42.8 | 2220 | 202203121930 |
| D0048 | El0061 | 1414 | 1 | 241.5 | 175.04 | NA | off | 100 | 41.4 | 2220 | 202203121930 |
| D0048 | El0061 | 1415 | 1 | 241.5 | 178.28 | NA | off | 100 | 40.0 | 2220 | 202203121930 |
| D0048 | El0061 | 1413 | 2 | 259.0 | 161.15 | 160.63 | off | 100 | 40.0 | 1964 | 202203170430 |
| D0048 | El0061 | 1414 | 2 | 256.5 | 164.88 | 162.89 | off | 100 | 41.4 | 1964 | 202203170430 |
| D0048 | El0061 | 1415 | 2 | 256.0 | 171.24 | 168.39 | off | 100 | 42.8 | 1964 | 202203170430 |
| D0048 | El0061 | 1413 | 3 | NA | NA | 168.47 | on | 90 | 82.0 | 1965 | 202203181634 |
| D0048 | El0061 | 1414 | 3 | NA | NA | 170.04 | on | 90 | 82.0 | 1965 | 202203181634 |
| D0048 | El0061 | 1415 | 3 | NA | NA | 171.91 | on | 90 | 82.0 | 1965 | 202203181634 |
| D0017 | El0058 | 1413 | 3 | 246.0 | 172.36 | 158.45 | off | 95 | 84.2 | 2006 | 202204041909 |
| D0017 | El0058 | 1414 | 3 | 249.69 | 170.07 | 156.4 | off | 95 | 81.4 | 2006 | 202204041909 |
| D0017 | El0058 | 1415 | 3 | 245.0 | 169.66 | 161.82 | off | 95 | 82.8 | 2006 | 202204041909 |
| D0018 | El0050 | 1413 | 2 | 248.0 | 178.39 | 163.88 | off | 95 | 84.2 | 2010 | 202204042050 |
| D0018 | El0050 | 1414 | 2 | 250.0 | 176.13 | 162.91 | off | 95 | 81.4 | 2010 | 202204042050 |
| D0018 | El0050 | 1415 | 2 | 250.0 | 176.52 | 169.54 | off | 95 | 82.8 | 2010 | 202204042050 |


| Sound Source | electr. <br> ID | icListen ID | Run | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pk}}$. <br> sweep <br> [Hz] | $\mathrm{SL}_{\text {max }}$ sweep [dB] | SL RAFOS [dB] | Coil | Amp. | Dist. <br> [m] | Length [mm] | DateTime |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D0043 | El0047 | 1413 | 2 | 250.99 | 175.43 | 160.38 | off | 95 | 84.2 | 2006 | 202204041725 |
| D0043 | El0047 | 1414 | 2 | 251.03 | 173.87 | 159.44 | off | 95 | 81.4 | 2006 | 202204041725 |
| D0043 | El0047 | 1415 | 2 | 248.36 | 172.09 | 161.74 | off | 95 | 82.8 | 2006 | 202204041725 |
| D0017 | El0058 | 1413 | 4 | NA | NA | 187.79 | on | 95 | 84.2 | 1845 | 202204082354 |
| D0017 | El0058 | 1414 | 4 | NA | NA | 186.58 | on | 95 | 81.4 | 1845 | 202204082354 |
| D0017 | El0058 | 1415 | 4 | NA | NA | 189.81 | on | 95 | 82.8 | 1845 | 202204082354 |
| D0018 | El0050 | 1413 | 3 | 256.5 | 181.97 | 176.41 | on | 95 | 84.2 | 1865 | 202204082220 |
| D0018 | El0050 | 1414 | 3 | 256.5 | 179.77 | 174.78 | on | 95 | 81.4 | 1865 | 202204082220 |
| D0018 | El0050 | 1415 | 3 | 256.5 | 183.23 | 181.62 | on | 95 | 82.8 | 1865 | 202204082220 |
| D0043 | El0047 | 1413 | 3 | NA | NA | 172.71 | on | 95 | 84.2 | 1890 | 202204081345 |
| D0043 | El0047 | 1414 | 3 | NA | NA | 171.19 | on | 95 | 81.4 | 1890 | 202204081345 |
| D0043 | El0047 | 1415 | 3 | NA | NA | 169.89 | on | 95 | 82.8 | 1890 | 202204081345 |
| D0030 | El0066 | 1413 | 1 | 241.5 | 178 | NA | on | 95 | 84.2 | 2230 | 202204151630 |
| D0030 | El0066 | 1414 | 1 | 242.0 | 174.51 | NA | on | 95 | 81.4 | 2230 | 202204151630 |
| D0030 | El0066 | 1415 | 1 | 240.5 | 178.76 | NA | on | 95 | 82.8 | 2230 | 202204151630 |
| D0030 | El0066 | 1413 | 2 | NA | NA | 163.44 | off | 95 | 84.2 | 1900 | 202204170650 |
| D0030 | El0066 | 1414 | 2 | NA | NA | 162.95 | off | 95 | 81.4 | 1900 | 202204170650 |
| D0030 | El0066 | 1415 | 2 | NA | NA | 168.94 | off | 95 | 82.8 | 1900 | 202204170650 |

## Operational results

### 2.1.12. Oceanographic moorings

Details of the recovered hydrographic instrumentation and the length of each data record retrieved are listed in Table 2.17. In general, the instruments performed well, providing, with few exceptions, data for the full deployment period.

Tab. 2.17: Recovered hydrographic instruments (34 in total), their condition and data record length

| Mooring | Instrument <br> Type | SN | Data record <br> length <br> [days] | Recorded <br> Full period <br> (Y/N) | Type of <br> failure | Physical <br> condition <br> from visual <br> inspection <br> at recovery |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $227-15$ | SBE37 | 12479 | 1166 | Y | - | Good |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 2098 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 2385 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 2382 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 2396 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 9492 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 9494 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 9495 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 9496 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 9497 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 12481 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |


| Mooring | Instrument Type | SN | Data record length [days] | Recorded Full period (Y/N) | Type of failure | Physical condition from visual inspection at recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229-14 | Aquadopp | 12654 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |
| 229-14 | Aquadopp | 12658 | 1168 | Y | - | Good |
| 231-13 | SBE37 | 10944 | 1175 | Y | - | Good |
| 245-5 | SBE37 | 8124 | 590 | N | Recording interrupted | Good |
| 248-3 | SBE37 | 8123 | 151 | N | Recording interrupted | Good |
| BGC-1 | SBE37 | 449 | 379 | Y | - | Good |
| BGC-1 | SBE37 | 2100 | 379 | Y | - | Good |
| BGC-1 | SBE56 | 6513 | 379 | Y | - | Good |
| BGC-1 | SBE56 | 7824 | 379 | Y | - | Good |
| BGC-1 | SBE56 | 7825 | 379 | Y | - | Good |
| 208-9 | SBE37 | 9841 | 1176 | Y | - | Good |
| 208-9 | SBE37 | 3812 | 0 | N | No data recorded | Good |
| 208-9 | Aquadopp | 12685 | 1177 | Y | - | Good |
| 207-11 | Aquadopp | 12745 | 1178 | Y | - | Good |
| 207-11 | SBE37 | 6928 | 1177 | Y | - | Good |
| 207-11 | SBE37 | 9847 | 1177 | Y | - | Good |
| 207-11 | SBE37 | 10934 | 1177 | Y | - | Good |
| 207-11 | SBE37 | 10937 | 1177 | Y | - | Good |
| 207-11 | SBE37 | 10943 | 1177 | Y | - | Good |
| 207-11 | SBE39 | 8641 | 1177 | Y | - | Good |
| 207-11 | SBE39 | 8642 | 1177 | Y | - | Good |
| 207-11 | SBE39 | 8643 | 1177 | Y | - | Good |
| 251-3 | SBE37 | 2096 | 1177 | Y | - | Good |

### 2.1.13. In-situ calibration of moored instruments

All Seabird $®$ instruments (SBE37ct, SBE37ctp, SBE39plus, and SBE56) were compared in situ with the 911 plus CTD system prior to mooring deployment or after recovery. Up to 15 units were attached at a time to the frame of the rosette water sampler. For the in situ calibration, the sampling interval was set to 10 seconds and programmed to begin sampling prior to the CTD reaching its maximum depth. The CTD/rosette was stopped at two different depths exhibiting low stratification for 5 minutes to obtain approximately 30 records for comparison with the CTD reading.

During PS129, the following modifications have been applied to the standard calibration process of moored instruments:

1. For the instruments without pressure sensor (SBE56, old SBE37):
a. Interpolation of CTD pressure by using timestamps as the reference between CTD and instruments.
b. Correction of the actual pressure effect on the conductivity, c, according to equation below as suggested by Povl Abrahamsen, BAS ${ }^{1}$.

|  | $c_{\text {corr }}=c_{\text {instr }} \cdot \frac{1+\left(\kappa_{T} \cdot T_{\text {inst }}\right)+\left(\kappa_{p} \cdot p_{\text {ref }}\right)}{1+\left(\kappa_{T} \cdot T_{\text {inst }}\right)+\left(\kappa_{p} \cdot p_{\text {interp }}\right)}$, with |
| :---: | :---: |
| $c_{\text {corr }}$ | the corrected conductivity; |
| $c_{\text {instr }}$ | the instrument's conductivity reading; |
| $\kappa_{T}$ | the correction coefficient for temperature effects on conductivity, $3.25 \cdot 10^{-6}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{-1}$; |
| $\kappa_{p}$ | the correction coefficient for pressure effects on conductivity, $-9.57 \cdot 10^{-8} \mathrm{dbar}^{-1}$; |
| $T_{\text {inst }}$ | the intruments temperature reading during the calibration period [ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$; |
| $p_{\text {ref }}$ | for SBE37ct (without pressure sensor), the reference perssure, eqalling 0 dbar (zero); |
| $p_{\text {interp }}$ | for SBE37ct (without pressure sensor), the interpolated pressure during the measument period [dbar]. |

This correction reduces the conductivity offsets between instrument and CTD by up to one order of magnitude (for example the offset of SN238 was reduced from 0.0819 to 0.0047 , and for SN239 from 0.0212 to 0.0090 ).
2. The CTD file bin-averaged every 1 second is now preferred over the CTD file binaveraged every 1 dbar to compute the offsets of the moored instruments as this allows reconstructing the pressures of moored instruments without pressure and also to obtain a more accurate (time) mean of the CTD values during the calibration stop. In contrast, the standard calibration process computed the offsets to the value of a selected CTD pressure level (bin-averaged by 1 dbar and thus time independent) instead. This was less accurate than using the mean of the actual CTD values measured during the 5 mins calibration stop. Both the CTD and the attached instruments are oscillating in depth during the calibration stop and thus, using the actual time dependent sampling points to compute their offsets is more advisable.
3. Correct for the cropping issue limited to 8 instruments. As several calibration casts were done with more than 8 instruments, this meant that the truncation of the values selected from the calibration stop would not be exactly the same for all the instruments (the cropping needed to be done more than once). This is solved by a modification in the main routine (guiSBEvsCTD.m) that allows for truncating the time series of up to 18 instruments at the same time.

Further modifications: Addition of the SBE56 instrument type, inclusion of the times of the CTD and attached instruments, calibration plots with time in the X-axis instead of sampling counts and including the actual CTD values and mean along the calibration stop.

[^0]Tab. 2.18: Comparison for Seabird sensors SBE37 moored during PS117. Columns CTD and PRES indicate the corresponding station number and the CTD pressure during the 5 -minute stop. Xcorr $=$ Xreading $+\Delta X$, with $X=$ temperature $(t)$, conductivity $(c)$ or pressure $(p)$

| Mooring | Type | SN | CTD | $\Delta p$ [dbar\} | $\Delta \mathrm{T}\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{c} \\ & {[\mathrm{mS} \mathrm{~cm}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{S} \\ & {[\mathrm{psu}]} \end{aligned}$ | pres <br> [dbar] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 227-16 | SBE37 | 1232 | none |  |  |  |  |  |
| 227-16 | SBE37 | 10933 | none |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229-15 | SBE37 | 238 | 018-07 | R | -0.0059 | 0.0047 | 0.0124 | 4680 |
| 229-15 | SBE37 | 10929 | 018-07 | -8.5 | 0.0017 | 0.0069 | 0.0105 | 4680 |
| 229-15 | SBE37 | 10930 | 018-07 | -7.4 | 0.0025 | 0.0063 | 0.0085 | 4680 |
| 229-15 | SBE37 | 10931 | 018-07 | -7.7 | 0.0012 | 0.0086 | 0.0129 | 4680 |
| 229-15 | SBE37 | 10932 | 018-07 | -7.3 | 0.0013 | 0.0039 | 0.0066 | 4680 |
| 231-14 | SBE37 | 239 | 018-07 | R | -0.0006 | 0.0090 | 0.0121 | 4680 |
| 231-14 | SBE37 | 9848 | 018-07 | -8.2 | 0.0020 | 0.0056 | 0.0084 | 4680 |
| 229-15 | SBE37 | 225 | 023-01 | R | 0.0013 | 0.0110 | 0.0125 | 5465 |
| 229-15 | SBE37 | 230 | 023-01 | R | -0.0041 | 0.0102 | 0.0174 | 5465 |
| EWS01-01 | SBE37 | 10928 | 023-01 | -9.1 | 0.0016 | 0.0055 | 0.0089 | 5465 |
| EWS01-01 | SBE37 | 10940 | 023-01 | -9.1 | 0.0021 | 0.0066 | 0.0096 | 5465 |
| EWS01-01 | SBE37 | 10941 | 023-01 | -9.1 | 0.0011 | 0.0065 | 0.0106 | 5465 |
| EWS01-01 | SBE37 | 10942 | 023-01 | -10.3 | 0.0015 | 0.0053 | 0.0091 | 5465 |
| EWS01-01 | SBE56 | 7826 | 040-02 | R | 0.0010 | - | - | 918 |
| EWS01-01 | SBE56 | 7827 | 040-02 | R | 0.0005 | - | - | 918 |
| EWS01-01 | SBE56 | 7828 | 040-02 | R | 0.0005 | - | - | 918 |
| EWS01-01 | SBE56 | 7829 | 040-02 | R | 0.0010 | - | - | 918 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE37 | 2088 | 023-01 | -9.3 | 0.0022 | 0.0018 | 0.0036 | 5465 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE37 | 9490 | 023-01 | -4.4 | 0.0011 | 0.0047 | 0.0065 | 5465 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE37 | 10946 | 023-01 | -9.0 | 0.0009 | 0.0042 | 0.0078 | 5465 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE37 | 10947 | 023-01 | -7.6 | 0.0012 | 0.0056 | 0.0087 | 5465 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE37 | 11420 | 023-01 | 1.0 | 0.0006 | 0.0066 | 0.0073 | 5465 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE56 | 7830 | 040-02 | R | 0.0008 | - | - | 918 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE56 | 7831 | 040-02 | R | 0.0013 | - | - | 918 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE56 | 7833 | 040-02 | R | 0.0009 | - | - | 918 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE56 | 6368 | 040-02 | R | -0.0002 | - | - | 918 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE56 | 6986 | 040-02 | R | -0.0048 | - | - | 918 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE56 | 6988 | 040-02 | R | 0.0106 | - | - | 918 |
| EWS02-01 | SBE56 | 6989 | 040-02 | R | -0.0048 | - | - | 918 |
| EWS03-01 | SBE37 | 224 | 023-01 | R | -0.0001 | 0.0118 | 0.0152 | 5465 |
| EWS03-01 | SBE37 | 3814 | 060-01 | 1.5 | 0.0008 | 0.0045 | 0.0041 | 1907 |
| 245-6 | SBE37 | 218 | 023-01 | R | -0.0014 | 0.0140 | 0.0193 | 5465 |
| 245-6 | SBE37 | 9838 | 023-01 | -6.2 | 0.0007 | 0.0133 | 0.0185 | 5465 |
| 249-4 | SBE37 | 9832 | 023-01 | -9.3 | 0.0005 | 0.0069 | 0.0119 | 5465 |
| 249-4 | SBE37 | 235 | 030-01 | R | -0.0008 | 0.0107 | 0.0145 | 5107 |
| 208-10 | SBE37 | 442 | 030-01 | R | 0.0037 | 0.0108 | 0.0098 | 5107 |
| 208-10 | SBE37 | 9487 | 030-01 | -5.1 | 0.0013 | 0.0068 | 0.0093 | 5107 |
| 209-09 | SBE37 | 440 | 030-01 | R | -0.0004 | 0.0096 | 0.0127 | 5107 |
| 209-09 | SBE37 | 9491 | 030-01 | -5.8 | 0.0018 | 0.0055 | 0.0074 | 5107 |


| Mooring | Type | SN | CTD | $\Delta \mathbf{p}$ <br> [dbar $\}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{T}\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right]$ | $\Delta \mathbf{c}$ <br> $\left[\mathbf{m S} \mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right]$ | $\Delta \mathbf{s}$ <br> $[\mathbf{p s u}]$ | pres <br> $[\mathbf{d b a r}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $208-10$ | SBE37 | 442 | $030-01$ | R | 0.0037 | 0.0108 | 0.0098 | 5107 |
| $208-10$ | SBE37 | 9487 | $030-01$ | -5.1019 | 0.0013 | 0.0068 | 0.0093 | 5107 |
| CWS01-01 | SBE37 | 233 | $064-02$ | $R$ | 0.0005 | 0.0124 | 0.0154 | 4819 |
| CWS01-01 | SBE37 | 2090 | $064-02$ | -4.7 | 0.0006 | 0.0066 | 0.0097 | 4819 |
| CWS01-01 | SBE37 | 2090 | $064-02$ | -4.7 | 0.0006 | 0.0066 | 0.0097 | 4819 |
| CWS02-01 | SBE37 | 444 | $064-02$ | -0.2 | -0.0041 | 0.0107 | 0.0181 | 4819 |
| CWS02-01 | SBE37 | 2101 | $064-02$ | -5.1 | 0.0009 | 0.0059 | 0.0086 | 4819 |
| WWS02-01 | SBE37 | 9488 | $064-02$ | -5.8 | 0.0013 | 0.0058 | 0.0085 | 4819 |
| WWS02-01 | SBE37 | 232 | $064-02$ | -0.2453 | -0.0011 | 0.0112 | 0.0157 | 4819 |
| $257-3$ | SBE37 | 435 | $080-02$ | 0.0 | -0.0090 | 0.0106 | 0.0232 | 4924 |
| $257-3$ | SBE37 | 7690 | $080-02$ | 0.8 | 0.0018 | 0.0080 | 0.0079 | 4924 |
| $207-12$ | SBE37 | 2089 | $064-02$ | 1.3 | 0.0012 | 0.0046 | 0.0040 | 4819 |
| $207-12$ | SBE37 | 11421 | $064-02$ | 0.4 | 0.0018 | 0.0066 | 0.0064 | 4819 |
| $207-12$ | SBE37 | 2094 | $064-02$ | -8.7 | 0.0016 | 0.0095 | 0.0140 | 4819 |
| $207-12$ | SBE37 | 2234 | $064-02$ | -1.5 | 0.0015 | 0.0089 | 0.0103 | 4819 |
| $207-12$ | SBE37 | 2099 | $064-02$ | -4.2 | 0.0000 | 0.0033 | 0.0059 | 4819 |
| $207-12$ | SBE39 | 7860 | $080-02$ | -6.4 | 0.0014 | - | - | 4924 |
| $207-12$ | SBE39 | 7861 | $080-02$ | 2.7 | 0.0012 | - | - | 4924 |
| $207-12$ | SBE39 | 7862 | $080-02$ | -6.0 | 0.0014 | - | - | 4924 |
| $261-02$ | SBE37 | 9840 | $080-02$ | -6.2 | 0.0009 | 0.0057 | 0.0089 | 4924 |
| $261-02$ | SBE37 | 2092 | $080-02$ | -4.8 | 0.0021 | 0.0052 | 0.0064 | 4924 |
| $261-02$ | SBE37 | 2093 | $080-02$ | -5.8 | 0.0016 | 0.0062 | 0.0086 | 4924 |
| $261-02$ | SBE37 | 9834 | $080-02$ | -6.7 | 0.0016 | 0.0051 | 0.0076 | 4924 |
| $261-02$ | SBE37 | 12478 | $080-02$ | -8.3 | 0.0011 | 0.0011 | 0.0036 | 4924 |
| $261-02$ | SBE56 | 6990 | $040-02$ | - | 0.0012 | - | - | 918 |
| $261-02$ | SBE56 | 6991 | $040-02$ | - | 0.0011 | - | - | 918 |
| $261-02$ | SBE56 | 7068 | $040-02$ | - | 0.0039 | - | - | 918 |
| $261-02$ | SBE56 | 7069 | $040-02$ | - | 0.0008 | - | - | 918 |
| $251-04$ | SBE37 | 2395 | $060-01$ | 1.6 | 0.0018 | 0.0038 | 0.0022 | 1907 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

R: Pressure reconstructed from the CTD pressure

Tab. 2.19: Offsets for Seabird sensors SBE37 and SBE39 recovered during PS129. Their temperature and conductivity data were adjusted based on a linear interpolation between pre(dt1, dc1) and post recovery (dt2, dc2 dp2) offsets. For pressure the post recovery offset was applied as a constant offset. Xcorr $=$ Xreading $+\Delta X$, with $X$ representing temperature $(T)$, conductivity(c) or pressure (p)

| MOORING | Type | $\mathbf{S N}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{T 1}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathbf{c} 1$ <br> $(\mathbf{m S} / \mathbf{c m})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{p 1}$ <br> $(\mathbf{d b a r})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{t 2}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathbf{c 2}$ <br> $(\mathbf{m S} / \mathbf{c m})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{p 2}$ <br> $(\mathbf{d b a r})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $227-15$ | SBE37 | 12479 | 0.0012 | 0.0079 | -4.5 | 0.0012 | 0.0060 | -4.4 |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 2098 | 0.0011 | 0.0034 | -4.4 | 0.0006 | -0.0002 | -7.5 |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 2396 | 0.0016 | 0.0109 | - | 0.0019 | 0.0059 | -0.02 |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 9492 | -0.0001 | 0.0017 | -3.4 | 0.0001 | 0.0071 | -7.0 |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 9494 | 0.0006 | 0.0010 | -3.3 | 0.0011 | 0.0039 | -7.4 |


| MOORING | Type | $\mathbf{S N}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{T} 1$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathbf{c} 1$ <br> $(\mathbf{m S} / \mathbf{c m})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{p} 1$ <br> $(\mathbf{d b a r})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{t 2}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathbf{c 2}$ <br> $(\mathbf{m S} / \mathbf{c m})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{p} 2$ <br> $(\mathbf{d b a r})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 9495 | 0.0009 | 0.0038 | -1.0 | 0.0011 | 0.0057 | -6.0 |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 9496 | 0.0008 | 0.0038 | -3.7 | 0.0010 | 0.0126 | -8.1 |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 9497 | 0.0008 | 0.0044 | -4.4 | 0.0012 | 0.0048 | -9.1 |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 12481 | 0.0012 | 0.0044 | -3.4 | 0.0012 | -0.0035 | -7.9 |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 2385 | 0.0003 | 0.0208 | - | 0.0005 | 0.0044 | - |
| $229-14$ | SBE37 | 2382 | 0.0022 | 0.0220 | - | 0.0019 | 0.0046 | - |
| $231-13$ | SBE37 | 10944 | 0.0003 | 0.0009 | -5.7 | 0.0003 | -0.0001 | -10.8 |
| $245-5$ | SBE37 | 8124 | 0.0011 | 0.0048 | -1.6 | 0.0014 | 0.0038 | -5.2 |
| $248-3$ | SBE37 | 8123 | 0.0014 | 0.0029 | 0.6 | 0.0019 | 0.0031 | -2.8 |
| BGC-1 | SBE37 | 449 | - | - | - | -0.0050 | 0.0081 | $R$ |
| BGC-1 | SBE37 | 2100 | - | - | - | 0.0013 | 0.0072 | -8.4 |
| BGC-1 | SBE56 | 6513 | - | - | - | 0.0750 | - | $R$ |
| BGC-1 | SBE56 | 7824 | - | - | - | 2.3101 | - | $R$ |
| BGC-1 | SBE56 | 7825 | - | - | - | 0.4178 | - | $R$ |
| $208-9$ | SBE37 | 9841 | 0.0014 | 0.0010 | -4.7 | 0.0020 | -0.0014 | -5.1 |
| $208-9$ | SBE37 | 3812 | 0.0013 | 0.0060 | 3.3 | no data | no data | no data |
| $207-11$ | SBE37 | 6928 | 0.0011 | 0.0110 | - | 0.0036 | 0.0075 | - |
| $207-11$ | SBE37 | 9847 | 0.0017 | 0.0041 | -1.3 | 0.0032 | -0.0755 | -0.7 |
| $207-11$ | SBE37 | 10934 | 0.0007 | 0.0054 | -4.3 | 0.0031 | 0.0039 | -1.5 |
| $207-11$ | SBE37 | 10937 | 0.0012 | 0.0096 | -2.6 | 0.0027 | 0.0040 | -2.4 |
| $207-11$ | SBE37 | 10943 | 0.0018 | 0.0035 | -6.1 | 0.0025 | 0.0008 | -3.0 |
| $207-11$ | SBE39 | 8641 | 0.0016 | - | -5.4 | -0.0018 | - | -2.1 |
| $207-11$ | SBE39 | 8642 | 0.0012 | - | -7.4 | -0.0051 | - | -2.3 |
| $207-11$ | SBE39 | 8643 | 0.0008 | - | -7.2 | -0.0045 | - | -2.8 |
| $251-3$ | SBE37 | 2096 | 0.0008 | 0.0057 | -4.3 | -0.0036 | 0.0168 | 0.4 |

2.1.14. Salinity calibrations by salinometer

On board comparisons between salinometer-based salinity measurements of water samples and concurrent in situ CTD data exhibited conspicuously large deviations for both conductivity sensors for some measurements between 10 and 17 April 2022, both with regard to time as well as pressure (Fig. 2.6).


Fig. 2.6: Deviation in salinity between OPS measurements and in situ CTD measurements versus date (top) and pressure (bottom) for the primary (blue) and secondary (red) sensors (all samples). Conductivity sensor \#1 = SBE4c \#3590; Conductivity sensor 2 = SBE4c \#3173

Concurrent spreads like these point towards issues with the sampled water rather than the sensors, which is why we excluded theses measurements from the preliminary onboard evaluation.

After the failure of the OPS-006 on 3 April 2022, measurements continued and 5 further samples were measured. Those 5 samples show a systematic offset with regard to all other samples and are thus excluded from the calculation of offsets applied of the CTD data. Furthermore, 9 samples showed exceedingly large deviations $>0.008$, whereas the average deviation was 0.001 . The reason for the larger deviation is unknow but contamination during sampling is the most likely cause. Those 9 samples were also not taken into account for correcting the CTD sensor data.


Fig. 2.7: Deviation in salinity between OPS measurements and in-situ CTD measurements versus date (top) and versus pressure (bottom) for primary and secondary sensor after a first quality check and removal of erroneous OPS measurements

Analysis of the prevailing data (Fig. 2.7) reveals that sensor \#1 (blue) exhibits a slightly larger temporal drift as well as a larger pressure dependency compared to sensor \#2 (red). Thus, sensor \#2 will likely be chosen as the better performing one and the data of channel 2 will likely be used for the final data set. After further control for suspicious OPS measurements the final calibration of the CTD data will be performed on the basis of the remaining salinometer measurements together with the post-cruise manufacturer calibration of the sensors. Sensors will be sent to SeaBird for post-expedition calibration, which will be applied to data prior to publication of the final data in PANGAEA.

### 2.1.15. CTD-mounted ADCP (L-ADCP)

Overall, the obtained information resembled the scientific assumptions and agreed well with vessel mounted ADCP data. Most of the time, the error of the velocities was on the order of 5 to $10 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Thus, data should be handled with care and post-processing is required. Table 2.20 summarizes which casts suffered from one or more warnings:

- large compass differences ( $>15^{\circ}$ ), due to the high latitude of the study area
- the routine does not only perform the velocity inversion, but calculates a solution based on the shear method as well. If both disagree substantially, the error estimate is larger.

Reprocessing the data with a different setting may change these problems.

Tab. 2.20: List of common problems of L-ADCP casts by station number
For further information see the end of the Chapter.

### 2.1.16. RAFOS source tuning

Figure 2.8 gives an overview of each source's frequency response curve for runs 1 through 4, (unconventionally) from right to left. Each row represents a specific RAFOS sound source. The graphs plot source levels (estimated using a $20 \cdot \log _{10}(r)$ propagation loss from the received levels, with $r$ the distance source to hydrophone, given in colored labels at the top right of each plot) versus the tone's momentary frequency. Using about 40 m hydrophone source distance ( $7 \cdot \lambda$ ) resulted, with one exception (D0048, first run), in rather inconsistent measurements between hydrophones (spaced by 1.4 m , i.e., about $\lambda / 4$ of the 260 Hz wave ( $\lambda=5.8 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Changing the distance about 80 m , and mechanically fixing the source's axis at a right angle towards the hydrophones provided more consistent results. Final source level measurements, when transmitting a true RAFOS sweep and resonance coil on, varied between 170 and 180 dB re. $1 \mu \mathrm{~Pa}$ with one noteworthy outlier just below 190 dB re $1 \mu \mathrm{~Pa}$.


Fig. 2.8: Resonance curves of develogic RAFOS sources sorted by sound source (rows) and tube length (columns), becoming progressively shorter from right to left. Colored curves indicate the sound pressure level as received by the three hydrophones; 1413 = blue, $1414=$ red, 1415 = green. Distances between hydrophones and source are listed in each plots'legend.

### 2.1.17. Use of MiniROV vLBV300 (Fiona) for mooring recovery

Experience showed, that, on occasion, acoustic releases fail to open the clutch to the anchor chain when acoustically commanded to do so. The reasons for this are manifold, including, e.g., electronic failure of the releases' electronics, low batteries or a mechanical jamming of the clutch by biofouling or anode residues. The risk of such failures increases with time. To nevertheless be able to recover such moorings, the Seabotix vLBV300 (vectorized Little Benthic Vehicle) ROV "Fiona" had been acquired and successfully deployed on Polarstern expeditions PS103 and PS117.

Learning from the experiences made during these expeditions, we moved the spool holding the recovery rope from underneath the ROV (which caused excessive pitching during high ROV speeds during PS117) to behind where it creates less drag when moving forward at
increased speeds while beating a current. Additionally, moorings now feature acoustic Posidonia transponders in the 200 to 300 m depth range, such that the mooring location is more precisely known during the search phase with the ROV's sonar and video.

During PS129, two occasions offered themselves for deployment of Fiona when moorings were positioned underneath a sea-ice cover of nearly $100 \%$. However, temporal constraints resulting from the speed constraints imposed on this expedition, in the end prohibited the use of Fiona. Fiona had nevertheless been set up proactively, resulting in some additional experience with the IT network setup, which is described below.

## Amendment to notes in expedition report of PS117 regarding communication setup

Please refer to the PS117 expedition report for a detailed starter on how to set up communication between the navigational computer (the Integrated Navigation Control Console, INC or an external laptop). This paragraph builds on that description and provides additional information only.

The navigational software SeaNetPro requires the following navigation data to be provided as serial input:

- the ship's position
- Fiona's position via GAPS
- the mooring position via Posidonia, possibly 2 units (release and transponder).

The ship's georeferenced position is continuously being tracked by the ship's navigational system. The ship's server continuously sends NMEA datagrams:
\$GPGGA,155901,5837.290,S,05946.345,W,2,9,1,48.6,M,19.8,M,,,,, ${ }^{*} 55$
\$GPHDT,319.8,T*36
\$PSRPS,-1.149,-0.738,8.03*6A

The \$GPGGA telegram provides position.
The \$PGHDT telegram provides the heading
The \$PSRPS telegram provides roll and pitch, though it is unclear how SeaNetPro makes use of this information.

The UDP broadcast for ship position and heading is custom telegram set up by the sysman, containing the datagrams GPHDT and GPGGA.

Fiona's location relative to the GAPS head is continuously being tracked with the GAPS short baseline navigational system. Fiona bears an Applied Acoustic GAPS compatible Mini-beacon (transponder) which responds by sending a ping upon reception of an interrogation ping, while shipside the GAPS antenna is being deployed through the moonpool. GAPS sends HPR400 datagrams, like
\$PSIMSSB,231407.73,B01,A,,C,H,M,29.65,-65.86,1593.59,1.83,T,1.055685,0.00*66
C indicates the use of cartesian coordinates, and H the Vessel being heads up (bow = north) with the first (bold) value the Starboard distance, and the second (bold) value the Forwards distance.

The mooring's absolute location is continuously being tracked with the POSIDONIA short baseline navigational system by sending pings to (both) acoustic release (near the bottom) and acoustic transponder (at 200-300 m depth). POSIDONIA sends \$PTSAG datagrams, like

```
\$PTSAG,\#755615,230823.957,22,04,2022,0,6328.66281,S,05136.85417,W,F,0011.70,1,9999.00*12
```

\$PTSAG,\#755625,230823.424,22,04,2022,1,6328.61924,S,05136.85302,W,F,1750.71,1,9999.00*1B
with ID $=0$ usually referring to the ship-borne Posidonia head (embedded in the hull) and ID = 1 or ID $=2$ to the respective (moored) transponder. The ship's and Posidonia ID $=0$ positions should move in parallel, separated by the offset between the Posidonia Window and the ships inertial navigation systems position.

This information is provided via datagrams sent by Ethernet to the navigational computer (INC or Laptop) with SeaNetPro ingesting datagrams sent to the respective ethernet port's IP-Address via virtual com ports. Because the Ethernet port is being recognized by the INCPC as an Ethernet connection, software is required to feed the incoming datagrams to the SeaNetPro software. For this, the emulation (by the programme com0com2) of serial ports is required, as well as a programme (udp2serial) that redirects the input from the Network ports to the emulated serial ports (Fig. 2.9).


Fig. 2.9: Flow chart of navigational information

During PS117, a UDP broadcast to either the INC or the separate navigation PC was employed. However, during PS129 we could not find a USB-Ethernet adapter that worked with the INC. Hence, we relied on the navigational Laptop only (with com0com ${ }^{2}$ and SeaNetPro installed there). In com0com three port pairs were established (Fig. 2. 9):

[^1]- Ship GPS position: COMZ $\Leftrightarrow$ COM31
- GAPS (ROV) position: COMY $\Leftrightarrow$ COM33
- Posidonia (mooring) position: COMW $\Leftrightarrow$ COM35

On SeaNet Pro side, the setup was (Utilities $\rightarrow$ COM Setup):

- GPS
- NAV Beacon B16
- NAV Beacon B17

COM31 Baud rate 9600
COM33 Baud rate 9600
COM35 Baud rate 9600

To establish the routing from Ethernet to the emulated COM ports, a C\# programme (udp2serial. exe, written during PS117) binds itself to the given UDP (User Datagram Protocol${ }^{3}$ ) port and forwards anything to the respective serial port. The source code can be found in the PS117 expedition report. For every port pair given above, a new process of the udp2serial.exe programme has to be started, i.e., three in total. This programme can be started via command line (e.g., "udp2serial.exe 7778 COMZ") or interactively when only issuing udp2serial.exe in a command window.

The UDP setup was as follows:

- $\quad$ Ships UDP port 7778 (navigation PC)
- GAPS UDP port 4003
- Posidonia UDP port 8010

It is recommended to request the "sysman" (computer network operator) to reset the network buffer on your IP for GAPS and Posidonia. This is to make sure that there is no delay in the datagrams received to the current state.

## Problems and diagnostics

Network problems: On PS117, datagram problems existed when using the ships position and heading broadcast to UDP ports 7777 to two different PC's (INC and navigation PC). The problem may be overcome by using port 7777 on the INC and port 7778 on the navigation PC.

To check if anything is received on the INC or navigational PC, the software NetCat can be used. In case of the ships position and heading in our setup, the following call has been used from a console window: ncat.exe -ul 7778. The programme ncat.exe is provided with Fiona's documentation. Now all UDP packets that are received on this port are being printed to the terminal.

[^2]Tab. 2.21: GAPS HIPAP PPR400 protocol (MU Posidonia AN-001-1 - November 2019)

## OIX

G. 9 HIPAP HPR 400

| Field | Name | Kongsberg Explanation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | Start Character |  | \$ |
| PSIMSSB | Address | Prop. Simrad address for SSBL | PSMSSB |
| ,hhmmss.ss | Time | Empty or Time of reception |  |
| ,CC | Tp_code | Example: B01, B33, B47 | \%03d |
| ,A | Status | A for OK and V for not OK | A/V |
| ,cc | Error_code | Empty or a three_character error code | ExD/ExM |
| ,a | Coordinate_system | C for Cartesian, P for Polar, U for UTM coordinates | C |
| ,a | Orientation | H for Vessel head up, N for North, E for East | N |
| ,a | SW_filter | M means Measured, F Filtered, P Predicted | M |
| , $\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{x}$ | X_coordinate | See table below | Northing |
| ,x.x | Y_coordinate | See table below | Easting |
| , x.x | Depth | Depth in meters | depth |
| , x.x | Expected_accuracy | The expected accuracy of the position | Sqrt(Tx2+ty2) |
| ,a | Additional_info | N for None, C Compass, I inclimeter, D Depth, T Time |  |
| , $\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{x}$ | First_add_value | Empty, Tp compass or Tp x inclination |  |
| , x. X | Second_add_value | Empty or Tp y inclination |  |
| *hh | Checksum | Empty or Checksum | *ck |
| CRLF | Termination |  | CRLF |

Example: \$PSIMSSB_B01,A,P,H,M,111.80,63.43,48.50,0.00,N,,*5E

|  | PSIMSSB fields |  | PSIMSSB coordinates of TP |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CO-ORD | Coord. system | Orientation | X_coordinate | Y_coordinate |
| Polar | P | H | Horizontal range | Bearing in ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| Cartesian X/Y | C | H | Starboard | Forwards |
| Cartesian N/E | C | N | North | East |
| Cartesian E/N | C | E | East | North |
| UTM N/E | U | N | Northings | Eastings |
| UTM E/N | U | E | Eastings | Northings |

## Preliminary results

### 2.1.18. CTD measurements

Extending our long-term ocean-bottom temperature time series by another 3 years, we reoccupied the $61^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ position for the 16 th time, now having 30 years of observations (1992-2022). The temperature profile shows the near-linear continuation of the warming in the aged Weddell Sea Bottom Water (WSBW, Fig. 2.10). In the bottom layer of the WSBW, below a depth of $5,300 \mathrm{~m}$, the temperature increased from $-0.8435^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (1992) to $-0.7759^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during PS129, which corresponds to an increase of $0.0225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per decade.


Fig. 2.10: Potential temperature record from past and current CTD casts at nominally $61^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. Error bars indicate the measurement accuracy of the temperature sensor (final calibration pending).

Being confronted with significant losses of station time, the decision was taken that the completion of the Weddell Sea hydrographic section SR4 would be given highest priority. While the station spacing had to be stretched somewhat in the open ocean region (Fig. 2.11) a shelf-to-shelf hydrographic section was acquired nevertheless.


Fig. 2.11: CTD section along SR4 during PS129. In deep waters, station spacing is nominally 60 nmi, while the western outflow region is sampled at a significantly higher rate.

Towards the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula, 20 CTD casts were taken at enhanced horizontal resolution between $46^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ (Fig. 2.3), repeating a section being occupied there since 1998, resulting in 34 years of coverage.

The surface layer exhibits Antarctic Surface Water offshore and Shelf Water onshore (Fig. 2.11 and Fig. 2.12). Below that, the Warm Deep Water (WDW, with potential temperature $>0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) is centered around $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ depth. It is fed from the ACC, entering the Weddell Sea upstream of the Prime Meridian. The Weddell Sea Deep Water (potential temperature between $0^{\circ}$ and $-0.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) is located below the WDW. The thin sliver of bottom water colder than $-0.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 2.12) at the continental slope is indicative of newly formed Weddell Sea Bottom Water flowing northward along the slope.


Fig. 2.12: Section of potential temperature and salinity at the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula

### 2.1.19. Velocity profiles by L-ADCP

Throughout the expedition, L-ADCP measurements were preliminarily analyzed. The SR4 section, with reduced station spacing up the continental slope east of the Antarctic Peninsula confirmed the expected flow patterns (Fig. 2.13). The L-ADCP measurements near the Antarctic Peninsula reveal that the velocity fronts near the $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ isobath are barotropic (Fig. 2.14) while those farther offshore exhibit a strong baroclinicity. However, these preliminary plots have not been corrected for tidal contributions; they only serve to demonstrate data availability.


Fig. 2.13: Sections of zonal (top) and meridional (bottom) velocities measured by the L-ADCP along the complete SR4 hydrographic section


Fig. 2.14: Sections of zonal (top) and meridional (bottom) velocities measured by the L-ADCP along the SR4 hydrographic section off the Antarctic Peninsula (zoom-in of Fig. 2.13)

### 2.1.20. Thermosalinograph

The thermosalinograph captured the expected hydrographic features (Fig. 2.15). Warm, saline waters reflecting the Agulhas influence near Cape town, a strong reduction in salinity and temperature when crossing the Subtropical Front (characterized by the $10^{\circ}$-isotherm intersecting the sea surface) in the second half of 7 March 2022 and the dominance of subzero waters in the southern Weddell Sea. There are two specific observations to be mentioned: 1) the frontal crossing in the first half of 20 March 2022 (with float PS129_01 launched just north of it, and PS129_02 and PS129_03 south of it) at the location of the continental shelf break front; 2) the two instances of increased temperatures on 4-6 April and 13-16 April 2022, when the ship operated to the north of the sea-ice edge.


Fig. 2.15: Time series of temperature and salinity as measured by thermosalinograph from sea water continuously drawn at about 11 m depth

## Data management

Data from moored oceanographic instrumentation will be uploaded into World Data Center PANGAEA Data Publisher for Earth \& Environmental Science (https://www.pangaea.de) after final processing, calibration and quality control. It will be publicly available by latest April 2024. By default, the CC-BY license will be applied.

All data (CTD-, OBOFS-, sADCP- and L-ADCP data) will be uploaded into PANGAEA after final processing, calibration and quality control. It will be publicly available by latest April 2024. P.I.: Sandra Tippenhauer

Float data is available in quasi real time through https://www.ocean-ops.org/board/?t=argo, or https://fleetmonitoring.euro-argo.eu/dashboard?Status=Active. Delayed mode data will be made available through the respective data centres.

This expedition was supported by the Helmholtz Research Programme "Changing Earth Sustaining our Future": Topic 2, Subtopic 1; Topic 6, Subtopic 3.

In publications based on this expedition, the Grant No. AWI_PS129_01 will be quoted and the following publication will be cited:
Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung (2017) Polar Research and Supply Vessel POLARSTERN Operated by the Alfred-Wegener-Institute. Journal of large-scale research facilities, 3, A119. http://dx.doi.org/10.17815/jlsrf-3-163.
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### 2.2 Ocean Acoustics
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## Objectives

The restricted accessibility of the Southern Ocean throughout most of the year confines our knowledge of the distribution patterns, habitat use and behaviour of marine mammals in this area. Most of the Antarctic marine mammals produce species-specific vocalizations during a variety of behavioral contexts. Hence, passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) offers a valuable tool for research on these species, capable of covering large temporal and spatial scales. Particularly, in remote areas such as the Southern Ocean, moored PAM recorders are the tool of choice, as data can be collected year-round, under poor weather conditions, during darkness and in areas with dense ice cover.

The HAFOS observing system, a large-scale oceanographic mooring array distributed throughout the Weddell Sea, serves as host to numerous passive acoustic recorders which were recovered, refurbished and redeployed during PS129 to continue the long-term collection of passive acoustic data in this area. The basin-wide design of the HAFOS observatory and the multi-year scale of data collection enables unprecedented investigations of the spatio-temporal patterns in marine mammal biodiversity at the different mooring locations. The HAFOS array set-up and design also allows collecting information on the detection range of the various marine mammal sounds. Information on the distance over which marine mammal sounds can be detected by passive acoustic sensors is of vital importance when acoustic presence data are linked to information on environmental parameters in the context of studies of speciesspecific habitat usage.

## Work at sea

### 2.2.1 Recovery of moored acoustic recorders

In total, 10 passive acoustic recorders moored at 9 different locations were recovered during PS129. Due to temporal limitations resulting from the ship-speed constraints imposed on this expedition, calling at the southwesternmost PAM equipped mooring AWI250-3 was not attempted. Recovery attempts of PAM equipped moorings AWI249-3 and AWI257-2, albeit being on-site, were abandoned after a short while as recoveries under the prevailing quasicontinuous sea-ice conditions would have required an estimated 6-12 hours of shiptime, which we did not have at our disposition. These mooring recoveries were postponed to the next expedition which hopefully will suffer from less severe time constraints.

The recovered recorders comprised 9 SonoVaults (manufactured by Develogic GmbH, Hamburg) and one AURAL (manufactured by MultiElectronique). Nine of these recorders had been deployed during Polarstern expedition PS117, while one recorder (SV1024) had been deployed one year prior to PS129 in mooring BGC-1 during Polarstern expedition PS124. An overview of the recovery information of all recovered acoustic recorders is provided in Table 2.22 and Figure 2.16, while deployment positions of the recorders are marked in Figure 2.18.

Tab. 2.22: Overview of SonoVault and AURAL recorders recovered during PS129. All SV recorders

For further information see the end of the Chapter.


Fig. 2.16: Map of positions of PAM recorders recovered during PS129 (red triangles pointing up) and of moorings with recorders not retrieved (red dots)

After recovery, the acoustic recorders were rinsed with freshwater and cleaned from biological fouling. States of recovered recorders were queried (if possible) by connecting a laptop through a serial connection and using the software 'Develogic Device Control' (Ver 1.0.4.26525, provided by the instrument manufacturer) for the SonoVault recorders and a software provided by Multi-Electronique for the AURAL. The recorders were then left to dry overnight to prevent damage to the electronics from water that was retained in the threading of the recorder's housing. SV1002 and AU0085 from AWI251-03 were opened on the same day due to the lack of time towards the end of the expedition. The area around the openings with the sealings were carefully dried with compressed air and tissues before opening. After opening the recorder housing, the internal power supply was disconnected and its remaining voltage measured. In case of the SonoVaults, all SD cards, which had been labeled prior to deployment with the recorder's serial number, the recording module number and the SD card-slot, were removed and backed up (see below).

Each recovered recorder was calibrated post-recovery to allow calculations of received sound levels. For the calibration of the complete system, including the hydrophone, a Brüel \& Kjaer calibrator (Type 4229) with the custom-made adapter (SV.PA manufactured by Develogic) for the TC 4037 hydrophones was used. The calibration frequency is $251.2 \mathrm{~Hz} \pm 0.1 \%$ (ISO 266 ) and the amplitude (at 1013 hPa ) is 153.95 dB SPL. Additionally, a gain calibration of the electronic board was performed by connecting a frequency generator (MR Pro, NTI) to the hydrophone input on the electronic board. The generator was set to a sinus of 5 mV amplitude (rms) and the frequencies $100 \mathrm{~Hz}, 250 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{kHz}$ and 10 kHz . For all calibration recordings, the recorder was set to the deployment sampling rate and gain setting to record one file of 5 -minute length. All recordings were stored, and signal levels and system gain were calculated. All hydrophones mounted on the recovered SonoVaults were checked individually with an oscilloscope. The B\&K pistonphone was used to generate a calibrated signal. Approximately $10 \mathrm{mV}_{\mathrm{rms}}$ is expected for the differential hydrophone output. This value, combined with the
qualitative check of the symmetry of the positive and negative outputs, was used as an indicator for the hydrophone's state.
The hard disk with the acoustic data from the AURAL was removed from the instrument. A calibration of this instrument has not yet been performed.

## Data retrieval and backup

Five of the nine recovered passive acoustic devices deployed on PS117 recorded for periods ranging between 450 days and 565 days (Fig. 2.17). In 3 recorders (SV1006, SV1060, SV1020), recordings stopped early due to a firmware or electronics error. One recorder (SV1060) had burned internally, presumingly due to a battery failure caused by a deep discharge of one of the lithium cells. The recorder deployed during PS124 reached a recording period of 356 days, having been set to sample at 48 kHz continuously for one year. A total of approximately 28 TB and $>3,900$ days of passive acoustic data were obtained. Further details are discussed in the section "Preliminary technical results".


Fig. 2.17: Recording months of passive acoustic recorders retrieved during PS129. Note: granularity equals one month, not days

The SonoVault recorders store data on 35 SD cards (allowing a maximum of 4.4 TB of data storage per recorder for recorders deployed during PS117). After recovery, the SD cards were removed from the recorders and the acoustic data were copied using a custom-written shell script. Up to 5 SD cards were copied simultaneously, with data initially saved with original filenames sorted into monthly and daily folders to one HDD (10 TB WD red) drive. The backup process included the renaming of files based on each files' internal time stamp (WAVheader) to the file name format 'YYYYMMDD-HHMMSS_AWIXXX-ZZ_SVXXXX.wav' (with X representing the IDs of mooring and SonoVault recorder, respectively and $Z$ indicating the consecutive numbering of this mooring, i.e., the number of the current servicing cycle at a respective mooring). After copying was completed, the data were synchronized with a second HDD (10 TB WD red) for backup and copied temporarily to a third external HDD used for the preliminary analysis on board. SD cards from the burnt (SV1060) instrument were treated to prevent further corrosion. They first were rinsed from residues with milli-Q water, wiped dry and left drying over night. In a second step, contacts were cleaned using contact spray for electronics. In a last step the contacts were cleaned using a fiberglass pen. As a result, data from 5 SD cards could be saved. Another two SD cards will have to be sent to a laboratory specializing on data recovery from the embedded microchips.

### 2.2.1 Deployment of moored acoustic recorders

A total of 15 SonoVault recorders were deployed in 15 moorings during PS129 (Fig. 2.18). These recorders are equipped with electronic version V4.1. All new recorders use the firmware
version V4.14. Prior to this expedition, all SonoVault recorders were refurbished by the manufacturer and overpressure valves were installed in all instruments, except for SV1009 and SV1023. The refurbishment included the exchange of O-rings, a pressure test, the exchange of the RTC-clock batteries on the electronics, as well as the test of hydrophones and recording electronics. At the AWI facilities, recorders were equipped with batteries (Tadiran SL-2780) prior to shipping. O-rings were carefully checked, cleaned and greased before closing the housing prior to deployment.

At three moorings (AWI251-04, CWS01-01, WWS02-01), an AURAL recorder (MultiElectronique, Canada) was deployed alongside a SonoVault recorder for extended recording duration (albeit at a subsampling scheme).


Fig. 2.18: Map of SonoVault (red triangles) and Aural (additional white dot in center of triangle) deployment positions during PS129. A total of 15 SonoVaults and 3 Aural recorders were moored.

Recorders were calibrated prior to deployment in the same manner as the post-recovery calibrations described above, using the Brüel \& Kjaer calibrator (Type 4229) and the NTI frequency generator (MR Pro). All hydrophones were checked analog to the recovery check during the preparation for deployment. Three SonoVaults were equipped with new hydrophones of the type D60 (Neptune Sonar). These hydrophones have a slightly lower sensitivity ( -195.5 dB $\mathrm{re} 1 \mathrm{~V} / \mu \mathrm{Pa}$ ) than the standard TC4037-3 (RESON) (-193 dB re1V/ $\mu \mathrm{Pa}$ ).

Prior to the deployment, newly formatted SD cards were placed into the SD card slots on each recording module. 12 recorders of the type SonoVault now contain 33 SD cards with a capacity of 128 GB each (ATP Industrial Grade SD Cards) and two additional 256 GB (acon Industrial Grade SD Cards), resulting in a total storage capacity of 4.6 TB per recorder, while the three remaining SonoVault recorders use $5 \times 7=35$ SD cards with 128 GB (ATP Industrial Grade SD Cards) resulting in 4.4 TB storage capacity. All SD cards were formatted to FAT32 using the freeware tool 'SDXCformatterFAT32'. On each first SD card (S0) of the first of five recording modules (M0-M4), the recording configuration (e.g., gain setting, sample rate) was stored. Additionally, the module number was copied onto S0 of every recording module to make the set of seven SD cards of this module available for storage.

All SonoVaults were programmed to record at a sampling rate of 48 kHz with 24 bit and to store data in files of 600 seconds duration (Tab. 2.23). A quasi one-day-on/1-day-off scheduling (subsampling scheme) was set with recordings starting at 11:30 every second day to record for 25 hours, then stop for 23 hours. Internal data storage was structured to store data in daily folders. Gain was set to level 7 which in this hardware/firmware release corresponds to
about 41-45 dB in all deployed recorders (Tab. 2.23). Every instrument was first tested with its operational setting and then started at least one day prior to the deployment.

AURAL recorders AU0231 and AU0086, model number AURAL-M2, were equipped with a PATA-SATA adapter and a 1 TB hard disc. The scheduling was set to $10-\mathrm{min}$-long recordings every full hour, starting at 31 December 2022 at 12:00. The internal jumpers were set to a gain of 22 dB . The AURALs were tested for a couple of hours prior to the deployment with a different scheduling ( 5 minutes every 15 minutes).

AURAL recorder AU0303 at AWI251-04 is the latest AURAL model AURAL-M3. Data is stored on 5 micro-SD-cards and settings are made using a WIFI connection and a browserbased GUI. It was set to record in parallel to the SonoVault with a sampling frequency of $32 \mathrm{kHz}, 10$ minutes every hour. The new system is expected to use less power and to run for up to 900 days with the current settings. During instrument preparation, its hydrophone behaved erratically, with the AURAL not recording any hydrophone signals. During the last tests, however, this problem did not occur anymore and the instrument was deployed with this hydrophone. We attempted to calibrate the AURAL with its HTI-96-min hydrophone using an adapter for the B\&K Pistonphone. However, the system was oversaturated by the signal due to the set gain of 22 dB . Nevertheless, a calibration with the frequency generator was performed, analysis pending.

All recorders were attached to the mooring rope by means of two plastic brackets mounted at two positions around the housing. In comparison with the PS117 deployments, the brackets were placed farther apart. The brackets were then attached to the Dyneema mooring rope. In case of the last 5 deployed recorders, the brackets were attached to a 5 m rope and then ropeshackled in between two mooring lines for easier handling during the deployment. All metal parts are titanium grade 5 .

On AWI251-04, additionally, an AZFP (Acoustic Zooplankton and Fish Profiler, ASL) and an ADCP (Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler) were deployed alongside the acoustic recorders for the detection of the presence of prey. The AZFP is using the backscatter of acoustic pings at four different frequencies ( $38 \mathrm{kHz}, 125 \mathrm{kHz}, 200 \mathrm{kHz}, 455 \mathrm{kHz}$ ) to detect zooplankton. AZFP55115 is deployed at 239 m water depth and is set to have bursts every 5 minutes, consisting of 4 pings every 20 seconds. Burst pings will be stored without averaging. The pulse length for every frequency is set to the maximum ( $1000 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ) to bring the maximum power into the water column. The range for the measurement is set to 280 m , with bin sizes of 0.25 m . A deployment period of 1100 days was assumed for the setup, which was set to start at 12:00 UTC on 24 April 2022. The limiting factor according to the software will be the power consumption.

Furthermore, a 75 kHz ADCP (SN 22858) was deployed in 303 m water depth. The ADCP was started at 14:47 UTC on 24 April 2022 and was set to ping every 10 minutes. With this setting, the ADCP ping and the AZFP pings will not interfere with each other (unless a major clock drift occurs for either of the instruments). Apart from information on the currents at the mooring position, its data is also intended to be used for analysis of presence of zooplankton.

Tab. 2.23: Overview of acoustic recorders deployed during PS129
For further information see the end of the Chapter.

### 2.2.2 Maintenance of the PALAOA observatory

PALAOA (Perennial Acoustic Observatory in the Antarctic Ocean) located on the Ekström ice shelf since 2005, has collected continuous underwater recordings from the coastal Antarctic environment using a hydrophone deployed at ca. 160 m depth. With the ice shelf advancing by about 150 m per year, the position has been constantly changing.

During the supply of the Neumayer III station from 28 until 31 December 2014, an aluminum box, containing modified SonoVault electronics, was installed at the position of the former PALAOA container. It was recessed into the snow and covered with a wooden board and some snow. The box ( $80 \mathrm{~cm} \times 60 \mathrm{~cm} \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) included a Reson input module EC6073 for the active hydrophone (Reson TC4032) and a SonoVault electronics module, similar to those used in the moored recorders. For the power supply, four 90 Ah, 12V batteries were included, two connected in row for each, the active hydrophone and the recording electronics. The battery setup was changed later in 2015 to two batteries in a row and those rows in parallel, supplying both the hydrophone and the recording electronics. Storage capacity is 4.4 TB ( $35 \times 128$ GB SDXC). With a sampling rate of 96 kHz at 24 bit and a file size corresponding to of 600 sec ( 10 min ), the PALAOA system was expected to hold recording capacities for up to 6 months. Servicing was provided by the overwintering team of the Neumayer III station. Based on their experience, a servicing interval of approximately 3 months proved to be necessary.

For PS129 it was intended to calibrate the hydrophone and recording equipment using a frequency generator attached to the calibration input on the EC6073 input module. However, on 20 March and later on 23 March 2022, when approaching the shelf with Polarstern, it seemed as if the hydrophone cable was open-ended at the shelf-ice edge. A helicopter flight to the PALAOA site on 23 March 2022, confirmed this suspicion. The hydrophone cable must have been ripped during a recent calving event of the ice shelf. The recording box was removed and the electronics, including the data storage, was taken back to the ship. Analyzing the recovered acoustic data revealed that the calving event took place on the 27 February 2022 at approximately 08:16 UTC.

On 23 March 2022, i.e., approximately one month after PALAOA's break-off and immediately prior to removing its recording box, the latter's location was determined by handheld GPS as $70.502781^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 08.205716^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$.

## Preliminary technical results

## Preliminary technical evaluation

Recovered SonoVaults had been deployed with mounts, consisting of two plastic brackets, reaching around the instrument housing at two positions spaced by about 1 m , with all metal parts being titanium grade 2. The clamps had been attached directly to the Dyneema rope (though with relatively little spacing of about 1 m , possibly allowing the recorder to vibrate in stronger currents). Upon recovery, no complications with this form of attachment were observed. None of the recovered recorders exhibited signs of corrosion on neither the device nor the mounts. All but the two recorders recovered at AWI251-03 were quasi-free of biofouling. Two recorders at AWI251-03, however, exhibited massive biofouling. The AURAL (AU0085) was completely overgrown, while the SonoVault (SV1002) was overgrown with the exception of the hydrophone, which exhibited only a thin biofilm.

All hydrophones were tested after recovery. Two recovered hydrophones (SN4011021 of recorder SV1020, SN4011040 of recorder SV1024) proved defect. They exhibited asymmetric sinus signals in the oscilloscope reading and the rms amplitude of the signal was lower than the expected $10 \mathrm{mV}_{\text {rms }}$. The underlying damage and its cause remain unknown. The corresponding
recorders' system gain deviated accordingly between pre- and post-deployment pistphone calibrations.

## Communication with recovered instruments

Communication efforts after recovery were successful with only 1 out of 9 SonoVault and for the single Aural recorder. A computer was connected via RS232 to the instruments. Success of communication efforts and information retrieved from the recorders are listed in Table 2.24.

Tab. 2. 24: Overview of results of preliminary technical and data quality evaluation of recorders recovered during PS129

For further information see the end of the Chapter.

## Operation period and failures

All SonoVaults had ceased recording prior to recovery. All devices had been equipped with sufficient power and storage capacity to bridge up to 2 years deployment with recordings. Most of the recovered SonoVaults used the hardware version 4.1, with firmware version 4.13, and were set to Low Power Mode for sampling. The maximum recording duration (620-660 days) did not reach the recording duration from the previous expedition. It is assumed that the new 128 GB SD-cards used during this recent deployment might have had a higher power consumption and led to the shorter recording times.

Recorders SV1006 and SV1020 recorded only for some weeks before stopping to record, presumably due to a software or electronics error, as the log files exhibited good batteries levels at the time of stopping and electronics could be restarted after recovery. The reason for the error is unknown though, as recorders ran for several days prior to the deployment without fault and were checked only hours before the deployment.

## Clock drift and post calibration

Where possible, the status of the system, the clock drift of the precision clock, voltage and SDcard status were checked using the communication software. To post-calibrate the hardware in combination with the hydrophone, a laboratory power supply was connected to the hardware. A post calibration of each recovered recorder was performed to ensure the correct calculation of signal levels after recovery (see Tab. 2.23, Gain PHS and MRPro).

## Preliminary data quality evaluation

Two of the SonoVault recordings exhibited distinct peaks in the spectra (Fig. 2.19), representing tonal noise within the frequency range 25 Hz up to the Nyquist frequency, which likely is caused by the electronics. No cause of this noise could yet be determined, but will be under investigation. Table 2.25 summarizes the occurrence and type of noise found during the preliminary analysis of the recovered data.

Tab. 2.25: Overview of PAM recorders data quality

| AWI22715_SV1006 | Some electronic noise, order of 2 dB |
| :--- | :--- |
| AWI23113_SV1056 | No electronic noise discernable in annual spectra. |
| AWI24803_SV1012 | No electronic noise discernable in annual spectra. |
| AWI245-05_SV1014 | No electronic noise discernable in annual spectra. |


| BGC-1_SV1024 | "Flat" spectrum" for $\mathrm{f}>3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, possibly broken hydrophone |
| :--- | :--- |
| AWI 208-9_SV1020 | "Flat" spectrum" for $\mathrm{f}>3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, possibly broken hydrophone |
| AWI 207-11_SV1032 | Pronounced electronic noise, order of 10 dB |
| AWI 251-3_SV1002 | No electronic noise discernable in annual spectra. |
| AWI 251-3_AU | pending |



Fig. 2.19: Annual spectra of recorded data. Actual recording length varies with recorder and years. The logarithmic frequency (x-) axis ranges from 1 Hz to 50 kH , the sound pressures spectral density from 40 to 110 dB re $1 \mu \mathrm{~Pa} 2 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

## Preliminary scientific results

Recordings were examined using the OPUS analysis tools (opus.aq) to calculate annual spectrograms (Figs. 2.20). Spectrograms indicate that tonal noise existed in AWI207 11_SV1032 throughout the recording period and throughout the short recording period of AWI227 15_SV1006. "Flat" spectra prevail for AWI208-9_SV1020 and BGC_SV1024 for the duration of their recordings. The remaining 9 recordings exhibit enhanced energy in the fin and blue whale bands and frequent broadband events, probably related to storms and cryophonic sound.


Fig. 2.20: Annual spectrograms of acoustic recordings captured by recorders deployed during previous expedition PS117 (top) and PS124 (bottom)

We randomly sampled 52 days over one complete year of recording per recovered mooring. We then systematically selected twelve $10-\mathrm{min}$ acoustic files from the sampled day (one 10-min file out of every two hours) to ensure the representativity of the acoustic activity during that day. This made a total of about 600 files per mooring when recordings from the complete year where available. We manually analyzed every sample ( $10-\mathrm{min}$ file) using Raven Pro 1.5 (Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, USA) to visually and aurally identifying the presence/ absence of species-specific calls from spectrograms. Spectrogram calculations employed various parameter settings to optimize visual contrasts of signal to noise gradients. Due to time constrains, data from AWI251-3 were not included in the analysis.

For each recorder, we computed the acoustic-presence proportion of every single species over the studied year (or shorter periods if data was missing). This allowed us to coarsely assess the marine mammal community composition at the recording sites and the species distribution over the studied area (Fig. 2.21).


Fig. 2.21: Spatial distribution of marine mammal community composition

We also computed the species daily proportion of acoustic presence to assess the temporal variation in the structure of the communities at the specific sites (Fig. 2.22).


Fig. 2.22: Species daily proportion of acoustic presence

## Pinnipeds

Only considering the random subset of data that was analyzed, Weddell seals (Leptonychotes weddellii) were exclusively detected at AWI207-11 in 2019. However, their acoustic presence was recurrent at the station lasting from April to December. On all analyzed sites with data available during the austral summer (4/7), we detected crabeater seals (Lobodon carcinophaga), leopard seals (Hydrurga leptonyx) and Ross seals (Ommatophoca rossii), except for AWI231-13, where no acoustic activity from Ross seals was detected. In all cases, the pack-ice related pinniped species followed a similar temporal pattern, with crabeater seals being acoustically conspicuous at the sites from September to December, leopard seals from October/November to January and Ross seals from the end of December to January. Only on AWI207-11 did we observe crabeater seal calls from July on.

## Cetaceans

Single vocalizations and/or choruses of Antarctic blue whales (Balaenoptera musculus intermedia), fin whales (B. physalus) and Antarctic minke whales (B. bonaerensis) were very common at almost all recording positions. Fin whales were not detected at AWI227-15, probably because data from 2019 were missing from February on there and this species showed to be acoustically active from February to August at the remaining stations. They were also not observed at AWI207-11, but due to the pervasive mechanical noise present in these recordings it may also be possible that we were not able to distinguish their acoustic signature in the spectrograms. Most likely, the absence of Antarctic minke whale calls at AWI227-15 and AWI229-14 was also due to the lack of recordings during their acoustically active period in the Weddell Sea. Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) songs were only observed occasionally at the two southernmost sites, AWI245-5 and AWI231-13.

Sperm whale (Physeter macrocephalus) clicks were observed, even though not very frequently, in almost all recording positions. Killer whale (Orcinus orca) clicks and whistles were observed at 5 out of the 7 locations, however, their frequency was also very low.

## Other sound sources

Pervasive tonal mechanical noise was detected in all recordings from AWI207-11 in 2019. Recordings from all sites, especially those located along the Greenwich Meridian transect and in the central Weddell Sea, presented intense and frequent noises with varying frequency and temporal patterns. The probable source of these noises was sea-ice related, either from the interaction between different sorts of sea-ice floes, the melting or hardening of the ice, or the calving/breaking off from close-by iceberg. Nevertheless, the precise source of each of these noises still needs to be determined.

## Data management

Passive acoustic data will be transferred to the AWI silo and made accessible through the OPUS.aq webpage and will be archived, published and disseminated according to international standards by the World Data Center PANGAEA Data Publisher for Earth \& Environmental Science (https://www.pangaea.de) within two years after the end of the expedition at the latest. By default, the CC-BY license will be applied. P.I.'s: Ilse van Opzeeland and Olaf Boebel.

This expedition was supported by the Helmholtz Research Programme "Changing Earth Sustaining our Future" topic 6, subtopic 4. In all publications based on this expedition, the Grant No. AWI_PS129_01 will be quoted and the following publication will be cited:

Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung (2017) Polar Research and Supply Vessel POLARSTERN Operated by the Alfred-Wegener-Institute. Journal of large-scale research facilities, 3, A119. http://dx.doi.org/10.17815/j|srf-3-163.
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Tab. 2.2: Instrumentation of moorings recovered during PS129. The column "CTD" gives the station number of the CTD casts carried out near the mooring location at deployment and recovery.


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  | － |  | N | 只枵 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ® }}$ | $\wedge$ |  | N－0 |  | No | $\cdots$ | \％ | 9 | $\cdots$ |  | 뭉 |  | $\circ$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ O N |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | － | － | － |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { ㄷ } \\ & \text { 믈 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\square}$ | \|o⿳亠丷厂犬土口 | \|c|c|c|c|c| |  |  | $0$ |  |  |  | oبల |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { è } \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ¢ | M | － |  |  |  |  |
| $\circ$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \frac{0}{0} \\ \frac{0}{v} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left. \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{0}} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{n}} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 入 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bar{¢}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { i }}{\stackrel{\text { E }}{\square}}$ | $\underset{\stackrel{m}{\dot{m}}}{ }$ |  |  |  | ¢ | － | N | － | － | － |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{N}{\circ} \\ \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ¢ | ¢ | ¢ | － | No |  |  | \％ |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ ले N N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ \\ \vdots \\ \dot{N} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \\ \\ \hline \dot{d} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \mathfrak{B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  | 员 |  |  |  | $\stackrel{7}{\text { J }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & \hat{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \\ & \stackrel{6}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c} \underset{\sim}{\tilde{O}} \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\stackrel{7}{7}}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{9}{7}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { D } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \text { Ǹ } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { ì } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \dot{d} \\ \stackrel{e}{c} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  | ［ |  |  |  |
|  | － | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { © } \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \tilde{N} \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right\|$ |  | （100 | 边 |  |  | （ |  |  |  |
|  | 은 － 을 | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{U} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ｜ras |  |  |  | ｜c｜c |  |  |  |


Tab. 2.3: Instrumentation of mooring deployments during PS129




| Mooring | Latitude | Longitude | EK80 <br> Reading | Corr. <br> depth <br> [m] | Deploy |  |  |  | Recover |  |  |  | Instrument |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Station \# PS129 |  | Date | Time | Station \# |  | Date | Time | Type | S/N | Depth (m) |
|  |  |  |  |  | Moor | CTD |  |  | Moor | CTD |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21:50 |  |  |  |  | SBE37SMP | 2020 | 2500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SBE53 | 436 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AWI261-02 | $63^{\circ} 30.87$ 'S | $50^{\circ} 38.20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1660 | 1618 | 114-1 |  | 2022-04-22 | 21:01 |  |  |  |  | SonoVault | 1023 | 255 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20:24 |  |  |  |  | SBE37SMP | 9840 | 755 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20:32 |  |  |  |  | SBE56 | 6990 | 1268 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20:24 |  |  |  |  | SBE37SMP | 2092 | 1318 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20:20 |  |  |  |  | SBE56 | 6991 | 1368 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20:05 |  |  |  |  | SBE37SMP | 2093 | 1409 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19:56 |  |  |  |  | SBE56 | 7068 | 1468 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19:49 |  |  |  |  | SBE37SMP | 9834 | 1518 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19:45 |  |  |  |  | SBE56 | 7069 | 1568 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19:38 |  |  |  |  | SBE37SMP | 12478 | 1609 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19:36 |  |  |  |  | ADCP QM150 | 14088 | 1609 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AWI251-04 | $61^{\circ} 01.38$ 'S | $55^{\circ} 58.68^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 323 | 311 | 127-2 |  | 2022-04-24 | 16:08 |  |  |  |  | Aural | 303 | 148 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16:08 |  |  |  |  | SonoVault | 1054 | 153 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15:49 |  |  |  |  | AZFP | 55115 | 239 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15:38 |  |  |  |  | ADCP LR 075 | 22858 | 303 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15:38 |  |  |  |  | SBE37SMP | 2359 | 330 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) Originally, it was planned to exchange mooring AWI250-3 with AWI250-4. However, due to temporal constraints, calling at AWI250 was cancelled. Instead, CWS02-01 was deployed further north and AWI250-3 was left untouched

## Abbreviations for Tables 2.2 and 2.3:

SeaBird Electronics Temperature Logger Develogic RAFOS Sound Source
Fluorescence sensor
Nitrate Sensor
Lab on Chip sensors
Remote Access Sampler RAS-48-500
KUM sediment traps
Underwater Vision Profiler 6
SBE56
SonoVault
SOSO
Ecotriplet
ISUS
LOC
RAS-500
Sediment trap
Suna
VVP6
ADCP LR075 RD Instruments Doppler Current Profiler, Type Long Ranger 75 kHz
ADCP LR075
ADCP QM150
ADCP WH600
AquaD Nortek Aquadopp Acoustic Current Meter
Nortek Aquadopp Acoustic Current Meter
Aanderaa Current Meter with Temperature Sensor
ASL Environmental Sciences Acoustic Zooplankton and Fish Profil
ASL Environmental Sciences Acoustic Zooplankton and Fish Profiler
Passive Acoustic Monitor (Type: AURAL or SONOVAULT)
Aanderaa Doppler Current Meter (acoustic)
Aanderaa Doppler Current Meter (acoustic)
SeaBird Electronics Temperature Logger
RD Instruments Doppler Current Profiler, Type Quarter Master 150 k
RD Instruments Doppler Current Profiler, Type Workhorse 600 kHz
Nortek Aquadopp Acoustic Current Meter
6607 әnuesəduə1 pue রinlonpuo
(1)
(1)
-
Tab. 2.5: CTD casts of PS129

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LADC <br> P Cast | Date-Time in water | Date-Time at depth | Latitude | Longitude | EK80 depth [reading] | Corr. Depth [m] | Altim <br> [m] | CTD max. pressure [dbar] | Config | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 014_01 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ \text { 11T10:15:30 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-03- } \\ \text { 11T10:26:09 } \end{gathered}$ | 5556.57'S | 002${ }^{\circ} 56.11^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 3524.9 | 3466 | 98.7 | 153 | 1 | Test cast Most bottles leaking |
| 018_07 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ \text { 12T23:39:32 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 13 \mathrm{~T} 03: 20: 08 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 59 ${ }^{\circ} 05.52 ' S$ | 000º ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {a }}$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 4668.7 | 4730 | 94.9 | 4682 | 1 | Winch problems. Stopped at about 2350 meters for 2.5 h . Beam transmission decrased strongly during the cast Altimeter did not work |
| 023_01 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 14 \mathrm{~T} 05: 56: 45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 14 \mathrm{~T} 07: 50: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $61^{\circ} 00.13 ' \mathrm{~S}$ | 000º0.01'E | 5373 | 5343 | 8.1 | 5468 | 2 | Calibration cast. $2^{\text {nd }}$ oxygen sensor broken during cast |
| 025_08 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 16 \mathrm{~T} 01: 15: 47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 16 \mathrm{~T} 03: 18: 31 \end{gathered}$ | $64^{\circ} 04.42{ }^{\prime}$ S | 000º $04.66^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 5177.5 | 5148 | 10.6 | 5264 | 3 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ oxygen sensor spiky |
| 027_02 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 16 \mathrm{~T} 21: 59: 05 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 16 \mathrm{~T} 23: 32: 36 \end{gathered}$ | 66²8.90'S | 000º4.28'W | 4444.5 | 4394 | 28.1 | 4476 | 3 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ oxygen sensor spiky. Altimeter did not work. Cast stopped 90m above bottom, visible from LADCP |
| 030_01 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 19 \mathrm{~T} 03: 08: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 19 \mathrm{~T} 04: 59: 12 \end{gathered}$ | 65 ${ }^{\circ} 56.13$ 'S | 012º $11.92^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 5037.7 | 5005 | 14.2 | 5109 | 3 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ oxygen sensor spiky |
| 040_02 | 9 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 21 \mathrm{~T} 13: 12: 33 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-03- } \\ \text { 21T13:41:23 } \end{gathered}$ | 700 45.07 'S | 010${ }^{\circ} 49.84^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 928 | 902 | 4.8 | 918 | 3 | Calibration cast. $2^{\text {nd }}$ oxygen sensor spiky |
| 041_02 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-03- } \\ \text { 23T18:08:00 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-03- } \\ \text { 23T18:19:35 } \end{gathered}$ | 70³1.76'S | 008 ${ }^{\circ} 12.20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 226.9 | 221 | 4.8 | 223 | 3 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ oxygen sensor spiky |
| 042_01 | 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-03- } \\ 24 \mathrm{~T} 01: 23: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-03- } \\ 24 \mathrm{~T} 01: 44: 13 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $70^{\circ} 50.51 ' S$ | 010³5.35'W | 253.3 | 241 | 4.1 | 252 | 3 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ oxygen sensor spiky |
| 047_01 | 13 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 25 \mathrm{~T} 16: 52: 45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-03- } \\ \text { 25T17:10:07 } \end{gathered}$ | 7047.18'S | 010² ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ W | 617.3 | 599 | 9.8 | 594 | 3 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ oxygen sensor spiky |
| 049_01 | 14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-03- } \\ 26 \mathrm{~T} 12: 13: 07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 26 \mathrm{~T} 12: 29: 05 \end{gathered}$ | 7056.61'S | 010³2.16'W | 296.4 | 289 | 4.8 | 295 | 3 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ oxygen sensor spiky |
| 053_03 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 28 \mathrm{~T} 05: 54: 41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 28 \mathrm{~T} 06: 06: 47 \end{gathered}$ | $70^{\circ} 52.41{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 010²8.94'W | 234.7 | 221 | 3.9 | 234 | 4 | New $2^{\text {nd }}$ oxygen sensor |
| 054_03 | 16 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 29 \mathrm{~T} 01: 56: 27 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-03- \\ 29 \mathrm{~T} 02: 29: 39 \end{gathered}$ | 70³9.23'S | 011 ${ }^{\circ} 00.34^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1376.3 | 1333 | 9.7 | 1352 | 4 |  |
| 058_02 | 18 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 02 \mathrm{~T} 10: 17: 56 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 02 \mathrm{~T} 10: 41: 37 \end{gathered}$ | 7053.18'S | 011 ${ }^{\circ} 17.42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 691.5 | 667 | 5.7 | 693 | 4 | Wrong LADCP cast number in CTD header |
| 059_01 | 19 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 02 \mathrm{~T} 12: 39: 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 02 \mathrm{~T} 13: 21: 02 \end{gathered}$ | 7050.07'S | 011 ${ }^{\circ} 24.61^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1429.4 | 1392 | 4.3 | 1396 | 4 |  |
| 060_01 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 02 T 19: 33: 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ \text { 02T20:16:59 } \end{gathered}$ | $70^{\circ} 36.09 ' S$ | 012 ${ }^{\circ} 13.03^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2024.4 | 1972 | 4.7 | 2012 | 4 | Calibration cast. Bottle 23 leaked |
| 062 04 | 21 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 03 \mathrm{~T} 07: 07: 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 03 \mathrm{~T} 08: 28: 27 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $70^{\circ} 18.01{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 013 ${ }^{\circ} 26.76^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3319.1 | 3265 | 7.5 | 3349 | 4 | Bottle 1 did not close |
| 064_02 | 22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 03T18:07:51 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 03 T 19: 50: 36 \end{gathered}$ | $69^{\circ} 42.75$ 'S | 015 ${ }^{\circ} 24.25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4756.5 | 4720 | 9.2 | 4821 | 4 | Calibration cast |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LADC <br> PCast | Date-Time in water | Date-Time at depth | Latitude | Longitude | $\begin{gathered} \text { EK80 } \\ \text { depth } \\ \text { Ireadinal } \end{gathered}$ | Corr. <br> Depth [m] | Altim [m] |  | Config | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 065_01 | 23 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 04 \mathrm{~T} 04: 46: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 04 \mathrm{~T} 06: 21: 27 \end{gathered}$ | 6905.11'S | 017²0.09'W | 4759.1 | 4720 | 9.9 | 4823 | 4 |  |
| 068_01 | 24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 05 \mathrm{~T} 08: 26: 52 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 05 \mathrm{~T} 06: 10: 32 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $68^{\circ} 13.30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 01944.36'W | 4873.6 | 4832 | 7.7 | 4942 | 4 | Bottle 23 and 24 did not close. CTD was started again, with the filename PS129_068_02 |
| 070_01 | 25 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 05 \mathrm{~T} 19: 23: 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 05T21:02:59 } \end{gathered}$ | $67^{\circ} 15.95$ 'S | 023³5.76'W | 4874.9 | 4832 | 10.8 | 4940 | 4 |  |
| 071_02 | 26 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 07T10:16:34 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 07 \mathrm{~T} 10: 28: 05 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $68^{\circ} 43.04{ }^{\prime}$ S | 02703.11'W | 4723.7 | 4679 | 98.7 | 317 | 4 | shallow cast |
| 072_01 | 27 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 07 \mathrm{~T} 13: 56: 48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 07 \mathrm{~T} 14: 12: 51 \end{gathered}$ | 6858.13'S | 02659.64'W | 4708.7 | 4669 | 98.7 | 456 | 4 | shallow cast |
| 07203 | 29 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 07T19:28:03 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 07 \mathrm{~T} 19: 43: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $69^{\circ} 00.11^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 02702.51'W | 4705.3 | 4669 | 98.7 | 406 | 4 | Shallow cast, cast was delayed, LADCP was turned off again to save battery |
| 074_04 | 30 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 08T20:34:32 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 08 \mathrm{~T} 20: 48: 43 \end{gathered}$ | $70^{\circ} 49.09^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 029 ${ }^{\circ} 14.72^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4426.2 | 4384 | 98.7 | 405 | 4 | Shallow calibration cast |
| 080_02 | 31 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 12 \mathrm{~T} 17: 47: 52 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 12 \mathrm{~T} 19: 24: 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $66^{\circ} 36.91$ 'S | 027 ${ }^{\circ} 12.42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4859.5 | 4821 | 9.3 | 4926 | 4 | ADCP Battery changed, calibration cast |
| 082_01 | 32 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 13T05:43:37 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 13T07:21:41 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 66¹4.96'S | 030²6.13'W | 4804.6 | 4760 | 8.9 | 4868 | 4 | Communication failure with the CTD, bottles couldn't be closed, for the upcast the file "PS129_82_01_upcast" was created |
| 083_02 | 33 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 13 \mathrm{~T} 13: 16: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 13 \mathrm{~T} 15: 00: 40 \end{gathered}$ | $66^{\circ} 06.29$ 'S | 031* $49.82{ }^{\text {'W }}$ | 4784.8 | 4740 | 9.7 | 4846 | 4 | Change to the winch SE32.1 to reestablish communication with the CTD |
| 08601 | 34 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 14T05:08:36 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 14 \mathrm{~T} 06: 45: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $65^{\circ} 40.08^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 036³6.78'W | 4760 | 4720 | 9.8 | 4819 | 4 | Winch SE32.1 was used |
| 08701 | 35 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 14 \mathrm{~T} 21: 27: 08 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 14T23:07:07 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $65^{\circ} 21.29^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 038043.16'W | 4750.1 | 4709 | 9.6 | 4808 | 4 | Changed back to winch EL31 |
| 088 01 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 15 \mathrm{~T} 08: 28: 39 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 15 \mathrm{~T} 10: 10: 33 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $65^{\circ} 02.45$ 'S | 04108.32'W | 4746.6 | 4699 | 6.6 | 4807 | 4 |  |
| 096_01 | 40 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-02- } \\ \text { 18T08:44:44 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-0.4- } \\ \text { 18T10:25:25 } \end{gathered}$ | 6444.32'S | 043 ${ }^{\circ} 30.60^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4637.7 | 4597 | 9.2 | 4691 | 4 | LADCP problems at the startup, erroneous measurement at 360 m |
| 09701 | 42 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 18 \mathrm{~T} 21: 47: 20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 18 \mathrm{~T} 23: 25: 20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $64^{\circ} 28.82$ S | 045 ${ }^{\circ} 18.02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4479.4 | 4445 | 5.5 | 4530 | 4 |  |
| 099_01 | 43 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 19T08:25:56 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 19 \mathrm{~T} 09: 54: 47 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $64^{\circ} 18.02^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 046 ${ }^{\circ} 40.10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4383.2 | 4333 | 10 | 4424 | 4 | Depth for bottle 3 was miscalculated at first, CTD was lowered a few meters to the correct depth |
| 10003 | 44 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 19 \mathrm{~T} 21: 32: 09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04-4- } \\ \text { 19T22:56:57 } \end{gathered}$ | 64¹6.74'S | 047²8.15'W | 4192.1 | 4151 | 9.1 | 4231 | 4 |  |
| 10201 | 46 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 20T05:05:03 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 20T06:30:09 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6407.96'S | 0470 $57.24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4091.9 | 4040 | 5 | 4126 | 4 | New grease was applied to the LADCP plugs, LADCP was restarted because of a delay in the search for a suitable hole in the ice |
| 103_01 | 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 2022-04- \\ & 20 \mathrm{~T} 11: 18: 08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-04- } \\ & \text { 20T12:37:56 } \end{aligned}$ | $64^{\circ} 04.66^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 048²1.91'W | 3924.6 | 3868 | 8.3 | 3949 | 4 |  |


| Station ID | LADC P Cast | Date-Time in water | Date-Time at | Latitude | Longitude | $\begin{gathered} \text { EK80 } \\ \text { depth } \\ \text { [reading] } \end{gathered}$ | Corr. <br> Depth <br> [m] | Altim <br> [m] |  | Config | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104_01 | 49 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 20T17:30:09 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 20 \mathrm{~T} 18: 45: 32 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $63^{\circ} 59.66^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 048²49.17'W | 3709.2 | 3687 | 9.8 | 3722 | 4 |  |
| 105_01 | 50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 21T01:10:31 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 21T02:20:44 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $63^{\circ} 52.59^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 0490.09.13'W | 3445.3 | 3395 | 10.7 | 3452 | 4 | Faulty 2nd oxygen sensor; because of ice conditions station was changed to a location of equal depth less than 3 nm away |
| 106_01 | 51 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 21 \mathrm{~T} 06: 41: 04 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 21 \mathrm{~T} 07: 49: 09 \end{gathered}$ | $63^{\circ} 48.88^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 049 ${ }^{\circ} 32.68^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3206.2 | 3135 | 10 | 3202 | 5 | New 2nd oxygen sensor was installed |
| 107_01 | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-04- } \\ & \text { 21T15:15:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 21T16:12:49 } \end{gathered}$ | $63^{\circ} 44.06^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 050²1.05'W | 2683.4 | 2628 | 4.5 | 2677 | 5 | Calibration cast, new 2nd oxygen sensor was not working, CTD was covered in jelly fish tentacles |
| 109_03 | 53 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 22T04:19:46 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 22T05:16:26 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 63 40.47 'S | 050045.23'W | 2575.7 | 2515 | 9.9 | 2560 | 5 | Bottles 14 and 18 didn't close |
| 110_01 | 55 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 22T09:24:12 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 22T10:14:50 } \end{gathered}$ | 63³6.97'S | 051004.43'W | 2379.1 | 2328 | 9.9 | 2363 | 5 | LADCP was restarted during the search for better ice conditions |
| 11101 | 56 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 22T13:02:49 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 22T13:51:06 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 63³4.32'S | 051¹8.08'W | 2225.4 | 2180 | 9.6 | 2207 | 5 |  |
| 112_01 | 58 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-04- } \\ & \text { 22T16:11:51 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 22 \mathrm{~T} 16: 55: 41 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 63³1.92'S | 051² $27.35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2013.3 | 1963 | 9.8 | 1992 | 5 |  |
| 114.02 | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-04- } \\ & \text { 22T22:23:17 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-0.4- } \\ & \text { 22T23:02:22 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $63^{\circ} 28.67{ }^{\text {c }}$ S | 051³6.84'W | 1823.3 | 1775 | 10.2 | 1819 | 5 |  |
| 116_01 | 61 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-04- } \\ & \text { 23T02:17:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 23T03:25:03 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $63^{\circ} 28.85 ' S$ | 05150.40'W | 1231.3 | 1196 | 9.9 | 1210 | 5 | CTD got frozen on deck during repositioning, cast 115 01 was restarted due to technical problems |
| 117.01 | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & 2022-04- \\ & \text { 23T06:05:50 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ \text { 23T06:28:59 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $63^{\circ} 27.96^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 05205.79'W | 940.2 | 912 | 9.7 | 922 | 5 |  |
| 119_01 | 63 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ 23 T 08: 40: 48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-04- } \\ \text { 23T09:00:58 } \end{gathered}$ | $63^{\circ} 24.59^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 052¹6.37'W | 687.2 | 667 | 10.2 | 673 | 5 | Bottles 8,7 and 15 were not registered as fired and didn't close |
| 12001 | 64 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-0.4- } \\ & \text { 23T12:16:27 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-0.4- } \\ & \text { 23T12:30:37 } \end{aligned}$ | $63^{\circ} 21.06{ }^{\text {S }}$ | 052²\% ${ }^{\circ} 66^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 459.1 | 444 | 10.6 | 447 | 5 |  |
| $121 \quad 01$ | 65 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 23 T 17: 19: 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 202-04- \\ 23717: 32: 43 \end{gathered}$ | $63^{\circ} 15.64{ }^{\text {S }}$ | 053²0.99'W | 394.9 | 376 | 7.9 | 386 | 5 |  |
| 12201 | 66 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 23 T 21: 26: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 23 T 21: 38: 26 \end{gathered}$ | $63^{\circ} 10.12^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 05357.26'W | 236.9 | 221 | 9.7 | 231 | 5 |  |
| 123 ¢1 | 67 | 2022-04- <br> 24T00.20.38 | 2022-04- $24 \mathrm{~T} 00: 35: 50$ | $63^{\circ} 05.47$ 's | $054{ }^{\circ} 31.42 \mathrm{~W}$ | 479 | 463 | 98 | 466 | 5 |  |
| 127_03 | 68 | $\begin{gathered} 24100.20 .00 \\ \hline 24 \mathrm{~T} 16: 57: 38 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-04- \\ 24 \mathrm{~T} 17: 10: 27 \end{gathered}$ | $61^{\circ} 00.07$ 's | 05559.73'W | 380.5 | 366 | 10.64 | 379 | 5 | Calibration cast |

Tab. 2.6: OFOBS CTD deployments

|  |  | Profile start |  | CTD |  | Cofile end |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Tab. 2.8: Metadata of RAFOS sound sources deployed during PS129

| Mooring | Sound Source Position | Sound <br> Source S/N | Position LAT | Position LON | Corr. water depth [m] | Deploy depth [m] | Deployment date /time [UTC] | Sweep Time [UTC] | 1st sweep [UTC] | Configuration *) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EWS 003-01 | W22 | D0048 EI0061 ZBP2052 | $70^{\circ} 17.905^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 013 ${ }^{\circ} 26.779^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3304 | 800 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-04-03 } \\ & \text { T12:41:00 } \end{aligned}$ | 12:40 | 20220401T12:40:00 ${ }^{\text {1) }}$ | repeat=0xffff; <br> Amp=95\%; <br> Tuning Coil = ON |
| AWI245-06 | W09 | D0046 EI0043 ZBP2050 | $69^{\circ} 03.636{ }^{\prime}$ S | 017 ${ }^{\circ} 23.455^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4721 | 806 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-04-04 } \\ & \text { T16:03:00 } \end{aligned}$ | 13:00 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20220404T13:00:00 } \\ & \text { (checked with } \\ & \text { Dummy Load) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { repeat=0xfff; } \\ & \text { Amp=95\%; } \\ & \text { Tuning Coil }=\text { ON } \end{aligned}$ |
| AWI249-04 | W13 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { D0043 } \\ \text { EI0047 } \\ \text { ZBP2122 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $70^{\circ} 49.932$ S | 029 ${ }^{\circ} 07.930^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4374 | 821 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-04-08 } \\ & \text { T19:50:00 } \end{aligned}$ | 13:20 | 20220409T13:20:00 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { repeat=0xffff; } \\ & \text { Amp=95\%; } \\ & \text { Tuning Coil }=\text { ON } \end{aligned}$ |
| CWS 001-01 | W21 | D0018 EI0050 ZBP2056 | $69^{\circ} 33.349^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 032 ${ }^{\circ} 28.620^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4430 | 817 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-04-10 } \\ & \text { T22:36:00 } \end{aligned}$ | 12:40 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20220410T12:40:00 } \\ & \text { (checked with } \\ & \text { Dummy Load) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { repeat=0xfff; } \\ & \text { Amp=95\%; } \\ & \text { Tuning Coil = ON } \end{aligned}$ |
| CWS 002-01 | W23 | D0017 EI0058 ZBP2053 | $66^{\circ} 22.766{ }^{\prime}$ S | 041 ${ }^{\circ} 23.502{ }^{\text {W }}$ | 4524 | 797 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16.04.2022 } \\ & \text { T17:05:22 } \end{aligned}$ | 13:00 | 20220416T13:00:00 | ```repeat=0xffff; Amp=95\%; Tuning Coil \(=\) OFF 2)``` |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { WWS 002- } \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | W20 | D0030 EI0066 ZBP2054 | $65^{\circ} 25.985$ S | 044 ${ }^{\circ} 35.575^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4416 | 812 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17.04.2022 } \\ & \text { T20:44:00 } \end{aligned}$ | 13:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20220417T13:20:00 } \\ & \text { (checked with } \\ & \text { Dummy Load) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { repeat=0xffff; } \\ & \text { Amp=95\%; } \\ & \text { Tuning Coil = ON } \end{aligned}$ |
| AWI257-2 | W10 | D0045 EI0065 ZBP2116 | $64^{\circ} 14.420^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 047 ${ }^{\circ} 29.114^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4142 | 810 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2022-04- } \\ & \text { 19T20:42:39 } \end{aligned}$ | 12:40 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20220419T12:40:00 } \\ & \text { (checked with } \\ & \text { Dummy Load) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { repeat=0xfff; } \\ & \text { Amp }=95 \% \text {; } \\ & \text { Tuning Coil }=\text { ON } \end{aligned}$ |

[^3]Tab. 2.9: Ice resilient APEX float tests and clock readings

| internal ID | Apex S/N | WMO | IMEI | TestTdate | Test result | Float Time | iPhone Time | Offset [s] | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_01 | 9215 | 7900990 | 300125061143710 | 19.03.2022T17:06 | PASSED | 16:41:06 | 16:41:00 | +6 | 1) |
| PS129_02 | 9224 | 7900999 | 300125061162760 | 19.03.2022T20:42 | PASSED | 20:07:12 | 20:07:00 | +12 |  |
| PS129_03 | 9223 | 7900998 | 300125061162770 | 19.03.2022T19:56 | PASSED | 19:19:16 | 19:19:00 | +16 |  |
| PS129_04 | 9216 | 7900991 | 300125061163760 | 27.03.2022T11:52 | PASSED | 11:21:02 | 11:21:00 | +2 | 2) |
| PS129_05 | 9220 | 7900995 | 300125061144830 | 23.03.2022T10:14 | PASSED | 09:37:00 | 09:37:00 | +0 |  |
| PS129_17 | 8893 | 7900986 | 300125061813240 | 23.03.2022T09:25 | PASSED | 08:43:34 | 08:44:00 | -26 | 3) |
| PS129_06 | 9217 | 7900992 | 300125061246210 | 26.03.2022T12:33 | PASSED | 11:44:05 | 11:44:00 | +5 |  |
| PS129_07 | 9218 | 7900993 | 300125061165760 | 27.03.2022T11:12 | PASSED | 10:25:10 | 10:26:00 | +10 |  |
| PS129_08 | 9213 | 7900988 | 300125061142720 | 26.03.2022T11:36 | PASSED | 10:41:05 | 10:51:00 | +5 |  |
| PS129_09 | 9221 | 7900996 | 300125061167760 | 23.03.2022T15:24 | PASSED | 14:41:17 | 14:41:00 | +17 |  |
| PS129_10 | 8888 | 7900981 | 300125061323740 | 26.03.2022T10:18 | PASSED | 09:31:05 | 09:31:00 | +5 |  |
| PS129_11H | 8889 | 7900982 | 300125061326720 | 23.03.2022T17:29 | PASSED | 16:41:05 | 16:41:00 | +5 |  |
| PS129_12 | 9222 | 7900997 | 300125061163750 | 26.03.2022T09:23 | PASSED | 08:38:16 | 08:38:00 | +16 |  |
| PS129_13 | 8892 | 7900985 | 300125061810140 | 21.03.2022T16:41 | PASSED | 16:01:18 | 16:01:00 | +18 |  |
| PS129_14 | 8891 | 7900984 | 300125061321740 | 23.03.2022T12:34 | PASSED | 11:50:05 | 11:50:00 | +5 |  |
| PS129_15 | 8890 | 7900983 | 300125061321650 | 23.03.2022T11:41 | PASSED | 10:56:09 | 10:56:00 | +9 |  |
| PS129_17 | 9212 | 7900987 | 300125061140800 | 21.03.2022T15:30 | PASSED | 15:31:02 | 15:31:00 | +2 |  |
| PS129_16 | 9219 | 7900994 | 300125061148800 | 23.03.2022T16:31 | PASSED | 15:43:11 | 15:43:00 | +11 |  |
| PS129_18 | 8878 | 7900971 | 300125061811150 | 25.03.2022T10:33 | PASSED | 09:44:08 | 09:44:00 | +8 |  |
| PS129_19 | 8879 | 7900972 | 300125061814250 | 23.03.2022T13:25 | PASSED | 12:45:09 | 12:45:00 | +9 |  |
| PS129_20 | 8887 | 7900980 | 300125061324710 | 23.03.2022T14:29 | PASSED | 13:45:09 | 13:45:00 | +9 |  |
| PS129_21 | 8886 | 7900979 | 300125061326710 | 25.03.2022T11:52 | PASSED | 10:43:16 | 10:43:00 | +16 | 4) |
|  | 9214 | 7900989 | 300125061756090 | - | FAILED | - | - | - |  |

[^4]Tab. 2.10: Ice resilient APEX float deployments, all featuring Ice Sensing Algorithm (ISA) and RAFOS receivers

| Apex S/N | WMO | deployment Datetime | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } \\ & \text { PS129_ } \end{aligned}$ | deployment latitude | deployment longitude | EK80 depth reading | CDT cast | sea ice | Corrected depth | $\begin{gathered} \text { Profiles sent } \\ \text { until } \\ \text { 2022-05-23 } \end{gathered}$ | Comments Profiles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9215 | 7900990 | 2022-03-20T07:22 | 35-1 | $69^{\circ} 22,491{ }^{\text {S }}$ | 009 $15,944{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3296 | none | none | 3241 | 5 |  |
| 9224 | 7900999 | 2022-03-20T12:27 | 37-1 | $70^{\circ} 02,821{ }^{\text {S }}$ | 008 $39,546^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2546 | none | pancake, >95\% | 2493 |  |  |
| 9223 | 7900998 | 2022-03-20T13:03 | 38-1 | $70^{\circ} 03,543{ }^{\text {S }}$ | $008^{\circ} 43,664^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2087 | none | pancake, >95\% | 2039 |  |  |
| 9216 | 7900991 | 2022-04-02T21:40 | 60-2 | $70^{\circ} 36,081{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $012^{\circ} 12,871^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2024 | 60-1 | none | 1976 |  |  |
| 9220 | 7900995 | 2022-04-02T23:17 | 61-1 | $70^{\circ} 27,893{ }^{\prime}$ S | $012^{\circ} 48,739^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2359 | none | none | 2308 | 3 |  |
| 8893 | 7900986 | 2022-04-03T12:49 | 62-6 | $70^{\circ} 17,714^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 013 $26,526^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3367 |  |  | 3312 |  |  |
| 9217 | 7900992 | 2022-04-03T17:33 | 64-1 | $69^{\circ} 44,786^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 015 ${ }^{\circ} 17,740^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4754 | 64-2 | none | 4713 | 1 |  |
| 9218 | 7900993 | 2022-04-04T22:07 | 65-8 | $69^{\circ} 01,739^{\prime}$ S | 017 $28,411^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4766 | 65-1 | none | 4725 | 3 |  |
| 9213 | 7900988 | 2022-04-08T06T12 | 73-1 | $69^{\circ} 57.538^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 027 ${ }^{\circ} 56,895^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4606 | none | pancake, >95\% | 4564 |  |  |
| 9221 | 7900996 | 2022-04-09T23:46 | 74-9 | $70^{\circ} 38.030^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 029 ${ }^{\circ} 19.800^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4620 | 74-4 | floes, >95\% | 4577 |  |  |
| 8888 | 7900981 | 2022-04-10T10:00 | 75-1 | $70^{\circ} 08.015^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 030 $59.889^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4514 | none | floes, >95\% | 4469 |  |  |
| 8889 | 7900982 | 2022-04-10T12:11 | helicopter | $70^{\circ} 44.855^{\prime}$ S | $031^{\circ} 10.573^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | none | none |  | none |  | by helicopter |
| 9222 | 7900997 | 2022-04-10T16:36 | 76-1 | $69^{\circ} 46.013^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 032 $01.362^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4270 | none | floes, >95\% | 4221 |  |  |
| 8892 | 7900985 | 2022-04-10T22:54 | 77-2 | $69^{\circ} 33.229^{\text {S }}$ | 032 ${ }^{\circ} 28.230^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4477 | none | new ice, > 95\% | 4431 |  | no plugs |
| 8891 | 7900984 | 2022-04-11T08:48 | 78-1 | $68^{\circ} 59.702^{\prime}$ S | 031 ${ }^{\circ} 56.559^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | none | none | new ice, > 95\% | none |  | no plugs, 6) |
| 8890 | 7900983 | 2022-04-11T12:32 | 88-2 | $65^{\circ} 62.050^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 041 ${ }^{\circ} 08.439^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4745 | 88_1 | none | 4704 | 1 | no plugs |
| 9212 | 7900987 | 2022-04-16T17:20 | 90-1 | $66^{\circ} 22,784^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 041 ${ }^{\circ} 23,910^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4567 | none | new ice, > 95\% | 4523 |  |  |
| 9219 | 7900994 | 2022-04-16T01:51 | 91-2 | $66^{\circ} 04,892$ S | 041 ${ }^{\circ} 45,811^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4492 | none | floes, >95\% | 4447 |  |  |
| 8878 | 7900971 | 2022-04-17T05:02 | 92-1 | $66^{\circ} 02,222^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 043 $30,435^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4472 | none | 100\% | 4426 |  | 5) |
| 8879 | 7900972 | 2022-04-17T21:00 | 94-2 | $65^{\circ} 25,966^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 044 $36,015^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4469 | 283.2 | 100\% | 4424 |  | no plugs |
| 8887 | 7900980 | 2022-04-18T04:40 | 95-1 | $65^{\circ} 00,179$ S | 043 ${ }^{\circ} 54,511^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4618 | none | 100\% | 4575 |  | no plugs |
| 8886 | 7900979 | 2022-04-18T12:38 | 96-2 | $65^{\circ} 25,966$ S | 044 $36,015^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4638 | 96-1 | 100\% | 4595 | 1 |  |
| 9214 | 7900989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5) dropped into turbulent wake, float not seen under water
6) EK80 gives false readings, float ice cage touched A-frame when lifting over reeling
Tab. 2.11: ARVOR float deployments. *Ice Sensing Algorithm (ISA)

| internal ID | ARVOR S/N | WMO | Datetime time | Station PS129_ | deployment latitude | deployment longitude | EK80 depth reading | CDT cast | Sea ice | Corrected depth [m] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129-BSH1 | AI2600-22DE001 | 6904211 | 2022-03-07T20:51 | PS129_4-1 | $45^{\circ} 00.219^{\prime}$ | $1^{\circ} 25.364^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 4888.4 | none | none | 4887 |
| PS129-BSH2 | AI2600-22DE002 | 6904210 | 2022-03-08T11:09 | PS129_7-1 | $46^{\circ} 59.714^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 010 $01.181{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 4556.9 | none | none | 4543 |
| PS129-BSH3 | AI2600-22DE003 | 6904209 | 2022-03-09T12:42 | PS129_10-1 | $50^{\circ} 00.791$ S | $007^{\circ} 46.020^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 4451.2 | none | none | 4426 |
| PS129-BSH4 | AI2600-22DE004 | 6904208 | 2022-03-11T21:55 | PS129_16-1 | $57^{\circ} 37.450{ }^{\prime}$ | $001^{\circ} 20.715^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 4226.2 | none | none | 4182 |
| PS129-BSH5 | AI2600-22DE005 | 6904207 | 2022-03-13T20:18 | PS129_21-1 | $59^{\circ} 31.759^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 000 ${ }^{\circ} 00.447{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4659.7 | none | none | 4617 |
| PS129-BSH6 | AI2600-21DE018 | 6904130 | 2022-03-13T22:18 | PS129_22-1 | $59^{\circ} 50.669^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 000º 00.001' E | 5381.7 | none | none | 5355 |

Tab. 2.12: SOCCOM float deployments

| SOCCOM float S/N | Datetime time | Station PS129 | deployment latitude | deployment longitude | EK80 depth reading | CDT cast PS129 | Sea ice | Corrected depth | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19014 | 05.03.2022T12:56 | PS129_1-1 | $37^{\circ} 30.256^{\prime}$ S | 016 ${ }^{\circ} 19.712^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ |  | none | none |  | Subtropical |
| 19302 | 07.03.2022T11:05 | PS129_3-1 | $43^{\circ} 37.687^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $012^{\circ} 21.926^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ |  | none | none |  | Subtropical |
| 19996 | 13.03.2022T05:57 | PS129_18-8 | $59^{\circ} 06.633^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $000^{\circ} 06.225^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ |  | 18-7 | none |  | Subpolar |
| 19598 | 17.03.2022T19:10 | PS129_27-9 | $66^{\circ} 33.886^{\prime}$ S | $000^{\circ} 17.519^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 27-2 | none |  | Subpolar |
| 19951 | 19.03.2022T10:51 | PS129_30-3 | $65^{\circ} 58.121^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $012^{\circ} 11.299^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 30-1 | none |  | Subpolar |
| 19378 | 04.04.2022T22:00 | PS129 65-7 | $69^{\circ} 02.091^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $017^{\circ} 27.749^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 65-1 | none |  | Subpolar |
| 19045 | 07.04.2022T12:02 | PS129_71-4 | $68^{\circ} 42.809^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $027^{\circ} 04.704^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 71-2 | brown pancakes 100\% |  | Subpolar. |
| 19445 | 12.04.2022T21:59 | PS129_80-3 | $66^{\circ} 36.996^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $027^{\circ} 12.743^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 80-2 | none |  | Subpolar |
| 19441 | 14.04.2022T15:44 | PS129_86-4 | $65^{\circ} 41.710^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $036^{\circ} 41.039^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 86-1 | none |  | Subpolar |

Tab. 2.13: Salinity measurements by salinometer. Numbers printed red indicate values that exhibited a discontinuity in the OPS reading, resulting in their exclusion from the calibration process.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Salinity from CTD |  | Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Nr. | Date | OTE Bottle | Press [dbar] | Salinity OPS | Measured | Operator | Bottle Nr. | Remark | Sensor 1 | Sensor 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { to Sensor } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { to Sensor } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| PS129_018_07 | 12-03-2022T23:39 | 3 | 4509 | 34.6447 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 132 | OPS006 | 34.6468 | 34.6453 | -0.0021 | -0.0006 |
| PS129_018_07 | 12-03-2022T23:39 | 3 | 4509 | 34.6450 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 16-6 | OPS006 | 34.6468 | 34.6453 | -0.0018 | -0.0003 |
| PS129_018_07 | 12-03-2022T23:39 | 3 | 4509 | 34.6455 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 171 | OPS007 | 34.6468 | 34.6453 | -0.0013 | 0.0002 |
| PS129_018_07 | 12-03-2022T23:39 | 3 | 4509 | 34.6450 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 157 | OPS007 | 34.6468 | 34.6453 | -0.0018 | -0.0003 |
| PS129_018_07 | 12-03-2022T23:39 | 3 | 4509 | 34.6453 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 141 | OPS007 | 34.6468 | 34.6453 | -0.0015 | 0.0000 |
| PS129_018_07 | 12-03-2022T23:39 | 3 | 4509 | 34.6456 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 169 | OPS007 | 34.6468 | 34.6453 | -0.0012 | 0.0003 |
| PS129_018_07 | 12-03-2022T23:39 | 4 | 4354 | 34.6453 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 144 | OPS006 | 34.6470 | 34.6457 | -0.0017 | -0.0004 |
| PS129_018_07 | 12-03-2022T23:39 | 4 | 4354 | 34.6451 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 150 | OPS006 | 34.6470 | 34.6457 | -0.0019 | -0.0006 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 1 | 5466 | 34.6443 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 4 | OPS006 | 34.6460 | 34.6439 | -0.0017 | 0.0004 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 1 | 5466 | 34.6442 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 8-46 | OPS006 | 34.6460 | 34.6439 | -0.0018 | 0.0003 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 1 | 5466 | 34.6439 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 113 | OPS006 | 34.6460 | 34.6439 | -0.0021 | 0.0000 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 1 | 5466 | 34.6444 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 122 | OPS006 | 34.6460 | 34.6439 | -0.0016 | 0.0005 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 3 | 5099 | 34.6448 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 127 | OPS006 | 34.6463 | 34.6446 | -0.0015 | 0.0002 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 3 | 5099 | 34.6444 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 142 | OPS006 | 34.6463 | 34.6446 | -0.0019 | -0.0002 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 3 | 5099 | 34.6453 | 16.04.2022 | ST | 181 | OPS006 | 34.6463 | 34.6446 | -0.0010 | 0.0007 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 3 | 5099 | 34.6454 | 16.04.2022 | ST | 201 | OPS006 | 34.6463 | 34.6446 | -0.0009 | 0.0008 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 3 | 5099 | 34.6460 | 16.04.2022 | ST | 153 | OPS006 | 34.6463 | 34.6446 | -0.0003 | 0.0014 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 3 | 5099 | 34.6458 | 16.04.2022 | ST | 165 | OPS006 | 34.6463 | 34.6446 | -0.0005 | 0.0012 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 4 | 4491 | 34.6456 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 136 | OPS006 | 34.6486 | 34.6468 | -0.0030 | -0.0012 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 4 | 4491 | 34.6458 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 166 | OPS006 | 34.6486 | 34.6468 | -0.0028 | -0.0010 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 5 | 4077 | 34.6493 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 125 | OPS006 | 34.6517 | 34.6502 | -0.0024 | -0.0009 |
| PS129_023_01 | 14-03-2022T05:56 | 5 | 4077 | 34.6499 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 148 | OPS006 | 34.6517 | 34.6502 | -0.0018 | -0.0003 |
| PS129_025_08 | 16-03-2022T01:15 | 1 | 5261 | 34.6445 | 18.03.2022 | ST | 156 | OPS006 | 34.6474 | 34.6452 | -0.0029 | -0.0007 |
| PS129_025_08 | 16-03-2022T01:15 | 1 | 5261 |  | 18.03.2022 | ST | 170 | OPS006, invalid | 34.6474 | 34.6452 |  |  |
| PS129_025_08 | 16-03-2022T01:15 | 1 | 5261 | 34.6459 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 134 | OPS006 | 34.6474 | 34.6452 | -0.0015 | 0.0007 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Salinity from CTD |  | Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Nr. | Date | OTE Bottle | Press [dbar] | Salinity OPS | Measured | Operator | Bottle Nr. | Remark | Sensor 1 | Sensor 2 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { to Sensor } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { to Sensor } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| PS129_030_01 | 19-03-2022T03:09 | 2 | 5006 | 34.6438 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 8-46 | OPS006 | 34.6459 | 34.6441 | -0.0021 | -0.0003 |
| PS129_030_01 | 19-03-2022T03:09 | 3 | 4800 |  |  |  | 144 | pending | 34.6468 | 34.6453 |  |  |
| PS129_030_01 | 19-03-2022T03:09 | 3 | 4800 |  |  |  | 150 | pending | 34.6468 | 34.6453 |  |  |
| PS129_030_01 | 19-03-2022T03:09 | 3 | 4800 |  |  |  | 16-6 | pending | 34.6468 | 34.6453 |  |  |
| PS129_030_01 | 19-03-2022T03:09 | 3 | 4800 |  |  |  | 132 | pending | 34.6468 | 34.6453 |  |  |
| PS129_030_01 | 19-03-2022T03:09 | 3 | 4800 | 34.645 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 127 | OPS006 | 34.6468 | 34.6453 | -0.0018 | -0.0003 |
| PS129_030_01 | 19-03-2022T03:09 | 3 | 4800 | 34.6451 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 136 | OPS006 | 34.6468 | 34.6453 | -0.0017 | -0.0002 |
| PS129_030_01 | 19-03-2022T03:09 | 4 | 4223 | 34.6510 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 166 | OPS006 | 34.6531 | 34.6517 | -0.0021 | -0.0007 |
| PS129_030_01 | 19-03-2022T03:09 | 4 | 4223 | 34.6506 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 125 | OPS006 | 34.6531 | 34.6517 | -0.0025 | -0.0011 |
| PS129_030_01 | 19-03-2022T03:09 | 5 | 3771 | 34.6533 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 148 | OPS006 | 34.6558 | 34.6547 | -0.0025 | -0.0014 |
| PS129_030_01 | 19-03-2022T03:09 | 5 | 3771 | 34.6532 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 142 | OPS006 | 34.6558 | 34.6547 | -0.0026 | -0.0015 |
| PS129_040_02 | 21-03-2022T13:13 | 6 | 861 | 34.6284 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 175 | OPS006 | 34.6279 | 34.6276 | 0.0005 | 0.0008 |
| PS129_040_02 | 21-03-2022T13:13 | 6 | 861 | 34.6287 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 174 | OPS006 | 34.6279 | 34.6276 | 0.0008 | 0.0011 |
| PS129_040_02 | 21-03-2022T13:13 | 6 | 861 |  |  |  | 10-46 | pending | 34.6279 | 34.6276 |  |  |
| PS129_040_02 | 21-03-2022T13:13 | 6 | 861 |  |  |  | 123 | pending | 34.6279 | 34.6276 |  |  |
| PS129_040_02 | 21-03-2022T13:13 | 6 | 861 |  |  |  | 116 | pending | 34.6279 | 34.6276 |  |  |
| PS129_040_02 | 21-03-2022T13:13 | 6 | 861 |  |  |  | 5-8 | pending | 34.6279 | 34.6276 |  |  |
| PS129_040_02 | 21-03-2022T13:13 | 8 | 776 | 34.6065 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 170 | maybe forgot to wipe the intake manifold, OPS006 | 34.6062 | 34.6058 | 0.0003 | 0.0007 |
| PS129_040_02 | 21-03-2022T13:13 | 8 | 776 | 34.6070 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 176 | OPS006 | 34.6062 | 34.6058 | 0.0008 | 0.0012 |
| PS129_059_01 | 02-04-2022T12:38 | 6 | 1355 | 34.6760 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 156 | OPS006 | 34.6749 | 34.6742 | 0.0011 | 0.0018 |
| PS129_059_01 | 02-04-2022T12:38 | 6 | 1355 | 34.6733 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 164 | jump in reading | 34.6749 | 34.6742 | -0.0016 | -0.0009 |
| PS129_059_01 | 02-04-2022T12:38 | 6 | 1355 |  |  |  | 120 | pending | 34.6749 | 34.6742 |  |  |
| PS129_059_01 | 02-04-2022T12:38 | 6 | 1355 |  |  |  | 137 | pending | 34.6749 | 34.6742 |  |  |
| PS129_059_01 | 02-04-2022T12:38 | 6 | 1355 |  |  |  | 4-8 | pending | 34.6749 | 34.6742 |  |  |
| PS129_059_01 | 02-04-2022T12:38 | 6 | 1355 |  |  |  | 119 | pending | 34.6749 | 34.6742 |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Salinity from CTD |  | Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Nr. | Date | OTE Bottle | Press [dbar] | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Salinity } \\ \text { OPS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Measured | Operator | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bottle } \\ \mathrm{Nr} \text {. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Remark | Sensor 1 | Sensor 2 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { to Sensor } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { to Sensor } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| PS129_059_01 | 02-04-2022T12:38 | 7 | 1235 | 34.6760 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 100 | OPS006 | 34.6778 | 34.6772 | -0.0018 | -0.0012 |
| PS129_059_01 | 02-04-2022T12:38 | 7 | 1235 | 34.6761 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 179 | OPS006 | 34.6778 | 34.6772 | -0.0017 | -0.0011 |
| PS129_059_01 | 02-04-2022T12:38 | 8 | 1051 | 34.6747 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 128 | OPS006 | 34.6760 | 34.6756 | -0.0013 | -0.0009 |
| PS129_059_01 | 02-04-2022T12:38 | 8 | 1051 | 34.6747 | 03.04.2022 | ST | 126 | OPS006 | 34.6760 | 34.6756 | -0.0013 | -0.0009 |
| PS129_060_01 | 02-04-2022T19:33 | 6 | 1907 |  |  |  | 81-6 | pending | 34.6679 | 34.6672 |  |  |
| PS129_060_01 | 02-04-2022T19:33 | 6 | 1907 |  |  |  | 180 | pending | 34.6679 | 34.6672 |  |  |
| PS129_060_01 | 02-04-2022T19:33 | 6 | 1907 |  |  |  | 124 | pending | 34.6679 | 34.6672 |  |  |
| PS129_060_01 | 02-04-2022T19:33 | 6 | 1907 |  |  |  | 7-1 | pending | 34.6679 | 34.6672 |  |  |
| PS129_060_01 | 02-04-2022T19:33 | 6 | 1907 | 34.6682 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 159 | OPS007 | 34.6679 | 34.6672 | 0.0003 | 0.0010 |
| PS129_060_01 | 02-04-2022T19:33 | 6 | 1907 | 34.6682 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 114 | OPS007 | 34.6679 | 34.6672 | 0.0003 | 0.0010 |
| PS129_060_01 | 02-04-2022T19:33 | 7 | 1644 | 34.6717 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 167 | OPS007 | 34.6712 | 34.6709 | 0.0005 | 0.0008 |
| PS129_060_01 | 02-04-2022T19:33 | 7 | 1644 | 34.6721 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 500 | OPS007 | 34.6712 | 34.6709 | 0.0009 | 0.0012 |
| PS129_060_01 | 02-04-2022T19:33 | 8 | 1411 | 34.6738 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 400 | Wax, OPS007 | 34.6731 | 34.6727 | 0.0007 | 0.0011 |
| PS129_060_01 | 02-04-2022T19:33 | 8 | 1411 | 34.6738 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 401 | Wax, OPS007 | 34.6731 | 34.6727 | 0.0007 | 0.0011 |
| PS129_062_04 | 03-04-2022T07:07 | 2 | 3258 | 34.6578 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 405 | Wax, OPS007 | 34.6584 | 34.6576 | -0.0006 | 0.0002 |
| PS129_062_04 | 03-04-2022T07:07 | 2 | 3258 | 34.6575 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 407 | Wax, OPS007 | 34.6584 | 34.6576 | -0.0009 | -0.0001 |
| PS129_062_04 | 03-04-2022T07:07 | 2 | 3258 | 34.6578 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 411 | Wax, OPS007 | 34.6584 | 34.6576 | -0.0006 | 0.0002 |
| PS129_062_04 | 03-04-2022T07:07 | 2 | 3258 |  | 08.04.2022 | ST | 412 | Not degassed, Wax, OPS007, invalid | 34.6584 | 34.6576 |  |  |
| PS129_062_04 | 03-04-2022T07:07 | 2 | 3258 |  | 08.04.2022 | ST | 408 | Not degassed. Wax, OPS007, invalid | 34.6584 | 34.6576 |  |  |
| PS129_062_04 | 03-04-2022T07:07 | 2 | 3258 |  | 08.04.2022 | ST | 413 | Not degassed. Wax, OPS007, invalid | 34.6584 | 34.6576 |  |  |
| PS129_062_04 | 03-04-2022T07:07 | 3 | 3104 | 34.6578 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 406 | Wax, OPS007 | 34.6585 | 34.6579 | -0.0007 | -0.0001 |
| PS129_062_04 | 03-04-2022T07:07 | 3 | 3104 | 34.6585 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 410 | Wax, OPS007 | 34.6585 | 34.6579 | 0.0000 | 0.0006 |
| PS129_062_04 | 03-04-2022T07:07 | 4 | 2957 | 34.6585 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 409 | Wax, OPS007 | 34.6593 | 34.6586 | -0.0008 | -0.0001 |
| PS129_062_04 | 03-04-2022T07:07 | 4 | 2957 | 34.6586 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 404 | Wax, OPS007 | 34.6593 | 34.6586 | -0.0007 | 0.0000 |
| PS129_064_02 | 03-04-2022T18:08 | 2 | 4593 | 34.6516 | 08.04.2022 | ST | 127 | OPS007 | 34.6533 | 34.6521 | -0.0017 | -0.0005 |



|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \bar{\circ} \\ \hline 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | － | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \hline 8 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \bar{\circ} \\ \hline \mathbf{O} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{O} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{N}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{+}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \stackrel{\mathrm{H}}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 8 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ i \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \hline \mathbf{C} \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\infty} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & 0 \\ & \varepsilon \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\vdots}{0} \\ \dot{N} \\ \stackrel{N}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{o}{4} \\ & \stackrel{6}{0} \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \substack{0 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{j}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \substack{6 \\ \dot{j} \\ \hline} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{i} \\ \substack{1 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{m}} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{6} \\ & \dot{e} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{N} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} o \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \dot{m} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\sim} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & + \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & o \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{G} \\ & \dot{( } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{o} \\ \substack{0 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{m}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{6}{6} \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{j} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\oplus} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \dot{f} \\ & \dot{\oplus} \end{aligned}$ |  | ＋ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \vec{B} \\ & \underline{\bar{E}} \\ & \dot{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{( }{0} \\ \stackrel{0}{6} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{n} \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Gamma}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\dot{m}} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} N \\ \tilde{U} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{\text { d }}{\dot{~}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \stackrel{N}{U} \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} N \\ \tilde{j} \\ \dot{j} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \tilde{J} \\ \dot{j} \\ \dot{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \stackrel{N}{U} \\ \dot{e} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{+} \\ & \stackrel{+}{0} \\ & \stackrel{j}{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{+}{6} \\ & \dot{( } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \hat{m} \\ 0 \\ \dot{\omega} \\ \dot{\omega} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & \underset{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{+}{0} \\ & \dot{( } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{o} \\ & \dot{d} \\ & \dot{j} \\ & \dot{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{+}{G} \\ & \dot{( } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \substack{0 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{j}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{o} \\ & \stackrel{G}{G} \\ & \dot{( } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{+}{+} \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{+}} \\ & \stackrel{+}{\dot{~}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{f}{f} \\ & \dot{6} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{o} \\ & \dot{G} \\ & \dot{W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \mathbf{U} \\ \dot{e} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 응 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{訁} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{o}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\circ$ 0 0 0 0 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l\|l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\circ$ 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \stackrel{2}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{訁} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{訁} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | － |
|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{7}$ | 안 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 찯 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{N}}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{F}}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{ণ}$ | $\frac{\circ}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{f}$ | N | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{N}}$ | ¢ | N | $\hat{F}$ | ¢ | $\overline{\underset{v}{g}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\frac{0}{7}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 츧 |
|  |  | ゅ | ¢ | ち |  |  |  |  | ¢ | ち | ち | ち | ¢ | ち |  |  |  |  | ¢ | ¢ | ち | ち | ち | 5 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{+} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{+} \\ & \dot{\oplus} \\ & \dot{\oplus} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{N} \\ & \underset{N}{n} \\ & \dot{U} \\ & \dot{C} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \dot{+} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \dot{+} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | N N ＋ ＋̇ ＋ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{+} \\ \dot{+} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \dot{+} \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{+} \\ \dot{+} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{+} \\ \dot{+} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 증 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\dot{m}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{y} \\ & \underset{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\oplus}{0} \\ & \dot{@} \\ & \dot{C} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \stackrel{6}{6} \\ \dot{( } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{+}{0} \\ & \dot{( } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{+} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \stackrel{0}{\mid} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{M} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | さ |  | － | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{ल} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ 0 $\vdots$ ¢ | ～ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{子}}{\mid}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \circ \\ \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \circ \\ \stackrel{\circ}{寸} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{n}{\varphi} \\ \stackrel{y}{6} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{6}{6}}{\dot{6}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{e}{e}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{e}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{4}}{\substack{2 \\ \hline}}$ | $\left\lvert\,\right.$ | 엉 | $\stackrel{\sim}{4}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{\circ}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{o}}}{ }$ | 守 | $\stackrel{\circ}{寸}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\hat{\circ}}{\dot{\circ}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{o} \\ \stackrel{\sigma}{+} \end{gathered}$ | ¢ |
|  |  | m | ＊ | ＊ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | m | m | $\llcorner$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ～ | $\sim$ | $\infty$ | m | － | ＊ | ～ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { 甲 }}{\stackrel{y}{\circ}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{o} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\prime} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\prime} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\overline{0}$ 0 0 0 N N a | $\overline{0}$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $\vdots$ <br> $\vdots$ <br> $i$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\grave{N}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hat{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \\ & \dot{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $N$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $\vdots$ <br>  <br>  | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} N_{1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \\ \tilde{n} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & N_{1} \\ & \otimes_{1}^{\prime} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & N_{1} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & N_{1} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & N_{1} \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N_{1} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \\ \stackrel{N}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \text { O} \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | N O 0 i N i | $\begin{aligned} & N_{1} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N_{1} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \omega_{1} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N o 0 i N i | N O O O |


|  |  |  | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | B | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & \stackrel{O}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 8 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ơ } \\ & \text { ón } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} n \\ b & 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \stackrel{m}{0} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \overline{5} \\ \hline \mathbf{B} \end{gathered}$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ه |  |  | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & \stackrel{8}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & \mathbf{o} \\ & \vdots \\ & i \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{O} \\ & \hline \mathbf{O} \\ & \hline i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hat{O} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ i \\ i \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathbf{8} \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { N}}{0} \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{~}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{o} \\ & \stackrel{O}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{r}{\circ} \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{o}{5} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbb{N} \\ \text { O} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underline{6} \\ & \dot{ल} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\dot{G}} \mathbf{j} \\ & \dot{ভ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{̣}{f} \\ & \dot{( } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{̣}{6} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | Bi |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\,\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{f} \\ & \dot{e} \\ & \dot{ल} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{9} \\ \stackrel{G}{6} \\ \dot{ल} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{f} \\ & \stackrel{+}{\dot{e}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | － |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \mathbf{S} \\ & \dot{ल} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{o} \\ & + \\ & \dot{c} \\ & \dot{e} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & + \\ & \dot{C} \\ & \dot{W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{W} \\ & \dot{M} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \substack{0 \\ \dot{c} \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ |  | N |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{O}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|l} 3 \\ \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 3 \\ \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots \\ 5 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\circ$ 0 0 0 0 | $\circ$ 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | － |
|  | $\stackrel{0}{ \pm}$ | \％ | ก | $\stackrel{\text {－}}{+}$ | $\stackrel{8}{+}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\dot{\gamma}}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | 耳 | $\stackrel{m}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\tau}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | ৪i | \％ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{ণ}$ | － | N | $\stackrel{o}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{ণ}$ | $\frac{0}{7}$ | 罗 | $\stackrel{\text { 안 }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { 앋 }}{\sim}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { à } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ | ち | ゅ | ち | ¢ | ¢ | ち | ¢ | ち | ¢ | ち | ち | ゅ | ゅ | ゅ | ¢ | ¢ | ち | ¢ | ち | ¢ | ¢ | ゅ | ゅ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{c} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\dot{d}} \\ & \dot{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | N <br> N <br> ＋ <br> ＋ <br> © <br>  |  | N N ＋ ＋ N | N N N i ì | N N N B in | N N N ＋ © $\stackrel{0}{+}$ | N <br> N <br> N <br> ＋ <br> © <br> － | N | N <br> N <br> N <br> ＋ <br> © <br> $\stackrel{1}{c}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \dot{+} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{U}} \\ & \mathbf{U} \\ & \underset{ल}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 乞 } \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{+}{0} \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{o}} \\ & \mathbf{~} \\ & \dot{\mathbf{j}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & \stackrel{4}{+} \\ & \dot{\oplus} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{4} \\ & \mathbf{6} \\ & \mathbf{~} \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{4} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{0} \\ & \stackrel{4}{+} \\ & \stackrel{\oplus}{\dot{m}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{N} \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{c} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{( } \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{f}{f} \\ & \underset{j}{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{f} \\ & \underset{\sim}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{4} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\dot{m}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ \stackrel{n}{i} \\ \stackrel{j}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{f}{f} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ |  | ＋ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}{6}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hat{\circ} \\ 寸 \end{array}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \dot{寸} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \circ \\ 寸 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\hat{\sim}}{\underset{\gamma}{0}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{\circ} \\ \underset{\gamma}{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\dot{q}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{6}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{寸}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathscr{F}}$ | $\frac{\infty}{7}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\square}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{\circ} \\ \dot{\phi} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{e} \\ \hline+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{\circ} \\ \dot{\phi} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{\circ} \\ \hline+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{e} \\ & \hline 6 \end{aligned}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{g}}$ | － |
|  |  | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ल | m | － | $\checkmark$ | N | N | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | N | $\sim$ | m | $\cdots$ | － | － | $\sim$ | N | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | m |
|  | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ® ì N N N ＋ ＋ ＋ ＋ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |  | Non |
|  |  |  |  | N N O N N a |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0_{1} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ | $N$ 0 0 0 $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ 0 | $\overline{0}$ 0 0 0 2 $\vdots$ 2 | $\begin{array}{ll} 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{0}$ 0 0 0 2 $\vdots$ 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{3} \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 5 <br> $\vdots$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $\vdots$ <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \hat{\infty} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{o} \\ & \hat{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\grave{n}} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sigma} \\ & \hat{\infty} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{0} \end{aligned}$ | （1） |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Salinity | m CTD | De | tion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Nr. | Date | OTE Bottle | Press [dbar] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Salinity } \\ \text { OPS } \end{gathered}$ | Measured | Operator | Bottle Nr . | Remark | Sensor 1 | Sensor 2 | to Sensor | $\begin{gathered} \text { to Sensor } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| PS129_102_01 | 20-04-2022T05:05 | 5 | 3982 | 34.6494 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 402 | OPS006 | 34.6503 | 34.6494 | -0.0009 | 0.0000 |
| PS129_102_01 | 20-04-2022T05:05 | 5 | 3982 | 34.6492 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 165 | OPS006 | 34.6503 | 34.6494 | -0.0011 | -0.0002 |
| PS129_102_01 | 20-04-2022T05:05 | 6 | 3873 | 34.6535 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 435 | OPS006 | 34.6545 | 34.6534 | -0.001 | 0.0001 |
| PS129_102_01 | 20-04-2022T05:05 | 6 | 3873 | 34.6534 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 157 | OPS006 | 34.6545 | 34.6534 | -0.0011 | 0.0000 |
| PS129_102_01 | 20-04-2022T05:05 | 7 | 3617 | 34.6542 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 169 | OPS006 | 34.6554 | 34.6545 | -0.0012 | -0.0003 |
| PS129_102_01 | 20-04-2022T05:05 | 7 | 3617 | 34.6542 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 403 | OPS006 | 34.6554 | 34.6545 | -0.0012 | -0.0003 |
| PS129_103_01 | 20-04-2022T11:17 | 5 | 3667 | 34.6541 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 424 | OPS006 | 34.6551 | 34.6542 | -0.001 | -0.0001 |
| PS129_103_01 | 20-04-2022T11:17 | 5 | 3667 | 34.654 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 410 | OPS006 | 34.6551 | 34.6542 | -0.0011 | -0.0002 |
| PS129_103_01 | 20-04-2022T11:17 | 7 | 3051 | 34.6569 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 414 | OPS006 | 34.6578 | 34.6569 | -0.0009 | 0.0000 |
| PS129_103_01 | 20-04-2022T11:17 | 7 | 3051 | 34.6569 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 431 | OPS006 | 34.6578 | 34.6569 | -0.0009 | 0.0000 |
| PS129_104_01 | 20-04-2022T17:29 | 5 | 3483 | 34.6529 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 142 | OPS006 | 34.6540 | 34.6532 | -0.0011 | -0.0003 |
| PS129_104_01 | 20-04-2022T17:29 | 5 | 3483 | 34.6531 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 425 | OPS006 | 34.6540 | 34.6532 | -0.0009 | -0.0001 |
| PS129_104_01 | 20-04-2022T17:29 | 6 | 3360 | 34.654 | 26.04.2022 | ST | 500 | OPS007 | 34.6554 | 34.6546 | -0.0014 | -0.0006 |
| PS129_104_01 | 20-04-2022T17:29 | 6 | 3360 | 34.6536 | 26.04.2022 | ST | 179 | OPS007 | 34.6554 | 34.6546 | -0.0018 | -0.0010 |
| PS129_105_01 | 21-04-2022T01:09 | 5 | 3005 | 34.6564 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 401 | OPS006 | 34.6570 | 34.6565 | -0.0006 | -0.0001 |
| PS129_105_01 | 21-04-2022T01:09 | 5 | 3005 | 34.6563 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 409 | OPS006 | 34.6570 | 34.6565 | -0.0007 | -0.0002 |
| PS129_105_01 | 21-04-2022T01:09 | 6 | 2816 | 34.6575 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 433 | OPS006 | 34.6580 | 34.6575 | -0.0005 | 0.0000 |
| PS129_105_01 | 21-04-2022T01:09 | 6 | 2816 | 34.6575 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 434 | OPS006 | 34.6580 | 34.6575 | -0.0005 | 0.0000 |
| PS129_106_01 | 21-04-2022T06:40 | 5 | 2521 | 34.6591 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 417 | OPS006 | 34.6589 | 34.6584 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 |
| PS129_106_01 | 21-04-2022T06:40 | 5 | 2521 | 34.6586 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 411 | OPS006 | 34.6589 | 34.6584 | -0.0003 | 0.0002 |
| PS129_106_01 | 21-04-2022T06:40 | 5 | 2521 | 34.6587 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 427 | OPS006 | 34.6589 | 34.6584 | -0.0002 | 0.0003 |
| PS129_106_01 | 21-04-2022T06:40 | 6 | 3041 | 34.6292 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 418 | OPS006 | 34.6296 | 34.6291 | -0.0004 | 0.0001 |
| PS129_106_01 | 21-04-2022T06:40 | 6 | 3041 | 34.6292 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 136 | OPS006 | 34.6296 | 34.6291 | -0.0004 | 0.0001 |
| PS129_106_01 | 21-04-2022T06:40 | 6 | 3041 | 34.629 | 22.04.2022 | ST | 420 | OPS006 | 34.6296 | 34.6291 | -0.0006 | -0.0001 |
| PS129_107_01 | 21-04-2022T15:15 | 5 | 2295 | 34.6571 | 26.04.2022 | ST | 417 | OPS007 | 34.6574 | 34.6571 | -0.0003 | 0.0000 |
| PS129_107_01 | 21-04-2022T15:15 | 5 | 2295 | 34.6571 | 26.04.2022 | ST | 416 | OPS007 | 34.6574 | 34.6571 | -0.0003 | 0.0000 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Salinity from CTD |  | Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Nr. | Date | OTE Bottle | Press [dbar] | Salinity OPS | Measured | Operator | Bottle Nr. | Remark | Sensor 1 | Sensor 2 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { to Sensor } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { to Sensor } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| PS129_120_01 | 23-04-2022T12:15 | 5 | 356 | 34.5981 | 26.04.2022 | ST | 433 | OPS007 | 34.5958 | 34.5968 | 0.0023 | 0.0013 |
| PS129_120_01 | 23-04-2022T12:15 | 5 | 356 | 34.5978 | 26.04.2022 | ST | 142 | OPS007 | 34.5958 | 34.5968 | 0.002 | 0.0010 |
| PS129_120_01 | 23-04-2022T12:15 | 6 | 319 | 34.5829 | 26.04.2022 | ST | 434 | OPS007 | 34.5811 | 34.5818 | 0.0018 | 0.0011 |
| PS129_120_01 | 23-04-2022T12:15 | 6 | 319 | 34.5826 | 26.04.2022 | ST | 425 | OPS007 | 34.5811 | 34.5818 | 0.0015 | 0.0008 |
| PS129_121_01 | 23-04-2022T17:19 | 5 | 303 | 34.5342 | 26.04.2022 | ST | 117 | OPS007 | 34.5321 | 34.5322 | 0.0021 | 0.0020 |
| PS129_121_01 | 23-04-2022T17:19 | 5 | 303 | 34.5342 | 26.04.2022 | ST | 411 | OPS007 | 34.5321 | 34.5322 | 0.0021 | 0.0020 |

Tab.2.20 : List of common problems of L-ADCP casts by station number

| Station ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LADCP } \\ & \text { cast } \end{aligned}$ | File Names | Large updown compass difference (>15 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Found no SADCP data in time window | Found LARGE timing difference between ADCPs | Found $x$ ADCP w deviating more than $2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ from w-CTD. | Increased error because of shearinverse difference | shifted CTD time series by x seconds | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 014_01 | 2 | 002DN000.000 | - | - | - | - |  | - | test cast |
| 018_07 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 004DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 004UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - | - |
| 023_01 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 005DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 005UP000.000 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 025_08 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 006DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 006UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 8 | X | - |  |
| 027_02 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 007DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 007UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 030_01 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 008DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 008UP000.000 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 040_02 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 009DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 009DN001.000 } \\ & \text { 009UP000.000 } \\ & \text { 009UP001.000 } \end{aligned}$ | 18.4714 | - | - | - | $X$ | - |  |
| 041_02 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 010DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 010UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | X | - | - | X | - |  |
| 042_01 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 011DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 011UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 047_01 | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 013DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 013UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 049_01 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 014DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 014UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 053_03 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 015DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 015UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 054_03 | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 016DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 016UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 058_02 | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 018DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 018UP000.000 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 059_01 | 19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 019DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 019UP000.000 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 060_01 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 020DN000.000 } \\ & \text { O20UP000.000 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 1 | X | - |  |


| Station ID | LADCP cast | File Names | Large updown compass difference ( $>15^{\circ}$ ) | Found no SADCP data in time window | Found LARGE timing difference between ADCPs | Found $x$ ADCP $w$ deviating more than $2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ from $\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{CTD}$. | Increased error because of shearinverse difference | shifted CTD time series by x seconds | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 062_04 | 21 | 021DN000.000 021DN001.000 021DN002.000 021DN003.000 021UP000.000 021UP001.000 021UP002.000 | - | - | - | - | X | - | data gap during upcast between $2,100 \mathrm{~m}$ and $1,800 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 064_02 | 22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 022DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 022DN001.000 } \\ & \text { 022UP000.000 } \\ & \text { 022UP001.000 } \\ & \text { 022UP002.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 1 | X | - | data gap during upcast between $2,400 \mathrm{~m}$ and $2,300 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 065_01 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O23DNOOO.000 } \\ & \text { O23UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - | battery change |
| 068_01 | 24 | 024DN000.000 024UP000.000 024UP001.000 | - | - | - | 1 | X | - |  |
| 070_01 | 25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 025DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 025DN001.000 } \\ & \text { 025UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - | only down looker contained data |
| 071_02 | 26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 026DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 026UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 072_01 | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O27DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 027UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 072_03 | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O29DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 029UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 074_04 | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O30DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 030UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 080_02 | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 031DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 031UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - | ADCP battery was changed |
| 082_01 | 32 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O32DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 032UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 1 | X | - | a new CTD file, was created for the up cast |


| Station ID | $\begin{gathered} \text { LADCP } \\ \text { cast } \end{gathered}$ | File Names | Large updown compass difference (>15 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Found no SADCP data in time window | Found LARGE timing difference between ADCPs | Found $x$ ADCP w deviating more than $2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ from w-CTD. | Increased error because of shearinverse difference | shifted CTD time series by x seconds | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 083_02 | 33 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 033DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 033DN001.000 } \\ & \text { 033UP000.000 } \\ & \text { 033UP001.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - | data gap during upcast between $1,400 \mathrm{~m}$ and $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 086_01 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 034DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 034UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 087_01 | 35 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 035DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 035UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 37 | X | - | large tilt values |
| 088_01 | 36 | 036DN000.000 036DN001.000 036DN002.000 036UP000.000 036UP001.000 036UP002.000 | 16.7237 | - | - | 1 | X | - | L-ADCP measured only below $2,500 \mathrm{~m}$ on the downcast |
| 096_01 | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 040DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 040UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - | error message at the L-ADCP start: date out of range |
| 097_01 | 42 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 042DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 042UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 099_01 | 43 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 043DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 043UP000.000 } \\ & \text { 043UP001.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 100_03 | 44 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 044DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 044DN001.000 } \\ & \text { 044UP000.000 } \\ & \text { 044UP001.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | 14 | data gap during the upcast between $1,800 \mathrm{~m}$ and 300 m |
| 102_01 | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 046DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 046UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | 18 |  |
| 103_01 | 47 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 047DN000.000 } \\ & 047 \text { UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 104_01 | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 049DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 049UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 105_01 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 050DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 050UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |


| Station ID | LADCP cast | File Names | Large updown compass difference ( $>15^{\circ}$ ) | Found no SADCP data in time window | Found LARGE timing difference between ADCPs | Found $x$ ADCP w deviating more than $2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ from w-CTD. | Increased error because of shearinverse difference | shifted CTD time series by x seconds | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106_01 | 51 | 051DN000.000 051UP000.000 | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 107_01 | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 052DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 052UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 109_03 | 53 | 053DN000.000 053UP000.000 | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 110_01 | 55 | 055DN000.000 055DN001.000 055DN002.000 055UP000.000 055UP001.000 055UP002.000 | - | - | - | - | X | 31 | 2 data gaps during the upcast, between 1,600 and 1,500, and between 950 and 900 m |
| 111_01 | 56 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 056DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 056UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 112_01 | 58 | 058DN000.000 058DN001.000 058DN002.000 058DN003.000 058UP000.000 058UP001.000 058UP002.000 058UP003.000 | - | - | - | - | X | - | data gap between 850 m and 700 m |
| 114_02 | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 059DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 059UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 116_01 | 61 | 061DN000.000 061DN001.000 061UP000.000 | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 117_01 | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 062DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 062UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 119_01 | 63 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 063DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 063UP000.000 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 120_01 | 64 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 064DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 064UP000.000 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |


| Station ID | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LADCP } \\ & \text { cast } \end{aligned}$ | File Names | Large updown compass difference ( $>15^{\circ}$ ) | Found no SADCP data in time window | Found LARGE timing difference between ADCPs | Found x ADCP w deviating more than $2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ from w-CTD. | Increased error because of shearinverse difference | shifted CTD time series by x seconds | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121_01 | 65 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 065DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 065UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 122_01 | 66 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 066DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 066UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 123_01 | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 067DNO00.000 } \\ & \text { 067UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |
| 127_03 | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 068DN000.000 } \\ & \text { 068UP000.000 } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | X | - |  |

Tab. 2.22: Overview of SonoVault and AURAL recorders recovered during PS129. All SV recorders

| Mooring | Device <br> SN | Latitude | Longitude | Deployment <br> depth/m | Deployment date <br> /time (UTC) | Recovery date | Gain/dB *) | Setup |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AWI 227-15 | SV1006 | $59^{\circ} 03.02^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $000^{\circ} 06.44^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 285 | $2018-12-31 \mathrm{~T} 10: 10$ | $2022-03-12 \mathrm{~T} 10: 32: 00$ | $44.2 / 44.4$ | 24 kHz (24 bit; LowPower |
| mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]Tab. 2.23: Overview of acoustic recorders deployed during PS129

| Mooring | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Acoustic } \\ \text { Recorder } \\ \text { SN } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Position Latitude | Position Longitude | water depth true [m] | Deploy. Depth [m] | Deploy. date /time (UTC) | hydrophon e type | Gain PHO and MRPro /dB *) | Configuration | Start date recordings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AWI 227-16 | SV1005 | 59 ${ }^{\circ} 02.977^{\prime}$ S | 000 ${ }^{\circ} 06.483{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 4587 | 282 | 2022-03-12T14:21 | D60 | $43.3^{\text {a) }} / 40.7^{\text {b }}$ | 1) , 2) | 2022-03-10T11:30 |
| AWI 229-15 | SV1009 | 64 ${ }^{\circ} 01.222^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 000 ${ }^{\circ} 00.820^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 5146 | 296 | 2022-03-15T15:41 | TC4032 | $40.5^{\text {a) }} / 40.8^{\text {b) }}$ | 1), 2) | 2022-03-10T11:30 |
| AWI 231-14 | SV1021 | 66 ${ }^{\circ} 31.043^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 00004.477' W | 4557 | 307 | 2022-03-17T13:55 | TC4032 | $40.5^{\text {a) }} / 40.8^{\text {b }}$ | 1) , 2) | 2022-03-10T11:30 |
| EWS 003-01 | SV1008 | $70^{\circ} 17.905$ S | 013 $26.779^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3304 | 346 | 2022-04-03T12:41 | TC4032 | $40.5{ }^{\text {a) }} / 40.8^{\text {b) }}$ | 1) , 2) | 2022-03-22T11:30 |
| AWI 245-06 | SV1022 | 69 ${ }^{\circ} 03.636^{\prime}$ S | 017 $23.455^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4721 | 285 | 2022-04-04T16:03 | TC4032 | $40.1^{\text {a) }} / 40.9^{\text {b) }}$ | 1) , 2) | 2022-03-30T11:30 |
| AWI 249-04 | SV1026 | $70^{\circ} 49.932$ S | 029 ${ }^{\circ} 07,930^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4374 | 312 | 2022-04-08T19:50 | TC4032 | $41.7^{\text {a) }} /$ - | 1) , 2) | 2022-04-05T11:30 |
| CWS 001-01 | SV1031 | 69 $33.349^{\prime}$ S | 032 ${ }^{\circ} 28.620^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4430 | 314 | 2022-04-10T22:36 | D60 | $43.6^{\text {a) }} / 40.8^{\text {b }}$ | 1) , 2) | 2022-04-06T11:30 |
| as above | AU0231 | $69^{\circ} 33.349^{\prime}$ S | 032 ${ }^{\circ} 28.620^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4430 | 268 | 2022-04-10T22:36 | HTI-96-min | - | 3) | 2022-12-31T12:00 |
| AWI 209-09 | SV1025 | $66^{\circ} 36.444^{\prime}$ S | $27^{\circ} 07.279^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4821 | 299 | 2022-04-12T17:06 | TC4032 | $44.1^{\text {a) }} / 44.9{ }^{\text {b) }}$ | 1) , 2) | 2022-04-12T11:30 |
| AWI 208-10 | SV1049 | $65^{\circ} 41.760$ S | $36^{\circ} 40.971$ 'W | 4715 | 298 | 2022-04-14T15:34 | TC4032 | $44.1^{\text {a) }} / 44.5^{\text {b) }}$ | 1) , 2) | 2022-04-12T11:30 |
| CWS 002-01 | SV1030 | 66 ${ }^{\circ} 22,766^{\prime}$ S | 041 ${ }^{\circ} 23,502^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4524 | 298 | 2022.04.16T17:05 | TC4032 | $40.3^{\text {a) }} / 40.9^{\text {b) }}$ | 1), 2) | 2022-04-14T11:30 |
| WWS 002-01 | SV1027 | $65^{\circ} 25.985$ 'S | $044^{\circ} 35.575^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4416 | 310 | 2022-04-17T20:44 | TC4032 | $40.8^{\text {a) }} / 40.9^{\text {b) }}$ | 1) , 2) | 2022-04-13T11:30 |
| as above | AU0086 | $65^{\circ} 25.9855^{\text {S }}$ | 044 ${ }^{\circ} 35.575^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4416 | 257 | 2022-04-17T20:44 | HTI-96-min | - | 3) | 2022-12-31T12:00 |
| AWI 257-2 | SV1034 | 64* 14,420' S | 047 $29,114^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4142 | 308 | 2022-04-19T20:42 | TC4032 | $44.8{ }^{\text {a) }} / 44.88^{\text {b) }}$ | 1) , 2) | 2022-04-16T11:30 |
| AWI 207-12 | SV1013 | 63 $37,749^{\prime}$ S | 050 47,457' W | 2502 | 276 | 2022-04-21 T23:50 | TC4032 | $44.5^{\text {a) }} / 44.9{ }^{\text {b) }}$ | 1), 2) | 2022-04-15T11:30 |
| AWI 261-02 | SV1023 | 63 ${ }^{\circ} 29,929$ S | 051 ${ }^{\circ} 38,229^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1618 | 255 | 2022-04-22T21:12 | D60 | $46.8^{\text {a }} / 44.9^{\text {b }}$ | 1) , 2) | 2022-04-21T11:30 |
| AWI 251-04 | SV1054 | 61 ${ }^{\circ} 01,376$ S | 055 ${ }^{\circ} 58,665^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 311 | 153 | 2022-04-24T16:20 | TC4032 | $40.9{ }^{\text {a) }} / 41.2^{\text {b) }}$ | 1) , 2) | 2022-04-19T11:30 |
| as above | AU0303 | $61^{\circ} 01,376{ }^{\text {S }}$ | 0555 58,665' W | 311 | 148 | 2022-04-24T16:20 | HTI-96-min | - | 3), 4) | 2022-04-23T20:00 |

*) Calibration before deployment, using a a) B\&K Pistonphone at $251.2 \mathrm{~Hz} \pm 0.1 \%$ (ISO 266) and 153.95 dB SPL amplitude (at 1013 hPa air pressure) and b) a signal generator MR Pro at 1 kHz with $\mathrm{V} 0 \mathrm{p}=7.1 \mathrm{mV}$ connected to the hydrophone connector on the electronics board; 1) 48 kHz ; 24 bit; Low Power Mode; 2) Schedule: 25 hrs ON / 23 hrs OFF (11:30-12:30 +1d);
3) Schedule: 10 min every full hour 32 kHz 3) Schedule: 10 min every full hour, 32 kHz ;
4) VLP WB2 OSR 128 (Very low power, wide band 2, over-sampling-rate 128)
Tab. 2. 24: Overview of results of preliminary technical and data quality evaluation of recorders recovered during PS129

| Mooring | Recorder [SN] | Deployment Expedition | Deployment [datetime] | Recording end [date time] | com ms | Battery status end (start) | Clock <br> drift <br> [sec / <br> ann] | Quality data <br> [days] | Missing records | Recording status | Electronic noise (preliminary results) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AWI 227-15 | SV1006 | PS117 | 2018-12-31T10:10 | 2019-02-08T08:05:50 | No | $\begin{aligned} & 13.9 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | nn | 38 | none | end, possibly electronic failure, reason unknown | 4) |
| AWI 229-14 | SV1060 | PS117 | 2019-01-01T22:38 | 2019-05-10T12:39:28 | No | nn | nn | 127 | From 22/03 <br> to 02/05 <br> 2019 <br> 2 SD Cards <br> not <br> readable | burned out | no |
| AWI 231-13 | SV1056 | PS117 | 2018:12:27T18:34 | 2020-05-20T06:10:06 | No | $\begin{aligned} & 8.45 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | nn | 509 | none | end: battery low | no |
| AWI 248-3 | SV1012 | PS117 | 2019-01-07T10:37 | 2020-04-23T07:06:02 | No | $\begin{aligned} & 7.52 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | nn | 470 | none | end: battery low | no |
| AWI 245-5 | SV1014 | PS117 | 2019-01-08T14:20 | 2020-07-03T06:31:00 | No | $\begin{aligned} & 7.14 \\ & (25) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | nn | 540 | none | end: battery low | no |
| BGC-1 | SV1024 | PS124 | 2021-03-24T13:13 | 2022-03-15T20:51:08 | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 24.6 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | 363 | 349 | none | storage full | 6) |
| AWI 208-9 | SV1020 | PS117 | 2019-01-23T16:01 | 2019-07-08T00:23:00 |  |  |  | 75 | none | end, possibly electronic failure, reason unknown | 6) |
| AWI 207-11 | SV1032 | PS117 | 2019-01-29T17:08 | 2020-08-14T13:32:52 | No | $\begin{aligned} & 6.83 \\ & (25) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 561 | none | end: battery low | 4, 5) |
| AWI 251-3 | SV1002 | PS117 | 2019-02-01T18:30 | 2020-04-25T03:25:15 | No | $\begin{aligned} & 10.85 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | 380 | 449 | 1) | end: battery low | 1) |
| AWI 251-3 | AURAL | PS117 | 2019-02-01T18:30 | 2021-02-04T03:00:00 |  |  |  | 734 | 1) | end: battery low | 1) |

[^6]
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## Objectives

The determination of nutrients and biogeochemical parameters is closely connected with physical and biological investigations. The development of phytoplankton blooms and particulate organic matter flux is especially dependent on the available nutrients. Nutrients are also well suited as tracers for the identification of water masses. This work was carried out to continue the investigation of the seasonal as well as the interannual variability of nutrients in the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) and the Weddell Gyre. In comparison to similar transects of former years, our work focused especially on the transect from Kapp Norvegia to Joinville Island (Hoppema et al., 2015). Providing baseline values for $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and $\delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ isotopes of particulate organic matter (POM) is a further major aspect of this part of the HAFOS project. Significantly higher ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ enrichment in ice algae relative to pelagic phytoplankton allows for the tracking of carbon from ice algae and pelagic phytoplankton to higher trophic levels (Pinault et al., 2013). The values are depending on various regional aspects of phytoplankton blooms and thus of the POM composition. The results will be used as baseline values for Bayesian multisource stable isotope mixing models (SIAR; Parnell et al., 2010).

## Work at sea

Equipment and method-nutrients were analyzed with a SEAL AA 500, continuous flow autoanalyser (Strickland and Parsons, 1968). Water samples were drawn from the CTD/rosette and were measured unfiltered. Measurements were made simultaneously on five channels of the auto-analyzer for phosphate, silicate, nitrate, nitrite and ammonium. (Grasshoff et al., 1983, Murphy and Riley, 1962). All measurements were calibrated with a five-nutrient standard cocktail solution (all from Merck, traceable to SRM from NIST) diluted in artificial seawater (ASW), while ASW was also used as rinse water between the samples. Data were all standardized by the same in-house reference material based on a batch of water from the deep ocean. In each run we checked our measurements and standards against the reference material for nutrients in seawater (CRM 7602-a + CRM 7603a) produced by the National Meteorological Research Institute, Japan. Our standards and methods have been verified by intercalibration exercises like ICES and Quasimeme, and last year's RMNS exercise organized by Dr. Michio Aoyama of the National Meteorological Research Institute. At selected stations, 6 L of sample water for POC analysis ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~L}$ at the chlorophyll maximum and $1 \times 2 \mathrm{~L}$ at 10 m depth) were taken from the Niskin bottles, filtered on Whatman GF/F filters ( $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pore size) and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Preliminary results

During this cruise we measured 954 samples for nutrients and 160 ones for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ stable isotopes. The nutrients are important parameters (4,770 data points) allowing other parameters to be related to biological activity such as primary production and remineralization. Nutrients
can also be used as tracers of water masses. Analysis of $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and $\delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ of POM will highlight the interaction between sympagic and pelagic phytoplankton communities. This will be done by isotope ratio mass spectrometry in combination with an elemental analyser.

## Data management

All nutrient data are available among all cruise participants. For quality measures, after the cruise some re-analysis and quality management will take part. We plan that the full data set will be available as soon as possible, but the latest one year after the cruise. Data will be archived, published and disseminated according to international standards by the World Data Center PANGAEA Data Publisher for Earth \& Environmental Science (https://www.pangaea. de) within two years after the end of the cruise at the latest. By default, the CC-BY license will be applied. Data on carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios of POC will be provided upon request to all cruise participants and later also uploaded to the PANGAEA data archive.

This expedition was supported by the Helmholtz Research Programme "Changing Earth Sustaining our Future" Topic 6, Subtopic 2. In all publications based on this expedition, the Grant No. AWI_PS129_03 will be quoted and the following publication will be cited:

Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung (2017) Polar Research and Supply Vessel POLARSTERN Operated by the Alfred-Wegener-Institute. Journal of Large-Scale Research Facilities, 3, A119. http://dx.doi.org/10.17815/jlsrf-3-163.
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# 4. THE CARBON SYSTEM OF THE WEDDELL SEA 
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## Objectives

The Southern Ocean is a key region for our understanding of the global carbon cycle and how it will respond under predicted future climate change. Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) fills approximately $36 \%$ of the global deep ocean basins (Johnson, 2008), and its circulation comprises the deepest limb of the global overturning circulation (Orsi et al., 1999; Talley, 2013). The Weddell Sea supplies $40-50 \%$ of the Antarctic Bottom Water and therefore exerts significant influence over global circulation and climate (Stewart, 2021). Recent studies have suggested that the Southern Ocean is taking up around $30-40 \%$ of the anthropogenic (i.e., excess) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {ant }}\right)$, followed by an important and efficient transport of this $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ant}}$ by intermediateand deep-water formation in this area. The uptake and accumulation of $C_{a n t}$ is mainly controlled by the ocean circulation and water mass mixing, in particular the deepest penetrations associated with convergence zones. This is why the Southern Ocean is one of the most conspicuous places of the global ocean. One of the main objectives of the HAFOS project and this research cruise was to study the formation of intermediate, deep and bottom water masses together with the upwelling of old waters takes place through complex dynamical processes.

## Work at sea

This cruise has provided a new set of carbon dioxide data for this area that will increase our knowledge of the amount of anthropogenic carbon being incorporated by the different water masses and will be compared with previous results for this area to compute the anthropogenic carbon inventory, the concentration in deep and bottom layers and its storage and evolution.

In order to achieve these objectives, the Marine Chemistry group (QUIMA) from the Instituto de Oceanografía y Cambio Global (IOCAG) at the Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria has measured for each CTD cast and in the whole water column two carbon dioxide parameters: the total alkalinity $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ and the total dissolved inorganic carbon concentration ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$; also known as DIC), making the value traceable to the highest standards by using Certified Reference Material (CRM) for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ analyses. During the cruise, the QUIMA group was also in charge of analyzing the concentration of dissolved oxygen on discrete samples.

## Dissolved oxygen

The dissolved oxygen content (DO) in the water column was analyzed in discrete samples from the Niskin bottles. The DO determined from water samples will be used to calibrate the oxygen sensor on the CTD/rosette (see Chapter 2, Physical Oceanography).

Seawater samples for DO determination were the first samples taken from the 12 L Niskin bottles of the CTD/rosette after coming on board to avoid gas exchange with the air during
the time of sampling. The seawater samples were collected in pre-calibrated wide-neck glass bottles that were previously rinsed three times with the seawater sample, avoiding air bubbles. The temperature of the water was recorded during the sampling. Reagent $1\left(\mathrm{MnCl}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and reagent $2(\mathrm{NaOH}+\mathrm{NaI})$ were then added and thoroughly mixed with the seawater sample. The mixed samples were kept in a dark box during 6 hours to allow the precipitate to settle at the bottom of the bottle.

Sampling for DO analysis was performed for all depths at stations 14-87, except for station 83. From stations 87 to 127, sampling for oxygen (and carbonate system variables) and their analysis could not be done for all Niskin bottles available due to time limitation. A total of 430 samples for DO were analysed, corresponding to $49 \%$ of the number of Niskin bottles (881) available for oxygen analysis during the cruise. A total of 95 samples were analysed at the Prime Meridian section, 88 in the EWOS box, 29 at ice stations and 218 samples at the Weddell Sea section.

DO was determined from the seawater samples using the Winkler method, introduced by Winkler (1888) and optimized by Carritt and Carpenter (1966). A Metrohm 785 Titrando amperometric electrode to determine the end point was used for the titration (Culberson and Huang, 1987). Three reagents were used: fixative reagent $1\left(\mathrm{MnCl}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and reagent $2(\mathrm{NaOH}+\mathrm{NaI})$ at sampling and reagent $3\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ immediately before starting the titration. A 0.01 N solution of thiosulfate was used as titrant and a 0.01 N solution of $\mathrm{KIO}_{3}$ as a standard solution. All the reagents and solutions used during the cruise for DO determination were prepared on board following the procedures described by Dickson (1994). Reagent 3 was added at least 6 hours after sampling and immediately before starting the titration to acidify the sample, which was stirred after the addition. A standardization of the thiosulphate was performed every two days with seawater and the standard solution of $\mathrm{KIO}_{3}$. The possible impurities of the reagents were controlled by determining a blank titration every two days. The calibration values for standardization and blanks obtained during the cruise were better than $\pm 0.0021 \mathrm{~mL}$ for the three different thiosulfate solutions prepared and 17 standardizations done. Concentration of thiosulfate solutions was $0.0577 \pm 0.0001 \mathrm{M}$ during the cruise.

## $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ system parameters

The distribution of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ system in the water column was studied all along the cruise track. Discrete seawater samples from the Niskin bottles were analysed on board for Total Alkalinity $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ and Total Dissolved Inorganic Carbon $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$. The sampling, data collection methodology, quality control and calculation procedures were done according to the manual for ocean $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ analysis (Dickson et al., 2007).

Seawater samples for $A_{T}$ and $C_{T}$ determination were taken from the 12 L Niskin bottles placed in the CTD/rosette immediately after the sampling for DO determination and collected together in 500 mL glass bottles. The samples were stored in the dark until they were put into a $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath to keep the temperature constant during the analysis.

The sampling for $A_{T}$ and $C_{T}$ was performed for all depths of each station. A total of 51 stations with 881 samples were analysed during the cruise. A $100 \%$ data collection was achieved. A total of 95 samples were analysed at the Prime Meridian section, 88 in the EWOS box, 29 at the ice stations and 669 samples at the Weddell Sea section. Moreover, 32 samples (until station 127) from the continuous underwater pump system of the COMA project (section 5) were sampled and analysed for $A_{T}$ and $C_{T}$. After station 127 , samples were taken and fixed with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ concentrated $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ solution and preserved for analyses at Gran Canaria Laboratory facilities.
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ (in $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ) were determined on board by a VINDTA 3C system (Marianda ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ) that allows the determination of both $A_{T}$ by potentiometric titration (Dickson et al., 2007) using a 719 Titrino titrator with a three-electrodes system, and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ by coulometric titration in a glass cell with Pt cathode and silver anode. This titration system requires a cell preparation time of about 2 hours and analysis time of approximately 15 minutes per sample (including rinsing and sample preparation). It provides $A_{T}$ and $C_{T}$ measurements with an estimated uncertainty of $1.5 \mathrm{mmol}_{\mathrm{kg}}{ }^{-1}$ (based on reproducibility studies).

In order to provide data with the highest accuracy, Certified Reference Material, acquired from Andrew Dickson's facilities at Scripps Institution of Oceanography (U.S.A.), was analysed in duplicate for a total of 19 bottles ( 38 measurements). CRM batch \#196 was analysed with a frequency of about 2 days to test the performance of the titration system and improve the accuracy of the system, correcting the experimental values for the changes in burette volumes and acid concentration $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\top}\right)$ and system performance. Correcting factor was lower than 1.0003 for both parameters according to the measured CRM values.

The computation of other carbonate system variables will be done from pairs of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ data using the Excel programme CO2SYS.

## Preliminary results

Using the experimentally measured Dissolved Oxygen ( $\mathrm{O}_{2 \text {, meas }}$ ) data together with data from the CTD sensor for oxygen $\operatorname{SBEox0}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2 \text {,sens }}\right)$, a linear relationship was obtained with a correlation coefficient $r^{2}=0.9988$ and with a standard error of estimate in DO of $0.045 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. (1)

The fitting can be slightly improved with a quadratic polynomial equation (Fig. 4.1) with a correlation coefficient $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9993$ and with a standard error of estimate in DO of $0.035 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. (2)


Fig. 4.1: Plot of dissolved oxygen measured by the sensor on the CTD versus dissolved oxygen measured by Winkler titration

Fig. 4.2 shows the results of the CRM analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ during the cruise period with indication of the average value of $2018.99 \pm 1.09 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ and one and two sigma deviations. The certified
value for CRM \#196 is $2018.83 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~kg}{ }^{-1}$. Moreover, it is observed that, on average, that the reproducibility for the duplicate analysis of $C_{T}$ was $1.06 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$, with maximum deviation of $2.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~kg}{ }^{-1}$.


Fig. 4.2: The $C_{T}$ concentrations determined for CRM \#196 together with average and 1 and 2 standard deviations


Fig. 4.3: The $A_{T}$ concentrations determined for CRM \#196 together with average and 1 and 2 standard deviations

Fig. 4.3 shows a similar plot for the $A_{T}$ values for CRM \#196 during the cruise period. The average value ( $2215.40 \pm 1.82 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ ) is almost identical to the certified one (2215.32 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{kg}{ }^{-1}$ ) and the reproducibility for the duplicate analysis was on average $0.88 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~kg}{ }^{-1}$, with a maximum difference of $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$.

## Data management

Environmental data will be archived, published and disseminated according to international standards by the World Data Center PANGAEA Data Publisher for Earth \& Environmental Science (https://www.pangaea.de) within two years after the end of the cruise at the latest. By
default, the CC-BY license will be applied. Any other data will be submitted to an appropriate long-term archive that provides unique and stable identifiers for the datasets and allows open online access to the data.

Results will be used for publication in an international peer-reviewed journal in a collaborative AWI-ULPGC paper including the ULPGC members M. González-Dávila, J.M. Santana-Casiano (both on board) and D. González-Santana (on land).

In all publications based on this expedition, the Grant No. AWI_PS129_02 will be quoted and the following publication will be cited:

Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung (2017) Polar Research and Supply Vessel POLARSTERN Operated by the Alfred-Wegener-Institute. Journal of large-scale research facilities, 3, A119. http://dx.doi.org/10.17815/jlsrf-3-163.
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## Outline

The biological pump and its transport of particulate organic matter (POM) from the photic zone to the ocean floor and the formation and downwelling of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and recalcitrant dissolved organic matter (DOM) in the Southern Ocean are key regulators of the transfer of atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to long-term storage of carbon. The composition and distribution of organic matter is controlled by primary production and microbial activity, water-mass mixing, physico-chemical degradation and aggregation processes (Koch et al., 2014). DOM undergoes aggregation and binds to particles (e.g., cells, fecal pellets and detritus), which contributes to deposition and sequestration of carbon and creates a major sink in the global carbon cycle. Despite the significant role of aggregation, very little is known about the accumulation rates and binding of low-molecular organic matter and colloidal matter on macromolecules and particles.

## Objectives

This project addresses some of the central questions of the global carbon cycle, namely to derive a mechanistic understanding whether certain chemical classes within the DOM pool predominantly drive aggregation in the Southern Ocean and therefore impact the sequestration of atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The central objectives of this project, therefore, are to sample, isolate and structurally identify bacterially produced molecules that, 1) act as coagulants and drive particle aggregation, and 2) sample, isolate and structurally identify bacterially produced ligands that complex trace metals such as iron. A consequential objective is then to correlate the genetic diversity of bacteria and phytoplankton (e.g., Bucklin et al., 2016) with aggregation rates and environmental parameters (such as iron stress, salinity and temperature).

## Work at sea

The filtration and concentration of large amounts of particulate and dissolved organic matter is a prerequisite for the chromatographic isolation and identification of organic matter components as well as the exploration of the genetic diversity (DNA barcoding). Both phytoplankton species and clonal lines of bacterial strains will be isolated from single cells on board to be used in co-inoculation experiments in the home laboratories. All materials were washed with $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid followed by pure water unless stated otherwise. Water was sampled from the ship's moonpool at 11 m water depth using a "snorkel" equipped with a teflon head protruding
$\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ below the ships edge, a $40 \mathrm{~m} \times 1$ inch suction hose with polyethylene tubing inlay (suction side), and a teflon pneumatic pump equipped with pulsation dampening (Tapflo). The water flow was divided with a T-valve (pressure side post-pump) to a half inch polyethylene tubing and 4 mm PTFE tubing piped in under a laminar flow cabinet inside a clean room container (Tab. 5.1).

Large volume samples (LVS) were prefiltered using polypropylen filter socks in a 10 -inch polypropylene filter housing (Fuhr, $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pore size) and were collected in $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ intermediate bulk containers. The latter were washed with about 100 L at each station and the wash-water discarded. 950-L samples were then collected over about 30 min , equivalent to a distance of approximately 5 nmi and acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=3$ using concentrated hydrochloric acid ( 12 M , Carl Roth). The water was pumped at $20 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ through a pre-combusted ( $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$ ) glass fibre filter (Whatman, GFF, 142 mm ) in a polycarbonate filter-holder and a manually packed and precleaned (methanol, LichroSolv followed by ultrapure water $\mathrm{pH}=2$ ) solid phase extraction cartridge containing a 17 g of bulk solid phase adsorber material (BondElut ENV, Agilent, or PPL, Agilent, respectively). While the large volume sample bulk container was filled, the divided sample flow was simultaneously sampled in a clean room lab container for $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(0.5 \mathrm{~L}, 0.2$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ filtered over Sartobran 300), $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(0.25 \mathrm{~L})$, ligands ( 0.5 L ), live bacterial cultures ( 15 mL ), nutrients ( 20 mL ), dissolved organic carbon (DOC, 20 mL ), dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC, 0.2 L ), alkalinity ( 0.2 L ), fluorescence ( $\mathrm{FL}, 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) analysis, particulate organic carbon (POC, $2 \mathrm{~L})$, eDNA ( 20 L ; Gorsky et al., 2019) and small volume ( 0.5 L ) solid phase extractions for the molecular characterization of dissolved organic matter. The acidified and pre-filtered water in each intermediate bulk container was additionally sampled for DOC (1 L).

Tab. 5.1: Sample types derived from underway sampling (through the snorkel)

| Parameter | Treatment | Sample <br> volume | Storage <br> container | Storage condition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Large volume <br> samples, DOM, <br> POM | Prefiltered 1 um | 950 L | $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ Intermediate <br> bulk container | Frozen $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> (Chromatographic <br> resin and GF/F filter) |
| Fe $^{2+}$ | Unfiltered, sampling under <br> laminar flow in clean room <br> container | 500 mL | HDPE bottle | Frozen $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Ligands | Unfiltered, sampling under <br> laminar flow in clean room <br> container | 500 mL | HDPE bottle | Frozen $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Fe ${ }^{3+}$ | 0.8/0.2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ filtration <br> (Sartobran 300) under <br> laminar flow in clean room <br> container | 250 mL | HDPE bottle | Acidified, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| DOC | GF/F filtered, sampling <br> under laminar flow in clean <br> room container | 20 mL | HDPE bottle | Frozen $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| SPE-DOM | GF/F filtered, acidified <br> Fluorescence | 500 mL | ppl resin/PP <br> cartridge | Frozen -20${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Nutrients | Unfiltered, sampling under <br> laminar flow in clean room <br> container | 20 mL | HDPE bottle | Direct analysis |
| Alkalinity | Unfiltered | 0.2 L | Glass bottle | Direct analysis |
| Inorganic carbon | Unfiltered | 0.2 L | Glass bottle | Direct analysis |


| Parameter | Treatment | Sample <br> volume | Storage <br> container | Storage condition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bacterial culture | Unfiltered | 15 mL | Sterile falcon tube | Agar plating |
| eDNA | Unfiltered, $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ <br> filtration. $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ precipitate <br> $(12 \mathrm{~h})$ filtered onto $0.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 20 L | 5 mL cryotube | Snap frozen in liquid <br> $\mathrm{N}_{2,}$ then $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

The Niskin water bottles from the CTD/rosette were sampled using acid-washed glass bottles (1L or 2 L , Schott Duran) that were thoroughly rinsed with sample water (Tab. 5.2). For the surface layer depths (chlorophyll maximum and surface) samples were collected using acidwashed PE sample bottles ( 2 L ) to avoid iron contamination and additional samples for bacteria were taken in sterile 50 mL centrifuge vials.

For all DOC and fluorescence samples, at least 1 L of water was filtered using pre-combusted ( $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$ ) glass fibre filters (Whatman, GFF, 42 mm diameter) and a glass filtration unit). Samples were filled into pre-cleaned and thoroughly rinsed high-density polyethylene HDPE bottles ( 50 mL ). For POC samples, 2 L of water was filtered using the same method and filters were wrapped in aluminum foil. All samples were stored at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until further analyses. Inorganic nutrient samples were measured unfiltered directly onboard (see Chapter 3 Nutrients, Doc and POC). Small volume extraction was performed using 0.5 L of the filtrate of the DOC samples and precleaned SPE cartridges (PPL, Agilent, 200 mg ).

Tab. 5.2: Sample types taken from the CTD/rosette

| Parameter | Treatment | Sample volume | Storage container | Storage condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOC | GF/F filtered, sampling under laminar flow in clean room container | 20 mL | HDPE bottle | Frozen -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| SPE-DOM | GF/F filtered, acidified | 500 mL | ppl resin/PP cartridge | Frozen $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Fluorescence | GF/F filtered | 20 mL | HDPE bottle | Frozen -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Nutrients | Unfiltered, sampling under laminar flow in clean room container | 20 mL | HDPE bottle | Direct analysis |
| Bacterial culture | Unfiltered | 15 mL | Sterile falcon tube | Agar plating |
| eDNA | Unfiltered, $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filtration. $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ precipitate (12 h) filtered onto $0.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 20 L | 5 mL cryotube | Snap frozen in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, then $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

## Blanks

- Ultrapure water pH 2
- SPE process blank
- GFF Filtration blank


## Structure elucidation of refractory DOM

250 L and 45 L of seawater from 400 m depth were sampled on stations PS129_72-1 and PS129_74-4, respectively (Figs. 5.1). The samples were transferred into an acid-washed intermediate bulk container (high-density polyethylene, HD-PE), filtered with an inline precombusted glass fibre filter ( 142 mm , GFF, Whatman) to a second intermediate bulk container. The entire filtrate ( $\sim 270 \mathrm{~L}$ ) was acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=6.6$ using hydrochloric acid ( $30 \%$, suprapure, Merck). Two solid-phase extraction cartridges (HhD-PE; inner diameter: 36 mm ) were precleaned with methanol (LiCHrosolv) and filled with adsorber material (20 g each, PPL, Agilent). SPE cartridges were conditioned with methanol and rinsed with ultrapure water (not acidified). On each cartridge, 136 L of seawater was extracted at a flow rate of $7.5 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}(125 \mathrm{~mL}$ per minute; verified by repeated volume flow measurements).

The permeate of the first extraction was collected in an intermediate bulk container and acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=3.95$. The pump system was used to circulate the water for one hour to allow for complete mixing. Two additional cartridges were filled with 15 g PPL and conditioned with MeOH (2 cartridge fillings) and ultrapure water (1 cartridge filling) and the extraction of the $\mathrm{pH}=4$ permeate was started. After extraction, the adsorber was washed with two cartridge fillings ultrapure water ( $\mathrm{pH}=4$, total volume about 400 mL ), dried under nitrogen gas ( 5.0 purity) and transferred into HDPE vials.

In a third step, the resulting permeate was acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=2.14$ and extracted in duplicate with two cartridges loaded with 10 g PPL each. The cartridges were again conditioned with methanol and this time with ultrapure water acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=2$.

Additional three small volume extractions were carried out for the original sample adjusted to $\mathrm{pH}=2$ (and GFF filtered), the $\mathrm{pH}=3.9$ permeate (no additional filtration) and the $\mathrm{pH}=2.0$ permeate (no additional filtration). For each extraction, additional DOC samples were collected. The extraction of $\mathrm{pH}=6.6$ permeate got lost. DOC samples for the permeate were taken from each individual cartridge at the beginning, in the middle and at the end of the extraction. In addition, permeate DOC samples were collected from each desalting step (MQ; second wash).


Fig. 5.1: Temperature profiles of stations PS129_72-1 (left) and PS129-74-4 (right). Sample water was collected from 400 m water depth in the Warm Deep Water.

## Preliminary results

All analyses for the COMA project will be carried out after the cruise. Here, we provide an overview on the types, number and volumes of samples taken (Fig. 5.2 and Tab. 5.3).


Fig. 5.2: Location of samples taken within the project. Size represents the number of samples taken at each location. Bacteria: isolated bacterial colonies; DOC: dissolved organic carbon;
DOC_IBC: dissolved organic carbon procedural control; Fe2plus: samples for iron (II) quantification;
Fe3plus: samples for iron (III) quantification; Fluor: samples for total fluorescence determination; Ligands: quantification of total (iron) ligand concentration; nut: nutrient concentration (analyzed on
board); POC: particulate organic carbon; POC_sock: particulate organic carbon (prefiltration);
SPE: samples obtained by solid phase extraction for analysis of dissolved organic matter ( 0.5 L );
SPE_XL: samples obtained by large volume solid phase extraction for analysis of dissolved organic matter (~950 L); TARA: samples for eDNA analysis; TOC: samples for total organic carbon analysis

Tab. 5.3: Overview on samples collected during PS129

| Parameter | Number of samples | Total volume (L) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DOC | 168 | 56 |
| POC | 51 | 947 |
| SPE_XL | 20 | 17800 |
| POC_big | 19 | 0 |
| POC_sock | 17 | 2600 |
| FE2plus | 15 | 8 |
| FE3plus | 15 | 8 |
| Ligands | 15 | 8 |
| SPE | 121 | 0 |
| nut | 13 | 26 |
| bugs | 27 | 0 |


| Parameter | Number of samples | Total volume (L) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DOC_IBC | 14 | 28 |
| Fluor | 153 | 40 |
| TARA | 32 | 0 |
| TOC | 68 | 12 |

## Data management

Environmental data will be archived, published and disseminated according to international standards by the World Data Center PANGAEA Data Publisher for Earth \& Environmental Science (https://www.pangaea.de) within two years after the end of the cruise at the latest. By default, the CC-BY license will be applied.

Molecular data (DNA and RNA data) will be archived, published and disseminated within one of the repositories of the International Nucleotide Sequence Data Collaboration (INSDC, www.insdc.org) comprising of EMBL-EBI/ENA, GenBank and DDBJ). Any other data will be submitted to an appropriate long-term archive that provides unique and stable identifiers for the datasets and allows open online access to the data.

In all publications, based on this cruise, the Grant No. AWI_PS129_07 will be quoted and the following Polarstern article will be cited: Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polarund Meeresforschung (2017) Polar Research and Supply Vessel POLARSTERN Operated by the Alfred-Wegener-Institute. Journal of large-scale research facilities, 3, A119. http://dx.doi. org/10.17815/jlsrf-3-163.

This expedition was supported by the Helmholtz Research Programme "Changing Earth Sustaining our Future" Topic 6, Subtopic 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3.

Third party funding for the following projects supporting this expedition is provided by the Priority Programme 1158 Antarctic Research with Comparable Investigations in Arctic Sea Ice Areas:
"Recognition, signalling and response of the diatom Fragilariopsis to epibiotic bacterial colonization" for T. Harder and J. Tebben;
"Siderophore mediated iron acquisition of psychrophilic Antarctic marine bacteria" for J. Tebben, T. Harder and C. Völker;
"Identifying the carbon that matters: Chemical controls on organic matter aggregation (COMA)" for M. Hoppema, B. Koch and J. Tebben.
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## Outline

While climate models suggest that Antarctic sea-ice extent should reduce in response to rising atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, satellite observations reveal that during 1979-2015 the opposite was in fact true. The trend in Antarctic sea-ice extent has been a small increase of approximately $1.5 \%$ per decade. In 2016, however, this increase was abruptly interrupted by a dramatic reduction in sea-ice extent that was far outside the previously observed range. Neither the increasing trend nor the rapid decline are authentically simulated by climate models, casting doubt on their ability to represent associated processes, including Southern Ocean heat and carbon uptake, melting of the Antarctic ice sheet and many other aspects of the Southern Hemisphere climate.

Since the extreme event in 2016, Antarctic sea-ice extent has almost returned to its pre-2016 values, but this year it plummeted to a new summer minimum, thus highlighting the significant variability in Antarctic sea-ice conditions that can occur from one year to the next. Understanding the reasons behind these dramatic changes is essential, as sea ice is the glue that binds all parts of the unique Antarctic marine ecosystem together, and variations in sea- ice extent can impact the Earth's climate. These recent extreme swings in Antarctic sea-ice extent and the challenge of accurately predicting, understanding and modelling them emphasise the need to:

- increase our knowledge of the processes that drive Antarctic sea-ice variations, including extreme events, and
- understand the drivers and climate implications of Antarctic sea-ice loss over different time-scales, from weeks to decades.

Addressing this knowledge gap requires a significant research programme, one that takes year-round observations, including throughout the harsh Antarctic winter, and is effective in improving the underlying processes in the latest computer climate models. Our project, known as DEFIANT (Drivers and Effects of Fluctuations in sea Ice in the ANTarctic), will embark on one of the most ambitious campaigns aimed at understanding Antarctic sea-ice variability. This $£ 5$ million project unites sea-ice physicists, oceanographers, meteorologists and modellers in order to assess the consequences of Antarctic sea-ice variability over different spatial and temporal scales. Through this interlinked programme of observations, model development and model evaluation, DEFIANT will deliver a step change in our understanding of the Antarctic sea-ice system.

## Objectives

Freeze-up is the season where substantial changes occur in the upper ocean. For example, the warm, fresh summer surface layer must have its temperature reduced to the freezing point to allow ice formation to progress. Thus, monitoring autumn mixing rates, heat fluxes, sea ice/snow mass balance and upper-ocean properties is essential to understand sea-ice formation and variability. Collaboration with AWI's HAFOS project through PS129 provided the DEFIANT team broad access to the Weddell Sea during freeze-up. With this in mind, we had five interconnected objectives. These were:

1. to quantify ocean and under-ice turbulence using microstructure measurements, a. large-scale survey of microstructure temperature variance (Chi-pods), and b. parameterisations of fine-scale shear/strain to directly and indirectly quantify the intensity of turbulence
2. to perform on-ice surveys of radar reflectivity of snow properties (Ku/Ka band radar)
3. to understand the partitioning of solar radiation into incoming, reflected and transmitted, to better understand the attenuation of light through snow and sea ice
4. to deploy two clusters of two ice-tethered assets (i.e., four buoys on two floes)
5. to perform 180 measurements of the upper-ocean.

In addition to the above-mentioned objectives, we were also sent daily, high-resolution satellite images of the Weddell Sea, in order to improve our day-to-day tactical planning for our on-ice operations. We were able to provide support for the autonomous vehicles used within the EU Horizon 2020 funded SO-CHIC (Southern Ocean Carbon and Heat Impact on Climate) project.

## Work at sea

To perform their work, the DEFIANT team were reliant on the Polarstern being within the sea ice zone. When not is this zone, the team were available to help with CTD sampling. It was planned that most of the objectives of the sea-ice work would be performed during the two legs to the southern region of the Weddell Sea (stations 71-79 and 89-95). Ideally this work was to be ship-time neutral, as the team would use helicopter support to establish ice stations whilst the ship was involved with other tasks. The only exception was the deployment of the ice tethered profiler (ITP) buoy, which would need some ship time as it is a difficult and complex deployment.

The combination of (i) bad weather, (ii) over-runs in timings of science operations within the schedule, and (iii) the non-closure of the Posidonia window (which limited the ship to a maximum of speed to 5 kt in the ice) meant that the schedule for on-ice operations was squeezed. Because of these time constraints, the southern legs where the ship would venture deeper into the sea ice could not extend as far south as originally planned. This was disappointing, as it was during these legs that the main areas of the DEFIANT project would perform their work. The decision had a detrimental impact on the amount of sea ice-based work we could achieve.

Additional sea-ice work from the helicopter was possible on the western stations of the Weddell Sea, as the ice had begun to form in these regions. Unfortunately, DEFIANT was not alone in the call on helicopter time whilst the ship was in the ice. There were five groups that also needed to use the helicopter. The combination of the weather and the number of teams needing to use the helicopter, the result was a squeeze on helicopter time available to us. A breakdown of all the ship and helicopter ice-based work performed by the DEFIANT team can be seen in Table 6.1. We had two aborted flights due to bad weather, on 8 April 2022 (no measurements) and 23 April (one ice core obtained).

Tab. 6.1: DEFIANT ice operations during PS129

| Date in 2022 | Start operation time | Stop operation time | Approximate science time on ice** | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 March | 08:00 | 08:40 | 0.5 hrs of radar operation | Radar <br> Ship: Radar survey of pancake ice from Polarstern's mummy chair |
| 9 April | 11:20 | 13:57 | 2.5 hrs of flying ITP equipment to floe | ITP deployment <br> Helo: Moving people and ITP equipment to floe, around 5 flights |
| 9 April | 14:50 | 18:00* | 5 hrs for deploying ITP | ITP deployment (continued) <br> Ship: Moving ITP heavy equipment to floe. Stayed with us whilst we installed ITP. Second buoy instalment (WIMBO) failed due to mechanical failure of ice drills. |
| 11 April | 09:00 | 11:45 | 3 hrs of radar and light measurements | Radar and buoy deployment <br> Ship: Deployment of WIMBO buoy and Radar measurements using ship's mummy chair. |
| 16 April | 13:11 | 18:43* | 2.5 hrs of radar and light measurements | Radar and light measurements <br> Helo: Radar and light measurements (performed with the ice coring team). Three flights needed |
| 17 April | 12:12 | 18:04* | 3.25 hrs of radar and light measurements | Radar and light measurements <br> Helo: Radar and light measurements (performed with the ice coring team). Two flights needed |
| 19 April | 11:44 | 17:10* | 2.25 hrs of radar and light measurements | Radar and light measurements <br> Helo: Radar and light measurements. <br> Two flights needed |
| 22 April | 12:57 | 18:44* | 3.5 hrs of radar and light measurements | Radar measurements <br> Helo: Radar measurements. Two flights needed. Performed with the undericeberg team |

* Note: Stop operation is when the helicopter was finished for the day. In most instances it was performing other work during this time, such as marine mammal surveys, and thus does not reflect when the DEFIANT team were back on the ship.
** Note: For helo-based work, the science time is based upon when radar was turned on at start of the day's measurements and turned off just before pickup. For actual time on the ice could add 40 minutes to include setup and breakdown of radar.

Besides the on-ice work, the DEFIANT team also installed high-frequency temperature sensors (chi-pods) on the CTD and obtained water samples for ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ measurements to quantify sources of freshwater in the upper ocean.

## Preliminary results

The preliminary results from the five objectives are as follows:

## 1. Upper-ocean and under-ice turbulence

We aimed to use two different systems to quantify oceanic turbulence, from the ship and beneath ice floes. These were Chi-Pods and Sea and Sun Technology MSS90L microstructure profilers. Each is explained below:

## 1a. Chi-pod measurements

Two "x-pod" (chi-pod) high-frequency temperature loggers were installed on the CTD/rosette to measure turbulence in the water column. These loggers were developed by, and borrowed from, Jonathan Nash at Oregon State University (U.S.A.). The loggers measure temperature and vertical and horizontal components of acceleration continuously at 100 Hz . These data are merged with the CTD data, aligning the accelerometer data with the CTD's $24-\mathrm{Hz}$ pressure measurements. This is used to derive the dissipation rate of temperature variance ( X ), which in turn can be used to derive the diffusivity of heat. Using the assumption that the diffusivities of density and heat are equal, the dissipation rate of turbulent kinetic energy $\varepsilon$ can also be estimated.

The systems consist of a logger housing, which holds two lithium D cells (we used SAFT LS33600 cells) and three circuit boards (logger, acceleration and temperature boards). The logger has two wet-pluggable Seacon bulkhead connectors, a four-pin connector on the top, which is connected to a USB port on the logger board and a four-pin connector on the bottom, two pins of which are used as a switch for the power going to the logger (the negative battery wire passes through these pins, which are shorted in the connector on the logger cable) and two pins which are used to measure the resistance across an FP07 thermistor. The thermistor is housed inside an aluminium housing with two o-rings, connected with a four-pole phone jack, with a two-pin connector on the bottom. Either a four-foot or six-foot cable connects the logger housing and sensor holder.

## Work at sea

The two logger housings were mounted on the rosette behind Niskin bottles 16 and 17. An upward-looking instrument was mounted on a steel pole attached to the side of the rosette near bottles $20-21$, protruding 26 cm above the top of the rosette itself, 204 cm above the base of the rosette. A downward-looking instrument was mounted on a pole on the inside of the rosette, 3 cm above the bottom of the rosette frame. 6 - ft cables were run from the sensors to the bulkhead connector on the bottom of the loggers. Because of the geometry of the rosette, a Niskin bottle had to be removed or lowered to access the bulkhead connectors on the top of the loggers. The locations of the sensors and loggers on the rosette at the start of the cruise are shown in Figure 6.1. The downward-looking logger and sensor were removed after station 59. After station 94, the upward-looking chi-pod logger was moved to the outside of the rosette, on the inside of a stanchion between bottles 20 and 21 , making access to the logger for data downloads considerably easier.


Fig. 6.1: Photographs of the upward-looking sensor, logger housings and downward-looking sensor on the CTD/rosette at the start of the cruise

The loggers start logging five minutes after the sensor cable is plugged in, providing electricity to the logger board. Before the cable is unplugged, logging needs to be stopped by connecting a USB cable to the bulkhead connector on the top of the logger and stopping acquisition manually. Unless acquisition is stopped before unplugging, file system corruption can occur if power is lost while data are being written to the memory card. After station 102, the power connectors on the upward-looking logger were reconfigured to circumvent the bulkhead connector, with power supplied directly from the batteries to the logger board.

Tab. 6.2: Chi-pod instrumentation used on PS129

| Housing | Logger | Sensor holder | Sensor cable | Sensor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti44-2 <br> (down) | 2018 | $\begin{aligned} & 1(1-49) \\ & 4(58-59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24-6-5(1-40) \\ & 24-6-4(41,47-49) \\ & 24-6-4(58-59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11-67DAS (1-40) } \\ & \text { 10-06MP (41,47-49) } \\ & \text { 10-06MP (58-59) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ti44-11 } \\ & \text { (up) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2030(1-59) \\ & 2008(60-88) \\ & 2030(96-123) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 4(1-49) \\ 7(58-70) \\ 4(71-74) \\ 7(80) \\ 4(86-123) \end{array}$ | $24-6-7(1-88)$ 24-4-4 (96-123) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14-33D (1-40) } \\ & \text { 14-34D (42-49) } \\ & \text { 11-67DAS (58-70) } \\ & \text { 10-06MP }(71-74) \\ & \text { 14-18D (80) } \\ & \text { 14-14D (86-123) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ti44-8 <br> (spare) | 2008 (1-59) | n/a | n/a | n/a |

The instruments had previously been used on a cruise by Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI, U.S.A.) and had not been serviced or inspected before use on this cruise. Some of the equipment was in poor condition, with one sensor holder flooded on arrival, and severe corrosion on the two others supplied. The sensor/power bulkhead connector on a third logger housing had an intermittent loose connection affecting both power and signal; this was not used, except occasionally in the lab for testing spare sensors.

To enable us to use the damaged sensor holders, we potted the sensors into the holders, covering the corroded areas with Sikaflex 291i marine adhesive sealant. Once this had cured for at least 12 hours, it was covered with self-amalgamating rubber tape (Scotch 23 or generic) and Scotch 33+ vinyl electrical tape. This provided a waterproof repair that appeared to work for much of the cruise.

After several good casts, the upward-looking instrument exhibited an increasing amount of spiking and shifts in temperature during stations 27-40. The holder was removed during station 41 , while the sensor was changed from 14-33D to 14-34D. This appeared to solve the problem, though there was severe temperature spiking at the end of station 42 , possibly because the instrument was partially out of the water during the near-surface bottle stop at the end of the cast. On stations 47 and 49, the data were noisy and full of shifts. The sensor holder was removed on station 52.

The downward-looking instrument provided good data on the first four deep casts, but then exhibited poor calibration on station 30. On station 40 the temperature voltage increased to the maximum and stayed flat when the instrument was submerged below approximately 70 dbar, partially recovering when the instrument reached 60 dbar on the upcast, indicating a short circuit at higher pressures. Since some corrosion was visible on the connector end of the instrument, including near the bulkhead connector, and since the other sensor holder appeared to have epoxy around the connector, Sikaflex was applied around the connector, and once cured this was covered with self-amalgamating tape and vinyl tape. In addition, the sensor cable was replaced with a spare. However, this did not solve the problem, and the short circuit appeared worse on station 49. The sensor holder was removed on station 52.

After station 52, the sensor holders were inspected. Sensor holder 1 was disassembled. The metal of the holder body was in poor condition because of corrosion. The brass bulkhead connector was oxidised, but the o-ring and thread were in good condition and there was no sign of water ingress. Resistance across the two terminals was approximately $25 \mathrm{M} \Omega$. The wires soldered onto the phone jack socket were not insulated, though it is unclear whether this could have contributed to the short circuit problem.

Sensor holder 7 was also disassembled; apart from some pitting on the outside of the case the metal was in good condition. The bulkhead connector at the end of the case was in poor condition: the o-ring was considerably deformed and the thread and base had signs of corrosion. Resistance between the two terminals varied across the day between $450 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ and $3 \mathrm{M} \Omega$, but with 2-3 $M \Omega$ resistance from the terminals to the housing. This connector was not considered serviceable. The phone jack socket was in surprisingly good condition for being immersed in seawater for two months. The sensor holder was rebuilt using the bulkhead connector and phone jack socket from holder 1 (with electrical tape applied around the outside of the terminals on the phone jack socket to prevent contact with the housing). To check whether the short circuit could be in the bulkhead connector on the logger or in the sensor holder, holder 4 was installed as the downward-looking sensor, while holder 7 was installed looking up. Sensor 10-06MP was potted into sensor holder 4, while sensor 11-67DAS was installed into holder 7 without any additional waterproofing applied. The four best o-rings from the spare sensors were chosen to minimise the risk of water ingress.

The chi-pods were used again on stations 58 and 59 . After station 59, the USB cable was plugged into upward-looking logger 2030 and logging was stopped. A directory listing was performed and upload was started. However, at that stage the software crashed and when communications were attempted with the logger afterwards, it refused to display a prompt. Eventually, the logger was removed from the rosette and brought into the lab. Here attempts to communicate through the bulkhead USB cable and the mini-USB connector on the circuit board both had the same result: occasionally the logger displayed its serial number and time when communications were established, but a prompt was never displayed. The clock battery was also disconnected to remove all power, but without any change (apart from resetting the time). At this stage, logger 2008 was installed into housing Ti44-11 to ensure that a working logger was on the rosette in advance of the next CTD cast.

Eventually, the memory card from logger 2030 was removed and inserted into the computer. A directory listing was performed with the "ChiPod2File.exe" program, but this only listed the first 127 files, while the latest file on the chipod was number 137. Attempts to manually download the file failed, as the software appears to be unable to read file numbers above 127. A blank memory card was installed into logger 2030, which promptly booted and appeared to work correctly. The remaining files were extracted from the memory card after reverse engineering the (very simple) format of the file system.

Data were downloaded from logger 2018 without problems, though these showed a short circuit on the temperature sensor throughout. While still connected to the rosette, but with the sensor cable disconnected, the logger still showed a high, constant temperature voltage. The logger was then brought into the lab, resistance was checked across the bulkhead connector and the pins were found to have resistance on order of a few tens of $k \Omega$. When the bulkhead connector was disconnected, raw readings decreased to just over 1000 counts (as expected for a disconnected sensor), but with the bulkhead connector connected to the temperature circuit board, these increased to over 25000 counts. The spare temperature board was tested with and without the wire to the bulkhead connector, with the same result. As a result, logger 2018 was not replaced onto the rosette and the downward-looking sensor holder was also removed from the rosette before station 60 .

With the sensor still giving noisy data, the sensor holder was swapped again before station 80 . However, on this station the upward-looking sensor holder (number 7) flooded. The holder was removed and on station 86 it was replaced with holder 4, fitted with sensor 14-14D. On the first cast, the sensor cap was accidentally left on. On the second cast, the logger saved only zeros (and time stamps) and the third cast had poor data quality.

At this stage, the logger was removed from the system again, along with the upward-looking cable. Logger 2008 was removed from the housing and logger 2030 was re-installed, with a blank SD card. One of the wires on the plug from the bulkhead connector to the temperature board was found to be in poor condition, with only two strands of the wire remaining. When this was inspected further, these also broke. The end of the wire was then soldered onto the end of the crimp terminal from the connector.

On the following cast, station 96, the bulkhead connector was shorted at depth. Low resistance was measured between the two sensor wires when pins are inserted into the female connector (either a dummy plug or a connector cable). The endcap from Ti44-2 (where the bulkhead connector had previously failed in a similar way) was installed onto Ti44-11 as a test. Although there are problems with calibration, with noticeable drift on some casts (presumably from varying resistance in the connector), this resulted in some usable data in later casts.

On stations 100 and 102, the loggers stopped collecting data during the casts. This is assumed to be a problem with the pins switching the power through the bulkhead connector. As a result,
the battery pack was wired directly to the logger, circumventing the switch in the sensor cable. This resulted in data being continuously collected from the morning of 20 April onward, except while downloading data files. The last cast was collected on station 123. After this cast, the batteries had to be removed from the data logger for shipping back to AWI, so there are no chi-pod data on station 127.

In summary, we collected chi-pod data on 51 CTD stations, resulting in five successful downwardlooking profiles and 20 successful upward-looking profiles (Tab. 6.3). Because of problems with the loggers and sensors, many of the other casts likely do not have usable data. However, it might be possible to process some further casts to obtain usable microstructure data. Analysis of these data will be led by Gwyn Evans and Alberto Naveira Garabato at the University of Southampton, and Eleanor Frajka-Williams (formerly at the National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, now at the University of Hamburg).

## Recommendations

The chi-pod loggers should be fully checked and overhauled, with new bulkhead connectors fitted for the sensor connections. On future cruises, spare bulkhead connectors should be supplied, along with spare o-rings for the sensors and blanking plugs for the sensor end of the sensor cables. The sensor holders should be replaced, ideally with ones made from a more durable material, and all sensors checked or replaced. The wire connectors from the bulkhead connector onto the temperature board and battery wires are vulnerable to damage when the endcap is screwed on, and several were broken during the cruise. A better solution needs to be found for this, perhaps involving a strain relief for the wires on the end of the circuit board, or use of a pressure housing that does not twist the wires when the endcap is installed.

It is unclear whether the loggers can support more than 128 files: they appeared to function with more files, but one eventually crashed and the software supplied does not support downloading extended files. Better documentation of the disk format and file numbering and more stable software would be welcome. For trouble-shooting purposes, it would be useful if the software had a feature to save the communications log.

The processing scripts in Matlab contained several bugs and inconsistencies that made data processing more difficult. The changes from this cruise will be submitted as a pull request to GitHub to benefit future users of this instrumentation.

Tab. 6.3: Cast status. Legend: green = good; yellow = issues, possibly fixable in software; orange = poor data quality; red = bad, unusable data; grey = not installed

| Cast | Downward status (2018) | Upward status (2030/2008) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $014 \_01$ | Good (shallow test cast) | Good (shallow test cast) |
| $018 \_07$ | Good | Good |
| $023 \_01$ | Good | Good |
| $025 \_08$ | Good | Good |
| $027 \_02$ | Good | Spikes, offset at times |
| $030 \_01$ | Poor calibration | Lots of spikes |
| $040 \_02$ | Flat lined temperature at depth | Spikes at start, poor calibration |
| $041 \_02$ | Flat lined temperature at depth | Not installed |
| $042 \_01$ | Not installed | Good except near surface at end |
| $047 \_01$ | Flat lined temperature at depth | Spikes and shifts throughout |
| $049 \_01$ | Flat lined temperature throughout | Few spikes, but shifts throughout |


| Cast | Downward status (2018) | Upward status (2030/2008) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 053_03 | Not installed | Not installed |
| 058_02 | Flat lined temperature throughout | Good |
| 059_01 | Flat lined temperature throughout | Good |
| 060_01 | Not installed | Good |
| 062_04 | Not installed | Good |
| 064_02 | Not installed | Good |
| 065_01 | Not installed | Good |
| 068_01 | Not installed | Good |
| 070_01 | Not installed | Spikes and shifts throughout |
| 071_02 | Not installed | Spikes and shifts, but processes |
| 072_01 | Not installed | Spikes and shifts throughout |
| 072_03 | Not installed | Spikes and shifts, but processes |
| 074_04 | Not installed | Spikes and shifts throughout |
| 080_02 | Not installed | Sensor holder flooded - flat line |
| 081_01 | Not installed | Not installed |
| 083_02 | Not installed | Not installed |
| 086_01 | Not installed | Forgot to remove sensor cover |
| 087_01 | Not installed | Chi-pod saved nothing but zeros |
| 088_01 | Not installed | Noisy mess |
| 096_01 | Not installed | Flat lined temperature at depth |
| 097_01 | Not installed | Spiky, poorly calibrated |
| 099_01 | Not installed | Less spiky, but poorly calibrated |
| 100_03 | Not installed | Poorly calibrated, stopped early |
| 102_01 | Not installed | Poorly calibrated, stopped early |
| 103_01 | Not installed | Spikes on downcast, poorly calibrated |
| 104_01 | Not installed | Spikes, shifts, poorly calibrated |
| 105_01 | Not installed | Few spikes, one big shift on downcast |
| 106_01 | Not installed | Lots of spikes, poorly calibrated |
| 107_01 | Not installed | Lots of spikes, poorly calibrated |
| 109_03 | Not installed | Lots of spikes, poorly calibrated |
| 110_01 | Not installed | Lots of spikes |
| 111_01 | Not installed | Lots of spikes |
| 112_01 | Not installed | Lots of spikes, but still processes |
| 114_02 | Not installed | Good |
| 115_02 | Not installed | CTD sensor frozen, no calibration |
| 116_01 | Not installed | Good |
| 117_01 | Not installed | Spikes in start, then better |
| 119_01 | Not installed | Good |
| 120_01 | Not installed | Good |
| 121_01 | Not installed | Some spikes, rest might be OK |
| 122_01 | Not installed | Some spikes, rest might be OK |
| 123_01 | Not installed | Lots of spikes, poorly calibrated |
| 127_03 | Not installed | Not installed |

## 1b. Microstructure measurements under sea ice

There were plans to conduct microstructure measurements beneath sea ice, to measure the turbulent mixing during sea-ice formation. The goal was to take multiple profiles over several hours using a Sea and Sun Technology MSS90L microstructure profiler. However, several factors prevented this work from being carried out. Two people were required to conduct this work (one to run the computer and winch, another to handle the wire) and the equipment was a full helicopter-load: the profiler itself plus a spare, Zarges boxes containing the winch, wire, winch control box, cables, laptop, deck unit and tools, a generator, and a drill and drill flights.

An ice drill capable of creating a hole of at least 255 mm diameter (the outer diameter of the sensor protection cage) was required. Because of the failure of the two Jiffy drills supplied by WHOI and the ship's small Echo EA-410 drill turning the opposite direction to the Jiffy drills, the only drill we had available was the ship's large Stihl BT 360 drill, which would require a further helicopter load and four or five people to safely operate.

Unfortunately, the time required to conduct long stations on the ice was not available to us during the cruise (with the exception of the combined radar and ROV ice station on 22 April 2022), and the limit of five people working on the ice at any time made it impossible to conduct more than two types of measurement at once (e.g., light and radar, radar and ROV). If we had full days for ice stations while the ship was occupied with measurements in the ice, this would be possible, but with only a few hours and pressure to conduct other measurements with the limited personnel numbers able to go onto the ice, the microstructure measurements were not carried out.

## 2. Radar reflectivity of snow properties

Satellite-mounted radar altimeters emit radar waves and detect their reflections. In the case of snow-covered sea ice, it is unclear where these reflections come from in some radar frequency ranges (the Ku and Ka bands). Some power seems to be reflected from the snow-air interface, and some from the snow-ice interface. Power can also be reflected from layering in the snow. We brought a high-resolution, Ku- and Ka-band sled-mounted radar 'KuKa' to investigate this. By emitting short pulses of radar energy and precisely timing their return, it is possible to calculate the distance from which they were reflected.

Our goal was to deploy 'KuKa' on snow-covered sea ice and measure the power returned to the radar instrument as a function of height. By then digging coincident snow pits, we aim to understand how snow properties influence radar reflectivity. In particular, we aimed to quantify the fraction of returned power that was reflected from the ice-snow interface at Ku-band, and the fraction that returned from the snow-air interface for Ka-band. It is commonly assumed that $\mathrm{Ku}(\mathrm{Ka})$ band satellite altimeters receive reflections solely from the ice-snow (air-snow) interface.

We also designed a short pilot study to establish the features of Ku/Ka backscatter from thin pancake ice and characterise the sensitivity of radar waveform shape to target range. This study was conducted using the ship's crane.

## Work at sea

At each site, a patch of snow was scanned at the two radar frequencies (one after the other). The snow depth was then measured, and its stratigraphy was characterised. Key properties of its layers were then measured: temperature and density. At some sites the snow grain types were analysed. Photographs were also taken of the snow patches and pits.

In total six floes were visited with the radar, with only five measured as the first visit was aborted immediately due to impending bad weather. The first floe that was measured was visited by ship, with the subsequent four visited by helicopter. The five floes that were measured will be labelled in this report as F1-F5 (Tab. 6.4).

Tab. 6.4: Ice floes surveyed with KuKa radar during PS129

| Date | Floe <br> code | \# Pits | Approx. Position | Duration <br> of KuKa <br> operation | Time first KuKa <br> measurement <br> (UTC) | Time last KuKa <br> measurement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $11 / 4$ | F1 | 2 | $68.983^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 31.939^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 h 24 m | $09: 21$ | $11: 45$ |
| $16 / 4$ | F2 | 2 | $66.447^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 41.402^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 h 18 m | $14: 38$ | $16: 56$ |
| $17 / 4$ | F3 | 5 | $65.763^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 44.794^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 h 18 m | $13: 17$ | $16: 35$ |
| $19 / 4$ | F4 | 3 | $64.306^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 47.367^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 h 09 m | $13: 15$ | $15: 24$ |
| $22 / 4$ | F5 | 5 | $63.508^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 51.385^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 h 25 m | $14: 05$ | $17: 30$ |

F1 was accessed by mummy chair from Polarstern and measurements were performed by R. Mallett and David Barnes (BAS). F2-5 were accessed by helicopter with measurements performed by R. Mallett and J. Wilkinson.

## Radar/crane

In addition to the above surveys, a crane-based radar survey was taken over thin pancake ice. During the survey the radar in the crane was moved in 1 m increments up to a height of 16 m above the surface and then back down to 2 m from the surface. At this point the ship moved off at 1 kt while the radar scanned the surface.

Mallett and Wilkinson conducted this survey beginning at 8:00 UTC 28 March 2022 and ending at 9:00. Both were present in the mummy chair along with the radar. The crane was operated by a member of the crew, who was communicating with Mallett via shortrange radio. The radar had a downward-looking GoPro mounted on the Ku-band arm. Two additional downward looking GoPros were attached to either side of the mummy chair, with the intention of inferring ice roughness using structure-from-motion. Unfortunately, one of them didn't function correctly due to a loose battery connection. Furthermore, the mummy chair experienced larger vertical motion than expected due to the heave of the ship and stretch in the crane cable. This meant that the photography interval on the two remaining GoPros was too low for effective structure from motion. The radar instrument functioned nominally throughout the pilot.

## Preliminary results: Radar reflectivity of snow properties

## Crane work

Preliminary results of the crane-based pilot study reveal the expected sensitivity of both radar frequencies to open-water coverage within the footprint of the radar. Because the footprint of the radar increases with height, some simple modelling will be required to further investigate this and also to rectify the downward-looking GoPro images to visually retrieve the open-water fraction. It also appears that the shape of the returned radar waveform from the surface is sensitive to height, indicating that the pulse-limited footprint of the radar is relevant (as well as its beam limited footprint). This may provide valuable information on how to upscale our in situ radar surveys to the airborne and satellite-mounted scales.

## Ice floe work - Snow pits

Snow stratigraphy at all floes could be characterised by a layer of cold-season (recent) snow over a layer of older snow that was remnant from the summer. In the southern hemisphere these snow conditions are largely endemic to the Weddell Sea. The remnant snow was often undiggably hard due to extended metamorphosis and melting. Coring at floes 3 and 5 revealed that it often featured a smooth transition to almost solid, fresh ice near the base.

## Ice floe work - Density and salinity measurments

56 Snow density samples were taken across the 17 snow pits. As well as indicating the stratigraphy of the snow, these samples are essential for adjusting radar ranges for the reduced speed of electromagnetic wave propagation in snow. Density samples were taken with a 250 cc tube-cutter from SnowMetrics and were weighed on board.

A salinity measurement was taken for each snow density sample. In addition, the salinity was also derived from scrapings of several interfaces that were too hard for a density sample. Preliminary analysis indicates that the snow was fresh by comparison to that over first year ice.

Finally, four snow cores were transported back to the ship from F5. Visually, these illustrated the transition in the remnant snow of low-density snow near the top to almost solid ice near the base. Density and salinity profiles were derived from these cores, although it was often unclear whether they extended to the sea ice surface.

## Ice floe work - Radar

Frequency-modulated continuous wave (FMCW) radars such as 'KuKa' suffer from a phenomenon known as range sidelobes. These are peaks in the power-range plot that do not correspond to physical positions where radar power is reflected. Instead, they are artefacts from the radar processing. One source of these sidelobes is non-linearity in the frequency ramping of the emitted radar chirp. This can be accounted for using data from radar scans of a large metal plate, which were periodically performed in the field. These data are then used to deconvolve returns from snow, and produce "cleaner" waveforms. It was not possible to perform this deconvolution process in the field or on board.

Because of the above issue, at most snow pits an A4 metal plate was placed on the snow surface and scanned prior to digging the snow pit. Attempts were made to vary the placement of this plate between Ku and Ka horns. The visibility of the plate in the waveforms is useful for unambiguously detecting the peak corresponding to the snow surface and distinguishing it from sidelobes.


Fig. 6.2: Radar reflections from floe 3 snow pit 3 at Ka- and Ku-frequency. Most Ka band power returns from the snow-air surface (1.57 m radar range), and less returns from the surface of the icy snow below (1.66 m radar range). This is not the case for the Ku-frequency, where most power returns from the surface of the icy snow and considerably less returns from the snow-air interface. No clear peak is associated with the true sea-ice surface, which would be seen between 2 and 2.4 m range (depending on snow density).

Figure 6.2 is an example of the radar data that will ultimately be available for all 17 snow pits that were scanned with 'KuKa' (although it is un-deconvolved). When combined with the observed stratigraphy from the snow pits, we hope to characterise the layering from which radar will and will not reflect. When combined with photos and images from a GoPro camera mounted on the horns of the radar, we also hope to qualitatively characterise snow reflectivity as a function of snow-air interface roughness.

We also carried out an experiment where we artificially smoothed and roughened the snow by hand. The preliminary results of this are promising. At Ku-band frequency there appears to be a relationship between the power reflected by the snow-air interface and its roughness. This relationship is more complex at Ka-band, although the ratio between the reflections from the cold-season snow and the remnant snow are the same at both frequencies.

## 3. Partitioning of solar radiation

The ice-albedo feedback (driven by solar radiation) is one of the dominant forces that influences sea-ice melt and -growth processes. For example, the more the ice pack opens up, the more solar radiation enters the water column and the stronger the coupling between the ocean and atmosphere becomes. In addition, light is one of the critical drivers of primary production in and under sea ice; it acts as a trigger for sea-ice algae and phytoplankton blooms. We are working with the AWI EWOS II team to determine how chlorophyll content of sea ice impacts the attenuation/absorption of light through Antarctic snow and sea ice. With our measurements, we aim to better understand the partitioning of solar radiation into its three components; incoming, reflected and transmitted in order to better understand the amount of solar energy reaching the ice bottom.

A standard protocol was used for all sea-ice stations. Photographs were taken at all stages to document the conditions, all people handling the ice cores wore latex gloves. Hyperspectral light measurements were made with the TriOS RAMSES sensors. The process ran as follows:

Step 1: site set-up

- Find a level site and check ice thickness
- Drill first 9 cm core (core 0 )
- Remove core, visual inspection and photograph core.
- Measure temperature at 20 cm intervals down core.
- Cut core up into 20 cm sections and place in separate bags for salinity and possibly nutrient analysis.

Step 2: radiation measurements

- Measure the incoming and reflected light field and deploy L-Arm through core 0 hole to measure under ice light in 3 positions, see Figure 6.3 ( 0 degrees: Core 1; 90 degrees: Core 2 and 180 degrees: Core 3) in the direction desired. We ensured the sun was in front of us and no shadowing of the readings occurred.
- Mark the position of the previous L-arm measurements (with pencils in the snow); these will be 1.05 m from core 0 .

Step 3: cores 1 to 3

- At each corresponding point of the under-ice L-arm measurements, drill and extract an ice core (labelled core 1 to 3).
- Photograph each core and place in a plastic bag for chlorophyll analysis.
- measure ice thickness, freeboard and snow depth at each core site.

Step 4: snow and ice thickness

- Measure ice thickness, freeboard and snow depth at each core site.
- Put GoPro down hole to video under-ice conditions.


Fig. 6.3: Layout of radiation measurement sites with core locations

## Work at sea

Due to the limited time available on the ice, we were able to perform detailed snow/ice/light measurements on just three ice floes. The locations are given in Table 6.5.

Tab. 6.5: Location of light measurement sites

| Location <br> name | Date | Latitude | Longitude | Measurement sites |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PS129-91 | 16 April | S66 $^{\circ} 27.412^{\prime}$ | W41 $^{\circ} 24.487^{\prime}$ | 1 site: labelled A |
| PS129-93 | 17 April | S65 $^{\circ} 45.683^{\prime}$ | W44 $^{\circ} 47.775^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 2 separate sites: labelled A \& B |
| PS129-99 | 19 April | S64 $^{\circ} 18.616^{\prime}$ | W47 $^{\circ} 21.649^{\prime}$ | 1 site: labelled A |

Interestingly, all these sites were on young ice between $30-50 \mathrm{~cm}$ thick. As they had only a limited snow cover (just a few cm ) these readings will provide valuable information on the light transmission through newly formed ice. An example of photographs from PS129-93 ice light station 1 site A is shown in Figure 6.4.


Fig. 6.4: Example of photographs from PS129-93 ice light station 1 site $A$

## 4. Deploy two clusters of two ice-tethered assets

The major task was the deployment of four on-ice buoy systems. These systems were coordinated to be deployed together in pairs (known as clusters) on two separate floes. Each cluster contained:

- Cluster A: ITP-V (Ice tethered profiler velocity) buoy + WIMBO-RAD (weather, waves, ice mass balance and ocean- solar radiation) buoy
- Cluster B: WIMBO-TS (weather, waves, ice mass balance and ocean - temperature salinity via a 120 m chain) + WIMBO-RAD (weather, waves, ice mass balance and ocean- solar radiation) buoy

A short description of the buoys is as follows:
ITP-V: Profiles of temperature, salinity and velocity, and burst measurements of turbulent fluxes of heat and momentum are obtained from a profiler that crawls along a wire suspended from a surface buoy. The ITP-V has an 800 m wire (hence deep-water deployment) and will float after the ice melts. Profiles will be taken every four hours.

WIMBO-TS: Monitors meteorological parameters including incoming solar radiation, wind speed and direction, air temperature, pressure and humidity; ice and snow properties via an ice mass balance (IMB) sensor string, freeboard sensor and sonar; upper-ocean properties via a 120 m T-S chain with temperature ( 0.25 m ) and salinity/pressure ( 5 m ) and wave properties via an IMU. The system has a $360^{\circ}$ underwater and in-air camera, and floats after the ice melts. As with their Arctic deployments, WIMBOs will sample every 10 minutes in summer (when sun is up) and hourly in winter.
WIMBO-RAD: Similar to the WIMBO-TS, but without T-S chain. It is connected to a series of radiometers recording incoming, reflected and transmitted solar radiation.
Once deployed, the ITP-V is powered by lithium batteries, whilst the WIMBO systems have an upper frame with three solar panels mounted vertically to recharge internal lead acid batteries to provide power during the daylight months; and primary alkaline batteries to power the system through the dark winter. The system measures battery and solar charging voltages continuously and automatically. The two-way Iridium RUDICS communication protocol is used by the ITP-V, whilst the WIMBO system uses the new Iridium CERTUS system for communication. Both can be remotely configured over the satellite link as desired.

The plan was for cluster A to be on a floe near the mooring site during the first southerly excursion to the Weddell Sea, and the second deployment, cluster B, was to be on a floe at the second southerly excursion to the Weddell Sea. These deployment combinations were aimed at allowing us to monitor the near-surface atmosphere; the ice itself and the water beneath (i.e., atmosphere-ice-ocean interactions) over a wider distance. The deployment of two clusters of ice-tethered assets allows us to sample through the crucial winter period. It is expected that each cluster will take over 12 months to drift through the Weddell Sea.

## Work at sea

At the start of the cruise all buoys were unpacked, built and tested to ensure they worked. The ITP-V surface unit was strapped to the railing on the monkey island and the WIMBOs were all build up and each sensor tested (Fig. 6.5).


Fig. 6.5: Photographs of the ITP deck unit, WIMBO control centre and a photograph taken from a WIMBO buoy during on-board testing: ITP-V deck unit test (left), WIMBO control centre showing green. All WIMBOs functioning correctly (middle),

Photograph from a WIMBO during the on-board tests (right)

Deployment of cluster A: the opportunity to deploy the two buoys that made up cluster A became available on 9 April 2022 (Fig. 6.6). The assembled deployment team were: Team ITP: Jeremy, Povl and Mareike; Team WIMBO: Robbie and Marie.

ITP-V: Initially, Povl and Jeremy were flown out to the floe, followed by the equipment, then Robbie, Mareike and Marie joined. The reel that held the ITP wire was too heavy for the helicopter so that had to be craned off the Polarstern and onto our floe.

As soon as the team was on the ice, we started to drill the hole for the deployment (Fig. 6.6), after which Robbie and Marie broke off to deploy the WIMBO. Whilst the ITP-V is not difficult, there are many steps involved. By the time we were finished, it was getting dark (Fig. 6.6). The status of the ITP-V at present is that it is profiling as expected, however there is a potential issue with the pump on the CTD. It seems to have stopped working, resulting in attenuation of both temperature and conductivity data, as water does not flow freely past the sensors. It is unclear whether these data will be usable with some further processing.


Fig. 6.6: Photographs of ITP-V deployment during PS129

WIMBO-RAD: We decided to deploy WIMBO-RAD:095 next to the ITP-V. During the drilling of the holes for the WIMBO-RAD the starter cords of both Jiffy drills broke. This was a strange thing to happen as it was not that cold, but the plastic housing surrounding the starter cord shattered. This issue meant the motors could not be started and as a result the deployment of the WIMBO-RAD had to be aborted until we could fix or find another drill.

A second chance to deploy WIMBO-RAD:095 came on 11 April 2022 with an ice station. There were three teams involved in the station: Team Radar: Robbie and Dave; Team Coring: Hauke, Mareike and Anton and Team WIMBO: Jeremy and Povl. The floe was next to the ship and it was around 1.6 m thick and fairly level. To make the craning of the W095 easier, team WIMBO selected location near the ship (see Fig. 6.7). The plan was to deploy the buoy in four stages:

1. Drill the 12 -inch holes that W095 would sit in
2. Crane the W095 to the hole

## 3. Attach and deploy the underwater sensors

4. Phone the manufacturer (Lovro) to check the system was deployed and check all was well.

Despite some problems with the 12 -inch drills we were able to complete stage 1 in a timely fashion and thus we had two holes ready for the next stage. It was decided that we would crane W095 directly into the first hole, and after a small bit of manoeuvring by the crane W095 was seated in its hole, with the other hole being for the L-Arm deployment. There was a bit of bumping of the foot of the buoy on the snow, but nothing that would register concern. We then attached the underwater sensors to the L-Arm (stage 3) and proceeded to deploy it through the second hole. The deployment of the L-arm went smoothly except for the radiometer as it was slightly wider than the hole. We were able to overcome this by chiselling out the hole slightly. Once the L-Arm was deployed we then connected all the sensor cables to the buoy and the manufacturer confirmed that the system worked successfully in every 3 hours as expected, but had missed its last call. This was not much of a concern as the Certus System often misses calls and this would not be unexpected as the ship was blocking access to half the sky. In hindsight this was a mistake as at present we have not been able to communicate with the system and are looking at understanding what went wrong.


Fig. 6.7: Photographs of WIMBO deployment on PS129
Cluster B: unfortunately, the time required to deploy cluster B in the southern Weddell Sea was not available to us during the cruise. Given the drift of the sea ice within the Weddell Sea it did not make scientific sense to deploy this cluster in the western or northern regions as the ice in these regions will drift fairly quickly into open water.

## 5. ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ measurements of the upper ocean

Seawater samples were obtained from the CTD/rosette for analysis of the stable isotopes of oxygen in the water molecules. The purpose of these measurements is to differentiate sources of freshwater, in conjunction with measurements of salinity. This is done using a mass balance with three endmembers, typically a generic oceanic endmember, sea-ice meltwater and meteoric meltwater from glacial or precipitation sources (Östlund and Hut 1984). The resulting fractions show the contributions of sea-ice melting or -formation and glacial sources to the freshwater in the upper ocean.

A total of 309 samples were collected from the rosette: 35 on the Greenwich Meridian section, 240 from the SR4 Weddell section, 26 south of the SR4 section, and 8 in Drake Passage near Elephant Island. Samples were collected in the upper 900 m on the Greenwich Meridian, and in the upper 300 m on the remaining stations (with a few stations additionally sampled at 400 m ). The deeper samples on the Greenwich Meridian were to see any residual signature of deep convection resulting from sea-ice formation at Maud Rise in recent years. The distribution of samples along the ship's track is shown in Figure 6.8.


Fig. 6.8: Distribution of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ samples on the PS129 cruise track, with bottom depths from GEBCO_2014

Additionally, seven samples were obtained at station PS129_89-1. A core from a pancake ice floe sampled at this station was divided into six segments, which were melted in double plastic bags and poured into bottles. A bucket of surface water near the ice floe was also sampled.

Samples from the CTD/rosette were sampled in $50-\mathrm{mL}$ glass injection vials, which were rinsed twice before filling to the neck of the vial. A rubber stopper was then inserted and held in place by an aluminium crimp seal. The samples from station PS129_89 1 were collected in $30-\mathrm{mL}$ HDPE wide-neck Nalgene bottles, which were filled to the brim, with lids screwed on tightly and covered with overlapping layers of electrical tape.

The samples have been consigned to the British Antarctic Survey as refrigerated cargo to avoid evaporation during the transit through the tropics. They will be analysed at the UK's National Environmental Isotope Facility at the British Geological Survey, Keyworth. The oxygen isotope composition ( $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$, the standardized ratio of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ to ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ relative to VSMOW standard) will be measured using the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ equilibration method with an IsoPrime100 mass spectrometer with an Isoprime AquaPrep on-line sample preparation system, which will equilibrate the samples with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ before passing the equilibrated gas from the headspace of each vial through a cryogenic water trap into the inlet of the mass spectrometer. Isotope measurements will be calibrated against internal and international standards, including VSMOW2 and VSLAP2. Based on duplicate analysis, analytical reproducibility of around 0.02 \% is expected for these samples.

## Additional measurements - Autonomous vehicles (Slocum gliders and Sailbuoy)

We recovered one offshore sensing (OS) Sailbuoy autonomous surface vehicle, which was later redeployed and we deployed two Teledyne Webb Research (TWR) Slocum G2 oceanographic gliders. These were all part of the EU SO-CHIC project. A cruise for the SO-CHIC project took place on SA Agulhas II in December 2021 to January 2022. However, because of logistical problems two containers with gliders and mooring equipment from the UK did not arrive in time for the ship's departure from South Africa. Sailbuoy "Kringla" (s/n 1812) from the University of Gothenburg was deployed on this cruise, along with two seagliders. We were asked to recover Kringla to download the data from its sensors. Three TWR Slocum G2 gliders (s/n 631, 632 and 633) were sent on the cruise, with 632 as a backup in case of problems with the others. Unlike 631 and 633 , 632 was not fitted with an extra battery bay and extended lithium batteries. The two gliders were deployed on 12 March 2022 on station 18; the Sailbuoy was recovered on 15 March on station 25, and redeployed on 17 March on station 27.

The three gliders were all supplied by the British Antarctic Survey (PI: Alex Brearley); their specifications are shown in Table 6.6. After unpacking, they were tested. The clock batteries on both Rockland Scientific International (RSI) MicroRider microstructure probes were replaced and the cable connecting the MicroRider to glider 633 was replaced with a slightly shorter cable. All gliders were run through the standard TWR functional checkout procedure, in addition to separate bench tests of the MicroRider probes. The Argos transmitter on the glider was found to interfere with the MicroRider, creating a large spike on multiple channels every 90 seconds. Once this was disabled in software, the spikes disappeared. MicroRider 224 still exhibited larger noise levels than MicroRider 221; this will need to be investigated at a later stage.

Tab. 6.6: Glider specifications, configuration, and deployment information for PS129

| Glider | TWR Slocum G2 631 | TWR Slocum G2 632 | GWR Slocum G2 633 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maximum depth | 1000 m | 1.000 m | 1.000 m |
| Batteries | Extended lithium 4S <br> $(12500 \mathrm{~Wh})$ | Standard lithium 3S <br> $(8424 \mathrm{~Wh})$ | Extended lithium 4S <br> $(12500 \mathrm{~Wh})$ |
| CTD | Sea-Bird GPCTD <br> (pumped) s/n 9359 | Sea-Bird unpumped s/n <br> 0256 | Sea-Bird unpumped s/n <br> 0260 |
| Fluorometer | WetLabs FLBB-SLC <br> (Chl, scatter) <br> s/n 4504 | WetLabs FLBBCD-SLC <br> (Chl, CDOM, scatter) | WetLabs FLBBCD-SLC <br> (Chl, CDOM, scatter) <br> s/n 4561 |


| Glider | TWR Slocum G2 631 | TWR Slocum G2 632 | GWR Slocum G2 633 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Microstructure | n/a | RSI MicroRider s/n 224 standard configuration, probes not installed | RSI MicroRider s/n 221 with Ti-anodised probe holders and extra anodes <br> T1: T1889 <br> T2: T1891 <br> sh1: M1240 <br> sh2: M1241 |
| PAR | Biospherical s/n 50246 | n/a | n/a |
| Recovery system | Nose recovery system | n/a | n/a |
| Thruster | 10W hybrid thruster | n/a | n/a |
| Deployment event | PS129_018-4 | n/a | PS129_018-05 |
| Deployment time | 12-3-2022 22:44:40 | n/a | 12/3/2022 23:11:43 |
| Deployment position | $\begin{aligned} & 59^{\circ} 05.517^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \\ & 000^{\circ} 07.336^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | n/a | $\begin{aligned} & 59^{\circ} 05.544^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \\ & 000^{\circ} 07.458^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ |

Both gliders were deployed from the starboard side, using strops fitted into a quick releaser on the main crane. Glider 631 was deployed first and released cleanly, though one strop subsequently wrapped itself around the aft section of the glider. However, this quickly disentangled and the ship moved away for the next deployment. Glider 633 was also launched smoothly, though the glider subsequently turned slightly towards the ship. A boat hook had to be used to fend off the gilder, on the hull between the wing and MicroRider. However, no contact was seen with the probes.

Both gliders are piloted from the United Kingdom by BAS glider pilots via Iridium RUDICS using TWR's Slocum fleet mission control website software on a BAS server (with a backup server at TWR). The intention was to recover both gliders in late 2022. However, at the time of writing, glider 633 has developed problems with its science computer and may need to be recovered at an earlier stage. Glider 631 is still working well.

## Additional measurements - Sailbuoy

OS Sailbuoy "Kringla" was supplied by the University of Gothenburg (PI: Sebastiaan Swart). It was fitted with an AIRMAR weather sensor on a short mast, a Doppler current profiler beneath the hull with two beams on each side of the keel, and a temperature/conductivity sensor inside the keel bulb.

Tab. 6.7: Sailbuoy configuration, and recovery/deployment information for PS129

| Surface vehicle | OS Sailbuoy 1812 "Kringla" |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conductivity/temperature sensor | AADI 4319 s/n 1758 |  |
| Wind/air temperature/GPS/ <br> acceleration/attitude sensor | AIRMAR 200WX-IPX7 s/n 60065078 |  |
| Doppler current profiler sensor | AADI $5400(600 \mathrm{kHz})$ s/n 472 |  |
| Recovery/deployment event | PS129_025-1 | PS129_027-7 |
| Recovery/deployment time | $15-3-202208: 10: 00$ | $17-3-2022$ 15:05:03 |
| Recovery/deployment position | $64^{\circ} 01.000^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ <br> $000^{\circ} 05.492^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $66^{\circ} 31.082^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ <br> $000^{\circ} 11.162^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |

The vehicle has two Iridium SBD modems, used for the flight and science computers, respectively. It is piloted from Gothenburg via OS's Iridium data service server. Kringla was piloted to $64^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ in anticipation of Polarstern's arrival, and was sighted just before dawn, with regular positions being sent to the ship. When the ship approached the vehicle, it was apparent that the upper part of the sail had been damaged. The vehicle was recovered by lowering a rope lasso over the sail using a boat hook, as recommended by the manufacturer. The rope was then pulled up by hand; it would probably have been better to lift the vehicle using a gantry or crane, although no damage appeared to be caused by pulling the vehicle up along the hull of Polarstern.

After recovery the Sailbuoy was rinsed with freshwater, the sail was removed. All data from the science computer were downloaded using the cable supplied by the University of Gothenburg and photographs of the sail were sent to Gothenburg, where the owner discussed the best course of action with the manufacturer. Repair materials were available on Polarstern, with some glass cloth, polyester/glass filler from the carpenter's workshop. Heli Service had newer aviation grade epoxy. We were asked to perform a repair, and helicopter mechanic Timo Hecken assisted with cutting 28 cm off the top of the sail, plus removing an additional 1 cm of the outer layer of carbon fibre below this cut. The foam core was then smoothed and shaped with sandpaper, with a small section of damaged foam replaced with foam from the removed section. To compensate for the weight lost with the end of the sail, 14 small TWR Slocum ballast weights were recessed into holes drilled into the top of the foam of the sail. The tops of the holes were covered with filler; this was allowed to dry and then sanded flush again. Then two layers of woven glass cloth, with an extra layer at the ends of the sail, were applied using approximately 40 g of Henkel Loctite EA9396 QT Aero epoxy, with the repair overlapping the original carbon fibre by approximately 1.5 cm . After this had cured overnight, excess glass cloth was cut back to the overlapping area, and the joint was sanded flush. The balance of the sail was still good, and the sail was refitted to the Sailbuoy.


Fig. 6.9: Sailbuoy Kringla before recovery with the damaged sail and the top of the sail after repair

After Iridium communications with the Sailbuoy were confirmed by the team in Gothenburg, it was launched from the after gantry on the starboard side of the vessel while steaming slowly ahead; the Sailbuoy subsequently turned to starboard, turning behind the ship. It was piloted north toward its recovery position, and at the time of writing is still sailing well with its truncated sail.

## Additional measurements -Remote sensing

Through a collaboration between BAS (Andrew Fleming and Gaelle Veyssiere) and the Norwegian Meteorological Institute (Nick Hughes), we were sent daily satellite images of the Weddell Sea. These include SAR images from various suppliers as well as ice concentration images and ice drift maps. These images were invaluable in day-to-day tactical planning when we were in the ice (Fig. 6.10). They allowed us to better understand the ice conditions, the movement of the ice and ice extent. An example of the SAR Image coverage near the western side of the Weddell Sea when we were in the vicinity. Under these images is the ice concentration map for that day.


Fig. 6.10: Example of SAR image coverage near the western side of the Weddell Sea, superimposed on ice concentrations from passive microwave satellite data and planned station positions

## Data management

Oceanographic data ( ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and chi-pod) will be submitted to the British Oceanographic Data Centre (BODC, https://www.bodc.ac.uk) and PANGAEA Data Publisher for Earth \& Environmental Science (https://www.pangaea.de) within two years after the end of the cruise at the latest.

Other environmental data will be archived, published and disseminated according to international standards by the World Data Center PANGAEA Data Publisher for Earth \& Environmental Science (https://www.pangaea.de) within two years after the end of the cruise at the latest. By default, the CC-BY license will be applied.

Any other data will be submitted to an appropriate long-term archive that provides unique and stable identifiers for the datasets and allows open online access to the data.

The DEFIANT project's participation on this expedition was supported by the UK Natural Environment Research Council grant NE/W004747/1.
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## Outline

## General introduction to EWOS

The Weddell Sea features rich and diverse ecosystems and complex sea-ice dynamics. It plays a central role for global ocean circulation, sea-level change and carbon sequestration, and life in this unique stable and cold habitat contributes to global marine diversity and ecosystem services. Nonetheless, systematic long-term studies on ecosystem dynamics are largely lacking for the East Antarctic Southern Ocean, although it is well recognized that such investigations are indispensable to identify the ecological impacts and risks of environmental change and also for the establishment of marine protected areas. A pilot study for an Eastern Weddell Sea Observation System (EWOS) was conceived to provide the scientific basis and a proof-of-concept for evaluating whether there is need for further coordinated biological observations in form of a potential long-term observation/ecological research framework (Gutt el al., 2022), which would be strongly related to the existing Hybrid Antarctic Float Observing System (HAFOS) programme carried out by AWI (see Chapter 2). The proposed scientific activities aimed to collect biological baseline data in the Eastern Weddell Sea, which will be analysed together with already existing data from the area in order to observe changes and trends in the development of the marine environment and ecosystems.

Specifically, this EWOS expedition aimed to complement HAFOS with biological analyses of carbon and nutrient fluxes and cycles between atmosphere, sea-ice, water column and the respective biota, including marine living resources, such as Antarctic krill and Antarctic toothfish. Three work areas were foreseen: i) along the Prime Meridian transect; ii) the shelf and inflow region off Kapp Norvegia, and iii) across the central Weddell Sea. The expected results will provide a quantitative assessment of the biogeochemical fluxes between phytoplankton,
zooplankton and benthic communities as well as of marine living resources such as krill and Antarctic toothfish in relation to environmental drivers, and associated passive and trophic carbon fluxes from the surface into the deep ocean. EWOS constitutes a pilot study to coordinate and harmonize the methodology as a general proof-of-concept for a potential continuation of sustained measurements in the future. The expected results by themselves will already provide valuable quantitative information for ecosystem functions such as carbon export and secondary production from a rarely studied region and will also constitute an important baseline for the decision about the need for a long-term EWOS observatory.

The EWOS studies were conducted to assess whether a sensitive 'change-detection' array at a site off Kapp Norvegia - the so-called 'EWOS Box' - can be established in the future, which could expand offshore with transects crossing the Weddell Gyre and at the Greenwich Meridian, aiming at coordinated, systematic observations of the sympagic, pelagic and benthic part of the ecosystem.

EWOS was conceived as a multinational initiative carried by several national and international institutions. This is reflected in the numerous international cruise participants from seven different countries. Our work builds upon the knowledge and experience gained in the course of numerous research activities performed during the past decades in the region (e.g., EPOS, EASIZ, BENDEX, LAKRIS, SIPES). The aims of the EWOS pilot study are to instigate and assess a set of coordinated measurements enabling to observe and predict alteration of Weddell Sea biological diversity and evaluate the risk for critical transitions in marine ecosystem functionality. EWOS can thus offer a highly resolved view into a representative ecosystem and make an important contribution to the establishment and implementation of a large marine protected area in the Weddell Sea (WSMPA), which the EU, Germany and Norway are actively pursuing under the Convention of the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR; cf. Jones et al., 2022).

The general EWOS sampling scheme with station positions is listed in Tables 7.1 and 7.2 and shown in Figure 7.1.

Tab. 7.1: Planned and realized EWOS station work. For gear abbreviations, see Table 7.2

| Lat (N) | Lon (E) | PS129 station | EWOS site | Planned gear | Deployed gear |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -59.378 | 0.019 | PS129_20 | GM_01 | MN, RMT, SUIT | MN, RMT, SUIT |
| -62.000 | 0.000 | cancelled | GM_02 | ICE, MN, RMT, <br> SUIT | -- |
| -64.092 | 0.073 | PS129_25 | GM_03 | ICE, MN, RMT, <br> SUIT | MN, RMT, SUIT |
| -66.487 | -0.065 | PS129_27 | GM_04 | ICE, MN, RMT, <br> SUIT | MN, RMT, SUIT |
| -68.000 | 0.000 | cancelled | GM_05 | ICE, MN, RMT, <br> SUIT | -- |
| -70.746 | -10.797 | PS129_40/48 | EWOS_01 | AGT, Lander, LL, <br> MG, MN, OFOBS, <br> RMT, SUIT, TV- <br> MUC | AGT, Lander, <br> LLG, MN, <br> OFOBS, RMT, <br> TV-MUC |
| -70.840 | -10.581 | PS129_42/44 | EWOS_02 | ICE, MG, OFOBS, <br> TV-MUC | MG, TV-MUC |
| -70.862 | -10.754 | PS129_43 | EWOS_03 | Lander, MG, <br> OFOBS | MG, OFOBS |


| Lat ( N ) | Lon (E) | PS129 station | EWOS site | Planned gear | Deployed gear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -70.89 | -10.698 | cancelled | EWOS_04 | MG, TV-MUC | -- |
| -70.943 | -10.535 | PS129_49/50 | EWOS_05 | Lander, MG, OFOBS, TV-MUC | Lander, MG, OFOBS, TVMUC |
| -70.947 | -10.514 | PS129_49/52 | EWOS_06 | AGT, ICE, Lander, MG, MN, OFOBS, RMT, SUIT, TVMUC | AGT, Lander, MG, MN, OFOBS, RMT, SUIT |
| -70.877 | -10.487 | PS129_53 | EWOS_07 | AGT, ICE, Lander, MG, OFOBS, TVMUC | AGT, Lander, MG, OFOBS |
| -70.786 | -10.749 | PS129_47 | EWOS_08 | AGT, Lander, LL, MG, MN, OFOBS, RMT, SUIT, TVMUC | AGT, MG, MN, OFOBS, RMT |
| -70.886 | -11.122 | PS129_57 | EWOS_09 | ICE, Lander, MG, OFOBS, TV-MUC | MG, OFOBS, TV-MUC |
| -70.654 | -11.0190 | PS129_54 | EWOS_10 | AGT, Lander, LL, MN, OFOBS, RMT, SUIT | ICE, LL, MN, OFOBS, RMT |
| -68.713 | -27.050 | PS129_71 | WS_09 | ICE | ICE |
| -68.977 | -27.066 | PS129_72 | WS_10 | MN, RMT, SUIT | RMT |
| -70.636 | -29.397 | PS129_74 | WS_11 | MN, RMT, SUIT | SUIT |
| -69.553 | -32.440 | PS129_77 | WS_12 | ICE | ICE |
| -68.970 | -31.929 | PS129_79 | WS_13 |  | ICE |
| -65.454 | -41.374 | PS129_89 | WS_19 | ICE | ICE |
| -66.447 | -41.402 | PS129_91 | WS_20 | MN, RMT, SUIT | ICE |
| -65.763 | -44.794 | PS129_93 | WS_21 |  | ICE |
| -64.306 | -47.367 | PS129_99 | WS_24 |  | ICE |
| -70.509 | -8.181 | PS129_39 |  |  | IceROV |
| -70.499 | -8.339 | PS129_39 |  |  | IceROV |
| -70.530 | -8.204 | PS129_41 |  | IceROV | IceROV |
| -70.993 | -10.624 | PS129_51 |  | IceROV | IceROV |
| -70.805 | -10.568 | PS129_55 |  | IceROV | IceROV |
| -70.791 | -10.563 | PS129_55 |  | IceROV | IceROV |
| -70.797 | -10.529 | PS129_55 |  |  | IceROV |
| -68.638 | -31.271 | D_HAOE 19 |  |  | IceROV |
| -68.638 | -31.271 | D_HAOE 19 |  |  | IceROV |
| -64.843 | -44.253 | D_HAOE 25 |  |  | IceROV |
| -63.540 | -51.387 | D_HAOE 36 |  |  | IceROV |
| -63.540 | -51.387 | D_HAOE 37 |  |  | ICEROV |
| -63.8620 | -55.5333 | cancelled | repeated station | TV-MUC, AGT | - |

Tab. 7.2: Sampling gear and methods used by EWOS, regions and realised vs. planned deployments. Region I: Prime Meridian transect; II: EWOS box off Kapp Norvegia; III: central Weddell Sea transect

| Sampling device | Full name | Region | Realised / planned <br> deployments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Top predator <br> census |  | continuous | n.a. |
| CTD | Conductivity Temperature Depth | I, II, III | $18 / 18$ |
| SUIT | Surface under ice trawl | I, II, III | $5 / 12$ |
| RMT | Multi-Rectangular midwater trawl | I, II, III | $8 / 12$ |
| MN | Multinet | I, II, III | $7 / 12$ |
| ICE | Ice station | I, II, III | $6 / 11$ |
| AGT | Agassiz Trawl | II, III | $4 / 6$ |
| TV-MUC | TV-Multicorer | II, III | $7 / 12$ |
| OFOBS | Ocean Floor Observation System | II | $9 / 9$ |
| MG | Multi-Grab | II | $9 / 9$ |
| Lander | Lander with baited fish traps | II | $4 / 10$ |
| LL | Long-lines | II | $2 / 3$ |
| ROV | BlueROV | II | $11 / 4$ |
| TOTAL |  |  | $90 / 118$ |



Fig. 7.1: EWOS sampling stations along the (a) Greenwich Meridian, (b) in the EWOS box, and (c) across the Weddell Sea

### 7.1. EWOS I
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## Grant-No. AWI_PS129_04

## Objectives

- Study of key species responsible for the carbon and nutrient transfer, especially for carbon export to assess the role of the Southern Ocean sea-ice zone for global climate regulation.
- Determine the abundance, biomass and diversity of benthic fauna on the shelf and the shelf break in relation to organic carbon availability, seafloor substrate and bottom current regime as a baseline to compare future change against.
- Assess and explain taxonomic and functional biodiversity and composition of ice-associated-, pelagic- and benthic biota encompassing a wide range of trophic levels (microbes to top predators), including energy flow, production and species interactions (symbioses).
- Identify key species for monitoring (ecologically important, all trophic levels), observe their stress response and assess their adaptive scope: genetic diversity, gene flow, and ecophysiological plasticity, adaptive strategies and capacities.
- Assess robustness or sensitivity of Weddell Sea ecosystems with respect to changes in biodiversity and energy flow.
- Direct human impact and conservation: protect sympagic, pelagic and benthic ecosystems as potential refugia for, inter alia, top predators (e.g., marine mammals and seabirds), commercially exploited species (e.g., krill and Antarctic toothfish), fish and other ice-dependent species, in order to maintain and/or enhance their resilience and ability to adapt to the effects of climate change. Identify scientific reference areas to monitor the effects of climate change, human activities (fishing, pollution) in representative Antarctic ecosystems and thereby support the establishment and implementation of the WSMPA.
- Indirect anthropogenic impacts such as global pollution by plastic debris (macro-, micro- and small microplastics): study the occurrence of plastic debris (microplastic (MP, $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and small microplastic (SMP, 10-300 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ).


## Work at sea

Owing to weather conditions, logistic- and time constraints, we were only able to realise 10 of the planned 25 gear deployments ( $40 \%$ realisation rate, cf. Tab. 7.3). Positions of the deployments are shown in Figure 7.2.
Tab. 7.3: Overview of gear deployed in EWOS I

| Gear | Approved deployments | Realised Deployments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agassiz-Trawl | 12 | 4 |
| Fish Trap-Lander | 10 | 4 |
| Longlines | 3 | 2 |



Fig. 7.2: Map of EWOS I gear deployment at EWOS box stations off Kapp Norvegia

## Agassiz trawl catches

Tab. 7.4: Metadata of AGT deployments

| Date | Station | EWOS | Latitude | Longitude | Depth | Catch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23.03 .22 00:15 | PS129_40-12 | EWOS_01 | -70.745748 | -10.814778 | 931 m | 40 kg |
| 25.03 .22 20:22 | PS129_47-3 | EWOS_08 | -70.776271 | -10.726429 | 691 m | 30 kg |
| 27.03 .22 22:35 | PS129_52-6 | EWOS_06 | -70.915883 | -10.485963 | 218 m | 650 kg |
| 28.03 .22 13:32 | PS129_53-6 | EWOS_07 | -70.872826 | -10.490958 | 233 m | 440 kg |

The first two AGT hauls at depths (Tab. 7.4) below the sponge communities (station 40-12 and $47-3$ ) were small and contained only few individuals as is typical for deep stony ground. They contained fish, invertebrates and a few individual sponges. The other two stations 52-6 and $53-6$ between stranded icebergs contained a higher volume of biological material - several juvenile notothenioid fish, a high diversity of invertebrates and huge masses of loose, dead bottom material comprised of bryozoans, encrusting algae and sponge spicules, impressively documenting the destruction of the benthic comunities in this shallow area by iceberg scouring.

The individual catches contained (Tab. 7.4):
Station 40-12: 12 fish (9 Notothenioidei and 3 Macrouridae), invertebrates including Crustacea (Decapoda, Isopoda, Amphipoda), Echinodermata (Holothuroidea, Ophiuridea, Echinoidea, Crinoidea), Polychaeta, Pycnogonida, Anthozoa, Porifera

Station 47-3: 13 fish (exclusively Notothenioidei), 1 Octopus (Pareledone spec) and further invertebrates including Crustacea (Decapoda, Euphausiacea, Isopoda, Amphipoda),

Echinodermata (Holothuroidea, Ophiuridea, Asteroidea, Echinoidea, Crinoidea), Polychaeta, Pycnogonida, Anthozoa, Porifera, Hemichordata, Bryozoa, Bivalvia, Gastropoda

Station 52-6: 34 fish (exclusively Notothenioidei, of which 10 juveniles < 2,0 g), 3 Octopus (Pareledone spec) and further invertebrates including Crustacea (Decapoda, Euphausiacea, Isopoda, Amphipoda), Porifera, Echinodermata (Holothuroidea, Ophiuridea, Asteroidea, Echinoidea, Crinoidea), Polychaeta, Pycnogonida, Anthozoa, Bryozoa, Bivalvia, Gastropoda, Tunicata

Station 53-6: 43 fish (1 ray (released) and Notothenioidei, of which 20 juveniles < $2,0 \mathrm{~g}$ ), 1 Octopus (Pareledone spec) and further invertebrates including Crustacea (Decapoda, Euphausiacea, Isopoda, Amphipoda), Porifera, Echinodermata (Holothuroidea, Ophiuridea, Asteroidea, Echinoidea, Crinoidea), Polychaeta, Pycnogonida, Anthozoa, Bryozoa, Bivalvia, Gastropoda, Tunicata, Brachiopoda

Subsamples of AGT catches have not yet been fully examined and therefore this summary may not be complete.

## Fish trap catches

Tab. 7.5: Metadata of fish trap lander deployments

| Date | Station | EWOS | Latitude | Longitude | Depth <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Time <br> $\mathbf{( h )}$ | Fish | Amphi- <br> poda |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21.03 .22 15:44 | PS129_40- <br> 3 | EWOS_01 | -70.74808 | -10.827228 | 934,2 | 39 | 31 | 0 |
| 26.03 .22 13:22 | PS129_49- <br> 2 | EWOS_05 | -70.940375 | -10.532759 | 286,9 | 30 | 6 | 5 |
| 26.03 .22 19:22 | PS129_49- <br> 5 | EWOS_06 | -70.947056 | -10.527647 | 282,2 | 21,5 | 0 | 20 |
| 28.03 .22 00:06 | PS129_53- <br> 1 | EWOS_07 | -70.87705 | -10.487915 | 234,3 | 15 | 0 | 50 |

We originally planned to deploy the landers at all 10 stations within the EWOS box and leave them on the ground for 18-30 h. For recovering, weights were dropped via an acoustic releaser and the lander would rise at a speed of approximately $1-1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to the surface, where it was picked up by the ship's crane and hauled on board. The catch was immediately recovered from the traps and brought to the expedition aquaria -no mortalities were recorded during this procedure. Due to ice coverage, overall weather conditions and station logistics, only 4 of the planned 10 deployments could be realized (cf. Tab. 7.5). Only the first deployment at a greater depth (station 40-3, 934 m ) proved successful in terms of catching organisms, while the other three deployments at shallower depths ( $230-280 \mathrm{~m}$ ) brought up empty nets. This may either be attributed to the time of year, weather conditions and depth or to overall bottom time, which had to be compromised due to time shortage.

The single trap deployments caught the following specimens (Tab. 7.5):
Station 40-3/13: 3 Muraenolepis microps, 1 Chionobathyscus dewitti, 24 Pachycara brachycephalum, 4 Trematomus loennbergii

Station 49-2/50-2: empty
Station 49-5/52-4: empty
Station 53-1/7: empty

## Longlines

Tab. 7.6: Metadata of longline deployments

| Date | Station | EWOS | Latitude | Longitude | Depth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21.03 .22 16:22 | PS129_40-4 | EWOS_01 | -70.747661 | -10.851292 | 962.7 m |
| 28.03 .22 18:16 | PS129_54-1 | EWOS_10 | -70.654589 | -11.019067 | 1.384 .3 m |

Longlines could only be deployed and recovered twice due to meteorological and technical problems (Tab. 7.6). They were placed horizontally on the sea bottom and consisted of an anchor weight connected to a line ( 1,000 and $1,500 \mathrm{~m}$, respectively), followed by 500 m of baited line. It consisted of a main line, into which we clipped a 5 m rope with 7 baited hooks on 50 cm snoods ( 70 cm between snoods) every 10 m (see Fig. 7.3), resulting in a total of 350 baited hooks per deployment.

The baited line was connected to 500 m of slack towards the second anchor weight, which was attached to the line by a releaser. The releaser also held a line (water depth 300 m ) with flotation ( 250 kg buoyancy) for later recovery. We chose not to use surface or ice buoys due to the extreme and rather unpredictable ice conditions.

Soaking time was 96 and 24 hours. In both cases, the individuals caught were moribound or dead, indicating that soaking time was on the long side and should be reduced to <24 hours.


Fig. 7.3: Deployment of longlines. Main line is visible on the left (black) and side line (green), which carries the snoods and baited hooks supported by a float (orange). Picture: Felix Mark

Species caught with the longlines:
Station 40-4/46-1: Dissostichus mawsoni, Chionobathyscus dewitti, Macrourus whitsoni
Station 54-1/56-1: Chionobathyscus dewitti, Chionodraco myersi, Macrourus whitsoni

## Laboratory work

## Cell biology

Mitochondrial respirometry is a highly sensitive methodology that allows us to understand the cellular response to a change in environmental temperature that a species inhabits. Changes in mitochondrial function can be early signs that an organism is not able to produce the energy that is required to maintain its metabolic processes. Mitochondrial function can be adversely affected by changes in temperature, which we can simulate in our protocols to predict the effects of climate change on particular fish. For the EWOS work on board PS129 we carried out measurements on freshly dissected fish tissues including red muscle, heart and isolated mitochondria from liver. These measurements of respiration need to be performed on tissues that are fresh, where the organelles are intact and can continue to work to produce ATP currently this is the only way in which these methodologies can be employed. We compared mitochondrial metabolism of members of the family of Channichthyidae against members of the Nototheniidae (mostly Trematominae) and assayed mitochondrial capacities, efficiencies and ATP/O ratios under thermal stress (Fig. 7.6) by means of Oroboros O2k oxygraphs (Oroboros Instruments GmbH, Innsbruck, Austria).

Live-cell based observations based on microscopy or interrogating metabolic pathways 'on the fly' are not straightforward since the high-quality microscopes we would wish to use would not work onboard of a moving vessel. One strategy to overcome some of these hurdles is to generate primary cell cultures from the fish of interest. The cell lines can be maintained in our home laboratories and used to conduct experiments to extend the work done during PS129 and to inform and optimise proposals for future expeditions. During PS129, we started to culture primary cells from icefish and red-blooded notothenioids. Sixteen different fish were targeted for cell preparation over several weeks and the cultures have been maintained for the entirety of the expedition. We cultured cells from heart and red muscle from each of the animals. If we are successful in returning the cultures to the lab in Bremerhaven (with the assistance of a group member who was staying on board), then these will be the first reported Antarctic icefish cultured cells. We will continue to maintain and characterise the cells in the United Kingdom and at AWI.

## Notothenioid retina function

The retina of the eye is unusual in that it is the most externally exposed part of the nervous system. The optic nerve travels through the retina and is one of the cranial nerves; these have a direct connection to the hind brain. Environmental changes that affect neuronal function can first be noticed in the eyes. The retina is a beautifully ordered tissue with rows of photoreceptors, ganglion cells and connecting neurons, any disturbance to the order of this structure is readily identified and can be investigated. The Antarctic icefish are extraordinary in their lack of haemoglobin. Vascular input to the eye in red-blooded animals is essential to maintain retinal health, however, it is still not really clear how oxygen delivery to hypoxia sensitive tissues like the retina is achieved. A detailed study to document the structure and function of the retina during the lifespan of icefish and compare this with closely related red-blooded notothenioids was identified early on during PS129.

Wehave proceeded to collecteyes from 87 fish of which 18 are icefish (including Chionobathyscus dewitti, Chionodraco hamatus, Chionodraco myersi) for comparison with 30 red blooded notothenioid (including Trematomus loennbergi and hansoni, Pleuragramma antarcticum) eyes. For each animal, one eye was flash frozen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The other eye was placed in formalin and eye cups prepared to expose the retina to fixative. The experimental idea is to look at retinal histology of the fixed specimens and correlate this with mitochondrial proteomic analyses of the frozen retina tissues. Otoliths from each of the fish have also been
retained and will be used to find the ages of each animal. We expect to construct a detailed and informative picture of this sensitive neural tissue in the context of the unique oxygen niche that the icefish inhabits. This will form a baseline for studies in the future where rising ocean temperatures are likely to change the ability for the icefish to maintain normoxia for mitochondrial and retinal function.

## Microplastics in seawater

Surface water for microplastic analyses was obtained using two methods: via the snorkel and pump of the COMA project (see Chapter 5), and the Klaus Union Sealex Centrifugal Pump (Bochum, Germany) via ship's own stainless steel seawater system.

Sampling via the ship's continuous water supply was conducted in wetlab 1. The water was drawn from ca. 11.2 m depth and led through a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ PTFE-lined hose into a stainless-steel pressure filter holder (Pieper Filter GmbH, H293SSI) with a $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ stainless-steel filter (diameter: 293 mm ; Körner, 1076420) to collect the suspended particles $>10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Water was filtered during ship steaming, as well as stationary work sections to assess whether ship movement affects incidence of sample contamination with paint particles (Leistenschneider et al., 2021). Filtered volumes were measured with a Gardena flowmeter attached to the outflow of the filter holder. The filters were replaced when the ship changed between steaming and stationary work, or water flow had noticeably (visually) decreased within sampling event. The $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filters with the retained solids were folded, wrapped in non-stick baking paper, aluminium foil and stored at $+4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for analysis in Basel, Switzerland. Sampling via the ship's snorkel employed the identical filtration system, but instead the water was fed from the pump into the filter holder with a PP hose.

Opportunistic samples of bottom water were obtained from two CTD/rosette casts within the EWOS box research area. From the Niskin bottles the water was led with a silicone tube into PP and LDPE containers. The water was then filtered onto $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ mesh filters (diameter: 25 mm , Körner) using a medical syringe and attached filter holder (Millipore Swinnex-25). The filters with the retained material were stored in glass petridishes at $+4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Microplastic incidence in fish intestinal tracts

Digestive tracts of fish were removed by ventrally opening each fish from the pectoral fins to the anus, taking care not to damage the digestive tract; tracts were removed with cuts each at the opening of the stomach and the end of the intestines. Samples were then directly transferred into glass petridishes or aluminium foil and frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To prepare samples for transport, they were defrosted and all external parts were rinsed with ultrapure water (Milli-Q) to remove potential contamination from the dissection process. After rinsing, the samples were transferred into 50 mL falcon tubes or glass jars covered with aluminium foil and submerged in syringe filtered $(0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ ethanol ( $96 \%$ ) and stored at $+4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Microbial colonization of plastic

To study microbial colonization of plastics in the Weddell Sea, an experimental set-up was designed to mimic plastics floating in surface seawater. Polyethylene (PE) was used as substrate, as it is among the most commonly found plastic polymers in the environment, thereby employing "pristine" and surface oxidized PE (Erni-Cassola et al., 2020), as well as glass as an inert control substrate. As the experiment could not be performed on the working deck, alternative set-ups were used, namely (I) aquarium ( 60 L ) in a container with controlled temperature ( $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and replenishing water every 3 h during the day, and (II) aquarium in wetlab 1 with high water flow rate (>6 L/min) and with a light source ( 10 W 500 mm , SolarStinger LED sunstrip; wrapped in a cotton bag to provide some shading) to promote growth of photosynthetic
organisms. Seawater was obtained via the ship's stainless steel continuous supply system from ca. 11.2 m depth.

The PE and glass slides ( $2.5 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were attached to stainless-steel frames, which were inserted in the aquarium. Samples ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ per substrate) were recovered after 2, 7 and 14 days of incubation. To obtain the biofilms for DNA analyses, samples were then sonicated in filter sterilized sea water, biofilm material pelleted via centrifugation and resuspended in lysis buffer (MBL, Qiagen). Samples for microscopy and biofilm thickness measurements were fixed in $4 \%$ formaldehyde solution (ROTI Histofix $4 \%$, Roth). All samples were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Quality control measures

Several quality control and -assurance measures were applied. All materials were copiously rinsed with Milli-Q water before use and whenever possible, work was conducted on a clean bench. Potential background contamination during microplastic work was assessed with filter paper as done previously (e.g., Bosshart et al., 2020). To further reduce contamination risk during dissection, the digestive tracts were among the first samples to be removed.

## Preliminary results

Fish species composition
First results of fish species research are shown in Figures 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6 below:


Fig. 7.4: Statistics of fish species composition from AGT, fish traps and longlines (benthic, blue frames), also including data from the Multi-RMT (EWOS II (Section 7.2), pelagic, black frames)


Fig. 7.5: Distribution of fish catches of benthic gear (AGT, traps, longlines). The distribution of adult notothenioids (pink) and non-notothenioids (yellow) is depth dependent, with nn-nothenioid species mostly occurring in the deeper and warmer water layers on the shelf slope below 500 m.


Fig. 7.6: Examples of preliminary results on notothenioid fish mitochondrial energetics, as measured in permeabilized heart fibers of the icefish C. hamatus. Left panel: oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS, blue) and LEAK respiration (green) at 0, 6, and $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Right panel: contribution of mitochondrial complex I (NADH dehydrogenase, red) and complex II (succinate dehydrogenase, petrol) to total mitochondrial electron transport

## Microplastics in seawater

The 18 collected surface water samples represent $83 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of filtered seawater (Tab. 7.7). Most of this ( $83 \%$ ) was obtained through the Klaus Union Sealex Centrifugal Pump system. Deep water samples from the CTD totaled 22.5 L (from 940 m depth), and 21.7 L (from 630 m depth). We expect to find microplastics predominantly in the surface water samples $>10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Tab. 7.7: Description of the surface water samples taken
For further information see the end of the Chapter 7.1.

## Microplastic incidence in fish intestinal tracts

A total of 103 specimens representing 24 species were sampled for microplastic ingestion (Tab. 7.8). Based on low environmental microplastic contamination, we do not expect to find high microplastic ingestion incidence.

Tab. 7.8: Fish gut samples for microplastic analyses

| Species | Family | Quantity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Artedidraco orianae | Artedidraconidae | 4 |
| Pogonophryne sp. | Artedidraconidae | 1 |
| Bathydraco marri | Bathydraconidae | 1 |
| Cygnodraco mawsoni | Bathydraconidae | 1 |
| Prionodraco evansii | Bathydraconidae | 17 |
| Racovitzia glacialis | Bathydraconidae | 1 |
| Chionobathyscus dewitti | Channichthyidae | 10 |
| Chionodraco hamatus | Channichthyidae | 1 |
| Chionodraco myersi | Channichthyidae | 5 |
| Pagetodes (formerly Cryodraco) <br> antarcticus | Channichthyidae | 1 |
| Pagetopsis macropterus | Channichthyidae | 1 |
| Macrourus whitsoni | Macrouridae | 8 |
| Muraenolepis microps | Muraenolepididae | 4 |
| Electrona antarctica | Myctophidae | 3 |
| Aethotaxis mitopteryx | Nototheniidae | 1 |
| Lepidonotothen kempi | Nototheniidae | 1 |
| Pleuragramma antarcticum | Nototheniidae | 5 |
| Trematomus hansoni | Nototheniidae | 1 |
| Trematomus lepidorhinus | Nototheniidae | 3 |
| Trematomus loennbergi | Nototheniidae | 5 |
| Trematomus nicolai | Nototheniidae | 1 |
| Trematomus pennellii | Nototheniidae | 1 |
| Trematomus scotti | Nototheniidae | 4 |
| Pachycara brachycephalum | Zoarcidae | 23 |
|  |  |  |

## Microbial colonization of plastic

In total, we collected 108 DNA samples and 36 fixed samples. Preliminary visual inspection of the colonized surfaces indicates limited growth. Diatoms were observed to colonize and preliminary observations suggest that these may be primarily Fragilariopsis sp .
Tab. 7.7: Description of the surface water samples taken

| Sample ID | Date | Latitude Start | Longitude Start | Latitude Stop | Longitude Stop | Volume filtered L | Wind $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ | Ship speed kn | Water source | condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| filt_1 | 14.3.2022 | $61^{\circ} 24.559^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 00 ${ }^{\circ} 00.000^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | NA | NA | 2006.5 | 13.7 | 8.6 | snorkel | steaming |
| filt_2 | 16.3.2022 | $66^{\circ} 04.693$ ' S | 00º 03.517' E | $66^{\circ} 29.208^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 00 ${ }^{\circ} 04.101^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 2000.5 | 12.0 | 10.4 | snorkel | steaming |
| filt_3 | 19.3.2022 | $66^{\circ} 34.803^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $11^{\circ} 43.806$ ' W | $66^{\circ} 54.515^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $11^{\circ} 27.337^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2013.2 | 13.3 | 10.4 | snorkel | steaming |
| filt_4 | 24.3.2022 | $70^{\circ} 51.128^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $10^{\circ} 33.947{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $70^{\circ} 47.195$ S | $10^{\circ} 40.676^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 9083.9 | 27.1 | 1.3 | KlausUnion | stationary |
| filt_5 | 25.3.2022 | $70^{\circ} 46.907$ ' S | $10^{\circ} 44.607^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $70^{\circ} 47.251^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $10^{\circ} 40.198^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 6851.9 | 15.0 | 0.7 | KlausUnion | stationary |
| filt_6 | 26.3.2022 | $70^{\circ} 49.155^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $10^{\circ} 40.775^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $70^{\circ} 56.328^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $10^{\circ} 32.424^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1319.9 | 7.7 | 9.2 | KlausUnion | steaming |
| filt_7 | 26.3.2022 | $70^{\circ} 56.324^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $10^{\circ} 31.383^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $70^{\circ} 56.822$ S | $10^{\circ} 30.901^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 6254.1 | 6.2 | 0.6 | KlausUnion | stationary |
| filt_8 | 28.3.2022 | $70^{\circ} 52.379^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $10^{\circ} 28.939^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $70^{\circ} 52.600$ S | $10^{\circ} 29.734^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 7790.3 | 6.1 | 0.1 | KlausUnion | stationary |
| filt_9 | 28.3.2022 | $70^{\circ} 51.938^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $10^{\circ} 30.980^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $70^{\circ} 39.060^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $11^{\circ} 00.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2025.2 | 6.0 | 8.7 | KlausUnion | steaming |
| filt_10 | 29.3.2022 | $70^{\circ} 47.644^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $10^{\circ} 39.287^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $70^{\circ} 39.256^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $11^{\circ} 06.754^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2086.8 | 14.9 | 8.8 | KlausUnion | steaming |
| filt_11 | 30.3.2022 | $70^{\circ} 51.741^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $10^{\circ} 31.150^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $70^{\circ} 51.706^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $10^{\circ} 30.403^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 11107 | 19.5 | 0.6 | KlausUnion | stationary |
| filt_12 | 31.3.2022 | $70^{\circ} 51.717^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $10^{\circ} 30.331^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $70^{\circ} 51.626$ S | $10^{\circ} 30.902^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 11205 | 14.8 | 0.2 | KlausUnion | stationary |
| filt_13 | 2.4.2022 | $70^{\circ} 50.057$ ' S | $11^{\circ} 30.036$ ' W | $70^{\circ} 36.284^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $12^{\circ} 13.486^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3491.4 | 15.1 | 9.3 | KlausUnion | steaming |
| filt_14 | 3.4.2022 | $70^{\circ} 17.114^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $13^{\circ} 28.567^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $69^{\circ} 42.577$ ' S | $15^{\circ} 23.454^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4973.8 | 9.6 | 10 | KlausUnion | steaming |
| filt_15 | 3.4.2022 | $69^{\circ} 42.769^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $15^{\circ} 24.106^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $69^{\circ} 42.676$ ' S | $15^{\circ} 25.038{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 6031.2 | 18.5 | 1 | KlausUnion | stationary |
| filt_16 | 5.4.2022 | $68^{\circ} 12.394^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $19^{\circ} 47.919^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $67^{\circ} 44.206$ S | $21^{\circ} 42.755^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2170 | 8.6 | 11.6 | KlausUnion | steaming+ stationary |
| filt_17 | 5.4.2022 | $67^{\circ} 40.880^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $21^{\circ} 49.821^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $67^{\circ} 15.943$ S | $23^{\circ} 35.728^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1134.8 | 10.4 | 10.9 | KlausUnion | steaming+ stationary |
| filt_18 | 6.4.2022 | $68^{\circ} 11.834^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $25^{\circ} 34.267^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $68^{\circ} 01.083{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $25^{\circ} 45.059^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1113.3 | 12.9 | 5-7 | KlausUnion | steaming |

### 7.2. EWOS II

Hauke Flores, Anton Van de Putte, Susanne Kühn, Christoph Held, Mareike Bach, David Barnes, Michiel van Dorssen, Bram Fey, André Meijboom, Martina Vortkamp
Not on board: Fokje Schaafsma, Jacqueline Stefels

## Objectives

- Identification of key variables and drivers that structure the main ecosystem compartments sea ice and water column, including seabirds and mammals. Study of key variables of carbon, nutrient and trace-element fluxes, and cycling within and between these compartments, as well as the key species responsible for the carbon and nutrient transfer.
- Assess and explain taxonomic and functional biodiversity and composition of iceassociated and pelagic biota, encompassing a wide range of trophic levels (microbes to top predators), including energy flow, production and species interactions.
- Assess sympagic, pelagic and cryobenthic ecosystems as potential refugia for, inter alia, top predators (e.g., marine mammals and seabirds), commercially exploited species (e.g., krill and Antarctic toothfish), fish and other ice dependent species, in order to maintain and/or enhance their resilience and ability to adapt to the effects of climate change.
- Quantify primary production and DMSP production in sympagic and pelagic ecosystems. Study of taxonomic and functional biodiversity to identify the key algal species contributing to DMSP production within these ecosystems.


## Work at sea

## Pelagic and sympagic fauna sampling

A multiple opening Rectangular Midwater Trawl (M-RMT 8+1) was used to investigate deeperdwelling macrofauna key species of the pelagic food web, such as euphausiids, amphipods, and myctophids. The M-RMT consisted of a nominal $8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ rectangular net with a mesh size of 5 mm mounted below a nominal $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ opening rectangular net with a mesh size of 0.3 mm . A CTD recorded temperature and salinity profiles during each M-RMT haul. The three standard sampling strata of the M-RMT were $500-200 \mathrm{~m}, 200-50 \mathrm{~m}$ and $50-0 \mathrm{~m}$, where the water was deep enough. In shallower waters the maximum depth of the deepest stratum was set to 10 m above the seafloor. In total, we conducted $8 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{RMT}$ hauls: 3 on the Greenwich Meridian (GM_01 - GM_03), 4 in the EWOS box (EWOS_1, EWOS_6, EWOS_8, EWOS_10) and one in the Weddell Sea (WS_01) (Fig. 7.8, Tab. 7.9). A multinet (Hydrobios) with an opening size of $0.25 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and a mesh size 0.1 mm was deployed at 7 sampling stations to sample the mesozooplankton community. The 5 standard sampling strata sampled with the multinet were $1000-500 \mathrm{~m}, 500-200 \mathrm{~m}, 200-100 \mathrm{~m}, 100-50 \mathrm{~m}$ and 50-0 m. In shallower waters, the maximum depth of the deepest stratum was set to 10 m above the seafloor.

A Surface and Under-Ice Trawl (SUIT) was used to sample the pelagic fauna within the top 2 m layer of the ocean under the ice and in open surface waters. During SUIT tows, data from the physical environment were recorded, including chlorophyll a concentration, water temperature, salinity and ice thickness. We conducted altogether 5 SUIT deployments, 3 on the Greenwich Meridian in open water, 1 deployment in the EWOS box under newly forming sea ice and 1 deployment under young sea ice in the central Weddell Sea (Fig. 7.9, Tab. 7.9).

In addition, Polarstern's EK80 echosounder profiled the distribution of pelagic animals in the water column continuously. The 4 nominal sampling frequencies ( $38 \mathrm{KHz}, 70 \mathrm{KHz}, 120 \mathrm{KHz}$, 200 KHz ) were recorded in broadband (fm) mode. The EK80 profiling was working from 6

March to 25 April 2022, with few interruptions. A calibration of the EK80 system was conducted on 9 April 2022 in free drift in open water.

## Sea ice work and protist communities

At ice stations, ice cores were collected for physical sea-ice parameters, including salinity, temperature and ice thickness; biogeochemical sea-ice parameters, including pigment analysis (HPLC), nutrients, PAM-fluorometry and particulate organic carbon (POC); as well as dimethylsulfide (DMS) and dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP). Primary productivity and DMSP production of the bottom sea-ice communities were investigated during five stable isotope addition experiments on board. We collected young ice from the mummy chair, pancake ice from pancake ice floes scooped from the sea surface with a metal basket and sampled on board, and from ice floes accessed either from the ship or with a helicopter. To minimize the time spent on the ice, ice cores were collected in plastic core bags and subsequently sectioned on board. The same parameters were collected from seawater obtained from the CTD/rosette at 4 depths (surface, chlorophyll maximum, $50 \mathrm{~m}, 100 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Additional samples were filtered from water and melted ice cores for DNA analysis of microbial sea-ice communities. Furthermore, DNA samples from the surface water were collected from the ship's seawater intake with the Autofim (Isitec) sampler at 3-hour intervals.

## Top predator censuses

During steaming, surveys of top-predator densities were conducted mainly from observation posts installed on the flying bridge. In addition, helicopter surveys were conducted. During PS129, helicopter transects were restricted to 80 nmi per flight due to the expected low reliability of the weather during the autumn season. All surveys covered both seabirds and marine mammals. For ship-based counts, usually a 300 m band-transect count with snapshots for flying birds and additional line transect count for marine mammals is used. The helicopter counts were band transects only, set on 250 m bandwidth.

## Shelf-ice habitats

Where the ice sheet covering nearly all of Antarctica's land mass meets the ocean, it flows into the coastal seas as floating ice shelves, which may reach more than 200 m in thickness. The vast 1.6 million $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ habitat underneath these ice shelves is virtually unknown, with just a few tiny peeks at the water and seabed below through exploratory hot-water drill holes (Fig. 7.7).


Fig. 7.7: Ice shelf environment and sites of the few biological investigations
(taken from Barnes et al., 2021)

There is one environment on Earth even more poorly known than the seabed below ice shelves and that is the potential habitat of the underside of the ice shelf itself. Northwards of this, the underside of seasonal sea ice (the freezing sea surface) is known to be an important habitat for many autotroph algae, such as diatoms and some organisms that feed on these, such as amphipods and a limited foodweb (Thomas, 2017). A single study in the Ross Sea reported an anemone living on the underside of the Ross Ice shelf (Daly et al., 2013), but otherwise the underside of the ice of this vast habitat has remained uninvestigated, yet may be one of our planet's most threatened environments in an era of rapid greenhouse-mediated warming and marine ice losses.

The remote operated vehicle (ROV) is a BlueROV2 made by Blue Robotics modified with extra thrusters, twin battery capacity and two types of tethers (each 400 m in length). Each battery allows $35-45 \mathrm{~min}$ running time. The tether was attached to a Toughbook laptop run either from Polarstern's winch control room or remotely in ice stations. The camera view was seen livescreen on the Toughbook with depth information and the ROV could carry and operate a pump to take water samples (for eDNA analysis) and a separate top-mounted 'slurp gun'. The latter device is a perspex tube of $\sim 8 \mathrm{~cm}$ diameter terminating in a spare ROV thruster with a compartment separated by mesh and a back pointing valve at the suction point. This was aimed at collecting live specimens of animals. Finally, a 30 cm long measuring wire was made to project 40 cm ahead of the ROV to measure physical structuring of the ice and perpendicular particle movement in the water (flow rate). The ROV was either deployed from the starboard midships side of the vessel or from suitable ice floes adjacent to tabular icebergs, following helicopter reconnaissance and field deployment onto temporary ice stations.

## Preliminary results

## Pelagic and sympagic fauna sampling

We sampled pelagic macrofauna with the M-RMT at 3 stations on the Greenwich Meridian, at 4 stations in the EWOS box and at 1 station on the Weddell Sea transect (Fig. 7.8; Tab. 7.9). Hence, we could realize $67 \%$ of the planned net deployments ( 12 stations; only $33 \%$ in the Weddell Sea). The species composition was dominated by krill (Euphausiidae) at $50 \%$ of the stations ( $25,40,45,54$ ), whereas at other stations ( $20,27,49,72$ ) gelatinous zooplankton (jellyfish, chaetognaths and salps) dominated (Fig. 7.9a, b). The omnivorous krill Thysanoessa macrura occurred throughout the sampling area. In oceanic waters, Antarctic krill Euphausia superba was by far the most abundant krill species. Notably, the highest numbers of these krill species were often sampled in the lowest depth stratum (500-200 m), which is below the standard sampling depth of CCAMLR krill surveys. Salps were more abundant in the EWOS box and the Weddell Sea than on the Greenwich Meridian. The two species Salpa thompsoni and Ihlea racovitzai occurred in different depth strata. Particularly high numbers were found at station 72 in the inner Weddell Sea, where a massive ice-algae bloom in the marginal seaice zone stained the surface water and pancake ice in yellowish-green colours (see below, Fig. 7.13). In oceanic waters, mesopelagic fish sampled with the M-RMT were predominantly myctophids (Electrona antarctica, Gymnoscopelus spp.), Bathylagus antarcticus and larval Notolepis spp (Fig. 7.9b). On the shelf-slope and shelf of the EWOS box, notothenioid larvae were abundant, with a sharp increase of larval Pleuragramma antarctica numbers from deep waters $>1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ towards the inner shelf (Fig. 7.10a). Likewise, the dominance of the shelfassociated krill Euphausia crystallorophias increased with decreasing water depth (Fig. 7.10b).


Fig. 7.8: Distribution of sampling locations for M-RMT, Multinet, SUIT, CTD and ice stations on: (a) the Greenwich Meridian, (b) in the EWOS box, and (c) in the Weddell Sea

The multinet was deployed at 7 stations, of which we performed 3 on the Greenwich Meridian and 4 in the 'EWOS box'. The 35 mesozooplankton samples obtained from this operation were brought to the home laboratory at AWI for in-depth taxonomic analysis. This equals about $60 \%$ of the planned sampling effort (12 stations).


We sampled under-ice- and surface-dwelling fauna with the SUIT at 3 open ocean stations on the Greenwich Meridian, at one ice-covered station in the EWOS box, and at one ice-covered station in the inner Weddell Sea (Fig. 7.8; Tab. 7.9). This equals only about $40 \%$ of the planned sampling effort (12 stations). Antarctic krill dominated the catch in 2 out of 3 stations on the Greenwich Meridian (25 and 27). The SUIT in the EWOS box (station 52) yielded only few animals, because frazil ice had clogged the entire net from the mouth to the codend. At station 74 in the inner Weddell Sea, the under-ice species community was numerically dominated by pteropods (Limacina helicina), followed by ice amphipods (Eusirus spp.) and Antarctic krill. We collected altogether 924 samples of zooplankton species for size distribution, population genetics, trophic biomarker and stable isotope measurements.


Fig. 7.10: Depth-associated change in fish larvae composition (a) and krill species composition (b) in the EWOS box. Numbers shown are non-quantitative raw catch numbers.

The distribution of acoustic backscatter of zooplankton and fish in the water column down to $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ depth was recorded with the ship's new Simrad EK80 echosounder between 6 March and 25 April 2022. The recording was only occasionally interrupted. Altogether, we collected over 14 TB of hydroacoustic data in broadband ( fm ) mode around the four nominal frequencies 38, 70, 120 and 200 KHz . Preliminary screening of the data showed a deep-scattering layer between 200 and 700 m depth. In the EWOS box, this scattering layer remained at mesopelagic depth and did not follow the bottom topography onto the shelf (Fig. 7.11). The vertical distribution of scatterers followed a diel pattern with deeper distribution at day and many scatterers reaching the surface at night (Fig. 7.11).


Fig. 7.11: Echograms of volume backscatter (Sv) along a transect from the deep sea to the shelf of the EWOS box at 38 KHz (top panel) and 120 KHz (bottom panel)

A calibration of the transducer signals was attempted on 21 March 2022 (station 40-1) in ice-covered waters. During this attempt, we aimed to balance the calibration sphere in the transducer beams between a starboard-mounted calibration winch and a BlueROV launched from the starboard side of the ship. This attempt was unsuccessful, because the tether of the ROV and the line from the calibration winch were pushed against the ship by sea ice. During a second calibration attempt in the night from 8 to 9 April 2022 (station 74-7), we balanced the Tungtsen Carbide calibration sphere between a fishing rod and the ship's ROV Biber, both operated through the moon pool ("Brunnenschacht"; Fig. 7.12). This attempt was successful; the net operation time of the calibration was 6 hours.


Fig. 7.12: Calibration of the EK80 transducer signal through the moonpool. The sphere is attached between a fishing rod and the ship's ROV "Biber" underneath the ship.
Persons: Olaf Hüttebräuker (holding ROV controller), Felix Mark (holding fishing rod), Ilias Nasis. Picture: Hauke Flores

Tab. 7.9: Parameters of pelagic fauna sampling stations and numbers of samples per taxonomic group for each device operation
For further information see the end of Chapter 7.2.

## Sea ice work and protist communities

At the beginning of the expedition, no sea ice was present in the eastern part of the research area from the Greenwich Meridian to the 'EWOS box'. During the work in the 'EWOS box', ice formation started rapidly. Altogether, we sampled 8 ice stations along the Weddell Sea transect (Fig. 7.8; Tab. 7.10). This equals about $75 \%$ of the planned sampling effort.

The first ice station was conducted with the ship's man basket on 29 March 2022 near the site of EWOS_10 (Station 55-1; Tab. 7.10). Here, the about 60 cm thick ice consisted of up to

8 pancakes stacked on top of each other. While passing through the newly forming marginal sea-ice zone on 6 April 2022, we crossed a wide band of pancake ice with an intense greenishyellow coloration, indicating extremely high ice-algae biomass (Fig. 7.13a). The algal biomass appeared to be associated with the pancakes and brash ice in-between, whereas the water appeared to contain only low concentrations of biomass (Fig. 7.13b).


Fig. 7.13: Ice-algae bloom in new pancake ice. (a) Overview picture, (b) close-up of pancake ice floes with high algae content. Picture: Hauke Flores


Fig. 7.14: Pancake ice floes sampled from the ship. (a) Example of a pancake before sampling, seen from the bottom side, (b) sampling a pancake ice floe with an ice corer. Persons from left to right: Jeremy Wilkinson, Povl Abrahams, Anton Van de Putte, Mareike Bach.

Picture: Hauke Flores
During the next crossing of this ice-algae bloom on 7 April 2022, we collected 3 pancakes with an iron basket operated with the ship's crane (station 71; Fig. 7.14, Tab. 7.10). The passage time of this ice-algae bloom was over 6 hours. The pancakes where 63,90 and 98 cm wide. Ice cores for pigment analysis, DMSP measurements, DNA, POC/trophic biomarkers, nutrient concentration, production measurements and salinity profiles were sampled from each pancake. Progressing further into the inner Weddell Sea, we performed three more ice stations from the ship: One sampling thin new ice from the man basket (station 77), one sampling multiyear ice during a buoy deployment (station 79) and another pancake sampling with the ship's
crane（station 89）（Tab．7．10）．In the western part of the Weddell Sea transect，we used the helicopter to reach ice floes for sampling light transmission through sea ice with a RAMSES spectroradiometer mounted on an L－Arm，and corresponding chlorophyll content．At all three stations（91，93，99），we sampled relatively thin ice adjacent to a multi－year ice floe（about $20-60 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{Tab} .7 .10$ ）．At station 93，we measured spectral radiation and sampled chlorophyll cores at 2 different sites．

Tab．7．10：Ice cores sampled for biological sea－ice parameters during PS129．The parameters were：High－Pressure Liquid Chromatography（HPLC：chlorophyll a and other pigments）， dimethylsulfide（DMS），environmental DNA（DNA），particulate organic carbon and trophic biomarkers（POC），nurient concentrations（NUT：nitrate，silicate，phosphate），temperature and salinity profiles（TS），optical properties（light）

|  | $$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{U}{0} 5 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | sұuәய！．」ədxヨ | $\stackrel{5}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\text { の }}{ }$ | 苛 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129＿55 | EWOS＿10 | Ice station （ship） | $\begin{aligned} & 2022-03- \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | －70．800 | －10．613 | 60－64 | X |  |  | X |  |  |
| PS129＿71－1 | WS＿09 | Pancake （ship） | $\begin{aligned} & 2022-04- \\ & 07 \end{aligned}$ | －68．713 | －27．050 | 12－23 | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| PS129＿77－3 | WS＿12 | Ice station （ship） | $\begin{aligned} & 2022-04- \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | －69．553 | －32．440 | 18－20 | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| PS129＿79－1 | WS＿13 | Ice station （ship） | $\begin{aligned} & 2022-04- \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | －68．970 | －31．929 | $\begin{aligned} & 178- \\ & 179 \end{aligned}$ | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| PS129＿89－1 | WS＿19 | Pancake （ship） | $\begin{aligned} & 2022-04- \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | －65．454 | －41．374 | 30－39 | X | x | X | X | X |  |
| PS129＿91－0 | WS＿20 | Ice station （heli） | $\begin{aligned} & 2022-04- \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | －66．447 | －41．402 | 49－59 | X | X |  | X | X | X |
| PS129＿93＿0 | WS＿21 | Ice station （heli） | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2022-04- \\ 17 \end{array}$ | －65．763 | －44．794 | 23－33 | X | X |  | X | X | X |
| PS129＿99＿0 | WS＿24 | Ice station （heli） | $\begin{aligned} & 2022-04- \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | －64．306 | 47.367 | 32－45 | X |  |  |  | X | X |

For water column parameters，we sampled 50 stations at 2 to 4 depths including the surface layer，the depth of the chlorophyll maximum， 50 m ，and below the mixed layer depth．Samples for pigment analysis（HPLC），nutrients，PAM－fluorometry，particulate organic carbon（POC）／ trophic biomarkers，as well as dimethylsulfide（DMS）and dimethylsulfoniopropionate（DMSP） were collected acrosss the Greenwich Meridian，the EWOS box and the Weddell Sea． Additional POC／trophic biomarker samples were taken on the CTD transect on the shelf slope of the Antarctic Peninsula at the end of the expedition（stations 97－123；Tab．7．13）．Nutrients were sampled at every CTD of the expedition by the HAFOS project（see Chapter 2）．After solving minor technical difficulties，the Autofim sampled eDNA in the EWOS box and across the Weddell Sea．

Tab. 7.11: Stations sampled for biological water column parameters during PS129. The parameters were: High-Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC: chlorophyll a and other pigments), dimethylsulfide (DMS) and dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP), environmental DNA (DNA), particulate organic carbon and trophic biomarkers (POC), nutrient concentrations (NUT: nitrate, silicate, phosphate)

| Device operation | EWOS <br> site | Date (UTC) | Latitude <br> (N) | Longitude (E) | HPLC | DMS(P) | DNA | POC | NUT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_14-1 | GM_01 | 2022-03-11 | -55.943 | 2.936 | x | x | x |  | x |
| PS129_18-7 | GM_02 | 2022-03-13 | -59.092 | 0.123 | x | x | x |  | x |
| PS129_23-1 | GM_04 | 2022-03-14 | -61.002 | 0.001 | x | x | x |  | x |
| PS129_25-8 | GM_05 | 2022-03-16 | -64.073 | 0.085 | x | x | x |  | x |
| PS129_27-2 | GM_06 | 2022-03-16 | -66.482 | -0.071 | x | x | x |  | x |
| PS129_30-1 | GM_07 | 2022-03-19 | -65.935 | -12.197 | x | x | x |  | x |
| PS129_40-2 | EWOS_01 | 2022-03-21 | -70.750 | -10.827 | x | x | x |  | x |
| PS129_41-2 | NM_02 | 2022-03-23 | -70.529 | -8.203 | x | x |  |  | x |
| PS129_42-1 | EWOS_02 | 2022-03-24 | -70.842 | -10.588 | x | x | x |  | x |
| PS129_47-1 | EWOS_08 | 2022-03-25 | -70.786 | -10.751 | x |  |  |  | x |
| PS129_49-1 | EWOS_05 | 2022-03-26 | -70.943 | -10.536 | x | x | x |  | x |
| PS129_53-3 | EWOS_07 | 2022-03-28 | -70.874 | -10.483 | x |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_54-3 | EWOS_10 | 2022-03-29 | -70.653 | -11.005 | x | x | x | x | x |
| PS129_58-2 | WS_01 | 2022-04-02 | -70.885 | -11.291 | x | x | x | x | x |
| PS129_59-1 | WS_02 | 2022-04-02 | -70.832 | -11.385 |  |  |  | x | X |
| PS129_60-1 | WS_03 | 2022-04-02 | -70.601 | -12.217 | x | x | x | x | x |
| PS129_62-4 | WS_04 | 2022-04-03 | -70.301 | -13.442 |  |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_64-2 | WS_05 | 2022-04-03 | -69.713 | -15.407 |  |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_65-1 | WS_06 | 2022-04-04 | -69.085 | -17.335 | x | x | x | x | x |
| PS129_68-1 | WS_07 | 2022-04-05 | -68.221 | -19.743 | x |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_70-1 | WS_08 | 2022-04-05 | -67.266 | -23.595 |  |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_71-2 | WS_09 | 2022-04-07 | -68.717 | -27.050 | x | x | x | x | x |
| PS129_72-3 | WS_10 | 2022-04-07 | -69.001 | -27.043 | x | x | x | X | x |
| PS129_80-2 | WS_14 | 2022-04-12 | -66.615 | -27.207 | x | x | x | x | x |
| PS129_83-2 | WS_15 | 2022-04-13 | -66.104 | -31.836 |  |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_86-1 | WS_16 | 2022-04-14 | -65.667 | -36.610 | X |  | x | x | x |
| PS129_87-1 | WS_17 | 2022-04-14 | -65.356 | -38.709 |  |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_88-1 | WS_18 | 2022-04-15 | -65.041 | -41.139 |  |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_96-1 | WS_22 | 2022-04-18 | -64.740 | -43.507 | x | x | x | x | x |
| PS129_97-1 | WS_23 | 2022-04-18 | -64.480 | -45.300 |  |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_99-1 | WS_24 | 2022-04-19 | -64.300 | -46.668 |  |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_100-3 | WS_25 | 2022-04-19 | -64.279 | -47.469 | x |  | x | x | x |


| Device operation | EWOS <br> site | Date (UTC) | Latitude (N) | Longitude (E) | HPLC | DMS(P) | DNA | POC | NUT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_102-1 | WS_26 | 2022-04-20 | -64.133 | -47.954 |  |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_103-1 | WS_27 | 2022-04-20 | -64.078 | -48.365 |  |  |  | x | x |
| PS129_104-1 | WS_28 | 2022-04-20 | -63.994 | -48.819 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_105-1 | WS_29 | 2022-04-21 | -63.876 | -49.152 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_106-1 | WS_30 | 2022-04-21 | -63.815 | -49.545 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_107-1 | WS_31 | 2022-04-21 | -63.734 | -50.351 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_109-3 | WS_32 | 2022-04-22 | -63.674 | -50.754 | X |  | X | X | X |
| PS129_110-1 | WS_33 | 2022-04-22 | -63.616 | -51.074 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_111-1 | WS_34 | 2022-04-22 | -63.572 | -51.301 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_112-1 | WS_35 | 2022-04-22 | -63.532 | -51.456 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_114-2 | WS_36 | 2022-04-22 | -63.478 | -51.614 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_116-1 | WS_37 | 2022-04-23 | -63.481 | -51.840 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_117-1 | WS_38 | 2022-04-23 | -63.466 | -52.096 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_119-1 | WS_39 | 2022-04-23 | -63.410 | -52.273 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_120-1 | WS_40 | 2022-04-23 | -63.351 | -52.728 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_121-1 | WS_41 | 2022-04-23 | -63.261 | -53.350 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_122-1 | WS_42 | 2022-04-23 | -63.169 | -53.954 |  |  |  | X | X |
| PS129_123-1 | WS_43 | 2022-04-24 | -63.091 | -54.524 |  |  |  | X | X |

## Top predator censuses

After leaving Cape Town, calibration counts where carried out and standardized daylight surveys started at $44^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, well north of the Polar Front. The ship set course to southwest and later followed the Prime Meridian from approximately $59^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. By passing the Polar Front, water got colder and marine life changed. North of Bouvet Island, the first (crested) penguins were encountered. Around the Polar Front, hourglass dolphins, long-finned pilot whales, humpback whales and southern right whales were sighted. A single emperor penguin was counted in open waters. Occasionally, large groups of snow petrels (>300 ind.) were found resting in the vicinity of icebergs (Fig. 7.15a). Within the EWOS box, the distances between sampling points were short, therefore only a minimum of ship-based transect counts were possible. To account for that, six helicopter surveys covering the area were conducted. Weddell seals were found close to the shelf resting on the limited amounts of available thicker ice floes. Crabeater and leopard seals as well as Adélie and emperor penguins were found in a band with thicker ice floes north of the EWOS box (Fig. 7.16). Within the sea-ice area of the Weddell Sea, the densities of top predators were low compared to earlier summer expeditions. Penguins, snow petrels, Antarctic petrels and Antarctic minke whales were found close to the ice edges. However, as soon as the ice closed up, most marine mammals and birds, except penguins, were absent. All top predator surveys had to stop on the 21 April 2022 due to a request by the ship to timely packing of materials. Therefore, no data from the vicinity of the Antarctic Peninsula could be collected.


Fig. 7.15: Marine birds and mammals observed during PS129. (a) Flock of snow petrels, (b) group of Arnoux' beaked whales, and (c) collection of common Antarctic species (from left to right, top row: Adélie penguins, snow petrel, Antarctic petrel, emperor penguins.
Bottom row: Antarctic minke whale, crabeater seals, humpback whale, leopard seal.
Pictures: Susanne Kühn, André Meijboom, Bram Fey

In total, 15 top predator helicopter surveys were conducted during PS129 (Tab. 7.12), six of which took place in the EWOS box (see above). One flight (D-HAOE 16) had to be aborted early, due to snow showers and associated low visibility. The first flight took place over open water, all other flights were conducted at least partly above sea ice. During one helicopter survey (D-HAOE 18) on the Weddell Sea transit, four of the rarely observed Arnoux's beaked whales were found within the transect (Fig. 7.15b). Later and more to the western part of the Weddell Sea, two other groups were encountered in small leads within the sea-ice (D-HAOE 33 and 34). Closer to the peninsula, with decreasing water depth, large groups of Adélie penguins and seals were encountered, together with small groups of Orcas within the sea ice.


Fig. 7.16: Helicopter observations of marine birds and mammals in the 'EWOS box'. The numbers shown are non-quantitative numbers of sightings per observation block.

Tab. 7.12: Overview of helicopter censuses for top predators. Censuses usually consisted of two parallel 40 nmi tracks with ca. 8 nmi in between.

| Flight Number | Date | Position Start Transect (S/W) |  | Survey nmi | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D-HARK 1 <br> 18-03-2022 |  | 66.21 | 06.50 | 80 | Open water |
| D-HARK 3 | $21-03-2022$ | 70.45 | 10.35 | 80 | EWOS box |
| D-HARK 6-7 | $23-03-2022$ | 70.36 | 09.08 | 120 | Neumayer station |
| D-HARK 9 | $25-03-2022$ | 70.44 | 10.50 | 80 | EWOS box |
| D-HARK 10 | $25-03-2022$ | 70.44 | 10.55 | 80 | EWOS box |
| D-HARK 11-12 | $26-03-2022$ | 70.45 | 10.40 | 80 | EWOS box |
| D-HAOE 13 | $27-03-2022$ | 70.59 | 11.10 | 80 | EWOS box |
| D-HAOE 14 | $28-03-2022$ | 70.52 | 10.30 | 28 | EWOS box |
| D-HAOE 16 | $03-04-2022$ | 70.18 | 13.28 | 20 | Aborted due to snow |
| D-HAOE 17 | $10-04-2022$ | 70.05 | 31.02 | 80 |  |
| D-HAOE 18 | $11-04-2022$ | 68.59 | 31.52 | 40 | Short due to sunset |
| D-HAOE 26 | $17-04-2022$ | 65.29 | 45.00 | 80 |  |
| D-HAOE 27 | $18-04-2022$ | 64.39 | 44.10 | 80 |  |
| D-HAOE 33 | $19-04-2022$ | 64.13 | 47.30 | 50.23 |  |
| D-HAOE 34 | $21-04-2022$ | 63.44 |  |  |  |

Between the start of the official survey on 5 Mar 2022 until the end on 21 Apr 2022, almost 200 hours of steaming time were covered by the top predator survey. This is considerably less time than in earlier surveys, due to the autumn season and associated shorter daylight. During the helicopter flights, 1088 nmi were surveyed and flight time was 27 hours. In total, 58 taxa were encountered during the surveys from the ship and the helicopter (Fig. 7.15c). This includes 40 bird taxa and 18 marine mammal species, of which 11 whale species and 7 seal species. All species are listed in Table 7.13. At a later stage, the distribution the abundance of species will be calculated. With this knowledge, energy requirements by top predators can be linked to food availability, which can be retrieved from fishing activities and EK80 data collected during PS129.

Tab. 7.13: Seabird and marine mammal taxa (English and scientific names) encountered during the top predator censuses both from ship and helicopter. In some cases, closely related species are combined, as identification at sea is challenging.

| Seabirds |  |  |  | Marine mammals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English name | Scientific name | English name | Scientific name | English name | Scientific name |
| Emperor penguin | Aptenodytes forsteri | Great-winged petrel | Pterodroma macroptera | Crabeater seal | Lobodon carcinophagus |
| Chinstrap penguin | Pygoscelis antarctica | Kerguelen petrel | Pterodroma brevirostris | Leopard seal | Hydrurga leptonyx |
| Adelie penguin | Pygoscelis adeliae | Soft-plumaged petrel | Pterodroma mollis | Weddell seal | Leptonychotes weddellii |
| Crested penguin sp. | Eudyptes sp. | Atlantic petrel | Pterodroma incerta | Ross seal | Ommatophoca rossii |
| Wandering albatross | Diomedea exulans | Grey petrel | Procellaria cinerea | S. elephant seal | Mirounga leonina |
| White-capped albatross | Diomedea cauta | White-chinned petrel | Procellaria aequinoctialis | Subant. fur seal |  |
| Atl. yellow-nosed albatross | Thalassarche chlororhynchos | Prion sp. | Pachyptila spp | Antarctic fur seal | Arctocephalus gazella |
| Indian yellownosed albatross | Thalassarche carteri | White-headed petrel | Pterodroma lessonii | Ant. minke whale | Balaenoptera bonaerensis |
| Black-browed albatross | Diomedea melanophris | Grey petrel | Procellaria cinerea | Sei whale | Balaenoptera borealis |
| Grey-headed albatross | Diomedea chrysostoma | Cory's shearwater | Calonectris borealis | Fin whale | Balaenoptera physalus |
| Light-mantled sooty albatross | Phoebetria palpebrata | Sooty shearwater | Puffinus griseus | Humpback whale | Megaptera novaeangliae |
| Sooty albatross | Phoebetria fusca | Great shearwater | Puffinus gravis | Southern right whale | Eubalaena australis |
| Southern giant petrel | Macronectes giganteus | Subantarctic shearwater | Puffinus assimilis | Sperm whale | Physeter macrocephalus |
| Southern fulmar | Fulmarus glacialoides | Wilson's stormpetrel | Oceanites oceanicus | Long- finned pilot whale | Globicephala melaena |
| Antarctic petrel | Thalassoica antarctica | Black-bellied stormpetrel | Fregetta tropica | Hourglass dolphin | Lagenorhynchus cruciger |
| Cape petrel | Daption capense | White/ black-bellied stormpetrel | Fregetta spp | Orca | Orcinus orca |


| Seabirds | Scientific name | English name | Scientific name | Marine mammals <br> English <br> name | Scientific name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Snow petrel | Pagodroma <br> nivea | Diving petrel <br> sp. | Pelecanoides <br> sp. | Arnoux's <br> beaked <br> whale | Berardius arnouxii |
| Blue petrel | Halobaena <br> caerulea | Tern sp | Sterna sp. | Southern <br> Bottlenose <br> whale | Hyperoodon <br> planifrons |
| Antarctic prion | Pachyptila <br> desolata | South polar <br> skua | Catharacta <br> maccormicki |  |  |
| Thin-billed prion | Pachyptila <br> belcheri | Brown skua | Catharacta <br> (skua) lonnbergi |  |  |

## Shelf-ice habitats

Thirteen deployments of the ROV were attempted of which 11 were actually made in the water. This means that we could realize $300 \%$ of the originally planned sampling effort (4 stations). The failed deployments included one from vessel (ROV electrical fault) and one from helicopter (cloud ceiling dropped too low for sea-ice landing). Four deployments were made under the ice shelf, three under near ice-shelf icebergs which had calved off, three under distant icebergs and one under seasonal sea ice adjacent to one of these distant icebergs.

Tab. 7.14: Details of ROV deployments during PS129

| Dive no. | Device operation/ Helicopter flight number | Date | Latitude | Longitude | Type | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 39-1 | 20/03/2022 | $70^{\circ} 30.547 \times$ S | $08^{\circ} 10.892^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Ice shelf | Scalloping of ice undersurface |
| 2 | 39-2 | 20/03/2022 | $70^{\circ} 29.974$ S | $08^{\circ} 20.345^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Ice shelf | Strong currents going inwards |
| 3 | 41-1 | 23/03/2022 | $70^{\circ} 31.806{ }^{\text {S }}$ | $08^{\circ} 12.251^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Ice shelf | Last Atka Bay deployment |
| 4 | 51-1 | 27/03/2022 | $70^{\circ} 59.606{ }^{\text {S }}$ | $10^{\circ} 37.448^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Ice shelf | Lighting problems (flood) |
| 5 | 55-2 | 28/03/2022 | $70^{\circ} 48.314, \mathrm{~S}$ | $10^{\circ} 34.078$, W | Near iceberg | Possibly grounded, changed angle? |
| 6 | 55-3 | 28/03/2022 | $70^{\circ} 47.51, \mathrm{~S}$ | $10^{\circ} 33.815$, W | Near iceberg | Possibly grounded, changed angle? |
| 7 | 55-4 | 28/03/2022 | $70^{\circ} 47.858, \mathrm{~S}$ | $10^{\circ} 31.768$, W | Near iceberg | Possibly grounded, changed angle? |
| 8 | D_HAOE 19 | 11/04/2022 | $68^{\circ} 38.325^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $31^{\circ} 16.267$, W | Far iceberg | Abyssal sea depth underneath |
| 9 | D_HAOE 19 | 11/04/2022 | $68^{\circ} 38.325^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $31^{\circ} 16.267$, W | Sea ice | Very little life obvious (but diatoms) |
| 10 | D_HAOE 25 | 17/04/2022 | 6450.629 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | $44^{\circ} 15.222$, W | Far iceberg | Abyssal sea depth underneath |
| 11 | D_HAOE 36 | 22/04/2022 | $63^{\circ} 32.439$ ' S | $51^{\circ} 23.225^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Far iceberg | Scoured seabed at some point? |
| 12 | D_HAOE 37 | 22/04/2022 | $63^{\circ} 32.439$ ' S | $51^{\circ} 23.225^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Sea ice | Very little life obvious (but diatoms) |

The measurement wire $(30 \mathrm{~cm})$ was added from dive 3 onwards. On dive 4 the ROV leaked and the lights flooded, leading to the forced haul-in by cable. The lack of success with the 'slurp gun' lead us to remove it to improve near ice under-surface manoeuvring for measurements. Finally, the pump and water capture bags were also removed during iceberg deployments because of mixed success and added strain on batteries. The ROV, cable and all control equipment were all loaded into a Pulka sledge for helicopter remote ice-station deployments. Corresponding environmental information (temperature, salinity, oxygen and fluorescence) were recorded from the nearest CTD deployment from main cruise operations.

Approximately an hour of video was collected from each dive and battery life was strong enough after some iceberg dives to allow additional exploration of adjacent seasonal sea ice. Each video had corresponding information of depth, cable out and ROV depth. The keel depths varied from 60-170 m and along the sides, shoulder, outer and inner zones of these we will measure ice scallop morphological characteristics. We will identify biota present as far as possible including the density of each type. Under ice-shelf, iceberg and sea-ice biota will be compared and results analysed to examine what these exploratory dives can tell us about the nature and fate of under-shelf life.


Fig. 7.17: Deployment of ROV from a helicopter-supported 'ice station'


Fig. 7.18: Deployment of the ROV over the side of Polarstern


Fig. 7.19: The underside of the ice shelf near the EWOS box (station 51-1)

## Conclusions

The aim of this first EWOS expedition was to assess the suitability of various interdisciplinary measurements to monitor key ecosystem processes in the Weddell Sea and their potential change in the future. In this sub-section, we focused on pelagic, sea-ice and shelf-ice associated ecosystem components and showed that it is feasible to sample these habitats with a suite of well-established methods, such as water sampling from the CTD/rosette, sea-ice coring, zooplankton nets and visual surveys. These different methods are necessary to cover the entire size range of organisms shaping the pelagic and cryo-pelagic part of the Weddell Sea ecosystem, from microbes to whales. Looking at the very first raw data, new insights emerge which might be used to guide the further development of the EWOS monitoring: (1) stratified sampling with the M-RMT has shown to be useful to assess the vertical segregation of different taxa (e.g., salps) and the presence of Antarctic krill below 200 m , which is essential information for the best possible estimates of biomass and taxonomic composition of the zooplankton community based on hydroacoustics, (2) the region of the EWOS box may constitute an important nursery area for the ecologically important forage fish Pleuragramma antarctica and other Antarctic fishes, including those breeding in nests (see next Section 7.3), (3) the autumn bloom in the young ice of the Weddell Sea may lead to the incorporation of large amounts of biomass in the sea ice, which may further sustain the ecosystem during winter and into the next spring, indicating that autumn might be a critical season for monitoring, (4) the underside of ice shelves and icebergs constitutes a potentially important but hitherto neglected habitat which should be considered in future long-term monitoring.

The time constraints of this expedition have severely impacted on the pelagic, under-ice fauna and sea-ice biota sampling. The low sample size of M-RMT, Multinet and SUIT deployments (only one successful deployment under ice) will make it virtually impossible to assess the zooplankton and under-ice fauna community present in the research area of PS129 in a quantitative manner. The lack of zooplankton samples from the inner Weddell Sea constitutes a painful gap in the EWOS dataset. Realizing that shiptime is limited and harsh conditions will always impact our ability to sample at an appropriate resolution in this area, sampling with sensors and autonomous observatories will need to become an important backbone of future EWOS initiatives. In this respect, the quasi-continuous data collection of the EK80 echosounder and the Autofim sampler provided highly valuable datasets coming out of PS129.
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Tab. 7.9: Parameters of pelagic fauna sampling stations and numbers of samples per taxonomic group for each device operation

| Station parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of samples per taxonomic group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - \% | ェĩ |  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\dot{0}}{\pi} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { तo } \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 ㅇ \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IN } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \text { E1 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| PS129_20-1 | GM_03 | SUIT | 2022-03-13 | 08:20 | -59.378 | 0.019 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 7 |
| PS129_20-4 | GM_03 | MRMT | 2022-03-13 | 14:41 | -59.309 | 0.032 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 9 | 1 |  | 6 | 35 |
| PS129_20-5 | GM_03 | MN | 2022-03-13 | 17:16 | -59.299 | 0.041 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| PS129_25-4 | GM_05 | MRMT | 2022-03-15 | 17:20 | -64.092 | 0.073 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 16 |  |  | 4 | 12 | 4 | 11 | 78 |
| PS129_25-6 | GM_05 | SUIT | 2022-03-15 | 21:25 | -64.075 | 0.069 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 5 | 14 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 30 |
| PS129_25-7 | GM_05 | MN | 2022-03-15 | 00:42 | -64.071 | 0.103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| PS129_27-1 | GM_06 | SUIT | 2022-03-16 | 20:02 | -66.487 | -0.065 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 6 |  |  | 3 | 14 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 3 | 40 |
| PS129_27-3 | GM_06 | MN | 2022-03-17 | 02:09 | -66.482 | -0.094 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| PS129_27-8 | GM_06 | MRMT | 2022-03-17 | 16:53 | -66.557 | -0.097 |  |  | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 9 | 17 |  | 2 | 24 | 18 | 6 | 9 | 100 |
| PS129_40-11 | EWOS_01 | MRMT | 2022-03-22 | 20:53 | -70.722 | -10.878 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |  | 3 | 41 |  |  | 21 | 31 | 2 | 9 | 123 |
| PS129_40-6 | EWOS_01 | MN | 2022-03-21 | 23:55 | -70.725 | -10.956 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| PS129_45-1 | EWOS_08 | MRMT | 2022-03-25 | 02:45 | -70.788 | -10.704 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 3 | 10 | 1 |  | 16 | 43 |  |  | 4 | 14 | 3 | 10 | 109 |
| PS129_47-2 | EWOS_08 | MN | 2022-03-25 | 18:01 | -70.787 | -10.757 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| PS129_49-7 | EWOS_06 | MN | 2022-03-26 | 21:56 | -70.943 | -10.526 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| PS129_49-8 | EWOS_06 | MRMT | 2022-03-26 | 22:55 | -70.954 | -10.531 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 2 | 8 |  |  | 8 | 8 | 1 |  | 27 | 7 |  | 8 | 75 |
| PS129_52-5 | EWOS_05 | SUIT | 2022-03-27 | 18:00 | -70.942 | -10.648 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 | 15 |
| PS129_54-4 | EWOS_10 | MRMT | 2022-03-29 | 04:06 | -70.643 | -10.987 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  | 7 | 35 |  |  | 22 | 11 | 3 | 12 | 103 |
| PS129_54-5 | EWOS_10 | MN | 2022-03-29 | 06:12 | -70.651 | -10.977 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| PS129_72-5 | WS_10 | MRMT | 2022-04-07 | 20:47 | -68.977 | -27.066 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 |  | 5 | 3 |  | 9 | 8 |  | 1 | 41 | 15 | 4 | 10 | 109 |
| PS129_74-8 | WS_11 | SUIT | 2022-04-09 | 20:00 | -70.636 | -29.397 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 17 | 30 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 65 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 18 | 34 | 55 | 17 | 1 | 92 | 232 | 1 | 9 | 156 | 113 | 28 | 123 | 924 |

# 7.3. Seafloor habitats and benthic fauna of the eastern Weddell Sea in Ewos (EWOS III) 
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## Grant-No. AWI_PS129_06

## Outline

The Weddell Sea features complex sea-ice dynamics and rich and diverse ecosystems. Its role for global ocean ecosystems and carbon sequestration can only be determined by integrating ecosystem components from the upper ocean (EWOS I and II) and the seafloor ecosystem (EWOS III). Existing time series, spanning approximately 30 years, indicate that benthic community shifts in response to climate change are already underway in Southern Ocean regions of intense environmental change, such as within the waters off the western Antarctic Peninsula (Montes-Hugo et al., 2009; Sahade et al., 2015), but also in the Weddell Sea. In most of the Southern Ocean, sea ice increased between the 1970s and 2014 before it rapidly decreased. A 1988-2014 record of macro- and megafauna from the north-eastern Weddell Sea shelf indicated that benthic biomass decreased by two thirds and composition shifted from suspension feeders to deposit feeders (Pineda-Metz et al., 2020). At the same time, organic matter mineralization, reflected by the intensity of interfacial solute exchange and particle reworking, and therefore carbon sequestration, is related to the benthic infauna community (Link et al., 2013; Renz and Forster, 2013; Morys et al., 2017; Powilleit and Forster, 2018).

EWOS III aims at coordinated and systematic observations of the benthic realm on the shelf of the Weddell Sea ecosystem in order to describe changes in the past and in the future. This will provide the baseline for a mechanistic understanding of effects of climate change on the seafloor system. This study will act as a driver for the international research and monitoring activities to be carried out under the proposed Weddell Sea Marine Protected Area (WSMPA). Specifically, the EWOS III activities aim to complement HAFOS (Chapter 2) with biological analyses of carbon and nutrient fluxes to and from the sea floor, and the respective biota. Two work areas were foreseen: i) the shelf and inflow region off Kapp Norvegia, and ii) stations off the Antarctic Peninsula. The expected results will provide valuable quantitative information on benthic communities from microbes to megabenthos and ecosystem functions, such as carbon export and secondary production. They will constitute an important baseline for the decision about the need for a long-term EWOS observatory. During the PS129 cruise, the EWOS III field studies comprised three interrelated components and gears (Fig. 7.20).

Seafloor habitats and their associated epibenthic megafauna were investigated with the Ocean Floor Observation and Bathymetry System (OFOBS). OFOBS is a towed device capable of deployment in moderately ice-covered regions and capable of concurrently collecting acoustic as well as video and still image data from the seafloor (Purser et al., 2018). The device is the latest iteration of the camera sleds used in Antarctica for several decades. In order to reveal how climatic change affects macro-infauna, historical stations were re-sampled using the Multiboxcorer (MG). For this purpose, the methodology used in previous Polarstern expeditions (i.e., PS12, 48, 56, 84, 96) and the same sampling device (MG) was used in order to achieve comparability (Pineda-Metz et al., 2020). Benthic processes are a missing component to estimate the overall role of benthos in potential carbon sequestration. They will be assessed through experimental and observational sampling of sediments from the TV-MUC. Fluxes of oxygen and solutes from incubation chambers together with microbial to macrofaunal
assemblages are sampled. Experiments and sampling of particle and solute flux tracers will provide the mechanistic link of benthic biota activity and organic matter remineralization.


Fig. 7.20: Sampling gear deployed for EWOS III
Photos: C. Gebhardt, Uni Rostock

## Objectives

The general objective of EWOS III is to provide coordinated and systematic observations of the benthic realm including its processes and its relation to the other ecosystem components (EWOS I and II, HAFOS) in order to describe changes in the past and in the future. Guided by the three components, EWOS III will address the following specific objectives:

- map the habitats using OFOBS data streams that are integrated to produce highresolution 3D spatial models (topographic maps) of the seafloor. These models allow subsequent high-resolution analysis on a finer scale than has previously been possible of terrain variables, such as slope, aspect and rugosity, and their relationship to iceberg scour marks and the distribution of benthic fauna in the PS129 research area
- describe the composition, biodiversity, abundance and biomass of microorganismic, endobenthic meio- and macrofauna (with TV-MUC), macro- to megabenthic (with MG) and epibenthic megafauna (with OFOBS) communities by integrating results from all three field survey components
- ground-truthing of non-invasive benthic assessment methods (OFOBS) with the potential of higher spatial and temporal monitoring with direct sampling of benthic assemblages, including the infaunal component hidden beneath the sediment surface
- assess temporal changes in habitats and communities through comparison with surveys dating back to the 1980s
- quantify the benthic processes (functions) relevant for the ecosystem's carbon caused by macro- and meiobenthic communities in relation to environmental variability.

Benthic processes are quantified as the short-term oxygen consumption, nutrient- and solute tracer fluxes, as well as particle reworking activity at and below the sediment-water interface caused by macro- and meiobenthic communities. Solute transport intensity (bio-irrigation) can be quantified by calculating the inventory of Br tracer transported into the sediment. Modelling is based on tracer concentration-depth profiles, with overall solute exchange expressed as exchange coefficient a (Powilleit and Forster, 2018). Bioturbation is quantified in terms of diffusion-analogous ( Db ) and advective ( r ) transport coefficients by applying the data to a bioturbation model (Hedman et al., 2011). For the first time, the diversity of microbial communities will be assessed in parallel using amplicon sequencing. The relation of fluxes and particle reworking rates to faunal and microbial diversity, organic carbon and detritus availability, and seafloor substrate will thus be determined.

Taxonomic and functional biodiversity and composition of benthic biota will be linked to environmental data, compared with historical ones in order to reveal shifts in function, energy flow, production and species interactions to also allow for forecasts.

## Work at sea

Overall, nine EWOS sites could be sampled for the seafloor system, whereas the full set of objectives was met by retrieving samples for three sites (Tab. 7.15; Fig. 7.21).

Tab. 7.15: Overview of sampling gear used and onboard experiments performed by EWOS III
For further information see the end of Chapter 7.3


Fig. 7.21: Map showing the exact deployment stations to demonstrate proximity of EWOS III sampling stations in the EWOS area

## Seafloor habitat mapping and epibenthic megafauna

The Ocean Floor Observation and Bathymetry (OFOBS) system (Purser et al., 2019) was deployed 9 times in the EWOS box (see Tab. 7.15). Two deployments were made during daylight hours, with appropriate marine mammal watches maintained throughout deployments to ensure compliance with UBA requirements on POSIDONIA use. In all cases deployments were straightforward from the ship, despite adverse weather conditions at some times. Cold temperatures caused some startup problems with equipment during the descent to seafloor, but high-quality image and video surveys were collected across all deployments.

The Ultra Short Base Line (USBL) POSIDONIA system allowed georeferencing of collected images to be carried out throughout all deployments. For the majority of dives, increased positioning accuracy was achived with the onboard Inertial Navigation System (INS) and Dynamic Velocity Logger (DVL) further refining the USBL position.

Throughout 7 deployments, the forward-facing acoustic camera recorded seafloor and water column data. During all 9 deployments, sidescan data was recorded. During three dives, an ad-hoc water sampler collected 12 litres of bottom water (about 30-40 cm from seafloor)
for onboard colleagues (C. Held and D. Barnes). This water was used for eDNA analysis in conjunction with concurrently collected seafloor image data (see below Preliminary results) and for chemical analysis. During 8 dives (OFOBS 2 to 9 ) a MicroCAT CTD profiler was additionally mounted on the OFOBS frame to record conductivity, temperature and pressure data from throughout each deployment.

Three of the OFOBS dives closely followed historically conducted OFOBS or ROV transects (OFOBS 9 repeating sections of the PS960001-4 OFOS deployment made in 2016 (Schröder et al., 2016), OFOBS 6 covering the BENDEX (Arntz et al., 2005) ROV transect made during PS77 in 2010/2011 (Fahrbach et al., 2011) and OFOBS 7 covering directly a deployment made in 1999 by another ROV during PS48 (Wolf and Gutt, 1999). With the exception of OFOBS 1 and OFOBS 8, the PS129 OFOBS deployments were made in close proximity to previous OFOS, MUC or ROV imaging deployments (Fig. 7.21). Unfortunately, poor weather conditions prevented a closer adherence to the historically conducted transects.

## Macrobenthic communities and biodiversity

In total seven of the proposed EWOS sites were sampled using a Multiboxcorer (MG). Six of these stations are historical stations that have been resampled (PS48, PS96, ANTXXVII/3, BENDEX). The MG was equipped with a camera system to observe the seafloor before sampling. Nine replicated boxes (samples) can be taken in one deployment. On some stations, sample number is lower due to the composition of the seafloor and stones hindering the boxes to close (Tab. 7.15, Fig. 7.21). Subsamples for grain size determination and eDNA analysis (surface sediments) as well as a sediment core for eDNA depth profile measurements were taken from one of the boxes at each station. These subsamples were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for later analysis. The remaining boxes were sieved for macroinfaunal samples with a mesh size of $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. For genetic analysis, one of the subsamples was fixed in $96 \%$ ethanol, the remaining subsamples were preserved in buffered $4 \%$ formaldehyde solution.

Assessment of the benthic processes' oxygen consumption, solute fluxes and particle reworking in relation to the benthic community
Replicated sediment cores were successfully retrieved from TV-MUC deployments at EWOS sites EWOS_01, EWOS_02 and EWOS_05 (Tab. 7.15, Fig. 7.21). Deployment at EWOS_09 (PS129_57) was not successful. In general, we strongly recommend the addition of the live camera-system with telemetry to the MUC. Particularly in patchy and sometimes gravelly terrain, the live images from the seafloor were crucial and time-efficient for successful sample retrieval.

Two cores per site were immediately sampled for molecular analyses of microbial and meiobenthic communities. For microbial community assessment samples, cores were sliced into 0-0.5, 0.5-1.0, 1.0-1.5, 1.5-2.0 and 1 cm slices down to 12 cm . For meiofauna, half slices of the cores were sampled in 1 cm intervals down to $5 \mathrm{~cm}, 5-7 \mathrm{~cm}, 7-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 10-12 cm . All subsamples were frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for later analyses in the home laboratories at the IOW (Rostock, Germany) and Senckenberg am Meer (Wilhemshaven, Germany).

We assessed short-term oxygen consumption as well as nutrient fluxes at the sedimentwater interface through ex situ incubations on the remaining cores: we measured oxygen concentrations in the water phase overlying the sediments over time using a non-invasive fiberoptic probe in (dark) 96 h incubations conducted in a temperature-controlled laboratory container. Nutrient fluxes were computed as changes in nutrient concentration in the overlying water in samples taken at the start and end of the incubations (Link et al., 2013, 2016). To quantify the transport of dissolved substances into the sediment (bioirrigation) induced by organisms, the depth distribution of the tracer bromide $(\mathrm{Br})$ in the pore water of 7 sediment cores at the
three sites (PS129_40, PS129_44 and PS129_52) in the EWOS area was investigated in the same incubation experiments. A After the inert NaBr was added to the near-bottom water above the sediment at a concentration of about $10 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$, the 3-day incubation phase started. After the end of the incubation period, pore water samples were taken at centimetre intervals down to a sediment depth of 12 cm from pre-drilled sampling points using rhizones. These consist of fine-pored, rod-shaped frits inserted horizontally into the sediment, and through these at least 2 ml pore water could be obtained from each layer by means of negative pressure. The samples were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the actual measurement of the Br concentrations in the depth profile will be done by ion chromatography in the laboratory of the University of Rostock. Subsequently, the bioirrigation activity will be quantified by modelling the measured Br inputs into the sediment. Where additional pore water was available by use of rhizons (as described above), it was frozen for analyses at the University of Rostock.

After incubations, cores were cut according to two schemes: (1) sediment cores used for bioirrigation incubations were cut into 0-0.5, 0.5-1.0, 1.0-1.5, 1.5-2.0 and 1 cm slices down to 12 cm . The first half was used to subsample for chlorophyll a content, which will be later used to quantify particle reworking coefficients for this naturally occurring particle tracer, and for microbial communities. The second half was preserved in $4 \%$ buffered formaldehydeseawater solution for later analyses of biodiversity patterns in macro- and meiofauna ( 1 cm intervals down to $5 \mathrm{~cm}, 5-7 \mathrm{~cm}, 7-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 10-12 cm ). (2) The remaining cores were cut into $0-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$ slices for biodiversity patterns in macro- and meiofauna and sieved over $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sieve for macrofauna biodiversity patterns for the rest of the cores. Here, sediment subsamples for chlorophyll a and grain size were taken ( $0-5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) from each core using 10 ml coring syringes and frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for later analyses at the University of Rostock (Link et al., 2016).

At stations PS129_48 and PS129_52, cores from additional TV-MUCs were obtained for experimental work to quantify macrofauna-induced particle reworking through addition of luminophores (Queiros et al., 2015; Fig. 7.22). 15 Cores of each station were divided into three experimental treatments with five replicates each: azoic controls, particle reworking of the natural occurring assemblage, and a single species addition. Prior to the start of each experiment, all sediment cores were stored in the dark under an ambient temperature of $1.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3-4 days. All fauna was exterminated in control treatment cores by the addition of concentrated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ solution. For the species addition treatment, one brittle star was added to each core and allowed to acclimatize for two days. Dead or disintegrating specimens were replaced by new individuals of the same species. Luminophores - inert, fluorescently labeled sediment particles within the size range of 63-125 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ - were incubated in bottom seawater for $9-15$ days prior to the experiment to ease suspension and were added in quantities of 2 g to each sediment core. Cores were incubated for 12 days with a daily $12 \mathrm{~h}: 12 \mathrm{~h}$ aeration cycle for oxygen supply. Upon experiment termination, sediment cores were sliced into layers of 1 cm thickness down to a sediment depth of 15 cm . Sediment layers were divided into two equal-sized subsamples for luminophore quantification and fauna identification, respectively. Luminophore samples are stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further analysis. All fauna samples were sieved through a $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ mesh (except sediment samples from $0-5 \mathrm{~cm}$ sediment depth which will be used for macro- and meiofauna analysis) and fixed in buffered 4\% formaldehyde-seawater solution for later analysis at the University of Rostock.

The time-series sampling of historical stations PS81_190 and PS96_115 with the TV-MUC off the Antarctic Peninsula and PS96_01 in the EWOS area could not be achieved due to time constraints.


Fig. 7.22: Sediment core after luminophore treatment retrieved from TV-MUC at station EWOS_06. Luminophores are clearly distinguished by the pink color compared to the original sediment core. Photo: C. Gebhardt, Uni Rostock

## Preliminary results

While seafloor habitat mapping provides preliminary results (see below), laboratory analyses are required for obtaining results for macro- and meiobenthic communities and benthic processes. The expected results will provide a quantitative assessment of the benthic fauna (from meio to mega) and microbial communities in relation to environmental drivers, and their habitats in the EWOS area. For three sites, the complete assessment of seafloor communities and their processes will be available, while seven EWOS sites can be characterized based on habitat mapping and macro- to mega(epi)benthic communities. From these data, ecological indices will be derived, the community structure be described and changes to archived community data assessed. The species composition and diversity of the benthic communities will be related to environmental parameters and compared with data from previous ROV transects, underwater photographs and biological sampling to identify changes in communities, their function and species interactions and to be able to make predictions for future environmental scenarios. Process studies from three sites will reveal the oxygen consumption and nutrient fluxes at the sediment-water interface caused by macro- and meiobenthic communities in relation to organic carbon availability, seafloor substrate and microbial diversity. Furthermore, solute ( Br ) and particulate tracer (chlorophyll a, luminophores) distributions in the sediment will be used for modelling bioirrigation and bioturbation processes, which are at present scarcely or not at all available in the Weddell Sea. Overall, results will provide a valuable quantitative baseline for the decision about the need for a long-term EWOS observatory and contribute to decide on potential LTER programme sites.

## Seafloor habitat mapping and epibenthic megafauna

The image, video and acoustic data collected during the 9 OFOBS transects were subject to a brief evaluation during the cruise and some statements on the key habitats and fauna imaged throughout these different deployments are introduced below. Two OFOBS deployments were made in the BENDEX area (OFOBS 5 and 6 ) and the here collected extensive sidescan, image and video data sets will be used to produce an accurate map for the future monitoring of recolonization over time of this 19-years-old physical disturbance experimental site.

More detailed analyses will be conducted and seafloor acoustic and image-based maps will be produced at the home institute, prior to upload to permanent data repositories. These analyses will be considered in the context of the data produced by the other EWOS scientists and will ideally be used to support integrated assessments of the surveyed regions. Below, preliminary results from the 9 OFOBS transects are shown:

OFOBS 1 at station EWOS 1 - PS129_40-5
During PS129, OFOBS was deployed to collect image, sidescan, forward sonar and video data from depths deeper than historically surveyed by previous AWI surveys in the area. OFOBS 1 was one such dive (Fig. 7.23). Collected were 337 images, showing a soft bottomed seafloor. Most abundant fauna were cup corals and shrimp, with occasional octopi, fish and echinoids also observed.


Fig. 7.23: Typical OFOBS seafloor image (TIMER_2022_03_21 at 21_15_42.jpg) from PS129_40-5. A soft and muddy detritus covered seafloor was typically recorded across the deployment. Individual cup corals and surface deposit feeders were much in evidence.

OFOBS 2 at station EWOS 3 - PS129_43-3 pt 1
OFOBS 2 recorded video, sidescan, forward acoustic camera and 505 images of a shallow ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~m}$ depth) area of seafloor (Fig. 7.24). A bryzoa- and sponge-rich seafloor community was primarily observed, though several areas of seafloor which had been scoured by icebergs were also imaged.


Fig. 7.24: Typical OFOBS seafloor image (TIMER_2022_03_24 at 10_48_45.jpg) from station PS129_43-3, pt 1

OFOBS 3 at station EWOS 2 - PS129_43-3 pt 2
OFOBS 3 recorded video, sidescan, forward acoustic camera and 95 images of a shallow ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~m}$ depth) area of seafloor. The seafloor community and condition observed were similar to recorded during the OFOBS 2 deployment.


Fig. 7.25: Typical OFOBS seafloor image (TIMER_2022_03_24 at 12_49_49.jpg) from station PS129_43-3, pt 2

OFOBS 4 at station EWOS 8 - PS129_47-4
OFOBS 4 recorded video, sidescan, forward acoustic camera and 478 images across a transect from 770 to 470 m depth. The seafloor was generally rather rugose with numerous small stones and dropstones of up to 1 m diameter reasonably abundant (Fig. 7.26). Occasional fish nest forms were observed behind dropstones, as were various fish.


Fig. 7.26: Typical OFOBS seafloor image (TIMER_2022_03_26 at 01_16_43.jpg) from station PS129_47-4

OFOBS 5 at stations EWOS 5 and EWOS 6 (BENDEX N-S mapping) - PS129_49-3
During OFOBS 5, a-figure-of-eight deployment was made over the historical BENDEX disturbance site. The purpose for this deployment plan was to ensure a complete coverage of the area with the OFOBS sidescan system, to ideally allow an accurate map of the site to be generated post-cruise from these acoustic data. Also collected were 409 images from the BENDEX trawled area and adjacent undisturbed sites. The areas trawled 19 years ago were still very much evident in the majority of image data (Fig. 7.27), though the trawls were not very deep, rendering them less distinct in the acoustic data than was predicted.


Fig. 7.27: Typical OFOBS seafloor image (TIMER_2022_03_26 at 14_56_55.jpg)
from station PS129_49-3

OFOBS 6 at stations EWOS 5 and EWOS 6 (BENDEX E-W mapping) - PS129_50-1
During OFOBS 6, the sidescan mapping of the BENDEX site was continued and a historical ROV transect repeated. OFOBS 6 concentrated on east-west transects of the trawled area and cross-cutting the extreme north and south extents of the trawled areas. As with OFOBS 5, the seafloor imaged was a mix of trawl scoured seafloor and occasional islands of fauna undisturbed by the nets (Fig. 7.28). No extensive recolonization of trawled areas by megafauna were observed.


Fig. 7.28: Typical OFOBS seafloor image (TIMER_2022_03_27 at 02_25_02.jpg)
from station PS129_50-1

OFOBS 7 at station EWOS 7 - PS129_53-2
OFOBS 7 directly surveyed an area of seafloor initially surveyed with ROV by Julian Gutt and his team in 1999 (Wolf and Gutt, 1999). Their initial survey plan was incorporated into the OFOBS 7 dive plan with 500 m to the west and 1 km to the east of the initial survey also imaged with OFOBS. OFOBS 7 recorded video, sidescan, forward acoustic camera and 466 images across a transect of approximately 233 m depth (Fig. 7.29). On initial examination of the collected data, it seems a decrease in local filter feeder abundance has taken place during the years since the initial survey. OFOBS 7 was locally particularly rich in starfish abundances.


Fig. 7.29: Typical OFOBS seafloor image (TIMER_2022_03_28 at 03_38_34.jpg) from station PS129_53-2

OFOBS 8 at station EWOS 10 - PS129_54-2
OFOBS 8 surveyed an area of seafloor at about 1340 m depth. A soft sedimented area abundant with shrimp, starfish, fish and anenomes, though wholly absent of dropstones or hard ground was imaged in 265 images (Fig. 7.30). Video, sidescan and forward acoustic camera images were also collected.


Fig. 7.30: Typical OFOBS seafloor image (HOTKEY_2022_03_28 at 23_51_20.jpg) from station PS129_54-2

## OFOBS 9 at station EWOS 9 - PS129_57-4

During OFOBS 9, the intention of the deployment was to re-cover an OFOS deployment carried out in 2015/2016 during PS96 (Schröder et al., 2016). Unfortunately, tough ice and weather conditions meant only the most westerly 200 m of the historical track could be resurveyed, with an extended area to the further west being additionally surveyed. During OFOBS 9, 466 seafloor images, video, sidescan and forward sonar data were collected. The average depth of the surveyewd area was about 295 m and an abundant and diverse, rugose and iceberg scoured seafloor ecosystem was imaged (Fig. 7.31), reminiscent of that surveyed during PS96. The acoustic systems of OFOBS, coupled with the western extended survey region, revealed that the individual fish nests reported in Schröder et al. (2016) were abundant across the full survey region and that in areas scoured by glaciers, nest arrays reminiscent of those reported in Purser et al. (2022) from further south in the Weddell Sea were present. In Figure 7.32, these arrays can clearly be seen in the raw sidescan 'waterfall' data recorded from the device. Whilst on the cruise, 'Structure from Motion' (SfM) modelling using video frames extracted from the raw OFOBS data were used to generate 3D mosaics of the contrasting sub-habitats utilized by nesting fish in this area. An example of the nests occupying an iceberg scour area surveyed during PS129, is given in Figure 7.33.


Fig. 7.31: Typical OFOBS seafloor image (TIMER_2022_03_30 at 04_09_57.jpg) from station PS129_57-4


Fig. 7.32: Typical 'waterfall' sidescan data extract showing a 40 m seafloor swath from the starboard side of OFOBS collected during OFOBS 9 (PS129). As can be seen in the data, which have not yet been vertically corrected for distance, there are numerous circular fish nest forms, reflective in the acoustic beams. The pink arrows indicate a number of individual nests.

Figure prepared by Autun Purser


Fig. 7.33: A 'SfM' mosaic model constructed from 509 video frames extracted from the OFOBS 9 video data recorded above an iceberg scoured area of seafloor. The model covers an area of approximately $10 m \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$. The nests seem to be of several different sizes.

Model prepared by Autun Purser

## Data management

Environmental data will be archived, published and disseminated according to international standards by the World Data Center PANGAEA Data Publisher for Earth \& Environmental Science (https://www.pangaea.de) within two years after the end of the cruise at the latest. By default, the CC-BY license will be applied.

Molecular data (DNA and RNA data) will be archived, published and disseminated within one of the repositories of the International Nucleotide Sequence Data Collaboration (INSDC, www. insdc.org) comprising of EMBL-EBI/ENA, GenBank and DDBJ).

Any other data will be submitted to an appropriate long-term archive that provides unique and stable identifiers for the datasets and allows open online access to the data.

This expedition was supported by the Helmholtz Research Programme "Changing Earth Sustaining our Future" Topic 6, Subtopics 1, 2 and 4.

In all publications based on this expedition, the Grant No. AWI_PS129_04, AWI_PS129_05, or AWI_PS129_06 will be quoted and the following publication will be cited:

Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung (2017) Polar Research and Supply Vessel POLARSTERN Operated by the Alfred-Wegener-Institute. Journal of large-scale research facilities, 3, A119. http://dx.doi.org/10.17815/jlsrf-3-163.
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Tab. 7.15: Overview of sampling gear used and onboard experiments performed by EWOS III

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { ت} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \\ & \sum_{u}^{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subsamples |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_40-5 | 21.03.22 21:56 | EWOS_01 | OFOBS | max depth/on ground | -70,732825 | -10,916521 |  | 1089 | 337 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS129_40-5 | 21.03.22 23:26 | EWOS_01 | Ofobs | information | -70,725818 | -10,912191 |  | 1102,2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS129_40-8 | 22.03.22 06:42 | EWOS_01 | TV-MUC | max depth/on ground | -70,745915 | -10,799049 |  | 914,1 |  | 8 | 5 | 7 | 4 |  | 2 | 5 |  | 3 | 3* |  |
| PS129_40-9 | 22.03.22 09:14 | EWOS_01 | MG_PS | max depth/on ground | -70,745374 | -10,81721 |  | 937,3 |  | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | core +surface | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| PS129_42-2 | 24.03.22 04:13 | EWOS_02 | MG_PS | max depth/on ground | -70,840277 | -10,581677 |  | 254,9 |  | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | surface | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| PS129_43-1 | 24.03.22 06:37 | EWOS_03 | MG_PS | information | -70,862132 | -10,755173 |  | 301,7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $x$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| PS129_43-2 | 24.03.22 06:52 | EWOS_03 | MG_PS | max depth/on ground | -70,862102 | -10,754 |  | 302,4 |  | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | core + surface | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| PS129_43-3 | 24.03.22 08:39 | EWOS_03 | OFOBS | max depth/on ground | -70,86189 | -10,74821 |  | 299,8 | 505 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS129_43-3 | 24.03.22 08:39 | EWOS_03 | OFOBS | information | -70,86189 | -10,748137 |  | 299,6 | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS129_43-3 | 24.03.22 11:51 | EWOS_03 | OFOBS | on deck | -70,853164 | -10,666904 |  | 269,9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS129_44-1 | 24.03.22 12:32 | EWOS_02 | TV-MUC | max depth/on ground | -70,842983 | -10,587264 |  | 244,3 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS129_44-2 | 24.03.22 14:40 | EWOS_02 | TV-MUC | max depth/on ground | -70,839931 | -10,578744 |  | 251,8 |  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  | 1 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| PS129_47-4 | 25.03.22 23:24 | EWOS_08 | OFOBS | max depth/on ground | -70,778072 | -10,743258 |  | 688,7 | 479 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS129_47-4 | 26.03.22 02:31 | EWOS_08 | OFOBS | profile end | -70,779168 | -10,624015 |  | 504,6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS129_47-5 | 26.03.22 04:06 | EWOS_08 | MG_PS | max depth/on ground | -70,786476 | -10,74984 |  | 611,7 |  | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | core + surface | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| PS129_48-1 | 26.03.22 06:50 | EWOS_01 | TV-MUC | max depth/on ground | -70,746003 | -10,797929 |  | 911,3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS129_48-2 | 26.03.22 08:01 | EWOS_01 | TV-MUC | max depth/on ground | -70,745869 | -10,799005 |  | 912,9 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| PS129_48-3 | 26.03.22 09:03 | EWOS_01 | TV-MUC | max depth/on ground | -70,746146 | -10,800111 |  | 912,8 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| PS129_49-3 | 26.03.22 14:45 | EWOS_05 | OFOBS | max depth/on ground | -70,940804 | -10,523132 |  | 263,4 | 409 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS129_49-3 | 26.03.22 17:39 | EWOS_05 | Ofobs | on deck | -70,935392 | -10,497735 |  | 227,9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



* Surface sediments for Chla-degradation time samples were taken
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## A. 1 TEILNEHMENDE INSTITUTE / PARTICIPATING INSTITUTS

| Affiliation | Address |
| :---: | :---: |
| BE.IRSNB | Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique Directorate Natural Enviroment <br> Rue Vautier 29 <br> 1000 Brussels <br> Belgium |
| BE.VLIZ | Vlaams Instituut voor de Zee (VLIZ)/Flanders Marine Institute Wandelaarkaai 7 <br> 8400 Oostende <br> Belgium |
| CH.UNIBAS | Universität Basel <br> Department Umweltwissenschaften <br> Vesalgasse 1 <br> 4051 Basel <br> Switzerland |
| DE.AWI | Alfred-Wegener-Institut <br> Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung <br> Postfach 120161 <br> 27515 Bremerhaven <br> Germany |
| DE.DWD | Deutscher Wetterdienst <br> Seewetteramt <br> Bernhard-Nocht-Straße 76 <br> 20359 Hamburg <br> Germany |
| DE.HeliService | Heli Service International GmbH <br> Gorch-Fock-Straße 105 <br> 26721 Emden <br> Germany |
| DE.HSB | Hochschule Bremerhaven <br> An der Karlstadt 8 <br> 27568 Bremerhaven <br> Germany |
| DE.IOW | Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Seestraße 15 18119 Rostock/Warnemünde Germany |


| Affiliation | Address |
| :---: | :---: |
| DE.UNI-Rostock | Universität Rostock <br> Maritime Systeme <br> Albert-Einstein-Straße 21 <br> 18059 Rostock <br> Germany |
| ES.ULPGC | Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria Facultad de Ciencias del Mar Campus de Tafira 35017 Las Palmas de Gran Canaria Spain |
| GOV.NOAA | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 8901 La Jolla Shores Dr. <br> La Jolla, CA 92037 <br> U.S.A. |
| IT.UNIPD | Universita Degli Studi Di Padova Via U. Bassi 58/b 35121 Padova Italy |
| NL.DORSSEN | M. van Dorssen Metaalbewerking <br> Schilderend 113 <br> 1791 BE Den Burg <br> The Netherlands |
| NL.NIOZ | Koninklijk Nederlands Instituut voor Onderzoek der Zee (NIOZ) <br> P.O. Box 59 <br> 1790 AB Den Burg/Texel <br> The Netherlands |
| NL.RUG | Rijksuniversiteit Groningen P.O. Box 11103 9700 CC Groningen <br> The Netherlands |
| NL.WUR | Wageningen Marine Research Ankerpark 27 1781 AG Den Helder The Netherlands |
| UK.BAS | British Antarctic Survey <br> High Cross, Madingley Rd. <br> Cambridge CB3 0ET <br> United Kingdom |
| UK.EBI | European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI) <br> Wellcome Genome Campus <br> Hinxton, Cambridgeshire CB10 1SD <br> United Kingdom |


| Affiliation | Address |
| :--- | :--- |
| UK.NOTTING | University of Nottingham <br> Sutton Bonington Campus <br> Nottingham LE12 5RD <br> United Kingdom |
| UK.UCL | University College London <br> Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling <br> Gower Street <br> London WC1E 6BT <br> United Kingdom |
| UK.UNI-Liverpool-EOE | University of Liverpool, <br> Dept. of Earth, Ocean and Ecological Sciences <br> School of Environmental Sciences <br> 4 Brownlow Street <br> Liverpool L69 3GP <br> United Kingdom |

## A. 2 FAHRTTEILNEHMER:INNEN / CRUISE PARTICIPANTS

| Name/ <br> Last name | Vorname/ First name | Institut/ Institute | Beruf/ <br> Profession | Fachrichtung/ Discipline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abrahamsen | Einar Povl | UK.BAS | Scientist | Oceanography |
| Allerholt | Jacob | DE.AWI | Technician | Oceanography |
| Bach | Mareike Gabriele | NL.RUG | PhD candidate | Biology |
| Barnes | David | UK.BAS | Scientist | Biology |
| Beyer | Andrea Kerstin | DE.AWI | Technician | Biology |
| Boebel | Olaf | DE.AWI | Scientist | Oceanography |
| Chakrabarti | Lisa | UK.NOTTING | Scientist | Biology |
| Christensen | Jonas Overby | DE.HeliService | Pilot | Helicopter Service |
| Engicht | Carina | DE.AWI | Technician | Oceanography |
| Erni Cassola e Barata | Gabriel | CH.UNIBAS | Scientist | Biology |
| Feij | Bram | NL.NIOZ | Observer | Biology |
| Flores | Hauke | DE.AWI | Scientist | Biology |
| Gebhardt | Christopher | DE.UNI-Rostock | Scientist | Biology |
| GonzálezDávila | Melchor | ES.ULPGC | Scientist | Oceanography |
| Graeve | Martin | DE.AWI | Scientist | Chemistry |
| Hecken | Timo | DE.HeliService | Technician | Helicopter Service |
| Held | Christoph | DE.AWI | Scientist | Biology |
| Hoppema | Mario | DE.AWI | Scientist (chief scientist) | Oceanography |
| Jager | Harold | DE.HeliService | Pilot | Helicopter Service |
| Jones | Christopher | GOV.NOAA | Scientist | Biology |
| Kaufmann | Marie Elisabeth | DE.AWI | Student (Master) | Biology |
| Kempf | Sarah | DE.AWI | PhD candidate | Biology |
| Koch | Boris | DE.AWI | Scientist | Chemistry |
| Koschnick | Nils | DE.AWI | Engineer | Biology |
| Kühn | Susanne | NL.WUR | Scientist | Biology |
| Leuenberger | Kevin | CH.UNIBAS | Student (Master) | Biology |
| Link | Heike | DE.UNI-Rostock | Scientist | Biology |
| Llanillo del Rio | Pedro Jose | DE.AWI | Scientist | Oceanography |
| Ludwichowski | Kai-Uwe | DE.AWI | Engineer | Chemistry |
| Mallett | Robbie | UK.UCL | PhD candidate | Geophysics |
| Mark | Felix | DE.AWI | Scientist | Biology |
| Meijboom | André | NL.WUR | Scientist | Biology |
| Otte | Frank | DE.DWD | Technician | Meteorology |
| Pallentin | Malte | DE.AWI | Engineer | Biology |


| Name/ <br> Last name | Vorname/ <br> First name | Institut/ <br> Institute | Beruf/ <br> Profession | Fachrichtung/ <br> Discipline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Papetti | Chiara | IT.UNIPD | Scientist | Biology |
| Parcerisas <br> Serrahima | Clea | BE.VLIZ | PhD candidate | Engineering <br> Sciences |
| Pinner | Ole | DE.AWI | PhD candidate | Oceanography |
| Powilleit | Martin | DE.UNI-Rostock | Scientist | Biology |
| Purser | Autun | DE.AWI | Scientist | Biology |
| Roca Torrecilla | Irene | DE.AWI | Scientist | Biology |
| Santana <br> Casiano | Juana <br> Magdalena | ES.ULPGC | Scientist | Oceanography |
| Schröder | Henning | DE.AWI | Engineer | Engineering <br> Sciences |
| Spiesecke | Stefanie | DE.AWI | Engineer | Oceanography |
| Stenssen | Willem Albertus | DE.HeliService | Engineer | Helicopter Service |
| Suter | Patrick | DE.DWD | Scientist | Meteorology |
| Tebben | Jan | DE.AWI | Scientist | Chemistry |
| Tippenhauer | Sandra | DE.AWI | Scientist | Oceanography |
| Van de Putte | Anton | BE.IRSNB | Scientist | Biology |
| Van Dorssen | Michiel | NL.DORSSEN | Technician | Biology |
| Vortkamp | Martina | DE.AWI | Technician | Biology |
| Wilkinson | Jeremy | UK.BAS | Scientist | Oceanography |

## A. 3 SCHIFFSBESATZUNG / SHIP'S CREW

| No. | Nachname / Last Name | Vorname / First name | Position / <br> Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wunderlich | Thomas Wolf | Master |
| 2 | Kentges | Felix | Chiefmate |
| 3 | Grafe | Jens | Chief |
| 4 | Langhinrichs | Jacob | 2nd Mate |
| 5 | Peine | Lutz Gerhard | 2nd Mate |
| 6 | Lange | Felix | 3nd Mate |
| 7 | Müller | Andreas | ELO |
| 8 | Goessmann-Lange | Petra | Ships Doc |
| 9 | Brose | Thomas Christian Gerhard | 2nd. Eng |
| 10 | Haack | Michael Detlev | 2nd. Eng |
| 11 | Krinfeld | Oleksandr | 2nd. Eng |
| 12 | Redmer | Jens Dirk | ELO |
| 13 | Hüttebräucker | Olaf | ELO |
| 14 | Jäger | Vladimir | ELO |
| 15 | Kliemann | Olaf | ELO |
| 16 | Nasis | Ilias | ELO |
| 17 | Sedlak | Andreas Enrico | Bosun |
| 18 | Neisner | Winfried | Carpen. |
| 19 | Denzer | Florian | MP Rat. |
| 20 | Fölster | Michael | MP Rat. |
| 21 | Heinstein | Patricia | MP Rat. |
| 22 | Hoche | Jan | MP Rat. |
| 23 | Meier | Jan | MP Rat. |
| 24 | Mohr | Tassilo Peter | MP Rat. |
| 25 | Baecker | Andreas | $A B$ |
| 26 | Burzan | Gerd-Ekkehard | $A B$ |
| 27 | Wende | Uwe | AB |
| 28 | Preußner | Jörg | Storek. |
| 30 | Claasen | Thies | MP Rat. |


| No. | Nachname / <br> Last Name | Vorname / <br> First name | Position / <br> Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30 | Hänert | Ovee | MP Rat. |
| 31 | Rhau | Lars-Peter | MP Rat. |
| 32 | Klinger | Dana | MP Rat. |
| 33 | Schwarz | Uwe | MP Rat. |
| 34 | Marquart | Geron | Cook |
| 35 | Silinski | Frank | Cooksm. |
| 36 | Matter | Sebastian | Cooksm. |
| 37 | Pieper | Romy | Chief Stew. |
| 38 | Ilk | Carmen Viola | Nurse |
| 39 | Silinski | Tomasz | 2nd Stew. |
| 40 | Krause | Torsten | 2nd Stew. |
| 41 | Dibenau | René | 2nd Stew. |
| 42 | Arendt | Dansheng | 2nd Stew. |
| 43 | Chen | Yongsheng | 2nd Stew. |
| 44 | Sun |  | Laundym. |

A. 4 STATIONSLISTE / STATION LIST PS129
Station list of expedition PS132 from Cape Town to Punta Arenas;
the list details the action log for all stations along the cruise track.
This version contains Uniform Resource Identifiers for all sensors listed under https://sensor.awi.de. See https://www.awi.de/en/about-us/
service/computing-centre/data-flow-framework.html for further information about AWI's data flow framework from sensor observations to

| Event label | Optional <br> label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth <br> [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PS129-track |  | $2022-03-03 T 00: 00: 00$ | -33.90680 | 18.43370 |  | CT | Station start | Cape Town- <br> Punta Arenas |
| PS129-track |  | $2022-04-28 T 00: 00: 00$ | -53.14470 | -70.90910 |  | CT | Station end | Cape Town - <br> Punta Arenas |
| PS129_0_Underway-28 |  | $2022-03-05 T 11: 33: 27$ | -37.30978 | 16.42730 | 4572.9 | SWEAS | Station start |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-28 | $2022-04-25 T 21: 08: 02$ | -58.05082 | -60.63529 | 4265.3 | SWEAS | Station end |  |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-24 |  | $2022-03-05 T 11: 45: 08$ | -37.34008 | 16.41134 | 4575.7 | TSG | Station start |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-24 |  | $2022-04-25 T 21: 11: 45$ | -58.04367 | -60.64601 | 4279.4 | TSG | Station end |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-23 | $2022-03-05 T 11: 45: 33$ | -37.34112 | 16.41080 | 4576.2 | TSG | Station start |  |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-23 |  | $2022-04-25 T 21: 11: 06$ | -58.04492 | -60.64416 | 4274.3 | TSG | Station end |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-22 | $2022-03-05 T 11: 45: 53$ | -37.34198 | 16.41035 | 4576.2 | SNDVELPR | Station start |  |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-22 | $2022-04-25 T 21: 10: 47$ | -58.04552 | -60.64325 | 4271.2 | SNDVELPR | Station end |  |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-14 | $2022-03-05 T 11: 46: 38$ | -37.34393 | 16.40933 | 4577.0 | NEUMON | Station start |  |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-14 |  | $2022-04-25 T 21: 10: 15$ | -58.04653 | -60.64170 | 4267.5 | NEUMON | Station end |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-12 | $2022-03-05 T 11: 47: 05$ | -37.34510 | 16.40873 | 4577.3 | GRAV | Station start |  |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-12 | $2022-04-25 T 21: 09: 56$ | -58.04713 | -60.64076 | 4264.6 | GRAV | Station end |  |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-11 |  | $2022-03-05 T 11: 47: 37$ | -37.34649 | 16.40802 | 4577.4 | MAG | Station start |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-11 |  | $2022-04-25 T 21: 09: 18$ | -58.04839 | -60.63887 | 4262.9 | MAG | Station end |  |

* Comments are limited to 130 characters. See https://www.pangaea.de/expeditions/events/PS129 to show full comments in conjunction with the station (event) list for expedition PS129.

| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_0_Underway-10 |  | 2022-03-05T11:48:08 | -37.34784 | 16.40733 | 4577.8 | ICERAD | Station start |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-10 |  | 2022-04-25T21:11:54 | -58.04338 | -60.64644 | 4279.4 | ICERAD | Station end |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-6 |  | 2022-03-05T11:49:07 | -37.35041 | 16.40603 | 4578.8 | MYON | Station start |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-6 |  | 2022-04-25T21:09:06 | -58.04875 | -60.63834 | 4262.3 | MYON | Station end |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-1 |  | 2022-03-05T11:49:45 | -37.35205 | 16.40515 | 4579.1 | ADCP | Station start |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-1 |  | 2022-04-25T21:08:33 | -58.04982 | -60.63676 | 4263.4 | ADCP | Station end |  |
| PS129_1-1 |  | 2022-03-05T12:43:02 | -37.48802 | 16.33307 | 4620.5 | FLOAT | max depth | deployed |
| PS129_2-1 |  | 2022-03-06T21:47:12 | -41.93585 | 13.50208 | 4633.0 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_2-1 |  | 2022-03-06T22:24:50 | -42.02176 | 13.44554 | 4585.9 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_3-1 |  | 2022-03-07T11:03:38 | -43.62659 | 12.36885 | 5360.5 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_3-1 |  | 2022-03-07T11:09:27 | -43.62902 | 12.36305 | 5303.6 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_4-1 |  | 2022-03-07T20:50:04 | -45.00441 | 11.42296 | 4885.7 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_4-1 |  | 2022-03-07T20:52:00 | -45.00268 | 11.42242 | 4891.0 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_5-1 |  | 2022-03-07T21:01:32 | -45.01753 | 11.40903 | 4818.9 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_5-1 |  | 2022-03-07T21:41:32 | -45.12374 | 11.34806 | 4980.2 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_6-1 |  | 2022-03-08T10:39:43 | -46.94386 | 10.06358 |  | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_6-1 |  | 2022-03-08T11:20:15 | -47.00619 | 10.00979 | 4448.1 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_7-1 |  | 2022-03-08T11:02:58 | -46.99454 | 10.02717 | 4588.6 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_7-1 |  | 2022-03-08T11:11:12 | -46.99390 | 10.01937 | 4564.7 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_8-1 |  | 2022-03-08T20:21:24 | -48.10073 | 9.22434 | 3854.1 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_8-1 |  | 2022-03-08T21:35:17 | -48.28113 | 9.09163 | 3882.9 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_9-1 |  | 2022-03-09T07:59:40 | -49.57345 | 8.12749 | 4430.3 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_9-1 |  | 2022-03-09T09:01:47 | -49.68274 | 8.04488 | 4425.4 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_10-1 |  | 2022-03-09T12:42:40 | -50.01319 | 7.76700 | 4451.2 | FLOAT | max depth | deployed |
| PS129_0_Underway-7 |  | 2022-03-09T14:36:53 | -50.05324 | 7.55476 | 4559.7 | FBOX | Station start |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-7 |  | 2022-04-25T21:08:02 | -58.05082 | -60.63529 | 4265.3 | FBOX | Station end |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-18 |  | 2022-03-09T14:38:07 | -50.05578 | 7.55544 | 4557.9 | pCO2 | Station start |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-18 |  | 2022-04-25T21:07:39 | -58.05156 | -60.63419 | 4267.7 | pCO2 | Station end |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_0_Underway-17 |  | 2022-03-09T14:38:28 | -50.05652 | 7.55568 | 4557.9 | pCO2 | Station start |  |
| PS129_0_Underway-17 |  | 2022-04-25T16:35:00 | -58.56006 | -59.86591 | 3074.6 | pCO2 | Station end |  |
| PS129_11-1 |  | 2022-03-09T16:02:51 | -50.16849 | 7.44155 |  | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_11-1 |  | 2022-03-09T16:44:52 | -50.24766 | 7.40350 | 4543.8 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_12-1 |  | 2022-03-10T10:26:40 | -52.25540 | 6.09457 | 3718.4 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_12-1 |  | 2022-03-10T10:57:09 | -52.33067 | 6.03169 | 3151.0 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_13-1 |  | 2022-03-11T09:08:00 | -55.80289 | 3.06187 | 3144.4 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_13-1 |  | 2022-03-11T09:46:07 | -55.90400 | 2.96917 | 3370.8 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_14-1 |  | 2022-03-11T10:26:09 | -55.94311 | 2.93597 | 3493.3 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_15-1 |  | 2022-03-11T19:20:22 | -57.22800 | 1.73001 | 4422.3 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_15-1 |  | 2022-03-11T20:20:42 | -57.38799 | 1.57715 | 4094.1 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_16-1 |  | 2022-03-11T21:50:44 | -57.62054 | 1.34966 | 4231.8 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_16-1 |  | 2022-03-11T21:55:03 | -57.62416 | 1.34526 | 4226.2 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_17-1 |  | 2022-03-12T05:49:27 | -58.89718 | 0.24346 | 3972.5 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_17-1 |  | 2022-03-12T06:40:08 | -59.02998 | 0.13278 | 4600.6 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_18-1 | AWI-227-15 | 2022-03-12T06:53:56 | -59.04915 | 0.11597 | 4138.3 | MOOR | Station start |  |
| PS129_18-1 | AWI-227-15 | 2022-03-12T10:33:16 | -59.05163 | 0.15264 | 4623.9 | MOOR | Station end |  |
| PS129_18-2 | AWI-227-16 | 2022-03-12T11:11:31 | -59.04989 | 0.10809 | 4630.6 | MOOR | max depth | deployment |
| PS129_18-3 |  | 2022-03-12T18:29:27 | -59.09098 | 0.12138 | 4667.4 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_18-3 |  | 2022-03-12T21:53:13 | -59.09168 | 0.12277 | 4665.1 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_18-4 |  | 2022-03-12T22:44:00 | -59.09187 | 0.12225 | 4668.7 | GLD | Station start |  |
| PS129_18-4 |  | 2022-03-12T22:45:01 | -59.09198 | 0.12233 | 4669.1 | GLD | Station end |  |
| PS129_18-5 |  | 2022-03-12T23:10:15 | -59.09227 | 0.12426 | 4670.3 | GLD | Station start |  |
| PS129_18-5 |  | 2022-03-12T23:14:07 | -59.09263 | 0.12547 | 4671.1 | GLD | Station end |  |
| PS129_18-6 |  | 2022-03-13T00:56:57 | -59.08906 | 0.12021 | 4666.5 | TEST | max depth | entered due to faulty entry |
| PS129_18-7 |  | 2022-03-13T03:20:08 | -59.09118 | 0.12190 | 4667.3 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_18-8 |  | 2022-03-13T05:54:49 | -59.11064 | 0.10501 | 4500.0 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_18-8 |  | 2022-03-13T05:57:40 | -59.11056 | 0.10388 | 4535.5 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_19-1 |  | 2022-03-13T07:01:31 | -59.25497 | 0.05888 | 5199.1 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_19-1 |  | 2022-03-13T08:00:00 | -59.38827 | 0.02058 | 4593.1 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_20-1 |  | 2022-03-13T08:25:59 | -59.38701 | 0.02488 | 4930.9 | SUIT | Station start |  |
| PS129_20-1 |  | 2022-03-13T09:40:16 | -59.34627 | -0.00806 | 4982.2 | SUIT | Station end |  |
| PS129_20-2 |  | 2022-03-13T10:41:05 | -59.34029 | 0.00202 | 5043.7 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_20-2 |  | 2022-03-13T12:01:39 | -59.33932 | 0.00316 |  | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_20-3 |  | 2022-03-13T12:02:33 | -59.33909 | 0.00330 | 5054.0 | M-RMT | Station start |  |
| PS129_20-3 |  | 2022-03-13T14:20:20 | -59.32106 | 0.02502 | 4942.9 | M-RMT | Station end |  |
| PS129_20-4 |  | 2022-03-13T14:21:00 | -59.32110 | 0.02509 | 4948.3 | M-RMT | Station start |  |
| PS129_20-4 |  | 2022-03-13T16:42:56 | -59.29954 | 0.04070 | 4849.5 | M-RMT | Station end |  |
| PS129_20-5 |  | 2022-03-13T16:43:58 | -59.29944 | 0.04077 | 4848.2 | MSN | Station start |  |
| PS129_20-5 |  | 2022-03-13T18:40:16 | -59.29894 | 0.04106 | 4838.9 | MSN | Station end |  |
| PS129_21-1 |  | 2022-03-13T20:17:14 | -59.52571 | -0.00635 | 4637.4 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_21-1 |  | 2022-03-13T20:18:53 | -59.53005 | -0.00771 | 4665.9 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_22-1 |  | 2022-03-13T20:55:16 | -59.62582 | 0.00640 | 5078.8 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_22-1 |  | 2022-03-13T21:50:34 | -59.77085 | 1.49167 | 5364.1 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_22-2 |  | 2022-03-13T22:18:26 | -59.84375 | -3.29167 | 5381.4 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_22-2 |  | 2022-03-13T22:18:43 | -59.84449 | 1.39167 | 5381.7 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_23-1 |  | 2022-03-14T07:50:12 | -61.00197 | 0.00092 | 5370.3 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_24-1 |  | 2022-03-14T12:11:59 | -61.12738 | 0.05556 | 5375.2 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_24-1 |  | 2022-03-14T13:05:13 | -61.26575 | -0.00343 | 5380.4 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_25-1 |  | 2022-03-15T06:52:07 | -64.02519 | -0.08134 | 5184.2 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_25-1 |  | 2022-03-15T08:11:40 | -64.01656 | -0.09114 | 5185.5 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_25-2 | AWI-229-14 | 2022-03-15T08:42:06 | -64.02171 | 0.00899 | 5178.4 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_25-2 | AWI-229-14 | 2022-03-15T11:13:53 | -64.02428 | 0.00015 | 5180.4 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |
| PS129_25-3 | AWI-229-15 | 2022-03-15T11:58:49 | -64.02095 | 0.01161 | 5178.8 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_25-3 | AWI-229-15 | 2022-03-15T15:44:52 | -64.02128 | 0.01366 | 5179.1 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_25-4 |  | 2022-03-15T16:14:16 | -64.07066 | 0.02467 | 5176.9 | M-RMT | Station start |  |
| PS129_25-4 |  | 2022-03-15T19:07:14 | -64.11797 | 0.14618 | 4989.7 | M-RMT | Station end |  |
| PS129_25-5 |  | 2022-03-15T19:46:02 | -64.07515 | 0.06109 | 5177.4 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_25-5 |  | 2022-03-15T21:22:30 | -64.07556 | 0.06120 | 5177.7 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_25-6 |  | 2022-03-15T21:52:58 | -64.07533 | 0.06215 | 5177.9 | SUIT | Station start |  |
| PS129_25-6 |  | 2022-03-15T22:57:44 | -64.07566 | 0.10607 | 5178.5 | SUIT | Station end |  |
| PS129_25-7 |  | 2022-03-15T23:30:23 | -64.07121 | 0.10140 | 5178.1 | MSN | Station start |  |
| PS129_25-7 |  | 2022-03-16T00:45:02 | -64.07277 | 0.08931 |  | MSN | Station end |  |
| PS129_25-8 |  | 2022-03-16T03:18:31 | -64.08279 | 0.07703 | 5177.1 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_26-1 |  | 2022-03-16T07:27:55 | -64.37603 | 0.00170 | 4839.2 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_26-1 |  | 2022-03-16T08:24:22 | -64.53839 | -0.00404 | 4676.2 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_27-1 |  | 2022-03-16T19:59:02 | -66.48583 | -0.06896 | 4529.5 | SUIT | Station start |  |
| PS129_27-1 |  | 2022-03-16T21:22:28 | -66.48010 | -0.01607 | 4480.5 | SUIT | Station end |  |
| PS129_27-2 |  | 2022-03-16T23:32:36 | -66.48169 | -0.07272 | 4438.8 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_27-3 |  | 2022-03-17T01:53:37 | -66.48309 | -0.09234 | 4398.4 | MSN | Station start |  |
| PS129_27-3 |  | 2022-03-17T03:29:19 | -66.48001 | -0.09363 | 4388.2 | MSN | Station end |  |
| PS129_27-4 |  | 2022-03-17T03:35:56 | -66.48001 | -0.09427 | 4387.3 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_27-4 |  | 2022-03-17T05:30:41 | -66.47875 | -0.09502 | 4384.0 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_27-5 | AWI-231-13 | 2022-03-17T06:39:41 | -66.51570 | -0.07911 | 4602.7 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_27-5 | AWI-231-13 | 2022-03-17T10:25:50 | -66.51069 | -0.08943 | 4596.1 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |
| PS129_27-6 | AWI-231-14 | 2022-03-17T10:43:18 | -66.51708 | -0.07583 | 4602.9 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_27-6 | AWI-231-14 | 2022-03-17T14:17:16 | -66.51739 | -0.07448 | 4602.5 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_27-7 |  | 2022-03-17T15:01:48 | -66.51757 | -0.18544 | 4523.0 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_27-7 |  | 2022-03-17T16:09:34 | -66.54712 | -0.13606 | 4678.7 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_27-8 |  | 2022-03-17T15:30:26 | -66.54439 | -0.14253 | 4676.8 | M-RMT | Station start |  |
| PS129_27-8 |  | 2022-03-17T18:28:31 | -66.57582 | -0.03443 | 4656.0 | M-RMT | Station end |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_27-9 |  | 2022-03-17T19:04:30 | -66.56448 | -0.28476 | 4683.6 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_27-9 |  | 2022-03-17T19:10:06 | -66.56466 | -0.29061 | 4681.3 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_28-1 |  | 2022-03-17T19:10:35 | -66.56477 | -0.29141 | 4683.1 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_28-1 |  | 2022-03-17T20:03:31 | -66.55347 | -0.68497 | 4618.6 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_29-1 |  | 2022-03-18T14:05:07 | -66.23370 | -8.85313 | 4985.1 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_29-1 |  | 2022-03-18T15:30:16 | -66.23664 | -8.82225 |  | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_29-2 |  | 2022-03-18T15:31:03 | -66.23665 | -8.82190 | 4985.8 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_29-2 |  | 2022-03-18T17:16:29 | -66.23649 | -8.78882 | 4985.9 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_29-3 |  | 2022-03-18T17:16:59 | -66.23648 | -8.78878 | 4986.0 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_29-3 |  | 2022-03-18T18:51:49 | -66.23356 | -8.79153 | 4986.4 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_30-1 |  | 2022-03-19T04:59:12 | -65.93594 | -12.19272 | 5038.0 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_30-2 | AWI248-3 | 2022-03-19T07:40:44 | -65.96661 | -12.22697 | 5038.1 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_30-2 | AWI248-3 | 2022-03-19T10:33:58 | -65.96105 | -12.18782 | 5037.7 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |
| PS129_30-3 |  | 2022-03-19T10:46:02 | -65.96565 | -12.18418 | 5037.3 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_30-3 |  | 2022-03-19T10:51:00 | -65.96868 | -12.18765 | 5037.2 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_31-1 |  | 2022-03-19T12:43:45 | -66.27166 | -11.98411 | 5015.7 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_31-1 |  | 2022-03-19T13:31:25 | -66.40300 | -11.87633 | 5011.1 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_32-1 |  | 2022-03-19T13:33:19 | -66.40826 | -11.87209 | 5010.8 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_32-1 |  | 2022-03-19T14:08:10 | -66.50500 | -11.79228 | 5005.4 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_33-1 |  | 2022-03-20T06:04:25 | -69.16635 | -9.46201 | 3739.7 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_33-1 |  | 2022-03-20T06:55:00 | -69.30772 | -9.32917 | 3414.2 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_34-1 |  | 2022-03-20T06:55:30 | -69.30912 | -9.32775 | 3413.0 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_34-1 |  | 2022-03-20T07:22:01 | -69.37487 | -9.26570 | 3296.1 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_35-1 |  | 2022-03-20T07:20:03 | -69.37340 | -9.26744 | 3303.7 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_35-1 |  | 2022-03-20T07:24:21 | -69.37678 | -9.26287 | 3276.8 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_36-1 |  | 2022-03-20T09:08:02 | -69.65291 | -8.99143 | 3148.9 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_36-1 |  | 2022-03-20T10:00:32 | -69.79716 | -8.87204 | 2711.3 | UWS | Station end |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_37-1 |  | 2022-03-20T12:26:43 | -70.04672 | -8.65792 | 2559.3 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_37-1 |  | 2022-03-20T12:27:40 | -70.04721 | -8.65992 | 2550.9 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_38-1 |  | 2022-03-20T13:00:32 | -70.06166 | -8.73636 | 2003.1 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_38-1 |  | 2022-03-20T13:04:05 | -70.05908 | -8.72698 | 2078.5 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_39-1 |  | 2022-03-20T19:14:55 | -70.50912 | -8.18154 | 240.8 | ROVF | Station start |  |
| PS129_39-1 |  | 2022-03-20T21:13:10 | -70.50499 | -8.17168 | 249.8 | ROVF | Station end |  |
| PS129_39-2 |  | 2022-03-20T22:36:26 | -70.49956 | -8.33908 | 239.9 | ROVF | Station start |  |
| PS129_39-2 |  | 2022-03-20T23:36:21 | -70.49957 | -8.33864 | 240.3 | ROVF | Station end |  |
| PS129_40-1 |  | 2022-03-21T09:30:37 | -70.75365 | -10.87137 | 958.0 | EK60_EK80 | max depth | calibration |
| PS129_40-2 |  | 2022-03-21T13:41:23 | -70.75338 | -10.84345 | 927.7 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_40-3 |  | 2022-03-21T15:16:53 | -70.74960 | -10.82120 | 923.3 | B_LANDER | Station start |  |
| PS129_40-3 |  | 2022-03-21T15:47:20 | -70.74820 | -10.82949 | 936.2 | B_LANDER | Station end |  |
| PS129_40-4 | Longline | 2022-03-21T15:55:46 | -70.74747 | -10.83366 | 944.2 | MOOR | Station start |  |
| PS129_40-4 | Longline | 2022-03-21T18:28:12 | -70.74985 | -10.92727 | 1046.7 | MOOR | Station end |  |
| PS129_40-5 |  | 2022-03-21T18:40:27 | -70.74684 | -10.92366 | 1052.3 | OFOBS | Station start |  |
| PS129_40-5 |  | 2022-03-21T23:43:01 | -70.72533 | -10.92291 |  | OFOBS | Station end |  |
| PS129_40-6 |  | 2022-03-21T23:53:45 | -70.72604 | -10.93322 | 1127.1 | MSN | Station start |  |
| PS129_40-6 |  | 2022-03-22T01:25:59 | -70.72367 | -10.97662 | 1179.2 | MSN | Station end |  |
| PS129_40-7 |  | 2022-03-22T02:00:17 | -70.75100 | -10.81818 | 914.0 | M-RMT | Station start |  |
| PS129_40-7 |  | 2022-03-22T03:32:12 | -70.74690 | -10.78223 | 893.8 | M-RMT | Station end |  |
| PS129_40-8 |  | 2022-03-22T06:42:33 | -70.74591 | -10.79905 | 914.1 | TVMUC | max depth |  |
| PS129_40-9 |  | 2022-03-22T07:48:50 | -70.74640 | -10.81339 | 929.1 | MG | Station start |  |
| PS129_40-9 |  | 2022-03-22T10:05:56 | -70.74536 | -10.82106 | 941.6 | MG | Station end |  |
| PS129_40-10 | Longline | 2022-03-22T16:30:31 | -70.75100 | -10.91900 | 1030.7 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_40-10 | Longline | 2022-03-22T18:46:11 | -70.75060 | -10.90231 | 1010.5 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |
| PS129_40-11 |  | 2022-03-22T19:22:34 | -70.72168 | -10.88604 | 1091.6 | M-RMT | Station start |  |
| PS129_40-11 |  | 2022-03-22T22:15:25 | -70.72186 | -10.84952 | 1058.4 | M-RMT | Station end |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_40-12 |  | 2022-03-22T23:04:46 | -70.75235 | -10.82828 | 920.3 | DRG | Station start |  |
| PS129_40-12 |  | 2022-03-23T01:40:54 | -70.75498 | -10.76644 | 842.7 | DRG | Station end |  |
| PS129_40-13 |  | 2022-03-23T05:52:29 | -70.74942 | -10.83722 | 942.4 | B_LANDER | Station start |  |
| PS129_40-13 |  | 2022-03-23T07:31:25 | -70.75270 | -10.85028 | 939.1 | B_LANDER | Station end |  |
| PS129_41-1 |  | 2022-03-23T14:49:30 | -70.53010 | -8.20418 | 227.3 | ROVF | Station start |  |
| PS129_41-1 |  | 2022-03-23T16:18:41 | -70.52888 | -8.20273 | 224.8 | ROVF | Station end |  |
| PS129_41-2 |  | 2022-03-23T18:19:35 | -70.52940 | -8.20309 | 226.5 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_42-1 |  | 2022-03-24T01:44:13 | -70.84230 | -10.59121 | 251.5 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_42-2 |  | 2022-03-24T03:55:01 | -70.84001 | -10.58142 | 255.1 | MG | Station start |  |
| PS129_42-2 |  | 2022-03-24T04:30:43 | -70.84071 | -10.58582 | 254.5 | MG | Station end |  |
| PS129_43-1 |  | 2022-03-24T05:35:00 | -70.86122 | -10.75417 | 304.1 | MG | Station start |  |
| PS129_43-1 |  | 2022-03-24T06:38:31 | -70.86217 | -10.75515 | 301.5 | MG | Station end |  |
| PS129_43-2 |  | 2022-03-24T06:39:56 | -70.86209 | -10.75454 | 302.2 | MG | Station start |  |
| PS129_43-2 |  | 2022-03-24T07:06:58 | -70.86236 | -10.75454 | 301.7 | MG | Station end |  |
| PS129_43-3 |  | 2022-03-24T07:28:33 | -70.86220 | -10.75424 | 302.2 | OFOBS | Station start |  |
| PS129_43-3 |  | 2022-03-24T11:52:11 | -70.85308 | -10.66623 |  | OFOBS | Station end |  |
| PS129_44-1 |  | 2022-03-24T12:32:07 | -70.84298 | -10.58726 | 244.3 | TVMUC | max depth |  |
| PS129_44-2 |  | 2022-03-24T14:35:07 | -70.84000 | -10.57994 | 254.1 | TVMUC | Station start |  |
| PS129_44-2 |  | 2022-03-24T14:40:27 | -70.83993 | -10.57874 | 251.8 | TVMUC | Station end |  |
| PS129_45-1 |  | 2022-03-25T02:35:32 | -70.78668 | -10.75452 | 610.4 | M-RMT | Station start |  |
| PS129_45-1 |  | 2022-03-25T04:30:46 | -70.79507 | -10.62801 | 445.5 | M-RMT | Station end |  |
| PS129_46-1 | Longline | 2022-03-25T07:01:54 | -70.75226 | -10.90641 | 1009.6 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_46-1 | Longline | 2022-03-25T15:45:33 | -70.74407 | -11.07474 | 1234.2 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |
| PS129_47-1 |  | 2022-03-25T17:10:07 | -70.78679 | -10.75199 | 611.3 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_47-2 |  | 2022-03-25T17:51:39 | -70.78606 | -10.75181 | 619.0 | MSN | Station start |  |
| PS129_47-2 |  | 2022-03-25T18:55:22 | -70.78875 | -10.76098 | 593.7 | MSN | Station end |  |
| PS129_47-3 |  | 2022-03-25T19:10:00 | -70.78740 | -10.75557 | 603.2 | DRG | Station start |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_47-3 |  | 2022-03-25T21:50:45 | -70.76260 | -10.70084 | 751.4 | DRG | Station end |  |
| PS129_47-4 |  | 2022-03-25T22:23:58 | -70.76831 | -10.76364 | 766.3 | OFOBS | Station start |  |
| PS129_47-4 |  | 2022-03-26T02:31:00 | -70.77917 | -10.62401 | 504.6 | OFOBS | Station end |  |
| PS129_47-5 |  | 2022-03-26T03:47:35 | -70.78540 | -10.74730 | 624.1 | MG | Station start |  |
| PS129_47-5 |  | 2022-03-26T04:51:25 | -70.78708 | -10.75592 | 604.8 | MG | Station end |  |
| PS129_48-1 |  | 2022-03-26T06:50:16 | -70.74600 | -10.79793 | 911.3 | TVMUC | max depth |  |
| PS129_48-2 |  | 2022-03-26T08:01:17 | -70.74587 | -10.79900 | 912.9 | TVMUC | max depth |  |
| PS129_48-3 |  | 2022-03-26T09:03:43 | -70.74615 | -10.80011 | 912.8 | TVMUC | max depth |  |
| PS129_49-1 |  | 2022-03-26T12:29:05 | -70.94363 | -10.53648 | 297.2 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_49-2 |  | 2022-03-26T13:20:00 | -70.94008 | -10.53362 | 287.8 | B_LANDER | Station start |  |
| PS129_49-2 |  | 2022-03-26T13:23:29 | -70.94039 | -10.53181 | 285.1 | B_LANDER | Station end |  |
| PS129_49-3 |  | 2022-03-26T13:41:06 | -70.93994 | -10.52735 | 272.9 | OFOBS | Station start |  |
| PS129_49-3 |  | 2022-03-26T17:40:00 | -70.93539 | -10.49770 |  | OFOBS | Station end |  |
| PS129_49-4 |  | 2022-03-26T18:13:39 | -70.94380 | -10.53552 | 296.5 | MG | Station start |  |
| PS129_49-4 |  | 2022-03-26T19:10:00 | -70.94582 | -10.53187 | 288.5 | MG | Station end |  |
| PS129_49-5 |  | 2022-03-26T19:18:00 | -70.94734 | -10.52797 | 282.0 | B_LANDER | Station start |  |
| PS129_49-5 |  | 2022-03-26T19:23:38 | -70.94699 | -10.52775 | 282.1 | B_LANDER | Station end |  |
| PS129_49-6 |  | 2022-03-26T19:53:56 | -70.94376 | -10.52834 | 276.1 | MG | Station start |  |
| PS129_49-6 |  | 2022-03-26T21:42:43 | -70.94377 | -10.52707 | 272.4 | MG | Station end |  |
| PS129_49-7 |  | 2022-03-26T21:44:49 | -70.94345 | -10.52644 | 270.6 | MSN | Station start |  |
| PS129_49-7 |  | 2022-03-26T22:34:39 | -70.94288 | -10.53013 | 280.6 | MSN | Station end |  |
| PS129_49-8 |  | 2022-03-26T22:35:29 | -70.94284 | -10.53021 | 280.8 | M-RMT | Station start |  |
| PS129_49-8 |  | 2022-03-26T23:46:56 | -70.96985 | -10.50302 | 276.2 | M-RMT | Station end |  |
| PS129_50-1 |  | 2022-03-27T01:04:30 | -70.93924 | -10.57250 | 316.6 | OFOBS | Station start |  |
| PS129_50-1 |  | 2022-03-27T05:37:50 | -70.93184 | -10.55401 |  | OFOBS | Station end |  |
| PS129_50-2 |  | 2022-03-27T06:17:32 | -70.94105 | -10.53996 | 296.3 | B_LANDER | Station start |  |
| PS129_50-2 |  | 2022-03-27T08:04:08 | -70.94050 | -10.52699 | 271.9 | B_LANDER | Station end |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_51-1 |  | 2022-03-27T09:09:27 | -70.99344 | -10.62413 | 483.4 | ROVF | Station start |  |
| PS129_51-1 |  | 2022-03-27T10:35:03 | -70.99357 | -10.62368 | 482.7 | ROVF | Station end |  |
| PS129_52-1 |  | 2022-03-27T12:35:04 | -70.94371 | -10.53486 | 292.3 | TVMUC | Station start |  |
| PS129_52-1 |  | 2022-03-27T13:42:31 | -70.94355 | -10.53443 | 292.4 | TVMUC | Station end |  |
| PS129_52-2 |  | 2022-03-27T14:33:32 | -70.94351 | -10.53438 | 292.6 | TVMUC | max depth |  |
| PS129_52-3 |  | 2022-03-27T15:19:10 | -70.94352 | -10.53559 | 294.8 | TVMUC | max depth |  |
| PS129_52-4 |  | 2022-03-27T15:56:51 | -70.94730 | -10.52943 | 283.9 | B_LANDER | Station start |  |
| PS129_52-4 |  | 2022-03-27T17:14:29 | -70.95657 | -10.55291 | 329.6 | B_LANDER | Station end |  |
| PS129_52-5 |  | 2022-03-27T18:00:00 | -70.93714 | -10.60425 | 339.2 | SUIT | Station start |  |
| PS129_52-5 |  | 2022-03-27T21:50:21 | -70.92957 | -10.51369 | 241.8 | SUIT | Station end |  |
| PS129_52-6 |  | 2022-03-27T22:10:28 | -70.92051 | -10.49276 | 219.7 | DRG | Station start |  |
| PS129_52-6 |  | 2022-03-27T23:07:08 | -70.90908 | -10.47654 | 223.0 | DRG | Station end |  |
| PS129_53-1 |  | 2022-03-27T23:52:45 | -70.87743 | -10.48581 | 235.0 | B_LANDER | Station start |  |
| PS129_53-1 |  | 2022-03-28T00:07:41 | -70.87700 | -10.48820 | 234.1 | B_LANDER | Station end |  |
| PS129_53-2 |  | 2022-03-28T02:51:02 | -70.87198 | -10.51989 | 232.9 | OFOBS | Station start |  |
| PS129_53-2 |  | 2022-03-28T05:28:13 | -70.88543 | -10.47307 |  | OFOBS | Station end |  |
| PS129_53-3 |  | 2022-03-28T06:06:47 | -70.87330 | -10.48200 | 234.7 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_53-4 |  | 2022-03-28T06:56:52 | -70.87375 | -10.48240 | 234.7 | ICERAD | Station start | Mummy Chair |
| PS129_53-4 |  | 2022-03-28T09:09:19 | -70.87238 | -10.48283 | 233.9 | ICERAD | Station end | Mummy Chair |
| PS129_53-5 |  | 2022-03-28T09:19:06 | -70.87208 | -10.48213 | 234.0 | MG | Station start |  |
| PS129_53-5 |  | 2022-03-28T12:00:49 | -70.87209 | -10.48518 | 233.3 | MG | Station end |  |
| PS129_53-6 |  | 2022-03-28T12:30:04 | -70.87226 | -10.49067 | 233.1 | DRG | Station start |  |
| PS129_53-6 |  | 2022-03-28T14:30:56 | -70.87577 | -10.48618 | 233.2 | DRG | Station end |  |
| PS129_53-7 |  | 2022-03-28T14:56:03 | -70.87663 | -10.49305 | 232.6 | B_LANDER | Station start |  |
| PS129_53-7 |  | 2022-03-28T15:37:20 | -70.87389 | -10.49360 | 233.3 | B_LANDER | Station end |  |
| PS129_54-1 | Longline | 2022-03-28T17:36:08 | -70.65348 | -11.00301 | 1375.2 | MOOR | Station start |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_54-1 | Longline | 2022-03-28T21:10:13 | -70.64957 | -11.10980 | 1470.9 | MOOR | Station end |  |
| PS129_54-2 |  | 2022-03-28T22:16:54 | -70.65571 | -10.98280 | 1350.2 | OFOBS | Station start |  |
| PS129_54-2 |  | 2022-03-29T00:46:45 | -70.64064 | -10.95828 | 1345.2 | OFOBS | Station end |  |
| PS129_54-3 |  | 2022-03-29T02:29:39 | -70.66004 | -11.01782 | 1372.5 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_54-4 |  | 2022-03-29T03:57:25 | -70.64992 | -10.99317 | 1374.3 | M-RMT | Station start |  |
| PS129_54-4 |  | 2022-03-29T05:45:23 | -70.62840 | -10.97849 | 1378.1 | M-RMT | Station end |  |
| PS129_54-5 |  | 2022-03-29T06:11:35 | -70.64601 | -10.96025 | 1341.7 | MSN | Station start |  |
| PS129_54-5 |  | 2022-03-29T07:51:50 | -70.66076 | -10.99947 | 1356.1 | MSN | Station end |  |
| PS129_55-1 |  | 2022-03-29T09:53:52 | -70.79961 | -10.61331 | 371.8 | ICERAD | Station start | Mummy Chair |
| PS129_55-1 |  | 2022-03-29T10:53:10 | -70.80528 | -10.65203 | 432.6 | ICERAD | Station end | Mummy Chair |
| PS129_55-2 |  | 2022-03-29T11:23:01 | -70.80533 | -10.57265 | 245.7 | ROVF | Station start |  |
| PS129_55-2 |  | 2022-03-29T12:12:20 | -70.80531 | -10.56917 | 247.5 | ROVF | Station end |  |
| PS129_55-3 |  | 2022-03-29T12:37:42 | -70.79169 | -10.56348 |  | ROVF | Station start |  |
| PS129_55-3 |  | 2022-03-29T13:01:44 | -70.79277 | -10.56860 | 269.2 | ROVF | Station end |  |
| PS129_55-4 |  | 2022-03-29T13:47:19 | -70.79774 | -10.52861 | 249.3 | ROVF | Station start |  |
| PS129_55-4 |  | 2022-03-29T14:19:04 | -70.79742 | -10.52981 | 249.0 | ROVF | Station end |  |
| PS129_56-1 | Longline | 2022-03-29T16:02:57 | -70.65797 | -11.10254 | 1447.9 | MOOR | Station start |  |
| PS129_56-1 | Longline | 2022-03-29T19:21:38 | -70.66458 | -11.11012 | 1441.3 | MOOR | Station end |  |
| PS129_57-1 |  | 2022-03-29T21:37:15 | -70.88553 | -11.12237 | 322.9 | MG | Station start |  |
| PS129_57-1 |  | 2022-03-29T23:38:54 | -70.88943 | -11.13971 | 318.0 | MG | Station end |  |
| PS129_57-2 |  | 2022-03-30T00:53:51 | -70.89388 | -11.12830 | 296.8 | TVMUC | max depth |  |
| PS129_57-3 |  | 2022-03-30T01:47:16 | -70.89355 | -11.13612 | 305.4 | TVMUC | max depth |  |
| PS129_57-4 |  | 2022-03-30T03:44:35 | -70.91055 | -11.18342 | 285.7 | OFOBS | Station start |  |
| PS129_57-4 |  | 2022-03-30T06:35:56 | -70.89521 | -11.13776 | 300.3 | OFOBS | Station end |  |
| PS129_58-1 | EWS 01-01 | 2022-04-02T08:24:06 | -70.87310 | -11.23727 | 702.2 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_58-1 | EWS 01-01 | 2022-04-02T09:28:22 | -70.87350 | -11.24006 | 700.0 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_58-2 |  | 2022-04-02T10:41:37 | -70.88627 | -11.29106 | 696.5 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_59-1 |  | 2022-04-02T13:21:02 | -70.84094 | -11.44274 | 1387.6 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_59-2 | EWS 02-01 | 2022-04-02T15:02:20 | -70.83067 | -11.33612 | 1368.1 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_59-2 | EWS 02-01 | 2022-04-02T17:04:59 | -70.83808 | -11.43777 | 1420.2 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_60-1 |  | 2022-04-02T20:16:59 | -70.60121 | -12.21596 | 2024.1 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_60-2 |  | 2022-04-02T21:39:19 | -70.60175 | -12.21619 | 2023.9 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_60-2 |  | 2022-04-02T21:40:31 | -70.60122 | -12.21406 | 2023.6 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_61-1 |  | 2022-04-02T23:07:53 | -70.46968 | -12.79032 | 2348.2 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_61-1 |  | 2022-04-02T23:17:59 | -70.46465 | -12.81189 | 2359.4 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_62-1 |  | 2022-04-03T01:02:01 | -70.30093 | -13.45212 | 3317.9 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_62-1 |  | 2022-04-03T03:00:39 | -70.29982 | -13.43315 | 3363.0 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_62-2 |  | 2022-04-03T03:01:41 | -70.29987 | -13.43284 | 3360.5 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_62-2 |  | 2022-04-03T04:50:57 | -70.30127 | -13.42371 | 3190.3 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_62-3 |  | 2022-04-03T04:52:40 | -70.30125 | -13.42404 | 3190.6 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_62-3 |  | 2022-04-03T06:49:30 | -70.30081 | -13.44205 | 3316.5 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_62-4 |  | 2022-04-03T08:28:27 | -70.29980 | -13.44821 | 3333.6 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_62-5 | EWS 03-01 | 2022-04-03T10:23:06 | -70.29813 | -13.44754 | 3352.8 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_62-5 | EWS 03-01 | 2022-04-03T12:42:29 | -70.29842 | -13.44624 | 3352.4 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_62-6 |  | 2022-04-03T12:50:23 | -70.29462 | -13.44194 | 3393.0 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_62-6 |  | 2022-04-03T12:50:35 | -70.29446 | -13.44188 | 3392.5 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_63-1 |  | 2022-04-03T14:35:53 | -70.09614 | -14.12940 | 4554.2 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_63-1 |  | 2022-04-03T15:15:21 | -70.01652 | -14.39677 | 4730.1 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_64-1 |  | 2022-04-03T17:32:54 | -69.74707 | -15.29413 | 4752.0 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_64-1 |  | 2022-04-03T17:34:31 | -69.74589 | -15.29681 | 4752.3 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_64-2 |  | 2022-04-03T19:50:36 | -69.71262 | -15.40737 | 4757.8 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_65-1 |  | 2022-04-04T06:21:27 | -69.08591 | -17.33362 | 4759.4 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_65-2 | AWI-245-5 | 2022-04-04T09:02:56 | -69.05826 | -17.38421 | 4762.7 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_65-2 | AWI-245-5 | 2022-04-04T12:59:47 | -69.06445 | -17.35740 | 4762.0 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_65-3 | AWI-245-6 | 2022-04-04T13:33:35 | -69.05915 | -17.39212 | 4761.7 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_65-3 | AWI-245-6 | 2022-04-04T16:13:48 | -69.06074 | -17.39064 | 4761.3 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_65-4 |  | 2022-04-04T16:37:12 | -69.03570 | -17.46215 | 4764.2 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_65-4 |  | 2022-04-04T18:20:43 | -69.03562 | -17.46339 | 4764.8 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_65-5 |  | 2022-04-04T18:21:26 | -69.03560 | -17.46335 | 4764.7 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_65-5 |  | 2022-04-04T20:09:21 | -69.03462 | -17.46369 | 4765.0 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_65-6 |  | 2022-04-04T20:10:32 | -69.03480 | -17.46358 | 4765.1 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_65-6 |  | 2022-04-04T21:55:04 | -69.03549 | -17.46316 | 4764.9 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_65-7 |  | 2022-04-04T21:56:12 | -69.03556 | -17.46292 | 4764.9 | FLOAT | max depth | deployed |
| PS129_65-8 |  | 2022-04-04T22:06:24 | -69.02976 | -17.47120 | 4765.6 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_65-8 |  | 2022-04-04T22:07:22 | -69.02878 | -17.47410 | 4765.6 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_66-1 |  | 2022-04-04T22:39:10 | -68.96913 | -17.65145 | 4769.3 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_66-1 |  | 2022-04-04T23:23:31 | -68.88187 | -17.89794 | 4781.8 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_67-1 |  | 2022-04-04T23:31:28 | -68.86519 | -17.94656 | 4777.7 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_67-1 |  | 2022-04-05T00:14:18 | -68.76865 | -18.21807 | 4782.3 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_68-1 |  | 2022-04-05T06:10:32 | -68.22057 | -19.74189 | 4873.1 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_69-1 |  | 2022-04-05T10:11:16 | -68.06038 | -20.39831 | 4895.4 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_69-1 |  | 2022-04-05T11:05:53 | -67.96498 | -20.78751 | 4905.1 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_70-1 |  | 2022-04-05T21:02:59 | -67.26669 | -23.59549 | 4875.0 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_71-1 |  | 2022-04-07T09:03:46 | -68.71342 | -27.05018 | 4723.7 | ICE | Station start |  |
| PS129_71-1 |  | 2022-04-07T09:56:09 | -68.71679 | -27.05021 | 4724.1 | ICE | Station end |  |
| PS129_71-2 |  | 2022-04-07T10:28:05 | -68.71819 | -27.05226 | 4722.2 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_71-3 |  | 2022-04-07T11:01:16 | -68.72158 | -27.05117 | 4723.5 | M-RMT | Station start |  |
| PS129_71-3 |  | 2022-04-07T11:25:49 | -68.71786 | -27.06270 | 4723.9 | M-RMT | Station end |  |
| PS129_71-4 |  | 2022-04-07T12:00:28 | -68.71363 | -27.07745 | 4723.4 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_71-4 |  | 2022-04-07T12:02:03 | -68.71344 | -27.07858 | 4723.0 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_72-1 |  | 2022-04-07T14:12:51 | -68.97160 | -26.99571 | 4709.0 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_72-2 | BGC10 | 2022-04-07T14:53:57 | -69.00320 | -27.00177 | 4706.3 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_72-2 | BGC10 | 2022-04-07T19:00:04 | -69.00469 | -27.03937 | 4705.3 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |
| PS129_72-3 |  | 2022-04-07T19:43:15 | -69.00146 | -27.04295 | 4705.3 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_72-4 |  | 2022-04-07T20:24:36 | -69.00007 | -27.04644 | 4706.0 | M-RMT | Station start |  |
| PS129_72-4 |  | 2022-04-07T23:55:53 | -68.94041 | -27.13171 | 4707.7 | M-RMT | Station end |  |
| PS129_73-1 |  | 2022-04-08T06:10:00 | -69.95620 | -27.94303 | 4605.9 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_73-1 |  | 2022-04-08T06:13:36 | -69.95907 | -27.95211 | 4606.7 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_74-1 |  | 2022-04-08T12:53:59 | -70.84216 | -28.97365 | 4413.5 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_74-1 |  | 2022-04-08T14:31:16 | -70.83560 | -28.98477 | 4416.4 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_74-2 | AWI-249-3 | 2022-04-08T15:19:16 | -70.88422 | -28.95556 | 4401.9 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_74-2 | AWI-249-3 | 2022-04-08T16:20:02 | -70.88676 | -28.94853 | 4399.1 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |
| PS129_74-3 | AWI-249-4 | 2022-04-08T17:11:04 | -70.82872 | -29.06519 | 4418.9 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_74-3 | AWI-249-4 | 2022-04-08T19:56:21 | -70.83255 | -29.13345 | 4419.0 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_74-4 |  | 2022-04-08T20:48:43 | -70.81842 | -29.24967 | 4425.8 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_74-5 |  | 2022-04-08T21:35:45 | -70.81829 | -29.26534 | 4425.1 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_74-5 |  | 2022-04-08T23:02:51 | -70.81881 | -29.27341 | 4424.7 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_74-6 |  | 2022-04-08T23:04:34 | -70.81884 | -29.27317 | 4424.7 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_74-6 |  | 2022-04-09T00:43:25 | -70.81624 | -29.28260 | 4426.0 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_74-7 |  | 2022-04-09T00:13:00 | -70.81782 | -29.27510 | 4425.1 | EK60_EK80 | max depth | calibration |
| PS129_74-8 |  | 2022-04-09T21:05:49 | -70.64538 | -29.39933 | 3909.1 | SUIT | Station start |  |
| PS129_74-8 |  | 2022-04-09T23:35:38 | -70.63947 | -29.34200 |  | SUIT | Station end |  |
| PS129_74-9 |  | 2022-04-09T23:36:18 | -70.63945 | -29.34188 |  | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_74-9 |  | 2022-04-09T23:47:21 | -70.63289 | -29.33456 |  | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_75-1 |  | 2022-04-10T09:51:05 | -70.14564 | -30.97361 | 2963.1 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_75-1 |  | 2022-04-10T10:02:05 | -70.13151 | -30.99950 | 4513.8 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_76-1 |  | 2022-04-10T16:35:44 | -69.76708 | -32.02217 | 4269.5 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_76-1 |  | 2022-04-10T16:36:01 | -69.76688 | -32.02271 | 4269.5 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_77-1 | HAFOS-CWS01-01 | 2022-04-10T19:39:21 | -69.56225 | -32.47147 |  | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_77-1 | HAFOS-CWS01-01 | 2022-04-10T22:44:59 | -69.55526 | -32.47723 | 4476.3 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_77-2 |  | 2022-04-10T22:52:22 | -69.55425 | -32.47359 | 4476.6 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_77-2 |  | 2022-04-10T22:54:06 | -69.55378 | -32.47015 | 4476.5 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_77-3 |  | 2022-04-10T23:10:03 | -69.55347 | -32.43990 | 4477.7 | ICEOBS | Station start |  |
| PS129_77-3 |  | 2022-04-11T00:34:39 | -69.54578 | -32.44553 |  | ICEOBS | Station end |  |
| PS129_78-1 |  | 2022-04-11T08:44:47 | -68.99649 | -31.93983 | 3000.9 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_78-1 |  | 2022-04-11T08:49:15 | -68.99438 | -31.94375 | 3000.6 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_79-1 |  | 2022-04-11T09:21:19 | -68.99295 | -31.94194 | 3000.6 | ICEOBS | Station start |  |
| PS129_79-1 |  | 2022-04-11T12:46:35 | -68.96855 | -31.92856 |  | ICEOBS | Station end |  |
| PS129_80-1 | AWI-209-9 | 2022-04-12T14:48:05 | -66.60566 | -27.12645 | 4860.9 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_80-1 | AWI-209-9 | 2022-04-12T17:10:11 | -66.60741 | -27.12130 | 4861.3 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_80-2 |  | 2022-04-12T19:24:19 | -66.61489 | -27.20598 | 4859.6 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_80-3 |  | 2022-04-12T21:56:07 | -66.61638 | -27.21072 | 4859.0 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_80-3 |  | 2022-04-12T21:59:52 | -66.61664 | -27.21271 | 4859.0 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_81-1 |  | 2022-04-12T23:19:03 | -66.54597 | -27.69307 | 4853.2 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_81-1 |  | 2022-04-13T00:03:39 | -66.51183 | -28.00969 | 4842.9 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_82-1 |  | 2022-04-13T07:21:41 | -66.24936 | -30.43571 | 4805.0 | CTD-RO | max depth | Signalling problems, bottles not closing |
| PS129_83-1 |  | 2022-04-13T13:12:02 | -66.10511 | -31.83017 | 4784.6 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_83-2 |  | 2022-04-13T15:00:40 | -66.10450 | -31.83639 | 4785.0 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_84-1 |  | 2022-04-13T18:31:57 | -66.10096 | -32.12078 | 4784.5 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_84-1 |  | 2022-04-13T19:16:05 | -66.07340 | -32.43282 | 4786.3 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_85-1 |  | 2022-04-14T03:38:16 | -65.74775 | -36.10755 | 4764.6 | UWS | Station start |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_85-1 |  | 2022-04-14T04:22:19 | -65.71962 | -36.42205 | 4757.7 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_86-1 |  | 2022-04-14T06:45:15 | -65.66800 | -36.61469 | 4760.5 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_86-2 | AWI-208-9 | 2022-04-14T09:08:14 | -65.66976 | -36.61600 | 4760.3 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_86-2 | AWI-208-9 | 2022-04-14T12:34:14 | -65.70791 | -36.69956 | 4754.5 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |
| PS129_86-3 | AWI208-10 | 2022-04-14T12:59:06 | -65.69637 | -36.68213 | 4755.7 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_86-3 | AWI208-10 | 2022-04-14T15:37:55 | -65.69604 | -36.68329 | 4756.0 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_86-4 |  | 2022-04-14T15:43:29 | -65.69525 | -36.68398 | 4755.8 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_86-4 |  | 2022-04-14T15:50:10 | -65.69082 | -36.68140 | 4756.4 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_87-1 |  | 2022-04-14T23:07:07 | -65.35605 | -38.71563 | 4748.8 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_88-1 |  | 2022-04-15T10:10:33 | -65.04170 | -41.13704 | 4746.1 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_88-2 |  | 2022-04-15T12:27:24 | -65.04378 | -41.13935 | 4744.9 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_88-2 |  | 2022-04-15T12:33:37 | -65.04425 | -41.14240 | 4744.6 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_89-1 |  | 2022-04-15T15:41:14 | -65.45447 | -41.37378 | 4642.9 | ICE | Station start |  |
| PS129_89-1 |  | 2022-04-15T16:11:40 | -65.44702 | -41.35889 | 4641.6 | ICE | Station end |  |
| PS129_89-2 |  | 2022-04-15T16:47:37 | -65.44616 | -41.31480 | 4639.0 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_89-2 |  | 2022-04-15T18:16:12 | -65.44330 | -41.29586 | 4637.9 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |
| PS129_90-1 |  | 2022-04-16T01:50:11 | -66.08079 | -41.76077 | 4491.8 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_90-1 |  | 2022-04-16T01:53:58 | -66.08127 | -41.76664 | 4492.7 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_91-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWS-02- } \\ & \text { 01C } \end{aligned}$ | 2022-04-16T14:04:42 | -66.40099 | -41.41837 | 4549.5 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_91-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWS-02- } \\ & \text { 01C } \end{aligned}$ | 2022-04-16T17:10:34 | -66.37941 | -41.39193 | 4566.1 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_91-2 |  | 2022-04-16T17:17:25 | -66.37960 | -41.39472 | 4566.4 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_91-2 |  | 2022-04-16T17:20:10 | -66.37974 | -41.39851 | 4566.6 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_92-1 |  | 2022-04-17T05:01:07 | -66.03719 | -43.50575 | 4470.9 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_92-1 |  | 2022-04-17T05:03:06 | -66.03685 | -43.50854 | 4471.5 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_93-1 |  | 2022-04-17T07:59:04 | -65.93949 | -43.86110 | 4460.4 | SOSOCAL | Station start |  |
| PS129_93-1 |  | 2022-04-17T09:35:29 | -65.92591 | -43.84901 | 4449.4 | SOSOCAL | Station end |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_94-1 | WWS-00201 | 2022-04-17T17:57:08 | -65.43641 | -44.59468 |  | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_94-1 | WWS-00201 | 2022-04-17T20:55:24 | -65.43264 | -44.59235 | 4463.1 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_94-2 |  | 2022-04-17T20:56:28 | -65.43260 | -44.59248 | 4463.3 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_94-2 |  | 2022-04-17T21:00:56 | -65.43269 | -44.60086 | 4469.8 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_95-1 |  | 2022-04-18T04:38:21 | -65.00446 | -43.91008 | 4618.2 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_95-1 |  | 2022-04-18T04:40:30 | -65.00289 | -43.90825 | 4617.5 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_96-1 |  | 2022-04-18T10:25:25 | -64.74019 | -43.50725 | 4637.7 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_96-2 |  | 2022-04-18T12:34:26 | -64.73945 | -43.50730 | 4637.4 | FLOAT | Station start | deployed |
| PS129_96-2 |  | 2022-04-18T12:38:12 | -64.73817 | -43.51087 | 4637.7 | FLOAT | Station end | deployed |
| PS129_97-1 |  | 2022-04-18T23:25:20 | -64.48025 | -45.30039 | 4479.4 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_98-1 |  | 2022-04-19T03:15:29 | -64.43930 | -45.66407 | 4416.3 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_98-1 |  | 2022-04-19T03:42:40 | -64.42516 | -45.76442 | 4434.2 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_99-1 |  | 2022-04-19T09:54:47 | -64.30040 | -46.66828 | 4383.2 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_100-1 | AWI-257-2 | 2022-04-19T16:32:01 | -64.21762 | -47.48982 | 4203.8 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_100-1 | AWI-257-2 | 2022-04-19T17:58:48 | -64.23091 | -47.49979 | 4190.6 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |
| PS129_100-2 | AWI-257-3 | 2022-04-19T18:10:21 | -64.23651 | -47.49034 | 4195.9 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_100-2 | AWI-257-3 | 2022-04-19T20:49:14 | -64.24056 | -47.48516 | 4197.2 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_100-3 |  | 2022-04-19T22:56:57 | -64.27904 | -47.46921 | 4192.1 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_101-1 |  | 2022-04-20T02:18:17 | -64.19928 | -47.63488 | 4170.2 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_101-1 |  | 2022-04-20T02:46:42 | -64.17530 | -47.71901 | 4159.4 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_102-1 |  | 2022-04-20T06:30:09 | -64.13273 | -47.95395 | 4091.9 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_103-1 |  | 2022-04-20T12:37:56 | -64.07762 | -48.36516 | 3924.6 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_104-1 |  | 2022-04-20T18:45:32 | -63.99430 | -48.81941 | 3709.2 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_105-1 |  | 2022-04-21 T02:20:44 | -63.87645 | -49.15213 | 3445.3 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_106-1 |  | 2022-04-21T07:49:09 | -63.81472 | -49.54474 | 3206.2 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_HELI_20220421 |  | 2022-04-21T14:37:00 | -63.71720 | -51.21160 | 2683.4 | HELI | max depth |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_107-1 |  | 2022-04-21T16:12:49 | -63.73428 | -50.35083 | 2641.2 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_108-1 |  | 2022-04-21T19:23:34 | -63.66442 | -50.59464 | 2589.1 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_108-1 |  | 2022-04-21T20:06:31 | -63.65927 | -50.73950 |  | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_109-1 | AWI207-11 | 2022-04-21T20:36:07 | -63.65331 | -50.80827 | 2567.5 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_109-1 | AWI207-11 | 2022-04-22T04:12:35 | -63.67504 | -50.76677 | 2556.2 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |
| PS129_109-2 | AWI-207-12 | 2022-04-21T21:32:26 | -63.63809 | -50.79066 | 2554.6 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_109-2 | AWI-207-12 | 2022-04-21T23:54:43 | -63.62857 | -50.79077 | 2575.7 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_109-3 |  | 2022-04-22T05:16:26 | -63.67445 | -50.75388 | 2379.1 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_110-1 |  | 2022-04-22T10:14:50 | -63.61617 | -51.07381 | 2225.4 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_111-1 |  | 2022-04-22T13:51:06 | -63.57192 | -51.30138 | 2013.3 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_112-1 |  | 2022-04-22T16:55:41 | -63.53202 | -51.45575 | 1942.4 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_113-1 |  | 2022-04-22T18:19:46 | -63.51764 | -51.48870 | 1891.9 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_113-1 |  | 2022-04-22T18:54:19 | -63.51120 | -51.59317 | 1700.1 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_114-1 | AWI261-02 | 2022-04-22T19:32:21 | -63.50608 | -51.63053 | 1657.1 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_114-1 | AWI261-02 | 2022-04-22T21:17:11 | -63.49856 | -51.63743 | 1823.3 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_114-2 |  | 2022-04-22T23:02:22 | -63.47778 | -51.61399 | 1225.7 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_115-1 |  | 2022-04-23T02:54:00 | -63.47856 | -51.84233 | 1231.3 | CTD-RO | max depth | Due to technical problems the station is repeated |
| PS129_116-1 |  | 2022-04-23T03:25:03 | -63.48077 | -51.84001 | 940.2 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_117-1 |  | 2022-04-23T06:28:59 | -63.46597 | -52.09650 | 934.6 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_118-1 |  | 2022-04-23T07:21:55 | -63.46916 | -52.10637 | 801.0 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_118-1 |  | 2022-04-23T07:59:43 | -63.43239 | -52.19101 | 687.2 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_119-1 |  | 2022-04-23T09:00:58 | -63.40989 | -52.27290 | 459.1 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_120-1 |  | 2022-04-23T12:30:37 | -63.35101 | -52.72767 | 394.9 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_121-1 |  | 2022-04-23T17:32:43 | -63.26064 | -53.34989 | 236.9 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |


| Event label | Optional label | Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Depth [m] | Gear | Action | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS129_122-1 |  | 2022-04-23T21:38:26 | -63.16861 | -53.95431 | 479.0 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_123-1 |  | 2022-04-24T00:35:50 | -63.09118 | -54.52360 | 181.9 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_124-1 |  | 2022-04-24T03:23:46 | -62.79945 | -54.82329 | 201.8 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_124-1 |  | 2022-04-24T03:52:11 | -62.71579 | -54.90981 | 326.3 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_125-1 |  | 2022-04-24T11:16:30 | -61.49088 | -56.10593 | 349.9 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_125-1 |  | 2022-04-24T11:59:27 | -61.34988 | -56.06718 | 150.0 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_126-1 |  | 2022-04-24T12:47:34 | -61.19415 | -56.02455 | 186.2 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_126-1 |  | 2022-04-24T13:21:06 | -61.08636 | -55.99542 | 323.9 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_127-1 | AWI-251-3 | 2022-04-24T13:45:54 | -61.02462 | -55.98568 | 313.5 | MOOR | Station start | recovery |
| PS129_127-1 | AWI-251-3 | 2022-04-24T14:56:02 | -61.01875 | -55.98757 | 324.6 | MOOR | Station end | recovery |
| PS129_127-2 | AWI-251-4 | 2022-04-24T15:31:56 | -61.02342 | -55.97944 | 324.0 | MOOR | Station start | deployment |
| PS129_127-2 | AWI-251-4 | 2022-04-24T16:25:04 | -61.02311 | -55.97813 | 380.5 | MOOR | Station end | deployment |
| PS129_127-3 |  | 2022-04-24T17:10:27 | -61.00111 | -55.99551 | 366.2 | CTD-RO | max depth |  |
| PS129_128-1 |  | 2022-04-24T18:19:16 | -61.00266 | -55.99441 |  | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_128-1 |  | 2022-04-24T19:06:41 | -60.96285 | -56.05316 | 3896.4 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_129-1 |  | 2022-04-25T00:45:43 | -60.36461 | -57.04599 | 4114.9 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_129-1 |  | 2022-04-25T01:28:13 | -60.28560 | -57.17271 | 3630.4 | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_130-1 |  | 2022-04-25T09:41:04 | -59.34074 | -58.66446 | 3683.4 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_130-1 |  | 2022-04-25T10:23:03 | -59.26101 | -58.78860 |  | UWS | Station end |  |
| PS129_131-1 |  | 2022-04-25T19:22:10 | -58.25490 | -60.32828 | 3658.0 | UWS | Station start |  |
| PS129_131-1 |  | 2022-04-25T19:44:52 | -58.21180 | -60.39316 | 3658.0 | UWS | Station end |  |

* Comments are limited to 130 characters. See https://www.pangaea.de/expeditions/events/PS129 to show full comments in conjunction with the station (event) list for expedition PS129

| Abbreviation | Method/Device |
| :---: | :---: |
| ADCP | Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler |
| B_LANDER | Bottom lander |
| CT | Underway cruise track measurements |
| CTD-RO | CTD/Rosette |
| DRG | Dredge |
| EK60_EK80 | Fish finder echolot, EK60 / EK80 |
| FBOX | FerryBox |
| FLOAT | Floater |
| GLD | Glider |
| GRAV | Gravimetry |
| ICE | Ice station |
| ICEOBS | Ice observation |
| ICERAD | Ice radar |
| M-RMT | Multiple rectangular midwater trawl |
| MAG | Magnetometer |
| MG | Multiboxcorer |
| MOOR | Mooring |
| MSN | Multiple opening/closing net |
| MYON | DESY Myon Detector |
| NEUMON | Neutron monitor |
| OFOBS | Ocean Floor Observation and Bathymetry System |
| ROVF | Remote operated vehicle FIONA (mini) |
| SNDVELPR | Sound velocity probe |
| SOSOCAL | Sound Source Calibration |
| SUIT | Surface and under ice trawl |
| SWEAS | Ship Weather Station |
| TEST | Test |
| TSG | Thermosalinograph |
| TVMUC | Multicorer with television |
| UWS | Underway water sampling |
| pCO2 | pCO2 sensor |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ More details in SeaBird's Application Note no 10 (May 2013, "Compensation of Sea-Bird Conductivity sensors").

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Null-modem Emulator, creates an unlimited set of virtual COM Port Pairs and connects these with a virtual Nullmodem cable. This allows to connect 2 COM based applications with the output of one being the input of the other, and vice-versa.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ User Datagram Protocol (UDP) is a communications protocol that is primarily used to establish low-latency and loss-tolerating connections between applications on the internet. UDP speeds up transmissions by enabling the transfer of data before an agreement is provided by the receiving party.

[^3]:    Standard Configuration: interval $=86400000 \mathrm{~ms} ; f=259.38-260.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$; duration $=80000 \mathrm{~ms}$;
    ) Sound source deployment configuration tested prior to deployment, but at half-hourly intervals and connected to Dummy Load . Amplifier set to 95\% instead of $100 \%$ Additional tests at half hourly intervals OK; deployment configuration changed accordingly to $95 \%$
    2) Test sweep with dummy load did not work, presumably caused to the tuning coil. The tuning coil switched off for deployment

[^4]:    2) self-test passed only on second try, touched ice flow when dropped, presumably at damper disk 3) log sheet not completed
    3) self-test passed only on third try

    Time(iPhone) - Time(WempeClock) $=-2 s$

[^5]:    a) system in good condition; b) no communication established directly after recovery; c) electronics damaged, batteries burned, SD cards readable; d) hydrophone defect; e) stopped early due to electronics failure (watchdog); f) problems with electronics during test and calibration after recovery;
    () Calibration before/after recovery, using a B\&K Pistonphone at $251.2 \mathrm{~Hz} \pm 0.1 \%$ (ISO 266) with amplitude of 153.95 dB SPL (at 1013 hPa air pressure);

    1) Not calibrated;
    2) calibration pending; 3) calibration not possible.
[^6]:    o be analyzed
    Comms: communication established after recovery
    battery: voltage at recovery [V] (voltage at deployment [V]
    tonal electronic noise
    broadband instrument or mooring noise
    hydrophone malfunction

